

1 February 15, 2012

2 Vancouver, B.C.

3 **(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 9:30 A.M.)**

4 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

6 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Mr. Commissioner, Janet Winteringham for Don
7 Adam.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

9 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Sergeant Adam is here in the witness stand.

10 **DONALD JOHN ADAM:** Sworn

11 THE REGISTRAR: Would you state your name, please?

12 THE WITNESS: Donald John Adam.

13 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Counsel.

14 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Mr. Commissioner, before we get started, I'm
15 just going to orient you with a couple of the
16 materials that are now put before you. There
17 should be two binders. The first binder, the
18 larger binder, has a number of exhibits that we're
19 going to be referring to during the course of the
20 testimony this morning. There is also a second
21 smaller binder, and in that binder there are a
22 collection of what I'll call daily logs, and we'll
23 refer to them in and out throughout the course of
24 the testimony.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

1 MS. WINTERINGHAM: We've also put together something called an
2 Overview of Project Evenhanded, and this is a
3 document that's been created by Sergeant Adam and
4 myself. It's something to assist you in going
5 through the evidence in hopefully an efficient way
6 so we can try to deal with all of the steps that
7 were taken by Project Evenhanded in a way that's
8 efficient and to assist you with your note taking.
9 And if I could just have one moment, please, to
10 grab copies for everybody.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

12 **EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MS. WINTERINGHAM:**

13 Q I've got Mr. Hira handing out documents. I've
14 made it.

15 Sergeant Adam, I'm going to ask you, please,
16 to start with providing us with a description of
17 some of your policing background.

18 A Okay. I did up a bit of a resume. Is that
19 available to me? It would just guide me.

20 Q Yes. That's at Tab 1 in the big book of
21 documents.

22 A Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to start
23 sort of from my formative years as a police
24 officer and move forward in time. I believe that
25 there's -- there are some aspects of my career

1 that -- that might allow me to be of some value
2 relative to things I've learned in policing. I
3 joined the RCMP in 1971, did a number of years in
4 uniform, but in 1978 I -- I was transferred to
5 full-time surveillance and took specialized
6 advanced training in surveillance and from that
7 time on could be considered an expert in
8 surveillance. Then I transferred to Coquitlam and
9 I did two things in Coquitlam. One was -- that
10 are of value, I suppose. One is I was on Drug
11 Section and I received specialized training in
12 undercover operations and was trained as a
13 supervising undercover operator and did undercover
14 operations, which again helped to guide my
15 investigations through my career. I also then
16 transferred to -- to what we called General
17 Investigations. Nowadays it would be Serious
18 Crime. And in that position in 1984, my first
19 homicide investigation was the investigation of a
20 young missing woman. And those -- that
21 investigation flowed out what had occurred with
22 Clifford Olson where we, the police, had not been
23 watching young missings, runaways we called them,
24 and we simply didn't do those investigations well.
25 I was given -- after Mr. Olson was identified, I

1 was given one of those young missings, and through
2 my investigation I was able to track her to
3 Quesnel, find the person that had killed her, and
4 I was able to bring him to charge, but not murder
5 charge because ultimately the knowledge of what he
6 had done -- we never did recover her remains, but
7 the knowledge of what he had done lived in his
8 head. And so through interrogation we did get
9 charges that accepted that he had killed her, but
10 not murder charges. And the reason I say that is
11 I'm aware of the concept of no body, no crime. I
12 will say that that is nothing that I have ever
13 heard from the people I know in Major Crime
14 investigations and nor was it something I've ever
15 heard from any of the police officers from
16 Vancouver that I dealt with in regards to this.
17 Once I left Coquitlam, I moved to Serious Crime
18 Section in -- in Surrey, which really introduced
19 me to high-level investigations at a rapid pace --
20 Surrey is quite a crime centre -- and involving
21 some extremely qualified, talented investigators
22 on how to run homicide investigations, how to run
23 major cases. And that was -- it taught me a lot.
24 From there I went to Polygraph Section, and I
25 trained as a polygraphist, and so would be an

1 expert in the use of polygraph. But perhaps even
2 more importantly is as a polygraphist, one of my
3 jobs was to insert myself into other people's
4 files and review them, and in doing that across
5 the province, I really got to exchange ideas with
6 some of British Columbia's best investigators and
7 I learned how to tear apart a file and understand
8 where we went wrong. So that was extremely
9 valuable for me beyond my own experience. When I
10 transferred from Polygraph in -- at the beginning
11 of 19 -- very end of 1997, I went to Langley
12 Detachment where I ran the Street Unit, which you
13 could think of as kind of a burglary section. And
14 I should mention also that I had run the Street
15 Unit or Burglary Section at Coquitlam. Both of
16 those relative to being maybe of some value is
17 those types of units live off of search warrants
18 and understanding those issues, so I was a person
19 who understood that very well. Also, I did double
20 duty at Langley and I ran their homicides. And,
21 again, maybe of some -- might be of some value to
22 you is there was a particular homicide that we
23 had, the Grewal investigation, and it involved the
24 hiring of the man's son to commit an assassination
25 on his wife. That investigation, I managed

1 variously upwards of 30 people plus the support
2 units outside, Special "O", undercover operation.
3 It was a complex investigation. I wrote the
4 wiretap affidavits. There were several of them.
5 I testified to them. So I'm very knowledgeable
6 about wiretap. I also, of course, was directing
7 the undercover operation against that target. I
8 am knowledgeable about undercover operations.
9 When I left Langley in November the 15th of 2000,
10 just before receiving the Evenhanded assignment, I
11 had -- interviewing was probably my specialty. I
12 mean beyond criminal investigations, but truly
13 that was, I think, what I have done best in my
14 career. And I realized that the -- that the RCMP
15 were not handling high-level homicide
16 interrogations as well as we could and I had come
17 to the belief that if we would pull together the
18 very best of our people in conjunction with
19 psychologists, in conjunction with really
20 understanding the law in and around interrogation
21 that we could do a better job. And so as a
22 grassroots effort, myself and -- and other key
23 interrogators, probably six of the best in the
24 Lower Mainland, came together and then each one of
25 us brought a young member to mentor, and we

1 created an ad hoc grassroots interrogation team.
2 And that was supported by Gary Bass with money and
3 through 1999 into 2000 we unfolded that operation
4 with terrific success, quite frankly, to the
5 extent that by the fall of 2000 Inspector Bass
6 wanted to move me back to headquarters to
7 formalize this, make it a formal unit of the RCMP,
8 be the first of its kind. And I transferred back
9 for that purpose. They did transfer me into what
10 is called Special Projects because at that time I
11 don't know if you recall, but money and RCMP
12 positions, there were cutbacks right through
13 policing, and that was a door that -- that
14 Inspector Bass could get me on location. And then
15 ideally I was going to be moved to a position when
16 they could create it. But when I arrived, within
17 six days I received an assignment to look into the
18 matter in front of you.

19 Q And you received that assignment by way of
20 communication with Henderson; is that right?

21 A Yes, I did.

22 Q Okay. And can you describe that communication,
23 please?

24 A I had always believed that -- that the first thing
25 I received was a very short e-mail asking me to

1 get together with Geramy Field, but I don't know
2 where that e-mail is. But I do know that on the
3 21st I went down to Doug Henderson's office and I
4 had a meeting with him. I'm aware that he had had
5 a previous meeting with Geramy Field in the city,
6 and I was advised that they wanted me to look at a
7 wide variety of issues and then report back to him
8 on what the RCMP might do.

9 Q And if you could take a look at Tab 9 of the big
10 book of documents.

11 A Yes.

12 Q It's an e-mail from Doug Henderson to Gary Bass
13 and you're copied on that e-mail?

14 A Yes, I am.

15 Q And in reviewing that e-mail, did you have an
16 understanding of what your assignment was to be?

17 A Yes, I did. The e-mail, if you read it, is very
18 explanatory on what my assignment was to be. My
19 assignment was to be very large. Obviously from
20 their discussions, they had decided that they
21 really needed to take a holistic look at the
22 murders of sex trade workers across this province.
23 In fact, my assignment was to go outside the
24 province as well, looking at both solved and
25 unsolved, looking at Vancouver's missing, looking

1 at the valley serial killer. So it was to be very
2 encompassing. And then from there I was to offer
3 investigative thoughts on how we could move
4 forward.

5 Q Now, did you receive -- if you can take a look,
6 please, at Tab 10. And you can see that's an
7 e-mail from Gary Bass responding back to Doug
8 Henderson?

9 A Yes.

10 Doug, I couldn't agree more that we need to
11 get on top of this. Let me know if there are
12 any funding issues. Gary.

13 Q Funding issues, is that what you said?

14 A Funding issues. If Napoleon said that an army
15 marches on its stomach, police departments march
16 on their budgets, and without money you don't do
17 anything. Gary Bass is probably the most
18 outstanding police officer I know and he would
19 find money for things, and this is just typical of
20 Gary Bass.

21 Q Now, if I can just have you refer to page 2 of the
22 overview, the road map. Page 2.

23 A Yes.

24 Q And you've testified about receiving what you
25 believed to be an e-mail from Henderson with

1 respect to your new assignment?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And did you receive any materials?

4 A Yes. My notes indicate that on the 22nd I
5 received a package from Doug Henderson.

6 Q And at this point when you received this package
7 from Doug Henderson, what did you believe your
8 assignment to be?

9 A Well, my assignment at its simplest form was that
10 I needed to get together with the people who
11 understood these issues and I needed to learn from
12 them, because I knew nothing. I had never been
13 involved in any of this, so I really knew nothing.

14 Q And do you come to learn some facts throughout
15 your early discussions with individuals with
16 respect to your new assignment?

17 A Oh, very definitely.

18 Q And what are some of those facts that you learn
19 early on?

20 A Well, the -- the document that I received
21 summarized a -- what I'll call a Behavioural
22 Science meeting that occurred early, I believe in
23 Kelowna. And so it summarized a variety of
24 concerns. I mean there was the concern that there
25 was a bundle of murders up in -- up north in

1 northern B.C. There was concern that there was a
2 new series of murders occurring on Vancouver
3 Island. There was the concept that perhaps the
4 killer had moved there. And there were a variety
5 of reasons why they would believe that. So it's a
6 big question, if you could just ask it again.
7 Sorry.

8 Q You understood that there was a number of ongoing
9 investigations with respect to the murder of sex
10 trade workers?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And what did you understand with respect to your
13 assignment and all of the other outstanding murder
14 investigations regarding sex trade workers?

15 A I needed to come to an understanding of what they
16 were, which --

17 Q And what were they? What were some of those
18 investigations?

19 A Oh, yes. Sorry. So the murder investigations in
20 the full scope of what I was being asked, Mr.
21 Commissioner, clearly there were murder
22 investigations of found sex trade workers and
23 clearly some of those were up in the northern
24 British Columbia, some were on the island and some
25 encircled the Lower Mainland. There was a series

1 of murders that had occurred in 1995. We called
2 them the valley murders. And then, of course,
3 beyond that there was -- there was another --
4 there was a body in North Vancouver, I believe a
5 body in Pemberton. And I'm just going from
6 memory. Then, of course, Vancouver itself
7 relative to bodies, there had been a series of
8 murders still unsolved that -- that were women who
9 had been murdered and quite visibly left in alleys
10 and what have you here in Vancouver, and the
11 Chartrand murder at UBC. And those had been
12 investigated by Vancouver Homicide and UBC joint
13 with Vancouver Homicide because, of course, they
14 were all sex trade workers from the Downtown
15 Eastside. So there's that entire cluster of
16 murders that I needed to come to know about and
17 decide what to do about. And then, of course,
18 there's the missing.

19 Q What about Jane Doe? In your early understanding
20 of your assignment, did you know anything about
21 that particular issue?

22 A I didn't know about her until sometime in 2001
23 when -- when Bob Paulson e-mailed me. I think it
24 was late-ish 2001 because -- maybe I should take a
25 second to explain Jane Doe. What happened is in

1 1995, the same year as these other murders, the
2 valley murders, Mr. Commissioner, there had been
3 a -- a bisected skull, bisected, simply cut
4 through the centre, a half skull that was found
5 at -- just off the Lougheed Highway right at the
6 corner of where the Stave River meets the Fraser.
7 And it was clearly a homicide. The pathologist
8 believed that it might have -- that the death may
9 have occurred a number of -- even a number of
10 years earlier. And that -- that was a mystery.
11 They at that time didn't have the ability to DNA
12 profile her, I believe because BOLM was a problem
13 in our systems. But Bob Paulson was aware of that
14 and would make me aware of it. And his analysis
15 was we have the -- the valley murders and now --
16 and now here we have, you know, a severed skull
17 and that this could be the link that the killer
18 has progressed. Does that make sense? Gotten
19 better.

20 Q Does the Jane Doe skull ever play a role in what
21 ultimately becomes the Pickton investigation?

22 A Yes, it does.

23 Q Can you describe that?

24 A It does, Mr. Commissioner. We ultimately would
25 find her bone remains, some of them, on the farm.

1 She was killed by Pickton probably. What it
2 really meant is that by -- as you're well aware,
3 of course, Pickton's first time he killed was in
4 1991. We have -- we've identified a victim on his
5 farm from '91. But what this told us later is
6 that he was a fully functioning serial killer by
7 '95 who had perfected his method of -- of not
8 allowing the remains to be identified. So it was
9 something he clearly was very aware of. He
10 clearly was removing those identifiable parts from
11 his farm as early as 1995.

12 Q So in -- and sorry. With respect to Jane Doe, was
13 she ever identified?

14 A No. Jane Doe there was a murder charge laid, but
15 ultimately it was withdrawn because simply the
16 time -- that we couldn't put a time of death to
17 her and it was felt that that would be unfair to
18 Mr. Pickton.

19 Q So you have described in your testimony what you
20 call the alley murders?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Jane Doe, the valley murders and the missing women
23 of the Downtown Eastside?

24 A Yes.

25 Q What did you understand those four discrete

1 investigations to mean with respect to your
2 assignment?

3 A What they meant is that I needed to understand
4 them and I needed to find a way to either see
5 whether they all meant something together or, Mr.
6 Commissioner, my job was to solve murder, not just
7 the murders of missing people, those murders.
8 They're all equally important in my mind. And the
9 Evenhanded mandate became not just missing, our
10 mandate, as articulated in that memo and accepted
11 fully by the Vancouver Police, by the RCMP, by --
12 I believe right up to the Solicitor General of
13 this province, was solve these murders.

14 Q Sergeant Adam, if I can have you move to paragraph
15 12 of the chronology -- or sorry -- the overview,
16 paragraph 12.

17 A Yes. I see that.

18 Q And if you can just walk us through some of the
19 early information that you're learning when you're
20 assigned these investigations.

21 A I'm sorry. My paragraph 12 deals with Hiscox.

22 Q That's right. Yes.

23 A Okay. I'm not -- like, do you want me to deal
24 with Hiscox and what I knew about Pickton?

25 Q No. If you can just walk us through the

1 information that you're learning in the early
2 stages of your assignment.

3 A Okay. So the -- what I'm -- what I'm learning
4 is -- well, firstly, is I need to draw in the
5 people who know this, so my first efforts are --
6 are to draw in the right people who will be able
7 to educate and then assist me. And I suppose, Mr.
8 Commissioner, the best way I could describe it
9 is -- is there had been years of people engaged in
10 this problem, engaged intently by Dr. Rossmo,
11 Keith Davidson, you know, Project Amelia, the
12 valley investigators, McCarl. ViCLAS was very,
13 very interested. And all of these people had been
14 having meetings and brainstorming and coming up
15 with various plans. I didn't know any of that, so
16 I needed to hear from them and understand it. And
17 the hearing and understanding, it took me a while.
18 Like, I didn't -- there were things that would
19 occur that jarred me that I didn't -- I thought
20 our systems would be working and I would find out
21 they weren't working. So the problems just seemed
22 to keep heaping themselves on each other. So
23 there was just this learning process that took
24 place from -- from my very first discussion with
25 Geramy Field on the 12th.

1 Q The 12th of?

2 A Sorry. The 12th of December, 2000. And then
3 there's -- as we got the right people into the
4 room, the problems just kept unfolding. On the
5 3rd we had the lab there and --

6 Q The 3rd of?

7 A The 3rd of January. Sorry. The 3rd of January
8 brought in ViCLAS and they have the ability to
9 allow me to understand the scope of the provincial
10 problem and they had the ability to -- for me to
11 be able to task them on the scope of the missing;
12 were 27 the right number. So assignments started
13 being handed out right on the 3rd, although you
14 need to very much understand we weren't a task
15 force until probably the very end of November, I
16 would say, when Jim McKnight and Phil Little from
17 VPD Homicide joined us and we went out to visit
18 the murder sites, say, on the 27th. Then we were
19 a task force, but prior to this --

20 Q The 27th of?

21 A Oh, I'm sorry. The 27th of February, 2001.

22 Q 2001?

23 A But -- but even though we weren't a task force,
24 Mr. Commissioner, if you watch our documents, I
25 immediately started giving assignments to

1 everybody. The people that were educating me also
2 immediately got assignments to start building our
3 understanding of the facts, so I was operating --
4 I was operating in a way that there was things
5 that we knew we would need to know and the people
6 that were in those rooms were willing to get me
7 that information and -- so we were in an odd
8 situation that by the 3rd of January, we really
9 weren't a review team in any way. We were an
10 active investigation.

11 Q And if I can have you go to paragraph 15. And if
12 you can just take us through some of what you were
13 learning when you were first assigned.

14 A Yes. Well, let me -- now I'll really start to
15 focus on Project Amelia. Project Amelia, of
16 course, is the missing women, and there were
17 things that I learned very quickly from them. Of
18 course, the first thing that I learned from Geramy
19 and from -- and from their documentation is that
20 there was this belief that the disappearances had
21 stopped and that they had stopped somewhere in
22 early 1999. And an obvious question is: Can we
23 be sure? And Geramy actually took it upon herself
24 to go and start making inquiries right away and
25 also then Sylvia Port, the Rapid ID manager, Mr.

1 Commissioner, she had the access to be able to
2 look globally across the province at high-risk
3 missings. So she took that assignment. So those
4 two assignments started very quickly. Sorry. I'm
5 just thinking how to keep continuing. The -- the
6 other thing that I learned right away from Geramy
7 Field is that both the valley homicides and
8 Project Amelia had worked high-quality suspects.
9 I learned sort of very rapidly a few things, Mr.
10 Commissioner. I learned that there had been
11 high-quality suspects worked, some that people
12 believed in so strongly that even when DNA showed
13 they were wrong, they simply could not accept that
14 a -- I think Dr. Rossmo talked about people
15 willing to -- I'm not sure if he said bet their
16 mother's lives on it, but there's a lot of
17 passionate police. People come to believe in
18 their suspect. But coming in as a review person,
19 that's very dangerous for me to accept those
20 beliefs without really looking at them. So I
21 learned that there had been strong suspects
22 worked. I learned that -- that those had not been
23 successful. And I also learned that there was a
24 mass of suspects or POIs that needed to be
25 reviewed and the documentation in the files,

1 particularly VPD, had been very documented; that
2 if -- as of almost September of 1999 there had
3 been the Pickton investigation worked jointly
4 with -- with Project Amelia and then -- and then
5 you see them pull apart in September and it seems
6 like Coquitlam continues with Pickton, but Project
7 Amelia gets together with Keith Davidson and
8 there's this need to now re-assess the suspects
9 that they have, their POIs. And I need to tell
10 you, Mr. Commissioner, that is what they needed to
11 do. You know, you've heard about tunnel vision.
12 You probably don't need to hear much more from me
13 about it. But they needed to re-assess. You
14 can't be married to one theory. And so what they
15 started to do is they started to look at -- at the
16 valley. The valley, if it was the same killer,
17 gave them a forensic point. It gave them DNA of
18 the killer. And as you watch those meetings and
19 you watch that progress by, from memory,
20 November -- but it's all in the documentation
21 there, Mr. Commissioner -- you'll see them
22 planning to go back into the Amelia suspects and
23 research them to find connections to the valley.
24 They were going to look for people with
25 four-by-fours. They were going to look for people

1 that had those connections to the valley. And
2 that was all -- made good sense. So that got
3 driven out, by the way, through -- through the
4 need to then go to Gary Bass and to get his aid in
5 now assisting a revitalization of the valley
6 investigation, meshing those suspects with -- with
7 Project Amelia, but the centerpiece is the valley.
8 And those meetings occur in March, I believe, the
9 1st and there's this move that way. And that
10 move, by the way, continues, of course, with the
11 big meeting with Dr. Rossmo and everybody in
12 November with Behavioural Science because the two
13 files are presented together and the cumulative
14 wisdom of all of those people, inclusive of the
15 behavioural scientists, which are the best source
16 we had for serial killings, are that they're
17 linked. They need to be investigated and there
18 needs to be a JFO. So we start to move in that
19 direction.

20 Q And so I'm just going to back you up for a moment
21 in terms of your assignment. You referred to a
22 meeting or receiving communication on November the
23 21st of 2000?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And then you refer to a meeting with Sergeant

1 Field on December the 12th of 2000?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And what steps, if any, did you take in between
4 November 21st, 2000 and December 12th, 2000 with
5 respect to your new assignment?

6 A Between November the 21st and the 12th?

7 Q Yes.

8 A I took none. I was -- even as I received that
9 assignment, Mr. Commissioner, I was fully involved
10 in murder after murder, to the extent that -- that
11 I was literally interrogating right around those
12 dates. And I believe within about a day or two
13 after receiving this assignment, they sent me back
14 to Nova Scotia where -- where I was involved in
15 the arrest and interrogation of a gang of Nova
16 Scotians who had come out to the Downtown Eastside
17 and murdered a couple of Downtown Eastside people.
18 So I was completely saturated with that work. As
19 soon as I could get my head above water, I started
20 dealing with this.

21 Q And you then had your meeting with Sergeant Field
22 on December the 12th. You've referred to that?

23 A Yes, I did.

24 Q Okay. And if I can have you please move to
25 paragraph 24. Now, as you're learning about your

1 new assignment, can you describe what, if any,
2 challenges you recognized at the outset at looking
3 at the missing women from the Downtown Eastside?
4 A All right. Then -- then I think what I would do
5 is maybe compare it with a regular homicide or a
6 simple homicide. A simple homicide very quickly
7 begins with the body. That body is -- centres you
8 and I would describe it this way: The body will
9 give you evidence and if you think of it as a
10 circle, you will then start to move out and as you
11 move out, you will gather and look for evidence.
12 You will look for evidence in the room. You will
13 look for evidence in the house if we're going to
14 use a simple concept. As you move out of the
15 house, you're going to look for neighbored
16 inquiries and you're going to move out from there
17 and you're going to do video canvasses, et cetera.
18 So there's this outward movement from that point.
19 Inside that room with that body, you will
20 actually -- in that same sort of conceptual
21 movement, you will move back into the life of the
22 victim and you will be able to track ideally how
23 that victim got there and who was in their life
24 and what was occurring and did that killer come to
25 that location with that victim. And then -- and

1 then you will move forward in time, because after
2 that killing the -- the perpetrator will have
3 moved forward and done things. And as he moves
4 away from that crime scene, of course, this --
5 what you've done, you may capture him in location.
6 So in a simple homicide that is the centrepiece of
7 forensics, for everything. That was so different
8 from the situation in the Downtown Eastside. The
9 very nature of the missings, A, took that piece
10 away from you. There was -- often you weren't
11 sure at the actual point they would go missing you
12 -- there was -- there's not an event. There
13 wasn't -- there's not somebody being dragged
14 screaming into a car where someone writes down the
15 licence number. There just wasn't that kind of a
16 moment. So what you did is you ended up with --
17 with -- I'm searching for the right way to say
18 this. You -- you lacked the ability certainly
19 from a court perspective, but you -- you lacked
20 the certainty of even a crime. And that lack of
21 certainty meant that you needed -- instead of
22 using your efforts to investigate the crime, you
23 actually need to use your efforts -- and they were
24 considerable, Mr. Commissioner -- to investigate
25 whether there was a crime. And when you start

1 engaged in that massive exercise, which absolutely
2 needs to be done, as you're -- with your
3 background you know we need to -- we need to be
4 able to prove there was a crime. Then all of
5 those things are slowing you and they're obscuring
6 the reality.

7 Q When you first started on this assignment, did you
8 believe that the missing women had been murdered?

9 A Yes, I did.

10 Q Did you have any beliefs at the outset of your
11 involvement in this assignment about the number of
12 killers that were potentially out there?

13 A I did, Mr. Commissioner. Obviously there's the
14 valley killer. There are the bundle in Vancouver
15 that -- that potentially could be linked. This
16 could have been a progression. So those could be
17 linked. I knew there was -- I certainly knew that
18 there were 52 unsolved -- or sorry -- solved sex
19 trade worker murders and 52 unsolved. And I need
20 to tell you I was -- I was appalled that --
21 that -- at the vicious -- the crime that is
22 occurring to those women is phenomenal. There was
23 nothing I had ever seen like it. Nowadays maybe
24 the gang murders, but that was just astounding to
25 me. I believed -- it just didn't seem possible to

1 me that it was the work of one person. And I
2 suppose that I believed we needed to be open to
3 look for potentially multiple killers entering
4 this story at various locations, and my mandate
5 was to catch them all, and my mandate -- the
6 mandate of Evenhanded still is that. The mandate
7 of Evenhanded was not to catch one killer and
8 quit.

9 Q Does Evenhanded exist today?

10 A Absolutely it exists today. It's still a fully
11 functioning investigation targeting people.

12 Q You refer to the fact that you were appalled by
13 the number of sex trade worker murders?

14 A Yes, I was.

15 Q Did you learn anything about the solve rate of
16 those sorts of murders?

17 A I did. The fact that -- that the solve rate was
18 50 percent, Mr. Commissioner, I had never heard of
19 solve rates like that. I mean I understand it's
20 stranger to stranger often, which is difficult,
21 but I believe -- and we could check the stats, but
22 I actually thought our province was up in the 60
23 to 70 percent solve rate on murders, maybe even
24 higher. I'm just guessing on that.

25 Q So you came to understand that the solve rate on

1 murders involving sex trade workers was lower than
2 other sorts of murders?

3 A Oh, from my experience I'd never seen anything
4 like that.

5 Q Now, Sergeant Adam, I'm going to have you move to
6 paragraph 28, and if you could please identify for
7 us four of the specific challenges that what
8 ultimately became Project Evenhanded, what that
9 project faced.

10 A Yes. So beyond investigative challenges, Mr.
11 Commissioner, there were things -- some things
12 that we were doing in Canada that got in our way.
13 There was some of our systems weren't working well
14 and -- and then -- and then simply some of the
15 evolution in our DNA technology, it would be
16 inaccurate to say it caused us problems, but it
17 opened opportunity for us, but it meant a lot of
18 work. So the first one is -- is the lack of a
19 missing person DNA bank. I could not overstate to
20 you how damaging it is that our country has chosen
21 not to have a DNA bank for missings, utterly
22 damaging and at so many levels. The -- what it --
23 what occurred in real time relative to what's in
24 front of you are the following things: Vancouver
25 Police Project Amelia had really -- I'd almost say

1 busted their butts, but that's not a very
2 professional way of saying it. But they had
3 worked very, very hard in the search for the
4 missings and then they had met with the families.
5 And I don't know the dates, but they had -- they
6 had obtained biological material from the
7 families. And it's been referred to in their
8 reports that they collected DNA. That's actually
9 not true. They didn't collect DNA because they
10 were not allowed to develop the DNA. So they
11 never had the ability, if they had found a crime
12 scene, to actually show that their victims were
13 there. It's an appalling situation. They had --
14 they were forced. They ended up being forced to
15 keep it in a cardboard box under a desk. They had
16 also -- you know, these are smart people. They
17 have gone to and discovered that the B.C. Cancer
18 Agency had DNA available. And you've probably
19 heard this, but they weren't able to in spite of
20 the -- the courage of Dr. Matisic from the Cancer
21 Agency, she was prevented by law from giving it to
22 them. And there's no vehicle -- and you're well
23 aware that -- I'm hoping that you can fix that.
24 But there's no vehicle that you could go and get
25 it and so they were stymied. They were talking

1 about trying to get a warrant, but what gave them
2 the ability to get a warrant, and so they ended up
3 with these dead ends.

4 Q Now, does Project Evenhanded take any steps with
5 respect to these bodily samples that you just
6 described?

7 A We do. As they explained this to me -- it is
8 actually hard to understand. It's hard to grasp
9 that we would be blocked by our own organizations,
10 our own country. We -- we then -- I realized we
11 needed to meet with the forensic lab, and that
12 meeting would take place, then, on the 17th of
13 January, 2001. And we tackled the problem by
14 meeting with them and getting them to explain why
15 we couldn't move forward. And it -- and it
16 centred around Bill C-3 and its limitations. But
17 I can tell you, Mr. Commissioner, that lab here in
18 Vancouver wanted to help us, and so what they did
19 is that -- Project Amelia had been somewhat
20 crippled by one other thing, is they were forced
21 to call the missing missing and that left the lab
22 nowhere. When I told them I was calling them
23 murdered women who we had not found, it became a
24 crime and the lab then was able to -- I forget
25 what they called it, but they were allowed to put

1 it into their investigative bank, and now we were
2 taking the steps we needed to take.

3 Q So what you're saying is that you had, then, a
4 known profile available in the lab should you
5 recover a crime scene?

6 A Yes. Once they got in there. Unfortunately, the
7 material collected by the City, as in any court
8 case -- like, we weren't just there -- we were
9 there to find a killer and prosecute them, so that
10 meant that we needed to have continuity on all of
11 our exhibits, Mr. Commissioner. So there was no
12 continuity that we could tie to the material we
13 got from Vancouver. And, in fact, they had been
14 so desperate to try and advance their case that
15 they actually had taken, I believe, nine of
16 their -- of their samples and when they couldn't
17 get it developed in Canada, they had sent it to
18 America, to Virginia where they had found some
19 body parts and the Americans developed it for us.
20 In one of those sort of twists that you couldn't
21 even imagine, when they tried to bring it back to
22 Canada, that -- the Americans use a system that
23 doesn't mesh with ours and it's useless to us up
24 here. So I don't know where I was. You have to
25 remind me.

1 Q Well, tell me this: What, if any, significance
2 did having known profiles of Vancouver's missing
3 women -- what significance, if any, did it play in
4 Project Evenhanded?

5 A Oh. Well, ultimately the part that it played is
6 that -- is that on February the 5th when the
7 Nathan Wells search occurred and we found two
8 pieces of material -- we found Heather Bottomley's
9 ID and Sereena Abotsway's inhalers -- of course,
10 Mr. Pickton was momentarily -- or not
11 momentarily -- arrested for about 10 hours. Then
12 he, of course, is on the street on the 6th free
13 and you are not going to get charges on two pieces
14 of ID. We went -- the efforts of Evenhanded are
15 quite phenomenal, but they centred around the fact
16 that we were able to develop DNA from inside his
17 trailer. And there was a spot of blood on a -- on
18 a Solomon ski bag that matched up with Sereena
19 Abotsway. So we had that waiting. All of that
20 effort was really just waiting there. There's a
21 whole bunch to that story. But then when we
22 identified blood in the trailer, in a mobile home
23 beside Pickton's trailer, that went to the lab and
24 they were turning that DNA over desperately to
25 give us a charge. And then it was about two weeks

1 in, I think, we meshed that, and that was Mona
2 Wilson, and we were able to say that that was a
3 murder site. And then I had been in constant
4 contact with Mr. Peder Gulbransen, regional, and
5 he was holding me off, holding me off, that we
6 needed to have a case, and that was the DNA hit
7 that allowed us to arrest Mr. Pickton.

8 Q On February 22nd; is that right, 2002?

9 A Yes. For two counts of first-degree murder.

10 Q And let me ask you this: Had you not -- had
11 Evenhanded not taken steps to process the
12 familial -- or the DNA or the bodily samples from
13 the missing women, what would the turnaround time
14 have been for murder charges for Mr. Pickton?

15 A I'm not even sure. The issue would be you have to
16 imagine then we would -- you would have to find
17 the physical remains. So if your search was
18 allowed to continue -- you need to understand that
19 we were only given a three-day search on our first
20 warrant and grave concerns by the authorizing
21 judge as to how -- how did we feel we could go
22 outside the trailer. Like, it was close. And
23 once we were on there, if they did extend our
24 warrants, then -- then our methodical search
25 outwards -- we started at the epicenter, of

1 course, Mr. Commissioner, and needed to work out
2 very methodically. When we would have got to the
3 freezers -- you know, as long as we could keep
4 getting warrants, we would have found the
5 freezers. We would have then had evidence of
6 murder. That would be our first evidence of a
7 crime, a provable crime I mean. Blood dots in a
8 trailer, you know, were one piece. I -- I think
9 that Mr. Gulbransen would have given us charge
10 approval even though those would have been Jane
11 Doe type murders. I believe we would have got
12 charges then.

13 Q Let me ask you this. You've testified that on
14 February the 22nd, 2002 two first-degree murder
15 charges were approved against Mr. Pickton?

16 A Yes.

17 Q In your experience as a homicide investigator, can
18 you advise this commission about the speed with
19 which you got your murder charges in this case
20 compared to others that you have been involved in?

21 A Well, every case is different. I mean if it's
22 what we would call a smoking gun and the person is
23 right there, then those could come, obviously,
24 very, very quickly. The moment it turns into a
25 whodunit it, I think the average homicide

1 investigation at that time was roughly six months.
2 And that has gotten much longer now. To -- to
3 have moved on DNA the way we moved, to have
4 developed what we developed in those 12 days, I
5 just don't think there's ever been an
6 investigation that could have done that. But we
7 did it for -- we were able to do it for a number
8 of reasons, Mr. Commissioner. We had -- we had
9 not only collected the DNA, we absolutely knew we
10 were going to get this person, and we had -- we
11 had researched a whole variety of things to be
12 ready, like right down to where exhibit DNA,
13 drying cabinets, we had everything ready to go.
14 And we had -- we had talked to the top people in
15 the province on how we would examine a crime
16 scene, because, of course, we had talked to the
17 Spokane serial killer investigation.

18 Q Sergeant Adam, I'm going to bring you back --

19 A Oh, sorry.

20 Q -- just for a moment and we'll come back to that
21 later on in your testimony because that's sort of
22 the back part of all of this.

23 A Okay. Sorry.

24 Q But you have now described for us the first
25 investigational challenge that Project Evenhanded

1 faced, and that is the lack of a missing person
2 DNA bank?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Could I ask you, please, to describe the second
5 investigational challenge?

6 A Well, the second thing I came to learn is that the
7 B.C. Coroners Service had control of a potential
8 130 bones from found human remains. They actually
9 had them, because if it's not -- if there's no
10 foul play involved -- like, Jane Doe, of course,
11 we've talked about, was obvious foul play, so that
12 exhibit stays with the police, Vancouver or RCMP.
13 But if there's no foul play suspected, then those
14 are coroner's cases. And just without knowing a
15 lot about it, I thought, well, if you're only
16 finding a bone or two, well, how can you make any
17 determination as to whether that's foul play?
18 But, in any event, those remains had never been
19 processed for DNA, and the obvious thing that
20 would occur to all of us is, well, how do we know
21 that some of these remains collected over many
22 years are not parts of the remains of our missing?
23 So not only do we need to get the missing's DNA so
24 we know that, but we need to deal with this
25 problem.

1 Q And I'll ask you to describe that in more detail
2 as we get into some of the work that Evenhanded
3 did, but if you can take us to the third
4 investigational challenge that you identified?

5 A Yes. So what happened is that once -- once we
6 realized we have DNA problems, we had the lab
7 come, one of their top people, Mr. Peter Samija,
8 and that was on the 17th of January. And Mr.
9 Samija really opened our eyes to other
10 investigative possibilities. And what he told us
11 is that there had been an evolution in DNA and
12 with each evolution the sensitivity to the
13 presence of DNA material, the ability to make a
14 profile would change. So there was -- and I'm not
15 a scientist, but there was FLRP, whatever that
16 meant. That was the preliminary one. And then
17 they went to a -- I'll just rattle out the names.
18 PCR. And the lab, I guess if they come, Mr.
19 Commissioner, will tell you that, you know,
20 perhaps FLRP you needed a quarter size bit of
21 blood. With PCR maybe you needed a dime size.
22 Then there was PCR plus. Now suddenly you're down
23 to, you know, perhaps one drop of blood or less.
24 But the -- but the reality was, is that in the
25 development of DNA, the ability of our country to

1 develop DNA, it was a laborious process apparently
2 at the beginning. And I -- and I give you this
3 from memory. I believe that when we started the
4 entire capacity of Canada to develop DNA
5 profiles -- and, again, I could be wrong, but I
6 believe it was 25,000. Well, once Evenhanded
7 financed Robotics and Proset, we were up in the
8 250, half a million. I don't know what the -- but
9 it changed rapidly. But going back to the basics
10 here, what it meant -- oh, sorry. And I should
11 tie that in -- is what it meant is that because of
12 a lack of capacity, our country -- that if there
13 was a significant crime upwards, and including
14 murder, and the investigators sent their exhibits
15 to the lab, unless they had a suspect, those
16 exhibits would be forwarded back to the detachment
17 and never examined. When we learned that, we knew
18 if we went back to crimes that touched on our area
19 of interest, that we would be able to potentially
20 get crime scene DNA and develop it. And that
21 crime scene DNA could potentially do two things
22 for us: One, identify perpetrators. But what if
23 the DNA from one of our missing was at one of
24 those crime scenes? So it offered us lots of
25 possibilities and so we really needed to look at

1 that and that became -- I make it sound easy
2 perhaps, but that became very difficult,
3 time-consuming, fraught with understandings and
4 misunderstandings that we kept fighting through.

5 Q Now, can you describe just briefly some of the
6 differences between where DNA is held? So, for
7 example, we know that certain people convicted of
8 offences can have an order requiring them to have
9 their DNA launched in a data bank?

10 A Yes. And I -- and I'll articulate that for you as
11 a real lay person who knows some of it. But I
12 truly believe it's something that, Mr.
13 Commissioner, is of extreme importance for you to
14 look at. The -- and I know I use my hands a bit,
15 but the -- if you think of convicted offender DNA,
16 then that is very legislated and it would go into
17 the national bank under the convicted offenders.
18 Then there is another stream which is called crime
19 scene DNA, and crime scene is collected at active
20 crime scenes and it goes into the national bank.
21 But -- but our -- our material -- and you need to
22 sort of picture them as having walls around them.
23 And --

24 Q What do you mean by that?

25 A Well, what I mean is that if you don't fall into

1 those two categories, you can't just put your
2 stuff in there and nor will it be searched against
3 your stuff -- DNA. I apologize. What the lab had
4 done here in B.C. and had actually just started
5 doing, I believe, in early 2001 -- might be
6 2000 -- they had created -- they had created the
7 idea of a local investigative bank. So we were
8 able to put our material in a local investigative
9 bank, the DNA material that I'm talking to you
10 about. If we developed what we felt was crime
11 scene DNA, then -- well, it couldn't go into the
12 national bank because often it was suspect. We
13 developed -- from our initiative we took us from
14 the DNA of the valley killer to -- I believe we
15 ourselves identified 13 additional murders of sex
16 trade workers, and they went into our
17 investigative bank to later be compared against
18 crime scenes and against suspects that we would
19 get cast-off from. But the -- that bank lives
20 separate from the other two and they cannot be
21 automatically measured across plus, Mr.
22 Commissioner, each province is doing this and the
23 provinces don't talk to each other. This doesn't
24 mesh automatically. It is only through the
25 exceptional effort of the lab people that they

1 would manually do all these kinds of searching.
2 And I don't -- I don't do justice to the
3 complexity or the roadblocks that all this puts
4 up.

5 Q And just as an aside, what's your understanding
6 with respect to the DNA situation today?

7 A I know that they've been talking about a missing
8 person DNA bank since 2000. They have done --
9 it's not for me to get bitter. They simply don't
10 have the legislation.

11 Q And if I could just take you to the fourth
12 investigational challenge that you've identified.

13 A And I'm sorry.

14 Q Paragraph 32.

15 A Thank you.

16 Q Yes. When -- when we moved into this -- well,
17 there is no we. When I was -- when I was trying
18 to figure it out, it was very apparent that --
19 that this would need to be managed on a robust,
20 sophisticated computer system, and the which
21 system that would be was a bit up in the air
22 because coming from the RCMP, I knew that -- I
23 knew that we did have a product called Evidence &
24 Reports. There was another one called SUPERText
25 that Commercial Crime was using. So I knew that

1 if I used that product I would get the support of
2 the Mounties, which -- you know, which was good.
3 But Project Amelia in Vancouver were using a
4 product called SIUSS. And SIUSS looked like it
5 had better capabilities for our crimes like
6 linking and what have you, and I could go into
7 that. It looked like it might be the best
8 product, but I was told by Geramy Field -- and,
9 again, this is well documented in their records --
10 that SIUSS had really not worked for them and so
11 there was a danger in going with SIUSS. And I
12 needed to sort of come to understand what was the
13 dangers of that, what were the pluses, because if
14 I didn't go with SIUSS, then I was going to really
15 be telling my partner agency that before I could
16 even get going, I was going to be taking a couple
17 years -- at least a couple years of data and I was
18 going to ignore their efforts and re-enter all of
19 that data on a Mountie system. And they didn't
20 want that for two reasons. One is -- is it was
21 going to be a time delay, a lag, and, secondly,
22 they believed that we could fix the linkage
23 problems and the data issues with SIUSS.

24 Q Give us an example of how linking can assist in a
25 police investigation such as this.

1 A Sure. And I could probably give an example that's
2 fairly on point, Mr. Commissioner. So the big
3 thing with -- with a properly functioning,
4 properly serviced database like SIUSS is its
5 ability -- it needs the ability to control the
6 investigation, to track what have we been asked to
7 be done, what is it done, et cetera. So you need
8 to have an iron grip on those things, and you need
9 it not just to drive your investigation forward,
10 but, as you're well aware, when you get to court,
11 your investigation is going to come under some
12 pretty strong scrutiny. So you need to do that.
13 Beyond that, you need the ability to know when
14 things link. A simple example would be if you had
15 a knife with a red handle used in one crime, you
16 need to be able to know if that shows up somewhere
17 else or it shows up in a search of a car, et
18 cetera. Taking that one step further, you need to
19 know who has entered your file. And let me give
20 you this -- run this past you. So Robert Pickton
21 will end up getting checked by -- with Dinah
22 Taylor. And are you fully aware of Dinah Taylor
23 and the key role she plays in this?

24 Q Tell us who Dinah Taylor is.

25 A Okay. So Dinah Taylor is a sex trade worker --

1 THE COMMISSIONER: We know who Dinah Taylor is.

2 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

3 Q He's going to give a brief description with
4 respect to that and the role that she plays,
5 actually, in the course of the Pickton trial.

6 A Yes. Very briefly, Mr. Commissioner, Dinah Taylor
7 is a person who befriended and was befriended by
8 Pickton. She lived with him. She procured
9 victims for him and would either with him or by
10 herself bring those victims out to the farm. And
11 during our trial she -- she became identified as
12 an alternate killer rather than Pickton. So she's
13 a very central person to this. The -- in December
14 of 2000 she will be checked in Vancouver with
15 Robert Pickton. Pickton, of course, was on
16 observation. In that instance the police officer
17 never followed procedure. He never reported back
18 to either Coquitlam or to Project Amelia that
19 check, so that check is lost to us.

20 Q I'm just going to stop you for one moment. What
21 do you mean he was under observation?

22 A Well, you can enter people as of special interest
23 and you can direct that when they be checked a
24 report of that street check or that incident that
25 you encountered will be reported back to you.

1 Q You're referring to the police?

2 A Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. To the police.

3 Q Okay. Go ahead.

4 A The next time he's checked is in January of 2001,
5 but this time the police officer actually writes
6 that up and a notation of that, that Robert
7 Pickton is with Dinah Taylor, gets to Amelia and
8 it ends up on Mr. Pickton's tip with the notation
9 entered in SIUSS or enter in SIUSS. What that
10 check would give you in a properly functioning
11 system is it would give you that -- that Taylor
12 and Pickton were together. It would probably give
13 you the vehicle they were in. It would give you
14 when they were there and where they were. And now
15 all of those things would tie to each other in
16 that data set. What did occur is when we were
17 able to get into SIUSS, somehow that never did get
18 entered. Even though it's in paper, it never
19 ended up in the system. All right. But SIUSS had
20 all sorts of linking problems anyway. But where
21 that ties to us, Mr. Commissioner, is that, of
22 course, when -- when Andrea Joesbury goes missing
23 in June of 2001 and a missing person is made of
24 her and then when Ted Vanoverbeek from the Project
25 Amelia is assigned to investigate that, he will go

1 to the Roosevelt Hotel, which he learns is the
2 last place she was staying, and he will be told by
3 staff there that she left with a Dinah Taylor. He
4 will then -- then come back a second time and he
5 will learn a second piece of information; that she
6 left with Dinah Taylor and they were going to
7 Coquitlam. Now, in a fully functioning system Ted
8 Vanoverbeek, of course, comes back. He makes up
9 his report. Immediately what happens, and it does
10 happen, is that anybody named gets entered into
11 the system. So in November that system would have
12 automatically told him that Dinah Taylor was there
13 and that she was associated to Robert Willie
14 Pickton. Well, we were well aware that Pickton
15 was a prime suspect and that had the system been
16 working, that would just be one example of how a
17 properly functioning system could help us.

18 Q A properly functioning computer system with --

19 A Case management, yes.

20 Q Now, just before we break here, I'm going to ask
21 you just to go back for a moment to the DNA
22 challenges that you were describing. And there's
23 been some -- some evidence during the course of
24 this inquiry about exhibits that were located in
25 the 1997 Pickton incident.

1 A Yes.

2 Q And the testing -- or the potential testing of
3 those exhibits before 2002. Can you comment on
4 that?

5 A I can. I know that -- I know that, unfortunately,
6 you know, both Mr. Vertlieb and Deputy LePard were
7 misled by the language in the reports. The
8 language in the reports say that the DNA was
9 collected, but, as you know, that language is
10 misleading, so it led to testimony here that --
11 that the exhibits from Pickton's arrest in 1997,
12 that if they had been examined that results from
13 that could lead -- because, of course, the DNA was
14 there to the meshing of those and I believe even
15 stopping of his crime spree. That could never
16 have happened because, of course, they were
17 prevented from developing it.

18 Q Why?

19 A Well, because of the DNA legislation. The other
20 component is -- and it's not my expertise, but is
21 that the way -- the way we handled DNA back in '97
22 had not evolved -- it evolved -- the idea of
23 gridding and all of that evolved as a result of
24 the Evenhanded experience, and we learned that
25 by -- by making smaller and smaller and smaller

1 grids. Like, some of the freezers we gridded down
2 to 10,000 grids on a freezer -- per freezer. So
3 then that was -- at the time those tests were
4 done, that -- that new way of doing things was
5 overlaid onto things like Mr. Pickton's boots
6 and -- and then all of a sudden now you're able to
7 start to develop profiles, plus the way the lab
8 was handling mixed profiles really changed. It
9 used to be the mixed profile was -- I'm going to
10 use the wrong way, but think of it as being of no
11 value or discarded, but they refined that so far
12 for our needs that all of that changed. I'm not
13 the best person to explain it because I don't
14 explain it well.

