

Vancouver, B.C.

April 11, 2012

(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 9:30 A.M.)

THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

MR. VERTLIEB: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mr. Commissioner, we've reviewed the discussion we had yesterday with Ms. Connor, and there are no more questions that I have for Ms. Connor at this time. I just wanted to discuss another issue for you that has come up just to give you a bit of the background. You'll recall yesterday I asked Ms. Connor about the audio recording of the interview that took place between Ms. Anderson and the police in the hospital, and that -- then there was -- the next event is that Mr. Ward, very fairly so, has asked that that be played in the hearing room. The concern, of course, is protecting the witness's identity. There are references on that tape to her name. And we were speaking with Mr. Giles this morning, and just because of the need to figure out the best way to protect the witness we won't be able to do that today. Mr. Giles needs some time to work out the mechanics so that people can hear the CD, but it won't be put on the web and broadcast live and thereby breach the

1 publication orders that you know about. So I just
 2 wanted to tell you we're working on that. Mr.
 3 Ward's request is a totally reasonable one, and we
 4 want to meet it, but we won't be able to do that
 5 today.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

7 MR. VERTLIEB: So it means that Ms. Connor won't be finished
 8 today in any event. And the only other detail is
 9 that at some point we'll need to deal with Mr.
 10 Murray's report, which we just all received
 11 yesterday. I think it's a very interesting
 12 report, and it's something that I know you haven't
 13 seen yet. We're arranging to get you a copy so
 14 you can review it, but it's a report that we
 15 certainly concede has benefit, and we think it's
 16 helpful to the information gathering process, but
 17 we need Mr. Ward and others to sort out their
 18 positions on it, and I have no idea where that
 19 stands with respect to Mr. Ward and the other
 20 participants, lawyers. There may be issues around
 21 it. I just haven't been able to canvass that yet,
 22 so we'll need to do that as well.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

24 MR. VERTLIEB: Now, then, having said that, we just get into
 25 the usual order, and, of course, because Ms.

1 Connor is represented, her counsel, Mr. Doust,
2 would be next and then the order would flow from
3 that and then re-exam again, which would be Mr.
4 Doust, and then finally commission counsel.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

6 MR. VERTLIEB: So Mr. Doust is next, please.

7 **RANDI MARGARET CONNOR: Resumed**

8 **CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DOUST:**

9 Q I just want to touch on a few matters. I am going
10 to leave the bulk to my re-examination. Could the
11 witness have the booklet and refer, please, to tab
12 25.

13 A Yes, I have it.

14 Q Ms. Connor, that's the Crown Counsel Policy Manual
15 that was extant at the material time?

16 A I believe so, yes.

17 Q Okay. I want to direct your attention down to the
18 charge approval standard at the bottom of page 1.
19 Do you see that?

20 A I'm not --

21 Q Well, it might be page 2.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it's not on the bottom of my page.

23 A No, I'm not --

24 MR. DOUST:

25 Q Page 2.

1 A I'm sorry, I think we're looking at different
2 documents.

3 Q It's the Crown Counsel Policy Manual.

4 A Yes. Policy date 1-1-91 is what I have under tab
5 25.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: The one I have talks about quality control,
7 charge approval.

8 MR. DOUST:

9 Q It's tab 24. I'm sorry.

10 A Yes, tab 24, and I do see "Charge Approval
11 Standard" at the bottom of the page. Yes, I do.

12 Q And that's the May 1st, 1997 one, just to be sure?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q Now, Mr. Vertlieb had you read under "Charge
15 Approval Standard", and I would like you to read
16 that again for a moment just to a point. Would
17 you start reading that?

18 A Under "Charge Approval Standard" it says:

19 There are two components to the charge
20 approval standard. The evidence available
21 must be examined to determine:

22 1. whether there is a substantial likelihood
23 of conviction and, if so --

24 Q Stop there for a minute. So first you make that
25 determination, and then the phrase is "if so"?

1 A Yes, "and, if so".

2 Q Yes.

3 A So that has to be met first.

4 Q Well, that's my point. If you're in a situation,
5 as you were here, where you conclude that there is
6 no substantial likelihood of conviction, is there
7 any point in moving beyond that to consider the
8 second branch, which is to say whether a
9 prosecution is required in the public interest?

10 A No, there isn't, because if you don't have a case,
11 you don't get to the consideration of whether it's
12 in the public interest.

13 Q Because Mr. Vertlieb referred you to a number of
14 what are called the public interest factors in
15 favour of prosecution over on page 2?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Do you recall that?

18 A Yes, I do.

19 Q So in this instance once you had come to the
20 conclusion that there was no substantial
21 likelihood of a conviction did you turn to address
22 the public interest factors for any particular
23 reason?

24 A No. I mean, clearly there is a public interest,
25 but I couldn't get beyond the fact that there was

1 no case, there was no substantial likelihood of
2 conviction, so the public interest, while it was
3 certainly there, couldn't be considered.

4 Q All right. Mr. Vertlieb also referred you to a
5 continuation report that made reference to an
6 incident seven years prior?

7 A Yes, I recall that.

8 Q Mr. Pickton was involved?

9 A Yes.

10 Q When is the first time you ever saw that
11 continuation report?

12 A Yesterday when it was shown to me in the hearing
13 room here.

14 Q You said that you had some discussions with the
15 mother, Ms. Anderson's mother --

16 A That's correct.

17 Q -- from time to time?

18 A Yes. She was my contact to make arrangements to
19 meet Ms. Anderson.

20 Q And did she provide you with any explanation as to
21 why the arrangement worked that way, why you
22 couldn't simply call Ms. Anderson?

23 A My understanding from conversations with the
24 mother were that Ms. Anderson was living on the
25 street and was using drugs around that time. I

1 can't be more specific than that, but that's my
2 recollection of my conversations with the mother.
3 I remember that there were no difficulties with
4 the mother. She was cooperative.

5 Q And you told us about one meeting that had been
6 arranged, and I think you said -- that is with Ms.
7 Anderson -- and I think you said she missed the
8 first part of it, the morning or something, and
9 then it went on in the afternoon; is that right?

10 A That's correct, although I wasn't sure whether
11 that meeting occurred on the Friday or the Monday.

12 Q Yes. Was there -- were there arrangements made
13 for a meeting prior to that?

14 A I have a recollection of a meeting set up in
15 Vancouver at 222 Main Street. That would have
16 been before the Port Coquitlam meeting. And Ms.
17 Anderson did not attend at that meeting.

18 Q Why did you arrange the meeting to be in
19 Vancouver?

20 A I can only assume at this point that it would have
21 been more convenient to meet her downtown than
22 have her come all the way out to Port Coquitlam.

23 Q More convenient for who?

24 A For Ms. Anderson.

25 Q And your recollection is that she did not show up

1 for the meeting?

2 A That's correct. Also I'm assuming that it was
3 then because that -- because I would have had no
4 other reason at that time to be down at Main
5 Street. So I'm piecing together that it was for
6 her convenience. But, no, she didn't attend.

7 Q Do you have any recollection of how you arranged
8 the meeting?

9 A I don't know whether it was me through the mother
10 or whether it was Victim Services that set it up.
11 I can't say which.

12 Q I'm not going to take you to it, but there was
13 sort of a diary with some entries in it of the
14 mother that was put before you. Do you recall
15 that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Were you able from that diary to say who had
18 phoned who on the occasions when you did talk to
19 the mother?

20 A No. From what I can see in the document, it
21 simply -- it's a record of dates and my name and
22 notes made by the mother, I'm assuming, but you
23 can't tell from that who called who.

24 Q I want to ask you a little bit about your
25 workload. We know that this file was assigned to

1 you, according to your evidence and based on the
2 letter from Mr. Ritchie, sometime after the date
3 of that letter in late October; is that correct?

4 A Of 1997, that's correct.

5 Q Yes. Do you have any recollection as to -- with
6 any precision just when it was that you were
7 assigned the file?

8 A No. I can only know it was after the 22nd of
9 October and before -- I think the first reference
10 to things I was doing on the file was January the
11 7th from a letter from Mr. Ritchie, so it was
12 sometime in that time period.

13 Q Do you know if efforts were being made and/or
14 whether they were successful to contact or
15 communicate with either Ms. Anderson or her mother
16 during the months of November and December?

17 A In the binder at I believe tab 6, if I've got this
18 right, is the Victim Services file, the police-
19 based one, and there are pages and pages of notes
20 of attempts by Victim Services to get in touch
21 with Ms. Anderson. There's reference in there
22 that the Crown-based Victim Services, Roxanna
23 Smith, was in contact with them. So since I was
24 working with Roxanna Smith on the file it's likely
25 that I would have had information that they had --

1 they were involved and they were attempting to get
2 a hold of her.

3 Q You're saying the Crown victim service person you
4 believe was in contact with the RCMP victim
5 service person?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And the attempts that are evident from this
8 record, your understanding is that they were
9 carried out by the RCMP Victim Services?

10 A Yes, and specifically why I'm saying that Roxanna
11 Smith was involved with them is I see a notation
12 on -- I'm showing it as page 46 of 125 at the
13 bottom, a note. It says 07 17:

14 Roxanna called to see if victim had received
15 the Victim Impact Statement from us.

16 So from that I'm assuming that Roxanna is in
17 contact with them.

18 Q Can you just finish the rest of that entry? Can
19 you read that?

20 A Sorry, I'll find that again. That is --

21 Roxanna called to see if victim had received
22 Victim Impact Statement from us. In checking
23 the file I noticed that there had been no
24 contact with victim. Roxanna asked if we
25 could send Victim Impact Statement to mother

1 to forward to victim as the nature of the
2 crime warranted a victim statement.

3 Q Now, what's your recollection of the first time
4 that there was a contact made with either Ms.
5 Anderson or her mother after the file was assigned
6 to you?

7 A I can't say with any precision as to the date that
8 I contacted Corporal Connor to find out how to get
9 a hold of Ms. Anderson.

10 Q And on the first occasion that you tried to get
11 her were you able to? Do you recall that?

12 A I don't know. I guess we have to rely on the
13 mother's notes of -- there's a date with my name
14 beside it, and I think that's January the 9th, if
15 I recall.

16 Q 9th or the 7th. Tab 15. Yes, January the 9th.

17 A I don't know what attempts were made before that,
18 but that is some assistance.

19 Q Now, once this file was assigned to you did you
20 have this file exclusively to work on?

21 A No. At that time I was assigned to Port Coquitlam
22 Provincial Court, and we were -- I was in court on
23 a regular basis, probably three to four days a
24 week, and carrying a full case load. The
25 schedules were normally about two or three months

1 set in advance, so if you're in court say four
2 days a week, if you're doing less serious files
3 mixed with serious ones, they would quite often
4 set three or four trials a day, so the case load
5 would be all of those files.

6 Q How long did that persist?

7 A The number of files I was carrying?

8 Q Yes, the number of files and your need to be in
9 court three or four days a week.

10 A That was pretty steady for the years that I was a
11 trial Crown.

12 Q And in particular I want to focus on January and
13 February of '98.

14 A I would have been assigned in court on a regular
15 basis three to four days a week.

16 Q In the month of January?

17 A Likely. Now, I don't have the schedule, but we
18 were busy, and that was the normal amount of time
19 that as a trial Crown you had to spend in court.
20 And then with the more serious files, of course,
21 you would be doing fewer because they would be set
22 for longer, but I was always doing a mixture of
23 both.

24 Q You've had experience not only in the Provincial
25 Court, but you ran trials in the Supreme Court as

1 well?

2 A That's right. By 1997 I had had Supreme Court
3 jury trial experience.

4 Q And based on your experience and your practice and
5 your knowledge of other senior counsel, is it
6 common that interviews of witnesses who are fairly
7 straightforward would occur either very shortly
8 before the trial or even, on occasion, during the
9 trial either in the early morning before the
10 evidence was to be presented or in the evening
11 before?

12 A Absolutely. When you're doing a trial plan, and I
13 know this from years and years of experience, you
14 determine which witnesses you really do need to
15 talk to in advance and which witnesses really
16 their evidence isn't controversial and they can be
17 interviewed fairly quickly and you can do it
18 throughout the course of the trial. In this
19 particular case Mr. Ritchie had indicated that
20 admissions weren't going to be a problem. The
21 admissions could certainly have been drawn up
22 fairly quickly. It's not unusual to file the
23 admissions the first day of the trial or even
24 during the course of the trial. So when you're
25 running a busy case load with several trials, you

1 prioritize things, but certainly in this
2 particular case, with lots of time before the
3 trial, witnesses could certainly have been
4 interviewed easily, and not all of them would have
5 had to have been interviewed before the first day
6 of the trial. It was set for five days.

7 Q Just let me give you an example. The two people
8 who picked Ms. Anderson up in the car, were those
9 people that you felt that for purposes of trial
10 would have to be interviewed well in advance of
11 the trial?

12 A Not well in advance. There are a couple of
13 aspects to that. One is I think their evidence
14 would certainly have been called in order for
15 there to be a fair trial, but their evidence hurt
16 the Crown in the sense that according to their
17 statements they were going to say that Ms.
18 Anderson lied and said that she had been raped.
19 So their evidence would have had to go in out of
20 fairness either way. If there had been a problem
21 with them, I would have had to have made the
22 admission, should Mr. Ritchie have sought it, that
23 that was said. So their evidence was
24 straightforward. I wasn't concerned about it
25 because really it set the scene, it showed that

1 she was there at that particular time and place,
2 but that wasn't in dispute. So they were -- they
3 were witnesses that could have been interviewed a
4 few days before the trial without any difficulty.

5 Q All right. I'll leave it at that. You had a
6 telephone conversation with Corporal Connor about
7 the stay?

8 A Yes, there was a discussion. I'm assuming it was
9 by telephone. It probably was. But there was a
10 discussion.

11 Q Did you advise him then that you were either going
12 to stay it or you had stayed it? Do you recall
13 which was the case at the time you spoke with him?

14 A I can't recall it. The date of the conversation
15 has been established, I take it, as January the
16 26th, which is the day of the stay, so whether I
17 talked to him before or after I can't recall.

18 Q Was it before or after you had spoken to Richard
19 Romano?

20 A After.

21 Q And did he, that is, did Corporal Connor make any
22 response? Did he question it at all?

23 A No.

24 Q Did he object to the fact that it was being
25 entered?

1 A No.

2 Q And, of course, I suppose it went without saying
3 that you would assume that he knew that since it
4 was a stay that was a case that could be
5 reactivated within the year?

6 A Of course, yes.

7 Q If there was any change in her condition that put
8 you in a position where you could make the
9 determination that there was a substantial
10 likelihood?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Did you ever hear back from Corporal Connor or any
13 other RCMP officer to indicate to you that there
14 had been a change of significance in Ms.
15 Anderson's condition such that it might be that
16 you would now have a substantial likelihood of
17 conviction?

18 A No.

19 Q When you entered stays of proceedings was it your
20 practice to monitor the problems that caused the
21 stay?

22 A No.

23 Q If the problem was a witness such as Anderson, for
24 example, did you have any expectation that anyone
25 else would remain in touch with or would monitor

1 in any way Ms. Anderson's condition?

2 A Yes, I would expect that from the police.

3 Q And, of course, if they had come back to you with
4 an indication that there was a change in her
5 condition, would you have been open to
6 reconsidering whether or not to proceed?

7 A Yes, of course.

8 Q Now, you were asked about the preparation of a
9 legal brief. I take it that based on your
10 experience you had some knowledge of the rules of
11 evidence?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And also some knowledge of the essential elements
14 of the charges that you were dealing with?

15 A Yes.

16 Q So did you feel that you were adequately prepared
17 or that you would have time to adequately prepare
18 in terms of any issues of law that may arise?

19 A Absolutely. When I looked at the file one thing I
20 was looking for originally was was the charge
21 correct, and in this particular file the
22 complainant had received extremely serious
23 injuries. We know that they were inflicted by the
24 accused, so the attempt murder charge was fine as
25 laid. I would have anticipated throughout the

1 course of the trial at the end of it that Mr.
2 Ritchie would likely have argued -- wanted to
3 argue the law on what's required for attempt
4 murder, and case books would have been prepared at
5 that time. At that time I had a big credenza in
6 my office with file folders full of legal research
7 that had already been done, so it would have been
8 an easy matter to prepare a case book, if
9 required.

10 MR. DOUST: That's all I have for now. Thank you.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Doust. Mr. Ward.

12 **CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WARD:**

13 Q Yes. Thank you. Cameron Ward, counsel for the
14 families of 25 missing and murdered women.
15 Certainly you would agree, Ms. Connor, that the
16 victim of Pickton's attack, Ms. Anderson, objected
17 about your decision to stay the charges, and she
18 did so in the strongest possible terms, right?

19 A No, I would disagree with that.

20 Q All right. Could you --

21 A Not to me. If -- to other people, perhaps. Not
22 to me.

23 Q Could you go to the binder, please. I don't think
24 it's been marked yet, but it's the binder you've
25 been shown, and in particular her mother's notes.

1 A Sorry, what tab are we at, please?

2 Q I'll just pull that up for you.

3 THE REGISTRAR: Mr. Ward -- Mr. Vertlieb, that document, did
4 you want that marked?

5 MR. VERTLIEB: Yes. Thank you.

6 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, we'll go ahead and mark that now.

7 MR. WARD: Well, before we do I'd ask that tab 8 be removed. I
8 object to its inclusion. I'm told it has been
9 removed. I just want to ensure that that's the
10 case, there is no tab 8 in the exhibit.

11 THE REGISTRAR: That was addressed yesterday.

12 MR. VERTLIEB: Yes. I thought that was out.

13 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, we can remove that. It's removed. That
14 will be marked Exhibit number 133NR minus tab 8.
15 **(EXHIBIT 133NR - Document entitled: Stay of**
16 **Proceedings Re: Pickton 1997 Charges - Commission**
17 **Counsel documents - minus tab 8)**

18 MR. WARD: Thank you.

19 Q So once we have the exhibit before you, Ms.
20 Connor, if you could turn to tab 15, please.
21 These pages have been shown to you before.

22 A That's correct. What page are we looking at?

23 Q The third one, the last one in that set. And you
24 know now that these are copies of Ms. Anderson's
25 mother's business diaries for 1998, which contain

1 references to communications between her, the
2 mother, and yourself, right?

3 A Yes, that's my understanding.

4 Q And the entry for January 22, 1998, indicates
5 that, as I read it, Ms. Anderson's mother was in
6 possession of your home telephone number, correct?

7 A Yes, she was.

8 Q Now, wasn't it the case that -- well, first of
9 all, you didn't tell Ms. Anderson, the victim of
10 the attempted murder, that you were staying or had
11 stayed the charge, did you?

12 A No. My communication was through the mother, so
13 my recollection of it was that it was the mother
14 that was spoken to.

15 Q Why didn't you say something to Ms. Anderson? She
16 had a huge stake in this prosecution, you would
17 agree, right?

18 A Oh, absolutely.

19 Q Why didn't you speak to her about the fact you
20 were contemplating staying the charge or that you
21 would be staying it or have any sort of discussion
22 with her about that fact?

23 A My communication was through the mother, so I had
24 no way -- once the conversation with Richard
25 Romano was completed and when it was time to --

1 obviously she was entitled to know, but my contact
2 number was not with Ms. Anderson, it was with the
3 mom, so it was the mom that I talked to.

4 Q Well, you met with Ms. Anderson the day you
5 decided to stay the charge, if I understand your
6 evidence correctly, right?

7 A Absolutely. Unless -- unless the interview was on
8 the Friday. We haven't really determined that.
9 So the interview was either the 23rd or the
10 Monday, but it's -- if the interview was on the
11 Monday, then it would have been the day of the
12 stay. If it was on the Friday, then that's not
13 correct.

14 Q Just on this issue of dates for a moment, if I can
15 digress, we've seen that Ms. Anderson's mother
16 kept diaries. Surely you as a Crown prosecutor
17 kept diaries of what you were doing in 1997 and
18 '8, right?

19 A Likely, yes.

20 Q What do you mean "likely, yes"? Did you?

21 A Well, sometimes I would make notes in the file and
22 keep track of interview times and dates in the
23 file. I had a daytimer as well, but I don't have
24 that now, not from 1997.

25 Q Well, shortly after February 5, 2002, you're in

1 Port Coquitlam. You know that the Pickton case is
2 huge, and I think the Deputy Attorney General is
3 seeking your input on your dealings with the
4 earlier Pickton matter?

5 A No, Geoff Gaul was our media person, and Peter
6 Gulbransen was our regional.

7 Q All right. In any event, my question is this.
8 Shortly after February 5, 2002, 10 years ago --

9 A Yes.

10 Q -- the Pickton case blew up in Port Coquitlam, and
11 it was huge? You accept that?

12 A Oh, yes.

13 Q And you were consulted immediately after the
14 search to provide your recollections of your
15 handling of the '97 file, right?

16 A Just two brief statements that you have. In terms
17 of a full and complete report, no. I wasn't asked
18 for that.

19 Q You were asked, I suggest, to provide your
20 recollections of your handling of the 1997 Pickton
21 attempted murder case, correct?

22 A Right, and you have those. They're short.
23 They're not detailed.

24 Q I've seen the e-mail. My question is this. You
25 appreciated as an experienced Crown prosecutor of

1 15 years standing at the bar that every scrap of
2 paper you then had February 2002 related to any
3 dealings you'd had on the previous Pickton matter
4 would be important for the Crown, right?

5 A Right, but if you're referring to a diary, I
6 wouldn't have a 1998 diary still in 2002.

7 Q You wouldn't?

8 A No.

9 Q Did you look for it?

10 A I know I don't have -- I don't keep that stuff.

11 Q All right.

12 A So -- if I had been asked in 1998, I would have
13 had it, but not three years later.

14 Q So coming back to January 26th or whatever day it
15 was, I'll assume it was January 26th, 1998 --

16 A Right.

17 Q -- Ms. Anderson comes into your office, and you
18 meet with her?

19 A That's right.

20 Q In the presence of Roxanna Smith?

21 A That's right.

22 Q And I suggest to you sitting here today you have
23 no recollection whatsoever of what happened at the
24 meeting. Do you accept that?

25 A No, I do. I don't think it's fair to say no

1 recollection. In terms of exactly verbatim what
2 was said I don't, but this stuck in my mind.

3 Q Sorry, what stuck in your mind?

4 A The meeting with Ms. Anderson stuck in my mind
5 because it was so bad and because it was a serious
6 file that I did recall it. I would agree with
7 you, Mr. Ward, that on a lot of cases and a lot of
8 interviews that I had done I certainly wouldn't
9 remember them, but this one I do.

10 Q All right. You've seen prior to giving evidence
11 today Ms. Anderson's account given to Don Celle of
12 the meeting, right?

13 A Yes, and I think it was very fair of her. She
14 describes the condition she was in at that
15 meeting.

16 Q And I suggest to you that that is where you got
17 your recollection described yesterday of her
18 nodding off. Do you agree?

19 A No, that was something that I had mentioned to my
20 counsel quite some time ago. I do recall that.
21 She goes further than that in that statement. She
22 talks about actually falling asleep during the
23 course of the meeting, and she also says in that
24 statement that I was saying to her, I believe
25 repeatedly, "Are you okay? Are you okay?" She

1 also says that she had ingested drugs prior to the
2 meeting.

3 Q All right.

4 A In that statement to Don Celle.

5 Q I'm going to spend some time with you, I expect,
6 on her appearance at that meeting and what you
7 talked about, but for present purposes you agree
8 that when the meeting concluded you had formed an
9 impression in your mind that you would not be
10 proceeding, you would likely not be proceeding to
11 trial the following Monday, correct?

12 A Yes, but I needed to discuss that with Richard
13 Romano before a final --

14 Q Why didn't you discuss that with the victim of the
15 assault, the complainant, who had everything at
16 stake in this matter --

17 A Right --

18 Q -- while she was there in your office?

19 A You've got to remember, Mr. Ward, she was in
20 terrible shape. By her own admission she had
21 ingested drugs before that meeting. She -- and
22 you're absolutely right, the victims are entitled
23 to know what the Crown is -- when they make
24 decisions that affect them, they're entitled to
25 know that, but unfortunately my contact with her,

1 once I had spoken to Mr. Romano, was only through
2 the mom, and my recollection is that the mom was
3 told.

4 Q All right. Just let me stop there. You said by
5 her own admission she had ingested drugs before
6 the meeting.

7 A In the statement. That just confirms --

8 Q In the statement she gave to Don Celle that you
9 recently reviewed prior to testifying today?

10 A Right.

11 Q All right.

12 A Yes, I've reviewed it, and -- but I had said
13 previously that my impression was that she was on
14 drugs.

15 Q Well, what do prosecutors mean when they refer to
16 the concept of a witness's evidence being tainted?

17 A Normally what that means is that you've discussed
18 it with another witness.

19 Q Let me just understand your evidence. When you
20 said a moment ago in response to one of my
21 questions Ms. Anderson by her own admission had
22 ingested drugs before the meeting, you were
23 referring not to something she told you during the
24 meeting but rather to something you read that was
25 contained in a statement she gave in February to a

1 lawyer named Don Celle; is that correct?

2 A Yes, but that confirms my impression. I'm simply
3 saying my impression was that she was on drugs,
4 and I've said that all along. In fact, Mr. Ward,
5 if you look back to the statements that I gave
6 back in 2002, long before Ms. Anderson spoke with
7 Don Celle, I said she was on drugs. This isn't
8 new. So -- but what I am telling you is that
9 confirms -- what she says in her statement to Don
10 Celle about the condition she was in fits in with
11 my impression what I saw all along, and I said
12 that in my -- in my memos in 2002.

13 Q Well, I don't want to argue with you, but what
14 you're saying as I understand your evidence now is
15 that whatever she may have said to you at the
16 meeting of January 26th, your impression was that
17 she was under the influence of drugs at the
18 meeting?

19 A Yes, and I said that in my -- way back in 2002.

20 Q And as an experienced prosecutor with 15 years
21 under your belt by that time, you had frequently
22 dealt with people who abuse substances of one sort
23 or another, whether they be illegal narcotics or
24 alcohol or other stimulants or drugs, right?

25 A That's correct, yes.

1 Q And you had dealt with people who were substance
2 abusers who were necessary Crown witnesses in
3 cases, correct, that you were handling?

4 A That's correct, yes.

5 Q And you recognized as a result of all of that
6 experience that witnesses like that needed to be
7 managed because of their tendency from time to
8 time to be under the influence of those
9 substances, right?

10 A Mr. Ward, I'm not quite sure what you mean by
11 managed and by who.

12 Q Well, someone who's under the influence of a drug
13 today, like heroin, may be perfectly lucid and
14 credible and cogent next week when they're not
15 under the influence of drugs, when they have --
16 when they have had the opportunity to have rest
17 and food and some help, right?

18 A Well, that's a hypothetical. It would depend on
19 how badly addicted they are. It would depend on
20 whether they needed to take drugs every day to
21 function. I think that's a question that I would
22 have difficulty answering because amounts matter.
23 There's quite a difference between somebody who
24 might smoke a marijuana cigarette and somebody who
25 is injecting cocaine and heroin. There's a big

1 difference, I think.

2 Q I'll come back to this area, I expect, but let me
3 return to my original question for you about the
4 decision that you were reaching in your mind on
5 January 26th that the trial would not be
6 proceeding, it would likely be stayed because of
7 the condition that Ms. Anderson presented --

8 A Right.

9 Q -- herself in. All right. You've confirmed today
10 that you mentioned nothing of that possibility to
11 her while she was there in your office, right?

12 A No. She was not in very good shape that day. But
13 I agree with you, Mr. Ward, she was entitled to
14 know what had happened to that case, and I did
15 contact the mother to the best of my recollection.
16 She knew about it.

17 Q You knew from your review of Anderson's statement
18 to Celle that she recalled being in your office
19 from early afternoon until it got dark, correct?

20 A I did read that, yes.

21 Q Yes. And you also recall from reading her -- the
22 transcript of her interview with Don Celle that
23 because it was dark she said to Celle that she
24 told you she wasn't going out of your office alone
25 because of her fear of Pickton, that she needed

1 someone to escort her down to the waiting taxicab,
2 correct?

3 A I did read that, yes.

4 Q And you have no reason to dispute that account of
5 how the meeting wrapped up, do you?

6 A No. The only thing where we might differ is, and
7 I'd have to have my memory refreshed on that
8 statement, I don't think that Ms. Anderson recalls
9 Roxanna Smith being there, so it seems to me
10 logical that she would have wanted one of us to
11 walk her out. It may very well have been me. It
12 might have been.

13 Q You don't remember --

14 A I don't remember, no.

15 Q -- who walked her out?

16 A No.

17 Q All right. But you don't dispute that she
18 expressed concern about her safety in going from
19 your office to the taxicab because it was dark out
20 and she was afraid of Pickton or his confederates,
21 right?

22 A I don't remember, but I have no reason to dispute
23 that. It seems --

24 Q If that was -- all right. If that was the case,
25 she was a person then, as she left your office,

1 who was capable of thinking logically and
2 processing intellectual concepts, wasn't she?

3 A I think it's fairly basic to say, "I'm scared.
4 Walk me out to a cab." That's not -- that's not
5 operating on any really complex level.

6 Q It's fairly basic, I suggest, to say, "We are not
7 going ahead with your trial because of your
8 condition, so goodbye. I won't see you again.
9 You don't have to come next Monday." That's
10 pretty basic information to convey as well, isn't
11 it?

12 A Yeah, but I think -- we can go over this, but I
13 needed to talk to Richard Romano before I gave out
14 that information.

15 Q Let me suggest -- make this suggestion. You
16 didn't discuss the possibility or likelihood that
17 the Crown would not be proceeding with the
18 prosecution of Pickton with Pickton's victim, Ms.
19 Anderson, because, and I'm trying to say this in
20 the most neutral terms, the most charitable terms,
21 you considered her a second-class citizen, someone
22 to whom there would be no point in explaining or
23 discussing this to; is that fair?

24 A Mr. Ward, that is completely, completely,
25 completely unfair. I have been a prosecutor for

1 30 years, and prior to that time I dealt with
2 people from all walks of life and in all sorts of
3 circumstances, and I would never ever, ever have
4 taken that attitude.

5 Q All right. Now, Ms. Anderson learned, you know
6 now, from her mother that the Crown was staying
7 the charge, dropping the charge?

8 A That's right. I made the decision not to discuss
9 it at the time of the meeting. I made the
10 decision that I needed to talk to Richard Romano,
11 and then I contacted the mother, and that fits,
12 that Ms. Anderson would have been told by her
13 mother. I did not have a telephone number to talk
14 to Ms. Anderson directly, and I felt it was
15 appropriate to talk to the mother.

16 Q And you know that it also fits that immediately
17 upon hearing the news that the charge against
18 Pickton was stayed Ms. Anderson telephoned you at
19 home at the dinner hour and in the strongest
20 possible terms expressed her disapproval with the
21 decision, correct?

22 A I read that in the statement, but here's where
23 there's a problem. In that statement Ms. Anderson
24 gives great detail. She says that she phoned my
25 residence. She would have had the phone number.

1 I was quite happy for the mother and even Ms.
2 Anderson to have my home telephone number. But
3 she says specifically that a child answered the
4 phone. She uses the word "she" and that the child
5 called me to the phone. At that time I didn't
6 have a daughter, and my young son was born in
7 1996. That's not possible. And also the way the
8 statement goes, she said the child said "Mom", so
9 it's not like there could be a child visiting in
10 the residence calling me to the phone. So I'm not
11 saying that Ms. Anderson didn't call someone, but
12 I know it wasn't me. For one thing, I provided
13 the mother with my home telephone number, and if
14 she had called me upset, I would have talked to
15 her. I think she says in the -- in her statement
16 that I said something about, "Well, I'm having
17 dinner. Call me at the office," and I can't
18 imagine doing that. If a victim phoned me at
19 home, I would be happy to talk to them right then.
20 So with all due respect to Ms. Anderson, and
21 please understand I have a lot of respect for her,
22 I think she is simply mistaken about that
23 conversation.

24 Q Ms. Connor, you prepared to testify today by using
25 the skills you've acquired in your lengthy

1 prosecution career in preparing your evidence like
2 you've prepared witness evidence in the past,
3 correct?

4 A No, this is very different, I can assure you.

5 Q Well, let me -- forget about Anderson's statement
6 for a moment. Let me ask you this question. Did
7 she telephone you and express her disapproval in
8 the strongest possible terms with the Crown's
9 decision to stay the charges against Pickton?

10 A No. I would remember that.

11 Q Let me read what she said to Mr. Celle, and you're
12 familiar with this, and I'm sure your counsel has
13 a copy if you wish to follow along. I'm going to
14 read you a passage from the interview of Ms.
15 Anderson conducted February 9th, 2012, by Don
16 Celle, I understand to be a lawyer who was
17 retained by the commission to provide expert
18 evidence in this matter.

19 A Excuse me, Mr. Ward, what tab is this again?

20 Q It's not in a tab there. I expect your counsel
21 has a copy of this.

22 A Could I be provided with a copy so I --

23 MR. DOUST: If I can just have a moment.

24 MR. WARD: That's fine.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: That's fair. Yes. Are you able to provide

1 a copy?

2 MR. DOUST: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. If we could just have a
3 moment.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

5 MR. WARD:

6 Q And I'm just introducing the document. And you're
7 well familiar with it. You've read it over and
8 over again and looked at it very carefully,
9 correct?

10 A I've read it, yes.

11 Q Yes. So, once again, it's the interview of Ms.
12 Anderson conducted February 9th, 2012, by lawyer
13 Don Celle of Ms. Anderson in the presence of Karey
14 Brooks and John Boddie. I am going to refer you
15 to a passage that begins at page 46 of that
16 transcript.

17 A Thank you.

18 Q Mr. Commissioner, I don't have extra copies. I
19 can provide it if it becomes necessary, but I'm
20 just reading a short passage. Line 20. And you
21 can certainly follow along, please. Don Celle --
22 this is a question posed to Ms. Anderson.

23 DON CELLE: All right. And how did you hear
24 the charges were dropped?

25 MS. ANDERSON: I walked into the Patricia

1 Hotel, into the bar, and the waiter
2 said,
3 first name redacted,

4 "your mom called. It's important.
5 You are supposed to call her right
6 away." And that was about 6:00 at
7 night; 5:30, 6 o'clock I believe.

8 So, I phoned -- he gave me a
9 quarter, because I had no change on
10 me for the pay phone. So, I phoned
11 her and she said, "They dropped his
12 charges," and I said, "You've got to
13 be kidding." I said, "What's her
14 phone number?" So, she gave me Randi
15 Connor's home phone number.

16 Let me just stop there. Let me carry on with the
17 next exchange.

18 DON CELLE: Your mother did?

19 MS. ANDERSON: Yeah.

20 So stopping there, you understand that Ms.
21 Anderson's version of this -- of learning of the
22 stay decision is that she contacted her mother for
23 important news, her mother advised her that the
24 charges had been dropped, and that Anderson then
25 asked for your phone number?

1 A Yes, I see that.

2 Q Okay. Carrying on:

3 MS. ANDERSON: So, I went and got another
4 quarter from my buddy and I phoned,
5 and one of the kids answered, and I
6 said, "Is Randi Connor there please?"
7 She said, "Just a minute." And she
8 went, "Mom, telephone." She came on
9 and she said, "hello." And I think I
10 said, "It's,

11 and the name Ms. Anderson is inserted.

12 I said, "How fuckin' dare you drop
13 those charges?" And she said, "Uhm,
14 excuse me, Ms. Anderson." She says,
15 "I'm at home with my family having
16 dinner right now." She said, "If you
17 want to talk, call me in my office."
18 And I held the phone way out like
19 this, and I was flipping. I says, "I
20 don't give a fuck if you're in
21 Hawaii," and then I think she hung up
22 on me when I said that. And that was
23 that. I never did phone her.

24 So I've quoted from Anderson's account of a
25 telephone conversation she said she had with you,

1 and unfortunately, as we learned yesterday, it
2 appears that Ms. Anderson herself will not be
3 testifying with respect to this matter. Is it
4 your evidence that a phone conversation with her
5 to more or less that effect, maybe not the precise
6 words, never took place?

7 A No. I don't -- I can't imagine it taking place
8 because, for one thing, I'm sure I would have
9 remembered that. For another thing, I can't
10 imagine behaving that way. If a victim phoned me
11 at home, I would talk to them. And secondly, I
12 didn't have a daughter at that time who was old
13 enough to answer the phone and would say "Mom".
14 And there's no reason for me to say that that
15 conversation didn't take place. She's describing
16 me as being respectful. I'm just saying that it
17 didn't. I didn't have a child that age, and I
18 know I would have remembered, even from that long
19 ago. There's nothing -- there's nothing in that
20 conversation that I think reflects particularly
21 badly on me. Like, there's no reason to deny it.
22 I'm just saying that it just didn't happen.

23 Q Given Ms. Anderson's experiences with the justice
24 system to that point and given your own experience
25 as a prosecutor, a reaction like this from a

1 victim who was learning that attempted murder
2 charges against her assailant were being dropped
3 would be a reasonable one, right?

4 A Absolutely. I've dealt with many, many cases
5 where charges haven't proceeded or there's been an
6 acquittal, and believe me, I've dealt with a large
7 number of people who are angry and upset. It's
8 part of the job. You're dealing with angry, upset
9 people a lot.

10 Q And you could well understand in the case of Ms.
11 Anderson why she in particular would be so upset;
12 after all, as you alluded to yesterday, the
13 criminal justice system had used its full force
14 against her in securing convictions against her
15 for possession and eight counts of theft, but now
16 that she needed help from the criminal justice
17 system to try to put away someone who had nearly
18 killed her the system wasn't responding, so you
19 would agree that in her circumstances frustration
20 and anger would be a reasonable reaction, fair?

21 A Yes, and that's a common reaction when cases don't
22 proceed, absolutely.

23 Q Was that reaction of the victim's conveyed to you
24 by anyone?

25 A No. It's understandable, but not conveyed to me.

1 Q Now, you've had to rely on your recollection in
2 answering questions about your involvement in this
3 file coupled with your review of available
4 material, including recently created material like
5 the transcript of Ms. Anderson, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And the Crown file itself has not been available
8 for your review?

9 A It's my understanding that it was destroyed.

10 Q I want to ask you some questions about that. The
11 Crown file would have had your notes of the things
12 that you did on the file from time to time,
13 correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And your office's dealings on the file throughout
16 the course of the prosecution from March of 1997
17 to late January 1998?

18 A Yes. The front of the file would have a record of
19 all the court proceedings, and in that file would
20 have been the Crown counsel -- report to Crown
21 counsel, correspondence, notes, everything
22 involving the file.

23 Q Correspondence back and forth with defence counsel
24 on various issues?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Notes to file made by you, paralegals or other
2 staff about things that were happening on the
3 file?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Copies of documents?

6 A Yes.

7 Q All right. Shortly after February 5th you were
8 contacted in the wake of the search of Pickton's
9 residence and your recollection about that file,
10 the '97 file is sought. Can you please tell me
11 how that occurred? What happened? What were you
12 asked for, and what happened, and what did you do?