15 Q And just to finish off that point, with respect to
16 the 1997 exhibits and any available victim DNA,
17 what, if anything, can you say about that?

18 A Well, the only victim DNA they had available was,
19 of course, Anderson. Like, there was -- there was
20 no DNA at that time collected for the missing.

21 Q And, Sergeant Adam just to orient you, if you can
22 take a look, please, at paragraph 33. And just
23 very briefly, if you can describe some of the
24 early investigative steps that were being taken
25 and the basis for the steps that you chose to

1 take.

2 A As of January the 3rd?

3 Q Yes.

4 A By January the 3rd, that was more focused on what
5 ViCLAS could do for us. We -- we knew -- we knew
6 that we needed to have a strong grip on what
7 potential cases would touch on our area of
8 interest, and on the 3rd I gave out the -- well, I
9 gave out two assignments, one to the Rapid ID
10 manager to -- to tell me how many -- can we be
11 comfortable that there are only 27 missing sex
12 trade workers in British Columbia, and the second
13 was to Margaret Kingsbury, how -- what will be the
14 stats, what will we start building off of. And
15 then she ended up producing some excellent work
16 that articulated that out.

17 Q If I can move you to a meeting that took place on
18 January the 17th, 2001 and if you want to take a
19 look at Tab 15 of the big binder.

20 A Yes.

21 Q If you can just try to give us in short form a
22 sense of the steps that you were taken -- taking
23 in the middle of January, 2001.

24 A Yes. And probably the first thing worth looking
25 at, Mr. Commissioner, is the list of people up

1 there that came to this meeting. So we, of
2 course, now have -- Geramy Field is at the meeting
3 and she's bringing -- what she brings to that
4 meeting is expertise in Project Amelia. Margaret
5 Kingsbury is -- is our expert in ViCLAS. And I
6 might also say that Margaret Kingsbury is an
7 alumni out of Surrey Major Crime, an extremely,
8 extremely capable homicide investigator, probably
9 one of the most -- well, the most thorough police
10 officer I've ever met. Nancy Joyce and Judy
11 Priest are working on a project for ViCLAS that is
12 looking at compliance, because it's critical that
13 you get the data into that ViCLAS computer so it
14 can identify serial offenders. Compliance hadn't
15 been great and -- and Judy Priest had a -- had 10
16 resources working through the municipalities and
17 the -- and the RCMP at that exact time, so she was
18 involved because she was going to be extra eyes
19 for us looking for cases. Sylvia Port, I've
20 talked about her, Mr. Commissioner. She's the
21 Rapid ID manager, so she's the specialist in
22 missing people. Jim Lucas is the provincial DNA
23 co-ordinator. He knows -- it's his job to
24 populate the -- the known offender bank, so he's
25 on top of who are the worst people in the prisons

1 and he becomes an invaluable resource coupled with
2 Margaret Kingsbury in -- in getting us DNA out of
3 those prisons because they're mossers (?), right?
4 They're mossers that touch my area of interest.
5 Peter Samija I've talked about, one of the top
6 people at the lab, and at these meetings he's
7 educating us on all of the things that I've tried
8 to talk about. Mike Kurvers is representative of
9 the valley. He knows about the valley killings.
10 And I'm, of course, the person there trying to
11 learn.

12 Q Now, all of the people that you've just gone
13 through, are they all police officers?

14 A No. Judy Priest and Sylvia Port are civilians
15 and Peter Samija is a civilian member. The rest
16 are police officers.

17 Q And if I could just again in short form have you
18 describe what's taking place at this meeting and
19 the purpose for what you're dealing with at this
20 particular meeting.

21 A So again my goal is learning and trying to
22 understand and these people are the people who
23 know and they're educating me. And then the
24 addition of Peter Samija, Mr. Commissioner, if
25 you -- if you watch where the true experts, the

1 behavioural scientists, Dr. Rossmo and even before
2 him there was the 1991 examination and Keith
3 Davidson, they're the experts in serial killers
4 and you see their plans are excellent about the
5 holistic and what have you, but if you look at
6 them, they really because of their expertise focus
7 on profiling. But the inclusion of the lab to
8 this group opened up the entire vista that we
9 could potentially have more than just one goal
10 standard DNA. So that really -- whilst we
11 still -- and you will see that Keith Davidson
12 stays in our meetings and I stay involved with
13 him. The lab gave us another chance.

14 Q Why this focus for you on DNA?

15 A Well, partially because, of course, the entire
16 history of the people that were explaining this to
17 me was that the DNA goal standard from the valley
18 was to be the -- was to be the focal point of my
19 investigation. So DNA -- our ability to -- to
20 have suspect DNA, to know that this person was a
21 serial killer is enormous. To know that we could
22 go out and potentially collect other killers of
23 unsolved homicides who may have progressed in
24 their methods to hiding the bodies -- I mean I
25 don't think that's an illogical thought. To

1 have -- to be able to actually develop that and
2 have all of those and to have all of the people
3 that abducted and brutalized and attempted to
4 murder, are target people, to have their DNA meant
5 that instead of trying to catch one fish in a
6 pond, you might -- well, you end up with 20, 50,
7 100. Your chances of catching the fish are
8 better. Now, it comes with its own difficulty and
9 that is that it becomes large, but -- but it was
10 critical to us to know that the killer would be in
11 our net. If we did this effort and the killer was
12 not in our net because we were hasty, then nothing
13 we would do -- it would end up that we had done
14 nothing.

15 Q Your investigation has been described as a
16 historical review. What do you say about that?

17 A That's not true.

18 Q January 17th, we're looking at this meeting
19 minutes?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What do you say with respect to my question that
22 this was a historical review in light of that
23 meeting?

24 A Well, I don't think we need to wait for this
25 meeting. I was handing out -- a file review needs

1 to be understood for a second. Ordinarily when
2 you're asked to do a file review, you would go to
3 the detachment and you would either take their
4 file, which would be in boxes or what have you,
5 and you would sit down with it, and you would open
6 it up, and you would get your note pad out, and
7 you would go through it, and you would write down
8 a variety of things. You would -- you would come
9 to understand from that file review what was their
10 investigative thinking. You would have to decide
11 do I agree or disagree with their investigative
12 thinking. Did they think about all of the things
13 that they needed to think about? If the answer's
14 yes, great. If the answer's no, you're making a
15 list of things you will do when you're finished
16 the review. You never when you're asked to go in
17 and do a file review would you get about four
18 pages in and just suddenly go running off, because
19 what if the next 50 pages took you to something
20 more important. So the job is to do it all. So
21 that's -- that's a file review. But because of
22 the nature of what I was asked to do, I -- there
23 definitely needed to be file reviews of Amelia.
24 That was one of our top assignments. That became
25 the -- the urgent work of my two best people, my

1 primary investigator, Jim McKnight out of
2 Vancouver Homicide and Phil Little, another
3 high-quality investigator out of Vancouver
4 Homicide. These were -- I mean they're some of
5 the best investigators on the -- on our unit. So
6 that review needed to be done. That's a file
7 review. What we decided to do, we went
8 immediately -- realized that that initiative
9 needed to co-exist with another initiative and
10 that the other initiative started literally on the
11 3rd of January where I was assigning work outside
12 of -- of this, and that is investigation. And the
13 work wasn't just things I assigned to others.
14 There was a very real belief that the serial
15 killer -- whilst Vancouver's missing had stopped
16 in 1999, the murders in Vancouver Island had
17 started. And the concern that there was a new
18 serial killer is articulated in that Behavioural
19 Science meeting. I think it was about the 6th or
20 9th of January I'm in a police car travelling to
21 Vancouver Island meeting with people to find out
22 if we have our serial killer over there. That's
23 investigation.

24 Q What had happened over in Vancouver Island that
25 caused you to go there?

1 A Well, there had been a series of murders of sex
2 trade workers, and from memory I believe one even
3 had a potential connection to the Downtown
4 Eastside. You know, it's a long time and my
5 memory isn't what it used to be. But I needed to
6 go there and I needed to talk to those people. I
7 needed to find out has Vancouver's killer moved
8 there. And it wasn't that simple, Mr.
9 Commissioner, because once I had done that, Keith
10 Davidson would send me a report and earmark for
11 me -- and I don't remember the date -- that there
12 had been another murder. And, again, I was --
13 well, we sent ViCLAS over, Margaret Kingsbury, to
14 make sure everything was up to date. But about
15 four days later I'm on the island again meeting
16 with the Homicide investigators. I just simply
17 needed to know.

18 Q Again, was that a sex trade worker?

19 A Oh, yes. Yes, it was.

20 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Mr. Commissioner, if this suits you, perhaps
21 this is a good time for the break.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll break.

23 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes.

24 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:01 A.M.)**

25 **(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 11:20 A.M.)**

1 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

2 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

3 Q Sergeant Adam, you have described that there was
4 much discussion about any potential connection
5 between the missing women of the Downtown Eastside
6 and the valley killings?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And I understand that you actually attended up in
9 the Agassiz area in late February of 2001?

10 A Yes. On the 27th of February.

11 Q And describe for the commission what the purpose
12 of that visit was.

13 A So a day earlier we had -- we had learned that
14 Geramy Field just didn't feel she could continue
15 with the case.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: She couldn't what?

17 THE WITNESS: She just couldn't continue with the -- those
18 cases, Mr. Commissioner, they eat people up.
19 Eventually they have nothing left. And so she had
20 arranged to have two of Vancouver's -- I believe
21 some of their top homicide investigators, Jim
22 McKnight and Phil Little. I've mentioned them.
23 Jim McKnight would become our primary
24 investigator. That's the key investigative role
25 in a task force. My role is -- as team commander

1 is more of an administrative task classically and
2 then making sure everyone else is doing what they
3 need to do. And we talk about a command triangle,
4 but -- so, of course, now neither Geramy, Jim
5 McKnight or Phil Little or myself had ever been to
6 the crime scene up in the mountains. And reading
7 about a scene or hearing people describe it is
8 definitely not the same as being there, so we
9 wanted to go and do that. And we met with Paul
10 McCarl from -- the lead investigator of the valley
11 murders, and he took us up into the mountains with
12 a four-wheel drive to look at those sites.

13 Q And what was the purpose of you going up into the
14 mountains?

15 A I just wanted to see the crime scene and get a
16 feel for what it said to me.

17 Q And what did you learn, if anything?

18 A We -- and I -- my memory again is not what it used
19 to be, but I believe the first one was Pipe and
20 then Olajide and Younker. And each one of them we
21 had been told the killer had used more effort to
22 hide the remains. So on the first -- and I
23 believe it's the Pipe one. That was actually up
24 the mountain, but it was on a -- bearing in mind,
25 of course, this is five years later, but it was on

1 a fairly --

2 Q What was five years later?

3 A Sorry. This is 2001. Well, six, I guess, from
4 when the murders occurred, so things could change.
5 Sorry. That's what I was trying to say. At that
6 one it was on a -- on a small spur of -- a spur of
7 a logging road, but the body, as Paul McCarl
8 described it, is left right there. So now that's
9 a road that at the way it appeared to me in 2001
10 is going to be a road that people might use, so
11 therefore the killer's not putting a lot of effort
12 into disposing that remains. The second site,
13 which I believe was Olajide, now the killer had
14 gone to a lot more effort. It was further up and
15 now it was beyond -- beyond just a standard spur
16 of a logging road. It literally -- when we were
17 there, you're dealing with grassy paths. You know
18 how a track will be left in a forest, so overgrown
19 path. Definitely not going to be used very much.
20 And yet still when Paul McCarl described it, the
21 body is right beside that path. So yes,
22 definitely less people are coming, but anybody
23 wanting to hike an old path finds that person.
24 And then I -- we didn't make it to the Younker
25 murder site because the snow pack was too great.

1 But as I looked at those -- and I mean I'm not a
2 behavioural scientist and what have you, but I was
3 being told that the killer had improved his
4 methods. And I was willing to completely accept
5 that and yet I knew that even prior to '95 there
6 were quite a few women who had gone missing and
7 the disposal of them had been utterly effective,
8 so it could be that there was multiple murderers.
9 Obviously I think I've said that we were always
10 alive to that, and in point of fact that is
11 exactly true. There were multiple murderers. But
12 I decided that it would be dangerous to -- to just
13 accept that that killer was the goal standard.
14 And from then on -- and it caused some
15 consternation for some people. I really switched
16 and made the missing my primary focus. And whilst
17 I -- I would never and didn't discount that they
18 could be connected, I -- what I did do is I
19 simply -- prior to that moment, both obviously the
20 valley and Amelia had used the elimination of
21 suspects through DNA as the standard on which they
22 would stop being suspects in both the missing and
23 in the -- and in the valley. Am I allowed to say
24 his name?

25 Q He's been referred to by a POI. You want to refer

1 to a particular POI? You can just call him that,
2 a POI.

3 A Okay. A POI would get convicted. They had worked
4 on him extensively and they had eliminated him
5 through the DNA. So right away to me -- and, of
6 course, the valley had eliminated people, very
7 extensive -- I mean this person was convicted of
8 murder. We're not naming him?

9 Q I understand he's POI 390.

10 A Is that -- is that the Vancouver suspect or the
11 convicted suspect from the valley? There's the
12 man who was taking women up and attacking them,
13 you know, right where the murders occurred.

14 Q I'm sorry. You're describing what that person
15 did?

16 A Well, that person was also eliminated as the
17 suspect because of his DNA.

18 Q He's being referred to as POI 1588.

19 A All right. So 1588 had been eliminated by virtue
20 of it not being his DNA at -- at the valley. He's
21 not the valley killer. And then -- sorry. What
22 was the --

23 Q 390?

24 A And 390 had been investigated and was a great
25 suspect, but -- but because it wasn't his DNA,

1 Vancouver considered him eliminated. I felt that
2 was unsafe on both those subjects; that there was
3 nothing -- it was just too dangerous to use that
4 goal standard, so to me everybody was back in the
5 pool if that makes sense.

6 Q Sergeant Adam, if I can take you to paragraph 40
7 of the overview. And I'm going to ask you a
8 couple of questions about operational plans. And
9 you've identified some documents in that
10 paragraph. We've got documents 36, 40 and 41.
11 And if I can have you please look at the big
12 binder, Tab 36.

13 A Yes.

14 Q As team commander, you were required to develop an
15 operational plan?

16 A Yes, I was.

17 Q Now, can you describe -- this is a February 28th,
18 2001 document. Can you describe how this
19 document -- what, if any, role this document
20 played in the development of your operational
21 plan?

22 A By -- by this point in the investigation -- and
23 Mr. Commissioner, I refer to us as the hooker task
24 force. Obviously that's inappropriate and would
25 change. This document really now -- we've

1 realized that if we look at the right cases
2 that -- that we would be able to pull viable
3 suspects and potentially crime scene DNA and
4 suspect crime scene DNA from those files. And
5 this one is focusing on the importance of -- of
6 looking at -- at sex trade worker -- and we did
7 include hitchhikers because we felt that they were
8 people who would get into a car willingly. So
9 we're looking at their murders. And Vancouver
10 Police Department had been actually outstanding in
11 the fact that from our very first days in January,
12 as Geramy Field recognized the importance of this,
13 she had gone to her management and -- and they had
14 channelled Alex Clarke over, who was ex-Project
15 Amelia, and Barb Morris from the VPD running the
16 Sex Assault Squad, which is an extremely busy
17 unit, had freed up a great resource, Trish Keen,
18 and she was over. And so they were -- as we're
19 formulating plans, they literally were working in
20 real time culling these files. And I don't --
21 couldn't tell you now whether it was full time,
22 part time, but I know they were active. And then
23 we would get Constable Paul Verral and he was
24 engaged. So the VPD -- you think of Evenhanded,
25 but Evenhanded was being supported outside by

1 people we weren't calling Evenhanded, but the
2 majority of their work is Evenhanded work. And I
3 think it makes for a misleading picture of what we
4 were doing.

5 Q Go on.

6 A Have I covered phase 1 sufficiently to move to
7 phase 2?

8 Q Yes. If you could move to phase 2.

9 A So, as I've said to you before, Mr. Commissioner,
10 ViCLAS, they have the ability to identify the
11 right crimes and point us in the right direction,
12 and so this is just their reviewing masses of
13 details across the various province -- PDs,
14 rather, and detachments. And you see me give a
15 time frame for -- that they feel they can get that
16 done within three months. They would end up
17 getting that done sooner.

18 Q And then if you can take us to phase 3 and
19 describe the basis for including that phase in
20 your operational plan.

21 A Phase 3 is the comprehensive review of Vancouver
22 and Chilliwack suspect lists, and they are to be
23 prioritized by a set criterion and integrated.
24 So, as you see there, Vancouver has already put
25 three members to work and that's all happening.

1 And those three members would be, of course,
2 McKnight, Little and Geramy Field. Even though
3 she wasn't coming with us, for a number of months
4 she carried the standard for us in Vancouver.
5 The -- I use the term "phases" here, Mr.
6 Commissioner. That's the way I articulated it,
7 but you would really need to think of them as
8 simultaneous streams. It wasn't like we were
9 waiting one for the other. These streams were
10 running simultaneously. Obviously the going out
11 and getting cast-off and the development of our
12 suspects through wiretap and UCOs, they were
13 awaiting, if that makes sense. And I can explain
14 why. I'm just looking at phase 5. And phase 5
15 here is really going to be what happens when we
16 get a DNA hit on -- on the valley or one of these
17 other killers, because identifying the valley
18 killer, unless we could run an investigation that
19 would link him to Vancouver would only solve that
20 crime, so we needed a strategy as to how would we
21 marry that up. And UCO is probably the best, but
22 coupled with wire, coupled with a whole variety.
23 So we needed to be alive to the fact that our job
24 really would start when we got those hits, got a
25 suspect.

1 Q Now, this document that you've been referring to
2 at Tab 36 also describes some resourcing issues?

3 A Yes, it does.

4 Q And I take it as part of your operational plan
5 that's something that you had to do as team
6 commander, organize resourcing?

7 A Yes. There was a problem that the Major Crime
8 office out in Surrey was -- was full up, like to
9 bursting, and we needed to find a place for us.
10 The City didn't have one and the -- initially
11 because -- because SIUSS, the computer program,
12 was at the City and all of the paper of Project
13 Amelia was at the City, then Sergeant McKnight and
14 Detective Little were working there, but -- but
15 they're, of course, rubbing shoulders with their
16 old teammates, who are strapped and are trying to
17 draw them into new murders and what have you, so
18 they're being kind of pulled away from what our
19 duties are. And they were telling me, "You need
20 to get us out of here to free us up for this work
21 entirely." So we actually jury-rigged and found a
22 place in the Surrey satellite.

23 Q And just to follow up on that, you've mentioned
24 Paul McCarl?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And he was the investigator dealing with the
2 murders in the valley?

3 A That is correct.

4 Q Does he end up coming to Project Evenhanded?

5 A No. When -- when I first was given this
6 assignment, I -- I believed that -- that I
7 would -- I believed, of course, at first that
8 there were two functioning investigative teams and
9 that I would get those teams and then -- and then
10 there's documents where I'm thinking I'll find out
11 who else has a murder that could be linked and I
12 would draw in investigators from every concerned
13 detachment. But, of course, the fact is that
14 Project Amelia had really finished and there was
15 only Geramy Field and then -- so I thought she
16 would be perfect as my primary investigator. She
17 had the file knowledge. And Paul McCarl is a very
18 organized officer. He was willing to come as our
19 file co-ordinator. So now the three of us would
20 form the command triangle. But -- but Geramy
21 simply had nothing left. She could not come. And
22 you can't ask someone to come under those
23 conditions. And Paul McCarl I believe wanted to
24 come, but he just had murder priority file
25 after -- he just never got free, and so we ended

1 up Jim McKnight, who is an exceptional officer,
2 became primary and then when I realized that
3 McCarl was a pipe dream, on the 2nd of April I
4 went to Major Crime and said, "I need a
5 high-quality file co-ordinator." A file
6 co-ordinator is not somebody who just looks after
7 their -- they need to be one of your top people
8 and you need the right one. And they gave me
9 Wayne Clary. But Wayne Clary, of course, being a
10 valuable resource, is tied up in homicides and it
11 ends up taking until about the 24th of April when
12 he's on the ground with us.

13 Q With Project Evenhanded?

14 A Yes. 24th or the 28th. I'm not sure.

15 Q And, Sergeant Adam, if I can move you, please, to
16 paragraph 41. And I'm going to ask you to address
17 the ultimate investigative strategies that you
18 developed. And we'll start you with the
19 prioritization of suspects.

20 A Yes.

21 Q If you can describe that investigational strategy
22 for Project Evenhanded as you saw it.

23 A I can. The -- there was the documented and
24 articulated belief, which I fully accepted, that
25 we needed to review all of the suspects and POIs

1 in both Amelia and the valley. We -- I've told
2 you that Amelia after the 27th really became the
3 centrepiece in my mind. Jim McKnight and Phil
4 Little right away, like -- you could look on a
5 calendar, but after the 27th whenever they next
6 worked, they are busy. Jim McKnight first looked
7 at all of the missing women. He wanted to assess
8 them and assess whether there was something that
9 investigation needed to be done. Phil Little was
10 immediately assigned to start looking at the POIs.
11 And by then we had -- through discussions with the
12 behavioural scientist, Keith Davidson, had
13 recognized the -- that there were specific things
14 that we needed to look for. And we created this
15 priority list that really dealt with men who had
16 murdered, attempted to murder, abducted,
17 brutalized, tried to transport women from our
18 target group, the Downtown Eastside. And there
19 was an additional component with the valley that
20 we wanted to make sure we captured people with a
21 connection to the valley who had done these
22 things, so that there was that criterion.

23 Q Now, you just referred to priorities?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Did you have a number of different priorities?

1 A Yes, we did. We -- we felt that we needed to have
2 a Priority 1. Those would be the worst of the
3 worst and those would be the people that we
4 would -- we needed to figure out how many there
5 were of them and then -- then assess them for
6 which ones would we go on first. But you couldn't
7 do one without the other. Like, if I described it
8 to you this way: If you walked into a room that
9 was full of files, you -- if you reached out and
10 looked at a file and said, "Wow, this is a
11 horrible person" -- say Mr. Pickton, you go, "This
12 is a horrible person. I'll go work him." But if
13 you haven't looked, how do you know that the fifth
14 file down isn't worse? How do you know that the
15 tenth isn't? So you take all of your monsters and
16 you try and put them together and then assess
17 them. And I know that Mr. Pickton has entered
18 this room. He's -- he's really the only monster
19 who has come in here and his crimes have been
20 fleshed out, but I can assure you that the people
21 we are looking at are evil people. And if -- if
22 you think of Mr. Pickton as a -- like a bright red
23 ball that you've brought into this room, you can
24 move that ball anywhere in this room and none of
25 us will ever miss where it is. But if you open

1 those doors and you brought in 30 red balls
2 suddenly and you start moving them around, it's
3 not so easy. You keep those doors open. By
4 February there are 60 of them. There were --
5 right now when Evenhanded has fully assessed
6 everyone, of people that have murdered, attempted
7 murder, brutalized women, at that number one
8 category there are 374 of those balls. And if
9 those balls are in this room, there's a very
10 different feel to what we were facing. We hadn't
11 read the end of the book. We were at the
12 beginning and we couldn't make mistakes.

13 Q You referred to tunnel vision earlier, and we've
14 heard some of that through the course of the
15 inquiry, so I'm not going to ask you to spend too
16 much time on it, but was that something that you
17 were thinking about when you were developing this
18 strategy with respect to the prioritization of
19 suspects?

20 A Well, yes. I mean I think Dr. Rossmo touched on
21 something. As investigators we are all strong
22 willed. We -- we will commit to our target and
23 often we will commit to it even -- even when --
24 when the evidence no longer holds you to it.
25 There were people that after POI 1588 was

1 eliminated in DNA, they kept insisting that there
2 was a mistake with the DNA. They could not let go
3 of that target. So when you come in to do a
4 review, you need to be very careful that you will
5 never just accept what others told you. All
6 right. Additionally, we weren't -- we weren't
7 doing this investigation to reach a point where --
8 where we could say, "Oh, we've done a lot of
9 work." We were doing this investigation to find
10 the killers and bring them to court, convict them.
11 And to convict them you need to be alive to what
12 defence will do. And defence is not going to
13 argue the evidence if they can argue something
14 else. No offence to defence here. They are going
15 to work on process, whether you followed the law,
16 and a great, great tactic and a tactic that was
17 utterly alive in our trial was tunnel vision.

18 Q There were allegations of tunnel vision in the
19 Pickton trial?

20 A Oh, yes, there were.

21 Q Now, if I can have you go to page 13. And you've
22 talked about other POIs that engaged Evenhanded's
23 interest?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And you've provided us a description of some of

1 those individuals. And I'm going to have you take
2 us through just a few of those if you would,
3 please.

4 A Okay. Certainly. So they articulate POI 390.
5 And -- and this was, of course, a person looked at
6 by -- by Project Amelia. And he would pick up sex
7 trade workers from Downtown Eastside. He took one
8 to a hotel, confined her, brutally sexually
9 assaulted her, burning her with cigarettes and
10 degraded her. It was one of a series of offences
11 committed by him. And as it articulates here, he
12 was charged with that, five counts of sexual
13 assault, one count of kidnapping, unlawful
14 confining, administering noxious substance and
15 robbery, and he was convicted.

16 Q And where did he fit in your prioritization?

17 A He was a Priority 1.

18 Q And then the next one that we have here is 5082.

19 A 5082, in 1997 the RCMP were called to a residence,
20 Mr. Commissioner, and when they arrived there,
21 there was a woman screaming. When they actually
22 pulled into the underground, this subject was --
23 was attempting to leave, and he was at his vehicle
24 and when they -- which was very fortuitous because
25 when they forced him to open his trunk, inside

1 was -- was a dead sex trade worker and she had
2 been bound and wrapped up in duct tape. They
3 found that she had been strangled and beaten.
4 But, more importantly, when they went into his
5 house, got a warrant and went into his house, they
6 found 31 books on serial killers, because --
7 because these people will educate themselves.
8 They'll educate themselves by -- by reading and
9 watching the media. They will be educating
10 themselves by watching this right now. And -- and
11 he had books on police procedures, DNA procedures,
12 all of these things in order to be skilled at
13 being able to commit the crime, dispose of the
14 body, defeat DNA, get away.

15 Q Was POI 5082 in your Priority 1?

16 A Yes, he was even though he was in custody. Of
17 course, if you look at the date '97, there were a
18 long history of our victim group that were from
19 before there. So, again, I keep coming back to
20 this. You could not assume that it was one
21 killer. If you put blinders on, then if you are
22 wrong, you allow others to go free. And even
23 though it was a lot of work and even though at
24 some level it slowed us down, we needed to stay
25 wide, because we were building a base that would

1 continue for years and in point of fact has
2 continued now for 10 years.

3 Q And I'll have you go through one more, if you can
4 go through POI 684 on the next page.

5 A Yes, I can. He was well known. 684 was well
6 known, involvement with sex trade workers, a bad
7 date. He had been checked in Vancouver and
8 Edmonton, in the company of sex trade workers. On
9 the 19th of June, 2001 he was checked in a van
10 equipped for abductions. They take the handles
11 off the doors so that the victim can't get out.
12 There's a mattress on the back, a crate containing
13 handcuffs, a choke chain, whips, a mask and a
14 hacksaw. And may I say one other thing? I know
15 that Detective Shenher talked about her belief
16 that really Project Amelia knew their top people.
17 I think there were 13 of them. But I need to
18 inform you that when Evenhanded McKnight, when
19 they did their review of only Project Amelia,
20 there were 63 Priority 1s in there. And, Mr.
21 Commissioner, one of those males was a person who
22 picked up a sex trade worker, put them in a jeep,
23 was driving out of Vancouver up towards the North
24 Shore, was driving so erratically that an off-duty
25 police officer tried to catch him, couldn't catch

1 him, but as the officer came up along the Second
2 Narrows, the woman's body -- she'd either been
3 pushed or jumped from that moving car and that man
4 had killed her, driven over her. That man went
5 right back to the Downtown Eastside to try and
6 pick up another sex trade worker. That wasn't
7 even rated because -- not because of lack of
8 effort of Lori Shenher -- she poured her heart
9 into this -- but the obstacles, the broken
10 computers, the massive size, the fact that she's
11 also investigating. This file was full of hideous
12 human beings and they needed to be looked at.
13 Sorry for getting a bit passionate there.

14 Q We'll move you to the second investigational
15 strategy that you were developing.

16 A Yes.

17 Q And if you can take a look at paragraph 45.

18 A Yes.

19 Q And, Mr. Commissioner, just so that you know,
20 we've referenced documents throughout this -- the
21 overview and we're not going to take Sergeant Adam
22 to all the documents because that would just be
23 too time-consuming, but you'll see that there is
24 some reference to them and you may want to look at
25 them at some point down the road. But those

1 documents are all reflected in the body of the
2 overview that's been drafted. So to keep us
3 moving, I won't have him jump back and forth. So
4 if you can take us, please, Sergeant Adam, to the
5 second investigative strategy.

6 A Well, the second investigative strategy was -- was
7 to ensure that we knew about all of the missing
8 women. So we had 27, but was that an accurate
9 number?

10 Q When you say "We had 27", where are you getting
11 that number from?

12 A Sorry. That was the original Project Amelia.
13 They had done extensive investigations and brought
14 that number down to 27. And I guess to give
15 structure to that, they had done something I had
16 never seen before, which was create that very
17 comprehensive list of what do you need to do to be
18 able to show that that person is truly missing and
19 just hasn't moved or done something else. And
20 they -- they coined that confirmed when they had
21 done everything, they had that.

22 Q When you say "they", who are you referring to?

23 A Sorry. Project Amelia.

24 Q So this concept of confirmed missing, how did that
25 play into this investigational strategy that you

1 developed?

2 A What -- what we needed to do is -- you know, is
3 twofold. One was to try and ascertain whether
4 there were more missing that we were unaware of
5 that fit our target group, and then as of the 31st
6 of January, I believe it was, when -- when Geramy
7 Field brought us the news that there were three
8 new missings, we needed to start tracking two
9 things: Could they be found? In other words, you
10 do the investigative steps to confirm them and
11 then we would add them, and then what would that
12 mean to us.

13 Q You testified earlier that you had been told that
14 the women had stopped going missing in January of
15 1999. How did that play into how you were
16 developing the second investigational strategy?

17 A Well, our -- our original plan to do the DNA --
18 let me back up a step. If -- if your killer is
19 not active -- if he's not active, that's probably
20 investigationally the toughest situation you could
21 ever be in. I mean it's horrible to say it, but
22 you can actually advance an investigation if
23 someone is killing. If they're not, then you're
24 doing these reviews. And Green River's a great
25 example. They were 18 years. And if it hadn't

1 been for the evolution of DNA, they would never
2 have got Gary Ridgway. Gary Ridgway was a good
3 date. So if the killer was stopped, then even
4 more so we needed to do that base. But we also
5 needed to know whether the killer had stopped.
6 And with the information we received, then that
7 added a dimension. Well, firstly, our people were
8 busy already. There may be some hint that -- of
9 lack of urgency, but that is not founded in the
10 documents or our results. The reality is that --
11 that as of early January, Vancouver City had
12 thrown resources, quality people into this. We
13 had -- we had -- you've heard about that bundle of
14 people outside and they're all working bringing me
15 data. They don't live inside a formalized
16 structure, but they're doing my work, and getting
17 my work done is far more important to me than
18 building a nice, you know, empire that answers
19 solely to me.

20 Q So you've described that your understanding, the
21 responsibility with respect to dealing with
22 reports of missing women was that of Sergeant
23 Field's?

24 A No. No. It was -- she brought in and used the
25 resources of Vancouver's Missing Person Unit.

1 They with -- you know, with Lori Shenher not being
2 there anymore, they truly were the people that
3 understood the Downtown Eastside. From my
4 perspective, of course, I know nothing about the
5 Downtown Eastside. They understand it. They have
6 the connections with Dave Dickson, who was, I
7 think, their resident expert. They -- they know
8 the protocols. They've got the history and the
9 connection and wanted to do the work and so that
10 was left with them, which is what needed to
11 happen.

12 Q And when you say "them", who are you referring to?

13 A The Missing Persons Unit. Sorry.

14 Q So you made a decision with respect to how the
15 missing persons reports were going to be dealt
16 with?

17 A Yes. I wouldn't say that -- it wouldn't be my
18 decision. Vancouver viewed that as the proper way
19 to go and, of course, it made absolute sense to
20 me.

21 Q And why is that?

22 A Like, why wouldn't I take it over? For me to have
23 taken it over, Mr. Commissioner, I would have had
24 to do the following: I would -- I would have to
25 decide that in the absence of any evidence that

1 Vancouver's Missing Person Unit were incompetent,
2 I would -- I would have to tell my new partner
3 agency that I wished specific resources to take on
4 a job that they already had a unit for and that
5 had that expertise. So I would be potentially
6 then drawing in Mounties and new City resources
7 that did not have expertise in that situation,
8 pushing out people who did have an expertise. I
9 mean it never came up. I don't know what they
10 would have thought of me if I had suggested that.

11 Q What role did Jim McKnight play with respect to
12 confirming whether or not women had gone missing?

13 A Jim McKnight, of course, beyond being an
14 exceptional investigator, has one of those
15 personalities that you cannot not like him and
16 want to co-operate with him. So he -- he was
17 connected with both Dan Dickhout from Missing
18 Persons Unit and Dave Dickson. And it's well
19 documented where he's arranging to talk to
20 Dickson, I believe, every week and is following
21 and working with them to monitor that side of it.
22 You have to think of me as I'm classically a
23 manager. I'm up above the investigation ideally.

24 Q So we've spent some time dealing with women
25 missing from Vancouver. Did you have anything in

1 place to deal with women that were missing from
2 other RCMP detachment areas in the province?

3 A Not as well. We did -- it was obvious to us in
4 the early days that we needed to do a lot of work,
5 and so the what was happening in the here and now,
6 we needed to make sure others knew they were
7 responsible for that and were responsible for it.
8 And that was well accepted and documented with
9 Vancouver. From memory I believe we notified the
10 detachments, but -- but I don't think I did that
11 as well as I could have, like sort of really to
12 run home to people that you own these, but I need
13 to know about them. Of course, it would go
14 without saying that they would own them because
15 they'd be reported to the various detachments.
16 How closely and effectively they were being
17 investigated, I was not watching that ball
18 properly or as well as I could have if I did it
19 now.

20 Q Now, I'm going to take you back to the Vancouver
21 situation for just a moment. And does something
22 happen in the summertime of 2001 with respect to
23 Vancouver's dealing with the reports of missing
24 women?

25 A Yes. The -- in and around the 14th of August it

1 becomes a watershed moment for us where as a
2 result of -- and there's sort of some buildup, Mr.
3 Commissioner, but it is on that date that we're
4 concerned because there seems to be additional
5 missing turning up. And we were aware -- Jim
6 McKnight had documented in his report three
7 historic ones from '94 and '92. Now, one of those
8 he's either written down the date wrong or was
9 told the date wrong because she actually was not a
10 historic, but in our records Jim's thinking at
11 that time that's what she was. But it left the
12 problem of why were we still learning about
13 historic missing, you know. And as a result of
14 that Margaret Kingsbury asked Sylvia Port, who
15 you've heard about, for a report. And Sylvia Port
16 produced a -- and I'm at the end of -- I'm giving
17 you my belief of this -- gave her a printout that
18 had hundreds of names on it, because in British
19 Columbia we -- we never categorize missing people
20 as sex trade workers, so the only way you would
21 know is if the family told you that they had a
22 connection with the Downtown Eastside and that
23 they were involved in the sex trade work. If they
24 didn't tell you, then the only way you could know
25 would be to go back to every missing woman and

1 make inquiries of the detachment and/or the
2 family. So I don't know how that's changed, Mr.
3 Commissioner, but -- but it's certainly something
4 that I believe is important that we deal with,
5 because we were left in the situation where --
6 where once Margaret Kingsbury did that, she
7 identified some potentials, asked Sylvia Port to
8 do further ViCLAS CPIC inquiries, and on the 14th
9 suddenly learns that there are potentially 9 more
10 women that we did not know about. That -- that
11 changed everything for us at that moment.

12 Q And I'm going to take you to that --

13 A Yes.

14 Q -- in a moment, but as we go through the
15 investigative strategies, if you can move to the
16 third investigative strategy, please, that you
17 were developing during the course of your
18 involvement in this assignment.

19 A Sorry. Which paragraph would that be?

20 Q 57.

21 A Okay. Thank you. Yes. So -- so 57 then is
22 the -- and remember, Mr. Commissioner, when I --
23 when I say that these are all occurring
24 simultaneous, that this is occurring in our
25 formative meetings. In January we're solidifying

1 all of this. So -- so with Behavioural Science's
2 advice and the lab's advice, we realized that we
3 need to go back and review the murders of sex
4 trade workers. And you see the list: Attempts
5 abductions, assault causing bodily harm,
6 aggravated assaults and -- and sex assaults. So
7 we're focusing on our target group, which is --
8 our victim group. Target group is the wrong way
9 to say it. Our victim group and the men who've
10 done these things to them. And we kept throwing
11 in hitchhikers. It's just to be ultra cautious
12 that we weren't missing something.

13 Q And so you're dealing with a number of exhibits
14 throughout the course of this initiative?

15 A Yes. Once we started on this initiative -- and
16 remember, Vancouver Police start on it in January.
17 It took longer for us to get started. Margaret
18 Kingsbury had to really go in and refine where
19 were these cases and who held them and where would
20 we go, because, of course, the City is lucky
21 because they're all at one spot. We're going to
22 need to go out through the PDs and the
23 detachments. So we need to organize that, and
24 that took a bit of time to organize all of that,
25 how we would do that.

1 Q Let me ask you this: Forgetting about the Pickton
2 trial for a moment, do the DNA initiatives that
3 you were taking advance Project Evenhanded's work
4 in any way?

5 A Oh. Well, yes, they did. They did some amazing
6 things. I mean Paul Verral by himself, who later
7 would receive a chief's commendation and a
8 commendation, I believe, from our deputy for the
9 incredible work he did at that time, he identified
10 five both fingerprints and I think DNA that
11 advanced murder investigations. The -- that
12 review that we did brought in an additional 13
13 killers of sex trade workers. I've mentioned
14 that. But it also brought in 130 violent sexual
15 assaults in and now we had those pool. Eight of
16 those would be serial rapists. So that work is
17 all in there. And, additionally, in -- as we went
18 through the -- or the alley murders that I've
19 talked about, we pulled exhibits and those
20 exhibits went to the lab. And we pulled suspects,
21 and what happened is in December the lab developed
22 and made a match that we had a new serial killer
23 that had been identified through DNA on the bodies
24 of two of the valley victims. We -- as we moved
25 into the late part of 2001, early 2002, we were

1 frantic. We knew we had an active serial killer.
2 So we were frantic to move a whole multitude of
3 streams forward. One of them was that we decided
4 we would -- we would immediately draw in the best
5 suspects from the bundle of murders in the valley
6 and the bundle of murders from the alley, and that
7 encompassed potentially 11 murders. We drew out
8 23 of the top suspects from each pool, like
9 together 23, and we started a DNA initiative to go
10 out and get cast-off from them. That initiative
11 would lead us to identify the killer of the valley
12 and we would pursue him until his death.

13 Q From the valley or the alley?

14 A I'm sorry. The alley. We were never able to --
15 the DNA in and on the bodies of victims was not
16 enough to bring us to charge. We pursued him with
17 every investigative technique the police have.
18 And when he died, one of our people was there with
19 him trying for a dying declaration and he wouldn't
20 give it.

21 Q So if I can take you, then, to paragraph 67 and
22 the fourth investigative initiative.

23 A Yes.

24 Q Can you describe that, please?

25 A So -- and, again, these initiatives, remember, Mr.

1 Commissioner, are happening at the same time, so
2 as soon as we recognize the problem that -- that
3 had stymied Project Amelia, then we -- I believe I
4 mentioned it. On the 17th we have Peter Samija
5 and then the lab manager was a man named Tom
6 Stimson. Both of them recognized that the -- the
7 DNA legislation was an impediment, not a help and
8 so both of them worked with us to -- to create
9 work around us that would allow us to succeed.
10 And so this is where they -- they agreed that they
11 would take the -- the biological samples that we
12 collected from families and the Cancer Agency and
13 they would create a missing person DNA bank solely
14 tied to BC, solely tied to our investigation. And
15 they created that. That was not without its
16 problems too.

17 Q Now, Sergeant Adam, you've already referred to the
18 coroner's issue and the found human remains that
19 we see at paragraph 68. And you've also referred
20 to some of the issues and challenges that you have
21 with respect to the SIUSS computer system, so I'm
22 going to move you right to the seventh
23 investigative initiative, which related to how you
24 brought all this together and the drafting of the
25 operational plan.

1 A Yes.

2 Q And if you could just walk us through that,
3 please.

4 A So as we -- as we came to recognize these issues,
5 we then moved to the idea -- and, again, I -- I
6 call them phases, but really two of them are
7 streams that are co-existent, and that is -- that
8 is the culling of the division and Vancouver
9 for -- for the correct DNA. So there was just
10 that belief that we now knew that as we had stood
11 in -- as the police had stood in 2000, they really
12 had one goal standard. Now we believed we could
13 build a much larger pool. And I've talked to you
14 about that. So there was that initiative and that
15 was underway. And then -- and then, of course,
16 there's the identifying and prioritizing of
17 suspects, of which Mr. Pickton was a Priority 1.
18 Mr. Pickton remained an absolute Priority 1 even
19 when his DNA was developed in I believe roughly
20 April of 2001. In no way did that lessen him as a
21 person we were interested in.

22 Q What do you mean DNA developed?

23 A They had -- they had gone back and wanted to
24 develop -- they being -- sorry -- Paul McCarl and
25 Coquitlam. And we were involved too because we

1 were visiting Coquitlam as well. But everybody
2 wanted Pickton's DNA to be developed, and to get
3 it they went back to some bandages that an officer
4 had collected as cast-off at the hospital after
5 the '97 stabbing.

6 Q And sorry. I interrupted you. You were
7 describing developing of the Pickton DNA?

8 A Oh. Sorry.

9 Q And where that fit.

10 A Well, it was developed and it was recognized --
11 and I don't remember the date -- that it was not
12 Pickton, but --

13 Q What was not Pickton?

14 A That Pickton was not the killer -- sorry. Pickton
15 was not the killer of the valley victims. That
16 meant nothing to us. He remained full-on suspect
17 to us. But the problem was, Mr. Commissioner, is
18 that by this time we're starting to realize that
19 there's many Priority 1s and that the more we
20 looked, the more we're getting. So that had to be
21 our first step.

22 Q Now, if I can ask you to take a look at Tab 46 in
23 the big binder.

24 A Yes. I see it.

25 Q And tell me this: What does an operational plan

1 like we see behind Tab 46 mean to you as the team
2 commander here?

3 A Well, it probably means different things to
4 different people. Prior to this file, all I ever
5 was was an investigator. So even on the Grewal
6 investigation that I talked about, it was a
7 massive investigation. When it was finished, it
8 was 53 banker's boxes worth of information went to
9 Crown, a hundred thousand interceptions, but the
10 actual operational plan was written by my boss,
11 like the budget and what have you. So I think
12 like an investigator. What I care about is are we
13 doing the work and are our people together. But
14 obviously this now meant that there needed to be a
15 formalization of that. So if you track the
16 records, you see Geramy Field and I making sure we
17 were in sync, that we both believed our
18 investigation needed to do the same things. And I
19 ask her to make sure that her management are
20 comfortable with what we're going to be doing.
21 And they very clearly were because they were
22 resourcing it. They knew it was happening. So
23 the operational plan evolved and then needed to be
24 formalized and signed by lawyers and cost sharing
25 and things like that. But from my perspective,

1 we're a task force and we're working. I have to
2 say I actually didn't care what they did and when
3 they signed it. Unless I wasn't going to get
4 things, it's irrelevant to me. So -- and I know
5 people would think differently. If you're upper
6 management, you may think this is the most
7 important thing in the world, but my thing is it's
8 a side show as long as we're operating. So
9 anyway --

10 Q And were you operating?

11 A We were operating.

12 Q Now, you've included at page 2 -- or included at
13 page 2 of the operational plan -- and you need not
14 go through it because you've described it already.
15 You've described some of the objectives?

16 A Yes.

17 Q That's right? And is the purpose of the
18 operational plan to justify any resourcing that
19 you're going to be seeking?

20 A It is a way now. And it is important. It's a way
21 of formally -- the two police departments being
22 able to formally agree that this works for them
23 and then they'll need to decide how they'll cost
24 share it and then eventually resource it. But --
25 but this commission needs to know that neither the

1 management of Vancouver Police or the management
2 of the RCMP when we needed resources ever stopped
3 getting us them because there wasn't an
4 operational plan. They responded as I needed them
5 to respond.

6 Q And when you're referring to the "operational
7 plan", you're referring to this Tab 46?

8 A Yes. This is the operational plan.

9 Q Did you give Sergeant Field an opportunity to
10 review the operational plan?

11 A Yes. I e-mailed her my concept of what it would
12 look -- and it was fairly close to this -- and
13 asked if she would take a look, did she like it,
14 did her managers like it.

15 Q And if I could have you look, please, at Tab 44 of
16 the big binder.

17 A Yes.

18 Q That's a briefing note?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And if you can just describe the purpose of having
21 this document prepared and what you were hoping to
22 gain from this.

23 A So this -- there had been the -- letting the
24 public know that -- that -- we were calling
25 ourselves a review team, by the way, and we

1 want -- I wanted the public to think of us as a
2 review team. We were not a review team. But
3 given that our initiatives all drove around DNA, I
4 was terribly afraid that if the media found out
5 what we were doing, then we're -- we're educating
6 the killer. We're basically putting a big red
7 flag saying we are coming after you in this way.
8 So I really wanted -- and I deliberately wanted
9 the public misled as to what we were up to. So
10 the -- the -- this was the acknowledgment that
11 there was a review team, that it was going to take
12 a long time. It was an acknowledgment that new
13 missing persons fell within, would be investigated
14 by Vancouver and/or the detachments, and that's
15 what this was all about. And I need to say this
16 is not my document. My actual contact with this
17 is very, very brief with Geramy Field over some
18 e-mails and probably some phone calls.

19 Q Now, sergeant, just before we break for lunch, I'm
20 just going to take you through to a couple more
21 documents if you wouldn't mind, please. And if we
22 can go to Tab 49. And, again, this is another
23 briefing note, June 6th, 2001.

24 A Yes.

25 Q If you can just let us know what you're doing at

1 this particular time in the investigation?