13 A My recollection is I was working in my office and
14 a message came in from Marg Kingsbury, who was
15 with the RCMP, wanting a brief explanation of what
16 happened in 1997. At that time I didn't know why
17 the information was being requested and didn't
18 question it. I can remember -- normally what
19 would happen with a phone message, it would be
20 attached to a file and the file and phone message
21 would be brought to me so I would know what it was
22 about. At that time I was advised by a secretary
23 that they couldn't locate the 1997 file, but I
24 went ahead and prepared a brief explanation and
25 forwarded it on to Marg Kingsbury.

1 Q Let me just stop you there. Is that brief
2 explanation the document at tab 20 or is it
3 something else?

4 A Let me have a look. It should be the document at
5 20, but let me have a look. No, there's another
6 one. That's the one to Geoffrey Gaul. There was
7 another one to Marg Kingsbury, which you should
8 have.

9 Q Well, perhaps I'll --

10 MR. DOUST: I can give you the document number.

11 MR. WARD: Yes, please.

12 MR. DOUST: It's CJB-001-000971.

13 MR. WARD: Thank you.

14 Q And I understand it's not in this binder, but I
15 may show it to you, if necessary.

16 A If you wouldn't mind. I'm at a little bit of a
17 disadvantage not having it in front of me.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: No, I agree with you. If you're going to
19 cross-examine on the document, it would be helpful
20 to --

21 MR. WARD: Perhaps my friend Mr. -- perhaps my friend Mr. Doust
22 can provide a copy to the witness. Mr.
23 Commissioner, we can make photocopies later.

24 Q Is this letter dated February 6th, 2002, the
25 document you're referring to?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Let me just read that out. It says:

3 Further to our conversation of today's date,
4 I have been advised by Kim Sund, a secretary
5 at our office that the Crown file for the
6 above case cannot be located.

7 My recollection of the file is that the case
8 did not proceed because the complainant was a
9 drug addict who was using drugs around the
10 time of trial and was not in good enough
11 shape to testify. As she had stabbed the
12 accused, credibility was going to be an issue
13 in the trial.

14 Please contact me if you require anything
15 further.

16 That's the content of the response to Ms.
17 Kingsbury?

18 A Yes, that's what I see here.

19 Q So that's February 6th, the day after the search
20 warrant was executed on Pickton's property,
21 correct?

22 A I'm not sure of the dates. I know that I wrote
23 this before I was aware of the arrest and before,
24 as you've described earlier, it became very high
25 profile. So I don't know if the search warrant

1 was executed and then the charges were laid a day
2 later, but I know at the time I wrote this I
3 wasn't aware of why it was required.

4 Q So the next thing that happens is that you write
5 an e-mail to Geoffrey Gaul and Peter Gulbransen,
6 both of the Ministry of Attorney General, and
7 that's at tab 20; is that right?

8 A Yes. Thank you.

9 Q And you add a little bit more detail than your
10 letter to Ms. Kingsbury contained, correct?

11 A Yes. Not much, but a little more, that's true.

12 Q And you say:

13 I am told by Kim Sund of our office that the
14 Crown file cannot be located. This is
15 probably because if the file was not archived
16 it would likely have been destroyed by now.
17 The problems with the complainant and the
18 stay of proceedings were discussed with the
19 investigating officer, Corporal Mike Connor
20 at the time and my recollection is that the
21 Admin. Crown, Richard Romano was also aware
22 of it.

23 All right.

24 A Now, that's part of it. The first part was:

25 This case was stayed because the complainant

1 was using drugs around the time of the trial
2 and was in no shape to testify. I did
3 interview her in advance of the trial date in
4 the presence of a Victim Service worker,
5 Roxanna Smith, and I determined that I could
6 not put her on the stand. As she had stabbed
7 the accused, credibility was going to be an
8 issue in the trial.

9 That's the first part of that.

10 Q And just on Roxanna Smith for a moment, if I can
11 pause with her, you've seen her interview
12 transcript of her interview with Don Celle,
13 correct?

14 A I don't believe I was shown that. I don't -- I
15 don't think that was part of the material I've
16 been provided with.

17 Q She was with you and the complainant Anderson for
18 the duration of the meeting?

19 A Yes, that's correct.

20 Q And I can show it to you, but Ms. Smith, I
21 understand from her transcript, has no
22 recollection of the complainant falling asleep or
23 nodding off.

24 A All right. I haven't seen it, so --

25 Q In any event, coming back to this chain of events

1 surrounding the missing or destroyed file, that's
2 what I'm focusing on now.

3 A All right.

4 Q By February 7th you're aware of the media furore
5 around the Pickton farm search?

6 A I must have been because Geoff Gaul is our media
7 person, so it would have been that day, yes.

8 Q And then the day after that, if you turn to tab 3,
9 Peter Gulbransen apparently faxes Geoff Gaul a
10 39-page document. Do you see that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Now, Peter Gulbransen occupies what post as of
13 that date?

14 A He is a judge.

15 Q No, no, not today. As of February 8, 2002.

16 A He was the regional.

17 Q All right. Based in New West?

18 A That's right.

19 Q All right. Do you know where Mr. Gulbransen, as
20 he then was, obtained the records that were
21 attached to this fax? Where did he get them from?

22 A Well, this is a problem, and it's hearsay, and I
23 think -- I think I'm wrong about this. My
24 recollection at the time was that Peter Gulbransen
25 had located a Victim Services file with the Crown

1 report in it. That was what I thought, but I
2 think I'm wrong on that because my understanding
3 is that our -- we do have the notes from the
4 police-based Victim Services file, but we don't
5 have the Crown-based one. So I'm wrong, but for
6 some reason that was where I thought he got it.
7 The other source, and you'd have to ask Mr.
8 Gulbransen this, is the report to Crown counsel,
9 the police should have -- they would have had it
10 at that time, and it may be that that's where it
11 came from.

12 Q Well, short answer is you don't know where Mr.
13 Gulbransen pulled these documents from --

14 A No.

15 Q -- correct?

16 A No.

17 Q He might, but we'd have to ask him?

18 A Yes.

19 Q All right. Tell you why I'm asking you the source
20 and trying to get an explanation from you
21 respecting the destruction of the Crown file, is
22 that if you turn into these documents to the fifth
23 page --

24 A Yes.

25 Q -- you'll see a received stamp on the face of a

1 document you referred to earlier, the one that
2 Richard Romano marked up by adding count number 4
3 in handwriting. Do you have that? It's part of
4 the report to Crown counsel.

5 A That's right. Received by Port Coquitlam Crown
6 Counsel.

7 Q And I suggest that that stamp and its presence on
8 this document would suggest that this would have
9 been retrieved from Port Coquitlam Crown Counsel
10 files, correct?

11 A You would think so, unless -- and this is where
12 I'm not the best person to give this evidence. My
13 evidence is simply I put the file in the system
14 and had nothing to do with the destruction or
15 anything to do with the physical file after that.
16 I am aware from speaking with my counsel that
17 there will be evidence from another source as to
18 what happened with the file, so I'm not the best
19 person to ask about that.

20 Q Well, I wasn't aware of that, but I find that
21 interesting. But just on the destruction of the
22 file, you were told in February of 2002 by Kim
23 Sund that the file could not be located, correct?

24 A Yes. Now, at that point I didn't know that it had
25 been destroyed. I simply knew that they couldn't

1 find it.

2 Q And with 15 years of Crown counsel work under your
3 belt you knew in February of 2002 pursuant to the
4 Crown counsel file retention policy that the file
5 should be in existence and saved in archives,
6 correct?

7 A I'm not sure if I knew the policy specifically,
8 but serious files should be archived, yes.

9 Q This was a serious file?

10 A Absolutely.

11 Q It was a red file?

12 A That's right.

13 Q Did that mean it was physically red?

14 A Yeah. There's a red cover that's put on the files
15 that -- to alert people who handle that file that
16 it's more serious and may require advance prep.

17 Q The Crown Counsel Policy Manual on file retention
18 appears at tab 27, and it seems crystal clear to
19 me that files like this one had to be preserved in
20 archives for 75 years, correct?

21 A If you say that, I'll agree, but that's not
22 something I'm involved with.

23 Q Well, don't take my word for it. Let's turn to it
24 for a moment, please.

25 A All right.

1 Q Tab 27. You're familiar with the Crown Counsel
2 Policy Manual because you've worked with it for
3 the last, I don't know, 30 years, correct,
4 roughly?

5 A Yes, but not with necessarily parts of the policy
6 manual that don't concern my day-to-day practice.

7 Q Let me just -- let me just walk you through a few
8 portions of this and see if you agree with my
9 suggestion.

10 A All right.

11 Q It deals with document disposal and the
12 destruction of documents held in Crown counsel
13 offices. You see that in the second box from the
14 top of the page?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And someone has gone to some considerable trouble
17 to create entries in the Crown Counsel Policy
18 Manual to govern how the Crown counsel of this
19 province conduct their duties generally with
20 respect to the material covered by the policies,
21 right?

22 A That's right, yes.

23 Q And you follow these, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q All right. Look at paragraph 3.

1 Those files to be set aside for archival
2 review would fall into the following
3 categories,

4 and then let me take you right down to (f).

5 All serious personal injury offences as
6 defined in section 752 of the Criminal Code.

7 Do you see that?

8 A There's no question that that file should have
9 been archived.

10 Q All right.

11 A Yeah. No dispute there.

12 Q No dispute. 75 years, and that appears in
13 paragraph 8?

14 A Absolutely.

15 Q And, in fact, if you turn over the page, when you
16 look at the code definitions of serious personal
17 injury, all four of the offences with which Mr.
18 Pickton had been charged are set out in that list,
19 aggravated assault, assault causing bodily harm,
20 unlawful confinement, and attempted murder,
21 correct?

22 A Absolutely.

23 Q Okay.

24 A The file -- absolutely that file should not have
25 been destroyed. It should have been archived in

1 compliance with the policy.

2 Q So you're here, the Crown counsel giving evidence
3 on this important matter. Can you tell me why
4 that file was destroyed and when?

5 A There's going to be evidence presented. We have
6 Mr. MacDonald, Andrew MacDonald, who is our
7 regional, who has prepared that part of -- he has
8 done the research into it. He has looked into the
9 documents. He's put it all together. So I would
10 agree with you that that file should not have been
11 destroyed. It puts me at a horrible disadvantage
12 that I don't have my notes, I don't have precise
13 dates. It is, I can assure you, an awful position
14 to be testifying on events 14 years later without
15 the benefit of my notes and my file. So I'm not
16 trying to duck the questions. I'm just trying to
17 tell you that from what I've been told the
18 documents with respect to that file destruction
19 have been located and there will be evidence on
20 it. So I'm probably -- I agree with you totally
21 that file should not have been destroyed, but in
22 terms of the mechanics and the documents that
23 explain how that happened, I'm not the best person
24 to give that evidence.

25 Q Fair enough, and I'm both interested and pleased

1 to hear that someone will be coming to testify
2 about that matter, but let me while I have you --

3 A Well --

4 MR. DOUST: She didn't say someone would be coming to testify.

5 MR. WARD: Oh.

6 MR. DOUST: That hasn't been determined.

7 MR. WARD: I'm sorry. I maybe misunderstood.

8 MR. DOUST: There's two ways that this can be done. One is by
9 affidavit, and the other is by a witness, and that
10 hasn't been determined as yet, so I wouldn't want
11 my friend to make assumptions.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: You're quite right.

13 MR. WARD: All of this is news. I haven't been apprised of
14 these matters.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

16 MR. WARD:

17 Q Because I don't know what's happening, let me ask
18 you about another document in this brief, please,
19 and that is a handwritten document. Just if I may
20 have a moment, Mr. Commissioner, I don't have the
21 tab noted. It's a handwritten list of files.
22 You've probably seen this, Ms. Connor.

23 A What tab is that, please?

24 Q I'm just calling it up. Tab 19. There's a
25 two-page document at that tab. Can you explain,

1 please, what this is and if you know who made the
2 handwritten entries on this page and the next?

3 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, there will be evidence that this
4 is not the right document --

5 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.

6 MR. DOUST: -- and there will be additional documents. The
7 right document will be produced, as will a number
8 of other documents, with a full explanation for
9 what happened here in relation to the destruction
10 of the file. That affidavit is virtually ready, I
11 think, to be distributed, although I have to check
12 with --

13 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

14 MR. DOUST: -- Mr. Andrews about that.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

16 MR. DOUST: So, I'm sorry, it's a bit misleading in the present
17 state, and I don't want my friend to be misled
18 into thinking that is the appropriate document.
19 It isn't.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

21 MR. WARD: At the risk of sounding either like a broken record
22 or a whiner, I'm very concerned by that. I've
23 been struggling with these documents for over a
24 year trying to appreciate the relevant evidence
25 with respect to these important issues. The issue

1 of the stay of proceedings, the Crown file has
2 always been near the top of our agenda. These
3 documents would have been created and available
4 presumably more than a decade ago. Why are they
5 only surfacing now in April of 2012? But I'll
6 leave it at that.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

8 MR. WARD:

9 Q Turn to the next page, please.

10 A I'm sorry, are we still at tab 19?

11 Q We are. I've been given some time ago, and
12 commission counsel has included it in this brief
13 presumably because it bears some relevance on the
14 issue of destruction of records, a handwritten
15 list that appears to be a list of files. Can you
16 tell me what this is and what it purports to set
17 out?

18 A It's difficult for me. I'm certainly quite happy
19 to answer your questions, but in terms of
20 preparation, I haven't been involved in the
21 preparation of the affidavit or the putting
22 together of the records to offer an explanation
23 for the destruction of the file. That's not --
24 not what I've been involved in. As my counsel has
25 indicated, there will be evidence about that, so I

1 think my evidence isn't really all that helpful on
2 these points.

3 Q Thank you, but your counsel has carefully said
4 that the evidence may not come in the form of a
5 live witness, and since you're the only witness
6 I've got at the moment, let me ask you a few
7 questions that I believe you can answer about this
8 page.

9 A Oh, all right.

10 Q The numbers on the left-hand side would correspond
11 with Port Coquitlam file numbers, I suggest?

12 A I would have no reason to dispute that. They look
13 like file numbers, yes.

14 Q And 52808 in the middle of the page with the
15 surname "Pickton, Robert attempt murder" is a
16 reference to that Port Coquitlam file?

17 A I would have no reason to doubt that.

18 Q Well, you know it to be the case, don't you?

19 A Well, I can't remember specifically the file
20 number, but --

21 Q All right.

22 A I'm not disputing that it is. I just -- I didn't
23 make the record, so --

24 Q All right. And just looking at the list of names,
25 I'm not asking you to repeat any of them, but

1 given that you were senior trial counsel in the
2 office at the time, you recognize those as names
3 of accused with respect to Port Coquitlam files in
4 the Crown counsel office?

5 A I can't dispute that they are. In terms of any
6 names on that list that I specifically recall, I
7 can't say that I do. I have no reason to doubt
8 that they're names of accused from Port Coquitlam.

9 Q Let me explain for a moment the preface to my next
10 question. The assumption I'm operating under and
11 indeed the difficulty, which I expect you would
12 appreciate as a lawyer yourself, these documents
13 have been delivered as disclosure to me in
14 connection with the issue of the destruction of
15 the Crown file and offered to me by way of some
16 explanation. All right?

17 A All right.

18 Q On that basis, if we accept that as a premise for
19 the moment, you would agree with me that the file
20 "52808 Pickton, Robert attempt murder" with the
21 asterisk beside it stands out like a sore thumb on
22 this list not only because it's got the asterisk,
23 but because all of the other files are clearly of
24 the less serious variety, correct?

25 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner --

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Don't answer that.

2 MR. DOUST: Just before she answers that, what's happened here
3 is when we requested the documents for an
4 explanation as to the file destruction, this is
5 what we were provided with. Now, there's been a
6 change in relation to the person that we're
7 dealing with.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Provided with by whom? By whom?

9 MR. DOUST: By the Attorney General's department.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.

11 MR. DOUST: And there's been a change in the person we're
12 dealing with, and we requested the new person to
13 prepare everything so that he could submit an
14 affidavit of full explanation. He discovered,
15 among other things, that the face -- the front
16 page that we made disclosure of that we thought
17 was the correct one is not the correct one. He
18 also discovered that this page is not on a stand-
19 alone basis. There were 71 boxes of files all
20 destroyed at that time, and we have the complete
21 list so that the position of Mr. Pickton's file in
22 relation to the whole of the files that were
23 destroyed at that time can be looked at and
24 assessed. It's not a one-page situation. So I'm
25 going to suggest that it's not fruitful to pursue

1 that with this witness. I don't know that she
2 even knew that. And, finally, I would like to say
3 that the witness who has now taken over -- sorry,
4 the person who has now taken over looking after
5 the issue of file destruction has determined that
6 on the original file there is no asterisk, that
7 that was placed there by the person who first put
8 this package together, and we have the original
9 without any asterisk on it, and it's in the
10 package of all of the files that were destroyed at
11 that time.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: And where is that now?

13 MR. DOUST: All of that will be disclosed in the affidavit with
14 the documents annexed to it with copies for
15 everyone.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

17 MR. DOUST: We did our best on disclosure. We requested it. I
18 can't go look for it. I have to rely on other
19 people.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: No. Okay. Mr. Ward.

21 MR. WARD: Well, that explanation is entirely unacceptable, in
22 my respectful submission. We're dealing here with
23 the office of the Attorney General of British
24 Columbia Criminal Justice Branch, Canada's most
25 serious serial murder case. This inquiry has been

1 in force since the fall of 2010, and on this
2 important issue, paragraph 4(b) of the terms of
3 reference, only hearing about this now, not yet
4 having the affidavit or related documents yet, is
5 entirely unacceptable, Mr. Commissioner.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you know, I -- there's nothing I can
7 do about it. You know, I agree with you. You're
8 entitled to these documents. They haven't been
9 produced. And keep in mind that a lot of this,
10 regrettably, is not perfect because of the length
11 of time we're going back. But, in any event, it's
12 beyond my control. I agree with you that this is
13 something that you obviously need. An explanation
14 has been given by counsel. I have no reason not
15 to accept that, so -- in any event, we'll take the
16 morning break.

17 MR. WARD: Thank you.

18 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes.

19 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:05 A.M.)**

20 **(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:27 A.M.)**

21 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

22 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, if I may just before Mr. Gratl
23 starts. The present exhibit at tab 19 of the
24 booklet, which is the one page list of files, is a
25 bit of a problem because it has the names of the

1 individuals involved, and my concern is that if it
2 remains that way those names may become available
3 to the public. One of the reasons it's taken us
4 the last few days, the people that are responsible
5 for this portion of our case spent considerable
6 time blacking out the names on page after page
7 after page of the files that were destroyed at
8 that time. So I'd like to ask, if I may, please,
9 if the commission could arrange to have the names
10 blacked out on this page --

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Who are these people?

12 MR. DOUST: -- on the exhibit.

13 These are persons whose files were archived.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.

15 MR. DOUST: Or, sorry, were destroyed. And we don't want to
16 make public this information in terms of those
17 individuals.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

19 MR. DOUST: And I can assure you that on the new list we've
20 taken the time and pains to delete or blacken the
21 names on all of the pages.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Anybody have any objections to
23 that? All right.

24 MR. DOUST: Of course, counsel have them as well but are bound
25 by undertakings and I'm sure would not allow them

1 to be made public.

2 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Gratl.

3 MR. GRATL: Mr. Commissioner, Jason Gratl appearing on behalf
4 of affected individuals and communities in the
5 Downtown Eastside. In your March 2nd ruling on
6 disclosure in respect of the Criminal Justice
7 Branch you had this to say:

8 The Commission has already served a summons
9 on the Criminal Justice Branch to produce all
10 relevant documents and material under its
11 possession or control. I am advised that the
12 documents related to the investigation were
13 destroyed in June 2000 pursuant to the
14 Branch's document retention policy. I am
15 advised that counsel for the Criminal Justice
16 Branch will be providing additional
17 disclosure which will be made available to
18 participants through Concordance.