2 A I just need to read this for a sec. So the -- so
3 it's dated, of course, the 6th of June and I'm
4 obviously letting my management and the VPD, I
5 would assume, know this. So the first paragraph
6 is that -- that we are underway and that we've
7 already gone through 39 of the files, 8 homicides,
8 31 sex assaults, and we found potential suspect
9 DNA. So that -- and those members, Ted is -- Ted
10 Vanoverbeek, Maggie is Margaret Kingsbury and
11 Nicole was Nicole St. Mars. And they're letting
12 me know that we now are confident that that
13 initiative will bring us both suspects and suspect
14 crime scene DNA. So it's going to work is what
15 they're telling me. So they've been working the
16 Lower Mainland. And then the second paragraph is
17 obviously Vancouver -- the numbers of sexual
18 assaults that occur to sex trade workers and
19 actually occur in the City of Vancouver are
20 astronomical. They're phenomenal. And the
21 problem there is that they weren't -- they're not
22 categorized as sex trade worker. So what you had
23 is -- is three, five thousand files that needed to
24 be gone through rapidly to see if it touched on a
25 sex trade worker and then those needed to be gone

1 through in better detail to pull out suspects and
2 crime scene DNA. And, of course, this is like the
3 epicenter of what's happening. What we did to try
4 and make that more effective is we tried to do the
5 most recent and move backwards if that makes
6 sense.

7 Q And just so I understand it, the purpose of the
8 briefing note is to advise your upper management
9 about the activities of Project Evenhanded; is
10 that right?

11 A That's right. Document where we are. And do you
12 see here issues? Mr. Commissioner, there was --
13 it turned out that sex assaults are mandated to be
14 retained for 75 years, but we were actually
15 destroying the exhibits. Like, it's -- I mean
16 it's obviously a disconnect. So we became aware
17 of that and we eventually -- well, not eventually.
18 We gave that to upper management. They needed to
19 change RCMP policy on retention of exhibits.
20 There was a problem with offender -- convicted
21 offender DNA. Mr. Commissioner, it was new and
22 without the victim judge or justice ordering the
23 obtaining of it, then the -- the legislation
24 simply wasn't doing what it needed to do. And Jim
25 Lucas was really trying to work with -- you know,

1 with Crown counsel and everybody to try and bring
2 that together, so that just became another thing
3 we were trying to fix. Wayne Clary, our file
4 co-ordinator. I can't express the problems we had
5 with SIUSS, the computer problems. I think that
6 would just need to be a very distinct
7 conversation. And, of course, we're thinking of
8 how will we get SIUSS out. And here I'm advising
9 them that I'm going to be going away for extended
10 annual leave. Basically what I'm saying is our
11 people know what they're doing. I mean I had
12 phenomenal people. These weren't people that --
13 that needed me there to keep them working. And
14 their experiences as homicide investigators at
15 least rivaled my own. I mean I was extremely
16 lucky with who I had, and in point in fact the
17 work they did through that summer is quite
18 amazing.

19 Q Now, you've prepared a document that we see at
20 Appendix E of the overview, Project Evenhanded.
21 Appendix E of the overview?

22 A Yes. I have it.

23 Q And that's a document that you created?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And what is that?

1 A I went through our file to try and give -- to go
2 through Evenhanded's file and actually document
3 everything that we did in a sequential way, pull
4 it all together. Mr. Commissioner, that document,
5 just talking about the work, not including the
6 work, would run hundreds and hundreds and hundreds
7 of pages. This was my effort to sort of capture
8 some of the key things to address. I know there's
9 been a lot of concern that we showed no urgency,
10 we showed no care for -- concern for the safety of
11 the women, that we just sort of were blindly
12 stumbling around reviewing old files, and this is
13 just a document to give a sense that some of that
14 is just not true.

15 Q And so what you've done is you've -- it looks like
16 chronologically you've gone through some of the
17 items that you've observed in parts of the
18 Evenhanded file?

19 A Yes. And each one of these would mean something
20 to me and I believe would mean something to the
21 commissioner.

22 MS. WINTERINGHAM: And, Mr. Commissioner, this is probably if
23 it suits you -- I'll just tell you one more thing.
24 At Appendix F we've included some maps and you've
25 heard some evidence about the alley killings and

1 the valley killings, but just so that you have an
2 idea about where those were occurring.

3 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll stop there.

4 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Thank you.

5 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 1:45.

6 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:29 P.M.)**

7 **(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 1:49 P.M.)**

8 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

9 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

10 Q Sergeant Adam, if I could please have you turn to
11 paragraph 55 of the overview. Do you have that
12 before you?

13 A Yes, I have.

14 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Now, can you describe in the context of
15 paragraph 55 what projects Evenhanded was doing
16 with respect to --

17 THE REGISTRAR: We need your microphone on, please.

18 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

19 Q Thank you, Mr. Giles.

20 Can you please describe what was happening
21 with Project Evenhanded and the Provincial
22 Prostitution Unit in the spring of 2001?

23 A Yes. In one of our earlier briefings you'll see
24 that I was given the assignments to see what the
25 Provincial Prostitution Unit -- it's a unit with

1 the RCMP Major Crime -- and what could they do to
2 help us. They would appear to have an expertise
3 in that area. What -- what ended up happening is
4 that on May the 9th there was a -- a meeting
5 with -- with -- throughout the Lower Mainland with
6 the key people who were interested in this issue,
7 and, of course, the Provincial Prostitution Unit,
8 I believe Surrey had a prostitute -- well, they
9 did and we had already engaged them to make sure
10 that they would go through their inactive sex
11 trade workers to see if any were missing. So we
12 were very concerned about the missings and very
13 concerned about the totality of the problem. So
14 what had happened is the Prostitution Unit also
15 was -- there were two officers from New
16 Westminster, Jennifer Fraser, as she was then, and
17 Judy Robertson, and they on their own initiative
18 had -- had really gone out and made connections
19 with the -- with the women working the strips in
20 New Westminster -- or the strolls, I guess, is the
21 right way to say it, to the extent that the two
22 groups were actually -- the ladies would give them
23 their pictures and now they were talking about
24 potentially getting some biological material
25 willingly against the sort of dreaded potential

1 that they would disappear, because the awareness
2 of the risk at that time throughout the Lower
3 Mainland was sky high. No one was deluded that
4 there wasn't a danger. So I was invited there,
5 brought them up to speed on what we were doing,
6 and it was an effort to make sure we were all
7 connected and that Evenhanded was hearing what we
8 needed to hear.

9 Q And what did you take away from that meeting on
10 May the 9th, 2001 to assist you with your
11 investigation?

12 A Well, what I took away from it is that these
13 things were underway. They knew about us and, you
14 know, we would continue to deal with them later.
15 As the -- as it crystallized that there were these
16 large numbers of missing, then I would go back to
17 the Provincial Prostitution Unit. I'll use the
18 term target hardening if -- that means that you
19 want your victim group to be as aware and safe as
20 they can be because they're not -- they're not
21 really able to quit because of the drug addiction,
22 is the way I understand it. And I have to say
23 that, you know, everything I know about the sex
24 trade is -- you know, like, is secondhand from
25 other officers. I'm not in any way -- have I ever

1 worked with sex trade workers or would ever
2 consider myself knowledgeable about that. So the
3 concern then is are we doing everything we can as
4 the police to make sure people know their risks
5 and are there things -- you know, there was the
6 buddy system and all of those types of
7 initiatives, which I believe the women had created
8 to try and look after each other.

9 Q Now, you have throughout your testimony referred
10 to a number of different individuals who end up on
11 Project Evenhanded. And if I can ask you to turn
12 to Tab 2 of the big binder.

13 A Yes.

14 Q And at page 1 of that document.

15 A Yes.

16 Q If you can take us through individuals 1 through
17 10 on that list.

18 A Certainly.

19 Q And just give us a sense of who it was that ends
20 up on your team.

21 A Corporal Kingsbury, I think I've mentioned her,
22 Mr. Commissioner, a very seasoned homicide
23 investigator, exceptional memory, exceptional
24 thoroughness, like nothing I've ever seen. And
25 she also on top of that experience was at ViCLAS,

1 so she had that intimate knowledge of their
2 systems and how to find things we needed to know.

3 Q And this is likely self-explanatory, but we see a
4 start date column and we see Corporal Kingsbury
5 coming on to your unit. It looks like November
6 21st, 2000?

7 A Yes. Now, she was still with -- trying to
8 understand how we operated can be a bit tricky
9 because she actually remained as a secondment to
10 us. What Christine Wozney did, who was the staff
11 sergeant in charge of ViCLAS, who was incredibly
12 helpful and incredibly keen to get this project
13 rolling -- in many ways it sounded like there had
14 been lots of talk and lots of plans, and that's
15 what they told me is, "Well, we've talked about
16 all these things before, but we've never done
17 anything." And I believe Christine Wozney really
18 wanted to give us the ability to move forward, so
19 she was -- she gave us two great resources:
20 Margaret Kingsbury and Nicole St. Mars. So
21 they -- they actually were secondments to us, but
22 I never gave them back.

23 Q The next two people you have referred to
24 throughout your testimony this morning, and that's
25 Little and McKnight?

1 A Yes. Those are Vancouver Homicide people, very,
2 very skilled and very knowledgeable. And I need
3 to say that -- that my knowledge -- these are
4 people that will bring as much knowledge, maybe --
5 each one of us would bring a different perspective
6 and extra little pieces that the whole made us
7 strong.

8 Q And then you've got -- you've already referred to
9 Wayne Clary?

10 A Yes. Corporal Clary was a senior investigator, a
11 seasoned homicide investigator, and very, very
12 intelligent, very good at his job.

13 Q And then we have Vanoverbeek?

14 A Ted Vanoverbeek came to us out of, I believe,
15 Burnaby, but he had been in Maple Ridge. Again,
16 very seasoned, very common sense, and very
17 thorough investigator.

18 Q And how was he brought on to Project Evenhanded?

19 A He was just -- he was added, you know, to try and
20 complement -- I'm a believer that I would rather
21 have 10 high, high quality people than 50 people
22 who are not high quality because you will end up
23 spending all of your time trying to deal with
24 people who might not do things thoroughly or might
25 not understand what you're trying to do and you

1 end up managing. These were people who did not
2 need managing.

3 Q You have three more individuals listed there, 8,
4 9, and 10, Hooper, Verral and Oger. We've heard a
5 little bit about Verral from the Vancouver Police,
6 right?

7 A Paul Verral was a senior -- he had been in ident.
8 And if anybody who knows ident officers, they're
9 very detailed oriented, incredibly valuable. This
10 was a person who threw himself into this job and
11 there would be times that he would -- we would go
12 to help him and there would be a large room
13 stacked with boxes and he just was chugging along
14 through that. And then we would -- we would come
15 in and feed him some of our energy and then once
16 he was full of energy, we'd all go away to do
17 other things and just leave him go and his
18 performance was astounding.

19 Q And what about Carol Hooper?

20 A Carol Hooper was ViCLAS, and what happened is
21 Nicole St. Mars went off on maternity leave, so
22 that was a replacement.

23 Q And then finally Brian Oger?

24 A And sorry. Carol Hooper is also a very seasoned
25 investigator. Brian Oger, what had happened is --

1 I'm not sure of the date exactly, but I believe it
2 was sometime in May. Mr. Commissioner, you've
3 heard about SIUSS. Well, the only person we had
4 who knew how to use the system and really fix the
5 broken pieces -- because that's what we were
6 trying to do, fix the broken pieces, the links and
7 confirm the data. Well, that person was the
8 Vancouver data entry -- database administrator, a
9 very specialized job. And, unfortunately, it
10 worked out that she could not come to the task
11 force and that left us with no one who knew how to
12 use the computer process. Now, we were using
13 paper that partially backed up the computers.
14 The -- the City investigates things in binders.
15 The Mounties, we do it in Tip Boxes with file
16 folders, but -- so McKnight and everybody, they're
17 working out of binders. But the loss of access to
18 SIUSS was clearly significant and they hired Brian
19 Oger and they gave him training in how to get into
20 the system. And he started to be utilized as our
21 one person who really was able to work in SIUSS,
22 and he would work in and out of SIUSS and,
23 therefore, was working at the City because we were
24 trying to get that product to our Surrey
25 headquarters.

1 Q So what we see from the list behind Tab 2 is 10
2 individuals that were working on Project
3 Evenhanded?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you described this morning about the support
6 that you drew from other agencies in order to
7 assist you in the investigation?

8 A Yes. Not listed here, obviously, are the three
9 resources that -- well, sorry. Now Verral is
10 listed, but -- but Trish Keen and Alex Clarke are
11 amazingly dedicated people. The City gave us
12 great people and they were working along with
13 Verral through their records. We had Jim Lucas
14 from the DNA. He was fully engaged. The lab was
15 engaged. You know, just trying to move ahead.

16 Q Were you satisfied with the state of your
17 resourcing in the spring of 2001?

18 A I was with a -- with our -- with no effective
19 computer system. And we were trying to get it,
20 Mr. Commissioner. Believe me, I was trying to get
21 it. But without that, I guess the best way I can
22 describe it maybe in a simplistic way is I was
23 afraid that we might be looking for a needle in a
24 haystack. And being a Saskatchewan person I'll
25 say this: If you bring in a whole bunch of people

1 stamping around kicking up dust, you don't find
2 that needle. You need to make sure you can trust
3 the work of the people. And I had people I could
4 trust and so I was comfortable. I had the right
5 people working very hard. Later I would realize
6 that -- that we needed to expand, but the actual
7 work accomplished -- and it's documented by this
8 group of people -- is very impressive.

9 Q Can I take you, please, to Tab 43 of the big
10 binder?

11 A Yes.

12 Q So it's Tab 43 and that's a memo dated May the
13 2nd, 2001?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And it's from Superintendent Killaly and it's got
16 a long distribution list. What's the thinking
17 behind this document being produced and then
18 provided to various -- the various individuals on
19 the distribution list?

20 A This is to advise really all -- you know, the
21 province that -- that we have this project going
22 with Vancouver Police and it's going to encompass
23 the comprehensive review of -- now, they have 31
24 missing sex trade workers, which would be --
25 they're including 3 new. And it's going to be

1 tied to a review of ViCLAS of solved and unsolved
2 sex trade workers and hitchhikers. So really it's
3 repeating for the division what we're up to and
4 then it's explaining to them why we're going to be
5 looking at -- you know, at files for DNA as well
6 as suspects. I see that it articulates out
7 that -- that Constable Clarke is busy working in
8 Vancouver and there was more than her, although
9 Trish Keen I'm not sure, you know. You would have
10 to check with the City on her records of when she
11 was in and out and working for us, but I know she
12 was. And that we're going to build those into
13 the -- now, they say the current crime scene data
14 bank. The reality is that that's going into the
15 investigative bank. And then they'll analyse this
16 and then we're going to do sex assaults, et
17 cetera. And there's going to be a list of cases
18 and that people are going to be visiting your
19 detachment.

20 Q And then on page 2 of this document we see a list,
21 the distribution list?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And those are the provincial detachments, I take
24 it, where Evenhanded would be attending?

25 A Yes. And I know that we -- we also notified the

1 PDs because I know that we were at Delta and what
2 have you. So I know we were also visiting the
3 municipal PDs.

4 Q Now, if I could ask you to look at Tab 45.

5 A Yes.

6 Q This is an agreement dated May 1st, 2001 between
7 the BC Cancer Agency, the City of Vancouver and
8 the Royal Canadian Mounted Police?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Can you describe the thinking that goes into the
11 preparation of this particular document?

12 A Yes. What happened is -- is Geramy Field
13 approached Dr. Matisic from the BC Women's Cancer
14 Agency and by -- by agreeing that we would not
15 abuse her trust in allowing us the DNA -- the pap
16 smears, that rather than by warrant, we would give
17 agreement to not misuse that and to only use it
18 for a certain purpose. And Dr. Matisic, quite
19 frankly, stuck her neck out, trusted us, and this
20 was the agreement that allowed us to have that.

21 Q And then attached is Schedule A to this agreement
22 where there's a list of women that are named?

23 A Yes. That's correct.

24 Q And I take it from what you've described that it's
25 these samples that the Cancer Agency is providing

1 to you for your investigation?

2 A Yes, they are.

3 Q And if you could go, please, to Tab 47.

4 A Yes.

5 Q Now, on May the 16th, 2001 you attended a
6 presentation by Sergeant Field?

7 A Yes. At Vancouver -- I believe it was at 312 Main
8 from memory.

9 Q You've had an opportunity to review the document
10 behind Tab 47?

11 A I have glanced over it, yes.

12 Q And what was the purpose of the meeting on May the
13 16th, 2001?

14 A This was to fully update their upper management.

15 Q Their being?

16 A Oh, sorry. Vancouver Police's. And some of this
17 you'll see is -- are sort of a repeat of earlier
18 briefings and documents.

19 Q And can you describe what the response was to
20 VPD's upper management to Sergeant Field's
21 presentation on May the 16th?

22 A They were completely supportive.

23 Q Now, before the lunch break you took us to a
24 briefing note dated June the 6th, 2001 and in that
25 briefing note you refer to "pending annual

1 leaves"?

2 A Yes.

3 Q What steps, if any, did you take to address
4 staffing requirements of Project Evenhanded in
5 anticipation of the pending annual leaves?

6 A Serious Crime Section, Staff Sergeant Don Rinn did
7 free up two resources to assist us. And then --
8 and then we were -- we -- we were able to hire a
9 student to work on -- on data entry and assisting
10 us with what have you. So -- now, those resources
11 were only going to be available as their other
12 duties required. Like, if there was a homicide
13 that Serious Crime had to support, then obviously
14 we would lose them. And I -- I don't have exactly
15 what -- how much they did for us. I don't know
16 that.

17 Q When you went on your leave, who took your
18 position?

19 A Wayne Clary took my position, but you need to
20 understand that we operated -- there needed to be
21 an identified leader, but we operated so tightly
22 as a team that everything is discussed. So input
23 is coming from everyone, you know, and then we
24 move forward that way.

25 Q Now, before the lunch break you referred to

1 something called a watershed moment?

2 A Yes, I did.

3 Q And if you could go, please, to paragraph 76 of
4 the overview.

5 A Yes.

6 Q And if I could have you turn to Tab 50 of the big
7 binder, please.

8 A Yes.

9 Q And you had an opportunity to review that typed
10 note at Tab 50?

11 A Yes, I have.

12 Q And this is a July 4th, 2001 entry. What can you
13 say about -- you can put that note into context in
14 terms of the investigation.

15 A Certainly. Our -- I've already mentioned, Mr.
16 Commissioner, that our primary investigator, Jim
17 McKnight, also was the conduit with Vancouver
18 Police and particularly with the Missing Persons
19 Unit and Dave Dickson, who stands alone as a
20 person who -- who seems to know the most. Well,
21 he does know the most about the Downtown Eastside
22 situation at that time. So this particularly --
23 it's got 11:15 Dave -- or sorry -- Constable
24 Dickhout of the Missing Persons Unit is contacting
25 Jim McKnight to talk about the fact that they're

1 still conducting follow-up on McDonnell, Crey,
2 Wolfe and Jones. So that means that they're still
3 moving through those set inquiries to confirm them
4 as missing. They have not reached confirmation
5 yet. So that -- it's a piece of work underway,
6 but that they have got them into SIUSS. That
7 really doesn't help us much because, of course,
8 SIUSS lives in the city and is of no value to us
9 right now. But he also advises him that -- and
10 these are Jim's typed notes. And he notes 1992
11 that there's three new files that they're aware of
12 and these would be historic missing as they're
13 documented. Now, if you look at Patricia Rose
14 Johnson, that date of 1994 is actually in error.
15 Now, whether that's an error that Jim McKnight
16 made in writing it down and typing it or whether
17 that's an error that -- that Sergeant Dickhout
18 made in giving it, you would have to look at, I
19 guess, what Sergeant Dickhout had. But that
20 actually should have been 2001. But the net
21 effect is that Jim believes now there have been
22 three additional historic missing that have --
23 that have surfaced. That drives towards the issue
24 of why aren't -- why are there still new people
25 surfacing.

1 Q Now, we can see in the middle of this particular
2 note just before the 0705 entry?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And with respect to Jim McKnight's follow-up, can
5 you comment on that, please?

6 A Yes. So he's stating the situation that there's
7 further follow-up to be done by Vancouver Police
8 before they forward these files. Or sorry. They
9 will probably be forwarded to me in the near
10 future. So as soon as those inquiries are
11 completed, then we -- we would get them and then
12 we would add them to our list. And we would
13 also -- as it evolved, there was the \$100,000
14 reward and the poster and now we're going to be
15 starting adding -- that's going to get renewed
16 in -- in the late summer and we need to have it
17 complete. So that means we're going to be adding
18 more people.

19 Q Now, in terms of the three women that we see
20 listed in McKnight's note --

21 A Yes.

22 Q -- how does that relate to the watershed moment
23 that you described earlier in your testimony?

24 A Well, what ends up happening is that on the -- on
25 the 13th Jim McKnight --

1 Q 13th of August?

2 A Yes. I'm sorry. On the 13th of August Jim
3 McKnight comes to see me early in the morning. I
4 think at around seven. And his concern is that
5 we're -- we need to renew the reward and be
6 current on the poster. But -- but in that
7 discussion we end up with the fact that, well, now
8 we seem to be finding there are additional missing
9 we didn't know about and that generates a team
10 meeting and then -- and we operate as a team, so
11 that would mean all of the people we've talked
12 about. I don't remember if Brian Oger --
13 sometimes he was out with us and sometimes he was
14 in the city because of SIUSS, but -- well, you
15 would have to ask him. But, in any event, all of
16 us now are sort of okay. This is something that's
17 right in front of us and now we need to sort it
18 out. And driving from that then was Margaret
19 Kingsbury's getting ahold of Sylvia Port, asking
20 for a list, which then brought back that -- I
21 believe it was a computer printout with hundreds
22 of names and this is then the 14th. Margaret
23 Kingsbury looks through that list, recognizes that
24 there's additional work to be done on some of
25 them, has Sylvia Port do a computer run. Sylvia

1 Port comes back to her and says, "Well, there's
2 nine." That generates a lot of concern by us and
3 then you're going to see us rapidly moving through
4 the documents; that we need to sort that out.

5 Q And if you can just go to paragraph 79 of the
6 overview and put that into context for us.

7 A I can. Now, '79 uses the term "operational plan",
8 Mr. Commissioner. The operational plan would
9 actually be written on the 29th. What this --
10 what this needs to look at is our operational
11 tactics, that we're now shifting to -- to the
12 focus of how big is this problem and then really
13 is it current, if that makes sense. So this six
14 additional resources, I'm not going to ask for
15 that. That is actually going to happen two weeks
16 from now.

17 Q Now, in that paragraph, paragraph 79, there's
18 reference to something that McKnight does on
19 August the 16th. Can you explain that, please?

20 A So Jim McKnight's job now was to meet with -- with
21 Sergeant Dickhout and to ascertain exactly where
22 were they on these inquiries that -- to bring it
23 to confirmed. And then so he would go and meet
24 with them and write all that out, log all of that.
25 And I believe there was a document about that.

1 MS. WINTERINGHAM: And I'm going to turn to a meeting that
2 takes place on August the 30th, 2001, but I just
3 want to take you off for a moment to deal with
4 some issues with respect to Paulson that occur
5 before the August 30th meeting, and if you
6 wouldn't mind taking a look at Tab 54.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: 54?

8 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

9 Q 54, yes. And that's an e-mail from Bob Paulson
10 to -- you're copied on it. It's to Mike Kurvers
11 and Neil Duncan?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And if you can just comment -- I appreciate I'm
14 taking you out of context, but just comment about
15 the thinking behind this particular e-mail.

16 A Well, this flows from the fact that -- that Bob
17 Paulson, who's the sergeant in charge of Southwest
18 District where the valley murders are being
19 investigated, has been obviously talking with me
20 and -- and I have not known that that skull had
21 been recovered, so he wants to make sure that I
22 know about it. I believe either in this or in a
23 conversation I have, this is where he's driving
24 forward the -- you know, the potential that that
25 skull is the bridge between women disappearing in

1 the mountains and now the killer has gotten
2 better.

3 Q And this is the Jane Doe skull that you referred
4 to this morning?

5 A That is the Jane Doe skull, yes.

6 Q And then quickly if you can take a look at Tab 55
7 and then 56. This is really just a side note.
8 And if you can comment on the information that Bob
9 Paulson is providing there.

10 A Yes. This is -- Bob Paulson is one of the top
11 investigators I've ever known. He had thought up
12 or decided that if you ran a CPIC offline search
13 on -- on a missing and also, by the way, a
14 suspect, that if you ran searches on either side
15 of them in time -- I mean if a policeman stopped
16 us and they run my name, then that's obtainable
17 from an offline search, but by running the
18 searches within five minutes before and after, of
19 course, if I'm with someone, they also will be
20 searched. So it just was a great investigative
21 thought that we might find one of our suspects
22 with a victim or we might find the victim with
23 other people at certain times. And we -- we
24 tasked it up, which means we made an assignment to
25 do it, and then Wayne Clary followed through with

1 it.

2 Q And I'll take you back now to the lead up towards
3 this August 30th meeting. And if you could take a
4 look at the small binder, which is the daily logs.
5 And if you could turn to August the 28th, 2001.

6 A August the -- progress to date?

7 Q That's right. And then there's the big heading
8 "Original Reward Poster"?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And this is a document that appears to have been
11 created by Jim McKnight?

12 A Sorry. Yes. I see it at the end.

13 Q And on the August the 28th entry, can you describe
14 what's going on at that particular time?

15 A So we are now -- we have -- right at -- right on
16 the 14th, I believe, or even the 13th we knew --
17 we knew we needed to find out where we were on the
18 missing. We needed to find out how we would
19 articulate to upper management that there could be
20 so many missing that we didn't know about because
21 truly our systems were not working properly. You
22 shouldn't have to do an investigation into finding
23 out a simple thing like who's missing. So we
24 needed to have those answers and then we needed to
25 know what we were going to ask from the City and

1 from the RCMP. So this is all us trying to get to
2 where we had -- we could speak intelligently to
3 upper management to articulate both the problems
4 and solutions and resourcing.

5 Q And if you can briefly just walk us through the
6 documents so that we have an indication of what
7 issues were alive to you at this August 28th
8 meeting.

9 A Yes. So the first part is that the reward poster
10 was a live issue and we're bringing -- that's just
11 an overview of where we are; that there's, you
12 know, the 31 missing. The -- the second line that
13 there's 1348 tips generated by -- by Project
14 Amelia, that the -- they've looked at 31 of these
15 binders. Maybe I'll take a second.

16 Unfortunately, because of the pressures that they
17 were under with incoming information, Project
18 Amelia, and the lack of sufficient support for the
19 computer system, and I think in many ways the lack
20 of effective instruction from the computer maker,
21 SIUSS maker, Project Amelia had these -- had their
22 computer system. It should have been backed up
23 with something in paper. So of these 1348 tips
24 that lived in Project Amelia, each one would have
25 lots of data, but the actual paper, there was 115

1 of those files that there just was no paper, so
2 there was nothing to back up what was in the
3 computer system. And, again, I need to say that,
4 Mr. Commissioner, after I left Evenhanded, I
5 became a bit of a troubleshooter for the division
6 on mega files, and these problems of the effective
7 roll out of computers are very alive issues and
8 they're alive issues that are hurting policing
9 right today as we sit here. Sorry.

10 Q You've described the tips?

11 A Yes.

12 Q There's a heading there, "Homicide File Review"?

13 A Yes. I think, though, maybe we should stop for a
14 second. So at this point you see the Priority 1,
15 Priority 2, Priority 3. So at that point Sergeant
16 McKnight and -- felt that there were 31 Priority 1
17 suspect files, that there were 60 Priority 2s and
18 Priority 3s 101. But that list was growing. He
19 completely underestimated that -- the significance
20 of when you started to -- the more you looked and
21 the more you learned about the bits and pieces
22 that were in there and you actually started to
23 look at the men, then they ended up looking worse
24 and worse, being worse and worse. And so, as I've
25 articulated, the pile of monsters keeps growing.

1 So this is the -- that homicide review, this is
2 the work now that has been completed. So there's
3 been a review of 43 investigations of murder,
4 attempt murder, and the exhibits have gone to the
5 lab. We're looking at the unsolved murders of sex
6 trade workers. Done. Exhibits are at the lab.
7 And then you -- then we follow up reports of the
8 results of those. This is an excellent overview,
9 this next page, 2 of 6, Mr. Commissioner, which
10 really sets out the work that we've talked about
11 in the City; that Constable Verral through his
12 reviews, he actually found suspect fingerprints
13 that had not been properly searched on AFIS, the
14 computer. And I believe he actually solved a
15 murder through that work. The City would know the
16 details of that, I believe. And then one moves
17 into sexual assaults. He talks about the people
18 who have been assigned. And he explains that
19 they're not -- they're classified as types, so
20 aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault with a
21 weapon, but they're not categorized by who the
22 victim is, as I said, and, again, that slowed us
23 down. So we had to -- and he explains -- and then
24 he talks about the fact that -- that with all the
25 work that's done, there's still probably 15 to

1 2,000 files need to be examined. And he
2 guesstimates that 150 to 200 will relate to us and
3 then -- and then below that is an example of the
4 work that the City -- well, the next couple pages
5 really talks about what has been accomplished.
6 And I think if you look at that, you'll see why --
7 why I believe they've been working hard.

8 Q Now, if you can take us to page 4 of 6.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And the heading there is "Additions to the
11 Missing"?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And what was your understanding about the
14 information that was coming into McKnight and how
15 he was dealing with that information?

16 A So in that first paragraph he's now estimating
17 there are approximately 25 cases that are similar
18 to the missing sex trade worker profiles and now
19 there's the recognition that these are potentially
20 from 1985 to 2001. That means that these could be
21 happening right now in real time. He explains
22 where they're from. He's going to be contacting
23 all of the various agencies and obviously the
24 City. Now, he's talking about additions to the --
25 adding them to the reward poster. And he talks

1 about that, but we will be talking with the City
2 about us engaging front line now to back up the
3 Missing Person Unit. Clearly the Missing Person
4 Unit cannot do what we need done and so you're
5 going to see in that meeting on the 30th where
6 we're -- we're changing our entire tact over the
7 next number of months.

8 Q So if you can turn, then, to Tab 57 of the big
9 binder --

10 A Yes.

11 Q -- called "Management Briefing, Project
12 Evenhanded, August 29th, 2001"?

13 A Yes. I created this document.

14 Q Okay. And if you can explain what the purpose of
15 this document was and what you hoped to achieve by
16 it.

17 A I wanted to -- to make sure that both the upper
18 management of the RCMP and upper management of
19 Vancouver Police knew exactly what our situation
20 was and how we were going to proceed forward. I
21 hoped to gain their support in doing that. And I
22 intended to ask for resources. And then -- and
23 obviously both departments would need to be
24 financing that and the resources that I was going
25 to be asking for were going to be their very best

1 people, and that meant that they were going to
2 have to believe in me enough to draw out very
3 valuable resources away from valuable important
4 jobs and give them to me. So I needed to make
5 sure that they believed in us. So that's what
6 this document is designed hopefully to do.

7 Q And if you can just take us to the first page of
8 that where you talk about the brief history and
9 then the investigational problems?

10 A Yes. So -- and these -- the purpose of this is to
11 drive discussion. It's just for them to -- that
12 we would generate discussion about it. So it
13 is -- it's really the kinds of things that, Mr.
14 Commissioner, you've already heard. This was how
15 it flowed, you know. And you can kind of see that
16 I'm actually moving away now from the valley.
17 You're not hearing much about the valley anymore,
18 but it still remained an area of our concern.

19 Q You have on page 2 of this document -- you talk
20 about the major premise of Project Evenhanded.
21 And can you walk us through that a little bit?

22 A Yes. At -- as we entered this investigation with
23 the belief that the -- the killer had stopped,
24 then we really needed to be careful -- as I've
25 said before, we needed to be careful in every step

1 we took. And -- and this statement here, "For
2 there to be an investigational chance of success
3 that the suspect has to have entered police
4 awareness," to give you an example, a concrete
5 example -- and I have to confess. With the media
6 I've simply stopped getting it or watching it, but
7 I understand there's a young man up --
8 up-country -- sorry -- who is now charged with
9 three murders, and for the purpose of our
10 discussion, if that were true, that man's a serial
11 killer, but he -- again, from what I understand,
12 that he was seen as a very ordinary person and I
13 don't believe he had a criminal record. Now,
14 again, that's the limited part that I know. If
15 that -- that person -- our initiative was not
16 going to catch that person. If somebody can stay
17 below the radar, then they can stay below the
18 radar and that wouldn't have caught them. What
19 would have caught them, I believe, of course,
20 would be the proactive, which we'll talk about
21 later. So our belief was that -- now, at this
22 time we're still believing in bad tricks. Our
23 thinking would evolve there, Mr. Commissioner,
24 because, of course, when we talked to experienced
25 serial killer investigators, Spokane, Green River,

1 they tell us it's not that easy; that they might
2 well be good tricks and -- and if they're good
3 tricks, that makes the job even harder. We -- we
4 believed from Behavioural Science that potentially
5 they would have committed major sexual assaults as
6 a build-up. They may have murdered a sex trade
7 worker where there would be a body. And, again,
8 hopefully there would be DNA found there. And we
9 believe that our offlines with the missing women
10 might bring us to who was in contact with them at
11 various times. So that would be a way to
12 investigate. And we had -- and that final
13 statement is just a statement of our -- where we
14 were.

15 Q Now, if I can move you to where -- the page that
16 says "File status phase 1".

17 A Yes.

18 Q You see that?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And what I'd like you to do, please, without going
21 through this, because you've reviewed it already,
22 is simply identify the recommendations that you're
23 making to the group that you're presenting this to
24 about what you've learned during the course of the
25 investigation to date.

1 A All right. So this is briefing them on what we've
2 already accomplished, so that obviously we've
3 looked at 107 murder files. And some of those,
4 Mr. Commissioner, are -- are going to be a box of
5 documents. Some of them, like Pauline Johnson,
6 are many, many banker's boxes containing 300
7 persons of interest, like suspects that we have to
8 make sure we're collecting. The Terry Driver
9 investigation, I believe it had 1200 suspects in
10 it. So some of these are a day's work. If you
11 look at our timeline, like Coquitlam with the
12 Pauline Johnson and the Anderson attack, when
13 we're reviewing those, there are investigators
14 back and forth to Coquitlam. So -- so we've done
15 all of those things. The province-wide review has
16 covered 200 files. We have -- by now we only have
17 12 left. So I know that Deputy Evans thought it
18 was a massive job and that we weren't properly
19 staffed, but we did it, is the point, and we did
20 it where we all -- we knew what we had.

21 Q What do you mean by that, "We knew what we had"?

22 A Well, because, of course, because we're such a
23 small, tight seasoned group, we weren't out of
24 control by virtue of the fact that whilst we
25 didn't have a computer system, we were organized.

1 And I don't believe that if we had brought in
2 lesser investigators without the tools that -- to
3 control the file, we would have lost control of
4 the file.

5 Q So you write "New issues from review". Do you see
6 that page that says that?

7 A Sorry. And obviously -- I apologize. When I talk
8 about the murders, obviously those are Mounties.
9 And if you look below, you see what the City has
10 done. Sorry.

11 Q That's fine.

12 A And then below is what remains. So, by the way,
13 this 300 files in Vancouver, Mr. Commissioner,
14 what we -- what we felt we needed to do as a
15 priority -- we had multiple priorities, but we
16 needed to finish the suspect reviews because --
17 because, of course, if the DNA turned out to be
18 the base, we needed to finish that piece of work.
19 And what ended up happening through September is
20 we threw Evenhanded resources over with Paul
21 Verral to try and complete that, but we just
22 couldn't wait months and months.

23 Q And that's what you did?

24 A We did do that.

25 Q Okay. If you can go to the new issues for review

1 on the next page. Just tell us there about the
2 recommendations that are made.

3 A Well, what we realized is that -- is that the new
4 DNA technology meant that throughout our province
5 very serious offences up to murder had -- needed
6 to be reviewed. All of them needed to be
7 reviewed. Their exhibits needed to be reviewed
8 because, of course, if you did that, you could be
9 solving immense numbers of crimes. So that was
10 just to alert both departments that -- that this
11 needed to happen. The retention policy I've
12 talked about is it certainly does no good if -- if
13 we, as the police, are retaining files for 75
14 years, but we're destroying the exhibits in 5 or
15 less. None of that is good. And then I recommend
16 a review of the homicides, just what I've said,
17 and the amendments. So those are common sense. A
18 missing persons DNA bank. So by now we have 24
19 samples of the missing. Now, they've put them in
20 this temporary bank that we've talked about, but
21 remembering that that temporary bank would be of
22 zero value if -- if there was a DNA hit in
23 Ontario. Like, these were never going to be
24 connected. The human remains, Mr. Commissioner,
25 maybe I should spend a second with that. The

1 Coroners Services had -- had nowhere to -- to
2 develop the DNA from their found human remains.
3 It could not go into even the investigative bank.
4 To this day -- to this day -- well, maybe I can
5 give you a concrete example. Jane Doe, the Jane
6 Doe skull whose DNA has been profiled and is in
7 our local investigative bank, she's a woman that's
8 never been identified. Corporal Kingsbury was
9 able to go to the United States and get her DNA
10 entered in the -- in America. If any DNA is ever
11 submitted by parents in America, they will know
12 that that's Jane Doe. They will find their
13 daughter. She was able to go to Interpol and Jane
14 Doe's DNA is in Interpol. And if any of the
15 Interpol countries -- if the parents ever went,
16 they would find Jane Doe. In Canada, if the
17 parents went to -- and were even able to give
18 their DNA, she does not live in a searchable data
19 bank other than BC and other than manually, and I
20 just pray that you can fix that. Sorry.

21 Q Now, you make some recommendations with respect to
22 that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q To VPD management and RCMP management?

25 A Yes.

1 Q If you can take us to number 3, please, on the
2 next page.

3 A Oh, sorry. And I should just explain. When --
4 DNA is a federal issue, but I believe that we
5 could jury-rig it within our province, and that
6 if -- if the Coroner Service would take lead on
7 it, then we could create our own DNA bank. It
8 would be better than nothing. And I had two
9 thoughts on that. I contacted BCIT and they were
10 prepared to do it. And then I -- I actually
11 started contacting, like, the Rotary Club to see
12 if they would finance it. But in the end Terry
13 Smith in the Coroners Services really wanted to
14 take the lead on it. I believe what happened,
15 though, is it's still a federal issue and I think
16 that the Province just felt it wasn't there, so
17 that has never happened.

18 Q Can you go to number 3, the newly identified
19 missing sex trade workers?

20 A Yes. So -- so, of course, that's that watershed
21 moment and by now, as we started to really look
22 for it, Mr. Commissioner, we were up to 22
23 additional missing. Now, as we looked for those,
24 8 of those would be found, but as we looked harder
25 and harder, even though we were finding missing

1 people, we just kept adding to it. And -- and I
2 believe from the Evenhanded documents they would
3 reach a stage where Evenhanded would eventually
4 identify a potential of 250 missing that could fit
5 our -- our criterion, and we put massive efforts
6 into searching for those and would find a hundred
7 of those alive. And I believe our final number
8 that met our criterion was roughly 69, and it
9 would move over the years. But if I may say, the
10 fact that the police would have to have a task
11 force to try and discern that kind of stuff means
12 something's not right.

13 Q Now, you've drafted a recommendation based on the
14 issues that you were facing in mid-August. How
15 did you come up with this particular
16 recommendation as to how to address your
17 situation?

18 A Yes. What -- what I wanted to do is -- and -- and
19 I realize -- like, I will tell you that in
20 hindsight with my experience now, I don't feel I
21 approached this quite the right way. I believed
22 that the first thing we need to do -- needed to do
23 is confirm if these people were truly missing, and
24 so I asked for resources to do that work. Now --
25 now with what I've gone through and everything, I

1 should have -- I should have asked for more and I
2 should have been -- now, it evolved, my thinking
3 about the proactive team and what have you, but --
4 but we ended up spending a month, month and a half
5 trying to confirm whether or not they were going
6 missing. When -- as that was confirmed, I was
7 also then trying to figure out what do we do about
8 it. Having lived through this, I would certainly
9 have done that piece differently, and we could
10 talk about that at some point.

11 Q But at the time what you were seeking was
12 additional resources?

13 A Yes. I wanted to move from one solid step to the
14 other. I didn't want to be Chicken Little and me
15 telling everybody that these people were
16 definitely missing when they hadn't been properly
17 searched for and so I -- I took it segmentally.

18 Q You also make some recommendations with respect to
19 the suspect issues that were facing Project
20 Evenhanded in mid-August or -- sorry -- the end of
21 August, 2001?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And there you're simply seeking further people to
24 assist with Project Evenhanded's efforts?

25 A That's correct.

1 Q And you're also asking for a computer?

2 A Yes. Yes.

3 Q Investigative aids?

4 A Yes. People to support the computer. We needed
5 to get the computer up and running, so this is a
6 request for more resources to keep moving forward.

7 Q And, again, under the phase 3 collection of
8 suspect DNA samples, you have a recommendation
9 there and a request again for resources?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Can you explain that, please?

12 A I can. So we -- we still believed that -- that
13 the DNA initiative could bring us to one of our
14 killers, one or more. Always remember we're
15 always thinking there's multiple because that's
16 the safest way to think. The -- the issue became
17 that -- that the courts have allowed us under
18 search and seizure rules that if a person discards
19 something, they no longer have an ownership of it.
20 And so we're collecting cast-off DNA, Mr.
21 Commissioner, as you well know, kind of through
22 the back door. But I was going to be doing it on
23 a level that -- unprecedented level and at a level
24 that -- that the courts were going to be looking
25 at as to whether this was an abuse. And I was

1 very -- well, I should back up a bit. We had a
2 discussion early with senior management of Major
3 Crime and -- and one of my superiors, Inspector
4 Vandewalle, really felt that if we were still
5 collecting our suspect pool and we were out there
6 collecting cast-off DNA, then it isn't like we
7 were just bringing it in and searching and
8 disposing of it. We were now creating a bank
9 where we're keeping DNA that is not judicially
10 authorized. So his belief was, and I agreed with
11 him, we needed to collect our suspect, get our
12 suspect pool done urgently. That's why it was so
13 urgent to us. And then we would get the cast-off
14 initiative going and we would be able to test them
15 against that complete pool. We felt that for long
16 range court, for potential rulings, that was the
17 safe way to go. This is now addressing -- we are
18 getting close to being ready to do that, but in
19 order to go out on those drives to follow
20 suspects, get cast-off, I felt that that didn't
21 require specific file knowledge. That just
22 required a surveillance team. And if we drew in
23 teams and resources from across the province, then
24 we could hit multiple suspects. We could really
25 hit that hard, because if we didn't hit it hard,

1 you see the rationale; that we needed to front end
2 load that, so that's why I was thinking that way.

3 Q And then if you can take us to the phase 4 and the
4 requirements that you were advising your superiors
5 about at that time.

6 A I was -- I just wanted them to be very aware that
7 when we got those DNA hits from the connection --
8 now we have a suspect -- that that only started
9 the investigation. We were going to have to
10 parlay that into knowing whether or not they
11 could -- they did our murders of our missing. I
12 know that -- that people talk about eliminating
13 suspects, but -- but the reality is -- and this
14 runs counter to what police think. Against the
15 potential of multiple suspects coming in and out
16 of a 20-year, 22-year time frame against all of
17 those murders, the concept of eliminating someone
18 actually is completely not real. A person could
19 have gone into jail, been a killer, come out,
20 continued to kill, et cetera, et cetera. So
21 the -- the elimination of someone was going to be
22 an extremely difficult thing and we were going to
23 have to use a variety of tools to do that. And
24 those tools were going to be expensive and it was
25 going to take a lot of work.

1 Q And then if you can just summarize what it was.
2 And your last page you've got a summary and a list
3 of points there as you're addressing your upper
4 management, and if you can take us through that
5 before we break.

6 A Okay. So I'm saying the investigation is going
7 well; that we are building the suspect pool. We
8 know that is working and we know it has value and
9 it will have value to policing; that the way we
10 are we can't do everything we need to do; that
11 even phase 2 the -- assessing the suspects could
12 take upwards of six months. That's not good
13 enough. Phase 3, doing the DNA, you couldn't even
14 put a time limit. And so I'm asking for six
15 additional resources. And then I want them to
16 think about -- and we parked the idea that if
17 we're going to front-end load that cast-off
18 initiative, that means I'm going to be tapping
19 into surveillance units, burglary units, VPD
20 Strike Force. I'm going to be tapping into a lot
21 of resources.

22 Q And then finally you've got a cautionary note and
23 a final recommendation. What's that about?

24 A I just needed them to know that this was not
25 finished and that don't think that I'm going to be

1 contained inside these walls. It was very clear
2 I'm coming out of those walls.

3 Q Now, if you can just quickly go to Tab 58. And
4 this is a note that you prepared?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And we have a list of individuals at the top of
7 that note?

8 A Yes. Those are the people who were at that
9 meeting.

10 Q And who's that?

11 A Well, Gary Bass.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: I think -- I don't think it's necessary for
13 him to read it. We know the names are there.

14 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

15 Q Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

16 So you've got a number of individuals that
17 are participating in this meeting that you've just
18 described?

19 A These are either high-ranking officers in both
20 departments and some of the highest quality
21 investigators in Serious Crime and, of course, my
22 primary investigator and myself.

23 Q And after the break I'll have you describe what
24 the response was from senior management, but just
25 in terms of this note being in front of you right

1 now, there's reference to Brian Oger's report.

2 Can you describe that, please?

3 A Certainly. Brian Oger was part of our team. And
4 what -- what occurred is that he obviously was
5 aware -- and I say obviously because -- because
6 when you look at his records, he's looking at the
7 new missing and also he refers to them in his
8 report, the serial killer report. So Brian was
9 aware of what we were doing and -- and I suppose
10 I'll put it this way: I had forgotten how all of
11 those things evolved, but I did look at his
12 statement with Deputy Chief Evans and he had a
13 much better memory of it than I did. He
14 remembered coming to me in that interim between
15 the 14th and obviously the 23rd when he started
16 his report, and the way he describes it is that he
17 had obviously come across the Rossmo report, which
18 was, I believe, a tip inside SIUSS, but he would
19 need to be asked about that. But he wanted to do
20 research on that Rossmo report and on serial
21 killers. And the way he describes it is me
22 telling him -- and I do not remember this -- about
23 me telling him, "It's a good idea. Go ahead", is
24 the way he says it, I believe, from his statement.
25 So he did go ahead. And then sometime before --

1 well, obviously right in and around this, we're
2 moving ahead, our team, on all of these
3 initiatives I talked about. So we're all working
4 together to figure this out. And then he writes
5 the serial killer report. And he must have given
6 it to me because I had it that day and then I took
7 it to Keith Davidson because I wanted to confirm
8 could we count on the cooling off period? Could
9 we trust that perhaps the killer was coming in to
10 the Downtown Eastside to a definable area? Was
11 that person really coming in there every six to
12 eight weeks, because if that were true, then that
13 gave us the opportunity to go after this person in
14 a different way, because we were going to try
15 cast-off. But against -- against this pool of --
16 growing pool of Priority 1's, there had been
17 nothing that they had done -- like, they -- nobody
18 had been caught in an abduction attempt or -- so
19 there was no triggering event that we had seen.
20 So this gave us a new path in. And I did use that
21 data and it formed the core concept of the
22 proactive team. But the understanding of how to
23 use a proactive team evolved through meetings I
24 would have with Lori Shenher and Geramy Field and
25 all of the people in the City who understood it.

1 So that's ahead of us, I guess.

2 MS. WINTERINGHAM: This is an okay time for the break, Mr.
3 Commissioner.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

5 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes.

6 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 2:59 P.M.)**

7 **(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 3:24 P.M.)**

8 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

9 MS. WINTERINGHAM:

10 Q Sergeant Adam, if you could please describe the
11 reaction to your August 30th meeting from VPD and
12 RCMP upper management?

13 A I felt it was very positive. They simply --
14 Deputy Unger and Deputy -- or Assistant
15 Commissioner Bass, they moved to get me what I was
16 asking for.

17 Q And if you can take a look at Tab 59, please.
18 This is the e-mail from Al Macintyre dated
19 September 5, 2001?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You've had a chance to look at that?

22 A I have.

23 Q And what was your understanding in terms of
24 freeing up resources for you in Project
25 Evenhanded?

1 A So this is a communication to all the Lower
2 Mainland that explained to them that there needed
3 to be bodies and looking for people to kick in.

4 Q And do people start coming to Project Evenhanded?

5 A Well, Montreal Police Department actually lent
6 British Columbia a body, Detective Roy, and he
7 arrived -- it's hard for me to know whether it was
8 in September or October. What ended up happening,
9 Mr. Commissioner, is -- is, of course, 9/11
10 occurred right after this, and I know for the RCMP
11 they simply had to pour resources into that.
12 There was -- you know, it was a major threat and
13 I'm certain the City must have, but, in any event,
14 we started getting resources. I would have to
15 look at the dates.

16 Q If I could take you to Tab 2 again, please.
17 That's the list of individuals.

18 A Certainly. Yes. I see it.

19 Q It's page 1 and 2 of Tab 2. Does that document
20 assist you in recalling when it was that
21 individuals were coming to Project Evenhanded
22 after this meeting?

23 A Yes, it does, Mr. Commissioner. I keep wanting to
24 call you my lord. Mr. Commissioner, the -- Dan
25 Roy came from the QPF on the 19th, but a key

1 figure that we got was Don Jarvis. Don Jarvis is
2 a -- was a very well respected senior detective
3 from Homicide, and we really put him in charge of
4 a team of people to find those missing or find out
5 if they were homicides. And -- and, again, I -- I
6 need to reiterate to you, Mr. Commissioner, the
7 people that I needed had to be of the highest
8 level. And it wasn't like they were being lent to
9 me. I wasn't giving them back. So they were
10 going to create holes in Homicide for VPD, holes
11 everywhere that affected the City's ability to
12 investigate a homicide or to investigate crime.

13 Q Now, had you told upper management how quickly you
14 needed people on Project Evenhanded?

15 A They knew I needed them immediately, as soon as I
16 could get them.

17 Q And without taking you through the entirety of
18 that list behind Tab 2, we see a number of people
19 that come on to Project Evenhanded and we see an
20 associated date for each individual, right?

21 A Yes, we do.

22 Q And is that what your team looked like as at
23 February 5, 2002, that list of individuals?

24 A Oh, sorry. By February 5?

25 Q 2002. And it goes on to the next page.

1 A Yes. Sorry. Yes. That would be all of us by
2 2002. From memory, I thought 32. Sometimes I see
3 the figure 34.

4 Q Now, I'm going to ask you some questions about
5 investigative streams that you've referred to
6 throughout your testimony.

7 A Yes.

8 Q And if I can have you go to paragraph 85 of the
9 overview.

10 A Yes.

11 Q You've explained for us already the concept of the
12 streams, what you were thinking in your mind at
13 the time?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And if you could please take us through paragraph
16 85 and really the first -- and the number isn't
17 appropriate, but one of the individual streams
18 that's identified at paragraph 85.

19 A So that investigative stream, if we were drawing a
20 timeline chart, Mr. Commissioner, you would
21 remember that it literally started at the
22 beginning of January. There was the phase of
23 figuring out what, who to look at and then the
24 starting the doing of it, which I believe was
25 roughly in April, but we saw that it was almost

1 finished. But it wasn't finished in Vancouver.
2 Vancouver had so many target offences that -- that
3 Paul Verral needed help, remember, and I said that
4 we would -- we would -- we needed to really front
5 end -- well, not front-end load, but we really
6 needed to load up and allow that piece of work to
7 get finished, so that's that stream.

8 Q And at Tabs 52 and 53 of the large book of
9 documents. Tab 52, first of all. It's a memo
10 dated August 9, 2001?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Is this the sort of information that you were
13 receiving about the status of some of these
14 reviews that were underway?

15 A This one is directed to Superintendent Killaly.
16 Now, I was still on annual leave while this was
17 occurring, but yes. There was -- there was just
18 an ongoing stream of information. Margaret
19 Kingsbury is incredibly thorough.

20 Q And, again, we see another report at Tab 53?

21 A Yes. This is -- sorry, Mr. Commissioner.

22 Q So this is the sort of information that's coming
23 in to you?

24 A Yes. So this is on the 16th of August and it's
25 the file co-ordinator, Wayne Clary, updating me on

1 where we are and also highlighting that -- that
2 almost in one in four files that we're reviewing,
3 we're actually getting potential suspect DNA that
4 is going to advance our investigation, and
5 obviously other investigations because these
6 people are -- are going to continue to offend.

7 Q And just to finish off this stream, if you can
8 turn to Tab 73.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And you have referred to the review that was being
11 undertaken by Paul Verral?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And this particular report from Detective Phil
14 Little, again is this sort of the results of the
15 review coming to your attention -- or the status
16 of the review, I should say?

17 A Yes. I don't know the date of this, but Jim
18 McKnight is following the work of Paul Verral.
19 That became difficult because some of the older
20 files were on microfiche and the City, I believe,
21 from memory, only had one microfiche machine that
22 was being used throughout the day, so then we had
23 to try and juggle around and then it broke down.
24 There was -- I mean there was just always
25 little -- little hurdles.

1 Q Now, if you can describe the status of the second
2 stream at the end of the summer 2001, what that's
3 looking like. This is paragraph 87.

4 A Okay. Thank you. So that was obviously a
5 critical piece of our work as well. That was --
6 that was the -- the stream of profiling and the
7 Priority 1s, 2s and 3s, and what we did is we
8 ended up putting more resources into that to get
9 through that quicker, because we needed to get --
10 we needed to create the three bundles before we
11 could go back to the Priority 1s and then really
12 start to investigate them. You know, we needed to
13 have all of them together and then we would start
14 to look more closely at how we would deal with
15 them. So we had that. That needed to be done
16 along with the other streams. So they all needed
17 to be coming together at the same time.

18 Q And there's reference to Lucas in this particular
19 paragraph. Can you tell us about the significance
20 of him at this point in time in the end of the
21 summer 2001?

22 A Yes. So, as I mentioned to you before, Mr.
23 Commissioner, Jim Lucas was the provincial DNA
24 co-ordinator. Remember, he was the person who had
25 access to prison records and hopefully could --

1 could get -- go back to the courts and get
2 judicially-ordered samples from these prisoners.
3 Well, some of these prisoners, of course, were our
4 priorities and so we needed to get that because
5 that gave us the ability to move that DNA into
6 our -- into our pools. So just critical --
7 they're all pieces that are being pulled together.

8 Q And if you can take us through sort of the bullet
9 points in this paragraph about what other
10 information you're receiving at this particular
11 time?

12 A Certainly. So the -- beyond Project Amelia we had
13 reviewed the valley files for their persons of
14 interest. We had also -- as I mentioned to you,
15 the alley crime exhibits had already -- were
16 submitted by Constable Verral. And what ended up
17 happening, of course, is -- this is a bit in the
18 future, but Green River would then solve their
19 case. So you would get the fact that Gary Ridgway
20 -- we ended up with, I believe, 22 tips here in BC
21 of sightings of his involvement up here, so
22 obviously he becomes -- I mean he's a serial
23 killer, so obviously he's very important and
24 you'll see us pursuing and tracking that in -- in
25 December of 2001. And what happened is again

1 Margaret Kingsbury got Washington State to accept
2 all of our crime scene down in there so it could
3 be compared with Ridgway and with their crimes and
4 with their found persons. So we just didn't want
5 to miss if somebody was operating outside of our
6 borders. On the -- roughly mid-December our --
7 our -- we end up discovering the DNA match between
8 two of the victims from the alley, so then -- and
9 I describe, of course, that -- given what we were
10 facing, that we believed the killer by now is
11 active and now we have those two serial killers,
12 we thought our best approach was -- was to focus
13 on getting them together and getting their DNA.
14 Like, so if we could find that killer, then, you
15 know, very possibly that was the killer of the
16 missing right now. So that became a thrust. Not
17 our only, but our thrust. And that just
18 articulates the situation. We were able to bring
19 that down to 23 persons of interest and, of
20 course, as I've said, of those we would identify
21 the valley killer.

22 Q Now, with respect to the third stream -- and
23 you've already described this in some detail, so I
24 won't ask you to go through it again, but there
25 you're dealing with the familial DNA or trying to

1 ensure that you have DNA profiles for the victims
2 of the missing women from Vancouver, right?

3 A Yes. And, of course, now we've got just --
4 certainly in October we're -- we've engaged -- in
5 October we're engaging in the search and whilst
6 we're finding a number of -- as I said, we're
7 finding missings, but we're adding to the pile all
8 the time, if I can use it that way, so -- so we
9 needed to get out and get that DNA ready
10 because -- because we were going to catch this
11 person. Like, there was no doubt in our minds we
12 were going to catch them and we needed to be
13 ready.

14 Q Now, if you can take a look at Tab 78.

15 A Oh. Tab 78. Sorry.

16 Q In the big binder.

17 A Yes.

18 Q And this looks like the similar document that we
19 saw with the Cancer Agency. It's dated December
20 31, 2001?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And I take it that this is similar to what you had
23 described earlier this morning with respect to the
24 agreement that had been put into place between the
25 VPD, RCMP and the Cancer Agency?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And in the last page of this document behind Tab
3 78, again we have a schedule with a list of women?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And what's the purpose of having this particular
6 document available?

7 A This -- this again allows us the DNA, you know,
8 that we can get access to those pap smears. The
9 pap smears, of course, Mr. Commissioner, were only
10 step one. Once -- once that charge was laid, as
11 you well know, we have the continuity issue. So
12 ultimately those pap smears for court purposes you
13 would -- you would have to track back to find out
14 the nurse that took it. And we did -- we tried
15 all of that and where we could build that
16 continuity chain for court, that was good enough.
17 Often it wasn't, as you can well imagine, and we
18 ended up travelling the country getting familial
19 DNA because we had to be rock solid for court on
20 that DNA.

21 Q Another feature that you've referred to in
22 paragraph 89 of the overview, you referred to a
23 meeting in October of 2001 with respect to the
24 families.

25 A So I touch on the families and then I talk about

1 the coroners, but just the families?

2 Q Yes. If you could perhaps look at Tab 82. Oh.
3 Sorry?

4 Q Sorry. 62. 62.

5 A Yes. I see it's -- it's an overview of our
6 meeting with the families on the 14th of October,
7 2001.

8 Q Now, can you describe how this particular meeting
9 comes about and why it comes about?

10 A Yes, I can. When -- when Project Amelia -- it is
11 part of major case management, Mr. Commissioner,
12 that you stay connected with the families, that
13 they know what you're doing because it's important
14 that they -- they not feel abandoned in this
15 process. And -- and I was aware that there had
16 been a lot of upset and concern as we moved into
17 this project. Jim McKnight, as our primary
18 investigator, had -- as he took over started
19 contacting the families -- that would be of the
20 original 27 -- to try and let them know that he
21 was now the contact person. And -- and I had left
22 that with Jim, but as we moved into this new
23 situation in September, we were -- we were going
24 to be publishing major new lists, pictures, asking
25 for help. All of those things were going to be

1 happening. We were going to be almost doubling
2 the -- the pool of missing and -- and with people
3 who already had no faith in us and who had many
4 grievances they needed to -- to air with us. I
5 talked to Doug Henderson, who had been a key
6 player in the Air India investigation, and they
7 had had a somewhat similar situation where a very
8 disenfranchised victim group and the police needed
9 to both accept the criticism, hear it and then
10 move forward. So with his guidance, his
11 suggestion was call a family meeting, have
12 everyone there, listen to what they have to say to
13 us and explain as best we can -- and I say as best
14 we can because I'm still very concerned that if we
15 let the cat out of the bag that we are actively
16 after this person and are you going to use DNA
17 that we're educating them. So I was -- I was very
18 concerned to share too much because, very
19 reasonably, a lot of the families felt that the
20 press were far more sympathetic to them than the
21 police.

22 Q So did you lead this meeting? And it looks like
23 it's October 14th, 2001.

24 A Yes, I did.

25 Q And can you describe the number of individuals,

1 for example, that attended the meeting?

2 A Well, I have down roughly 50.

3 Q And what was the format of the meeting?

4 A It was at the Delta Hotel. There was a large
5 room, say about -- might not be this size, but a
6 large room. And the families came and I just
7 stood in front of them and really we talked
8 about -- listened to how they felt. I explained
9 major case management. I explained, you know,
10 that we were moving ahead.

11 Q In the third paragraph of this document you
12 describe the purpose of the meeting?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And how did you satisfy yourself through the
15 course of this meeting that you had fulfilled that
16 stated purpose?

17 A You're not -- if people very reasonably don't
18 believe in you, a lot of pretty words doesn't get
19 you where you need to go. You need to commit to
20 things and you need to show them you're going to
21 be there. What we did is -- is there was a couple
22 things occurred. We asked every family to -- to
23 fill out a questionnaire and an information form,
24 and I believe on that form -- I haven't seen one
25 for years, but we asked them for their thoughts

1 about anything. And some would identify suspects
2 or whatever concern they had. So we had those,
3 and we still have them somewhere. We also agreed
4 within the families that they would have their own
5 meeting without the police there so that -- that
6 they didn't feel hampered by us, and they did
7 that. And then from that meeting they also
8 gave -- we received lists of concerns and things
9 they wanted us to do. And, Mr. Commissioner, I
10 can assure you that those suspects that they
11 raised, questions they had, every one of those was
12 hashed out and every one of them was done and we
13 came back to the families. And I believe that's
14 all documented in our file.

15 Q When you say you came back to the families, what
16 do you mean by that?

17 A Well, the investigators were assigned to do the
18 work and then report back.

19 Q Was there another family meeting?

20 A Yes. There was another family meeting. Sorry. I
21 don't recall the date of it. It was in the fall,
22 I believe.

23 Q Now, you had testified that Jim McKnight had been
24 dealing with the families up until this point?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And after this meeting on October the 14th, 2001,
2 what, if any, resources did you put into place to
3 provide support to the families?

4 A As we built towards this meeting, Mr.
5 Commissioner, there was -- we had already had,
6 I'll say, Vancouver Native Liaison Freda Enns and
7 Marilyn Johnny. They were people that had a long,
8 long history with the situation, with the -- with
9 the Downtown Eastside, and I can say that they
10 were incredible resources for us and poured their
11 hearts and souls into -- into this until after I
12 left the task force after the trial. They were
13 great resources for us. And then I believe the
14 Victim Services in the person of I believe Andrea
15 Rolls became involved. Ultimately the person who
16 would really weigh into this for the Solicitor
17 General's office was Susanne Dahlin, and she was
18 amazing, like her desire to do what was right to
19 obtain money and what have you. We went through a
20 funny little stage where after this meeting -- a
21 lot of our families to even come to this meeting
22 was a hardship. They needed to travel. It was
23 costing them money. So it was surfaced to me that
24 I could get money for them through Workmen's
25 Compensation. I don't quite remember why.

1 Perhaps they were tied into victims of crime at
2 that time. So I -- I worked with them and I ended
3 up drafting a letter that these missing were not
4 missing. They were victims of crime. And much
5 like the lab, the government weighed in and
6 started helping the families with expenses and
7 what have you. And that really evolved. Susanne
8 Dahlin and Freda Enns, they eventually tried to
9 work up support packages because as we went to
10 trial, there was just going to be horrible stuff
11 -- oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. There was going
12 to be horrible things coming out and we needed to
13 be -- to be part of a bureaucracy, pretend you're
14 helping people. Sometimes that isn't actually
15 good enough. You actually have to be helping them
16 in real time, and so we needed to make sure that
17 when the news came of something horrible that
18 there would be somebody there readily available to
19 each person to help them. So we really -- there
20 was an entire initiative that evolved. So I think
21 we didn't do very well at the beginning, but I
22 think we recognized that and we really did try to
23 move forward. And I think that's -- if we dig,
24 that is all going to be available to the
25 commission if you needed it.

1 Q If I can ask you to please look at Tab 68.

2 A Sorry.

3 Q Tab 68 of the big binder.

4 A Yes. October the 22nd.

5 Q That's right. And you've had an opportunity to
6 see this memo before from Jim McKnight?

7 A Yes. Sorry. I'm just -- I need to read this. So
8 this -- yes. This is Jim McKnight advising
9 Inspector Boyd, filling in the -- so the Vancouver
10 Police are aware of what's going on. He really
11 highlights some of the concerns. They were upset
12 that -- sorry. The families were upset that
13 Vancouver Police have not taken any steps in
14 identifying new potential missing women. So that
15 actually was being done. They wanted to know what
16 the department was doing. And many referred to
17 providing the women -- so for security of the
18 women. They wanted to know that. GPS. There was
19 a bunch of ideas floated. And he says he realizes
20 that many of them are costly and potentially
21 doubtful, but they are concerned about new victims
22 going unnoticed or unreported. And so then he
23 observes that he's aware of Constable Dickson's
24 work and DISC and various other things. So it
25 is -- it's Jim McKnight alerting upper management

1 to make sure they're fully aware of these issues.

2 Q Now, he identifies two other issues in this memo,
3 but on the last page you can see that he's seeking
4 a response?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And can you explain that, please?

7 A Well, there's two things. He also -- at the very
8 top you'll see that he's asking if he could liaise
9 with Inspector Heed of Vice to find out what
10 exactly is the City doing to monitor and make sure
11 that the sex trade workers -- that there's
12 something being done about their safety. And
13 then -- and then down at the bottom:

14 That you advise the family members of the
15 complaint processes and the options available
16 for them to pursue a complaint against either
17 a police officer or civilian member.

18 So it's a good document that Jim McKnight is alive
19 to the issues and the City is not shirking its
20 responsibility in my mind, but simply to make sure
21 that they're aware of their role in this.

22 Q And based on your understanding of the
23 investigation, was there a response to this
24 request from Jim McKnight?

25 A Well, a response. So this is October the 22nd.

1 On October the 24th I'm already trying to
2 conceptualize what a proactive team would look
3 like, whether that option would be viable and how
4 would it work. So on -- I believe it's the 24th I
5 meet with -- we meet with Lori Shenher and Geramy
6 Field. Now, that -- shall I go into that meeting
7 or is that --

8 Q Yes.

9 A So that meeting, Mr. Commissioner, is driven out
10 of the fact that the families have now identified
11 for us things we had not known by virtue of the
12 fact that no one from the task force is with us.
13 And that was that there had been a private
14 investigative company that had been hired by some
15 of the families and what was that all about. So
16 we needed to meet with Geramy and Lori to hear
17 what was going on, like to ask them the questions
18 the families had asked us. But beyond that, there
19 was a second meeting where I met with them
20 discreetly, and I believe Dave Dickson was there
21 too. I'm not sure. So I have it documented. But
22 that was to pick their brains on, okay, if that
23 killer is coming here into a set area, is there
24 opportunity, like real opportunity to be there and
25 to catch them? So I -- in that one -- in that

1 meeting Geramy and Lori really fleshed out for me
2 all of the agencies that I would need to make
3 contact with, how would I do that. And it's
4 documented. And then -- and then I'd also
5 received Daryl Hetherington. She came out of
6 Vice, long time VPD high-quality officer. And she
7 became my liaison person with that. She also took
8 me there to meet people and to pick their brains,
9 and that was --

10 Q Took you where?

11 A Pardon?

12 Q Took you where?

13 A Oh, I'm sorry. To the Downtown Eastside, to VPD
14 312 Main to meet with all of these people. And I
15 believe I ended up meeting with some of the
16 agencies as well from memory.

17 Q Now, you've referred to Lori. Is that Detective
18 Constable Shenher?

19 A Yes. I'm sorry. Yes.

20 Q When was the first time that you met Detective
21 Constable Shenher?

22 A On the 24th of October, 2001. She had -- she had
23 already left and was away, I thought on stress or
24 something, when I first went down to 312.

25 Q And I'm jumping around a tiny bit, but just to

1 finish off this one concept of what you were doing
2 with respect to the family's concerns, if you
3 could take a look, please, at 71.

4 A Yes. I see this.

5 Q And this appears to be a meeting for November the
6 3rd, 2001. If you can describe that, please.

7 A So this is the meeting that -- that in our family
8 discussion the families felt they would have
9 absent our task force and then from that there
10 would be things they wanted us to do. And you can
11 see Freda Enns is there, Marilyn Johnny. I don't
12 know Edna Brass. Elizabeth Antunes was Victim
13 Services. And I had thought perhaps that was
14 provincial, but looking at this, I don't think we
15 had engaged the Province yet. It would be shortly
16 thereafter that they would get involved.

17 Q And throughout this particular document, there's a
18 series of questions and concerns?

19 A Yes. And you see the handwriting on it. Each one
20 of these is going to be assigned to someone to
21 address.

22 Q And just so I can understand how this document
23 ends up with you, we see some handwritten notes --
24 and I'm on page 3 of the document -- where it says
25 "Questions & Concerns" on the left-hand side?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And then we've got handwriting?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And is that your handwriting?

5 A No. It's not my handwriting. Maybe Wayne
6 Clary's. He would be -- Wayne Clary handed out
7 assignments. He was the person who gave jobs.

8 Q And so just so that I understand your evidence
9 correctly, you're saying that this -- these
10 questions and concerns were tasked out by Project
11 Evenhanded?

12 A Yes. I believe there will be documentation on
13 what we did with these.

14 Q Now, if I can just move you, before we finish
15 today, to complete paragraph 89 of the overview.

16 A Yes.

17 Q And in there you've referenced Margaret
18 Kingsbury's work with the Coroners Services and
19 the lab?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And there's a reference to David Sweet's "BOLD"
22 lab. Do you see that?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Can you describe what that was about, please?

25 A The Coroners Service, of course, Mr. Commissioner,

1 they had bones and -- and the forensic lab could
2 not -- I don't know how you'd describe it. I
3 would call it pulverize it or something. But,
4 anyway, they had -- the lab could not process the
5 bones. And I just remembered it's much more
6 complex than that because, of course, you need to
7 sterilize the -- you need to sterilize the bone to
8 make sure that you're not bringing outside
9 contamination, DNA contamination on something
10 adhering to it into the actual process. So Dr.
11 Sweet is a scientist who developed a way to do
12 that. He also was in charge of Canada's response
13 during the tsunami disaster. He's a very amazing
14 person. And so he engaged in getting that clean,
15 pristine DNA from the remains and then -- and I
16 call it DNA. Perhaps it's not. But that would go
17 to the lab for profiling. And I need to caution
18 you. I have a layman's understanding of all of
19 this, just in a -- you'd really need an expert to
20 fine tune it.

21 Q You've referenced something about the anthrax
22 scare in this paragraph. Can you describe that a
23 bit and how it's connected to the lab work?

24 A Yes. I think we all remember after 9/11 some
25 lunatic started mailing anthrax around. Well,

1 then that meant that white powder was needing to
2 be tested to make sure that this wasn't happening
3 here in Canada. And then, of course, that ties up
4 lab resources that -- and that emergency situation
5 is pushing our work back. So we're -- you know,
6 we're having to get past an existing capacity
7 issue with the new anthrax pressure and the fact
8 that Evenhanded, of course, is now creating
9 unprecedented need. And I tried to do that
10 throughout -- through giving money to the lab for
11 overtime, and then what they did with that money
12 is they spread it across the country and they were
13 able to move our work across the country to get it
14 done on overtime. That bumped us from a 4, which
15 means it's never getting done. I believe that we
16 were mostly up -- our familial DNA was a Priority
17 1. That meant 30 days. And I believe our suspect
18 got pushed to a Priority 2, but the documents
19 would give you, you know, sure answers to that.

20 Q And there's reference in this paragraph to
21 \$200,000. What's that all about?

22 A That's money that Gary Bass freed up for me to pay
23 for the lab to do -- to work overtime, to push
24 their capacity.

25 MS. WINTERINGHAM: Mr. Commissioner, we're in good time in

1 terms of his testimony and this is an appropriate
2 time.

3 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

4 MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Commissioner, just before we break, Miss
5 Winteringham has said a day and a half, and I
6 mentioned to her when we were scheduling that it
7 would necessitate that her client be stood down at
8 some point, and so I just want to tell you and
9 others here that, as you can see, Miss
10 Winteringham and I have changed places. I'll
11 follow Miss Winteringham for my questions. And
12 then I know Mr. Ward has suggested he would like
13 two days. The VPD have said two hours. So we'll
14 have -- you'll need to consider some time
15 allowances on the issue of Mr. Adam's cross. But
16 I wanted to let you know that Mr. Blythe is coming
17 Monday with his counsel, Mr. Greenspan, and that's
18 been arranged to accommodate Mr. Greenspan, who
19 obviously doesn't live here. And Mr. Blythe I'm
20 informed by Mr. Greenspan would be a day in his
21 chief. So it is sounding as though Mr. Blythe
22 will be two days. We've arranged for Mr. Rick
23 Hall, as you recall, and others, of course, will
24 recall is the officer in charge of the Coquitlam
25 Detachment. And he's arranged through the

1 courtesy of Miss Tobias for next Wednesday. And
2 because he is in retirement and has his own life
3 to lead, I would like to accommodate that request.
4 It's a reasonable one. And it's not fair to have
5 him set up to come and then be stood down and back
6 in, so what I'm saying is next Wednesday and
7 maybe -- hopefully the day Mr. Hall and then we
8 can just discuss Mr. Adam's continued evidence,
9 but I just wanted people to hear that so they've
10 got some idea of the planning involved.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. We'll adjourn.

12 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 9:30
13 tomorrow morning.

14 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:04 P.M.)**

15
16 I hereby certify the foregoing to be
17 a true and accurate transcript of the
18 proceedings transcribed herein to the
19 best of my skill and ability.

20 Kathie Tanaka, Official Reporter
21 UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

	PAGE
DONALD JOHN ADAM (for the Commission)	
In chief by Ms. Winteringham	2

\$	150 [1] - 123:2	110:13, 110:24,	312 [3] - 110:7,	6
\$100,000 [1] - 114:13 \$200,000 [1] - 166:21	1588 [3] - 60:18, 60:19, 70:25 15th [1] - 6:9	112:12, 113:20,	162:14, 162:24 31st [1] - 77:5	6 [2] - 122:9, 123:8 60 [3] - 26:22, 70:4, 121:17
,	16th [5] - 110:5, 110:13, 110:21, 116:19, 146:24	117:2, 119:5,	32 [2] - 40:14, 145:2 33 [1] - 47:22	62 [2] - 153:4 63 [1] - 74:20
'79 [1] - 116:7 '91 [1] - 14:5 '92 [1] - 82:7 '94 [1] - 82:7 '95 [2] - 14:7, 59:5 '97 [3] - 46:21, 73:17, 89:5	16th [5] - 110:5, 110:13, 110:21, 116:19, 146:24 17th [5] - 29:12, 36:8, 48:18, 52:18, 87:4 18 [1] - 77:25 19 [1] - 5:11 1971 [1] - 3:3 1978 [1] - 3:4 1984 [1] - 3:18 1985 [1] - 123:20 1991 [2] - 14:4, 51:2 1992 [1] - 113:10 1994 [1] - 113:14 1995 [3] - 12:1, 13:1, 14:11	123:20, 124:12,	34 [1] - 145:3 36 [3] - 61:10, 61:12, 65:2 374 [1] - 70:8 39 [1] - 94:7 390 [4] - 60:9, 60:23, 60:24, 72:4 3:24 [1] - 142:7 3rd [1] - 17:5, 17:6, 17:7, 17:13, 18:8, 48:2, 48:4, 48:8, 54:11, 163:6 3s [2] - 121:18, 148:7	67 [1] - 86:21 68 [3] - 87:19, 159:1, 159:3 684 [2] - 74:4, 74:5 69 [1] - 133:8 6th [5] - 31:12, 54:19, 93:23, 94:3, 110:24
0	1997 [5] - 5:11, 45:25, 46:11, 47:16, 72:19 1999 [5] - 7:3, 18:22, 20:2, 54:16, 77:15 19th [2] - 74:9, 143:25 1:45 [1] - 98:5 1:49 [1] - 98:7 1s [4] - 74:20, 89:19, 148:7, 148:11 1st [2] - 21:9, 109:6	148:21, 149:25,	2002 [7] - 32:8, 33:14, 46:3, 85:25, 144:23, 144:25, 145:2 2012 [1] - 1:1 21st [5] - 8:3, 21:23, 22:4, 22:6, 102:6 22 [2] - 132:22, 149:20 22-year [1] - 137:16 22nd [5] - 10:4, 32:8, 33:14, 159:4, 160:25 23 [3] - 86:8, 86:9, 150:19 23rd [1] - 140:15 24 [2] - 22:25, 130:18 24th [5] - 67:11, 67:14, 161:1, 161:4, 162:22 25 [1] - 123:17 25,000 [1] - 37:6 250 [2] - 37:8, 133:4 27 [6] - 17:12, 48:11, 76:8, 76:10, 76:14, 153:20 27th [6] - 17:18, 17:20, 17:21, 56:10, 68:2, 68:5 28 [1] - 27:6 28th [5] - 61:17, 67:14, 119:5, 119:13, 120:7 29th [2] - 116:9, 124:12 2:59 [1] - 142:6 2nd [2] - 67:3, 107:13 2s [2] - 121:17, 148:7	7
0705 [1] - 114:2 1	2 [19] - 9:21, 9:22, 63:7, 63:8, 91:12, 91:13, 101:12, 106:1, 108:20, 121:15, 122:9, 125:19, 138:11, 143:16, 143:19, 144:18, 166:18, 1:5 2,000 [1] - 123:1 20 [1] - 52:6 20-year [1] - 137:16 200 [2] - 123:2, 128:16 2000 [13] - 6:9, 7:3, 7:5, 17:2, 21:23, 22:1, 22:4, 39:6, 40:8, 43:14, 88:11, 102:6 2001 [46] - 12:22, 12:24, 17:21, 17:22, 29:13, 39:5, 44:4, 44:23, 48:18, 48:23, 56:9, 58:3, 58:9, 61:18, 74:9, 81:22, 85:25, 88:20, 93:23, 98:22, 100:10, 106:17, 107:13, 109:6, 110:5,	2002 [7] - 32:8, 33:14, 46:3, 85:25, 144:23, 144:25, 145:2 2012 [1] - 1:1 21st [5] - 8:3, 21:23, 22:4, 22:6, 102:6 22 [2] - 132:22, 149:20 22-year [1] - 137:16 22nd [5] - 10:4, 32:8, 33:14, 159:4, 160:25 23 [3] - 86:8, 86:9, 150:19 23rd [1] - 140:15 24 [2] - 22:25, 130:18 24th [5] - 67:11, 67:14, 161:1, 161:4, 162:22 25 [1] - 123:17 25,000 [1] - 37:6 250 [2] - 37:8, 133:4 27 [6] - 17:12, 48:11, 76:8, 76:10, 76:14, 153:20 27th [6] - 17:18, 17:20, 17:21, 56:10, 68:2, 68:5 28 [1] - 27:6 28th [5] - 61:17, 67:14, 119:5, 119:13, 120:7 29th [2] - 116:9, 124:12 2:59 [1] - 142:6 2nd [2] - 67:3, 107:13 2s [2] - 121:17, 148:7	2002 [7] - 32:8, 33:14, 46:3, 85:25, 144:23, 144:25, 145:2 2012 [1] - 1:1 21st [5] - 8:3, 21:23, 22:4, 22:6, 102:6 22 [2] - 132:22, 149:20 22-year [1] - 137:16 22nd [5] - 10:4, 32:8, 33:14, 159:4, 160:25 23 [3] - 86:8, 86:9, 150:19 23rd [1] - 140:15 24 [2] - 22:25, 130:18 24th [5] - 67:11, 67:14, 161:1, 161:4, 162:22 25 [1] - 123:17 25,000 [1] - 37:6 250 [2] - 37:8, 133:4 27 [6] - 17:12, 48:11, 76:8, 76:10, 76:14, 153:20 27th [6] - 17:18, 17:20, 17:21, 56:10, 68:2, 68:5 28 [1] - 27:6 28th [5] - 61:17, 67:14, 119:5, 119:13, 120:7 29th [2] - 116:9, 124:12 2:59 [1] - 142:6 2nd [2] - 67:3, 107:13 2s [2] - 121:17, 148:7	70 [1] - 26:23 71 [1] - 163:3 73 [1] - 147:8 75 [2] - 95:14, 130:13 76 [1] - 112:3 78 [3] - 151:14, 151:15, 152:3 79 [2] - 116:5, 116:17
1 [13] - 2:20, 63:6, 69:2, 72:17, 73:15, 88:17, 88:18, 101:14, 101:16, 121:14, 121:16, 143:19, 166:17 1" [1] - 127:16 1's [1] - 141:16 10 [8] - 9:6, 31:11, 49:15, 74:2, 101:17, 103:21, 104:4, 106:1 10,000 [1] - 47:2 100 [1] - 52:7 100 [1] - 121:18 107 [1] - 128:3 11 [1] - 86:7 115 [1] - 120:25 11:01 [1] - 55:24 11:15 [1] - 112:23 11:20 [1] - 55:25 12 [5] - 15:15, 15:16, 15:21, 34:4, 128:17 1200 [1] - 128:9 12:29 [1] - 98:6 12th [7] - 16:25, 17:1, 17:2, 22:1, 22:4, 22:6, 22:22 13 [4] - 39:15, 71:21, 74:17, 85:12 130 [2] - 35:8, 85:14 1348 [2] - 120:13, 120:23 13th [4] - 114:25, 115:1, 115:2, 119:16 14th [8] - 81:25, 83:8, 115:22, 119:16, 140:15, 153:6, 154:23, 157:1 15 [6] - 1:1, 18:11, 48:19, 55:23, 122:25, 142:5	2	3	4	8
1 [13] - 2:20, 63:6, 69:2, 72:17, 73:15, 88:17, 88:18, 101:14, 101:16, 121:14, 121:16, 143:19, 166:17 1" [1] - 127:16 1's [1] - 141:16 10 [8] - 9:6, 31:11, 49:15, 74:2, 101:17, 103:21, 104:4, 106:1 10,000 [1] - 47:2 100 [1] - 52:7 100 [1] - 121:18 107 [1] - 128:3 11 [1] - 86:7 115 [1] - 120:25 11:01 [1] - 55:24 11:15 [1] - 112:23 11:20 [1] - 55:25 12 [5] - 15:15, 15:16, 15:21, 34:4, 128:17 1200 [1] - 128:9 12:29 [1] - 98:6 12th [7] - 16:25, 17:1, 17:2, 22:1, 22:4, 22:6, 22:22 13 [4] - 39:15, 71:21, 74:17, 85:12 130 [2] - 35:8, 85:14 1348 [2] - 120:13, 120:23 13th [4] - 114:25, 115:1, 115:2, 119:16 14th [8] - 81:25, 83:8, 115:22, 119:16, 140:15, 153:6, 154:23, 157:1 15 [6] - 1:1, 18:11, 48:19, 55:23, 122:25, 142:5	A	5	6	9
1 [13] - 2:20, 63:6, 69:2, 72:17, 73:15, 88:17, 88:18, 101:14, 101:16, 121:14, 121:16, 143:19, 166:17 1" [1] - 127:16 1's [1] - 141:16 10 [8] - 9:6, 31:11, 49:15, 74:2, 101:17, 103:21, 104:4, 106:1 10,000 [1] - 47:2 100 [1] - 52:7 100 [1] - 121:18 107 [1] - 128:3 11 [1] - 86:7 115 [1] - 120:25 11:01 [1] - 55:24 11:15 [1] - 112:23 11:20 [1] - 55:25 12 [5] - 15:15, 15:16, 15:21, 34:4, 128:17 1200 [1] - 128:9 12:29 [1] - 98:6 12th [7] - 16:25, 17:1, 17:2, 22:1, 22:4, 22:6, 22:22 13 [4] - 39:15, 71:21, 74:17, 85:12 130 [2] - 35:8, 85:14 1348 [2] - 120:13, 120:23 13th [4] - 114:25, 115:1, 115:2, 119:16 14th [8] - 81:25, 83:8, 115:22, 119:16, 140:15, 153:6, 154:23, 157:1 15 [6] - 1:1, 18:11, 48:19, 55:23, 122:25, 142:5	8 [3] - 94:7, 104:3, 132:24 82 [1] - 153:2 85 [3] - 145:8, 145:16, 145:18 87 [1] - 148:3 89 [2] - 152:22, 164:15	3 [9] - 63:18, 63:21, 107:25, 121:15, 132:1, 132:18, 135:7, 138:13, 163:24 30 [3] - 6:1, 70:1, 166:17 300 [2] - 128:6, 129:13 30th [5] - 117:2, 117:5, 119:3, 124:5, 142:11 31 [7] - 73:6, 94:8, 107:23, 120:12, 120:14, 121:16, 151:20	4 [3] - 123:8, 137:3, 166:14 40 [2] - 61:6, 61:10 41 [2] - 61:10, 67:16 43 [3] - 107:9, 107:12, 122:3 44 [1] - 92:15 45 [2] - 75:17, 109:4 46 [3] - 89:22, 90:1, 92:7 47 [2] - 110:3, 110:10 49 [1] - 93:22 4:04 [1] - 168:14 4th [1] - 112:12	9 [4] - 8:9, 83:9, 104:4, 146:10 9/11 [2] - 143:9, 165:24 9:30 [2] - 1:3, 168:12 9th [3] - 54:20, 99:4, 100:10
1 [13] - 2:20, 63:6, 69:2, 72:17, 73:15, 88:17, 88:18, 101:14, 101:16, 121:14, 121:16, 143:19, 166:17 1" [1] - 127:16 1's [1] - 141:16 10 [8] - 9:6, 31:11, 49:15, 74:2, 101:17, 103:21, 104:4, 106:1 10,000 [1] - 47:2 100 [1] - 52:7 100 [1] - 121:18 107 [1] - 128:3 11 [1] - 86:7 115 [1] - 120:25 11:01 [1] - 55:24 11:15 [1] - 112:23 11:20 [1] - 55:25 12 [5] - 15:15, 15:16, 15:21, 34:4, 128:17 1200 [1] - 128:9 12:29 [1] - 98:6 12th [7] - 16:25, 17:1, 17:2, 22:1, 22:4, 22:6, 22:22 13 [4] - 39:15, 71:21, 74:17, 85:12 130 [2] - 35:8, 85:14 1348 [2] - 120:13, 120:23 13th [4] - 114:25, 115:1, 115:2, 119:16 14th [8] - 81:25, 83:8, 115:22, 119:16, 140:15, 153:6, 154:23, 157:1 15 [6] - 1:1, 18:11, 48:19, 55:23, 122:25, 142:5	9 [4] - 8:9, 83:9, 104:4, 146:10 9/11 [2] - 143:9, 165:24 9:30 [2] - 1:3, 168:12 9th [3] - 54:20, 99:4, 100:10	3 [9] - 63:18, 63:21, 107:25, 121:15, 132:1, 132:18, 135:7, 138:13, 163:24 30 [3] - 6:1, 70:1, 166:17 300 [2] - 128:6, 129:13 30th [5] - 117:2, 117:5, 119:3, 124:5, 142:11 31 [7] - 73:6, 94:8, 107:23, 120:12, 120:14, 121:16, 151:20	5 [6] - 64:14, 130:14, 142:19, 144:23, 144:24 50 [7] - 26:18, 52:6, 53:19, 103:21, 112:6, 112:10, 155:2 5082 [3] - 72:18, 72:19, 73:15 52 [4] - 25:18, 25:19, 146:8, 146:9 53 [3] - 90:8, 146:8, 146:20 54 [3] - 117:6, 117:7, 117:9 55 [3] - 98:11, 98:15, 118:6 56 [1] - 118:7 57 [3] - 83:20, 83:21, 124:8 58 [1] - 139:3 59 [1] - 142:17 5th [1] - 31:6	A [3] - 1:3, 55:24, 55:25 abandoned [1] - 153:14 abducted [2] - 52:3, 68:16 abduction [1] - 141:18 abductions [2] - 74:10, 84:5 ability [17] - 13:11, 17:8, 17:10, 24:18, 28:11, 29:2, 36:13, 36:25, 42:5, 42:13, 51:19, 63:10, 102:18, 144:11,

<p>149:5, 168:19 able [32] - 4:2, 4:4, 16:6, 17:11, 19:1, 23:22, 25:4, 28:19, 29:24, 31:16, 32:2, 34:7, 37:19, 39:8, 42:16, 44:17, 47:6, 52:1, 62:2, 73:13, 76:18, 86:14, 91:22, 100:21, 105:21, 111:8, 131:9, 131:13, 131:17, 136:14, 150:18, 166:13 Abotsway [1] - 31:19 Abotsway's [1] - 31:9 absence [1] - 79:25 absent [1] - 163:9 absolute [2] - 79:19, 88:18 absolutely [3] - 25:1, 26:10, 34:9 abuse [2] - 109:15, 135:25 accept [7] - 19:13, 19:19, 59:4, 59:13, 71:5, 150:1, 154:9 accepted [4] - 4:9, 15:10, 67:24, 81:8 access [4] - 19:1, 105:17, 148:25, 152:8 accommodate [2] - 167:18, 168:3 accomplished [3] - 107:7, 123:5, 128:2 accurate [2] - 76:8, 168:17 achieve [1] - 124:15 acknowledgment [2] - 93:10, 93:12 active [7] - 18:10, 38:19, 62:22, 77:19, 86:1, 150:11 actively [1] - 154:15 activities [1] - 95:9 actual [6] - 24:11, 90:10, 93:16, 107:6, 120:25, 165:10 ad [1] - 7:1 Adam [17] - 1:7, 1:9, 1:12, 2:3, 2:15, 15:14, 27:5, 34:18, 47:21, 56:3, 61:6, 67:15, 75:21, 76:4, 87:17, 98:10, 142:10 ADAM [2] - 1:10, 1:4 Adam's [2] - 167:15, 168:8 add [2] - 77:11, 114:12</p>	<p>added [2] - 78:7, 103:19 addiction [1] - 100:21 adding [5] - 114:15, 114:17, 123:25, 133:1, 151:7 addition [1] - 50:24 additional [11] - 39:15, 68:19, 82:4, 85:12, 113:22, 115:8, 115:24, 116:14, 132:23, 134:12, 138:15 additionally [2] - 71:6, 85:17 Additions [1] - 123:10 additions [1] - 123:24 address [5] - 67:16, 97:8, 111:3, 133:16, 163:21 addressing [2] - 136:17, 138:3 adhering [1] - 165:10 adjoining [1] - 168:11 ADJOURNED [4] - 55:24, 98:6, 142:6, 168:14 adjourned [2] - 98:5, 168:12 administering [1] - 72:14 administrative [1] - 57:1 administrator [1] - 105:8 advance [4] - 30:14, 77:22, 85:3, 147:4 advanced [2] - 3:6, 85:11 advice [2] - 84:2 advise [4] - 33:18, 95:8, 107:20, 160:14 advised [1] - 8:6 advises [1] - 113:9 advising [3] - 96:8, 137:4, 159:8 affected [1] - 144:11 affidavits [1] - 6:4 AFIS [1] - 122:13 afraid [2] - 93:4, 106:23 Agassiz [1] - 56:9 agencies [4] - 106:6, 123:23, 162:2, 162:16 agency [2] - 41:15, 80:3 Agency [8] - 28:18, 28:21, 87:12, 109:7, 109:14, 109:25,</p>	<p>151:19, 151:25 aggravated [2] - 84:6, 122:20 agree [3] - 9:10, 53:11, 91:22 agreed [3] - 87:10, 136:10, 156:3 agreeing [1] - 109:14 agreement [5] - 109:6, 109:17, 109:20, 109:21, 151:24 ahead [7] - 44:3, 106:15, 140:23, 140:25, 141:2, 142:1, 155:10 ahold [1] - 115:19 aid [1] - 21:4 aids [1] - 135:3 Air [1] - 154:6 air [2] - 40:21, 154:4 AI [1] - 142:18 alert [1] - 130:10 alerting [1] - 159:25 Alex [2] - 62:14, 106:10 alive [9] - 59:10, 64:23, 71:11, 71:17, 120:7, 121:7, 121:8, 133:7, 160:18 allegations [1] - 71:18 alley [8] - 14:20, 85:18, 86:6, 86:13, 86:14, 97:25, 149:15, 150:8 alleys [1] - 12:9 allow [5] - 3:1, 17:9, 73:22, 87:9, 146:6 allowances [1] - 167:15 allowed [7] - 28:10, 29:25, 32:7, 32:18, 59:23, 109:20, 135:17 allowing [2] - 14:8, 109:15 allows [1] - 152:7 almost [5] - 20:2, 27:25, 145:25, 147:2, 154:1 alone [1] - 112:19 alternate [1] - 43:12 alumni [1] - 49:7 amazing [4] - 85:5, 96:18, 157:18, 165:13 amazingly [1] - 106:11 Amelia [34] - 16:11, 18:15, 19:8, 20:4, 20:7, 20:22, 21:7, 27:25, 29:19, 41:3,</p>	<p>43:18, 44:7, 44:25, 49:4, 53:23, 59:20, 62:15, 65:13, 66:14, 68:1, 68:2, 72:6, 74:16, 74:19, 76:12, 76:23, 87:3, 120:14, 120:18, 120:21, 120:24, 149:12, 153:10 amendments [1] - 130:17 America [3] - 30:18, 131:10, 131:11 Americans [2] - 30:19, 30:22 analyse [1] - 108:15 analysis [1] - 13:14 Anderson [2] - 47:19, 128:12 Andrea [2] - 44:22, 157:14 annual [4] - 96:10, 110:25, 111:5, 146:16 answer's [2] - 53:13, 53:14 answers [3] - 78:18, 119:24, 166:19 anthrax [3] - 165:21, 165:25, 166:7 anticipation [1] - 111:5 Antunes [1] - 163:12 anyway [3] - 44:20, 91:9, 165:4 apart [2] - 5:7, 20:5 apologize [3] - 39:3, 129:7, 158:11 appalled [2] - 25:20, 26:12 appalling [1] - 28:13 apparent [1] - 40:18 appear [1] - 99:2 appeared [1] - 58:9 Appendix [2] - 96:20, 97:24 appendix [1] - 96:21 appreciate [1] - 117:13 approach [1] - 150:12 approached [2] - 109:13, 133:21 appropriate [2] - 145:17, 167:1 approval [1] - 33:10 approved [1] - 33:15 April [4] - 67:3, 67:11, 88:20, 145:25 area [8] - 37:18, 48:7, 50:4, 56:9, 99:3,</p>	<p>125:18, 141:10, 161:23 areas [1] - 81:2 argue [2] - 71:13 army [1] - 9:14 arranged [4] - 56:20, 167:18, 167:22, 167:25 arranging [1] - 80:19 arrest [3] - 22:15, 32:7, 46:11 arrested [1] - 31:11 arrived [3] - 7:16, 72:20, 143:7 articulate [4] - 38:10, 72:4, 119:19, 120:3 articulated [6] - 15:10, 48:16, 54:18, 64:6, 67:24, 121:25 articulates [3] - 72:11, 108:6, 150:18 ascertain [2] - 77:3, 116:21 aside [1] - 40:5 aspects [1] - 2:25 assassination [1] - 5:24 assault [4] - 72:13, 84:5, 122:20 Assault [1] - 62:16 assaulted [1] - 72:9 assaults [9] - 84:6, 85:15, 94:8, 94:18, 95:13, 108:16, 122:17, 127:5 assess [6] - 20:8, 20:13, 68:7, 68:8, 69:5, 69:16 assessed [1] - 70:5 assessing [1] - 138:11 assigned [8] - 15:20, 18:13, 44:25, 54:13, 68:10, 122:18, 156:17, 163:20 assigning [1] - 54:11 assignment [26] - 6:10, 7:17, 7:19, 8:16, 8:18, 8:19, 8:23, 10:1, 10:8, 10:9, 10:16, 11:13, 12:20, 15:2, 16:2, 19:3, 21:21, 22:5, 22:9, 22:13, 23:1, 25:7, 25:11, 66:6, 83:18, 118:24 assignments [8] - 17:12, 17:25, 18:2, 19:4, 48:9, 53:24, 98:24, 164:7 assist [9] - 2:4, 2:8,</p>
--	--	---	--	---