19 And with those words you dismissed Mr. Ward's
20 application for an order compelling production of
21 the Criminal Justice Branch's documents.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: I think --

23 MR. GRATL: I believe --

24 THE COMMISSIONER: -- we dismissed his application on that.

25 MR. GRATL: That's correct. With those words, with that

1 description you dismissed or declined to make an
2 order as requested by Mr. Ward for --

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Wasn't there an order made? Mr. Vertlieb,
4 are you able to help us out on this?

5 MR. VERTLIEB: Not at this moment in time. I just -- I'm just
6 listening to Mr. Gratl now, and I haven't -- I
7 just haven't heard about this, so I can't help you
8 right now.

9 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

10 MR. GRATL: I think in light of the untimely disclosure of new
11 documents yesterday evening, in light of the
12 revelations brought to our attention by Mr. Doust
13 today, revelations that affect, if he's correct,
14 the accuracy or reliability of documents that have
15 already been delivered, I think the time has come,
16 Mr. Commissioner, to revisit this aspect of your
17 disclosure order, and in that vein I'm making
18 application for an order compelling the Criminal
19 Justice Branch to deliver within three days all
20 relevant documents and "will says" in its
21 possession and control dealing with the terms of
22 reference.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I thought that had already been done
24 in any event. I thought the branch had agreed to
25 do that in any event. Is that not so?

1 MR. GRATL: Yes, but --

2 MR. DOUST: We've been served --

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?

4 MR. DOUST: We've been served with a subpoena in that regard as
5 well.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Okay.

7 MR. GRATL: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. I have not seen the
8 subpoena. I don't know the terms of the subpoena.
9 And also, it does not appear on the face of it
10 that there was any time limit attached to the
11 subpoena, and in that regard -- and I'm not
12 finding fault with Mr. Doust here. He says that
13 other people are to blame, in effect, if I
14 understood his submissions correctly, but to make
15 it clear that an obligation exists to make
16 disclosure in a timely manner I'm asking that an
17 order be issued immediately requiring production
18 of all documents in the possession and control of
19 the CJB --

20 THE COMMISSIONER: No, I understand.

21 MR. GRATL: -- within three days. Within -- I mean, these
22 witnesses are on the stand now, and documents are
23 trickling in.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

25 MR. GRATL: And we're in mid-cross of a key witness whose

1 presence on the stand has been contemplated since
2 this commission of inquiry was created by
3 order-in-council. We're under significant time
4 pressure, and witnesses shouldn't have to be
5 recalled. The obligation to produce documents in
6 a timely fashion needs to be specifically defined
7 and time limited, in my submission, Mr.
8 Commissioner.

9 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sympathetic to what you're saying,
10 except that do you need an order if a subpoena has
11 already been served?

12 MR. GRATL: Apparently.

13 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, Mr. Doust has told me that -- so,
14 okay, tell me what you're doing about this.

15 MR. DOUST: Well, if my friend is addressing the issue of the
16 documents relative to the destruction of the file,
17 I think I've put the position before you, Mr.
18 Commissioner. We have done everything we can
19 since we discovered the error relative to those
20 documents to at the earliest possible time get the
21 right documents, get them all redacted and get
22 them put into an affidavit form, and I'll have
23 that after lunch.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay.

25 MR. DOUST: It's the best we can do.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

2 MR. DOUST: We are aware, and we are trying to -- we're doing
3 our best, if I can put it that way, to abide by
4 the terms of the summons. I appreciate the
5 difficulties my friend has. There often are
6 difficulties. It's like Mr. Ward -- I found out
7 yesterday for the first time there was some kind
8 of an expert report. We're all doing our best,
9 Mr. Commissioner.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. All right.

11 MR. GRATL: With the greatest of respect, Mr. Commissioner, it
12 does not appear -- I mean, Mr. Doust may be doing
13 his best, but it doesn't appear as though in the
14 background the team he's working with are in all
15 respects doing their best. On its face that does
16 not appear to be the case. And so I'm asking not
17 just respect -- with respect to these 71 boxes of
18 documents that were destroyed but with respect to
19 everything in the Criminal Justice Branch's
20 control that is relevant to the terms of
21 reference, that those materials be produced within
22 three days. And that might be a lot of work for
23 the Criminal Justice Branch, and they might have
24 to stay up late, but that ought to be done, and it
25 ought to be done in a timely fashion.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

2 MS. NARBONNE: Suzette Narbonne, counsel for the aboriginal
3 interests. Just one point slightly different but
4 on this topic. We too prepare from the documents
5 we have. To find out today that a document we
6 have that we've used to prepare with is completely
7 irrelevant or largely irrelevant is surprising to
8 us, and to find out that there's further evidence
9 coming that my friend must have known about before
10 this morning but not told us it was even coming is
11 disappointing to us. We would like to know,
12 though, before we begin our cross if there are
13 other documents that we should be ignoring in his
14 binder that has been provided to us, and maybe
15 there are.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Doust.

17 MR. DOUST: Not that I'm aware of, Mr. Commissioner.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

19 MR. WARD: I think it's my turn to resume my cross.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

21 MR. WARD: Thank you.

22 Q Just before I leave the issue of document
23 retention and/or destruction --

24 MR. GRATL: Mr. Commissioner, in the interests of time, I'm
25 asking for a ruling on that application.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I'll make the order, but I don't want
2 to be in a position where nobody's able to comply
3 with the order. Your request is reasonable. I
4 agree with that.

5 MR. GRATL: Well, if the order is made and the CJB is unable to
6 satisfy it within three days, they can return and
7 ask for more time and explain why more time is
8 necessary.

9 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Is that fair?

10 MR. DOUST: Yes.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: I want to be fair here to both sides. I
12 understand that in inquiries the conditions are
13 less than perfect. That's an understatement.
14 We're all scurrying around to find these
15 documents, and I'm sure that everybody's trying to
16 do their best, but before I start making orders
17 that are unenforceable through no one's fault, I
18 want to make sure it's a realistic order.

19 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, my understanding and my
20 instructions are that we have now made available
21 everything that could be arguably relevant to the
22 matter before you.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Everything has been made available?

24 MR. DOUST: Yes, with the exception of the affidavit in
25 relation to the destruction of documents. There

1 is one more "will say" statement to come.

2 THE COMMISSIONER: One more?

3 MR. DOUST: "Will say". And I'll have that probably by
4 Thursday. I'm sorry, Friday.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

6 MR. GRATL: I take it from Mr. Doust's comments that, in fact,
7 a three-day deadline is feasible, and so I'm still
8 asking for that order to be issued, Mr.
9 Commissioner.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, apparently all of the -- all of the
11 material that you asked for has already been
12 produced.

13 MR. GRATL: Mr. Commissioner, under the circumstances, we've
14 had many assurances, and now we have doubts
15 expressed by Mr. Doust about whether certain
16 members of the team he's been working with in the
17 past --

18 THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no.

19 MR. GRATL: -- disclosed all the information or adulterated
20 some of the documents, and I think an order under
21 these circumstances is appropriate to clear the
22 air and make sure that everybody within the
23 Criminal Justice Branch is aware of their legal
24 obligations.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: You know what, I'm sure they are, but Mr.

1 Doust tells me that some of the material that
2 you've asked me about has already been produced
3 for you. Is that not so?

4 MR. GRATL: Can I say this, Mr. Commissioner?

5 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

6 MR. GRATL: I've seen some of this material.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?

8 MR. GRATL: Some of the material produced is material from the
9 Pickton trial, material produced by the Ritchie
10 team in an attempt to undermine the credibility of
11 Anderson as a witness, who testified at the
12 prelim. The counterpart documents from the CJB,
13 the documents produced by the Crown to defend
14 their own witness, Anderson, when they wanted her
15 to testify to help put Mr. Pickton behind bars,
16 those documents have not been produced, so I would
17 respectfully request an order, time limited,
18 requiring the production of all relevant
19 documents.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: I want to make sure that those documents --
21 there are such documents in existence.

22 MR. GRATL: And I'm suggesting, Mr. Commissioner, as I haven't
23 seen the terms of any subpoena -- I know Mr. Ward
24 asked for the subpoenas to be circulated, but they
25 weren't circulated to all participants.

1 Particularly, I haven't received a copy of them.
2 And I'm asking for an order requiring CJB to
3 produce --

4 THE COMMISSIONER: You know what, I am going to let you and Mr.
5 Doust meet during the noon hour, because he's just
6 told me that all the documents that you're asking
7 for have already been produced. You tell me that
8 they haven't. You know, I think the two of you
9 need to get together and find out what has been
10 produced and what hasn't been produced. I'm not
11 going to get involved --

12 MR. GRATL: I don't want --

13 THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute. I'm not going to get
14 involved in a he said/you said at this stage. So
15 why don't you meet during the noon hour and tell
16 me at 1:45 what documents haven't been produced
17 and I'll make an appropriate order.

18 MR. GRATL: I take it then you're dismissing my application?

19 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm not dismissing the application. Can you
20 not listen to me? What I said to you is that Mr.
21 Doust has told me that all the material that
22 you've asked for has been produced. You tell me
23 it hasn't. So can you tell me -- can you not get
24 together and talk about it and tell me what you
25 need and what he can produce? I'm prepared to

1 make the order.

2 MR. GRATL: Why don't, Mr. Commissioner, why don't you just

3 make the order and then we'll find out whether --

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, because you're at loggerheads with one

5 another. He tells me that everything that you've

6 asked for has been produced, you tell me it

7 hasn't, so doesn't it make sense for the two of

8 you to get together and talk about this? If you

9 can't, I'll make the order. All right?

10 MR. GRATL: I have your -- I understand what you're saying, Mr.

11 Commissioner.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. I mean, if you can -- I don't know

13 who's accurate and who isn't.

14 MR. GRATL: I think I understand what you're saying, Mr.

15 Commissioner.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. I mean, tell me what you need, and

17 I'll make the appropriate order.

18 MR. GRATL: All right.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: He tells me it's already been produced. You

20 tell me it isn't.

21 MR. GRATL: Well, what I'm saying --

22 THE COMMISSIONER: In any event --

23 MR. GRATL: -- the subpoena was served. I don't know when.

24 The documents aren't being produced. They're

25 trickling in at a late date.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.

2 MR. GRATL: And I can't have any confidence based on what Mr.

3 Doust has been saying --

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. No, no, I've heard you.

5 MR. GRATL: -- that documents are being produced in an
6 appropriate fashion and that people understand
7 their obligations. I mean, people within the CJB
8 apparently somehow or somebody within the Attorney
9 General's office is making markings on documents
10 before they're being disclosed. I just find that
11 extremely troubling --

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

13 MR. GRATL: -- evidence. It's even more troubling coming from
14 Mr. Doust rather than -- and in these kinds of
15 circumstances it's just ordinary --

16 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Look --

17 MR. GRATL: -- ordinary for a kind of security order to go out
18 to make sure that everybody understands that
19 they're to do what you asked them to do. Here's a
20 situation where CJB, a participant in these
21 proceedings, is not doing what you've asked them
22 to do, and I'm asking you to reaffirm with clarity
23 what their obligations are, and you're saying talk
24 to Mr. Doust. Mr. Commissioner, that's not what I
25 asked for.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: No.

2 MR. GRATL: I'll sit down.

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Gratl, what I'm saying to you is that I
4 don't quite frankly know what has been produced
5 and what hasn't. I can't make an order in a
6 vacuum. Do you not understand that? Tell me what
7 hasn't been produced, not just they haven't
8 produced this and they haven't -- tell me what it
9 is, specify what you need, and I'll make the
10 appropriate order. He tells me that everything
11 has been produced. So am I supposed to mediate
12 this dispute between the two of you?

13 MR. GRATL: Yes, Mr. Commissioner.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Well --

15 MR. GRATL: But I'll sit down at this point.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Let's get on with the cross-
17 examination.

18 MR. WARD: I will. And I've listened with interest. Let me
19 just, if I may, add one comment to what's been
20 said. We, and Mr. Chantler, my associate, in
21 particular, specified the documents that didn't
22 exist when we brought an application for an order
23 that they be compelled. We didn't get that order,
24 and now here we are some time later, almost two
25 months, labouring under the same difficulties

1 we've been labouring under since this hearing
2 began, and I'm really disappointed in that, but
3 I've said that before.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I've said that everything that's
5 relevant ought to be produced. I've made that
6 order. Okay. Let's get on with the cross-
7 examination.

8 MR. WARD: Yes. Thank you.

9 Q And, Ms. Connor, I'm still at tab 19 of the
10 binder. I just have a few more questions before I
11 leave this issue. Second page. Do you recognize
12 the handwriting of the person who created this
13 list of files?

14 A No, I don't.

15 Q All right. And you would agree with me that the
16 Pickton file, number 52808, is the only file on
17 this list of offences that was a file that should
18 have been archived for 75 years pursuant to the
19 Crown counsel policy? It just appears that is so
20 on the face of it, correct?

21 A Well, the difficulty that I have with that is
22 there might be files with special circumstances
23 that I can't tell from this list. It may very
24 well be that you're quite correct, but I don't
25 want to agree without knowing the background of

1 the other files. But I would agree that the
2 charges do not appear to be as serious as the
3 attempt murder.

4 Q All right. And in the time period in question,
5 from 1997 to 2002, your office, the Crown counsel
6 office in Port Coquitlam, was creating documents
7 with a computer and Word programing programs,
8 correct?

9 A I can't specifically recall when we started using
10 computers to produce informations and documents,
11 but that may very well be correct.

12 Q Well, here's the question. In the aftermath of
13 the Pickton farm search when your assistant looked
14 for the file, she was looking for the physical
15 file of paper documents, right?

16 A That was my understanding, yes.

17 Q And she couldn't find it?

18 A That's right.

19 Q Has anybody, to your knowledge, in the Crown's
20 office gone to the computers 2002 or thereafter to
21 get the files that were stored on the computer in
22 hard drive format that would reveal notes to file,
23 correspondence, court documents and the like?

24 A Not that I'm aware of. What I can tell you is
25 that we now have a JUSTIN system that would

1 contain all of that. I don't think we had that in
2 1997, and I wouldn't be the person to ask whether
3 others did a computer search.

4 Q And Mr. Andrew MacDonald and Mr. Neil MacKenzie of
5 the Criminal Justice Branch are sitting in the
6 gallery today?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q All right. And so by putting this question to you
9 I'm sure they're going to hear it. I would like
10 you to in communication with your counsel address
11 the issue of whether the hard drives on the
12 computers in the Port Coquitlam Crown Counsel
13 office were searched for the remnants of the
14 files, the Pickton file for 1997 that was created
15 there and include that in the material, responsive
16 material that we're apparently getting at some
17 point.

18 A I can certainly put that request in through
19 counsel. Mr. Doust I'm sure has heard that.

20 Q Thank you.

21 A Excuse me, can I leave it between -- for Mr. Doust
22 to take care of that or --

23 Q I'm quite confident that will be fine.

24 A Thank you.

25 Q Now, did you meet Ms. Anderson again when she came

1 out to the Port Coquitlam courtroom on April the
2 14th, 2003, to testify at Robert Pickton's
3 preliminary inquiry into the 27 murder counts or
4 however many murder counts there were at that
5 time?

6 A No, I didn't.

7 Q You didn't see her in the halls or in the
8 building?

9 A I might have passed her, but I don't recall seeing
10 her.

11 Q It is the case then and during the period covered
12 by this inquiry, 1997 to 2002, that the Crown
13 counsel offices were located in the Port Coquitlam
14 Provincial Court building, correct?

15 A Oh, that's correct, and they still are.

16 Q And the fact that the preliminary inquiry of
17 Pickton on the murder counts was occurring in your
18 building in 2003 was well known within the office
19 and created quite a buzz there?

20 A Yes, I would agree with that.

21 Q But you didn't go into the courtroom and watch on
22 April 14th, 2003, while Ms. Anderson gave her
23 testimony?

24 A No, I did not.

25 Q Did you play any role in that proceeding as Crown

1 counsel?

2 A No, I wasn't involved in that.

3 Q Did you have any consultations with the Crown
4 counsel handling the Pickton preliminary inquiry
5 in 2003 with respect to how they had procured the
6 attendance of Ms. Anderson as one of the witnesses
7 they offered to prove the case on the preliminary
8 inquiry?

9 A I did have discussions with Mr. Jack Baragar. Mr.
10 Baragar was the prosecutor who led Ms. Anderson's
11 evidence. The discussions I had with him are
12 because Mr. Baragar and I are workmates and I
13 would consider him a friend. I also from time to
14 time would have discussions with Mike Petrie not
15 as being involved in the file but as a friend.

16 Q And based on those discussions what did you learn
17 with respect to how it was that the Crown was able
18 in 2002 -- 2003, pardon me, April 2003 to
19 facilitate Ms. Anderson testifying at the
20 preliminary inquiry on behalf of the Crown?

21 A I did have a discussion with Mr. Baragar about
22 that. I'm a little uncomfortable because this is
23 hearsay from Mr. Baragar to the best of my
24 recollection, and he would be the best person to
25 ask, but my understanding was that even then when

1 she came in for an interview she wasn't in great
2 shape. My understanding was that there were two
3 police officers that brought her in and that they
4 took her away to a hotel for a night and -- to get
5 her -- to get her in shape to testify, that the
6 police helped him with that, and that what Mr.
7 Baragar told me to the best of my recollection was
8 that he was really worried about whether he was
9 going to be able to put her on the stand, but the
10 police took her away and did something, so she was
11 able to testify. Now, like I say, the best
12 evidence on that would come from Mr. Baragar.
13 That's my best recollection of my conversation
14 with him.

15 Q Fair enough. And I'd be more than happy to have
16 Mr. Baragar explain it, but what you've just
17 related you would agree is consistent with well-
18 established practice within the prosecution ranks
19 of Crown counsel in this province with respect to
20 the handling and, if necessary, the rehabilitation
21 of Crown witnesses who might have substance abuse
22 or addiction problems, isn't it?

23 A Well, there's a couple of things that are
24 important here. One is my understanding is that
25 her drug usage was much, much, much reduced from

1 what it had been in 1997. That's really
2 important.

3 Q Well, how do you know that? Are you a doctor?

4 A No, but I know from what Mr. Baragar told me was
5 that her drug usage was much less, and I believe
6 if you go through all the documentation of things
7 that she's told officers that her drug usage went
8 way down, and my understanding, and again it's
9 hearsay, is that she's drug-free today. She's
10 recovered completely.

11 Q Mr. -- sorry. Go ahead.

12 A But, again, Mr. Baragar, you could certainly have
13 a conversation with him about what she told him
14 about her drug usage. Now, the other thing too is
15 you're suggesting that it was the Crown that did
16 that. It wasn't. It was the police.

17 Q No, I understand.

18 A Mr. Baragar, from my understanding, didn't do
19 that. It was the police that took her away and
20 helped.

21 Q Let me just address a couple of aspects of your
22 answer, if I may. First of all, Mr. Baragar
23 wasn't involved with you in 1997 and, therefore,
24 was in no position to assess the degree to which
25 Ms. Anderson may or may not have been impaired by

1 drug addiction, correct?

2 A I would disagree. I would agree with you that he
3 wasn't involved in 1997, but he had prepared the
4 witness to give evidence and was aware of her
5 background, and I believe it was her statement
6 that she gave that her drug usage was much, much
7 less, and that's my recollection of what Mr.
8 Baragar told me. But, again, he would be the best
9 person to ask about that.

10 Q Thank you. And the second point is that Crown
11 counsel, based on your own experience and
12 knowledge, work together with police officers,
13 including the RCMP, in the course of preparing
14 cases for trial, correct?

15 A Work together, yes. You prepare witnesses.

16 Q Yes. And it is a well-established and common
17 practice for Crown counsel to enlist the
18 assistance of the police, including the RCMP, to
19 manage witnesses to address any issues of
20 rehabilitation prior to trial in terms of their
21 physical condition and to ensure that they testify
22 and are in a condition to testify, right?