<p>16:7, 41:24, 100:10, 106:7, 111:7, 134:24, 143:20</p> <p>Assistant [1] - 142:14</p> <p>assisting [2] - 21:5, 111:9</p> <p>associated [2] - 45:13, 144:20</p> <p>assume [2] - 73:20, 94:5</p> <p>assure [2] - 69:20, 156:10</p> <p>astounding [2] - 25:24, 104:18</p> <p>astronomical [1] - 94:20</p> <p>AT [8] - 1:3, 55:24, 55:25, 98:6, 98:7, 142:6, 142:7, 168:14</p> <p>attached [1] - 109:21</p> <p>attack [1] - 128:12</p> <p>attacking [1] - 60:12</p> <p>attempt [2] - 122:4, 141:18</p> <p>attempted [3] - 52:3, 68:16, 70:6</p> <p>attempting [1] - 72:23</p> <p>attempts [1] - 84:4</p> <p>attended [3] - 56:8, 110:5, 155:1</p> <p>attending [1] - 108:24</p> <p>attention [1] - 147:15</p> <p>August [18] - 81:25, 115:1, 115:2, 116:19, 117:2, 117:5, 119:3, 119:5, 119:6, 119:13, 120:7, 124:12, 133:14, 134:20, 134:21, 142:11, 146:10, 146:24</p> <p>authorized [1] - 136:10</p> <p>authorizing [1] - 32:20</p> <p>automatically [3] - 39:21, 39:24, 45:12</p> <p>available [10] - 2:19, 28:18, 30:4, 47:16, 47:18, 111:11, 152:6, 158:18, 158:24, 160:15</p> <p>average [1] - 33:25</p> <p>awaiting [1] - 64:13</p> <p>aware [2] - 4:11, 8:4, 13:13, 13:14, 14:2, 14:9, 28:23, 42:10, 42:22, 45:14, 82:5, 95:16, 100:19, 113:11, 137:6, 140:5, 140:9,</p>	<p>153:15, 159:10, 159:23, 160:1, 160:21</p> <p>awareness [2] - 100:1, 126:4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>B.C [5] - 1:2, 11:1, 28:17, 35:7, 39:4</p> <p>backed [2] - 105:13, 120:22</p> <p>background [2] - 2:17, 25:3</p> <p>backwards [1] - 95:5</p> <p>bad [2] - 74:6, 126:22</p> <p>bag [2] - 31:18, 154:15</p> <p>ball [3] - 69:23, 69:24, 81:17</p> <p>balls [3] - 70:1, 70:8, 70:9</p> <p>bandages [1] - 89:3</p> <p>bank [25] - 27:19, 27:21, 30:1, 35:2, 38:9, 38:17, 38:20, 39:7, 39:9, 39:12, 39:17, 39:19, 40:8, 49:24, 87:13, 108:14, 108:15, 130:18, 130:20, 130:21, 131:3, 131:7, 131:19, 132:7, 136:8</p> <p>banker's [2] - 90:8, 128:6</p> <p>Barb [1] - 62:15</p> <p>base [3] - 73:25, 78:4, 129:18</p> <p>based [2] - 133:13, 160:22</p> <p>basics [1] - 37:9</p> <p>basis [2] - 47:25, 63:19</p> <p>Bass [11] - 7:2, 7:5, 7:14, 8:12, 9:7, 9:17, 9:20, 21:4, 139:11, 142:15, 166:22</p> <p>BC [5] - 87:14, 109:7, 109:13, 131:19, 149:20</p> <p>BCIT [1] - 132:9</p> <p>bearing [1] - 57:24</p> <p>beaten [1] - 73:3</p> <p>became [17] - 15:9, 27:8, 29:23, 38:1, 38:2, 43:11, 53:24, 67:2, 68:2, 95:16, 96:2, 121:5, 135:16, 147:19, 150:16, 157:15, 162:7</p> <p>become [1] - 56:23</p>	<p>becomes [5] - 13:21, 50:1, 52:9, 82:1, 149:22</p> <p>befriended [2] - 43:7</p> <p>beginning [5] - 5:10, 37:2, 70:12, 145:22, 158:21</p> <p>begins [1] - 23:7</p> <p>behavioural [4] - 21:15, 51:1, 59:2, 68:12</p> <p>Behavioural [5] - 10:21, 21:12, 54:18, 84:1, 127:4</p> <p>behind [7] - 90:1, 106:1, 107:17, 110:10, 117:15, 144:18, 152:2</p> <p>beings [1] - 75:12</p> <p>belief [10] - 6:17, 18:20, 54:14, 67:24, 74:15, 82:17, 88:10, 125:23, 126:21, 136:10</p> <p>beliefs [2] - 19:20, 25:10</p> <p>believer [1] - 103:20</p> <p>believes [1] - 113:21</p> <p>below [5] - 123:3, 126:17, 129:9, 129:12</p> <p>beside [2] - 31:23, 58:21</p> <p>best [18] - 5:6, 6:13, 6:18, 6:23, 16:8, 21:15, 41:7, 47:13, 53:25, 54:5, 64:21, 86:4, 106:21, 124:25, 150:12, 154:13, 168:19</p> <p>bet [1] - 19:15</p> <p>better [8] - 6:21, 13:19, 41:5, 52:8, 95:1, 118:2, 132:8, 140:13</p> <p>between [9] - 22:3, 22:6, 38:6, 56:5, 109:6, 117:25, 140:14, 150:7, 151:24</p> <p>beyond [10] - 5:9, 6:12, 12:3, 27:10, 42:13, 58:15, 80:13, 149:12, 161:18</p> <p>big [18] - 2:20, 8:9, 11:6, 21:11, 42:2, 48:19, 61:11, 89:23, 92:16, 93:6, 101:12, 107:9, 112:6, 116:12, 119:7,</p>	<p>124:8, 151:16, 159:3</p> <p>Bill [1] - 29:16</p> <p>binder [15] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:21, 48:19, 61:12, 89:23, 92:16, 101:12, 107:10, 112:7, 119:4, 124:9, 151:16, 159:3</p> <p>binders [4] - 1:17, 105:14, 105:17, 120:15</p> <p>biological [3] - 28:6, 87:11, 99:24</p> <p>bisected [2] - 13:3</p> <p>bit [14] - 2:18, 36:20, 38:14, 40:21, 75:13, 84:24, 102:8, 104:5, 121:5, 125:21, 136:1, 149:17, 162:25, 165:23</p> <p>bits [1] - 121:21</p> <p>bitter [1] - 40:9</p> <p>blinders [1] - 73:21</p> <p>blindly [1] - 97:11</p> <p>blocked [1] - 29:9</p> <p>blood [5] - 31:17, 31:22, 33:7, 36:21, 36:23</p> <p>Blythe [3] - 167:16, 167:19, 167:21</p> <p>Bob [6] - 12:23, 13:13, 117:9, 117:16, 118:8, 118:10</p> <p>bodies [5] - 12:7, 51:24, 85:23, 86:15, 143:3</p> <p>bodily [3] - 29:5, 32:12, 84:5</p> <p>body [15] - 4:11, 12:4, 12:5, 23:7, 23:8, 23:19, 30:19, 58:7, 58:21, 73:14, 75:2, 76:1, 127:7, 143:6</p> <p>BOLD [1] - 164:21</p> <p>BOLM [1] - 13:12</p> <p>bone [3] - 13:25, 35:16, 165:7</p> <p>bones [3] - 35:8, 165:1, 165:5</p> <p>book [4] - 2:20, 8:10, 70:11, 146:8</p> <p>books [2] - 73:6, 73:11</p> <p>boots [1] - 47:5</p> <p>borders [1] - 150:6</p> <p>boss [1] - 90:10</p> <p>bottom [1] - 160:13</p> <p>Bottomley's [1] - 31:8</p> <p>bound [1] - 73:2</p> <p>box [2] - 28:15, 128:4</p>	<p>Boxes [1] - 105:15</p> <p>boxes [4] - 53:4, 90:8, 104:13, 128:6</p> <p>Boyd [1] - 159:9</p> <p>brains [2] - 161:22, 162:8</p> <p>brainstorming [1] - 16:14</p> <p>Brass [1] - 163:12</p> <p>break [10] - 45:20, 55:21, 55:22, 93:19, 110:23, 111:25, 138:5, 139:23, 142:2, 167:4</p> <p>Brian [7] - 104:23, 104:25, 105:18, 115:12, 140:1, 140:3, 140:8</p> <p>bridge [1] - 117:25</p> <p>brief [3] - 43:3, 93:17, 125:8</p> <p>briefing [6] - 92:18, 93:23, 95:8, 110:24, 110:25, 128:1</p> <p>Briefing [1] - 124:11</p> <p>briefings [2] - 98:23, 110:18</p> <p>briefly [4] - 38:5, 43:6, 47:23, 120:5</p> <p>bright [1] - 69:22</p> <p>bring [15] - 4:4, 30:21, 34:18, 43:10, 71:10, 86:16, 94:13, 96:1, 103:4, 103:5, 106:25, 116:22, 127:10, 135:13, 150:18</p> <p>bringing [5] - 49:3, 78:14, 120:10, 136:7, 165:8</p> <p>brings [1] - 49:3</p> <p>British [5] - 5:6, 11:24, 48:12, 82:18, 143:6</p> <p>broke [1] - 147:23</p> <p>broken [3] - 75:9, 105:5, 105:6</p> <p>brought [14] - 6:25, 17:8, 69:23, 70:1, 76:13, 77:7, 78:24, 85:12, 85:14, 87:24, 100:5, 103:18, 115:20, 129:1</p> <p>brutalized [3] - 52:3, 68:17, 70:7</p> <p>brutally [1] - 72:8</p> <p>buddy [1] - 101:6</p> <p>budget [1] - 90:11</p> <p>budgets [1] - 9:16</p> <p>build [4] - 88:13, 108:12, 127:6,</p>
--	--	---	---	--

<p>152:15 build-up [1] - 127:6 building [5] - 18:2, 48:14, 73:25, 78:18, 138:7 buildup [1] - 82:2 built [1] - 157:4 bullet [1] - 149:8 bumped [1] - 166:14 bunch [3] - 31:21, 106:25, 159:19 bundle [5] - 10:25, 25:14, 78:13, 86:5, 86:6 bundles [1] - 148:10 bureaucracy [1] - 158:13 burglary [2] - 5:13, 138:19 Burglary [1] - 5:15 Burnaby [1] - 103:15 burning [1] - 72:9 bursting [1] - 65:9 busted [1] - 28:1 busy [4] - 62:16, 68:6, 78:8, 108:7 butts [1] - 28:1 BY [1] - 2:12</p>	<p>careful [3] - 71:4, 125:24, 125:25 Carol [3] - 104:19, 104:20, 104:24 carried [1] - 64:4 case [10] - 30:8, 30:14, 32:6, 33:19, 33:21, 45:19, 56:15, 149:19, 153:11, 155:9 cases [9] - 4:23, 35:14, 48:7, 49:19, 56:18, 62:1, 84:19, 108:17, 123:17 cast [10] - 39:19, 64:11, 86:10, 89:4, 135:20, 136:6, 136:13, 136:20, 138:17, 141:15 cast-off [10] - 39:19, 64:11, 86:10, 89:4, 135:20, 136:6, 136:13, 136:20, 138:17, 141:15 cat [1] - 154:15 catch [9] - 26:5, 26:7, 52:5, 74:25, 126:16, 151:10, 151:12, 161:25 catching [1] - 52:7 categories [1] - 39:1 categorize [1] - 82:19 categorized [2] - 94:22, 122:21 category [1] - 70:8 caught [3] - 126:18, 126:19, 141:18 caused [3] - 27:16, 54:25, 59:14 causing [1] - 84:5 caution [1] - 165:17 cautionary [1] - 138:22 cautious [1] - 84:11 centerpiece [1] - 21:7 central [1] - 43:13 centre [2] - 4:20, 13:4 centred [2] - 29:16, 31:15 centrepiece [2] - 24:6, 68:3 centres [1] - 23:7 certain [4] - 38:7, 109:18, 118:23, 143:13 certainly [12] - 24:18, 25:17, 72:4, 83:3, 101:18, 112:15, 130:12, 134:8, 140:3, 143:18,</p>	<p>149:12, 151:4 certainty [2] - 24:20, 24:21 certify [1] - 168:16 cetera [6] - 23:17, 42:7, 42:18, 108:17, 137:20 chain [2] - 74:13, 152:16 challenge [4] - 34:25, 35:5, 36:4, 40:12 challenges [5] - 23:2, 27:7, 27:10, 45:22, 87:20 chance [3] - 51:13, 126:2, 142:21 chances [1] - 52:7 change [4] - 36:14, 58:4, 61:25, 95:19 changed [6] - 37:9, 47:8, 47:12, 83:2, 83:11, 167:10 changing [1] - 124:6 channelled [1] - 62:14 charge [12] - 4:4, 4:5, 14:14, 31:25, 33:9, 86:16, 102:11, 117:17, 144:3, 152:10, 165:12, 167:24 charged [2] - 72:12, 126:8 charges [7] - 4:9, 4:10, 31:13, 32:14, 33:12, 33:15, 33:19 chart [1] - 145:20 Chartrand [1] - 12:11 check [6] - 26:21, 43:19, 43:24, 44:10, 108:10 checked [6] - 42:21, 43:14, 43:23, 44:4, 74:7, 74:9 Chicken [1] - 134:14 Chief [1] - 140:12 chief [2] - 167:21, 1:5 CHIEF [1] - 2:12 chief's [1] - 85:7 Chilliwack [1] - 63:22 choke [1] - 74:13 chose [1] - 47:25 chosen [1] - 27:20 Christine [2] - 102:10, 102:17 chronologically [1] - 97:16 chronology [1] - 15:15 chugging [1] - 104:13 cigarettes [1] - 72:9</p>	<p>circle [1] - 23:10 city [3] - 8:5, 113:8, 115:14 City [25] - 30:7, 65:10, 65:12, 65:13, 78:11, 80:6, 84:20, 94:19, 105:14, 105:23, 106:11, 108:10, 109:7, 119:25, 122:11, 122:15, 123:4, 123:24, 124:1, 129:9, 141:25, 143:13, 147:20, 160:10, 160:19 City's [1] - 144:11 civilian [2] - 50:15, 160:17 civilians [1] - 50:14 Clarke [3] - 62:14, 106:10, 108:7 Clary [9] - 67:9, 96:3, 103:9, 103:10, 111:19, 118:25, 146:25, 164:6 Clary's [1] - 164:6 classically [2] - 57:1, 80:22 classified [1] - 122:19 clean [1] - 165:14 clear [1] - 139:1 clearly [8] - 11:21, 11:23, 13:7, 14:9, 14:10, 90:21, 105:18, 124:3 client [1] - 167:7 Clifford [1] - 3:22 close [3] - 32:22, 92:12, 136:18 closely [2] - 81:16, 148:14 Club [1] - 132:11 cluster [1] - 12:15 co [10] - 49:23, 54:9, 66:19, 67:5, 67:6, 80:16, 88:7, 96:4, 146:25, 148:24 co-exist [1] - 54:9 co-existent [1] - 88:7 co-operate [1] - 80:16 co-ordinator [7] - 49:23, 66:19, 67:5, 67:6, 96:4, 146:25, 148:24 coined [1] - 76:20 collect [3] - 28:9, 51:22, 136:11 collected [9] - 28:8, 30:7, 34:9, 35:21, 38:19, 46:9, 47:20,</p>	<p>87:12, 89:4 collecting [4] - 128:8, 135:20, 136:5, 136:6 collection [2] - 1:22, 135:7 Columbia [4] - 11:24, 48:12, 82:19, 143:6 Columbia's [1] - 5:6 column [1] - 102:4 comfortable [3] - 48:11, 90:20, 107:4 coming [24] - 16:14, 19:18, 40:22, 58:22, 64:3, 66:4, 73:19, 93:7, 102:5, 111:23, 123:14, 137:15, 139:2, 140:14, 141:9, 141:11, 143:4, 143:21, 146:22, 147:15, 148:17, 158:12, 161:23, 167:16 command [2] - 57:3, 66:20 commander [4] - 56:25, 61:14, 65:6, 90:2 commendation [2] - 85:7, 85:8 comment [5] - 46:3, 114:5, 117:13, 117:14, 118:8 Commercial [1] - 40:25 Commission [1] - 1:4 commission [4] - 33:18, 56:11, 91:25, 158:25 commissioner [1] - 97:21 COMMISSIONER [13] - 1:5, 1:8, 1:25, 2:11, 43:1, 55:22, 56:16, 98:3, 117:7, 139:12, 142:4, 167:3, 168:11 Commissioner [82] - 1:6, 1:14, 2:22, 11:21, 13:2, 13:24, 15:6, 16:8, 17:24, 19:1, 19:10, 20:10, 20:21, 22:9, 24:24, 25:13, 26:18, 27:11, 29:17, 30:11, 33:1, 34:8, 36:19, 38:13, 39:22, 42:2, 43:6, 44:21, 48:25, 49:20, 50:24, 55:9, 55:20, 56:18, 61:23, 63:9, 64:6, 72:20, 74:21,</p>
C				
<p>C-3 [1] - 29:16 cabinets [1] - 34:13 calendar [1] - 68:5 Canada [6] - 27:12, 30:17, 30:22, 37:4, 131:16, 166:3 Canada's [1] - 165:12 Canadian [1] - 109:8 Cancer [8] - 28:17, 28:20, 87:12, 109:7, 109:13, 109:25, 151:19, 151:25 cannot [3] - 39:20, 80:15, 124:4 canvasses [1] - 23:17 capabilities [1] - 41:5 capable [1] - 49:8 capacity [4] - 37:4, 37:12, 166:6, 166:24 capture [2] - 24:5, 97:7 captured [1] - 68:20 car [5] - 24:14, 42:17, 54:20, 62:8, 75:3 cardboard [1] - 28:15 care [3] - 90:12, 91:2, 97:10 career [3] - 2:25, 3:15, 6:14</p>				

<p>75:19, 79:23, 82:3, 83:3, 83:22, 87:1, 89:17, 95:12, 95:21, 97:4, 97:22, 101:22, 105:2, 106:20, 112:16, 116:8, 121:4, 122:9, 125:14, 126:23, 128:4, 129:13, 130:24, 132:22, 135:21, 139:15, 142:3, 142:15, 143:9, 143:23, 143:24, 144:6, 145:20, 146:21, 148:23, 152:9, 153:11, 156:9, 157:5, 161:9, 164:25, 166:25, 167:4</p> <p>commit [5] - 5:24, 70:22, 70:23, 73:13, 155:19</p> <p>committed [2] - 72:11, 127:5</p> <p>common [2] - 103:16, 130:17</p> <p>communication [4] - 7:20, 7:22, 21:22, 143:1</p> <p>company [2] - 74:8, 161:14</p> <p>compare [1] - 23:5</p> <p>compared [3] - 33:20, 39:17, 150:3</p> <p>Compensation [1] - 157:25</p> <p>complaint [2] - 160:15, 160:16</p> <p>complement [1] - 103:20</p> <p>complete [4] - 114:17, 129:21, 136:15, 164:15</p> <p>completed [2] - 114:11, 122:2</p> <p>completely [5] - 22:18, 59:4, 110:22, 121:19, 137:18</p> <p>complex [2] - 6:3, 165:6</p> <p>complexity [1] - 40:3</p> <p>compliance [2] - 49:12, 49:14</p> <p>component [2] - 46:20, 68:19</p> <p>comprehensive [3] - 63:21, 76:17, 107:23</p> <p>computer [19] - 40:20, 45:18, 49:13, 65:11,</p>	<p>87:21, 96:5, 105:12, 106:19, 115:21, 115:25, 120:19, 120:20, 120:22, 121:3, 122:14, 128:25, 135:1, 135:4, 135:5</p> <p>computers [3] - 75:10, 105:13, 121:7</p> <p>concept [9] - 4:11, 11:3, 23:14, 76:24, 92:11, 137:17, 141:21, 145:11, 163:1</p> <p>conceptual [1] - 23:20</p> <p>conceptualize [1] - 161:2</p> <p>concern [11] - 10:24, 11:1, 54:17, 97:9, 97:10, 101:3, 115:4, 116:2, 125:18, 153:16, 156:2</p> <p>concerned [7] - 66:12, 82:4, 99:12, 99:13, 154:14, 154:18, 159:21</p> <p>Concerns [1] - 163:25</p> <p>concerns [7] - 10:24, 32:20, 156:8, 159:11, 163:2, 163:18, 164:10</p> <p>concrete [2] - 126:4, 131:5</p> <p>conditions [1] - 66:23</p> <p>conducting [1] - 113:1</p> <p>conduit [1] - 112:17</p> <p>confess [1] - 126:5</p> <p>confident [1] - 94:12</p> <p>confined [1] - 72:8</p> <p>confining [1] - 72:14</p> <p>confirm [6] - 77:10, 105:7, 113:3, 133:23, 134:5, 141:7</p> <p>confirmation [1] - 113:4</p> <p>confirmed [4] - 76:20, 76:24, 116:23, 134:6</p> <p>confirming [1] - 80:12</p> <p>conjunction [2] - 6:18, 6:19</p> <p>connected [6] - 59:18, 80:17, 100:7, 130:24, 153:12, 165:23</p> <p>connection [6] - 55:3, 56:4, 68:21, 79:9, 82:22, 137:7</p> <p>connections [4] - 20:23, 21:1, 79:6, 99:18</p>	<p>consider [2] - 101:2, 167:14</p> <p>considerable [1] - 24:24</p> <p>considered [2] - 3:7, 61:1</p> <p>Constable [8] - 62:23, 108:7, 112:23, 122:11, 149:16, 159:23, 162:18, 162:21</p> <p>constant [1] - 32:3</p> <p>consternation [1] - 59:15</p> <p>consuming [2] - 38:3, 75:23</p> <p>contact [5] - 32:4, 93:16, 127:10, 153:21, 162:3</p> <p>contacted [1] - 132:9</p> <p>contacting [4] - 112:24, 123:22, 132:11, 153:19</p> <p>contained [1] - 139:1</p> <p>containing [2] - 74:12, 128:6</p> <p>contamination [2] - 165:9</p> <p>context [4] - 98:14, 112:13, 116:6, 117:14</p> <p>continue [6] - 32:18, 56:14, 56:17, 74:1, 100:14, 147:6</p> <p>continued [3] - 74:2, 137:20, 168:8</p> <p>continues [2] - 20:6, 21:10</p> <p>continuing [1] - 19:5</p> <p>continuity [4] - 30:10, 30:12, 152:11, 152:16</p> <p>control [5] - 35:7, 42:5, 128:24, 129:3</p> <p>conversation [2] - 96:7, 117:23</p> <p>convict [2] - 71:10, 71:11</p> <p>convicted [8] - 38:7, 38:15, 38:17, 60:3, 60:7, 60:11, 72:15, 95:20</p> <p>cooling [1] - 141:8</p> <p>copied [2] - 8:13, 117:10</p> <p>copies [1] - 2:10</p> <p>Coquitlam [12] - 3:8, 3:9, 4:17, 5:15, 20:6, 43:18, 45:7, 88:25, 89:1, 128:11,</p>	<p>128:14, 167:24</p> <p>core [1] - 141:21</p> <p>corner [1] - 13:6</p> <p>Coroner [1] - 132:6</p> <p>coroner's [2] - 35:14, 87:18</p> <p>coroners [1] - 153:1</p> <p>Coroners [5] - 35:7, 131:1, 132:13, 164:18, 164:25</p> <p>Corporal [4] - 101:21, 102:4, 103:10, 131:8</p> <p>correct [4] - 66:3, 88:9, 109:23, 134:25</p> <p>correctly [1] - 164:9</p> <p>cost [2] - 90:24, 91:23</p> <p>costing [1] - 157:23</p> <p>costly [1] - 159:20</p> <p>counsel [3] - 1:13, 96:1, 167:17</p> <p>count [2] - 72:13, 141:8</p> <p>counter [1] - 137:14</p> <p>countries [1] - 131:15</p> <p>country [8] - 27:20, 29:10, 36:25, 37:12, 126:8, 152:18, 166:12, 166:13</p> <p>counts [2] - 32:9, 72:12</p> <p>couple [8] - 1:15, 22:17, 41:16, 41:17, 61:8, 93:20, 123:4, 155:21</p> <p>coupled [3] - 50:1, 64:22</p> <p>courage [1] - 28:20</p> <p>course [74] - 1:19, 1:23, 6:6, 12:2, 12:6, 12:13, 12:17, 14:3, 18:16, 18:18, 21:10, 24:4, 31:9, 31:12, 33:1, 34:16, 35:10, 43:5, 43:15, 44:22, 45:8, 45:23, 46:13, 46:16, 47:19, 49:2, 50:10, 51:15, 57:4, 57:25, 60:6, 64:1, 65:15, 66:7, 66:13, 67:9, 70:14, 72:5, 73:17, 79:4, 79:19, 80:13, 81:13, 83:17, 84:14, 84:20, 88:15, 94:3, 95:2, 96:7, 99:7, 113:7, 118:19, 126:19, 126:24, 127:24, 128:22, 129:17, 130:8, 132:20, 139:21, 143:9, 149:3,</p>	<p>149:17, 150:9, 150:20, 151:3, 152:9, 155:15, 164:25, 165:6, 166:3, 166:8, 167:23</p> <p>court [8] - 24:19, 30:7, 42:10, 71:10, 136:16, 152:12, 152:16, 152:19</p> <p>courtesy [1] - 168:1</p> <p>courts [3] - 135:17, 135:24, 149:1</p> <p>covered [2] - 63:6, 128:16</p> <p>CPIC [2] - 83:8, 118:12</p> <p>crate [1] - 74:12</p> <p>create [7] - 7:16, 76:16, 87:8, 87:13, 132:7, 144:10, 148:10</p> <p>created [10] - 2:3, 7:1, 39:6, 68:14, 87:15, 96:23, 101:7, 119:11, 124:13</p> <p>creating [2] - 136:8, 166:8</p> <p>Crey [1] - 113:1</p> <p>Crime [12] - 3:18, 4:13, 4:17, 40:25, 49:7, 65:7, 67:4, 99:1, 111:6, 111:13, 136:3, 139:21</p> <p>crime [39] - 4:11, 4:20, 24:4, 24:20, 24:22, 24:25, 25:4, 25:21, 28:11, 29:24, 30:5, 33:7, 34:15, 37:13, 37:20, 37:21, 37:24, 38:18, 38:19, 38:20, 39:10, 39:18, 42:15, 46:15, 57:6, 57:15, 62:3, 62:4, 64:20, 73:13, 94:14, 95:2, 108:13, 144:12, 149:15, 150:2, 158:1, 158:4</p> <p>crimes [6] - 37:18, 41:5, 63:11, 69:19, 130:9, 150:3</p> <p>criminal [2] - 6:12, 126:13</p> <p>crippled [1] - 29:20</p> <p>criterion [4] - 63:23, 68:22, 133:5, 133:8</p> <p>critical [4] - 49:12, 52:10, 148:5, 149:6</p> <p>criticism [1] - 154:9</p> <p>cross [1] - 167:15</p> <p>Crown [2] - 90:9, 96:1</p>
--	--	---	---	---

<p>crystallized [1] - 100:15</p> <p>culling [2] - 62:20, 88:8</p> <p>cumulative [1] - 21:13</p> <p>current [3] - 108:13, 115:6, 116:13</p> <p>custody [1] - 73:16</p> <p>cut [1] - 13:3</p> <p>cutbacks [1] - 7:12</p>	<p>167:22</p> <p>dead [2] - 29:3, 73:1</p> <p>deal [9] - 2:6, 15:23, 35:24, 81:1, 83:4, 100:14, 103:23, 117:3, 148:14</p> <p>dealing [11] - 22:20, 50:19, 58:17, 66:1, 78:21, 80:24, 81:23, 84:13, 123:15, 150:25, 156:24</p> <p>deals [1] - 15:21</p> <p>dealt [3] - 4:16, 68:15, 79:15</p> <p>death [3] - 13:8, 14:16, 86:12</p> <p>December [9] - 17:2, 22:1, 22:4, 22:22, 43:13, 85:21, 149:25, 150:6, 151:19</p> <p>decide [4] - 12:17, 53:10, 79:25, 91:23</p> <p>decided [5] - 8:20, 54:7, 59:12, 86:3, 118:12</p> <p>decision [2] - 79:14, 79:18</p> <p>declaration [1] - 86:19</p> <p>dedicated [1] - 106:11</p> <p>defeat [1] - 73:14</p> <p>defence [3] - 71:12, 71:14</p> <p>definable [1] - 141:10</p> <p>definitely [6] - 10:17, 53:23, 57:8, 58:19, 58:22, 134:16</p> <p>degraded [1] - 72:10</p> <p>degree [2] - 32:9, 33:14</p> <p>delay [1] - 41:21</p> <p>deliberately [1] - 93:8</p> <p>Delta [2] - 109:1, 155:4</p> <p>deluded [1] - 100:3</p> <p>Department [2] - 62:10, 143:5</p> <p>department [1] - 159:16</p> <p>departments [5] - 9:15, 91:21, 124:23, 130:10, 139:20</p> <p>deputy [1] - 85:8</p> <p>Deputy [5] - 46:6, 128:17, 140:12, 142:14</p> <p>describe [36] - 7:22, 13:23, 16:8, 23:1, 23:8, 35:4, 36:1, 38:5, 47:23, 50:18,</p>	<p>56:11, 57:7, 61:17, 61:18, 63:19, 67:21, 86:24, 92:20, 98:14, 98:20, 106:22, 109:10, 110:19, 119:13, 139:23, 140:2, 142:10, 148:1, 150:9, 153:8, 154:25, 155:12, 163:6, 164:24, 165:2, 165:22</p> <p>described [18] - 14:19, 29:6, 34:24, 52:15, 56:3, 58:8, 58:20, 69:7, 78:20, 91:14, 91:15, 106:5, 109:24, 114:23, 121:10, 139:18, 150:23, 151:23</p> <p>describes [3] - 65:2, 140:16, 140:21</p> <p>describing [3] - 45:22, 60:14, 89:7</p> <p>description [3] - 2:16, 43:3, 71:25</p> <p>designed [1] - 125:6</p> <p>desire [1] - 157:18</p> <p>desk [1] - 28:15</p> <p>desperate [1] - 30:14</p> <p>desperately [1] - 31:24</p> <p>destroying [2] - 95:15, 130:14</p> <p>detachment [6] - 37:16, 53:3, 66:13, 81:2, 83:1, 108:19</p> <p>Detachment [2] - 5:12, 167:25</p> <p>detachments [6] - 63:14, 81:10, 81:15, 84:23, 93:14, 108:23</p> <p>detail [3] - 36:1, 95:1, 150:23</p> <p>detailed [1] - 104:9</p> <p>details [2] - 63:13, 122:16</p> <p>detective [1] - 144:2</p> <p>Detective [6] - 65:14, 74:15, 143:6, 147:13, 162:17, 162:20</p> <p>determination [1] - 35:17</p> <p>develop [10] - 28:10, 31:16, 37:1, 37:4, 37:20, 47:7, 52:1, 61:14, 88:24, 131:2</p> <p>developed [14] - 30:17, 30:19, 34:4, 39:10, 39:13, 67:18,</p>	<p>77:1, 85:21, 88:19, 88:22, 89:2, 89:10, 165:11</p> <p>developing [6] - 46:17, 70:17, 75:15, 77:16, 83:17, 89:7</p> <p>development [3] - 36:25, 61:20, 64:11</p> <p>Dickhout [5] - 80:17, 112:24, 113:17, 113:19, 116:21</p> <p>Dickson [5] - 79:6, 80:18, 80:20, 112:19, 161:20</p> <p>Dickson's [1] - 159:23</p> <p>died [1] - 86:18</p> <p>differences [1] - 38:6</p> <p>different [9] - 24:7, 33:21, 68:25, 70:10, 90:3, 90:4, 101:10, 103:5, 141:14</p> <p>differently [2] - 91:5, 134:9</p> <p>difficult [4] - 26:20, 38:2, 137:22, 147:19</p> <p>difficulty [1] - 52:8</p> <p>dig [1] - 158:23</p> <p>dime [1] - 36:21</p> <p>dimension [1] - 78:7</p> <p>Dinah [10] - 42:21, 42:22, 42:24, 42:25, 43:1, 43:6, 44:7, 45:3, 45:6, 45:12</p> <p>direct [1] - 43:23</p> <p>directed [1] - 146:15</p> <p>directing [1] - 6:6</p> <p>direction [2] - 21:19, 63:11</p> <p>disagree [1] - 53:11</p> <p>disappear [1] - 100:1</p> <p>disappearances [1] - 18:20</p> <p>disappearing [1] - 117:25</p> <p>disaster [1] - 165:13</p> <p>DISC [1] - 159:24</p> <p>discarded [1] - 47:11</p> <p>discards [1] - 135:18</p> <p>discern [1] - 133:11</p> <p>disconnect [1] - 95:16</p> <p>discount [1] - 59:17</p> <p>discovered [1] - 28:17</p> <p>discovering [1] - 150:7</p> <p>discreetly [1] - 161:20</p> <p>discrete [1] - 14:25</p> <p>discuss [1] - 168:8</p> <p>discussed [1] - 111:22</p> <p>discussion [8] -</p>	<p>16:24, 56:4, 115:7, 125:11, 125:12, 126:10, 136:2, 163:8</p> <p>discussions [3] - 8:20, 10:15, 68:11</p> <p>disenfranchised [1] - 154:8</p> <p>disposal [1] - 59:7</p> <p>dispose [1] - 73:13</p> <p>disposing [2] - 58:12, 136:8</p> <p>distinct [1] - 96:6</p> <p>distribution [3] - 107:16, 107:19, 108:21</p> <p>District [1] - 117:18</p> <p>division [3] - 88:8, 108:3, 121:5</p> <p>DNA [125] - 13:11, 19:12, 20:17, 27:15, 27:19, 27:21, 28:8, 28:9, 28:10, 28:18, 31:16, 31:24, 32:6, 32:12, 34:3, 34:9, 34:12, 35:2, 35:19, 35:23, 36:6, 36:11, 36:13, 36:25, 37:1, 37:4, 37:20, 37:21, 37:23, 38:6, 38:9, 38:15, 38:19, 39:3, 39:9, 39:11, 39:14, 40:6, 40:8, 45:21, 46:8, 46:13, 46:19, 46:21, 47:16, 47:18, 47:20, 49:22, 50:2, 51:10, 51:14, 51:17, 51:19, 51:20, 52:4, 59:21, 60:5, 60:17, 60:20, 60:25, 62:3, 62:4, 64:16, 71:1, 71:2, 73:11, 73:14, 77:17, 78:1, 85:2, 85:10, 85:23, 86:9, 86:15, 87:7, 87:13, 88:9, 88:19, 88:22, 89:2, 89:7, 93:3, 94:9, 94:14, 95:2, 95:21, 106:14, 108:5, 109:15, 127:8, 129:17, 130:4, 130:18, 130:22, 131:2, 131:6, 131:9, 131:10, 131:14, 131:18, 132:4, 132:7, 135:8, 135:13, 135:20, 136:6, 136:9, 137:7, 138:13, 147:3, 148:23, 149:5,</p>
---	--	---	---	--

<p>150:7, 150:13, 150:25, 151:1, 151:9, 152:7, 152:19, 152:20, 154:16, 165:9, 165:15, 165:16, 166:16</p> <p>document [35] - 2:3, 10:20, 61:18, 61:19, 61:25, 65:1, 92:21, 93:16, 95:11, 96:19, 96:23, 97:2, 97:4, 97:13, 101:14, 107:17, 108:20, 109:11, 110:9, 116:25, 119:10, 124:13, 124:15, 125:6, 125:19, 143:19, 151:18, 152:2, 152:6, 155:11, 160:18, 163:17, 163:22, 163:24</p> <p>documentation [4] - 18:19, 19:25, 20:20, 164:12</p> <p>documented [11] - 20:1, 41:9, 67:23, 80:19, 81:8, 82:6, 107:7, 113:13, 156:14, 161:21, 162:4</p> <p>documents [19] - 2:13, 2:21, 8:10, 17:24, 61:9, 61:10, 66:10, 75:20, 75:22, 76:1, 78:10, 93:21, 110:18, 116:4, 120:6, 128:5, 133:2, 146:9, 166:18</p> <p>Doe [14] - 12:19, 12:25, 13:20, 14:12, 14:14, 14:22, 33:11, 35:10, 118:3, 118:5, 131:5, 131:6, 131:12, 131:16</p> <p>Doe's [1] - 131:14</p> <p>Don [4] - 1:6, 111:6, 144:1</p> <p>DONALD [2] - 1:10, 1:4</p> <p>Donald [1] - 1:12</p> <p>done [44] - 4:6, 4:7, 6:13, 24:3, 24:5, 25:2, 34:6, 39:4, 40:8, 42:7, 47:4, 52:13, 54:6, 55:9, 63:16, 63:17, 68:9, 68:21, 71:8, 76:13, 76:15, 76:19, 76:21,</p>	<p>78:17, 84:10, 97:15, 102:16, 114:7, 115:24, 122:6, 122:25, 124:4, 128:14, 129:10, 134:9, 136:12, 141:17, 148:15, 156:12, 159:15, 160:12, 166:14, 166:15</p> <p>door [2] - 7:13, 135:22</p> <p>doors [3] - 70:1, 70:3, 74:11</p> <p>dots [1] - 33:7</p> <p>double [1] - 5:19</p> <p>doubling [1] - 154:1</p> <p>doubt [1] - 151:11</p> <p>doubtful [1] - 159:21</p> <p>Doug [7] - 8:3, 8:12, 9:7, 9:10, 10:5, 10:7, 154:5</p> <p>down [22] - 8:3, 24:14, 34:12, 36:22, 47:1, 53:5, 53:7, 69:14, 73:24, 75:25, 76:14, 82:8, 113:16, 122:23, 147:23, 150:2, 150:19, 155:2, 160:13, 162:24, 167:7, 168:5</p> <p>Downtown [18] - 12:14, 14:23, 22:16, 22:17, 23:3, 24:8, 55:3, 56:5, 68:18, 72:7, 75:5, 79:3, 79:5, 82:22, 112:21, 141:10, 157:9, 162:13</p> <p>Dr [9] - 16:10, 19:14, 21:11, 28:20, 51:1, 70:20, 109:13, 109:18, 165:10</p> <p>drafted [2] - 76:2, 133:13</p> <p>drafting [2] - 87:24, 158:3</p> <p>dragged [1] - 24:13</p> <p>draw [6] - 16:4, 16:6, 65:17, 66:12, 86:4, 125:2</p> <p>drawing [2] - 80:6, 145:19</p> <p>dreaded [1] - 99:25</p> <p>dream [1] - 67:3</p> <p>drew [3] - 86:7, 106:6, 136:22</p> <p>drive [3] - 42:9, 57:12, 125:11</p> <p>driven [3] - 21:3, 75:4, 161:9</p>	<p>Driver [1] - 128:8</p> <p>drives [2] - 113:23, 136:19</p> <p>driving [4] - 74:23, 74:24, 115:18, 117:23</p> <p>drop [1] - 36:23</p> <p>drove [1] - 93:3</p> <p>Drug [1] - 3:10</p> <p>drug [1] - 100:21</p> <p>drying [1] - 34:13</p> <p>duct [1] - 73:2</p> <p>Duncan [1] - 117:11</p> <p>during [6] - 1:19, 43:11, 45:23, 83:17, 127:24, 165:13</p> <p>dust [1] - 107:1</p> <p>duties [2] - 65:19, 111:12</p> <p>duty [2] - 5:20, 74:24</p> <p>dying [1] - 86:19</p>	<p>120:20, 121:6</p> <p>effectively [1] - 81:16</p> <p>efficient [2] - 2:5, 2:8</p> <p>effort [10] - 6:22, 31:20, 39:25, 52:11, 57:21, 58:11, 58:14, 75:8, 97:7, 100:6</p> <p>efforts [7] - 16:5, 24:22, 24:23, 31:14, 41:18, 133:5, 134:24</p> <p>eight [2] - 85:15, 141:12</p> <p>either [10] - 15:4, 43:9, 43:18, 53:3, 75:2, 82:8, 117:22, 118:14, 139:19, 160:16</p> <p>eliminated [6] - 60:4, 60:6, 60:16, 60:19, 61:1, 71:1</p> <p>eliminating [2] - 137:12, 137:17</p> <p>elimination [2] - 59:20, 137:21</p> <p>Elizabeth [1] - 163:12</p> <p>emergency [1] - 166:4</p> <p>empire [1] - 78:18</p> <p>encircled [1] - 11:25</p> <p>encompass [1] - 107:22</p> <p>encompassed [1] - 86:7</p> <p>encompassing [1] - 9:2</p> <p>encountered [1] - 43:25</p> <p>end [23] - 5:11, 17:15, 42:21, 52:6, 52:13, 63:16, 66:4, 70:11, 82:16, 101:10, 103:22, 104:1, 115:7, 119:12, 132:12, 134:20, 137:1, 138:17, 146:5, 148:2, 148:20, 150:7</p> <p>ended [17] - 24:16, 28:14, 29:2, 44:19, 48:15, 66:25, 99:3, 121:23, 129:19, 134:4, 143:8, 148:8, 149:16, 149:20, 152:18, 158:2, 162:15</p> <p>ends [6] - 29:3, 44:8, 67:11, 101:19, 114:24, 163:23</p> <p>energy [2] - 104:15, 104:16</p> <p>engaged [11] - 16:9,</p>	<p>16:10, 25:1, 62:24, 71:22, 99:9, 106:14, 106:15, 151:4, 163:15, 165:14</p> <p>engaging [2] - 124:2, 151:5</p> <p>Enns [3] - 157:6, 158:8, 163:11</p> <p>enormous [1] - 51:21</p> <p>ensure [2] - 76:7, 151:1</p> <p>enter [3] - 41:18, 43:22, 44:9</p> <p>entered [8] - 42:19, 44:9, 44:18, 45:10, 69:17, 125:22, 126:3, 131:10</p> <p>entering [1] - 26:3</p> <p>entire [6] - 12:15, 37:4, 51:8, 51:15, 124:6, 158:20</p> <p>entirely [1] - 65:21</p> <p>entirety [1] - 144:17</p> <p>entry [5] - 105:8, 111:9, 112:12, 114:2, 119:13</p> <p>epicenter [2] - 32:25, 95:3</p> <p>equally [1] - 15:8</p> <p>equipped [1] - 74:10</p> <p>erratically [1] - 74:24</p> <p>error [3] - 113:14, 113:15, 113:17</p> <p>estimating [1] - 123:16</p> <p>et [6] - 23:17, 42:7, 42:17, 108:16, 137:20</p> <p>Evans [2] - 128:17, 140:12</p> <p>Evenhanded [50] - 2:2, 2:7, 6:10, 15:9, 26:6, 26:7, 26:9, 27:8, 29:4, 31:4, 31:14, 32:11, 34:25, 36:2, 37:6, 46:24, 62:24, 62:25, 63:1, 63:2, 66:4, 67:13, 67:22, 70:5, 74:18, 95:9, 96:20, 97:18, 98:15, 98:21, 100:7, 101:11, 103:18, 106:3, 108:24, 111:4, 121:4, 124:12, 125:20, 129:20, 133:2, 133:3, 134:20, 142:25, 143:4, 143:21, 144:14, 144:19, 164:11,</p>
---	---	--	--	--