23 A I wouldn't really agree with that. That's a
24 police responsibility. The Crown is not in a
25 position to get drugs for people or to force

1 people into rehab centres. In this particular
2 case, from what I understand, it was the police
3 that assisted. But the Crown -- that's not
4 something that the Crown does.

5 Q And you've seen -- just coming back to the Pickton
6 preliminary inquiry in 2003, there were, according
7 to some of the material, 85 witnesses, and you
8 know from the fact the inquiry was occurring in
9 your building that many of the civilian witnesses
10 were drug addicted or had substance abuse issues,
11 people like Lynn Ellingsen, for example, correct?

12 A I wasn't all that familiar with the file. I
13 wasn't one of the Crown involved in it. My
14 knowledge of it would have only come through
15 discussions with Mike Petrie and with Mr. Baragar.
16 I don't mean to be difficult, but I wouldn't have
17 personal knowledge about that. But I -- if you
18 say that there were people there with drug
19 problems, I'm not in a position to agree or
20 disagree. I wouldn't have any knowledge of that.

21 Q But you know from your discussions with the Crown
22 counsel handling the case then that they were
23 dealing with a roster of witnesses that included
24 many less savory characters involved in the
25 underworld and drug activities and that they had

1 nonetheless managed to facilitate their attendance
2 at trial for the purpose of giving testimony for
3 the Crown -- pardon me, at the preliminary inquiry
4 for the purpose of giving testimony for the Crown,
5 correct?

6 A I wouldn't disagree that that happened, but I
7 couldn't agree either because I don't have
8 personal knowledge.

9 Q Fair enough. Thank you. I'd like to show you on
10 this same point a copy of a document that's been
11 prepared by Dennis Murray, QC. I'm passing three
12 copies of that up.

13 MR. DOUST: Well, Mr. Commissioner --

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

15 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, this is a report prepared at the
16 instigation of my friend, and it second-guesses
17 completely the role of the prosecutor in this
18 case, and it crosses the lines set out by the
19 Court of Appeal in the *Davies* case. I don't know
20 if you've had an opportunity to see the letter
21 that I directed to your attention.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: I haven't seen it at all.

23 MR. DOUST: I directed a letter to your attention where I
24 spelled out what I perceive, with respect, to be
25 my position relative to what is appropriate and

1 what is not appropriate bearing in mind the
2 constitutional principle of independence of the
3 Crown, and there are significant limitations put
4 on a commission like this one, in my submission,
5 by the judgment of the Court of Appeal with
6 respect to second-guessing the exercise of
7 discretion by the Crown. What is not appropriate,
8 it is crystal clear, in my submission, is
9 second-guessing that.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: No, we know that the law is quite clear. It
11 comes down from *Krieger* in the Supreme Court of
12 Canada and also the Court of Appeal in *Davies*,
13 which comes from the Frank Paul Inquiry. So I
14 understand what the law is, but I'm not in a
15 position where I can say the letter from Mr. -- is
16 it Murray? Is it Dennis Murray?

17 MR. DOUST: Yes.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I haven't seen it.

19 MR. DOUST: No, I understand, but I'm going to ask that before
20 any use is made of it you have the opportunity to
21 see it and you hear me and whoever else wants to
22 speak to the issue of what use could be made or
23 could not be made --

24 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

25 MR. DOUST: -- of that report.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Who --

2 MR. DOUST: I would ask you -- perhaps commission counsel could
3 provide you with the letter that I forwarded to
4 you on April the 10th of this year and you have an
5 opportunity to look at that.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Who asked Mr. Murray to do the report?

7 MR. DOUST: Mr. Ward.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.

9 MR. DOUST: That's the report that I adverted to when we had
10 all the discussion about disclosure. I don't want
11 to get embroiled in those issues for the moment,
12 but that's the report I said that we only -- I
13 only became aware of yesterday of its existence
14 and got a copy of it last night.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: When did Mr. Murray prepare the report?

16 MR. DOUST: I don't know. It's not -- I can't tell you.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Doesn't anybody know?

18 MR. WARD: Oh, I didn't know the question was addressed to me.

19 Let me explain the circumstances. And my learned
20 friend Mr. Doust was mistaken in one of his
21 comments about this report, but here's what
22 happened. We were advised some time ago that a
23 lawyer named Don Celle had been retained by
24 commission counsel to prepare for the commission's
25 consideration an expert opinion on the issues

1 raised by paragraph 4(b) of the terms of
2 reference. In the course of a great deal of
3 correspondence outside of the hearing room between
4 me and other counsel on that issue I advised all
5 counsel that I was in the process, and this was
6 February 9th, 2012, I was in the process of
7 retaining an expert to address whatever Mr. Celle
8 might offer in the way of his opinion and to
9 address the issue raised by paragraph 4(b) of the
10 terms of reference. Subsequently, and I don't
11 have the date at my fingertips, we learned, I
12 learned that a report would not be forthcoming
13 from Mr. Celle. By then I had retained Mr.
14 Murray, who, by the way, is, of course, a well-
15 known former senior Crown counsel who practises
16 now in Victoria and I believe practised most of
17 his career there. And I advised Mr. Murray of
18 that fact and that I nonetheless sought his
19 opinion to address the vacuum created by the
20 absence of the Celle opinion. Mr. Murray
21 undertook that assignment on behalf of -- well, to
22 assist the commission, and he makes it clear in
23 his report that he did so out of a sense of public
24 duty, not with any great desire to get involved in
25 this matter, but out of a sense that given his

1 experience he had some significant contribution to
2 make, he thought, and he provided that to me
3 yesterday morning. I mentioned yesterday morning
4 I had the report in hand, that I was experiencing
5 difficulty with my e-mail program so hadn't yet
6 circulated it.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: So you just got it?

8 MR. WARD: So I got it yesterday morning and delivered it later
9 in the day yesterday, I think before noon.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

11 MR. WARD: But that's -- that's the genesis of the document.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

13 MR. WARD: And I am tendering it now. I will seek to have it
14 marked as an exhibit. My friend Mr. Doust and
15 anyone else who wants I'm sure could question Mr.
16 Murray on its contents in the usual course if that
17 were deemed advisable, and I do maintain that this
18 report will be of significant assistance to the
19 commission.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: That's not the position -- that's not the
21 objection here. The objection is that the
22 contents of the report and certain opinions
23 contained therein offend the rule set out by the
24 Court of Appeal in the *Davies* case and it's
25 contrary to the law. So I don't know. That's

1 what Mr. Doust --

2 MR. WARD: I understand that to be the objection voiced now. I
3 entirely disagree with that.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sure.

5 MR. WARD: I'm familiar with the *Davies* case. But I do agree
6 that it is a matter of substance that should be
7 addressed by full argument at the appropriate
8 time, so I would suggest that we defer any such
9 argument to a later date on the admissibility of
10 the report. And as you well know, Mr.
11 Commissioner, it may be, and I'm not conceding
12 this point for a moment, but it may be that a
13 paragraph or a sentence might run afoul of the
14 tests set out in *Davies*, and you as commissioner
15 can certainly disabuse your mind of that and
16 receive the balance of the opinion. That is one
17 of many alternatives open to you.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

19 MR. WARD: But I intend, regardless of the objection made now,
20 to question this witness about the concepts
21 contained in the opinion and to characterize them,
22 if necessary and appropriate, as what I expect Mr.
23 Murray would say in the event he testifies on
24 these issues.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, I think my friend has it right,
2 that is to say, I don't object to the entirety of
3 the report.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

5 MR. DOUST: There are criticisms in the report of the RCMP,
6 there are criticisms in the report of the Victim
7 Services people, but there's a clear message in
8 that report, namely that Mr. Murray, who's
9 experienced Crown counsel, would have handled this
10 case in a different way and likely would have come
11 to a different conclusion with respect to the
12 exercise of Crown discretion, and it's that
13 portion of the report, and if we defer this to
14 deal with it, I will scrutinize the report in such
15 a way as to show you with precision what it is
16 that I object to and what it is that I do not
17 object to.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any objection to the report being
19 filed and myself -- and I would disabuse my mind
20 of those portions of the report that are offensive
21 to the rule set out in *Davies*?

22 MR. DOUST: No. Of course you have to read the report.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I see. Obviously I'm speaking in a
24 vacuum. I haven't seen it.

25 MR. DOUST: Yes. No, no, I have no objection to that. It's a

1 question of whether that report goes in as an
2 exhibit in its entirety or not, number one, and,
3 number two, whether it's made use of for what I
4 perceive to be the prohibited purpose.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.

6 MR. DOUST: That's where I am.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Vertlieb.

8 MR. VERTLIEB: Yes. Thank you. I saw this report late
9 yesterday, and we arranged to make a copy for you,
10 and there's one on your desk now.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

12 MR. VERTLIEB: And you'll have that at the lunch hour. It's 18
13 pages. Mr. Ward is correct in what he's been
14 saying to you. He did mention that he was
15 considering this, and that was some time ago.
16 It's helpful information. It has factual
17 commentary that you've already heard much about.
18 The report is of help to you in terms of
19 understanding the events. And as I was listening
20 to both Mr. Ward and Mr. Doust speak it did occur
21 to me that you could use the report for the
22 benefit it offers you and knowing that you're able
23 to sort out the subtlety between fact finding and
24 second-guessing, and you are, as you've already
25 said, fully apprised of the law. So I think it's

1 something we can work through.

2 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

3 MR. VERTLIEB: But on balance we are of the view that this is a
4 helpful piece of work for the job that you've been
5 asked to do.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

7 MR. VERTLIEB: And I think that's at the core of what I'm
8 hearing in terms of your --

9 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

10 MR. VERTLIEB: -- work here.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

12 MR. VERTLIEB: But it is on your desk now. You'll need some
13 time to consider it. Now, having said that, it
14 seems to me that we should just continue with Ms.
15 Connor. We're going to be here tomorrow with her,
16 obviously, and perhaps first thing in the morning
17 there could be argument. I agree completely with
18 Mr. Ward about the need to have a full argument on
19 it. He's absolutely right. And you can then deal
20 with it and we can move on to conclude Ms. Connor.
21 So we have time tomorrow.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.

23 MR. VERTLIEB: One thing, just while I'm on my feet, I wouldn't
24 mind everyone telling us about their time
25 estimates, but not now. If you would tell us at

1 the noon-hour break because we still don't know
2 everyone's time estimate. Thank you very much.

3 MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, just to assist you, I do have a
4 copy of a letter that I wrote to you as well as a
5 copy of the Court of Appeal's judgment in *Davies*.
6 If you'd like, I can just hand that up so you have
7 it in hand.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

9 MR. VERTLIEB: I think Mr. Commissioner has actually seen that,
10 Mr. Doust. He was thinking -- Mr. Commissioner
11 was speaking to the report of Mr. Murray because
12 he hadn't seen it.

13 THE COMMISSIONER: I don't have the Murray report.

14 MR. VERTLIEB: Your letter he has.

15 MR. DOUST: Okay. Thank you.

16 MR. WARD: What I propose to do then is to move along in my
17 questioning, essentially leave this matter. It
18 may be -- it may be that I touch on some of the
19 concepts expressed in the opinion during my
20 further questioning today, but I know from
21 experience my friend Mr. Doust has good hearing
22 and is quick on his feet, and if he thinks that
23 I'm asking objectionable questions, he will, I'm
24 sure, be quick to intervene.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm capable of disabusing my mind if there

1 is -- okay. Let's get on with it.

2 MR. WARD: Okay. Thank you.

3 Q Ms. Connor, you know Dennis Murray, QC to be a
4 well-respected former Crown counsel, prosecutor of
5 long-standing within the Province of British
6 Columbia, correct?

7 A I've heard of him, yes.

8 Q Now, when you first looked at -- I'm moving into,
9 in case it's not apparent, the area of your
10 office's handling of the 1997 case. When you
11 first looked at the file, which on your evidence
12 is in the fall, probably after October 22nd of
13 1997 --

14 A I would say it would have to be after October the
15 22nd because of defence counsel's letter.

16 Q I'm going to suggest some factual elements jumped
17 out at you from the printed page of the material
18 that you looked at. First of all, I suggest that
19 Ms. Anderson's account contained over 75 pages of
20 an interview transcript with the police while she
21 was in hospital was compelling, chilling, and
22 believable, fair?

23 A I don't think you can analyze it that way because
24 when you're reviewing a criminal file, while the
25 complainant can seem completely credible, in this

1 particular file the accused had given a version
2 that on the face of it could possibly be true. So
3 it's not --

4 THE COMMISSIONER: That's not what he's asking you. He's
5 asking you if you look at the statement that she
6 gave while she was in the hospital it seemed to be
7 believable. That's the question.

8 MR. WARD:

9 Q My question is focused on her statement in
10 isolation, and this -- just before I get to the
11 question again, one of the tasks that you are
12 called upon to fulfil daily during your career as
13 a prosecutor is to assess the veracity of
14 statements made by witnesses, right?

15 A Right, but that's difficult to do with just a
16 printed transcript. I mean, you're correct to the
17 extent that does the statement make sense, is
18 what's described something that could conceivably
19 happen, but in terms of assessing credibility,
20 that really comes from meeting a person in person
21 and having a chance to challenge them a bit on
22 some of what they've said. So I don't mean to be
23 difficult, but in my mind you can't take it in
24 isolation the way you're asking me to do. As a
25 prosecutor you have to look at the whole case.

1 But in terms of did she give a statement that I
2 had -- was there anything in it in and of itself
3 that would cause me to disbelieve her, no. There
4 was no reason in just looking at the statement
5 itself to not believe what she was saying.

6 Q Well, there was more than that, I suggest. You
7 had the words on the printed page, actually 75
8 pages, that she uttered when the police officers
9 were interviewing her in her hospital room in
10 March of 1997, plus, as my friend Mr. Vertlieb
11 pointed out yesterday, you had the audiotaped
12 version of her account, right?

13 A If I didn't have it, it would have been, I'm sure,
14 accessible.

15 Q All right. And that would give you some more
16 material upon which to make a preliminary
17 assessment of the credibility of what she
18 reported?

19 A Well, and again I don't mean to be difficult, but
20 you can't -- when you're reviewing a report you
21 can't look at the one statement in isolation;
22 however, I would concede that if you just took
23 that one part of it, I can't think of anything in
24 my mind that would have caused me to say there's
25 something wrong with this statement, it's not

1 believable.

2 Q Well, let me just get to some of the basic facts
3 or the -- let me start again, please. Let me get
4 to some aspects of her description of what had
5 happened to her that I suggest should have stood
6 out on the first review. Firstly, that she was
7 picked up in downtown Vancouver by a man who was
8 planning to take her all the way to Port Coquitlam
9 for \$100, to perform services there for \$100.
10 That is a significant aspect of the factual
11 account, I suggest, because how would she get
12 back?

13 A All right.

14 Q All right. Would you agree?

15 A Well, how she would get back would depend on all
16 of the circumstances.

17 Q Well --

18 A When you go back to 1997 and you read the entire
19 file, he gave a version of events that could
20 possibly be true as well. So when you say how is
21 she going to get back, based on the knowledge of
22 1997 you'd assume that she would get a ride back
23 with him or have cab fare or something. It's not
24 something I can really speculate on.

25 Q But that ought to be for an experienced prosecutor

1 like yourself a red flag right off the bat. A
2 survival sex trade worker standing on a street
3 corner of the Downtown Eastside in the ordinary
4 course, as you would know, I suggest, turns tricks
5 in the vicinity and gets back on the street to
6 earn money to support either herself and her
7 children or the drug addiction that she may have,
8 right?

9 MR. DOUST: I'm objecting to this line of questioning, Mr.
10 Commissioner. This line of questioning is
11 designed to do nothing other than to attack this
12 witness in the sense of making suggestions with
13 respect to how she should have looked at this
14 case, what she should have done, all with a view
15 to suggesting that she made the wrong decision in
16 due course, and that's evident from Mr. Murray's
17 report, and I am going to ask that you consider
18 not letting my friend pursue this line of
19 questioning until such time as you've read the
20 report because what the report does is it examines
21 the fact pattern, and Mr. Murray says, "I would
22 have emphasized this, I would have emphasized
23 that, and I would have emphasized this, and that
24 would lead me perhaps to a different conclusion."

25 THE COMMISSIONER: But he's not asking about Murray's report.

1 He's asking about what she thought of the
2 evidence.

3 MR. DOUST: No, but I'm referring to Mr. Murray's report
4 because it tells you where my friend is going with
5 this line of questioning --

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

7 MR. DOUST: -- Mr. Commissioner. That's my point in referring
8 to Murray's report.

9 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

10 MR. DOUST: You see, the Court of Appeal said -- and I'll read
11 just a very brief section from the judgment of the
12 Court of Appeal.

13 It's beyond the scope of the inquiry to
14 require any individual who made a decision,
15 that's obviously her,
16 not to charge anyone with respect to the
17 death of Mr. Paul,
18 now, by analogy this is the same situation,
19 to second-guess his or her decision or to
20 justify it. The Commissioner's entitled to
21 look at the facts that were before the
22 individuals who made those decisions, get the
23 facts related to the decisions, but not
24 challenge or debate with those individuals
25 the propriety of their decisions.

1 And that's what's happening here. My friend is
2 pursuing the avenue that Mr. Murray has said, "I,
3 Mr. Murray, would have done it this way. I would
4 have looked at these facts, and I would have
5 emphasized this, and I would have come to this
6 conclusion, and that would have impacted my
7 judgment, i.e., my decision in the exercise of my
8 discretion." And it's exactly the path that my
9 friend is following. He can ask her what facts
10 were there but not argue with her with respect to
11 what conclusions, if any, she should have drawn
12 from those facts. The whole point of doing that
13 is to undermine the decision that she made.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

15 MR. DOUST: And you can't do, with respect, through the back
16 door what you can't do through the front door.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: No, I appreciate that, but I don't think
18 he's gone that far back yet. He might well ask me
19 to do it, and I'm well aware of what the law is.
20 I'm well aware of the restrictions placed on
21 anyone cross-examining Crown counsel. The law is
22 quite clear set out by the Court of Appeal in
23 *Davies*. I'm familiar with the *Davies* decision for
24 a lot of different reasons. But I don't think Mr.
25 Ward is going that far, and I'm going to let him

1 question -- I am going to let him proceed with
2 that line of questioning until he reaches that
3 point where you say his questions will be contrary
4 to the rule set out in *Davies*.

5 MR. DOUST: Well, Mr. Commissioner, the problem is this, that
6 there is a rationale, as you well know, probably
7 better than I, for the judgment in *Davies*, and one
8 aspect of that rationale is that to put Crown
9 counsel in the stand and to question them in a
10 manner that seeks to undermine the decision that
11 they made is a breach of the constitutional
12 principle, but it is that for the reason, among
13 others, that to permit that kind of an inquiry of
14 Crown counsel who have exercised discretion will
15 have no doubt a chilling effect on all prosecutors
16 in terms of the exercise of their role.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

18 MR. DOUST: And what my friend is doing now is he's saying,
19 well, let's take this fact and that fact and this
20 fact and that fact, and we'll come to a point,
21 having gone through that, we'll come to a point
22 where, even if not directly, he is obliquely
23 attempting to undermine her decision. What other
24 reason is there for saying, okay, he picked her
25 up, he drove her 40 kilometres away, he drove

1 slowly so that he didn't have to stop at red
2 lights, he wouldn't let her urinate on the way, he
3 got her out to his home, and he had a pair of
4 handcuffs; doesn't that tell you, Madam
5 Prosecutor, that this man had a design, i.e., a
6 plan to murder her? That's the avenue that my
7 friend is pursuing.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, in my view he hasn't reached that or
9 even come close to it yet, and when that time
10 comes you can object and I'll listen to you, but
11 so far he's examining the facts that she had
12 before her.

13 MR. DOUST: So long --

14 THE COMMISSIONER: He's entitled to ask what facts she had.
15 And I agree with the rest of your submission, and
16 that is that the -- I know what the Court of
17 Appeal said in *Davies*, and it places a large
18 restriction on cross-examination of Crown counsel
19 as to how they reached a particular decision or
20 would they have come to a different conclusion had
21 other facts been known to them, and the Court of
22 Appeal has been quite clear on that, and that's
23 the law. I know that.

24 MR. DOUST: Well, it's -- I'm sorry.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: In my view, I don't think Mr. Ward is there

1 yet.

2 MR. DOUST: It's one thing to say, "Well, you had this fact
3 before you, didn't you? Yes, I did. You had that
4 fact before you? Yes." But to go beyond that and
5 start to deal with the question of what that fact
6 may have led you to, what emphasis you placed on
7 that fact, those are, in my submission, the
8 prohibited areas to begin with, not just a
9 complete all-out attack on the exercise.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I am going to let him ask the
11 questions dealing with the facts and the facts
12 only. That's what he's entitled to do, and I
13 think that's what he is doing.

14 MR. DOUST: All right. Thank you.

15 MR. WARD: Thank you.

16 Q Now, Ms. Connor, yesterday you said while my
17 friend Mr. Vertlieb was questioning you that this
18 was a serious case, and you said that on more than
19 one occasion, right?

20 A Yes, absolutely it was.

21 Q I suggest that the case was serious partly because
22 of the extent of the injuries that Ms. Anderson
23 suffered. She, as you know from the medical
24 reports, died twice on the operating table and had
25 to be revived, and her belly had been slit open

1 from -- her abdomen had been slit open by the
2 assailant, right?

3 A Her injuries were serious, yes.

4 Q And I suggest that the following elements of her
5 statement made from her hospital bed while she was
6 recovering elevated the seriousness of the case,
7 that while this man was taking her to Port
8 Coquitlam he refused to stop and let her out of
9 the car to urinate and that after she had provided
10 services he snapped handcuffs on her wrists and
11 refused to let her go. Those elements made the
12 case very serious in terms of motive and how the
13 prosecution would be handled, right?

14 A Well, yes, this case was serious and very
15 disturbing. The other element that you've missed
16 is that our victim here was a vulnerable person.

17 Q And just --

18 A There's no question it was serious. No question.

19 Q Just on the vulnerability issue, let me, if I may,
20 and I'll pause or you should pause and let me ask
21 the question, let me, if I may, quote from
22 paragraph 51 of Mr. Murray's report because I
23 don't think this should be contentious. He's
24 written at page 8, para. 51:

25 It is well accepted among those familiar with

1 the administration of criminal justice that
2 sex trade workers are immensely vulnerable
3 and are often the target of dangerous and
4 violent offenders, some under the guise of an
5 apparent self-righteous bent as to sex trade
6 workers, some driven by a hatred of women,
7 the easiest targets of that sector of our
8 society being sex trade workers who are out
9 there offering to accompany people they don't
10 know.

11 A I would absolutely agree with that.

12 Q Now, whatever you thought about the veracity of
13 Ms. Anderson's statement to the two police
14 officers in the hospital -- and the transcript is
15 here, Mr. Commissioner. I'm not going to take
16 anybody to it right now but just identify where it
17 is. It's in -- I thought I would -- tab 2.
18 Whatever you thought about that when you looked at
19 the file, this was a case that required an
20 interview of the complainant as part of the
21 preparation of the case for trial?

22 A Absolutely, because the file had some -- it's
23 probably -- I'll put it this way, a really bad
24 situation to be in as a prosecutor because you've
25 got the combination of an extremely serious case,

1 a vulnerable victim, bad injuries, and you've also
2 got problems with the case. That's -- that's a
3 really bad combination. It wasn't a matter of
4 reviewing her statement and thinking that it
5 wasn't serious. It was serious. And there was no
6 reason to disbelieve what was in that statement,
7 but the reality is when you're reviewing a file
8 you have to look at the entire situation, and at
9 the end of the day no matter how serious I felt
10 the file was, when it came time to get ready for
11 trial I didn't have the witness. And she had to
12 be not only credible, and I agree with you about
13 the statement, but really, really a good witness
14 because there was this -- there were other
15 problems to overcome, and the other problems were
16 when she initially talked about what had happened
17 she said that she was raped, and we know that that
18 wasn't true. Now, it's understandable why she
19 would want to not be telling people why she was
20 actually there, but then there's a second
21 statement to the social worker in the hospital
22 where she says that there was no intercourse at
23 all, and then we have the statement to the police.
24 It wasn't a matter of not believing her, but the
25 other problem was that we have an accused with a

1 slash to his throat giving a version of events
2 that fits perfectly with what the victim was
3 saying except on one crucial point, and that was
4 the whole case, which was how did this altercation
5 start. So at the end of the day looking at it in
6 terms of was there an explanation given that could
7 reasonably be true; yes, there was. There were
8 some big, big problems that went beyond the
9 complainant's statement. If you're asking me if I
10 noticed anything wrong with the statement itself,
11 no. If you're asking me if I was horrified by her
12 injuries, yes, I was. If you're asking me whether
13 she was a vulnerable witness, yes, she was.

14 Q Did any Crown lawyer having conduct of this file
15 attempt to interview Ms. Anderson between the end
16 of March 1997 and January 26, 1998, to your
17 knowledge?

18 A Well, I interviewed her, we think, either on the
19 23rd or the 26th. To my knowledge, no, not that
20 I'm aware of.

21 Q Well, you got the file on or after October 22nd.
22 Why didn't you make an immediate attempt to
23 interview Ms. Anderson in the course of preparing
24 this very serious case for trial?

25 A Well, this is where there's a bit of a difficulty

1 in that I know that it couldn't have been prior to
2 October the 22nd, but I don't know when I got that
3 file. I can't tell you the day that it landed on
4 my desk. So my attempts to locate her would have
5 depended on workload. It would have depended on
6 finding out how to get in touch with her. So I
7 can't tell you how much time passed between when I
8 got that file and when I attempted to interview
9 her. I can't tell you that.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: We'll stop there for the noon hour break.

11 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 1:45.

12 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:30 P.M.)**

13 **(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 1:50 P.M.)**

14 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: I just want to deal with this disclosure.

16 Tell me what's happened, Mr. Gratl and Mr. Doust.

17 MR. GRATL: My friend and I have had an opportunity to discuss
18 matters, and one of the specific items that I've
19 asked my friend for he has no objection to
20 producing, and that is a list of the files that
21 have been -- that were archived.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

23 MR. GRATL: What we have now is what appears to be a longer
24 list of files that were destroyed, but apparently
25 there's also a list of archived files, and it

1 would be of assistance for us to see that --

2 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

3 MR. GRATL: -- just to check that the Pickton name is not on
4 it.

5 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

6 MR. GRATL: And there may also be some other things, but I
7 concede, Mr. Commissioner, that you're not
8 inclined to order the general order that I've --

9 THE COMMISSIONER: I didn't say that. I just thought, you
10 know, if you can work it out. I mean, I'll order
11 it. I mean, I don't have -- listen, I've made a
12 lot of orders in my life. I don't have any
13 trouble making orders. But if the two of you can
14 agree what's needed and what hasn't been -- what
15 has been produced, what hasn't been produced,
16 that's where I'm in a quandary. I don't know
17 what's been produced and what hasn't been
18 produced.

19 MR. GRATL: Part of the difficulty, I think, is that the Robert
20 William Pickton homicide prosecution generated a
21 tremendous number of documents, some of which deal
22 with Anderson, and I think we only have a portion
23 of those Anderson documents that are in the
24 possession of the Crown from the Crown file. It's
25 a great deal of work to go through those materials

1 to find all the Anderson-related documents, but I
2 think we only have a portion of them at this time.

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Doust.

4 MR. DOUST: I'm just advised by my junior that Mr. Boddie came
5 up and looked at all of the material that we had,
6 and we made copies and made available to him
7 everything that he picked out that he thought was
8 relevant, much of which we didn't believe was
9 relevant. However, having said that, first of all
10 I will deal with the archived files. I do not
11 know at the moment that there is such a list, but
12 it makes sense that there would be. I'll make
13 inquiries, and if there is, I will produce it as
14 early as possible.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

16 MR. DOUST: In terms of material relevant to Ms. Anderson's
17 credibility, material developed and within the
18 murder prosecution file, again, I can't answer,
19 but given that specific request I'll do what I can
20 to determine if there is such material, and if
21 there is, we'll produce it. I mean, this is a
22 huge enterprise --

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I know that.

24 MR. DOUST: -- Mr. Commissioner. We disclosed boxes and boxes
25 at the outset, and then, as I say, we -- there

1 were suggestions that there were other materials,
2 so we just opened the door and said, "Look, we're
3 not going -- we don't think a lot of it is
4 relevant, but you come on up," and Mr. Boddie came
5 up, and we said, "Anything you think you want, let
6 us know, you got it." And, you know, we've made
7 our best efforts consistently. That's the most I
8 can say. I think that the request Mr. Gratl has
9 made is something that I can deal with, but I
10 can't deal with it immediately. I'll do it as
11 quickly as I can.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I appreciate you're otherwise occupied
13 now, so I --

14 MR. DOUST: Yes. And if I may, please, Mr. Commissioner, I now
15 have the affidavit of Andrew MacDonald. I'd like
16 to file the original. We provided copies to those
17 in the room, and we will provide electronic copies
18 again so that everyone gets one.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right.

20 THE REGISTRAR: Do you wish that to be marked?

21 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Gratl, so given that explanation, what
22 would you like me to do?

23 MR. GRATL: I still haven't seen the subpoena. I don't know
24 the terms of the subpoena. But I would maintain
25 my request for the order in the terms --

1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. I'll make the order.

2 MR. GRATL: -- previously. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

3 MR. DOUST: I can show him the subpoena.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Mr. Ward.

5 MR. WARD: Yes. Just on the affidavit, could we have that
6 marked as an exhibit for identification at this
7 time, possibly, because I simply haven't had a
8 chance to review it or digest it, and I may have a
9 position with respect to it that I haven't been
10 able to formulate.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Does anyone have any objections to this
12 being marked? Okay. All right. For
13 identification.

14 THE REGISTRAR: The document will be marked as DD, double D,
15 for identification.

16 **(EXHIBIT DD FOR IDENTIFICATION: Affidavit of**
17 **Andrew MacDonald dated April 11, 2012)**

18 MR. WARD:

19 Q And if I may proceed, Ms. Connor. I'll try to
20 move along quickly with the last part of my
21 cross-examination. I did want to touch on some of
22 your background, your professional background, and
23 I gather that is set out in Exhibit 132, which is
24 a copy of your CV?

25 A Excuse me, could I be -- thank you. If I could

1 get a copy of it.

2 MR. WARD: Mr. Registrar.

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?

4 A I'm just asking for a copy of it, please.

5 MR. WARD: Exhibit 132, Mr. Registrar, please.

6 THE REGISTRAR: I'm sorry. 132.

7 MR. WARD: The CV that was marked yesterday.

8 A Thank you.

9 MR. WARD:

10 Q And just confirming, you were -- it appears as
11 though you were called to the bar -- well, here it
12 is -- 1980. You were in private practice for a
13 brief time and then you've been employed as a
14 Crown counsel in various jurisdictions in British
15 Columbia ever since 1982, correct?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And the jurisdictions in BC are all within the
18 Lower Mainland, and you are a native of the Lower
19 Mainland area having been born in New Westminster?

20 A That's correct.

21 Q And you have worked as a Crown counsel in the Port
22 Coquitlam Crown office for the last 17 years,
23 since 1995, right?

24 A That's correct.

25 Q You said yesterday it was a small office, and I

1 believe you testified that lawyers would
2 frequently talk to each other about their files;
3 is that right?

4 A It was a small office when I joined in 1995. I
5 wouldn't classify it as a small office now. It's
6 grown considerably.

7 Q How many lawyers, approximately, were there there
8 in 1997, '98?

9 A I'm guessing five to eight, maybe.

10 Q And Port Coquitlam itself you know to be a
11 relatively small community of about 40,000 people?

12 A That could well be correct, although the Port
13 Coquitlam Crown Counsel office covers Maple Ridge,
14 Port Moody, and the freeway as well as Coquitlam
15 and Port Coquitlam, so the area it covers is
16 fairly extensive.

17 Q And would it be fair to say that in the 1997 to
18 1998 period you would know your own Crown counsel
19 colleagues pretty well and see them regularly?

20 A I would agree with that. The only thing about the
21 Crown is, and it still happens today, is people
22 are constantly coming and going. People get
23 transferred to different offices. Also, from Port
24 Coquitlam, people in the Port Coquitlam office
25 quite often go up to New Westminster to do Supreme

1 Court work there. We don't have a Supreme Court
2 in Port Coquitlam. So, yes, I would know them
3 well, but there's people coming and going.

4 Q And would it also be fair that given the size of
5 the community and the size of your office that
6 over time you'd get to know or become acquainted
7 with many of the RCMP members who worked in the
8 Coquitlam Detachment?

9 A Yeah, you would -- you would see people coming in
10 to -- for witness interviews, and when I was
11 running trials I would see quite often the same
12 officers on a regular basis, yes.

13 Q Now, I suggest you were aware as a result of your
14 work in the Crown counsel office in Port Coquitlam
15 that a place known as Piggy's Palace in Port
16 Coquitlam was a notorious illegal hangout that the
17 City was trying to shut down in 1996, 1997, and
18 1998. Do you recall that?

19 A I was aware of the existence of it and that name
20 after the media attention when Mr. Pickton was
21 arrested. I don't recall being aware of it before
22 that.

23 Q Did you have any prosecutions that involved either
24 of the Pickton brothers or their associates
25 relating to the Piggy's Palace operation?

1 A No. The only thing I can think of from back then,
2 and it wasn't me that was involved, is I seem to
3 remember Richard Romano mentioning something about
4 a bylaw prosecution over a dog, but I can't be a
5 hundred per cent clear on that. That was --
6 that's the only thing that comes to mind.

7 Q Weren't both Picktons in 1997 pretty notorious in
8 the Port Coquitlam community for their activities
9 and their associations with members of the Hells
10 Angels Motorcycle Club?

11 A The only thing I can tell you about that is from
12 reading the investigator's comments on the report
13 to Crown counsel from 1997. There's mention by
14 Corporal Connor that Mr. Pickton and his brother
15 had Hells Angels associates, but I had no
16 knowledge of any of that before that comment in
17 the report to Crown counsel.

18 Q And that fact mentioned in the report to Crown
19 counsel was not something that, I suggest,
20 militated in favour of the accused in the handling
21 of this file, did it?

22 A No. The information wasn't specific. It just
23 said that they were associated, but it didn't --
24 and you can read it under the investigator's
25 comments. It didn't specify how or why or what

1 the association was or how the police came to that
2 knowledge.

3 Q As Crown counsel in 1997 you knew that the Hells
4 Angels Motorcycle Club was considered by the Crown
5 to be a notorious criminal organization?

6 A I would say probably by pretty much everybody.
7 They had that reputation generally.

8 Q Were prosecutions of their members or associates
9 handled within your office or by a special Crown
10 unit to your knowledge?

11 A I know and I can think of two occasions where I
12 prosecuted people who were Hells Angels members,
13 but not for gang activity. They were Hells Angels
14 who just happened to be involved in regular crime.
15 So I know that now there are gang prosecutors.

16 MR. WARD: All right. I'd ask that you be shown next Exhibit
17 1B, please.

18 THE REGISTRAR: 1B?

19 MR. WARD: 1B. Or, sorry, 2B.

20 THE REGISTRAR: 2B.

21 MR. WARD: I misspoke.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: What is 2B?

23 MR. WARD: To be or not to be. Couldn't resist.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: No, I know that. I gave you a straight line
25 there.

1 THE REGISTRAR: You got that from me this morning.

2 MR. WARD: 2B is a version of the RCMP file respecting the 1997
3 matter.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I see.

5 A All right. What I've been handed says "Williams
6 Witness Brief".

7 MR. WARD:

8 Q That's my understanding.

9 A All right.

10 Q And you'll have to bear with me, Ms. Connor, and
11 perhaps Mr. Commissioner as well to some extent,
12 because I'm working from a slightly different
13 copy, but I'll do my best, and if there are pages
14 out of order or misaligned, we'll address it. But
15 what you have in front of you has been entered as
16 an exhibit in this proceeding as a copy of the
17 RCMP file relating to the '97 investigation just
18 so you understand what you're looking at.

19 A All right. Thank you.

20 Q Have you seen that before?

21 A I don't believe so.

22 Q And there is an index.

23 MR. DOUST: Excuse me, Mr. Commissioner, I don't have every
24 exhibit at hand, and, therefore, I don't have a
25 copy of that, and I'm wondering if I could have

1 your leave to just look over her shoulder.

2 THE COMMISSIONER: Absolutely.

3 MR. WARD: Just for the benefit of my friend, who may not be
4 aware of some of the administrative processes, I
5 believe -- well, many of the exhibits are posted
6 on the commission's website and available there,
7 if that's of assistance.

8 MR. DOUST: Thank you.

9 MR. WARD:

10 Q But, in any event, this book is said to be a copy
11 of the RCMP's file, and just by looking at the
12 index you can see it comprises 40 tabs divided
13 into various sections. Do you see that?

14 A Yes, I do.

15 Q I am going to suggest just based on the sheer heft
16 of this document that it is apparent on looking at
17 the RCMP file that they must have devoted a lot of
18 time and effort to their investigation?

19 A I couldn't disagree with that. It looks like a
20 number of documents in a thick file, that's true.

21 Q And just for example, and you may or may not be
22 aware of these steps, but the RCMP prepared,
23 swore, and executed several search warrants in
24 respect of different properties. You can see that
25 referenced in 8, 9, and 10.

1 A Yes, I see that.

2 Q They prepared background information on the
3 victim. They kept track, as you would expect, of
4 all the various exhibits. They compiled medical
5 documents and consents, and they created
6 transcripts of witness interviews and other
7 witness statements. And right now I'm just
8 referring to the index in a summary fashion.

9 A All right. Yes, I can see that.

10 Q Now, I just want to ask you about some of the
11 contents of the brief, and, again, I'm hoping I
12 can -- you'll be able to turn them up. If you go
13 to the tab 1 marked "Miscellaneous loose
14 documents".

15 A Yes, I see that.

16 Q Regrettably, the pages are not numbered, but in my
17 tab it's about six pages from the end.

18 A All right. I'll check that.

19 Q And it's a transit slip. Let me just tell you
20 what is at the end of my tab. There appear to be
21 copies of correspondence from the law firm of
22 Crossin & Scouten. Do you see that?

23 A No, I'm sorry, I don't. Oh, it's the end.

24 Q At the end of tab 1.

25 A Sorry.

1 Q Last few pages.

2 A Yes.

3 Q Okay.

4 A Crossin & Scouten. Yes, I see it.

5 Q And these reveal, these letters reveal that for a

6 time after Mr. Pickton's arrest in March of 1997

7 Mr. Janzen of that law firm, Crossin & Scouten,

8 was defence counsel?

9 A Yes, I see Paul W. Janzen as the signature.

10 Q And then if you can skip backwards to what I think

11 is about the sixth to last tab, I'd like to direct

12 your attention to something called a transit slip

13 of the RCMP addressed to watch commanders A and B

14 watches?