<p>166:8 Evenhanded's [4] - 71:22, 85:3, 97:2, 134:24 event [5] - 24:12, 35:18, 115:15, 141:19, 143:13 eventually [6] - 56:19, 91:24, 95:17, 133:3, 158:8 everywhere [1] - 144:11 Evidence [1] - 40:23 evidence [14] - 2:5, 23:9, 23:11, 23:12, 23:13, 33:5, 33:6, 45:23, 70:24, 71:13, 79:25, 97:25, 164:8, 168:8 evil [1] - 69:21 evolution [4] - 27:15, 36:11, 36:12, 78:1 evolve [1] - 126:23 evolved [10] - 46:22, 46:23, 90:23, 114:13, 134:2, 140:11, 141:23, 158:7, 158:20 ex [1] - 62:14 ex-Project [1] - 62:14 exact [1] - 49:17 exactly [6] - 59:11, 105:1, 111:14, 116:21, 124:19, 160:10 EXAMINATION [1] - 2:12 examination [1] - 51:2 examine [1] - 34:15 examined [3] - 37:17, 46:12, 123:1 example [11] - 38:7, 41:24, 42:1, 42:14, 45:16, 77:25, 123:3, 126:4, 126:5, 131:5, 155:1 excellent [3] - 48:15, 51:4, 122:8 exceptional [5] - 39:25, 67:1, 80:14, 101:23 exchange [1] - 5:5 exercise [1] - 25:1 exhibit [2] - 34:12, 35:12 exhibits [18] - 1:18, 30:11, 37:14, 37:16, 45:24, 46:3, 46:11, 47:16, 84:13, 85:19, 85:20, 95:15, 95:19,</p>	<p>122:4, 122:6, 130:7, 130:14, 149:15 exist [2] - 26:9, 54:9 existent [1] - 88:7 existing [1] - 166:6 exists [1] - 26:10 expand [1] - 107:6 expenses [1] - 158:6 expensive [1] - 137:24 experience [6] - 5:9, 27:3, 33:17, 46:24, 101:25, 133:20 experienced [1] - 126:24 experiences [1] - 96:14 expert [5] - 3:7, 5:1, 49:5, 79:7, 165:19 expertise [7] - 46:20, 49:4, 51:6, 80:5, 80:7, 80:8, 99:2 experts [2] - 50:25, 51:3 explain [11] - 12:25, 29:14, 47:13, 47:14, 64:13, 116:19, 124:14, 132:3, 135:11, 154:13, 160:6 explained [5] - 29:7, 143:2, 145:11, 155:8, 155:9 explaining [2] - 51:16, 108:4 explains [3] - 122:18, 122:23, 123:21 explanatory [2] - 8:18, 102:3 express [1] - 96:4 extend [1] - 32:23 extended [1] - 96:9 extensive [2] - 60:7, 76:13 extensively [1] - 60:4 extent [3] - 7:5, 22:10, 99:21 extra [2] - 49:18, 103:6 extreme [1] - 38:13 extremely [7] - 4:21, 5:8, 49:7, 49:8, 62:16, 96:15, 137:22 eyes [2] - 36:9, 49:18</p>	<p>26:17, 30:13, 31:15, 59:10, 62:11, 64:23, 66:13, 74:1, 75:10, 96:16, 112:25, 115:7, 117:16, 122:24, 128:24, 133:10, 149:19, 161:10, 161:12, 166:7 facts [3] - 10:14, 10:18, 18:3 fair [1] - 168:4 fairly [3] - 42:2, 58:1, 92:12 faith [1] - 154:3 fall [3] - 7:5, 38:25, 156:21 familial [4] - 32:12, 150:25, 152:18, 166:16 families [23] - 28:4, 28:7, 87:12, 152:24, 152:25, 153:1, 153:6, 153:12, 153:19, 154:19, 155:6, 156:4, 156:13, 156:15, 156:24, 157:3, 157:21, 158:6, 159:12, 161:10, 161:15, 161:18, 163:8 family [8] - 82:21, 83:2, 154:11, 155:22, 156:19, 156:20, 160:14, 163:7 family's [1] - 163:2 far [3] - 47:11, 78:17, 154:20 farm [4] - 13:25, 14:5, 14:11, 43:10 feature [1] - 152:21 February [11] - 1:1, 17:21, 31:6, 32:8, 33:14, 56:9, 56:10, 61:17, 70:4, 144:23, 144:24 federal [2] - 132:4, 132:15 feed [1] - 104:15 fell [1] - 93:13 felt [15] - 14:17, 39:10, 61:1, 62:7, 69:1, 121:16, 129:14, 132:16, 136:4, 136:15, 136:20, 142:13, 154:19, 155:8, 163:8 few [3] - 19:9, 59:6,</p>	<p>72:2 Field [20] - 8:1, 8:5, 16:25, 19:7, 22:1, 22:21, 41:8, 49:2, 56:14, 62:12, 64:2, 66:15, 77:7, 90:16, 92:9, 93:17, 109:12, 110:6, 141:24, 161:6 Field's [2] - 78:23, 110:20 fifth [1] - 69:13 fighting [1] - 38:4 figure [6] - 40:18, 69:4, 134:7, 141:4, 144:1, 145:3 figuring [1] - 145:23 file [29] - 5:7, 42:19, 52:25, 53:2, 53:4, 53:9, 53:17, 53:21, 53:23, 54:6, 66:17, 66:19, 66:24, 67:5, 69:10, 69:14, 75:11, 90:4, 96:3, 97:1, 97:2, 97:18, 105:15, 129:3, 129:4, 136:21, 146:25, 156:14 File [2] - 121:12, 127:16 files [23] - 5:4, 19:25, 21:13, 62:4, 62:20, 69:9, 94:7, 94:23, 97:12, 108:5, 113:11, 114:8, 121:1, 121:6, 121:17, 123:1, 128:3, 128:16, 129:13, 130:13, 147:2, 147:20, 149:13 fill [1] - 155:23 filling [1] - 159:9 final [3] - 127:12, 133:7, 138:23 finally [2] - 104:23, 138:22 finance [1] - 132:12 financed [1] - 37:7 financing [1] - 124:24 fine [2] - 129:11, 165:20 fingerprints [2] - 85:10, 122:12 finish [6] - 47:15, 129:16, 129:18, 147:7, 163:1, 164:14 finished [7] - 53:15, 66:14, 90:7, 138:25, 146:1, 146:7 first [34] - 1:17, 3:18,</p>	<p>7:8, 7:24, 14:3, 16:5, 16:24, 18:13, 18:18, 25:7, 27:18, 32:9, 32:19, 33:6, 33:14, 34:24, 48:24, 57:19, 57:22, 62:11, 66:5, 66:7, 68:6, 69:6, 89:21, 94:5, 120:9, 123:16, 125:7, 133:22, 145:16, 146:9, 162:20, 162:24 first-degree [2] - 32:9, 33:14 firstly [2] - 16:4, 78:7 fish [2] - 52:5, 52:7 fit [4] - 72:16, 77:5, 89:9, 133:4 five [6] - 57:25, 58:2, 72:12, 85:10, 94:23, 118:18 fix [6] - 28:23, 41:22, 96:3, 105:4, 105:6, 131:20 flag [1] - 93:7 fleshed [2] - 69:20, 162:1 floated [1] - 159:19 flowed [2] - 3:21, 125:15 flows [1] - 117:16 FLRP [2] - 36:15, 36:20 focal [1] - 51:18 focus [6] - 18:15, 51:6, 51:14, 59:16, 116:12, 150:12 focused [1] - 48:4 focusing [2] - 62:5, 84:7 folders [1] - 105:16 follow [7] - 65:23, 113:1, 114:4, 114:7, 122:7, 136:19, 167:11 follow-up [3] - 113:1, 114:4, 114:7 followed [3] - 43:17, 71:15, 118:25 following [4] - 27:24, 79:24, 80:20, 147:18 Force [1] - 138:20 force [11] - 17:15, 17:19, 17:23, 56:25, 61:24, 91:1, 105:11, 133:11, 157:12, 161:12, 163:9 forced [4] - 28:14, 29:20, 72:25 foregoing [1] - 168:16</p>
	F			
<p>faced [2] - 27:9, 35:1 facing [4] - 70:10, 133:14, 134:19, 150:10 fact [22] - 8:23, 26:12,</p>		<p>61:1, 62:7, 69:1, 121:16, 129:14, 132:16, 136:4, 136:15, 136:20, 142:13, 154:19, 155:8, 163:8 few [3] - 19:9, 59:6,</p>	<p>147:7, 163:1, 164:14 finished [7] - 53:15, 66:14, 90:7, 138:25, 146:1, 146:7 first [34] - 1:17, 3:18,</p>	

<p>forensic [3] - 20:17, 29:11, 165:1</p> <p>forensics [1] - 24:7</p> <p>forest [1] - 58:18</p> <p>forget [1] - 29:24</p> <p>forgetting [1] - 85:1</p> <p>forgotten [1] - 140:10</p> <p>form [6] - 10:9, 48:21, 50:17, 66:20, 155:23, 155:24</p> <p>formal [1] - 7:7</p> <p>formalization [1] - 90:15</p> <p>formalize [1] - 7:7</p> <p>formalized [2] - 78:15, 90:24</p> <p>formally [2] - 91:21, 91:22</p> <p>format [1] - 155:3</p> <p>formative [2] - 2:23, 83:25</p> <p>formed [1] - 141:21</p> <p>formulating [1] - 62:19</p> <p>forth [2] - 76:3, 128:14</p> <p>fortuitous [1] - 72:24</p> <p>forward [15] - 2:24, 9:4, 24:1, 24:3, 29:15, 42:9, 86:3, 102:18, 111:24, 114:8, 117:24, 124:20, 135:6, 154:10, 158:23</p> <p>forwarded [2] - 37:16, 114:9</p> <p>foul [4] - 35:10, 35:11, 35:13, 35:17</p> <p>founded [1] - 78:9</p> <p>four [7] - 14:25, 20:25, 27:7, 53:17, 55:15, 57:12, 147:2</p> <p>four-by-fours [1] - 20:25</p> <p>four-wheel [1] - 57:12</p> <p>fours [1] - 20:25</p> <p>fourth [2] - 40:11, 86:22</p> <p>frame [2] - 63:15, 137:16</p> <p>frankly [2] - 7:4, 109:19</p> <p>frantic [2] - 86:1, 86:2</p> <p>Fraser [2] - 13:6, 99:16</p> <p>fraught [1] - 38:3</p> <p>Freda [3] - 157:6, 158:8, 163:11</p> <p>free [5] - 31:12, 65:20, 66:25, 73:22, 111:7</p> <p>freed [2] - 62:17,</p>	<p>166:22</p> <p>freeing [1] - 142:24</p> <p>freezer [2] - 47:2</p> <p>freezers [3] - 33:3, 33:5, 47:1</p> <p>front [10] - 7:18, 27:24, 115:17, 124:2, 137:1, 138:17, 139:25, 146:4, 146:5, 155:7</p> <p>front-end [2] - 138:17, 146:5</p> <p>fulfilled [1] - 155:15</p> <p>full [8] - 3:5, 11:20, 62:21, 65:8, 69:9, 75:11, 89:16, 104:16</p> <p>full-on [1] - 89:16</p> <p>full-time [1] - 3:5</p> <p>fully [11] - 14:6, 15:11, 22:9, 26:10, 42:22, 45:7, 67:24, 70:5, 106:14, 110:14, 160:1</p> <p>functioning [8] - 14:6, 26:11, 42:3, 44:10, 45:7, 45:17, 45:18, 66:8</p> <p>funding [3] - 9:12, 9:13, 9:14</p> <p>funny [1] - 157:20</p> <p>future [2] - 114:10, 149:18</p>	<p>given [9] - 3:25, 4:1, 32:19, 33:9, 66:5, 93:3, 98:24, 141:5, 150:9</p> <p>glanced [1] - 110:11</p> <p>globally [1] - 19:2</p> <p>goal [6] - 50:21, 51:9, 51:17, 59:13, 61:4, 88:12</p> <p>government [1] - 158:5</p> <p>GPS [1] - 159:18</p> <p>grab [1] - 2:10</p> <p>grasp [1] - 29:8</p> <p>grassroots [2] - 6:22, 7:1</p> <p>grassy [1] - 58:17</p> <p>grave [1] - 32:20</p> <p>great [12] - 49:15, 53:14, 58:25, 60:24, 62:17, 71:16, 77:24, 102:19, 106:12, 118:20, 157:13</p> <p>Green [3] - 77:24, 126:25, 149:18</p> <p>greenspan [2] - 167:17, 167:20</p> <p>Greenspan [1] - 167:18</p> <p>Grewal [2] - 5:23, 90:5</p> <p>gridded [1] - 47:1</p> <p>gridding [1] - 46:23</p> <p>grids [2] - 47:1, 47:2</p> <p>grievances [1] - 154:4</p> <p>grip [2] - 42:8, 48:6</p> <p>ground [1] - 67:12</p> <p>group [13] - 51:8, 68:18, 73:18, 77:5, 84:7, 84:8, 84:9, 100:19, 107:8, 127:23, 128:23, 154:8</p> <p>groups [1] - 99:22</p> <p>growing [3] - 121:18, 121:25, 141:16</p> <p>guess [7] - 36:18, 58:3, 76:14, 99:20, 106:21, 113:19, 142:1</p> <p>guessing [1] - 26:24</p> <p>guesstimates [1] - 123:2</p> <p>guidance [1] - 154:10</p> <p>guide [2] - 2:19, 3:14</p> <p>Gulbransen [2] - 32:4, 33:9</p> <p>gun [1] - 33:22</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>hacksaw [1] - 74:14</p> <p>half [4] - 13:4, 37:8, 134:4, 167:5</p> <p>Hall [1] - 167:23</p> <p>hall [1] - 168:7</p> <p>hampered [1] - 156:6</p> <p>hand [1] - 163:25</p> <p>handcuffs [1] - 74:13</p> <p>handed [2] - 17:13, 164:6</p> <p>handing [2] - 2:13, 52:25</p> <p>handle [1] - 42:15</p> <p>handled [1] - 46:21</p> <p>handles [1] - 74:10</p> <p>handling [2] - 6:15, 47:8</p> <p>hands [1] - 38:14</p> <p>handwriting [4] - 163:19, 164:2, 164:4, 164:5</p> <p>handwritten [1] - 163:23</p> <p>hard [8] - 28:3, 29:8, 107:5, 123:7, 136:25, 143:7</p> <p>hardening [1] - 100:18</p> <p>harder [3] - 127:3, 132:24, 132:25</p> <p>hardship [1] - 157:22</p> <p>harm [1] - 84:5</p> <p>hashed [1] - 156:12</p> <p>hasty [1] - 52:12</p> <p>haystack [1] - 106:24</p> <p>head [2] - 4:8, 22:19</p> <p>heading [3] - 119:7, 121:12, 123:10</p> <p>headquarters [2] - 7:6, 105:25</p> <p>heaping [1] - 16:22</p> <p>hear [6] - 16:16, 20:12, 100:8, 154:9, 161:16, 168:9</p> <p>heard [12] - 4:13, 4:15, 20:11, 26:18, 28:19, 70:14, 78:13, 82:15, 97:25, 104:4, 105:3, 125:14</p> <p>hearing [12] - 1:4, 16:17, 55:23, 56:1, 57:7, 98:5, 98:8, 100:7, 125:17, 142:5, 142:8, 168:12</p> <p>heart [1] - 75:8</p> <p>hearts [1] - 157:11</p> <p>Heather [1] - 31:8</p> <p>Heed [1] - 160:9</p> <p>held [2] - 38:6, 84:19</p>	<p>help [9] - 29:18, 45:17, 87:7, 99:2, 104:12, 113:7, 146:3, 153:25, 158:19</p> <p>helped [1] - 3:14</p> <p>helpful [1] - 102:12</p> <p>helping [3] - 158:6, 158:14, 158:15</p> <p>Henderson [7] - 7:20, 8:12, 9:8, 9:25, 10:5, 10:7, 154:5</p> <p>Henderson's [1] - 8:3</p> <p>hereby [1] - 168:16</p> <p>herein [1] - 168:18</p> <p>herself [2] - 18:23, 43:10</p> <p>Hetherington [1] - 162:5</p> <p>hide [1] - 57:22</p> <p>hideous [1] - 75:11</p> <p>hiding [1] - 51:24</p> <p>high [13] - 4:19, 6:15, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11, 54:3, 67:5, 100:3, 103:21, 103:22, 139:19, 162:6</p> <p>high-level [2] - 4:19, 6:15</p> <p>high-quality [5] - 19:8, 19:11, 54:3, 67:5, 162:6</p> <p>high-ranking [1] - 139:19</p> <p>high-risk [1] - 19:2</p> <p>higher [1] - 26:24</p> <p>highest [2] - 139:20, 144:7</p> <p>highlighting [1] - 147:1</p> <p>highlights [1] - 159:11</p> <p>Highway [1] - 13:5</p> <p>hike [1] - 58:23</p> <p>himself [2] - 85:6, 104:10</p> <p>hindsight [1] - 133:20</p> <p>hint [1] - 78:8</p> <p>Hira [1] - 2:13</p> <p>hire [1] - 111:8</p> <p>hired [2] - 105:18, 161:14</p> <p>hiring [1] - 5:24</p> <p>Hiscox [2] - 15:21, 15:24</p> <p>historic [5] - 82:7, 82:10, 82:13, 113:12, 113:22</p> <p>historical [2] - 52:16, 52:22</p> <p>history [5] - 51:16, 73:18, 79:8, 125:8,</p>
	G			
	<p>gain [2] - 92:22, 124:21</p> <p>gang [2] - 22:15, 25:24</p> <p>Gary [12] - 7:2, 8:12, 9:7, 9:12, 9:17, 9:20, 21:4, 78:2, 139:11, 149:19, 166:22</p> <p>gather [1] - 23:11</p> <p>General [2] - 3:16, 15:12</p> <p>General's [1] - 157:17</p> <p>generate [1] - 125:12</p> <p>generated [1] - 120:13</p> <p>generates [2] - 115:9, 116:2</p> <p>Geramy [22] - 8:1, 8:5, 16:25, 18:18, 18:23, 19:6, 41:8, 49:2, 56:14, 57:4, 62:12, 64:2, 66:15, 66:20, 77:6, 90:16, 93:17, 109:12, 141:24, 161:5, 161:16, 162:1</p> <p>Giles [1] - 98:19</p>			

<p>157:8 hit [6] - 32:6, 64:16, 130:22, 136:24, 136:25 hitchhikers [3] - 62:7, 84:11, 108:2 hits [2] - 64:24, 137:7 hoc [1] - 7:1 holding [2] - 32:5 holds [1] - 70:24 holes [2] - 144:10 holistic [2] - 8:21, 51:5 home [2] - 31:22, 81:12 homicide [19] - 3:19, 4:22, 5:22, 6:15, 13:7, 23:5, 23:6, 24:6, 33:17, 33:25, 49:8, 56:21, 96:14, 101:22, 103:11, 111:12, 122:1, 144:12 Homicide [10] - 12:12, 12:13, 17:17, 54:2, 54:4, 55:16, 103:1, 121:12, 144:3, 144:10 homicides [7] - 5:20, 19:7, 51:23, 67:10, 94:7, 130:16, 144:5 hooker [1] - 61:23 Hooper [4] - 104:4, 104:19, 104:20, 104:24 hoped [2] - 124:15, 124:21 hopefully [5] - 2:5, 125:6, 127:8, 148:25, 168:7 hoping [2] - 28:23, 92:21 horrible [6] - 69:11, 69:12, 77:21, 158:10, 158:12, 158:17 hospital [1] - 89:4 Hotel [2] - 45:1, 155:4 hotel [1] - 72:8 hours [2] - 31:11, 167:13 house [4] - 23:13, 23:15, 73:5 human [5] - 35:8, 75:12, 87:18, 130:24, 131:2 hundred [2] - 90:9, 133:6 hundreds [5] - 82:18, 97:6, 115:21</p>	<p>hurdles [1] - 147:25 hurting [1] - 121:8</p> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>ID [5] - 18:25, 31:9, 31:14, 48:9, 49:21 idea [7] - 39:7, 46:22, 88:5, 98:2, 138:16, 140:23, 168:10 ideally [3] - 7:15, 23:22, 80:23 ideas [2] - 5:5, 159:19 ident [2] - 104:7, 104:8 identifiable [1] - 14:10 identified [18] - 3:25, 14:4, 14:8, 14:13, 31:22, 36:4, 39:15, 40:12, 43:11, 61:9, 83:7, 85:9, 85:23, 111:21, 131:8, 132:18, 145:18, 161:10 identifies [1] - 160:2 identify [9] - 27:6, 37:22, 49:14, 63:10, 86:11, 127:22, 133:4, 150:20, 156:1 identifying [3] - 64:17, 88:16, 159:14 ignore [1] - 41:18 illogical [1] - 51:25 imagine [3] - 30:21, 32:16, 152:17 immediately [7] - 17:25, 18:2, 45:9, 54:8, 68:10, 86:4, 144:15 immense [1] - 130:9 impediment [1] - 87:7 importance [3] - 38:13, 62:5, 62:12 important [9] - 15:8, 53:20, 78:17, 83:4, 91:7, 91:20, 125:3, 149:23, 153:13 importantly [2] - 5:2, 73:4 impressive [1] - 107:8 improved [1] - 59:3 IN [1] - 2:12 inaccurate [1] - 27:16 inactive [1] - 99:10 inappropriate [1] - 61:24 incident [2] - 43:24, 45:25 include [1] - 62:7 included [3] - 91:12,</p>	<p>97:24 including [4] - 37:13, 63:19, 97:5, 107:25 inclusion [1] - 51:7 inclusive [1] - 21:14 incoming [1] - 120:17 incompetent [1] - 80:1 incredible [2] - 85:9, 157:10 incredibly [4] - 102:11, 102:12, 104:9, 146:19 INDEX [1] - 1:1 India [1] - 154:6 indicate [1] - 10:4 indication [1] - 120:6 individual [2] - 144:20, 145:17 individuals [13] - 10:15, 72:1, 101:10, 101:16, 104:3, 106:2, 107:18, 139:6, 139:16, 143:17, 143:21, 144:23, 154:25 inform [1] - 74:18 information [15] - 15:19, 16:1, 18:7, 45:5, 78:6, 90:8, 118:8, 120:17, 123:14, 123:15, 146:12, 146:18, 146:22, 149:10, 155:23 informed [1] - 167:20 inhalers [1] - 31:9 initiative [18] - 39:13, 54:8, 54:9, 54:10, 84:14, 84:15, 86:9, 86:10, 86:22, 87:23, 88:14, 94:13, 99:17, 126:15, 135:13, 136:14, 138:18, 158:20 initiatives [5] - 85:2, 86:25, 93:3, 101:7, 141:3 input [1] - 111:22 inquiries [7] - 18:24, 23:16, 83:1, 83:8, 113:3, 114:10, 116:22 inquiry [2] - 45:24, 70:15 insert [1] - 5:3 inside [6] - 23:19, 31:16, 72:25, 78:15, 139:1, 140:18 insisting [1] - 71:1 Inspector [5] - 7:5,</p>	<p>7:14, 136:3, 159:9, 160:9 instance [1] - 43:16 instead [2] - 24:21, 52:5 instruction [1] - 120:20 integrated [1] - 63:23 intelligent [1] - 103:12 intelligently [1] - 120:2 intended [1] - 124:22 intently [1] - 16:10 interceptions [1] - 90:9 interest [8] - 37:19, 43:22, 48:8, 50:4, 71:23, 128:7, 149:14, 150:19 interested [3] - 16:13, 88:21, 99:6 interim [1] - 140:14 Interpol [3] - 131:13, 131:14, 131:15 interrogating [1] - 22:11 interrogation [4] - 4:8, 6:20, 7:1, 22:15 interrogations [1] - 6:16 interrogators [1] - 6:23 interrupted [1] - 89:6 interviewing [1] - 6:11 intimate [1] - 102:1 introduced [1] - 4:18 invaluable [1] - 50:1 investigate [7] - 24:22, 24:24, 44:25, 127:12, 144:12, 148:12 investigated [6] - 12:12, 21:17, 60:24, 81:17, 93:13, 117:19 investigates [1] - 105:14 investigating [1] - 75:11 investigation [48] - 3:19, 3:21, 4:2, 5:23, 5:25, 6:3, 13:21, 18:10, 20:3, 21:6, 26:11, 34:1, 34:6, 34:17, 41:25, 42:6, 42:9, 42:11, 51:19, 52:15, 54:12, 54:23, 61:22, 64:18, 68:9, 71:7, 71:9, 77:22, 80:23, 87:14, 90:6, 90:7, 90:18, 94:1,</p>	<p>100:11, 106:7, 110:1, 112:14, 119:22, 125:22, 127:25, 128:9, 137:9, 138:6, 147:4, 154:6, 160:23 investigational [10] - 34:25, 35:5, 36:4, 40:12, 67:21, 75:14, 76:25, 77:16, 125:9, 126:2 investigationally [1] - 77:20 investigations [17] - 3:15, 3:24, 4:14, 4:19, 4:22, 6:12, 11:9, 11:14, 11:18, 11:19, 11:22, 15:1, 15:20, 76:13, 85:11, 122:3, 147:5 Investigations [1] - 3:17 investigative [29] - 9:3, 27:10, 30:1, 36:10, 39:7, 39:8, 39:17, 47:24, 53:10, 53:11, 56:24, 66:8, 67:17, 76:5, 76:6, 77:10, 83:15, 83:16, 86:17, 86:22, 87:23, 108:15, 118:20, 131:3, 131:7, 135:3, 145:5, 145:19, 161:14 investigator [19] - 33:17, 49:8, 54:1, 54:3, 56:24, 57:10, 66:1, 66:16, 80:14, 90:5, 90:12, 101:23, 103:10, 103:11, 103:17, 104:25, 112:16, 139:22, 153:18 investigators [16] - 4:21, 5:6, 16:12, 37:14, 54:5, 55:16, 56:21, 66:12, 70:21, 96:14, 118:11, 126:25, 128:13, 129:2, 139:21, 156:17 invited [1] - 100:4 involved [13] - 5:23, 10:13, 22:9, 22:14, 33:20, 35:10, 49:18, 51:12, 82:23, 88:25, 157:15, 163:16, 168:10 involvement [4] - 25:11, 74:6, 83:18,</p>
--	---	---	--	--

<p>149:21 involving [2] - 4:20, 27:1 iron [1] - 42:8 irrelevant [1] - 91:4 ish [1] - 12:24 Island [4] - 11:3, 54:16, 54:21, 54:24 island [2] - 11:24, 55:15 issue [12] - 12:21, 32:15, 87:18, 99:6, 113:23, 120:10, 132:4, 132:15, 135:16, 152:11, 166:7, 167:15 issues [22] - 5:18, 8:7, 9:12, 9:13, 9:14, 10:11, 41:23, 65:2, 87:20, 88:4, 95:12, 117:4, 120:7, 121:7, 121:8, 129:5, 129:25, 133:14, 134:19, 160:1, 160:2, 160:19 items [1] - 97:17 itself [1] - 12:6</p>	<p>153:17, 153:22, 156:23, 159:6, 159:8, 159:25, 160:18, 160:24 Jim's [2] - 82:10, 113:10 job [12] - 6:21, 15:6, 49:23, 53:20, 64:23, 80:4, 103:12, 104:10, 105:9, 116:20, 127:3, 128:18 jobs [3] - 5:3, 125:4, 164:7 Joesbury [1] - 44:22 JOHN [2] - 1:10, 1:4 John [1] - 1:12 Johnny [2] - 157:7, 163:11 Johnson [3] - 113:14, 128:5, 128:12 joined [2] - 3:3, 17:17 joint [1] - 12:12 jointly [1] - 20:3 Jones [1] - 113:2 Joyce [1] - 49:10 judge [2] - 32:21, 95:22 judicially [2] - 136:9, 149:2 judicially-ordered [1] - 149:2 Judy [4] - 49:10, 49:15, 50:14, 99:17 juggle [1] - 147:23 July [1] - 112:12 jump [1] - 76:3 jumped [1] - 75:3 jumping [1] - 162:25 June [5] - 44:23, 74:9, 93:23, 94:3, 110:24 jury [2] - 65:21, 132:5 jury-rig [1] - 132:5 jury-rigged [1] - 65:21 justice [2] - 40:2, 95:22 justify [1] - 91:18</p>	<p>Keith [7] - 16:11, 20:7, 51:2, 51:11, 55:9, 68:12, 141:7 Kelowna [1] - 10:23 kept [5] - 17:4, 38:4, 71:1, 84:10, 133:1 key [7] - 6:22, 42:23, 56:24, 97:8, 99:6, 143:25, 154:5 kick [1] - 143:3 kicking [1] - 107:1 kidnapping [1] - 72:13 kill [1] - 137:20 Killaly [2] - 107:15, 146:15 killed [5] - 4:3, 4:9, 14:1, 14:3, 75:4 killer [50] - 9:1, 11:4, 13:17, 14:6, 20:16, 20:18, 23:24, 25:14, 26:7, 30:9, 34:17, 39:14, 43:12, 51:21, 52:10, 52:11, 54:15, 54:18, 54:22, 55:7, 57:21, 58:13, 59:3, 59:13, 60:21, 64:18, 73:21, 77:18, 78:3, 78:5, 85:22, 86:1, 86:11, 89:14, 89:15, 93:6, 118:1, 125:23, 126:11, 126:25, 137:19, 140:8, 141:5, 141:9, 149:23, 150:10, 150:14, 150:15, 150:21, 161:23 killer's [1] - 58:11 killers [11] - 25:12, 26:3, 51:3, 51:22, 64:17, 71:10, 73:6, 85:13, 135:14, 140:21, 150:11 killing [2] - 24:2, 77:23 killings [5] - 21:16, 50:9, 56:6, 97:25, 98:1 kind [7] - 5:13, 7:8, 24:15, 65:18, 125:15, 133:11, 135:21 kinds [2] - 40:1, 125:13 Kingsbury [16] - 48:13, 49:5, 49:6, 50:2, 55:13, 82:14, 83:6, 84:18, 94:10, 101:21, 102:4, 102:20, 115:23, 131:8, 146:19, 150:1 Kingsbury's [2] -</p>	<p>115:19, 164:18 knife [1] - 42:15 knowing [2] - 35:14, 137:10 knowledge [7] - 4:5, 4:7, 66:17, 102:1, 103:3, 103:4, 136:21 knowledgeable [4] - 6:5, 6:8, 101:2, 103:2 known [8] - 30:4, 31:2, 49:24, 74:5, 74:6, 117:20, 118:11, 161:11 knows [4] - 38:11, 49:23, 50:9, 104:8 Kurvers [2] - 50:8, 117:10</p>	<p>lay [1] - 38:11 layman's [1] - 165:18 lead [8] - 46:13, 57:10, 86:11, 119:2, 132:6, 132:14, 154:22, 168:3 leader [1] - 111:21 learn [8] - 10:11, 10:14, 10:18, 26:15, 35:6, 45:5, 50:11, 57:17 learned [15] - 3:2, 5:7, 18:17, 18:18, 19:6, 19:9, 19:10, 19:21, 19:22, 19:23, 37:17, 46:24, 56:13, 121:21, 127:24 learning [8] - 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:23, 18:13, 22:25, 50:21, 82:12 learns [2] - 45:1, 83:9 least [2] - 41:17, 96:15 leave [6] - 72:23, 96:10, 104:17, 104:21, 111:17, 146:16 leaves [2] - 111:1, 111:5 led [1] - 46:10 left [20] - 4:17, 6:9, 12:9, 29:21, 45:3, 45:6, 56:19, 58:8, 58:18, 66:21, 79:10, 82:11, 83:5, 105:11, 121:4, 128:17, 153:21, 157:12, 162:23, 163:25 left-hand [1] - 163:25 legislated [1] - 38:16 legislation [4] - 40:10, 46:19, 87:7, 95:23 lent [2] - 143:5, 144:8 LePard [1] - 46:6 less [3] - 36:23, 58:22, 130:15 lessen [1] - 88:20 lesser [1] - 129:2 letter [1] - 158:3 letting [3] - 92:23, 94:4, 94:11 level [7] - 4:19, 6:15, 73:24, 135:23, 144:8 levels [1] - 27:22 liaise [1] - 160:8 Liaison [1] - 157:6 liaison [1] - 162:7 licence [1] - 24:15 life [3] - 23:21, 23:23, 168:2</p>
J				
<p>jail [1] - 137:19 Jane [15] - 12:19, 12:25, 13:20, 14:12, 14:14, 14:22, 33:10, 35:10, 118:3, 118:5, 131:5, 131:12, 131:13, 131:16 Janet [1] - 1:6 January [20] - 17:7, 18:8, 29:13, 36:8, 44:4, 48:2, 48:4, 48:18, 48:23, 52:18, 54:11, 54:20, 62:11, 77:6, 77:14, 78:11, 83:25, 84:16, 145:22 jarred [1] - 16:19 Jarvis [2] - 144:1 jeep [1] - 74:22 Jennifer [1] - 99:16 JFO [1] - 21:18 Jim [33] - 17:16, 49:22, 54:1, 56:21, 56:23, 57:4, 67:1, 68:3, 68:6, 80:11, 80:13, 82:5, 95:24, 106:13, 112:16, 112:25, 113:15, 113:21, 114:4, 114:25, 115:2, 116:20, 119:11, 147:17, 148:23,</p>				
	K			
	<p>Kathie [1] - 168:20 Keen [3] - 62:17, 106:10, 108:9 keen [1] - 102:12 keep [10] - 16:22, 19:5, 28:15, 33:3, 70:3, 73:19, 76:2, 96:13, 135:6, 143:23 keeping [1] - 136:9 keeps [1] - 121:25</p>			
		L		
		<p>lab [32] - 17:5, 29:11, 29:17, 29:21, 29:24, 30:4, 31:23, 36:6, 36:18, 37:15, 39:3, 39:25, 47:7, 50:6, 51:7, 51:13, 85:20, 85:21, 87:5, 106:14, 122:5, 122:6, 158:5, 164:19, 164:22, 165:1, 165:4, 165:17, 165:23, 166:4, 166:10, 166:23 lab's [1] - 84:2 laborious [1] - 37:1 lack [8] - 24:20, 27:18, 35:1, 37:12, 75:7, 78:9, 120:18, 120:19 lacked [2] - 24:18, 24:19 ladies [1] - 99:22 lag [1] - 41:21 laid [2] - 14:14, 152:10 Langley [3] - 5:11, 5:20, 6:9 language [3] - 46:7, 46:8, 46:9 large [7] - 8:19, 52:9, 100:16, 104:12, 146:8, 155:4, 155:6 larger [2] - 1:18, 88:13 last [4] - 45:2, 138:2, 152:2, 160:3 late [4] - 12:24, 56:9, 85:25, 114:16 late-ish [1] - 12:24 launched [1] - 38:9 law [3] - 6:20, 28:21, 71:15 lawyers [1] - 90:24</p>		

<p>light [1] - 52:22</p> <p>likely [1] - 102:3</p> <p>limit [1] - 138:14</p> <p>limitations [1] - 29:16</p> <p>limited [1] - 126:14</p> <p>line [2] - 120:12, 124:2</p> <p>link [3] - 13:17, 42:14, 64:19</p> <p>linkage [1] - 41:22</p> <p>linked [4] - 21:17, 25:15, 25:17, 66:11</p> <p>linking [3] - 41:6, 41:24, 44:20</p> <p>links [1] - 105:6</p> <p>list [23] - 48:25, 53:15, 68:15, 76:17, 84:4, 101:17, 106:1, 107:16, 107:19, 108:17, 108:20, 108:21, 109:22, 114:12, 115:20, 115:23, 121:18, 138:2, 139:6, 143:17, 144:18, 144:23, 152:3</p> <p>listed [4] - 104:3, 106:8, 106:10, 114:20</p> <p>listen [1] - 154:12</p> <p>listened [1] - 155:8</p> <p>lists [3] - 63:22, 153:24, 156:8</p> <p>literally [5] - 22:11, 54:10, 58:16, 62:19, 145:21</p> <p>live [5] - 5:17, 78:15, 120:10, 131:18, 167:19</p> <p>lived [4] - 4:7, 43:8, 120:24, 134:8</p> <p>lives [3] - 19:16, 39:19, 113:8</p> <p>load [4] - 137:2, 138:17, 146:5, 146:6</p> <p>local [3] - 39:7, 39:8, 131:7</p> <p>located [1] - 45:24</p> <p>location [3] - 7:14, 23:25, 24:5</p> <p>locations [1] - 26:4</p> <p>log [1] - 116:24</p> <p>logging [2] - 58:7, 58:16</p> <p>logs [2] - 1:22, 119:4</p> <p>look [56] - 7:17, 8:6, 8:9, 8:21, 9:5, 19:2, 20:15, 20:24, 20:25, 23:11, 23:12, 23:13, 23:15, 26:3, 37:25, 38:14, 47:22, 48:19,</p>	<p>51:5, 57:12, 61:11, 62:1, 68:4, 68:14, 73:17, 75:17, 75:24, 89:22, 92:12, 92:13, 92:15, 101:8, 109:4, 113:13, 113:18, 116:10, 117:6, 118:6, 119:4, 121:23, 123:6, 128:11, 129:9, 132:21, 140:6, 140:11, 142:17, 142:21, 143:15, 145:23, 148:14, 151:14, 153:2, 159:1, 161:2, 163:3</p> <p>looked [15] - 41:4, 41:7, 59:1, 68:6, 69:10, 69:13, 72:5, 75:12, 89:20, 120:14, 121:20, 128:3, 132:23, 132:24, 144:22</p> <p>looking [23] - 8:24, 8:25, 19:20, 23:2, 48:24, 49:12, 49:19, 52:18, 62:6, 62:9, 64:14, 68:10, 69:21, 106:23, 108:5, 121:23, 122:5, 135:24, 140:6, 143:3, 148:3, 163:14</p> <p>looks [6] - 67:6, 97:15, 102:5, 115:23, 151:18, 154:22</p> <p>lord [1] - 143:24</p> <p>Lori [7] - 75:8, 79:1, 141:24, 161:5, 161:16, 162:1, 162:17</p> <p>lose [1] - 111:14</p> <p>loss [1] - 105:17</p> <p>lost [2] - 43:19, 129:3</p> <p>Lougheed [1] - 13:5</p> <p>lower [1] - 27:1</p> <p>Lower [6] - 6:24, 11:25, 94:16, 99:5, 100:2, 143:1</p> <p>LTD [1] - 168:21</p> <p>Lucas [5] - 49:22, 95:25, 106:13, 148:18, 148:23</p> <p>lucky [2] - 84:20, 96:16</p> <p>lunatic [1] - 165:25</p> <p>lunch [3] - 93:19, 110:23, 111:25</p>	<p>Macintyre [1] - 142:18</p> <p>Maggie [1] - 94:10</p> <p>mail [11] - 7:25, 8:2, 8:12, 8:13, 8:15, 8:17, 9:7, 9:25, 117:9, 117:15, 142:18</p> <p>mailed [2] - 12:23, 92:11</p> <p>mailing [1] - 165:25</p> <p>mails [1] - 93:18</p> <p>Main [2] - 110:7, 162:14</p> <p>Mainland [6] - 6:24, 11:25, 94:16, 99:5, 100:3, 143:2</p> <p>major [7] - 4:23, 125:20, 127:5, 143:12, 153:11, 153:24, 155:9</p> <p>Major [6] - 4:13, 49:7, 65:7, 67:4, 99:1, 136:2</p> <p>majority [1] - 63:2</p> <p>maker [2] - 120:20, 120:21</p> <p>males [1] - 74:21</p> <p>man [5] - 60:12, 75:3, 75:4, 87:5, 126:7</p> <p>man's [2] - 5:24, 126:10</p> <p>managed [2] - 5:25, 40:19</p> <p>management [25] - 45:19, 62:13, 90:19, 91:6, 92:1, 94:4, 95:8, 95:18, 110:14, 110:20, 119:19, 120:3, 124:18, 131:24, 136:2, 138:4, 139:24, 142:12, 144:13, 153:11, 155:9, 159:25</p> <p>Management [1] - 124:11</p> <p>manager [5] - 18:25, 48:10, 49:21, 80:23, 87:5</p> <p>managers [1] - 92:14</p> <p>managing [2] - 104:1, 104:2</p> <p>mandate [6] - 15:9, 15:10, 26:4, 26:5, 26:6</p> <p>mandated [1] - 95:13</p> <p>manually [2] - 40:1, 131:19</p> <p>map [1] - 9:22</p> <p>Maple [1] - 103:15</p>	<p>maps [1] - 97:24</p> <p>march [1] - 9:15</p> <p>March [1] - 21:8</p> <p>marches [1] - 9:15</p> <p>Margaret [15] - 48:13, 49:4, 49:6, 50:2, 55:13, 82:14, 83:6, 84:17, 94:10, 102:20, 115:18, 115:22, 146:18, 150:1, 164:17</p> <p>Marilyn [2] - 157:7, 163:11</p> <p>married [1] - 20:14</p> <p>marry [1] - 64:21</p> <p>Mars [3] - 94:11, 102:20, 104:21</p> <p>mask [1] - 74:13</p> <p>mass [1] - 19:24</p> <p>masses [1] - 63:12</p> <p>massive [5] - 25:1, 75:10, 90:7, 128:18, 133:5</p> <p>match [2] - 85:22, 150:7</p> <p>matched [1] - 31:18</p> <p>material [9] - 28:6, 30:7, 30:12, 31:8, 36:13, 38:21, 39:8, 39:9, 99:24</p> <p>materials [2] - 1:16, 10:3</p> <p>maternity [1] - 104:21</p> <p>Maticic [3] - 28:20, 109:13, 109:18</p> <p>matter [1] - 7:18</p> <p>mattress [1] - 74:12</p> <p>McCarl [9] - 16:12, 57:10, 58:7, 58:20, 65:24, 66:17, 66:23, 67:3, 88:24</p> <p>McDonell [1] - 113:1</p> <p>McKnight [33] - 17:16, 54:1, 56:22, 56:23, 57:5, 64:2, 65:13, 67:1, 68:3, 68:6, 74:18, 80:11, 80:13, 82:6, 102:25, 105:16, 112:17, 112:25, 113:15, 114:25, 115:3, 116:18, 119:11, 121:16, 123:14, 147:18, 153:17, 156:23, 159:6, 159:8, 159:25, 160:18, 160:24</p> <p>McKnight's [3] - 114:4, 114:20, 116:20</p>	<p>mean [31] - 6:12, 10:24, 15:1, 26:19, 33:7, 33:21, 38:24, 38:25, 43:21, 51:24, 54:4, 59:1, 60:7, 70:20, 77:12, 77:21, 80:9, 85:6, 88:22, 90:1, 95:15, 96:11, 96:15, 97:19, 97:20, 115:11, 118:15, 128:21, 147:24, 149:22, 156:16</p> <p>means [9] - 90:3, 100:18, 113:2, 114:17, 118:24, 123:20, 133:11, 138:18, 166:15</p> <p>meant [16] - 14:2, 15:3, 15:5, 24:21, 27:17, 30:10, 36:16, 37:10, 37:11, 52:4, 89:16, 90:14, 125:1, 130:4, 166:1, 166:17</p> <p>measured [1] - 39:21</p> <p>media [3] - 73:9, 93:4, 126:5</p> <p>meet [8] - 29:11, 116:20, 116:23, 161:5, 161:16, 162:8, 162:14</p> <p>meeting [58] - 8:4, 8:5, 10:22, 21:11, 21:22, 21:25, 22:21, 29:12, 29:14, 48:17, 49:1, 49:2, 49:4, 50:18, 50:20, 52:18, 52:23, 52:25, 54:19, 54:21, 55:15, 99:4, 100:9, 110:12, 115:10, 117:1, 117:5, 119:3, 120:8, 124:5, 139:9, 139:17, 142:11, 143:22, 152:23, 153:6, 153:8, 154:11, 154:22, 155:1, 155:3, 155:12, 155:15, 156:5, 156:7, 156:19, 156:20, 157:1, 157:4, 157:20, 157:21, 161:6, 161:9, 161:19, 162:1, 162:15, 163:5, 163:7</p> <p>meetings [7] - 16:14, 20:18, 21:8, 50:6, 51:12, 83:25, 141:23</p> <p>meets [1] - 13:6</p> <p>mega [1] - 121:6</p> <p>member [3] - 6:25,</p>
	M			
machine [1] - 147:21				

<p>50:15, 160:17 members [4] - 63:25, 64:1, 94:9, 160:14 memo [5] - 15:10, 107:12, 146:9, 159:6, 160:2 memory [13] - 12:6, 20:19, 37:3, 55:2, 55:5, 57:18, 81:9, 101:23, 110:8, 140:13, 145:2, 147:21, 162:16 men [3] - 68:15, 84:9, 121:23 mentioned [1] - 5:14 mentioned [9] - 56:22, 65:23, 85:13, 87:4, 101:21, 112:15, 148:22, 149:14, 167:6 mentor [1] - 6:25 mesh [2] - 30:23, 39:24 meshed [1] - 32:1 meshing [2] - 21:6, 46:14 met [6] - 28:4, 49:10, 57:9, 133:8, 161:19, 162:20 method [1] - 14:7 methodical [1] - 32:24 methodically [1] - 33:2 methods [2] - 51:24, 59:4 microfiche [2] - 147:20, 147:21 microphone [1] - 98:17 mid [3] - 133:14, 134:20, 150:6 mid-August [2] - 133:14, 134:20 mid-December [1] - 150:6 middle [2] - 48:23, 114:1 might [17] - 3:1, 5:21, 8:8, 13:8, 39:5, 41:7, 49:6, 52:6, 58:10, 103:24, 106:23, 118:21, 118:22, 127:1, 127:10, 155:5 Mike [2] - 50:8, 117:10 million [1] - 37:8 mind [7] - 15:8, 57:24, 68:3, 93:21, 117:6, 145:12, 160:20 minds [1] - 151:11 minutes [4] - 52:19,</p>	<p>55:23, 118:18, 142:5 misleading [2] - 46:10, 63:3 misled [2] - 46:7, 93:9 Miss [4] - 167:4, 167:9, 167:11, 168:1 miss [2] - 69:25, 150:5 missing [8] - 3:20, 8:25, 12:18, 14:22, 15:7, 15:9, 17:11, 18:16, 23:3, 24:11, 25:8, 27:19, 29:21, 31:2, 32:13, 35:1, 35:22, 37:23, 40:7, 44:22, 44:23, 47:20, 48:11, 49:22, 54:15, 56:5, 59:6, 59:16, 59:22, 68:7, 76:7, 76:18, 76:24, 77:4, 77:14, 78:22, 79:15, 80:12, 80:25, 81:1, 81:23, 82:5, 82:13, 82:19, 82:25, 84:12, 87:13, 93:13, 99:11, 100:16, 107:24, 113:4, 113:12, 113:22, 115:8, 118:13, 119:18, 119:20, 119:23, 120:12, 123:18, 127:9, 130:18, 130:19, 132:19, 132:23, 132:25, 133:4, 133:23, 134:6, 134:16, 137:11, 140:7, 144:4, 150:16, 151:2, 154:2, 158:3, 158:4, 159:14 Missing [9] - 78:25, 79:13, 80:1, 80:17, 112:18, 112:24, 123:11, 124:3 missing's [1] - 35:23 missings [9] - 3:23, 4:1, 19:3, 24:9, 27:21, 28:4, 77:8, 99:12, 151:7 mistake [1] - 71:2 mistakes [1] - 70:12 misunderstandings [1] - 38:4 misuse [1] - 109:17 mixed [2] - 47:8, 47:9 mobile [1] - 31:22 moment [17] - 2:9, 21:20, 24:16, 33:24, 34:20, 43:20, 45:21, 59:19, 81:21, 82:1, 83:11, 83:14, 85:2,</p>	<p>112:1, 114:22, 117:3, 132:21 momentarily [2] - 31:10, 31:11 Mona [1] - 32:1 Monday [1] - 167:17 money [10] - 7:2, 7:11, 9:16, 9:19, 157:19, 157:23, 157:24, 166:10, 166:11, 166:22 monitor [2] - 80:21, 160:10 monster [1] - 69:18 monsters [2] - 69:15, 121:25 month [2] - 134:4 months [7] - 34:1, 63:16, 64:3, 124:7, 129:22, 138:12 Montreal [1] - 143:5 morning [7] - 1:20, 102:24, 106:5, 115:3, 118:4, 151:23, 168:13 Morris [1] - 62:15 mossters [2] - 50:3, 50:4 most [7] - 9:17, 49:9, 91:6, 95:5, 112:20, 112:21 mostly [1] - 166:16 mother's [1] - 19:16 mountain [1] - 57:24 mountains [4] - 57:6, 57:11, 57:14, 118:1 Mounted [1] - 109:8 Mountie [1] - 41:19 Mounties [4] - 41:2, 80:6, 105:15, 129:8 move [37] - 2:24, 7:6, 9:3, 15:14, 21:9, 21:10, 21:18, 22:24, 23:10, 23:11, 23:14, 23:16, 23:21, 24:1, 27:5, 29:15, 48:17, 63:6, 63:8, 67:15, 69:24, 75:14, 83:15, 86:2, 87:22, 95:5, 102:18, 106:15, 111:24, 127:15, 133:9, 134:13, 149:5, 154:10, 158:23, 164:14, 166:13 moved [14] - 4:17, 7:15, 11:4, 24:3, 34:3, 40:16, 55:7, 76:19, 85:24, 88:5, 142:15, 153:16,</p>	<p>153:22 movement [2] - 23:18, 23:21 moves [2] - 24:3, 122:16 moving [9] - 70:2, 75:3, 76:3, 113:3, 116:3, 125:16, 135:6, 141:2, 155:10 MR [1] - 167:4 MS [19] - 1:6, 1:9, 1:14, 2:1, 2:12, 43:2, 55:20, 56:2, 97:22, 98:4, 98:9, 98:14, 98:18, 117:1, 117:8, 139:14, 142:2, 142:9, 166:25 multiple [7] - 26:3, 59:8, 59:11, 129:15, 135:15, 136:24, 137:15 multitude [1] - 86:2 municipal [1] - 109:3 municipalities [1] - 49:16 murder [33] - 4:4, 4:10, 11:9, 11:13, 11:19, 11:21, 12:11, 14:14, 15:6, 17:18, 22:10, 32:3, 32:9, 32:14, 33:6, 33:14, 33:19, 37:14, 52:4, 55:12, 58:25, 60:8, 66:11, 66:24, 68:16, 70:7, 85:11, 122:3, 122:4, 122:15, 128:3, 130:5 murdered [7] - 12:9, 22:17, 25:8, 29:23, 68:16, 70:6, 127:6 murderers [2] - 59:8, 59:11 murders [43] - 8:22, 10:25, 11:2, 12:1, 12:2, 12:8, 12:16, 13:1, 13:2, 13:15, 14:20, 14:22, 15:7, 15:13, 25:19, 25:24, 26:13, 26:16, 26:23, 27:1, 27:2, 33:11, 39:15, 54:16, 55:1, 57:11, 58:4, 60:13, 62:9, 65:17, 66:2, 84:3, 85:18, 86:5, 86:6, 86:7, 117:18, 122:5, 126:9, 129:8, 137:11, 137:17 must [2] - 141:5, 143:13 mystery [1] - 13:10</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>name [3] - 1:11, 59:24, 118:16 named [3] - 45:10, 87:5, 109:22 names [4] - 36:17, 82:18, 115:22, 139:13 naming [1] - 60:8 Nancy [1] - 49:10 Napoleon [1] - 9:14 Narrows [1] - 75:2 Nathan [1] - 31:7 national [3] - 38:17, 38:20, 39:12 Native [1] - 157:6 nature [2] - 24:9, 53:22 near [1] - 114:9 necessary [1] - 139:12 necessitate [1] - 167:7 neck [1] - 109:19 need [72] - 9:10, 16:4, 17:14, 18:5, 20:8, 20:9, 20:12, 21:4, 21:17, 24:23, 25:3, 25:19, 32:18, 35:23, 35:24, 38:21, 40:19, 42:7, 42:8, 42:12, 42:13, 42:16, 42:18, 52:24, 57:3, 64:7, 65:19, 67:4, 67:7, 67:8, 71:4, 71:11, 74:17, 76:17, 81:12, 84:3, 84:22, 84:23, 91:13, 91:23, 93:15, 94:2, 96:6, 98:17, 103:2, 104:2, 107:2, 111:19, 114:16, 115:5, 115:17, 116:4, 121:3, 123:1, 124:4, 124:23, 133:22, 138:10, 140:19, 144:6, 155:19, 155:20, 159:7, 162:2, 165:6, 165:7, 165:17, 165:19, 166:9, 167:14 needed [103] - 8:21, 10:10, 10:11, 11:15, 12:16, 15:3, 15:4, 16:16, 19:24, 20:10, 20:13, 24:21, 26:2, 29:11, 30:2, 30:10, 32:6, 33:1, 36:20, 36:21, 37:25, 41:12, 48:6, 53:13, 53:23, 54:6, 54:9, 55:5, 55:6, 55:7, 55:17,</p>
--	---	--	---	---

64:20, 64:23, 65:9, 67:25, 68:9, 68:14, 69:1, 69:4, 73:24, 75:12, 77:2, 77:8, 78:4, 78:5, 79:10, 81:4, 81:6, 90:14, 90:18, 90:23, 92:2, 92:4, 94:23, 94:25, 95:18, 95:24, 96:13, 100:8, 102:2, 107:6, 111:20, 119:17, 119:18, 119:24, 125:4, 125:24, 125:25, 129:14, 129:16, 129:18, 130:5, 130:6, 130:7, 130:11, 133:22, 135:4, 136:11, 137:1, 138:24, 143:2, 144:7, 144:14, 144:15, 146:3, 146:4, 146:6, 148:9, 148:10, 148:12, 148:15, 148:16, 149:4, 151:9, 151:12, 154:4, 154:8, 157:22, 158:12, 158:16, 158:25, 161:16 needing [1] - 166:1 needle [2] - 106:23, 107:2 needs [7] - 21:18, 25:2, 42:5, 47:12, 52:25, 91:25, 116:10 neighbourhood [1] - 23:15 Neil [1] - 117:11 net [3] - 52:11, 52:12, 113:20 never [27] - 4:6, 10:12, 26:18, 27:3, 28:11, 35:18, 37:17, 43:17, 44:17, 44:18, 46:15, 53:16, 59:17, 66:25, 71:5, 76:16, 78:1, 80:9, 82:19, 86:14, 102:16, 102:22, 130:23, 131:8, 132:17, 166:15 new [26] - 10:1, 10:16, 11:2, 22:5, 23:1, 47:4, 54:17, 65:17, 77:8, 80:2, 80:6, 85:22, 93:12, 95:21, 107:25, 113:11, 113:24, 129:25, 130:3, 140:7, 141:20, 153:22,	153:24, 159:14, 159:21, 166:7 New [3] - 99:15, 99:20, 129:5 newly [1] - 132:18 news [2] - 77:7, 158:17 next [14] - 44:4, 53:19, 68:5, 72:18, 74:4, 102:23, 122:9, 123:4, 124:7, 130:1, 132:2, 144:25, 168:1, 168:6 nice [1] - 78:18 Nicole [4] - 94:11, 102:20, 104:21 nine [2] - 30:15, 116:2 nobody [1] - 141:17 none [3] - 22:8, 69:24, 130:15 north [1] - 10:25 North [2] - 12:4, 74:23 northern [2] - 11:1, 11:23 notation [2] - 44:6, 44:8 note [16] - 2:8, 53:6, 92:18, 93:23, 95:8, 110:24, 110:25, 112:10, 112:13, 114:2, 114:20, 118:7, 138:22, 139:4, 139:7, 139:25 notes [4] - 10:4, 113:10, 163:23 nothing [15] - 4:12, 10:12, 10:13, 25:23, 52:12, 52:14, 56:19, 61:3, 66:21, 79:4, 89:16, 101:24, 121:2, 132:8, 141:17 notified [2] - 81:9, 108:25 Nova [2] - 22:14, 22:15 November [10] - 6:9, 17:15, 20:20, 21:12, 21:22, 22:4, 22:6, 45:11, 102:5, 163:5 nowadays [2] - 3:17, 25:23 nowhere [2] - 29:22, 131:1 noxious [1] - 72:14 number [27] - 1:18, 3:3, 11:8, 13:9, 17:12, 24:15, 25:11, 26:13, 34:7, 64:3, 68:25, 70:7, 76:9, 76:11, 76:14, 84:13,	101:10, 124:7, 132:1, 132:18, 133:7, 139:16, 144:18, 145:16, 151:6, 154:25 numbers [3] - 94:17, 100:16, 130:9 nurse [1] - 152:14	offend [1] - 147:6 offender [4] - 38:15, 49:24, 95:20, 95:21 offenders [2] - 38:17, 49:14 offer [1] - 9:2 offered [1] - 37:24 office [3] - 8:3, 65:8, 157:17 officer [13] - 2:24, 9:18, 43:16, 44:5, 49:10, 66:18, 67:1, 74:25, 75:1, 89:3, 160:17, 162:6, 167:24 officers [7] - 4:15, 50:13, 50:16, 99:15, 100:25, 104:8, 139:19 Official [1] - 168:20 offline [2] - 118:12, 118:17 offlines [1] - 127:9 often [5] - 24:10, 26:20, 39:12, 70:23, 152:17 Oger [6] - 104:4, 104:23, 104:25, 105:19, 115:12, 140:3 Oger's [1] - 140:1 Olajide [2] - 57:20, 58:13 old [3] - 58:23, 65:16, 97:12 older [1] - 147:19 Olson [2] - 3:22, 3:25 once [12] - 4:17, 30:6, 32:23, 36:5, 37:6, 55:9, 83:6, 84:15, 104:15, 152:10 one [87] - 2:9, 3:9, 3:10, 4:1, 5:2, 6:24, 20:14, 26:1, 26:7, 27:18, 29:20, 30:20, 33:8, 36:7, 36:16, 36:23, 37:22, 37:23, 40:24, 41:20, 42:15, 42:18, 43:20, 45:16, 48:9, 49:9, 50:5, 51:9, 52:5, 53:24, 55:2, 57:19, 57:20, 57:23, 58:6, 62:5, 64:9, 64:16, 65:10, 67:7, 67:8, 69:7, 70:7, 72:7, 72:10, 72:13, 72:18, 73:20, 74:3, 74:14, 74:21, 77:3, 80:14, 82:7, 84:21, 86:3, 86:18,	88:12, 97:19, 97:23, 98:23, 100:3, 103:5, 105:11, 105:21, 118:10, 118:21, 120:24, 122:16, 134:13, 135:13, 135:14, 136:3, 145:17, 146:15, 147:2, 147:21, 152:10, 155:24, 156:11, 156:12, 161:12, 161:25, 163:1, 163:19, 168:4 ones [2] - 69:6, 82:7 ongoing [2] - 11:8, 146:18 Ontario [1] - 130:23 open [5] - 26:2, 53:5, 69:25, 70:3, 72:25 opened [3] - 27:17, 36:9, 51:8 operate [2] - 80:16, 115:10 operated [3] - 102:8, 111:20, 111:21 operating [6] - 18:3, 18:4, 91:8, 91:10, 91:11, 150:5 operation [3] - 6:2, 6:7, 7:3 operational [18] - 61:8, 61:15, 61:20, 63:20, 65:4, 87:25, 89:25, 90:10, 90:23, 91:13, 91:18, 92:4, 92:6, 92:8, 92:10, 116:7, 116:8, 116:10 operations [3] - 3:12, 3:14, 6:8 operator [1] - 3:13 opportunity [8] - 27:17, 92:9, 110:9, 112:9, 141:13, 159:5, 161:24 option [1] - 161:3 options [1] - 160:15 order [8] - 1:4, 38:8, 56:1, 73:12, 98:8, 106:6, 136:19, 142:8 ordered [1] - 149:2 ordering [1] - 95:22 ordinarily [1] - 53:1 ordinary [1] - 126:12 ordinator [7] - 49:23, 66:19, 67:5, 67:6, 96:4, 146:25, 148:24 organizations [1] - 29:9 organize [3] - 65:6, 84:23, 84:24
O				
objectives [1] - 91:15 obscuring [1] - 25:5 observation [2] - 43:16, 43:21 observed [1] - 97:17 observes [1] - 159:23 obstacles [1] - 75:9 obtain [1] - 157:19 obtainable [1] - 118:16 obtained [1] - 28:6 obtaining [1] - 95:23 obvious [4] - 18:22, 35:11, 35:19, 81:3 obviously [29] - 8:19, 25:13, 33:23, 59:9, 59:19, 61:24, 64:10, 90:14, 94:4, 94:17, 95:16, 106:8, 111:13, 117:19, 123:23, 124:23, 128:2, 129:7, 129:8, 140:4, 140:5, 140:15, 140:17, 141:1, 147:5, 148:4, 149:22, 149:23, 167:19 occur [7] - 16:19, 21:8, 35:20, 44:16, 94:18, 94:19, 117:4 occurred [11] - 3:21, 10:22, 12:1, 13:9, 27:23, 31:7, 58:4, 60:13, 140:4, 143:10, 155:22 occurring [7] - 11:2, 23:24, 25:22, 83:23, 83:24, 98:2, 146:17 October [11] - 143:8, 151:4, 151:5, 152:23, 153:6, 154:23, 157:1, 159:4, 160:25, 161:1, 162:22 odd [1] - 18:7 OF [1] - 1:1 off-duty [1] - 74:24 offence [1] - 71:14 offences [4] - 38:8, 72:10, 130:5, 146:2				

<p>organized [2] - 66:18, 128:25</p> <p>orient [2] - 1:15, 47:21</p> <p>oriented [1] - 104:9</p> <p>Original [1] - 119:8</p> <p>original [3] - 76:12, 77:17, 153:20</p> <p>ourselves [2] - 39:15, 92:25</p> <p>outset [2] - 23:2, 25:10</p> <p>outside [8] - 6:2, 8:23, 32:22, 54:11, 62:25, 78:14, 150:5, 165:8</p> <p>outstanding [3] - 9:18, 11:13, 62:10</p> <p>outward [1] - 23:18</p> <p>outwards [1] - 32:25</p> <p>overgrown [1] - 58:18</p> <p>overlaid [1] - 47:5</p> <p>overstate [1] - 27:19</p> <p>overtime [3] - 166:11, 166:14, 166:23</p> <p>overview [16] - 9:22, 15:15, 61:7, 75:21, 76:2, 96:20, 96:21, 98:11, 112:4, 116:6, 120:11, 122:8, 145:9, 152:22, 153:5, 164:15</p> <p>Overview [1] - 2:2</p> <p>own [11] - 5:9, 29:9, 29:10, 52:8, 81:12, 81:14, 96:15, 99:17, 132:7, 156:4, 168:2</p> <p>ownership [1] - 135:19</p>	<p>53:19, 97:7, 123:4</p> <p>pap [4] - 109:15, 152:8, 152:9, 152:12</p> <p>paper [6] - 44:18, 65:12, 105:13, 120:23, 120:25, 121:1</p> <p>paragraph [35] - 15:14, 15:16, 15:21, 18:11, 22:25, 27:6, 40:14, 47:22, 61:6, 61:10, 67:16, 75:17, 83:19, 86:21, 87:19, 94:5, 94:16, 98:11, 98:15, 112:3, 116:5, 116:17, 123:16, 145:8, 145:15, 145:18, 148:3, 148:19, 149:9, 152:22, 155:11, 164:15, 165:22, 166:20</p> <p>pardon [1] - 162:11</p> <p>parents [3] - 131:11, 131:15, 131:17</p> <p>parked [1] - 138:16</p> <p>parlay [1] - 137:10</p> <p>part [10] - 31:5, 34:22, 62:22, 65:4, 85:25, 120:9, 126:14, 140:3, 153:11, 158:13</p> <p>partially [2] - 51:15, 105:13</p> <p>participating [1] - 139:17</p> <p>particular [16] - 5:22, 12:21, 50:20, 60:1, 94:1, 109:11, 114:1, 117:15, 119:14, 133:15, 147:13, 148:18, 149:10, 152:5, 153:8, 163:17</p> <p>particularly [3] - 20:1, 112:18, 112:22</p> <p>partner [2] - 41:15, 80:2</p> <p>parts [4] - 14:10, 30:19, 35:22, 97:17</p> <p>passionate [2] - 19:17, 75:13</p> <p>past [2] - 42:20, 166:6</p> <p>path [4] - 58:19, 58:21, 58:23, 141:20</p> <p>pathologist [1] - 13:7</p> <p>paths [1] - 58:17</p> <p>Patricia [1] - 113:13</p> <p>Paul [14] - 57:9, 58:7, 58:20, 62:23, 65:24, 66:17, 66:23, 85:6,</p>	<p>88:24, 104:7, 129:20, 146:3, 147:11, 147:18</p> <p>Pauline [2] - 128:5, 128:12</p> <p>Paulson [7] - 12:23, 13:13, 117:4, 117:9, 117:17, 118:9, 118:10</p> <p>pay [1] - 166:22</p> <p>PCR [3] - 36:18, 36:21, 36:22</p> <p>PDs [4] - 63:13, 84:22, 109:1, 109:3</p> <p>Peder [1] - 32:4</p> <p>Pemberton [1] - 12:5</p> <p>pending [2] - 110:25, 111:5</p> <p>people [115] - 4:13, 6:1, 6:18, 10:10, 15:7, 16:5, 16:6, 16:9, 16:13, 17:3, 18:1, 18:5, 19:11, 19:14, 19:17, 20:24, 20:25, 21:14, 22:17, 26:11, 28:16, 34:14, 36:7, 38:7, 39:25, 43:22, 48:25, 49:22, 49:25, 50:6, 50:12, 50:22, 51:16, 52:2, 52:4, 53:25, 54:21, 55:6, 56:18, 57:7, 58:10, 58:22, 59:15, 60:6, 62:8, 63:1, 67:7, 68:20, 69:3, 69:20, 69:21, 70:6, 70:25, 73:7, 74:16, 78:7, 78:12, 78:14, 79:2, 80:8, 81:12, 82:19, 86:18, 90:4, 90:13, 91:5, 96:11, 96:12, 99:6, 101:4, 102:23, 103:1, 103:4, 103:21, 103:24, 104:1, 106:11, 106:12, 106:25, 107:3, 107:5, 107:8, 108:18, 113:24, 114:18, 115:11, 118:23, 122:17, 125:1, 133:1, 133:23, 134:15, 134:23, 135:4, 137:12, 139:8, 141:25, 143:3, 143:4, 144:4, 144:7, 144:14, 144:18, 147:6, 154:2, 155:17, 157:7,</p>	<p>158:14, 162:8, 162:14, 168:9</p> <p>people's [1] - 5:3</p> <p>per [1] - 47:2</p> <p>percent [2] - 26:18, 26:23</p> <p>perfect [1] - 66:16</p> <p>perfected [1] - 14:7</p> <p>performance [1] - 104:18</p> <p>perhaps [11] - 5:1, 11:3, 36:20, 36:23, 38:2, 55:20, 141:9, 153:2, 158:1, 163:13, 165:16</p> <p>period [1] - 141:8</p> <p>perpetrator [1] - 24:2</p> <p>perpetrators [1] - 37:22</p> <p>Person [4] - 78:25, 80:1, 124:3</p> <p>person [50] - 4:3, 5:18, 19:18, 26:1, 27:19, 33:22, 34:10, 35:1, 38:11, 40:8, 43:7, 43:13, 44:23, 47:13, 50:10, 51:20, 58:23, 60:7, 60:14, 60:16, 69:11, 69:12, 72:5, 74:21, 76:18, 87:13, 88:21, 104:10, 105:3, 105:7, 105:21, 106:24, 112:20, 126:12, 126:15, 126:16, 135:18, 137:18, 141:11, 141:13, 148:24, 151:11, 153:21, 154:16, 157:14, 157:15, 158:19, 162:7, 164:7, 165:14</p> <p>personalities [1] - 80:15</p> <p>Persons [4] - 79:13, 80:18, 112:18, 112:24</p> <p>persons [7] - 79:15, 93:13, 128:7, 130:18, 149:13, 150:4, 150:19</p> <p>perspective [4] - 24:19, 79:4, 90:25, 103:5</p> <p>Peter [5] - 36:7, 50:5, 50:15, 50:24, 87:4</p> <p>phase [14] - 63:6, 63:7, 63:8, 63:18, 63:19, 63:21, 64:14, 127:16, 135:7,</p>	<p>137:3, 138:11, 138:13, 145:22</p> <p>phases [2] - 64:5, 88:6</p> <p>phenomenal [4] - 25:22, 31:15, 94:20, 96:12</p> <p>Phil [7] - 17:16, 54:2, 56:22, 57:5, 68:3, 68:9, 147:13</p> <p>phone [1] - 93:18</p> <p>physical [1] - 32:17</p> <p>pick [4] - 72:6, 75:6, 161:22, 162:8</p> <p>picked [1] - 74:22</p> <p>Pickton [33] - 13:21, 14:1, 14:18, 15:24, 20:3, 20:6, 31:10, 32:7, 32:14, 33:15, 42:20, 43:5, 43:8, 43:12, 43:15, 44:7, 44:12, 45:14, 45:25, 69:11, 69:17, 69:22, 71:19, 85:1, 88:17, 88:18, 89:7, 89:12, 89:13, 89:14</p> <p>Pickton's [6] - 14:3, 31:23, 44:8, 46:11, 47:5, 89:2</p> <p>picture [2] - 38:22, 63:3</p> <p>pictures [2] - 99:23, 153:24</p> <p>pie [8] - 24:9, 33:8, 45:5, 113:5, 129:18, 134:9, 146:6, 148:5</p> <p>pieces [7] - 31:8, 31:13, 103:6, 105:5, 105:6, 121:21, 149:7</p> <p>pile [2] - 121:25, 151:7</p> <p>Pipe [2] - 57:19, 57:23</p> <p>pipe [1] - 67:3</p> <p>place [11] - 16:24, 29:12, 45:2, 48:17, 50:18, 65:9, 65:22, 81:1, 117:2, 151:24, 157:2</p> <p>places [1] - 167:10</p> <p>plan [17] - 61:15, 61:21, 63:20, 65:4, 77:17, 87:25, 89:25, 90:10, 90:23, 91:13, 91:18, 92:4, 92:7, 92:8, 92:10, 116:7, 116:8</p> <p>planning [2] - 20:22, 168:10</p> <p>plans [5] - 16:15, 51:4, 61:8, 62:19, 102:14</p> <p>play [9] - 13:20, 31:3, 35:10, 35:11, 35:13,</p>
P				
<p>P.M [5] - 98:6, 98:7, 142:6, 142:7, 168:14</p> <p>pace [1] - 4:19</p> <p>pack [1] - 58:25</p> <p>package [2] - 10:5, 10:6</p> <p>packages [1] - 158:9</p> <p>pad [1] - 53:6</p> <p>PAGE [1] - 1:3</p> <p>page [22] - 9:21, 9:22, 71:21, 74:4, 91:12, 91:13, 101:14, 108:20, 122:9, 123:8, 125:7, 125:19, 127:15, 129:6, 130:1, 132:2, 138:2, 143:19, 144:25, 152:2, 160:3, 163:24</p> <p>pages [4] - 53:18,</p>	<p>53:19, 97:7, 123:4</p> <p>pap [4] - 109:15, 152:8, 152:9, 152:12</p> <p>paper [6] - 44:18, 65:12, 105:13, 120:23, 120:25, 121:1</p> <p>paragraph [35] - 15:14, 15:16, 15:21, 18:11, 22:25, 27:6, 40:14, 47:22, 61:6, 61:10, 67:16, 75:17, 83:19, 86:21, 87:19, 94:5, 94:16, 98:11, 98:15, 112:3, 116:5, 116:17, 123:16, 145:8, 145:15, 145:18, 148:3, 148:19, 149:9, 152:22, 155:11, 164:15, 165:22, 166:20</p> <p>pardon [1] - 162:11</p> <p>parents [3] - 131:11, 131:15, 131:17</p> <p>parked [1] - 138:16</p> <p>parlay [1] - 137:10</p> <p>part [10] - 31:5, 34:22, 62:22, 65:4, 85:25, 120:9, 126:14, 140:3, 153:11, 158:13</p> <p>partially [2] - 51:15, 105:13</p> <p>participating [1] - 139:17</p> <p>particular [16] - 5:22, 12:21, 50:20, 60:1, 94:1, 109:11, 114:1, 117:15, 119:14, 133:15, 147:13, 148:18, 149:10, 152:5, 153:8, 163:17</p> <p>particularly [3] - 20:1, 112:18, 112:22</p> <p>partner [2] - 41:15, 80:2</p> <p>parts [4] - 14:10, 30:19, 35:22, 97:17</p> <p>passionate [2] - 19:17, 75:13</p> <p>past [2] - 42:20, 166:6</p> <p>path [4] - 58:19, 58:21, 58:23, 141:20</p> <p>pathologist [1] - 13:7</p> <p>paths [1] - 58:17</p> <p>Patricia [1] - 113:13</p> <p>Paul [14] - 57:9, 58:7, 58:20, 62:23, 65:24, 66:17, 66:23, 85:6,</p>	<p>88:24, 104:7, 129:20, 146:3, 147:11, 147:18</p> <p>Pauline [2] - 128:5, 128:12</p> <p>Paulson [7] - 12:23, 13:13, 117:4, 117:9, 117:17, 118:9, 118:10</p> <p>pay [1] - 166:22</p> <p>PCR [3] - 36:18, 36:21, 36:22</p> <p>PDs [4] - 63:13, 84:22, 109:1, 109:3</p> <p>Peder [1] - 32:4</p> <p>Pemberton [1] - 12:5</p> <p>pending [2] - 110:25, 111:5</p> <p>people [115] - 4:13, 6:1, 6:18, 10:10, 15:7, 16:5, 16:6, 16:9, 16:13, 17:3, 18:1, 18:5, 19:11, 19:14, 19:17, 20:24, 20:25, 21:14, 22:17, 26:11, 28:16, 34:14, 36:7, 38:7, 39:25, 43:22, 48:25, 49:22, 49:25, 50:6, 50:12, 50:22, 51:16, 52:2, 52:4, 53:25, 54:21, 55:6, 56:18, 57:7, 58:10, 58:22, 59:15, 60:6, 62:8, 63:1, 67:7, 68:20, 69:3, 69:20, 69:21, 70:6, 70:25, 73:7, 74:16, 78:7, 78:12, 78:14, 79:2, 80:8, 81:12, 82:19, 86:18, 90:4, 90:13, 91:5, 96:11, 96:12, 99:6, 101:4, 102:23, 103:1, 103:4, 103:21, 103:24, 104:1, 106:11, 106:12, 106:25, 107:3, 107:5, 107:8, 108:18, 113:24, 114:18, 115:11, 118:23, 122:17, 125:1, 133:1, 133:23, 134:15, 134:23, 135:4, 137:12, 139:8, 141:25, 143:3, 143:4, 144:4, 144:7, 144:14, 144:18, 147:6, 154:2, 155:17, 157:7,</p>	<p>158:14, 162:8, 162:14, 168:9</p> <p>people's [1] - 5:3</p> <p>per [1] - 47:2</p> <p>percent [2] - 26:18, 26:23</p> <p>perfect [1] - 66:16</p> <p>perfected [1] - 14:7</p> <p>performance [1] - 104:18</p> <p>perhaps [11] - 5:1, 11:3, 36:20, 36:23, 38:2, 55:20, 141:9, 153:2, 158:1, 163:13, 165:16</p> <p>period [1] - 141:8</p> <p>perpetrator [1] - 24:2</p> <p>perpetrators [1] - 37:22</p> <p>Person [4] - 78:25, 80:1, 124:3</p> <p>person [50] - 4:3, 5:18, 19:18, 26:1, 27:19, 33:22, 34:10, 35:1, 38:11, 40:8, 43:7, 43:13, 44:23, 47:13, 50:10, 51:20, 58:23, 60:7, 60:14, 60:16, 69:11, 69:12, 72:5, 74:21, 76:18, 87:13, 88:21, 104:10, 105:3, 105:7, 105:21, 106:24, 112:20, 126:12, 126:15, 126:16, 135:18, 137:18, 141:11, 141:13, 148:24, 151:11, 153:21, 154:16, 157:14, 157:15, 158:19, 162:7, 164:7, 165:14</p> <p>personalities [1] - 80:15</p> <p>Persons [4] - 79:13, 80:18, 112:18, 112:24</p> <p>persons [7] - 79:15, 93:13, 128:7, 130:18, 149:13, 150:4, 150:19</p> <p>perspective [4] - 24:19, 79:4, 90:25, 103:5</p> <p>Peter [5] - 36:7, 50:5, 50:15, 50:24, 87:4</p> <p>phase [14] - 63:6, 63:7, 63:8, 63:18, 63:19, 63:21, 64:14, 127:16, 135:7,</p>	<p>137:3, 138:11, 138:13, 145:22</p> <p>phases [2] - 64:5, 88:6</p> <p>phenomenal [4] - 25:22, 31:15, 94:20, 96:12</p> <p>Phil [7] - 17:16, 54:2, 56:22, 57:5, 68:3, 68:9, 147:13</p> <p>phone [1] - 93:18</p> <p>physical [1] - 32:17</p> <p>pick [4] - 72:6, 75:6, 161:22, 162:8</p> <p>picked [1] - 74:22</p> <p>Pickton [33] - 13:21, 14:1, 14:18, 15:24, 20:3, 20:6, 31:10, 32:7, 32:14, 33:15, 42:20, 43:5, 43:8, 43:12, 43:15, 44:7, 44:12, 45:14, 45:25, 69:11, 69:17, 69:22, 71:19, 85:1, 88:17, 88:18, 89:7, 89:12, 89:13, 89:14</p> <p>Pickton's [6] - 14:3, 31:23, 44:8, 46:11, 47:5, 89:2</p> <p>picture [2] - 38:22, 63:3</p> <p>pictures [2] - 99:23, 153:24</p> <p>pie [8] - 24:9, 33:8, 45:5, 113:5, 129:18, 134:9, 146:6, 148:5</p> <p>pieces [7] - 31:8, 31:13, 103:6, 105:5, 105:6, 121:21, 149:7</p> <p>pile [2] - 121:25, 151:7</p> <p>Pipe [2] - 57:19, 57:23</p> <p>pipe [1] - 67:3</p> <p>place [11] - 16:24, 29:12, 45:2, 48:17, 50:18, 65:9, 65:22, 81:1, 117:2, 151:24, 157:2</p> <p>places [1] - 167:10</p> <p>plan [17] - 61:15, 61:21, 63:20, 65:4, 77:17, 87:25, 89:25, 90:10, 90:23, 91:13, 91:18, 92:4, 92:7, 92:8, 92:10, 116:7, 116:8</p> <p>planning [2] - 20:22, 168:10</p> <p>plans [5] - 16:15, 51:4, 61:8, 62:19, 102:14</p> <p>play [9] - 13:20, 31:3, 35:10, 35:11, 35:13,</p>

<p>35:17, 76:25, 77:15, 80:11</p> <p>played [2] - 31:5, 61:20</p> <p>player [1] - 154:6</p> <p>plays [2] - 42:23, 43:4</p> <p>plus [4] - 6:1, 36:22, 39:21, 47:7</p> <p>pluses [1] - 41:13</p> <p>POI [10] - 59:25, 60:1, 60:2, 60:3, 60:9, 60:18, 70:25, 72:4, 73:15, 74:4</p> <p>point [21] - 10:6, 20:17, 23:18, 24:11, 42:2, 47:15, 51:18, 59:10, 61:22, 63:11, 71:7, 74:1, 75:25, 96:16, 121:14, 121:15, 128:19, 134:10, 148:20, 156:24, 167:8</p> <p>points [2] - 138:3, 149:9</p> <p>POIs [5] - 19:24, 20:9, 67:25, 68:10, 71:22</p> <p>Police [14] - 15:11, 27:25, 62:10, 84:16, 92:1, 104:5, 107:22, 109:8, 112:18, 114:7, 124:19, 143:5, 159:10, 159:13</p> <p>police [30] - 2:23, 3:22, 4:15, 9:15, 9:18, 19:17, 35:12, 41:25, 43:16, 44:1, 44:2, 44:5, 49:9, 50:13, 50:16, 54:20, 73:11, 74:25, 86:17, 88:11, 91:21, 101:4, 126:3, 130:13, 133:10, 137:14, 154:8, 154:21, 156:5, 160:17</p> <p>Police's [1] - 110:16</p> <p>policeman [1] - 118:15</p> <p>policing [5] - 2:17, 3:2, 7:13, 121:8, 138:9</p> <p>policy [2] - 95:19, 130:11</p> <p>Polygraph [2] - 4:24, 5:10</p> <p>polygraph [1] - 5:1</p> <p>polygraphist [2] - 4:25, 5:2</p> <p>pond [1] - 52:6</p> <p>pool [11] - 61:5, 85:15,</p>	<p>86:8, 88:13, 136:5, 136:12, 136:15, 138:7, 141:15, 141:16, 154:2</p> <p>pools [1] - 149:6</p> <p>populate [1] - 49:24</p> <p>Port [9] - 18:25, 49:19, 50:14, 82:14, 82:15, 83:7, 115:19, 115:25, 116:1</p> <p>position [4] - 3:18, 7:15, 111:18, 111:19</p> <p>positions [1] - 7:12</p> <p>positive [1] - 142:13</p> <p>possibilities [2] - 36:10, 37:25</p> <p>possible [1] - 25:25</p> <p>possibly [1] - 150:15</p> <p>poster [4] - 114:14, 115:6, 120:9, 123:25</p> <p>Poster [1] - 119:8</p> <p>potential [13] - 35:7, 46:2, 48:7, 55:3, 56:4, 94:8, 99:25, 117:24, 133:4, 136:16, 137:15, 147:3, 159:14</p> <p>potentially [15] - 25:12, 25:15, 26:3, 37:19, 37:21, 51:9, 51:22, 62:3, 80:5, 83:9, 86:7, 99:24, 123:19, 127:4, 159:20</p> <p>potentials [1] - 83:7</p> <p>pour [1] - 143:11</p> <p>poured [2] - 75:8, 157:10</p> <p>powder [1] - 166:1</p> <p>pray [1] - 131:20</p> <p>preliminary [1] - 36:16</p> <p>premise [1] - 125:20</p> <p>preparation [1] - 109:11</p> <p>prepared [4] - 92:21, 96:19, 132:10, 139:4</p> <p>presence [1] - 36:13</p> <p>presentation [2] - 110:6, 110:21</p> <p>presented [1] - 21:13</p> <p>presenting [1] - 127:23</p> <p>press [1] - 154:20</p> <p>pressure [1] - 166:7</p> <p>pressures [1] - 120:16</p> <p>pretend [1] - 158:13</p> <p>pretty [2] - 42:12, 155:18</p> <p>prevented [2] - 28:21, 46:17</p>	<p>previous [1] - 8:5</p> <p>Priest [3] - 49:11, 49:15, 50:14</p> <p>primary [8] - 54:1, 56:23, 59:16, 66:16, 67:2, 112:16, 139:22, 153:17</p> <p>prime [1] - 45:15</p> <p>printout [2] - 82:17, 115:21</p> <p>priorities [4] - 68:23, 68:25, 129:15, 149:4</p> <p>prioritization [3] - 67:19, 70:18, 72:16</p> <p>prioritized [1] - 63:23</p> <p>prioritizing [1] - 88:16</p> <p>priority [3] - 66:24, 68:15, 129:15</p> <p>Priority [18] - 69:2, 72:17, 73:15, 74:20, 88:17, 88:18, 89:19, 121:14, 121:15, 121:16, 121:17, 121:18, 141:16, 148:7, 148:11, 166:16, 166:18</p> <p>prison [1] - 148:25</p> <p>prisoners [2] - 149:2, 149:3</p> <p>prisons [2] - 49:25, 50:3</p> <p>pristine [1] - 165:15</p> <p>private [1] - 161:13</p> <p>proactive [5] - 126:20, 134:3, 141:22, 141:23, 161:2</p> <p>problem [13] - 13:12, 16:10, 17:10, 29:13, 35:25, 65:7, 82:12, 87:2, 89:17, 94:21, 95:20, 99:13, 116:12</p> <p>problems [12] - 16:21, 17:4, 27:16, 36:6, 41:23, 44:20, 87:16, 96:4, 96:5, 120:3, 121:6, 125:9</p> <p>procedure [1] - 43:17</p> <p>procedures [2] - 73:11</p> <p>proceed [1] - 124:20</p> <p>PROCEEDINGS [9] - 1:3, 55:24, 55:25, 98:6, 98:7, 142:6, 142:7, 168:14, 1:1</p> <p>proceedings [1] - 168:18</p> <p>process [8] - 16:23, 32:11, 37:1, 71:15, 105:12, 153:15, 165:4, 165:10</p> <p>processed [1] - 35:19</p>	<p>processes [1] - 160:15</p> <p>procured [1] - 43:8</p> <p>produced [2] - 82:16, 107:17</p> <p>producing [1] - 48:15</p> <p>product [5] - 40:23, 41:1, 41:4, 41:8, 105:24</p> <p>professional [1] - 28:2</p> <p>profile [4] - 13:12, 30:4, 36:14, 47:9</p> <p>profiled [1] - 131:6</p> <p>profiles [6] - 31:2, 37:5, 47:7, 47:8, 123:18, 151:1</p> <p>profiling [3] - 51:7, 148:6, 165:17</p> <p>program [1] - 65:11</p> <p>progress [2] - 20:19, 119:6</p> <p>progressed [2] - 13:18, 51:23</p> <p>progression [1] - 25:16</p> <p>Project [55] - 2:2, 2:7, 16:11, 18:15, 19:8, 20:4, 20:6, 21:7, 27:8, 27:25, 29:4, 29:19, 31:4, 34:25, 41:3, 43:18, 44:24, 49:4, 62:14, 65:12, 66:4, 66:14, 67:13, 67:22, 72:6, 74:16, 74:19, 76:12, 76:23, 85:3, 87:3, 95:9, 96:20, 98:21, 101:11, 103:18, 106:2, 111:4, 120:13, 120:17, 120:21, 120:24, 124:11, 125:20, 134:19, 134:24, 142:24, 143:4, 143:21, 144:14, 144:19, 149:12, 153:10, 164:10</p> <p>project [5] - 27:9, 49:11, 102:12, 107:21, 153:17</p> <p>Projects [1] - 7:10</p> <p>projects [1] - 98:15</p> <p>proper [1] - 79:18</p> <p>properly [10] - 42:3, 42:4, 44:10, 45:17, 45:18, 81:18, 119:21, 122:13, 128:18, 134:16</p> <p>prosecute [1] - 30:9</p> <p>Proset [1] - 37:7</p>	<p>prostitute [1] - 99:8</p> <p>Prostitution [5] - 98:22, 98:25, 99:7, 99:14, 100:17</p> <p>protocols [1] - 79:8</p> <p>provable [1] - 33:7</p> <p>prove [1] - 25:4</p> <p>provide [1] - 157:3</p> <p>provided [2] - 71:25, 107:18</p> <p>providing [4] - 2:16, 109:25, 118:9, 159:17</p> <p>province [15] - 5:5, 8:22, 8:24, 15:13, 19:2, 26:22, 34:15, 39:22, 63:13, 81:2, 107:21, 128:15, 130:4, 132:5, 136:23</p> <p>Province [2] - 132:16, 163:15</p> <p>province-wide [1] - 128:15</p> <p>provinces [1] - 39:23</p> <p>Provincial [4] - 98:21, 98:25, 99:7, 100:17</p> <p>provincial [5] - 17:9, 49:22, 108:23, 148:23, 163:14</p> <p>psychologists [1] - 6:19</p> <p>public [3] - 92:24, 93:1, 93:9</p> <p>publishing [1] - 153:24</p> <p>pull [5] - 6:17, 20:5, 62:2, 95:1, 97:3</p> <p>pulled [5] - 65:18, 72:22, 85:19, 85:20, 149:7</p> <p>pulverize [1] - 165:3</p> <p>purpose [15] - 7:9, 50:19, 56:11, 57:13, 91:17, 92:20, 95:7, 109:18, 110:12, 124:14, 125:10, 126:9, 152:5, 155:12, 155:16</p> <p>purposes [1] - 152:12</p> <p>pursue [2] - 86:12, 160:16</p> <p>pursued [1] - 86:16</p> <p>pursuing [1] - 149:24</p> <p>push [1] - 166:23</p> <p>pushed [2] - 75:3, 166:18</p> <p>pushing [2] - 80:8, 166:5</p> <p>put [19] - 1:16, 2:1, 14:16, 29:25, 39:1,</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>39:8, 63:24, 69:16, 73:21, 74:22, 112:13, 116:6, 130:19, 133:5, 138:14, 140:10, 144:3, 151:24, 157:2</p> <p>puts [1] - 40:3</p> <p>putting [3] - 58:11, 93:6, 148:8</p>	<p>rationale [1] - 137:1</p> <p>rattle [1] - 36:17</p> <p>RCMP [20] - 3:3, 6:14, 7:7, 7:11, 8:8, 15:11, 35:12, 40:22, 49:17, 72:19, 81:2, 92:2, 95:19, 99:1, 120:1, 124:18, 131:24, 142:12, 143:10, 151:25</p> <p>re [3] - 20:8, 20:13, 41:18</p> <p>re-assess [2] - 20:8, 20:13</p> <p>re-enter [1] - 41:18</p> <p>reach [2] - 71:7, 133:3</p> <p>reached [2] - 69:9, 113:4</p> <p>reaction [1] - 142:11</p> <p>read [5] - 8:17, 70:11, 94:2, 139:13, 159:7</p> <p>readily [1] - 158:18</p> <p>reading [2] - 57:6, 73:8</p> <p>ready [5] - 34:12, 34:13, 136:18, 151:9, 151:13</p> <p>real [8] - 27:23, 38:11, 54:14, 62:20, 123:21, 137:18, 158:16, 161:24</p> <p>reality [5] - 25:6, 36:24, 78:10, 108:14, 137:13</p> <p>realize [3] - 89:18, 107:5, 133:19</p> <p>realized [8] - 6:14, 29:10, 36:6, 54:8, 62:1, 67:2, 84:2, 130:3</p> <p>realizes [1] - 159:19</p> <p>really [66] - 4:18, 5:5, 6:19, 8:21, 10:13, 14:2, 18:8, 18:14, 19:20, 27:25, 31:20, 36:9, 37:25, 41:10, 41:14, 47:8, 51:6, 51:10, 59:15, 61:25, 64:7, 64:15, 64:24, 66:14, 68:2, 68:15, 69:18, 74:16, 81:11, 84:18, 88:6, 88:11, 93:8, 95:25, 99:18, 100:21, 102:17, 105:4, 105:21, 107:20, 108:2, 113:7, 116:12, 118:7, 122:10, 123:5, 125:13, 125:24, 132:13,</p>	<p>132:21, 136:4, 136:24, 141:11, 144:3, 145:16, 146:4, 146:5, 148:11, 155:7, 157:16, 158:7, 158:19, 158:22, 159:10, 162:1, 165:19</p> <p>reason [1] - 4:10</p> <p>reasonable [1] - 168:4</p> <p>reasonably [2] - 154:19, 155:17</p> <p>reasons [3] - 11:5, 34:8, 41:20</p> <p>recalling [1] - 143:20</p> <p>receive [3] - 9:5, 10:3, 85:7</p> <p>received [11] - 3:11, 7:17, 7:19, 7:25, 10:5, 10:6, 10:20, 22:8, 78:6, 156:8, 162:5</p> <p>receiving [6] - 6:10, 9:24, 21:22, 22:13, 146:13, 149:10</p> <p>recent [1] - 95:5</p> <p>recess [2] - 55:23, 142:5</p> <p>recognition [1] - 123:19</p> <p>recognize [2] - 87:2, 88:4</p> <p>recognized [6] - 23:2, 62:12, 68:13, 87:6, 89:10, 158:22</p> <p>recognizes [1] - 115:23</p> <p>recommend [1] - 130:15</p> <p>recommendation [4] - 133:13, 133:16, 135:8, 138:23</p> <p>recommendations [4] - 127:22, 130:2, 131:21, 134:18</p> <p>record [1] - 126:13</p> <p>records [7] - 41:9, 82:10, 90:16, 106:13, 108:10, 140:6, 148:25</p> <p>recover [2] - 4:6, 30:5</p> <p>recovered [1] - 117:21</p> <p>red [4] - 42:15, 69:22, 70:1, 93:6</p> <p>refer [7] - 1:23, 9:21, 21:25, 26:12, 59:25, 61:23, 110:25</p> <p>reference [6] - 75:24, 116:18, 140:1,</p>	<p>148:18, 164:21, 166:20</p> <p>referenced [3] - 75:20, 164:17, 165:21</p> <p>referred [20] - 21:21, 22:22, 28:7, 59:25, 60:18, 68:23, 70:13, 87:17, 87:19, 101:9, 102:23, 103:8, 111:25, 118:3, 145:5, 147:10, 152:21, 152:22, 159:16, 162:17</p> <p>referring [7] - 1:19, 44:1, 65:1, 76:22, 79:12, 92:6, 92:7</p> <p>refers [1] - 140:7</p> <p>refine [1] - 84:18</p> <p>refined [1] - 47:11</p> <p>reflected [1] - 76:1</p> <p>regarding [1] - 11:14</p> <p>regards [1] - 4:16</p> <p>regional [1] - 32:4</p> <p>REGISTRAR [11] - 1:4, 1:11, 1:13, 55:23, 56:1, 98:5, 98:8, 98:17, 142:5, 142:8, 168:12</p> <p>regular [1] - 23:5</p> <p>reiterate [1] - 144:6</p> <p>relate [2] - 114:22, 123:2</p> <p>related [1] - 87:23</p> <p>relative [4] - 3:2, 5:16, 12:7, 27:23</p> <p>remained [4] - 88:18, 89:16, 102:9, 125:18</p> <p>remains [15] - 4:6, 13:25, 14:8, 32:17, 35:8, 35:18, 35:21, 35:22, 57:22, 58:12, 87:18, 129:12, 130:24, 131:2, 165:15</p> <p>remember [13] - 55:11, 83:22, 84:16, 86:25, 89:11, 115:12, 135:14, 140:22, 145:21, 146:3, 148:24, 157:25, 165:24</p> <p>remembered [2] - 140:14, 165:5</p> <p>remembering [1] - 130:21</p> <p>remind [1] - 30:25</p> <p>removing [1] - 14:10</p> <p>renew [1] - 115:5</p> <p>renewed [1] - 114:15</p> <p>repeat [1] - 110:17</p>	<p>repeating [1] - 108:3</p> <p>replacement [1] - 104:22</p> <p>report [16] - 8:7, 43:24, 45:9, 55:10, 82:6, 82:15, 140:1, 140:8, 140:16, 140:17, 140:20, 141:5, 146:20, 147:13, 156:18</p> <p>reported [3] - 43:17, 43:25, 81:15</p> <p>Reporter [1] - 168:20</p> <p>REPORTING [1] - 168:21</p> <p>Reports [1] - 40:24</p> <p>reports [7] - 28:8, 46:7, 46:8, 78:22, 79:15, 81:23, 122:7</p> <p>representative [1] - 50:8</p> <p>request [4] - 135:6, 135:9, 160:24, 168:3</p> <p>require [1] - 136:21</p> <p>required [3] - 61:14, 111:12, 136:22</p> <p>requirements [2] - 111:4, 137:4</p> <p>requiring [1] - 38:8</p> <p>research [2] - 20:23, 140:20</p> <p>researched [1] - 34:11</p> <p>residence [1] - 72:19</p> <p>resident [1] - 79:7</p> <p>resource [4] - 50:1, 62:17, 67:10, 91:24</p> <p>resources [30] - 49:16, 78:12, 78:25, 80:3, 80:6, 92:2, 102:19, 106:9, 111:7, 111:10, 116:14, 124:22, 124:24, 125:3, 129:20, 133:24, 134:12, 135:6, 135:9, 136:23, 138:15, 138:21, 142:24, 143:11, 143:14, 148:8, 157:2, 157:10, 157:13, 166:4</p> <p>resourcing [6] - 65:2, 65:6, 90:22, 91:18, 106:17, 120:4</p> <p>respect [27] - 10:1, 10:16, 11:9, 11:12, 14:12, 15:1, 22:5, 29:5, 40:6, 43:4, 47:15, 52:21, 70:18, 78:21, 79:14, 80:11,</p>
Q				
<p>QPF [1] - 143:25</p> <p>qualified [1] - 4:21</p> <p>quality [9] - 19:8, 19:11, 54:3, 67:5, 78:12, 103:21, 103:22, 139:20, 162:6</p> <p>quarter [1] - 36:20</p> <p>Quesnel [1] - 4:3</p> <p>questionnaire [1] - 155:23</p> <p>Questions [1] - 163:25</p> <p>questions [7] - 61:8, 145:4, 156:11, 161:17, 163:18, 164:10, 167:11</p> <p>quicker [1] - 148:9</p> <p>quickly [7] - 18:17, 19:4, 23:6, 33:24, 118:6, 139:3, 144:13</p> <p>quit [2] - 26:8, 100:21</p> <p>quite [9] - 4:20, 7:4, 12:9, 31:15, 59:6, 96:17, 109:18, 133:21, 157:25</p>				
R				
<p>radar [2] - 126:17, 126:18</p> <p>raised [1] - 156:11</p> <p>ran [4] - 5:12, 5:20, 118:12, 118:14</p> <p>range [1] - 136:16</p> <p>ranking [1] - 139:19</p> <p>Rapid [3] - 18:25, 48:9, 49:21</p> <p>rapid [1] - 4:19</p> <p>rapidly [4] - 19:9, 37:9, 94:24, 116:3</p> <p>rapists [1] - 85:16</p> <p>rate [4] - 26:15, 26:17, 26:23, 26:25</p> <p>rated [1] - 75:7</p> <p>rates [1] - 26:19</p> <p>rather [4] - 43:12, 63:14, 103:20, 109:16</p>				