15 A Oh.

16 Q Six from the end.

17 A Six from the end. Okay.

18 Q So just preceding the first of the Crossin &

19 Scouten letters.

20 A My sixth from the end is a memorandum from Bev

21 MacLean of our office. Is it this way?

22 Q All right. Well, that's --

23 A This one? Transit slip, yes.

24 Q Do you have a transit slip?

25 A Yes, I do. It looks like the date is 26th of

1 April of 1997.

2 Q That should be the one.

3 A All right.

4 Q And it's re Pickton attempt murder?

5 A Yes. I see that.

6 Q The first phrase:

7 Last 10 days or so I received a request
8 through Crown counsel.

9 Do you have that?

10 A Yes.

11 Q All right. So this -- and I appreciate this is an
12 RCMP document, but this -- we've heard evidence
13 this was authored by Corporal Mike Connor of the
14 RCMP and transmitted by him throughout his office,
15 and you can see at the foot of the page it's cc'd
16 to a list of 10 police officers within the
17 detachment. Do you see that?

18 A Yes, I do.

19 Q I just want to direct your attention to the
20 content. He's conveying to his colleagues that
21 he's seeking disclosure in response to defence
22 requests?

23 A Yes, I see that.

24 Q In summary. And then in the last paragraph he
25 writes this, "Those of you who don't know Mr.

1 Ritchie..." I'll just stop there. That's a
2 reference to Mr. Pickton's second lawyer, Mr.
3 Ritchie, who assumed conduct of the defence from
4 Mr. Janzen? You understand that?

5 A Yes, that would make sense.

6 Q So:

7 Those of you who don't know Mr. Ritchie,
8 writes Connor,
9 he is an extremely capable lawyer and very
10 professional at what he does. He is
11 considered, without argument, one of the best
12 lawyers in Vancouver. I wouldn't want this
13 case thrown out on simple things such as
14 non-disclosure.

15 And he goes on to say that Mr. Ritchie is involved
16 in a fairly high-profile case.

17 A Yes, I see that.

18 Q Which you'll probably recall as being the Gillian
19 Guess matter, the juror in a murder trial?

20 A Yes.

21 Q All right. Now, given this record, is it
22 consistent with your impression while you handled
23 the Crown file that the RCMP investigators with
24 whom you were dealing were devoted to ensuring
25 that this case went to trial and wasn't thrown

1 out?

2 A I can see that from this transit slip. I don't
3 know what other indication I would have had apart
4 from this that they were devoted to seeing it go
5 ahead.

6 Q Well, let --

7 A Apart from, you know, regular police officers
8 doing their job.

9 Q All right. Let me put it a slightly different
10 way. Do you recall anything that occurred during
11 your handling of the file that would indicate to
12 you that the RCMP lacked enthusiasm for this case?

13 A No. I couldn't say one way or the other because
14 when I got the file there was communications with
15 Corporal Connor about the additional disclosure,
16 but I don't recall him making any extra effort to
17 talk to me about the case. Some officers will do
18 that on some cases, they'll be calling you
19 constantly and they'll be stopping by, but I don't
20 recall that happening in this case. I'm not
21 denying that they were interested in the case.
22 This document certainly shows that.

23 Q Did you know Corporal Mike Connor from previous
24 files prior to assuming conduct of this matter?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And you knew him to be a conscientious and
2 dedicated police officer; is that fair?

3 A I can think of one other file, but I want to be
4 fair to him, where I had some difficulties with
5 him.

6 Q I'd like you to turn next, please, just really to
7 identify a batch of documents here. I believe
8 it's your tab 7.

9 A Yes.

10 Q And it should have as the first page in
11 handwriting "RTCC".

12 A Tab 7. Yes, I see that.

13 Q And then following a similar title page the first
14 of a series of copies of subpoena to a witness
15 documents. Do you have that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q These appear to have been -- or to be copies of
18 subpoenas that were issued to the victim -- or,
19 pardon me, let me start again. They appear to be
20 copies of subpoenas directed to the victim
21 Anderson on this file notifying her of the trial
22 date and requesting at the foot of each -- of the
23 page that she attend a half an hour prior to trial
24 for interview and giving her a phone number to
25 call. Do you see that?

1 A Yes. The only -- I'm not disputing it. The only
2 thing is my first copy is very hard to read, and
3 the second one there's a name blanked out, so I
4 can only assume the name blanked out is Ms.
5 Anderson's on the copy that I have here.

6 Q Yes.

7 A If you say it's Ms. Anderson's, then --

8 Q And I would ask that you look at the second, which
9 seems to my untrained eye to be exactly the same
10 as the next couple in my copy, but in the second
11 you'll see in the blacked-out portion quite
12 faintly "VIC97" is inserted in the blacked out?

13 A I can't see that, but I wouldn't dispute it.

14 Q All right. In any event, these appear to be
15 standard forms of summons issued December 9th,
16 1997, by a justice of the peace to, in this case,
17 Anderson, fair?

18 A Yes, but care of Corporal Connor it says, my copy.

19 Q Yes.

20 A So it would have gone to him. But, yes, December
21 9th, 1997.

22 Q Have you seen anything or do you know whether the
23 subpoena was actually served personally on Ms.
24 Anderson?

25 A I don't know.

1 Q Did you give her a copy when she came in the day
2 you met with her and interviewed her?

3 A I don't recall doing that, but what I can tell you
4 is it isn't unusual or wasn't unusual for me to
5 have an interview with a witness and have the
6 witness show up and they hadn't yet been served
7 with a subpoena. It was my usual practice to --
8 there were usually police officers around just to
9 have someone serve them. In this case I can't
10 tell you whether I did that, and it may be that
11 the way the interview was going I didn't make the
12 arrangement to have the subpoena served if it
13 hadn't already been.

14 Q So just if I can restate that, if you learned on
15 interviewing a witness that they had not been
16 served with a subpoena, your usual practice would
17 have been to effect service right then with the
18 assistance of the RCMP?

19 A Yes.

20 Q In this case you have no recollection one way or
21 another of what you did?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q All right. Thank you. And tab -- I think it's
24 going to be tab 24 of your -- no, I don't think it
25 will. Tab 23, please. I'm sorry, Mr.

1 Commissioner, this is the little difficulty I
2 alluded to. Tab 23 should be -- the first page
3 should be memo to Coquitlam Crown Counsel
4 attention Mr. Romano.

5 A From Staff Sergeant Giffin? Is that --

6 Q Yes.

7 A Dated the 11th of December of 1997?

8 Q Yes. I have a title page and then there's a
9 memorandum behind it. Do you have that?

10 A I've got a title page that says "SUPERText Project
11 Evenhanded". Is that what you mean or --

12 Q Something similar. In any event, there should be
13 a Government of Canada memorandum from Sergeant
14 Giffin, Staff Sergeant Giffin to Mr. Romano of
15 Crown Counsel.

16 A That's right, I see that.

17 Q Okay. Dated December 11, 1997, and referencing
18 the court file and the Crown's file number 52808
19 over in the right-hand side. Do you see that?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q Okay. Now, it appears that Staff Sergeant Giffin
22 of the RCMP wrote this memorandum to Mr. Romano
23 before Christmas, and it appears as though he's
24 expressing concern about the fact that eight of
25 his members are being asked to come in to the

1 Crown office and do eight interviews in 30
2 minutes.

3 A That's right.

4 Q Did Mr. Romano speak with you, because you were
5 handling the file, about receiving this memorandum
6 and addressing its contents in any way?

7 A It's possible that he did. I can't recall that.
8 But I find this a little bit puzzling in that our
9 witness notifiers would generally notify the
10 police witnesses all for the same date and time on
11 a longer trial and then the Crown would sort it
12 out later, and it may be that's -- maybe Staff
13 Sergeant Giffin just wanted to make sure that that
14 was done.

15 Q Do you recall whether you had any telephone
16 conversation or other conversation yourself with
17 Staff Sergeant Giffin about the administrative
18 error or logistical error he -- sorry,
19 administrative issue or logistical issue he was
20 addressing in this memorandum prior to deciding to
21 stay the charge?

22 A I can't recall. It's possible he may have phoned
23 me and I don't remember.

24 Q And can you just confirm, please, that the LENS
25 documents or Law Enforcement Notification forms

1 that you referred to yesterday appear to be behind
2 the memorandum in the balance of that tab, copies
3 of Law Enforcement Notification forms delivered to
4 the various police officers? Do you have those?

5 A Yeah, I do. I'm just checking to see, and it
6 looks like on the first one that the officer
7 confirmed. I'm seeing signatures on these copies.
8 So they would have already been -- and then one of
9 them I can't read the note at the bottom, the last
10 one. It looks like someone's written a note about
11 it.

12 Q So I want to take you to a point in time after
13 this September 11th, '97 memorandum, specifically
14 the day before your interview with Ms. Anderson,
15 whatever day that was. It was either the 22nd or
16 the 23rd. The 23rd, I have a calendar --

17 A I'm sorry, I thought we figured it was either the
18 Friday or the Monday. The -- and the Monday was
19 the 26th.

20 Q Yes.

21 A Am I mistaken on that?

22 Q No, I've got a '98 calendar here, and I can
23 confirm and show you this, if you like, January
24 23rd was a Friday, January 26th was a Monday, and
25 the trial commencement date of February 2nd was

1 also a Monday.

2 A Yes, that makes sense to me.

3 Q So I'm taking you to a point in time that's
4 immediately before your meeting with Anderson
5 prior to trial.

6 A All right.

7 Q All right. Whatever day that was. And again I'm
8 going to assume for the question it was the 26th
9 that you met with her. You're coming up -- you're
10 a week from trial on an attempted murder,
11 aggravated assault, assault with a weapon, and
12 unlawful confinement case. Very serious matter?

13 A Yes.

14 Q You have eight police witnesses, the complainant,
15 some other civilian witnesses who will be needed
16 for the Crown's case absent any admissions, right?

17 A Right.

18 Q You don't yet have any draft admissions from Mr.
19 Ritchie or any draft agreed statement of facts
20 from him, do you?

21 A Not that I recall, no, but I knew that it wasn't
22 going to be a problem because of the letter.

23 Q All right. Had you had discussions with him about
24 agreeing on admissions or an agreed statement of
25 facts?

1 A I can't recall, but I wouldn't have worried about
2 that because the police witnesses, it's unlikely
3 that all of them would be called. I also knew
4 from Peter Ritchie that admissions were
5 forthcoming, and the police evidence was not
6 complicated or all that critical, really. The
7 case depended on my complainant. Things like
8 blood samples really weren't going to add
9 anything. The case could have been run just with
10 her.

11 Q Well --

12 A So it wasn't a situation where I had a bunch of
13 voir dires I was worried about or wire-tap
14 evidence or -- the case factually would have been
15 not complicated to run. It was basically --

16 THE COMMISSIONER: It's just a he said/she said.

17 A Really. Exactly.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that what you're telling us?

19 A Yes. In terms of there are some cases where
20 you've got wire-tap, you've got complicated police
21 evidence, and you better have your ducks in order
22 well in advance. Here it was going to be a matter
23 of putting her on the stand, calling the witnesses
24 that saw her. I expected that the doctor's
25 evidence was going to be admitted. There didn't

1 seem to be any reason for there to be any dispute
2 on the doctor's evidence, and I wasn't concerned
3 about it being all that complicated. And you have
4 to remember when you're running cases in
5 Provincial Court -- you know, I was quite used to
6 having three or four cases set for a day and
7 interviewing all the witnesses between 9:00 and
8 9:30 and 1:00 and 1:30 in the afternoon. That's
9 the way we did things. Now, the way I identified
10 this case was the complainant, it was really
11 important to talk to her, but the other evidence,
12 the police could have been interviewed while the
13 trial was going on. That wasn't an unusual
14 situation for me. The drafting up of the
15 admissions, again, I mean, normally that's
16 something you could file on the first day of the
17 trial or during the course of the trial, and there
18 didn't seem to be anything really contentious
19 apart from what the complainant was going to say
20 and what I anticipated the accused was going to
21 say. Things like blood samples and the medical
22 evidence and everything else weren't -- didn't
23 appear to me to be really contentious. So I know
24 where you're going, I know what you're trying to
25 suggest, is that, well, wait a minute, how

1 prepared were you. I can tell you from at that
2 time, 15 years experience, with a week left there
3 was more than enough preparation time, absolutely.

4 Q Well, let me just -- you did, as you have just
5 said, anticipate where I was going, but I haven't
6 asked you the questions yet, and let me ask you a
7 few. Absent any admissions from Mr. Ritchie or an
8 agreed statement of facts negotiated between the
9 two of you, you still needed to interview eight
10 police witnesses, the complainant, the two
11 civilians who had picked the complainant up
12 bleeding on the side of the road --

13 A Right.

14 Q -- the medical witnesses who would prove the
15 clinical records showing the extent of her
16 injuries, and any other witnesses required to
17 prove the Crown's case, correct?

18 A That's right, but -- but --

19 Q Yes.

20 A -- anyone who's done Provincial Court work will
21 tell you it's not unusual in a morning, busy
22 morning in Provincial Court to interview six or
23 seven witnesses between 9:00 and 9:30.

24 Q I understand that.

25 A When it's police witnesses -- I can appreciate

1 that if the evidence were complicated, yes, you
2 would want to make sure that was all done in
3 advance, but when the evidence is straightforward,
4 you can do that even during the course of the
5 trial. Remember, there was five days set for that
6 trial.

7 Q There was five days set for the trial, Monday, the
8 2nd of February, and you had interviewed no
9 witnesses before Ms. Anderson walked into your
10 office, right?

11 A That's right.

12 Q Had you arranged times to see the police officers
13 either prior to or during the trial or were you
14 expecting them to wait there for the five days
15 until you were ready to interview them?

16 A I don't know whether I had requested a secretary
17 to set up interviews with the police during that
18 week before the trial. There is a possibility
19 that I had done that. Easier for us to simply
20 turn it over to a secretary and have them set up
21 the interview times. It's possible that I did.

22 Q All right. And you saw Ms. Anderson on Monday,
23 the 26th of January. Her statement, which you've
24 read, suggests that she spent most of the
25 afternoon of that day with you, right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q All right.

3 A Her statement says that.

4 Q You testified during your response to my friend
5 Mr. Doust's questions that in January of 1998 you
6 were required to spend three or four days in court
7 on regular court duties, correct?

8 A Yes, except, except that if you had, say, a week-
9 long trial or a red trial, like this one was, Mr.
10 Romano would usually give you time out to do that.
11 And that's still the practice today, is if you
12 have a serious file the trial scheduler from the
13 Crown office will give you preparation time.

14 Q Do you know whether you were required to be in
15 court for three or four days following the 26th of
16 January, that week, on other matters or whether
17 you had booked off the rest of that week to
18 prepare for this trial?

19 A I can't tell you that for sure, but the normal
20 practice would be you would get prep time. If you
21 were going to be in court for a whole week, Mr.
22 Romano would usually arrange for prep time. Do I
23 have any independent recollection? No. But the
24 usual course of practice is that's your prep time
25 for the trial.

1 Q I suggest every lawyer in this room with some
2 criminal experience would appreciate the time
3 constraints and difficulties in scheduling witness
4 interviews on run-of-the-mill, minor cases. You
5 do it on the fly, fair?

6 A I'm sorry, scheduling them or talking to your
7 witnesses?

8 Q Talking to witnesses, preparing for minor trials,
9 relatively minor trials, like break and enters or
10 routine assaults or --

11 A That's why in our office we had red file
12 designations, so that you would be warned to look
13 at it in advance and do things like pay attention
14 to what witness interviews you needed to do.

15 Q It's fair -- sorry.

16 A And, also, in the Crown office it usually happened
17 that they would -- that Mr. Romano would give prep
18 time for a longer case.

19 Q You would agree based on your Crown counsel
20 experience, 30 years of it, that some prosecutions
21 require quite a bit more preparation and attention
22 than others, fair?

23 A Yes, absolutely, and those would be cases where
24 you had a vulnerable witness that you wanted to
25 spend the time with or cases that had a large

1 number of witnesses or cases where -- that were
2 factually complicated, something involving a wire
3 or a number of search warrants where the evidence
4 obtained on those warrants was critical.

5 Q And so it follows that if it's a red file
6 involving attempted murder and a vulnerable
7 complainant witness it is necessarily the sort of
8 file that requires additional attention and
9 preparation work?

10 A Right. When it said that Ms. Anderson was
11 notified for nine o'clock the morning of the
12 trial, it wasn't my intention to leave it till
13 then. That's why I tried to contact her before
14 then.

15 Q Well, here's a question. Why did you leave it to
16 the Monday before the trial, and why didn't
17 someone in your office interview her on this
18 attempted murder case in the preceding six months?

19 A All right. I can't tell you about the preceding
20 six months. I can only tell you about my
21 involvement, that we know did not start any
22 earlier than October the 22nd of 1997, and it
23 looks like from the documents provided from the
24 mother that I was calling at least by January the
25 9th, which was, what, three weeks before the

1 trial, and that should have been enough time to
2 meet with her more than once. But you can see,
3 and, I'm sorry, I don't have the tab in front of
4 me, that I was calling the mother by January the
5 9th. And I don't know when I tried to contact
6 Corporal Connor to try and find out how I was
7 going to locate this witness.

8 Q What were you saying to the mother when you were
9 calling her? Do you have any recollection?

10 A I would have been explaining who I was and what I
11 needed and why I needed to speak with her daughter
12 and providing her with my information, as has been
13 indicated, including my home number. If I can
14 look at the document, perhaps we can figure out
15 when I left the home number, because that's
16 another thing.

17 Q That's not my question. My question was what did
18 you say to the mother when you called her. Do you
19 have any recollection?

20 A Not specifically, but I can tell you why I was
21 calling her and what I would have told her.

22 Q Well, I'm not asking you to speculate. I'm asking
23 you whether you had a recollection of speaking
24 with the mother and what you were saying to her
25 when you did?

1 A The exact words, no. The general tenor of what I
2 needed from her, I can tell you why I was calling
3 her.

4 Q You were calling to arrange for her daughter to
5 see you so that you could prepare for trial?

6 A Yes.

7 Q All right. When you decided on the 26th of
8 January to stay the charges, you told the mother?

9 A That's my recollection, yes.

10 Q What's your recollection of the mother's response?

11 A I don't recall her expressing any particular upset
12 over it.

13 Q Do you recall the conversation, what was said?

14 A It's a vague, vague recollection, but what I can
15 recall is explaining the reason why it wasn't
16 proceeding was because of the problems that I had
17 with her daughter in terms of her drug use. The
18 exact words, the time of the conversation I can't
19 tell you, but that's to the best of my
20 recollection. And I don't recall any violent
21 reaction from her or any protesting over it.

22 Q Now, I'm going to move away from the RCMP file.
23 Thank you for reviewing portions with me. Just in
24 terms of your understanding of steps your office
25 took, you've testified that Mr. Romano approved

1 the charges and, in fact, added a fourth charge to
2 the three recommended by the RCMP, correct?

3 A Yes, that appears to be his handwriting on the
4 front cover indicating the addition of the fourth
5 charge.

6 Q And given your evidence yesterday that attempted
7 murder cases were not that common in your office,
8 do you have a recollection at the time the file
9 was opened of discussing the case with Mr. Romano,
10 the fact that these two people had ended up in the
11 same hospital, both with knife wounds, one with
12 handcuffs on her wrist and the other with the key
13 to the handcuffs in the pocket?

14 A At the time the file was opened back in April of
15 1997 I don't have any specific recollection of
16 discussing the file with Mr. Romano, but as I've
17 indicated earlier, at that time it was a smaller
18 office. We -- quite often colleagues would talk
19 about files, so he may or may not have mentioned
20 that the file had come in, but I don't have any
21 recollection of it.

22 Q Well, I am going to suggest, and just cast your
23 mind back as best you can, I appreciate it's a
24 long time ago, but this was a pretty curious set
25 of facts in some respects, I suggest. You had two

1 people in the same hospital, both with grievous
2 stab wounds. One had a handcuff dangling from her
3 wrist, the female, known to be a sex trade worker
4 from Vancouver, and a male had the key to that
5 very handcuff in his pocket when police attended.
6 Wasn't that the subject of some buzz or discussion
7 around the office when it happened?