81:22, 87:21, 98:16, 114:4, 117:4, 131:21, 134:18, 150:22, 151:23, 152:23, 163:2 respected [1] - 144:2 respond [1] - 92:5 responded [1] - 92:4 responding [1] - 9:7 response [6] - 110:19, 139:24, 160:4, 160:23, 160:25, 165:12 responsibility [2] - 78:21, 160:20 responsible [2] - 81:7 rest [1] - 50:15 result [3] - 46:23, 82:2, 82:13 results [4] - 46:12, 78:10, 122:8, 147:14 resume [1] - 2:18 RESUMED [4] - 1:3, 55:25, 98:7, 142:7 resumed [4] - 1:4, 56:1, 98:8, 142:8 retained [1] - 95:14 retaining [1] - 130:13 retention [2] - 95:19, 130:11 retirement [1] - 168:2 Review [1] - 121:12 review [36] - 5:4, 18:9, 19:18, 52:16, 52:22, 52:25, 53:2, 53:9, 53:16, 53:17, 53:21, 54:6, 54:7, 63:21, 67:25, 71:4, 74:19, 84:3, 85:12, 92:10, 92:25, 93:2, 93:11, 107:23, 108:1, 110:9, 112:9, 122:1, 122:3, 128:15, 129:25, 130:16, 147:10, 147:15, 147:16 review" [1] - 129:5 reviewed [6] - 19:25, 127:21, 130:6, 130:7, 149:13 reviewing [5] - 8:15, 63:12, 97:12, 128:13, 147:2 reviews [5] - 53:23, 77:24, 122:12, 129:16, 146:14 revitalization [1] - 21:5 reward [4] - 114:14, 115:5, 120:9, 123:25	Reward [1] - 119:8 Rick [1] - 167:22 Ridge [1] - 103:15 Ridgway [4] - 78:2, 149:19, 150:3 rig [1] - 132:5 rigged [1] - 65:21 Rinn [1] - 111:6 risk [2] - 19:2, 100:2 risks [1] - 101:4 rivaled [1] - 96:15 River [3] - 13:6, 126:25, 149:18 River's [1] - 77:24 road [6] - 9:22, 58:7, 58:9, 58:10, 58:16, 75:25 roadblocks [1] - 40:3 robbery [1] - 72:15 Robert [4] - 42:20, 43:15, 44:6, 45:13 Robertson [1] - 99:17 Robotics [1] - 37:7 robust [1] - 40:19 rock [1] - 152:19 role [8] - 13:20, 42:23, 43:4, 56:24, 56:25, 61:19, 80:11, 160:21 roll [1] - 121:7 rolling [1] - 102:13 Rolls [1] - 157:15 room [11] - 17:4, 23:12, 23:19, 69:8, 69:18, 69:23, 69:24, 70:9, 104:12, 155:5, 155:6 rooms [1] - 18:6 Roosevelt [1] - 45:1 Rose [1] - 113:13 Rossmo [7] - 16:10, 19:14, 21:11, 51:1, 70:20, 140:17, 140:20 Rotary [1] - 132:11 roughly [6] - 34:1, 88:19, 133:8, 145:25, 150:6, 155:2 Roy [2] - 143:6, 143:25 Royal [1] - 109:8 rubbing [1] - 65:15 rules [1] - 135:18 rulings [1] - 136:16 run [9] - 4:22, 5:14, 42:20, 64:18, 81:12, 97:6, 115:25, 118:16 runaways [1] - 3:23 running [5] - 53:18, 62:15, 64:10, 118:17, 135:5	runs [1] - 137:14 <hr/> S <hr/> safe [2] - 100:19, 136:17 safest [1] - 135:16 safety [2] - 97:10, 160:12 Samija [6] - 36:7, 36:9, 50:5, 50:15, 50:24, 87:4 samples [8] - 29:5, 30:16, 32:12, 87:11, 109:25, 130:19, 135:8, 149:2 Saskatchewan [1] - 106:24 satellite [1] - 65:22 satisfied [1] - 106:16 satisfy [1] - 155:14 saturated [1] - 22:18 saw [3] - 67:22, 145:25, 151:19 scare [1] - 165:22 scene [18] - 24:4, 28:12, 30:5, 34:16, 37:20, 37:21, 38:19, 39:11, 57:6, 57:7, 57:15, 62:3, 62:4, 94:14, 95:2, 108:13, 150:2 scenes [3] - 37:24, 38:20, 39:18 Schedule [1] - 109:21 schedule [1] - 152:3 scheduling [1] - 167:6 Science [4] - 10:22, 21:12, 54:19, 127:4 Science's [1] - 84:1 scientist [4] - 36:15, 59:2, 68:12, 165:11 scientists [2] - 21:15, 51:1 scope [3] - 11:20, 17:9, 17:11 Scotia [1] - 22:14 Scotians [1] - 22:16 screaming [2] - 24:14, 72:21 scrutiny [1] - 42:12 search [11] - 5:17, 28:3, 31:7, 32:17, 32:19, 32:24, 42:17, 118:12, 118:17, 135:18, 151:5 searchable [1] - 131:18 searched [4] - 39:2, 118:20, 122:13,	134:17 searches [2] - 118:14, 118:18 searching [4] - 24:17, 40:1, 133:6, 136:7 seasoned [5] - 101:22, 103:11, 103:16, 104:24, 128:23 sec [1] - 94:2 second [20] - 1:20, 12:25, 35:4, 35:6, 45:4, 45:5, 48:12, 53:1, 58:12, 75:14, 76:5, 76:6, 77:16, 94:16, 120:12, 120:15, 121:14, 130:25, 148:1, 161:19 Second [1] - 75:1 secondhand [1] - 100:24 secondly [1] - 41:21 secondment [1] - 102:9 secondments [1] - 102:21 section [1] - 5:13 Section [5] - 3:11, 4:18, 4:24, 5:15, 111:6 security [1] - 159:17 see [58] - 9:6, 15:4, 15:17, 20:5, 20:21, 51:4, 51:11, 57:15, 63:14, 63:24, 75:23, 84:4, 87:19, 89:24, 90:1, 90:16, 94:24, 95:12, 96:19, 98:23, 98:24, 99:11, 102:3, 102:4, 106:1, 108:6, 108:20, 110:17, 114:1, 114:19, 115:3, 116:3, 119:12, 121:14, 123:6, 124:5, 125:15, 127:18, 129:5, 129:9, 132:11, 137:1, 143:18, 144:18, 144:19, 145:2, 146:20, 149:24, 153:5, 159:6, 160:3, 160:8, 163:4, 163:11, 163:19, 163:23, 164:22, 167:9 seeking [4] - 91:19, 134:11, 134:23, 160:3	seem [2] - 25:25, 115:8 segmentally [1] - 134:17 seizure [1] - 135:18 self [1] - 102:3 self-explanatory [1] - 102:3 send [1] - 55:10 senior [5] - 103:10, 104:7, 136:2, 139:24, 144:2 sense [12] - 13:18, 21:2, 48:22, 61:5, 64:13, 79:19, 95:6, 97:13, 101:19, 103:16, 116:13, 130:17 sensitivity [1] - 36:12 sent [4] - 22:13, 30:17, 37:14, 55:13 separate [1] - 39:20 September [6] - 20:2, 20:5, 129:19, 142:19, 143:8, 153:23 sequential [1] - 97:3 Sereena [2] - 31:9, 31:18 Sergeant [20] - 2:3, 21:25, 22:21, 27:5, 47:21, 56:3, 65:13, 67:15, 75:21, 76:4, 78:22, 87:17, 92:9, 110:6, 110:20, 111:6, 113:17, 113:19, 116:21, 121:15 sergeant [10] - 1:9, 2:15, 15:14, 34:18, 61:6, 93:19, 98:10, 102:11, 117:17, 142:10 serial [21] - 9:1, 14:6, 21:16, 34:17, 49:14, 51:3, 51:21, 54:14, 54:18, 54:22, 73:6, 85:16, 85:22, 86:1, 126:10, 126:25, 140:8, 140:20, 141:5, 149:22, 150:11 series [6] - 11:2, 11:25, 12:7, 55:1, 72:10, 163:18 Serious [4] - 3:17, 4:17, 111:13, 139:21 serious [2] - 111:6, 130:5 SERVICE [1] - 168:21
--	--	---	--	--

<p>Service [3] - 35:7, 132:6, 164:25</p> <p>serviced [1] - 42:4</p> <p>Services [5] - 131:1, 132:13, 157:14, 163:13, 164:18</p> <p>set [5] - 44:16, 63:23, 113:3, 161:23, 168:5</p> <p>sets [1] - 122:10</p> <p>seven [1] - 115:4</p> <p>seventh [1] - 87:22</p> <p>several [1] - 6:4</p> <p>severed [1] - 13:16</p> <p>sex [41] - 8:22, 11:9, 11:14, 11:22, 12:14, 25:18, 26:13, 27:1, 39:15, 42:25, 48:11, 55:1, 55:18, 62:6, 72:6, 73:1, 74:6, 74:8, 74:22, 75:6, 82:20, 82:23, 84:3, 84:6, 85:13, 94:8, 94:18, 94:22, 94:25, 95:13, 99:10, 100:23, 101:1, 107:24, 108:2, 108:16, 122:5, 123:18, 127:6, 132:19, 160:11</p> <p>Sex [1] - 62:16</p> <p>sexual [7] - 72:12, 85:14, 94:17, 122:17, 122:20, 127:5</p> <p>sexually [1] - 72:8</p> <p>shall [1] - 161:6</p> <p>share [2] - 91:24, 154:18</p> <p>sharing [1] - 90:24</p> <p>Shenher [7] - 74:15, 75:8, 79:1, 141:24, 161:5, 162:18, 162:21</p> <p>shifting [1] - 116:11</p> <p>shirking [1] - 160:19</p> <p>Shore [1] - 74:24</p> <p>short [3] - 7:25, 48:21, 50:17</p> <p>shortly [1] - 163:15</p> <p>shoulders [1] - 65:15</p> <p>show [4] - 28:12, 76:18, 91:8, 155:20</p> <p>showed [3] - 19:12, 97:9, 97:10</p> <p>shows [2] - 42:16, 42:17</p> <p>side [5] - 80:21, 91:8, 118:7, 118:14, 163:25</p> <p>sightings [1] - 149:21</p>	<p>signed [2] - 90:24, 91:3</p> <p>significance [4] - 31:1, 31:3, 121:19, 148:19</p> <p>significant [2] - 37:13, 105:18</p> <p>similar [4] - 123:17, 151:18, 151:22, 154:7</p> <p>simple [7] - 23:6, 23:14, 24:6, 42:14, 55:8, 119:23</p> <p>simplest [1] - 10:9</p> <p>simplistic [1] - 106:22</p> <p>simply [16] - 3:24, 13:3, 14:15, 19:13, 27:14, 40:9, 55:16, 59:19, 66:21, 95:24, 126:6, 127:22, 134:23, 142:13, 143:11, 160:20</p> <p>simultaneous [2] - 64:8, 83:24</p> <p>simultaneously [1] - 64:10</p> <p>sit [2] - 53:5, 121:9</p> <p>site [3] - 32:3, 58:12, 58:25</p> <p>sites [2] - 17:18, 57:12</p> <p>situation [17] - 18:8, 24:8, 28:13, 40:6, 77:20, 80:7, 81:21, 83:5, 112:22, 114:6, 124:19, 133:17, 150:18, 153:23, 154:7, 157:8, 166:4</p> <p>SIUSS [24] - 41:4, 41:10, 41:11, 41:14, 41:23, 42:4, 44:9, 44:17, 44:19, 65:11, 87:21, 96:5, 96:8, 105:3, 105:18, 105:21, 105:22, 113:6, 113:8, 115:14, 120:21, 140:18</p> <p>six [8] - 6:23, 7:17, 34:1, 58:3, 116:13, 138:12, 138:14, 141:11</p> <p>size [4] - 36:20, 36:21, 75:10, 155:5</p> <p>ski [1] - 31:18</p> <p>skill [1] - 168:19</p> <p>skilled [2] - 73:12, 103:2</p> <p>skull [9] - 13:3, 13:4, 13:16, 13:20, 117:20, 117:25,</p>	<p>118:3, 118:5, 131:6</p> <p>sky [1] - 100:3</p> <p>slowed [2] - 73:24, 122:22</p> <p>slowing [1] - 25:5</p> <p>small [3] - 58:6, 119:4, 128:23</p> <p>smaller [4] - 1:21, 46:25</p> <p>smart [1] - 28:16</p> <p>smears [4] - 109:16, 152:8, 152:9, 152:12</p> <p>Smith [1] - 132:13</p> <p>smoking [1] - 33:22</p> <p>snow [1] - 58:25</p> <p>solely [3] - 78:19, 87:13, 87:14</p> <p>Solicitor [2] - 15:12, 157:16</p> <p>solid [2] - 134:13, 152:19</p> <p>solidifying [1] - 83:25</p> <p>Solomon [1] - 31:18</p> <p>solutions [1] - 120:4</p> <p>solve [9] - 15:6, 15:13, 26:15, 26:17, 26:19, 26:23, 26:25, 64:19, 149:18</p> <p>solved [4] - 8:24, 25:18, 108:1, 122:14</p> <p>solving [1] - 130:9</p> <p>someone [7] - 24:14, 66:22, 77:23, 118:19, 137:17, 137:21, 163:20</p> <p>something's [1] - 133:12</p> <p>sometime [3] - 12:22, 105:2, 140:25</p> <p>sometimes [4] - 115:13, 145:2, 158:14</p> <p>somewhat [2] - 29:19, 154:7</p> <p>somewhere [3] - 18:21, 42:16, 156:3</p> <p>son [1] - 5:24</p> <p>soon [4] - 22:19, 87:2, 114:10, 144:15</p> <p>sooner [1] - 63:17</p> <p>sophisticated [1] - 40:20</p> <p>sorry [56] - 11:7, 11:19, 14:12, 15:15, 15:21, 17:2, 17:7, 17:21, 19:4, 25:18, 34:19, 34:23, 37:10, 40:13, 44:2, 58:3, 58:5, 60:14, 60:21, 75:13, 76:12, 76:23,</p>	<p>79:13, 83:19, 86:14, 88:24, 89:6, 89:8, 89:14, 104:24, 106:9, 110:16, 112:23, 114:8, 115:2, 119:12, 121:9, 126:8, 129:7, 129:10, 131:20, 132:3, 134:20, 144:24, 145:1, 146:21, 151:15, 153:3, 153:4, 156:20, 158:11, 159:2, 159:7, 159:12, 162:13, 162:19</p> <p>sort [20] - 2:23, 19:9, 23:20, 30:20, 34:21, 38:22, 41:12, 81:11, 82:2, 97:7, 97:11, 99:25, 110:17, 115:16, 115:17, 116:4, 146:12, 146:22, 147:14, 149:8</p> <p>sorts [3] - 26:16, 27:2, 44:20</p> <p>souls [1] - 157:11</p> <p>sound [1] - 38:1</p> <p>sounded [1] - 102:13</p> <p>sounding [1] - 167:21</p> <p>source [1] - 21:15</p> <p>Southwest [1] - 117:17</p> <p>Special [2] - 6:2, 7:10</p> <p>special [1] - 43:22</p> <p>specialist [1] - 49:21</p> <p>specialized [3] - 3:5, 3:11, 105:9</p> <p>specialty [1] - 6:11</p> <p>specific [4] - 27:7, 68:13, 80:3, 136:21</p> <p>speed [2] - 33:18, 100:5</p> <p>spend [2] - 70:15, 130:25</p> <p>spending [2] - 103:23, 134:4</p> <p>spent [1] - 80:24</p> <p>spite [1] - 28:19</p> <p>Spokane [2] - 34:17, 126:25</p> <p>spot [2] - 31:17, 84:21</p> <p>spread [1] - 166:12</p> <p>spree [1] - 46:15</p> <p>spring [2] - 98:22, 106:17</p> <p>spur [3] - 58:6, 58:15</p> <p>Squad [1] - 62:16</p> <p>St [3] - 94:11, 102:20,</p>	<p>104:21</p> <p>stabbing [1] - 89:5</p> <p>stacked [1] - 104:13</p> <p>Staff [1] - 111:6</p> <p>staff [2] - 45:3, 102:10</p> <p>staffing [1] - 128:19</p> <p>staffed [1] - 111:4</p> <p>stage [2] - 133:3, 157:20</p> <p>stages [1] - 16:2</p> <p>stamping [1] - 107:1</p> <p>stand [1] - 1:9</p> <p>standard [8] - 51:10, 51:17, 58:15, 59:13, 59:21, 61:4, 64:4, 88:12</p> <p>stands [1] - 112:19</p> <p>start [20] - 2:16, 2:22, 18:2, 18:14, 18:24, 21:18, 23:10, 24:25, 47:7, 48:14, 64:24, 67:18, 68:10, 70:2, 77:8, 84:16, 102:4, 143:4, 148:12, 148:13</p> <p>started [28] - 1:14, 17:12, 17:25, 19:4, 20:15, 22:19, 25:7, 32:25, 37:3, 39:4, 54:10, 54:17, 84:15, 84:17, 86:9, 105:20, 121:20, 121:22, 132:11, 132:21, 137:8, 140:15, 143:14, 145:21, 153:18, 158:6, 165:25</p> <p>starting [3] - 89:18, 114:15, 145:24</p> <p>state [2] - 1:11, 106:16</p> <p>State [1] - 150:1</p> <p>statement [5] - 126:1, 127:13, 140:12, 140:24</p> <p>States [1] - 131:9</p> <p>stating [1] - 114:6</p> <p>stats [2] - 26:21, 48:14</p> <p>status [4] - 127:16, 146:13, 147:15, 148:1</p> <p>Stave [1] - 13:6</p> <p>stay [5] - 51:12, 73:24, 126:16, 126:17, 153:12</p> <p>staying [1] - 45:2</p> <p>stays [2] - 35:12, 51:12</p> <p>step [6] - 42:18, 77:18, 89:21, 125:25, 134:13, 152:10</p>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>steps [11] - 2:6, 22:3, 29:4, 30:2, 32:11, 47:24, 47:25, 48:22, 77:10, 111:3, 159:13</p> <p>sterilize [2] - 165:7</p> <p>still [19] - 12:8, 26:6, 26:10, 51:11, 58:20, 82:12, 102:7, 113:1, 113:2, 113:24, 122:25, 125:18, 126:22, 132:15, 135:12, 136:4, 146:16, 154:14, 156:3</p> <p>Stimson [1] - 87:6</p> <p>stomach [1] - 9:15</p> <p>stood [5] - 88:10, 88:11, 155:7, 167:7, 168:5</p> <p>stop [4] - 43:20, 59:22, 98:3, 121:13</p> <p>stopped [10] - 18:21, 54:15, 77:14, 78:3, 78:5, 92:2, 118:15, 125:23, 126:6</p> <p>stopping [1] - 46:15</p> <p>story [2] - 26:4, 31:21</p> <p>stranger [2] - 26:20</p> <p>strangled [1] - 73:3</p> <p>strapped [1] - 65:16</p> <p>strategies [2] - 67:17, 83:15</p> <p>strategy [9] - 64:20, 67:21, 70:18, 75:15, 76:5, 76:6, 76:25, 77:16, 83:16</p> <p>stream [8] - 38:18, 145:19, 146:7, 146:18, 147:7, 148:2, 148:6, 150:22</p> <p>streams [8] - 64:8, 64:9, 86:3, 88:7, 145:5, 145:12, 145:17, 148:16</p> <p>Street [2] - 5:12, 5:14</p> <p>street [2] - 31:12, 43:24</p> <p>stress [1] - 162:23</p> <p>Strike [1] - 138:20</p> <p>strips [1] - 99:19</p> <p>strolls [1] - 99:20</p> <p>strong [5] - 19:21, 42:12, 48:6, 70:21, 103:7</p> <p>strongly [1] - 19:12</p> <p>structure [2] - 76:15, 78:16</p> <p>stuck [1] - 109:19</p> <p>student [1] - 111:9</p> <p>stuff [4] - 39:2, 39:3,</p>	<p>133:11, 158:10</p> <p>stumbling [1] - 97:12</p> <p>stymied [2] - 28:25, 87:3</p> <p>subject [1] - 72:22</p> <p>subjects [1] - 61:2</p> <p>submitted [2] - 131:11, 149:16</p> <p>substance [1] - 72:14</p> <p>succeed [1] - 87:9</p> <p>success [2] - 7:4, 126:2</p> <p>successful [1] - 19:23</p> <p>sudden [1] - 47:6</p> <p>suddenly [4] - 36:22, 53:18, 70:2, 83:9</p> <p>sufficient [1] - 120:18</p> <p>sufficiently [1] - 63:6</p> <p>suggested [2] - 80:10, 167:12</p> <p>suggestion [1] - 154:11</p> <p>suits [2] - 55:20, 97:23</p> <p>summarize [1] - 138:1</p> <p>summarized [2] - 10:21, 10:23</p> <p>summary [1] - 138:2</p> <p>summer [4] - 96:17, 114:16, 148:2, 148:21</p> <p>summertime [1] - 81:22</p> <p>Superintendent [2] - 107:15, 146:15</p> <p>superiors [2] - 136:3, 137:4</p> <p>SUPERText [1] - 40:24</p> <p>supervising [1] - 3:13</p> <p>support [9] - 6:1, 41:1, 106:5, 111:13, 120:18, 124:21, 135:4, 157:3, 158:9</p> <p>supported [2] - 7:2, 62:25</p> <p>supportive [1] - 110:22</p> <p>suppose [4] - 3:10, 16:7, 26:2, 140:9</p> <p>surfaced [2] - 113:23, 157:23</p> <p>surfacing [1] - 113:25</p> <p>Surrey [7] - 4:18, 4:20, 49:7, 65:8, 65:22, 99:8, 105:24</p> <p>surveillance [5] - 3:5, 3:6, 3:8, 136:22, 138:19</p> <p>Susanne [2] - 157:17, 158:7</p>	<p>suspect [29] - 19:18, 37:15, 39:12, 45:15, 51:20, 60:10, 60:11, 60:17, 60:25, 62:4, 63:22, 64:25, 89:16, 94:8, 94:13, 118:14, 121:17, 122:12, 126:3, 129:16, 134:19, 135:8, 136:5, 136:11, 136:12, 137:8, 138:7, 147:3, 166:17</p> <p>suspected [1] - 35:13</p> <p>suspects [32] - 19:8, 19:11, 19:21, 19:24, 20:8, 20:22, 21:6, 39:18, 59:21, 59:22, 62:3, 64:12, 67:19, 67:25, 70:19, 85:20, 86:5, 86:8, 88:17, 94:13, 95:1, 108:6, 118:21, 128:7, 128:9, 136:20, 136:24, 137:13, 137:15, 138:11, 156:1, 156:10</p> <p>sweet [1] - 165:11</p> <p>Sweet's [1] - 164:21</p> <p>switched [1] - 59:15</p> <p>sworn [1] - 1:10</p> <p>Sylvia [9] - 18:25, 49:19, 50:14, 82:14, 82:15, 83:7, 115:19, 115:25</p> <p>sympathetic [1] - 154:20</p> <p>sync [1] - 90:17</p> <p>system [21] - 30:22, 40:20, 40:21, 41:19, 44:11, 44:19, 45:7, 45:11, 45:15, 45:17, 45:18, 87:21, 101:6, 105:4, 105:20, 106:19, 120:19, 120:22, 121:3, 128:25</p> <p>systems [5] - 13:13, 16:20, 27:13, 102:2, 119:21</p>	<p>118:6, 124:8, 139:3, 142:17, 143:16, 143:19, 144:18, 146:9, 146:20, 147:8, 151:14, 151:15, 152:2, 153:2, 159:1, 159:3</p> <p>Tabs [1] - 146:8</p> <p>tackled [1] - 29:13</p> <p>tact [1] - 124:6</p> <p>tactic [2] - 71:16</p> <p>tactics [1] - 116:11</p> <p>talented [1] - 4:21</p> <p>talks [4] - 122:17, 122:24, 123:5, 123:25</p> <p>Tanaka [1] - 168:20</p> <p>tape [1] - 73:2</p> <p>tapping [2] - 138:18, 138:20</p> <p>target [10] - 6:7, 52:4, 68:18, 70:22, 71:3, 77:5, 84:7, 84:8, 100:18, 146:2</p> <p>targeting [1] - 26:11</p> <p>task [13] - 17:11, 17:14, 17:19, 17:23, 56:25, 57:1, 61:23, 91:1, 105:10, 133:10, 157:12, 161:12, 163:9</p> <p>tasked [2] - 118:24, 164:10</p> <p>taught [1] - 4:23</p> <p>Taylor [11] - 42:22, 42:24, 42:25, 43:1, 43:6, 44:7, 44:11, 45:3, 45:6, 45:12</p> <p>team [23] - 7:1, 18:9, 56:25, 61:14, 65:5, 90:1, 92:25, 93:2, 93:11, 101:20, 111:22, 115:9, 115:10, 134:3, 136:22, 140:3, 141:2, 141:22, 141:23, 144:4, 144:22, 161:2</p> <p>teammates [1] - 65:16</p> <p>teams [3] - 66:8, 66:9, 136:23</p> <p>tear [1] - 5:7</p> <p>technique [1] - 86:17</p> <p>technology [2] - 27:15, 130:4</p> <p>Ted [5] - 44:24, 45:7, 94:9, 103:14</p> <p>temporary [2] - 130:20, 130:21</p> <p>tenth [1] - 69:15</p>	<p>term [3] - 64:5, 100:18, 116:7</p> <p>terms [6] - 21:21, 112:14, 114:19, 139:25, 142:23, 167:1</p> <p>terribly [1] - 93:4</p> <p>terrific [1] - 7:4</p> <p>Terry [2] - 128:8, 132:12</p> <p>test [1] - 136:14</p> <p>tested [1] - 166:2</p> <p>testified [5] - 6:5, 9:24, 33:13, 77:13, 156:23</p> <p>testimony [10] - 1:20, 1:24, 14:19, 34:21, 46:10, 101:9, 102:24, 114:23, 145:6, 167:1</p> <p>testing [2] - 46:2</p> <p>tests [1] - 47:3</p> <p>THE [26] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:8, 1:11, 1:12, 1:13, 1:25, 2:11, 43:1, 55:22, 55:23, 56:1, 56:16, 56:17, 98:3, 98:5, 98:8, 98:17, 117:7, 139:12, 142:4, 142:5, 142:8, 167:3, 168:11, 168:12</p> <p>themselves [4] - 16:22, 73:7, 73:8, 73:10</p> <p>theory [1] - 20:14</p> <p>thereafter [1] - 163:16</p> <p>therefore [2] - 58:11, 105:23</p> <p>they've [7] - 40:7, 79:8, 94:15, 120:14, 123:7, 130:19, 168:9</p> <p>thinking [15] - 19:5, 53:10, 53:12, 66:10, 70:17, 82:10, 96:7, 107:16, 109:10, 117:15, 126:23, 134:2, 135:15, 137:2, 145:12</p> <p>third [4] - 36:3, 83:16, 150:22, 155:11</p> <p>thorough [3] - 49:9, 103:17, 146:19</p> <p>thoroughly [1] - 103:24</p> <p>thoroughness [1] - 101:24</p> <p>thoughts [3] - 9:3, 132:9, 155:25</p> <p>thousand [2] - 90:9,</p>
T				
<p>Tab [37] - 2:20, 8:9, 9:6, 48:19, 61:12, 65:2, 89:22, 90:1, 92:7, 92:15, 93:22, 101:12, 106:1, 107:9, 107:12, 109:4, 110:3, 110:10, 112:6, 112:10, 117:6,</p>				

<p>94:23 threat [1] - 143:12 three [15] - 32:19, 63:16, 63:25, 64:1, 66:19, 77:7, 82:6, 94:23, 104:3, 106:8, 113:11, 113:22, 114:19, 126:9, 148:10 three-day [1] - 32:19 threw [2] - 104:10, 129:20 throughout [13] - 1:23, 10:14, 75:20, 84:14, 99:5, 100:2, 101:9, 102:24, 130:4, 145:6, 147:22, 163:17, 166:10 throwing [1] - 84:10 thrown [1] - 78:12 thrust [2] - 150:16, 150:17 tie [3] - 30:12, 37:11, 44:15 tied [5] - 67:10, 87:14, 108:1, 158:1 ties [2] - 44:21, 166:3 tight [1] - 128:23 tightly [1] - 111:21 time-consuming [2] - 38:3, 75:23 timeline [2] - 128:11, 145:20 tiny [1] - 162:25 tip [2] - 44:8, 140:18 Tip [1] - 105:15 tips [4] - 120:13, 120:23, 121:10, 149:20 Tobias [1] - 168:1 today [5] - 26:9, 26:10, 40:6, 121:9, 164:15 together [20] - 2:1, 6:17, 6:24, 8:1, 10:10, 15:5, 20:7, 21:13, 44:12, 69:16, 86:9, 87:24, 90:13, 96:2, 97:4, 141:4, 148:13, 148:17, 149:7, 150:13 Tom [1] - 87:5 tomorrow [1] - 168:13 took [26] - 3:5, 16:17, 16:23, 18:23, 19:3, 22:8, 24:9, 39:13, 48:17, 53:19, 57:11, 72:7, 84:17, 84:24, 100:12, 110:23, 111:17, 111:19,</p>	<p>126:1, 134:17, 141:6, 152:14, 153:18, 162:7, 162:10, 162:12 tools [3] - 129:2, 137:23, 137:24 top [14] - 9:11, 34:14, 36:7, 49:25, 50:5, 53:24, 56:21, 67:7, 74:16, 86:8, 101:25, 118:10, 139:6, 160:8 totality [1] - 99:13 touch [3] - 48:7, 50:4, 152:25 touched [3] - 37:18, 70:20, 94:24 toughest [1] - 77:20 towards [4] - 74:23, 113:23, 119:2, 157:4 track [6] - 4:2, 23:22, 42:6, 58:18, 90:15, 152:13 tracking [2] - 77:8, 149:24 trade [37] - 8:22, 11:10, 11:14, 11:22, 12:14, 25:19, 26:13, 27:1, 39:16, 42:25, 48:12, 55:2, 55:18, 62:6, 72:7, 73:1, 74:6, 74:8, 74:22, 75:6, 82:20, 82:23, 84:4, 85:13, 94:18, 94:22, 94:25, 99:11, 100:24, 101:1, 107:24, 108:2, 122:6, 123:18, 127:6, 132:19, 160:11 trailer [5] - 31:17, 31:22, 31:23, 32:22, 33:8 trained [2] - 3:12, 4:25 training [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 105:19 transcribed [1] - 168:18 transcript [1] - 168:17 transfer [1] - 7:9 transferred [5] - 3:4, 3:8, 3:16, 5:10, 7:8 transport [1] - 68:17 travel [1] - 157:22 travelling [2] - 54:20, 152:18 trial [7] - 43:5, 43:11, 71:17, 71:19, 85:2, 157:12, 158:10 triangle [2] - 57:3, 66:20</p>	<p>tricks [3] - 126:22, 127:2, 127:3 tricky [1] - 102:8 tried [8] - 30:21, 50:7, 68:17, 74:25, 95:4, 152:14, 158:8, 166:9 triggering [1] - 141:19 Trish [3] - 62:17, 106:10, 108:9 troubleshooter [1] - 121:5 true [8] - 28:9, 50:25, 52:17, 59:11, 97:14, 126:10, 141:12, 168:17 truly [6] - 6:12, 38:12, 76:18, 79:2, 119:21, 133:23 trunk [1] - 72:25 trust [4] - 107:2, 107:4, 109:15, 141:9 trusted [1] - 109:19 try [17] - 2:6, 30:14, 48:21, 69:16, 75:5, 77:3, 95:3, 96:1, 97:1, 101:8, 103:19, 129:21, 133:11, 141:14, 147:23, 153:20, 158:22 trying [23] - 29:1, 40:17, 50:10, 50:21, 52:5, 58:5, 65:16, 86:19, 95:25, 96:3, 102:7, 103:23, 103:25, 105:6, 105:24, 106:15, 106:19, 106:20, 120:1, 134:5, 134:7, 150:25, 161:1 tsunami [1] - 165:13 tune [1] - 165:20 tunnel [4] - 20:11, 70:13, 71:17, 71:18 turn [7] - 98:10, 101:11, 112:6, 117:1, 119:5, 124:8, 147:8 turnaround [1] - 32:13 turned [2] - 95:13, 129:17 turning [2] - 31:24, 82:5 turns [1] - 33:24 twists [1] - 30:20 two [37] - 1:17, 3:9, 19:4, 21:12, 22:12, 31:7, 31:13, 31:25, 32:9, 33:14, 35:16, 37:21, 39:1, 39:20, 41:20, 48:9, 53:25,</p>	<p>56:20, 66:8, 77:8, 85:24, 88:6, 91:21, 99:15, 99:21, 102:19, 102:23, 111:7, 116:15, 132:8, 150:8, 150:11, 160:2, 160:7, 167:13, 167:22 twofold [1] - 77:3 type [1] - 33:11 typed [2] - 112:9, 113:10 types [3] - 5:17, 101:6, 122:19 typical [1] - 9:19 typing [1] - 113:16</p>	<p>102:5 Unit [15] - 5:12, 5:15, 78:25, 79:13, 80:1, 80:18, 98:22, 98:25, 99:7, 99:14, 100:17, 112:19, 112:24, 124:3, 124:4 UNITED [1] - 168:21 United [1] - 131:9 units [4] - 5:17, 6:2, 138:19 unlawful [1] - 72:13 unless [3] - 37:15, 64:18, 91:3 unnoticed [1] - 159:22 unprecedented [2] - 135:23, 166:9 unreported [1] - 159:22 unsafe [1] - 61:2 unsolved [7] - 8:25, 12:8, 25:18, 25:19, 51:23, 108:1, 122:5 up [110] - 2:18, 10:25, 11:23, 15:12, 16:14, 21:20, 24:16, 26:22, 28:14, 29:2, 30:23, 31:18, 37:7, 40:4, 40:21, 42:16, 42:17, 42:21, 44:6, 44:8, 44:19, 45:8, 48:15, 48:25, 51:8, 52:6, 52:13, 53:6, 55:14, 56:8, 56:18, 57:6, 57:11, 57:13, 57:23, 58:14, 60:12, 62:17, 63:16, 64:21, 65:8, 65:20, 65:23, 66:4, 67:1, 67:10, 67:11, 72:6, 73:2, 74:22, 74:23, 75:1, 75:6, 77:18, 80:9, 80:23, 82:5, 93:9, 99:3, 100:5, 101:10, 101:20, 103:22, 104:1, 105:13, 107:1, 108:3, 111:7, 113:1, 114:4, 114:7, 114:24, 115:7, 118:11, 118:24, 119:2, 120:22, 121:2, 121:23, 122:7, 124:2, 126:7, 126:8, 127:6, 129:19, 130:5, 132:22, 133:15, 134:4, 135:5, 136:1, 142:24, 143:8, 146:6, 148:8, 149:16, 149:20,</p>
U				
<p>UBC [2] - 12:11, 12:12 UCO [1] - 64:21 UCOs [1] - 64:12 ultimate [1] - 67:17 ultimately [8] - 4:5, 13:21, 13:24, 14:15, 27:8, 31:5, 152:12, 157:15 ultra [1] - 84:11 unaware [1] - 77:4 under [8] - 28:15, 38:17, 42:11, 43:21, 66:22, 120:17, 135:7, 135:17 undercover [6] - 3:12, 3:13, 6:2, 6:7, 6:8 underestimated [1] - 121:19 underground [1] - 72:22 understandings [1] - 38:3 understood [6] - 5:19, 10:11, 11:8, 53:1, 79:3, 141:25 undertaken [1] - 147:11 underway [5] - 88:15, 94:6, 100:13, 113:5, 146:14 unfair [1] - 14:17 unfolded [1] - 7:3 unfolding [1] - 17:4 unfortunately [4] - 30:6, 46:5, 105:9, 120:16 Unger [1] - 142:14 uniform [1] - 3:4 unit [6] - 7:7, 54:5, 62:17, 80:4, 98:25,</p>				

<p>149:21, 150:7, 152:18, 156:24, 158:3, 158:9, 162:15, 163:23, 166:3, 166:16, 166:22, 168:5</p> <p>up-country [1] - 126:8</p> <p>update [1] - 110:14</p> <p>updating [1] - 146:25</p> <p>upper [13] - 91:5, 95:8, 95:18, 110:14, 110:20, 119:19, 120:3, 124:17, 124:18, 138:3, 142:12, 144:13, 159:25</p> <p>upset [3] - 153:16, 159:11, 159:12</p> <p>upwards [3] - 6:1, 37:13, 138:12</p> <p>urgency [2] - 78:9, 97:9</p> <p>urgent [2] - 53:25, 136:13</p> <p>urgently [1] - 136:12</p> <p>useless [1] - 30:23</p> <p>uses [1] - 116:7</p> <p>utilized [1] - 105:20</p> <p>utterly [3] - 27:21, 59:7, 71:17</p>	<p>54:2, 54:3, 54:16, 54:21, 54:24, 60:10, 61:1, 62:9, 63:21, 63:24, 64:4, 64:19, 74:7, 74:23, 78:11, 79:18, 80:25, 81:9, 81:20, 84:16, 88:8, 92:1, 93:14, 94:17, 94:19, 103:1, 104:5, 105:8, 107:22, 108:8, 109:7, 110:7, 110:16, 112:17, 114:7, 124:19, 129:13, 146:1, 146:2, 151:2, 157:6, 159:9, 159:13</p> <p>Vancouver's [8] - 8:25, 31:2, 54:15, 55:7, 56:20, 78:25, 80:1, 81:23</p> <p>Vandewalle [1] - 136:4</p> <p>Vanoverbeek [5] - 44:24, 45:8, 94:10, 103:13, 103:14</p> <p>variety [7] - 8:7, 10:23, 11:4, 34:11, 53:8, 64:22, 137:23</p> <p>various [9] - 16:15, 26:4, 63:13, 81:15, 107:18, 123:23, 127:11, 159:24</p> <p>variously [1] - 6:1</p> <p>vehicle [4] - 28:22, 28:24, 44:13, 72:23</p> <p>Verral [13] - 62:23, 85:6, 104:4, 104:5, 104:7, 106:9, 106:13, 122:11, 129:21, 146:3, 147:11, 147:18, 149:16</p> <p>VERTLIEB [1] - 167:4</p> <p>Vertlieb [1] - 46:6</p> <p>viable [2] - 62:2, 161:3</p> <p>Vice [2] - 160:9, 162:6</p> <p>vicious [1] - 25:21</p> <p>ViCLAS [13] - 16:12, 17:8, 48:5, 49:5, 49:11, 49:13, 55:13, 63:10, 83:8, 101:25, 102:11, 104:20, 108:1</p> <p>Victim [2] - 157:14, 163:12</p> <p>victim [16] - 14:4, 23:22, 23:23, 23:25, 47:16, 47:18, 73:18, 74:11, 84:8, 84:9, 95:22, 100:19,</p>	<p>118:22, 122:22, 154:8</p> <p>victims [11] - 28:12, 43:9, 43:10, 85:24, 86:15, 89:15, 150:8, 151:1, 158:1, 158:4, 159:21</p> <p>video [1] - 23:17</p> <p>viewed [1] - 79:18</p> <p>violent [1] - 85:14</p> <p>Virginia [1] - 30:18</p> <p>virtue [3] - 60:19, 128:24, 161:11</p> <p>visibly [1] - 12:9</p> <p>vision [4] - 20:11, 70:13, 71:17, 71:18</p> <p>visit [2] - 17:17, 56:12</p> <p>visiting [3] - 89:1, 108:18, 109:2</p> <p>vista [1] - 51:8</p> <p>VPD [13] - 17:17, 20:1, 62:15, 62:24, 94:4, 131:24, 138:19, 142:11, 144:10, 151:25, 162:6, 162:13, 167:13</p> <p>VPD's [1] - 110:20</p>	<p>146:25, 164:5, 164:6</p> <p>wayne [1] - 111:19</p> <p>ways [2] - 102:13, 120:19</p> <p>weapon [1] - 122:21</p> <p>Wednesday [2] - 168:1, 168:6</p> <p>week [1] - 80:20</p> <p>weeks [3] - 31:25, 116:15, 141:12</p> <p>weigh [1] - 157:16</p> <p>weighed [1] - 158:5</p> <p>Wells [1] - 31:7</p> <p>Westminster [2] - 99:16, 99:20</p> <p>wheel [1] - 75:12</p> <p>whilst [5] - 51:10, 54:15, 59:16, 128:24, 151:5</p> <p>whips [1] - 74:13</p> <p>white [1] - 166:1</p> <p>who've [1] - 84:9</p> <p>whodunit [1] - 33:25</p> <p>whole [6] - 31:21, 34:11, 64:22, 86:2, 103:6, 106:25</p> <p>wide [3] - 8:7, 73:25, 128:15</p> <p>wife [1] - 5:25</p> <p>willed [1] - 70:22</p> <p>Willie [1] - 45:13</p> <p>willing [4] - 18:6, 19:15, 59:4, 66:18</p> <p>willingly [2] - 62:8, 99:25</p> <p>Wilson [1] - 32:2</p> <p>WINTERINGHAM [19] - 1:6, 1:9, 1:14, 2:1, 2:12, 43:2, 55:20, 56:2, 97:22, 98:4, 98:9, 98:14, 98:18, 117:1, 117:8, 139:14, 142:2, 142:9, 166:25</p> <p>Winteringham [5] - 1:6, 167:5, 167:10, 167:11, 1:5</p> <p>wire [1] - 64:22</p> <p>wiretap [3] - 6:4, 6:6, 64:12</p> <p>wisdom [1] - 21:14</p> <p>wished [1] - 80:3</p> <p>withdrawn [1] - 14:15</p> <p>witness [1] - 1:9</p> <p>WITNESS [2] - 1:12, 56:17</p> <p>Wolfe [1] - 113:2</p> <p>woman [4] - 3:20, 72:21, 82:25, 131:7</p> <p>woman's [1] - 75:2</p>	<p>women [35] - 12:8, 14:22, 18:16, 23:3, 25:8, 25:22, 29:23, 31:3, 32:13, 56:5, 59:6, 60:12, 68:7, 68:17, 70:7, 76:8, 77:14, 78:22, 80:12, 80:24, 81:1, 81:24, 83:10, 97:11, 99:19, 101:7, 109:22, 114:19, 117:25, 127:9, 151:2, 152:3, 159:14, 159:17, 159:18</p> <p>Women's [1] - 109:13</p> <p>words [2] - 77:9, 155:18</p> <p>worker [12] - 25:19, 26:13, 42:25, 55:18, 62:6, 73:1, 74:22, 75:6, 94:22, 94:25, 123:18, 127:7</p> <p>workers [23] - 8:22, 11:10, 11:14, 11:22, 12:14, 27:1, 39:16, 48:12, 55:2, 72:7, 74:6, 74:8, 82:20, 84:4, 85:13, 94:18, 99:11, 101:1, 107:24, 108:2, 122:6, 132:19, 160:11</p> <p>Workmen's [1] - 157:24</p> <p>works [1] - 91:22</p> <p>world [1] - 91:7</p> <p>worse [5] - 69:14, 121:23, 121:24</p> <p>worst [3] - 49:25, 69:2, 69:3</p> <p>worth [2] - 48:24, 90:8</p> <p>Wow [1] - 69:10</p> <p>Wozney [2] - 102:10, 102:17</p> <p>wrapped [1] - 73:2</p> <p>write [3] - 53:7, 116:24, 129:5</p> <p>writes [3] - 24:14, 44:5, 141:4</p> <p>writing [1] - 113:16</p> <p>written [3] - 82:8, 90:10, 116:9</p> <p>wrote [1] - 6:3</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>valley [43] - 9:1, 12:2, 13:2, 13:15, 14:22, 16:12, 19:7, 20:16, 20:23, 21:1, 21:5, 21:7, 25:14, 39:14, 50:9, 51:17, 56:6, 57:10, 59:20, 59:23, 60:6, 60:11, 60:20, 60:21, 64:16, 64:17, 66:2, 68:1, 68:19, 68:21, 85:24, 86:5, 86:11, 86:13, 89:15, 98:1, 117:18, 125:16, 125:17, 149:13, 150:21</p> <p>valuable [5] - 5:9, 67:10, 104:9, 125:3</p> <p>value [9] - 3:1, 3:10, 5:16, 5:21, 47:11, 113:8, 130:22, 138:8, 138:9</p> <p>van [1] - 74:9</p> <p>Vancouver [59] - 1:2, 4:16, 11:2, 12:4, 12:6, 12:10, 12:12, 12:13, 15:11, 25:14, 27:24, 29:18, 30:13, 35:12, 41:3, 43:14,</p>		<p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>wait [2] - 52:24, 129:22</p> <p>waiting [3] - 31:19, 31:20, 64:9</p> <p>walk [5] - 15:18, 15:25, 88:2, 120:5, 125:21</p> <p>walked [1] - 69:8</p> <p>walls [3] - 38:22, 139:1, 139:2</p> <p>wants [1] - 117:21</p> <p>ward [1] - 167:12</p> <p>warrant [5] - 29:1, 29:2, 32:20, 73:5, 109:16</p> <p>warrants [3] - 5:17, 32:24, 33:4</p> <p>Washington [1] - 150:1</p> <p>watch [4] - 17:24, 20:18, 20:19, 50:25</p> <p>watching [5] - 3:23, 73:9, 73:10, 81:17, 126:6</p> <p>water [1] - 22:19</p> <p>watershed [4] - 82:1, 112:1, 114:22, 132:20</p> <p>Wayne [8] - 67:9, 96:3, 103:9, 118:25,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>year [1] - 13:1</p> <p>years [16] - 2:23, 3:3, 13:10, 16:9, 35:22, 41:17, 57:25, 58:2, 74:1, 74:2, 77:25,</p>	

95:14, 130:14,
133:9, 155:25
young [5] - 3:20, 3:23,
4:1, 6:25, 126:7
Yunker [2] - 57:20,
58:24
yourself [1] - 155:14

Z

zero [1] - 130:22