8 A I can't say that it wouldn't have been. I just
9 don't have any recollection of Mr. Romano
10 mentioning it. He may have. I don't remember.

11 Q To your knowledge, did Mr. -- sorry. I'm getting
12 tired. To your knowledge based on your handling
13 of the file and your review of it and discussions
14 you may have had, did Mr. Romano undertake any
15 trial preparation work during the time that he had
16 some conduct of the file?

17 A You would need to ask Mr. Romano what he did. I
18 wouldn't have anticipated that he would have. One
19 thing that might help us is the court record with
20 the date that the trial was actually set. And I
21 know that those court records are in --

22 Q We can save the question for him.

23 A Yes, but that would -- he probably would not have
24 done any trial prep before the trial date was set,
25 and at this point I can't remember from looking at

1 the court record when that trial date was set.

2 Q The ROE or, whatever, record of proceedings
3 indicates the attendances and some shorthand as to
4 what occurred at the various court appearances.

5 A Right.

6 Q Right.

7 A So it would say, I would assume, when that trial
8 date was set. But in terms of his trial prep, I
9 wouldn't have anticipated he would have done that
10 before the trial date was set.

11 Q And you testified yesterday that Jacinta Lanton --

12 A Now, in the letter she's referred to, I believe,
13 as Jacinta Lawson, is what Peter Ritchie wrote,
14 but her name was acutally -- we had a prosecutor
15 by the name of Jacinta Lawton, L-a-w-t-o-n.

16 Q All right. Jacinta Lawton had conduct of the file
17 before you did?

18 A The only information I have on that is from Mr.
19 Ritchie's letter where he says to Mr. Romano that
20 Jacinta Lawton does not have conduct of this file.

21 Q To your knowledge, did she do any trial
22 preparation work on the file before you took over?

23 A I have no knowledge of that. The only knowledge I
24 had that she was even involved was that letter
25 from Mr. Ritchie.

1 Q Now, when you took over, and it's not clear what
2 the date was, only that it was after October 22nd,
3 1997, probably, correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q You said yesterday, if I understood or heard your
6 evidence correctly, you were prepared to take the
7 case to trial. Did you say that? Or you were
8 willing to, something along those lines.

9 A I'm trying to remember the context of the
10 question. If I remember from yesterday correctly,
11 it was along the lines of, "Well, when you looked
12 at that file, were you inclined to stay it," and
13 the answer was no. My intention was to do the
14 interviews and take it to trial, if that answers
15 the question.

16 Q Well, let me put it a slightly different way.
17 When you received the file and reviewed it and saw
18 that it involved a drug-addicted Vancouver sex
19 trade worker with a prior criminal history
20 assaulted by someone who was known to be
21 associated with Hells Angels members, did you have
22 a great deal of enthusiasm for the file?

23 A Yes, and the reason being, and I think I said this
24 yesterday too, back in 1985 I was appointed as a
25 designated child sexual assault prosecutor, so I

1 did a lot of work with children who had been
2 sexually abused, and I had a lot of difficult
3 files, so the fact that a file was a challenge or
4 was difficult wouldn't have prevented me from
5 wanting to proceed with it. I was aware of the
6 difficulties with the file, but my intention was
7 to proceed.

8 Q Children are another class of vulnerable witness
9 that require special attention?

10 A Yes.

11 Q In the cases involving children who had been
12 sexually assaulted that you had prosecuted did you
13 have your first interview of the child complainant
14 seven days before the scheduled trial date in
15 those matters?

16 A That would depend on the age of the child. Young
17 children, I would want to interview them before
18 that because sometimes you would want to have more
19 than one interview. You would want to develop a
20 rapport with the child. You would want to
21 introduce them to the court process carefully.
22 But there were times when I was given files on
23 short notice, and you would make the best of what
24 you had, so not an ideal situation. But I can
25 remember one, it was a jury trial that was out of

1 Hope and the prosecutor for some reason couldn't
2 act. I think there were five child witnesses on
3 that one. And I took it over on fairly short
4 notice, about a month's notice, I think, and was
5 able to prepare for trial and was able to get the
6 person -- the person at the end of the day was
7 convicted. So in an ideal world, yeah, you want
8 to talk to your witnesses, the vulnerable ones, as
9 soon as possible, but sometimes that doesn't
10 always work out. And in this case it would have
11 been great to have started the interview with Ms.
12 Anderson January 9th or earlier than what
13 happened, but, Mr. Ward, you can see from the --
14 and it's very, very, very helpful to have the
15 mother's record there that attempts were made
16 earlier than when the actual interview took place.
17 Not an ideal situation, but not one of my
18 creation.

19 Q Do you have a recollection of trying to interview
20 Anderson in Vancouver, or is that something you
21 have discerned from reviewing some other
22 documents?

23 A I have a vague recollection, but my memory was --
24 I don't know if I'd say refreshed. It was
25 mentioned to me that that had happened. When I

1 thought about it, I have a recollection of going
2 down to 222.

3 Q Who mentioned to you that you may have gone down
4 to 222 Main?

5 A I can't remember whether I saw it in a document or
6 what it was.

7 Q Do you recall anything about Ms. Anderson's
8 appearance when you did meet with her and sit with
9 her?

10 A No, I don't. I don't remember her physical
11 appearance, what she was wearing.

12 Q Race, hair colour, anything?

13 A I'm thinking dark hair. But is she somebody that
14 I would have recognized if I saw her again? I
15 don't think so.

16 Q Wasn't she as a vulnerable witness, someone who
17 was caught in the throws of drug addiction, the
18 sort of person who required extra attention and
19 handling for a longer period of time than one
20 interval -- or one interview five days, seven days
21 before the trial started?

22 A Yes, and I would have preferred to have spoken to
23 her, like I say, when I first started attempting
24 to, which I see from the notes would have been at
25 least January the 9th. I would have preferred

1 that. These were circumstances not of my making,
2 Mr. Ward.

3 Q And I think I may have asked you about this
4 already, and forgive me if I did, but when
5 handling Crown witnesses who are victims of
6 substance abuse the RCMP can be enlisted to put
7 them up in hotels, to feed them, to find methadone
8 or other drug substitutes for them to ensure that
9 they're capable of testifying, right?

10 A The RCMP could do that, and I think from Lisa
11 Casson's statement that I read, she did go down to
12 Vancouver on one occasion to look for her. So in
13 terms of monitoring her, yeah, that would have
14 been helpful if the RCMP had done that. They were
15 aware there was a problem.

16 Q Now, just a couple last questions. Do you recall
17 your dealings with defence counsel?

18 A Mr. Ritchie? Somewhat.

19 Q Did you have any discussions with him about the
20 possibility of negotiating a plea to a lesser
21 offence than attempted murder as a way of
22 disposing of the matter?

23 A No. You'll note when you look at the front of the
24 report to Crown counsel Mr. Romano had written
25 "disco court" on the front. So that file had

1 already gone through the disclosure court process.

2 I saw a memo somewhere from Bev MacLean, who was

3 one of our disclosure court prosecutors at the

4 time, requesting further disclosure. So that

5 process should have already been taken care of.

6 As far as I was concerned, this was set for trial.

7 Q But in your experience as a Crown, isn't there an
8 opportunity or the possibility of negotiating a
9 plea as a disposition at any time before the trial
10 actually gets under way?

11 A That's correct, but this one, because there was
12 such an obvious defence to it and it was set for
13 trial, and I wouldn't have -- given the
14 seriousness of the file, I wouldn't have wanted to
15 try and negotiate a plea to much less than what
16 was there. I couldn't justify doing that. And
17 the problem was once I had the problem with Ms.
18 Anderson I couldn't negotiate a plea. I didn't
19 have a witness. So I couldn't go to Mr. Ritchie
20 and try and negotiate a plea then.

21 Q And coming back to the problem with Ms. Anderson,
22 if indeed she met with you for most of the
23 afternoon, from say one o'clock until it was dark,
24 and you as a busy Crown prosecutor wouldn't have
25 conducted a lengthy meeting if she was incapable

1 of speaking to you, correct? You would have
2 ushered her right out of the office?

3 A Well, we were -- I wouldn't just summarily dismiss
4 her. Would probably give it some time to see if
5 things were going to get better. So, no, I would
6 spend some time with her, but --

7 Q You must have been sitting there in a room with
8 her and, I forget her name, Roxanna --

9 A Roxanna Smith.

10 Q -- Roxanna Smith, by Ms. Anderson's account told
11 to Celle, two, three hours having an exchange, a
12 discussion?

13 A Well, I don't know if -- if we were just sitting
14 in the room all that time. The other thing too is
15 this interview would have been in January, so it's
16 getting dark at around fourish maybe. My
17 understanding of the statement was she thought she
18 came in at 1:00 or 2:00. So I don't know if we
19 were just sitting there the whole time.

20 Q Well, that's my question for you. If she had
21 indeed been incoherent, incapable of uttering a
22 sentence because of her condition, you, busy Crown
23 counsel with full docket of work to do, would have
24 said, "Well, there's no point in meeting you," and
25 ushered her out, right?

1 A No. No. I think particularly with a victim
2 service worker there we might have tried to see
3 what we could do, but I wouldn't have just
4 summarily said, "Get out of the office."

5 Q And the victim service worker was interviewed by
6 Mr. Celle and said nothing about a problem with
7 Ms. Anderson's condition as I read her statement.

8 A I haven't seen that. Perhaps if I could.

9 Q I believe my friend Mr. Doust has a copy.

10 A The other thing I would ask is is there more than
11 one statement? Is that the only statement she
12 gave?

13 MR. DOUST: I don't have a hard copy to give her.

14 MR. WARD:

15 Q Well, I'll just say this. It may not be helpful
16 to question you about her recollection. I would
17 ask that she testify herself about the interview.
18 But let me ask you about this one statement. I'll
19 show it to you.

20 A Well, actually, would it be all right if I saw the
21 whole thing? Is that possible?

22 Q Fine with me. It's just I don't have an extra
23 copy. I'll give you the whole thing. My friend
24 Mr. Vertlieb is going to assist me.

25 A Thank you.

1 Q But it's the transcript of an interview conducted
2 February 1, 2012, with Don Celle, an interview of
3 Roxanna Smith. It's some 20 pages, Mr.
4 Commissioner. I want to ask you about something
5 that appears at page 15. Before I get to it and
6 before you have the document, Roxanna Smith was a
7 victim assistance worker known to you --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- prior to this interview?

10 A Yes, she was.

11 Q How well did you know her? Pretty well?

12 A Fairly well. I had a lot of respect for her. She
13 did a lot of work in the office.

14 Q And I can take you to page 15, line 23. I am
15 going to ask you about this passage.

16 A I'm sorry, page?

17 Q 15, line 23. She says this:

18 I recall the meeting because I knew the
19 circumstances behind what had happened to
20 this particular person. Uhm, I knew that,
21 uhm, from that information, that she worked
22 in -- was a street worker. And I remember
23 when, on meeting her, I was, I hadn't met
24 anyone who had worked on the streets before,
25 and she looked like a regular person to me

1 and that, that stuck in my mind.

2 Do you see that?

3 A Yes. That was put to me yesterday as well.

4 Q Did Ms. Smith comment to you after the meeting
5 something to the effect of, "Gee, that prostitute
6 was almost like a regular person," or something to
7 that effect?

8 A No. And you know what, Roxanna Smith as I knew
9 her was a really lovely, caring person, and this
10 comment surprises me. She was good with victims.

11 Q At page 18, lines 19 onward she says, I'll just
12 read it:

13 I did court accompaniment with the,
14 Ms. Anderson on, on the morning --

15 DON CELLE: I'm sorry?

16 ROXANA SMITH: -- of the trial. I was doing
17 court accompaniment with her. She
18 attended the courthouse to testify to
19 give her evidence,
20 and so on, suggesting that she actually escorted
21 Ms. Anderson to the first morning of trial.

22 A Yeah.

23 She attended the courthouse to
24 testify to give her evidence. And we
25 were waiting outside of the court

1 while Crown was in the court, and she
2 had decided that she was not going to
3 stay and give evidence...and
4 wanted to leave the courthouse, and I
5 asked her to stay. And I went into
6 the courtroom and got Crown to come
7 out and address that she was going to
8 leave and not stay to be called as a
9 witness.

10 No, that's -- I don't know what's happened to
11 Roxanna Smith, but we all know that that didn't
12 happen.

13 Q In terms of the stay, and this is my last couple
14 of questions, I think, for you, in terms of the
15 stay, you yourself made the decision and discussed
16 it with Mr. Romano, who endorsed it; is that
17 correct?

18 A I don't know if I made the decision. I felt that
19 was the right decision given the circumstances and
20 discussed it with Richard Romano. So it's a
21 matter of semantics really. He, I guess you could
22 say, endorsed it or we discussed it and agreed
23 that that was the correct course of action.

24 Q Given all the work that the RCMP had put into the
25 investigation, all the paper they prepared,

1 wouldn't it have been consistent with your
2 practice to create a written record of the reason
3 for staying these charges?

4 A Yes, and, in fact, I think the policy says you
5 write it on the file.

6 Q And, of course, we don't have the file.

7 A We don't have the file.

8 Q You must have -- once the decision was made, in
9 addition to conveying it to Ms. Anderson's mother
10 you must have conveyed it to Mr. Ritchie?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And what happened then? How did you do that?

13 A Now, I may be wrong on this, but my recollection
14 was that he was at the courthouse for something
15 else and I went to talk to him to explain that the
16 trial for the next week wouldn't be proceeding.
17 He at that time, and it's in the court record, he
18 had either an articulated student or a lawyer by the
19 name of Charlie Weiler. Charlie Weiler later
20 joined the Crown, and I remember him mentioning to
21 me, "Oh, yeah, I remember I was there the day that
22 you talked to Mr. Ritchie about the stay." So
23 I -- that's the best of my recollection, was
24 rather than putting it in a letter to him I simply
25 saw him and told him in person, and what seems to

1 support that is I think if I had done a letter to
2 him we would probably have it, because it looks
3 like there's correspondence from Mr. Ritchie to
4 the Crown that we've somehow come into possession
5 of. I may be wrong on that, but I'm assuming
6 that.

7 Q Let me try to, if I may, restate or summarize your
8 testimony on this point. You met with Anderson,
9 you saw her out, you spoke to Romano, and then the
10 very same day you saw Mr. Ritchie in the court --

11 A No.

12 Q -- building?

13 A No. There's a couple of scenarios that are
14 possible here. One is if I did the interview on
15 the Friday, if I did, and if it was late in the
16 afternoon, it may be that the conversation with
17 Mr. Romano either took place that day or on the
18 Monday. We know the stay was entered on the
19 Monday. So it's possible that my conversation
20 with Mr. Ritchie occurred on the Monday. Because
21 I would have wanted to contact -- sorry. I would
22 have wanted to contact him soon because he had to
23 know in terms of -- it's just a matter of
24 courtesy. If he was preparing for trial, I was
25 telling him that, no, he didn't have to. But my

1 recollection is it was in person.

2 Q And with respect to the mechanics of entering the
3 stay itself, did you appear before a judge on that
4 day?

5 A No. The record of proceedings shows that it was a
6 stay of proceedings done out of court, which I
7 would assume would be at the registry. That's
8 normally how we do it if it's an out of court one.
9 The court staff will bring you the file, including
10 the information, and there's a place on it to
11 write "stay of proceedings" and your name and the
12 date.

13 Q And so as Crown you have the full authority just
14 to write "stay of proceedings" on the file and
15 that's that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Was the matter, to your knowledge, assigned for
18 trial to a trial judge for the week of February
19 2nd?

20 A I'd have to look at the record of proceedings to
21 see if there's any indication there. If there's a
22 trial scheduling memo from the trial coordinator,
23 there might be a notation of who the judge would
24 be.

25 Q And just if you could help us with that. You

1 referred to the record of proceedings a few times,
2 and I think I did. I just would like to have you
3 identify that in the binder, please, or what
4 you're referring to as the record of proceedings.

5 A Sorry, I'm looking for the --

6 Q Tab 16, I believe.

7 A Yes. All right. Someone has written on the
8 information there, "January 26, 1998 all charges:
9 Stay of proceedings entered by Crown Counsel Randi
10 Conner," or "R. Conner". That's not my writing,
11 and it looks like my name's spelled wrong, but
12 where you see -- yes, where you see my signature
13 is on the last page. January 26th, 1998, stay of
14 proceedings directed by, that's my signature, and
15 above it someone's written "R. Conner", and again
16 they've spelt it wrong, they've spelt e-r, but
17 that's my signature.

18 Q All right. And just to -- I'm sure Mr.
19 Commissioner and others are familiar with these
20 documents, but if you go to the second page of
21 this tab you'll see a list of dates. These are
22 various court appearances and the reason for the
23 appearance?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And a shorthand of who appeared on these various

1 occasions, right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And you see "Wiler". It's actually misspelt, but
4 that would be the Charlie Weiler you referred to a
5 few moments ago?

6 A Yes, who was working with Mr. Ritchie.

7 Q And I understand his name to be spelt W-e-i-l-e-r.
8 So the matter came on for various appearances in
9 April, May, June, September, October, November of
10 '97, as indicated in the record of proceedings?

11 A Yes, but what I'm having trouble with here is I'm
12 seeing pre-trial conference 23rd of June, 24th of
13 June. It looks like a pre-trial conference
14 continuation 8th of September and again the 16th
15 of October pre-trial conference. What I can't
16 tell from this is when that trial date was set.
17 You would normally --

18 Q You are RC, correct?

19 A That's right, and I can see that I appeared as
20 Crown on April the 97th -- or, sorry, April 21st
21 of 1997 for a fix date. So that would just be a
22 remand appearance.

23 Q So you'd have a passing familiarity with the file
24 as a result of that?

25 A Not really. I'm just trying to see what court

1 that was. Remand courts, as you are aware, will
2 sometimes have 80 cases on them, and if you happen
3 to be the court one Crown, you do pay attention to
4 cases where you think there might be a guilty plea
5 or there's an arraignment hearing, but the ones
6 that are just fix dates just basically you do the
7 fix date and put a note on the file.

8 Q You're also making an appearance on September 8,
9 1997, according to the entry "RC appearing for the
10 Crown"?

11 A That's possible, yeah.

12 Q And that's a continuation of a pre-trial
13 conference that started in July?

14 A Yeah, it says continuation, but I don't know --
15 and that was just kicked over to the 16th. So I
16 don't know whether it was anything more than,
17 again, fixing a date and putting it over.

18 Q You just don't have any recollection of how
19 involved you were beyond this because you don't
20 have access to the file anymore, fair?

21 A Well, and also it's -- like, my initials will be
22 on all kinds of files in Port Coquitlam that are
23 not my files if I happen to be the Crown in remand
24 court, so --

25 Q But doing the best we can, it appears as though

1 you were counsel appearing for the Crown June 24th
2 and September 8th of 1997?

3 A Yes, in remand court, but not necessarily --
4 certainly the Crown in court, my initials are
5 there, but not necessarily the Crown that had
6 conduct of the file.

7 Q And the first of those appearances was at Burnaby.
8 Do you have a recollection of dealing with the
9 file there?

10 A No. The reason that would happen would be because
11 it was set for disclosure court, and I'm wondering
12 whether back in '97 we had our disclosure court in
13 Burnaby. That's possible. There was also mention
14 in Peter Ritchie's letter of Judge Holmes, and she
15 sat in Burnaby.

16 MR. DOUST: Actually, the record doesn't seem to indicate who
17 made the appearance in Burnaby for the Crown.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: What does it matter, actually? Is that
19 important?

20 MR. WARD: Well, what does matter, I suggest, is simply how
21 long this particular witness had conduct of the
22 file and what was done while she did, and I'm just
23 trying to get some clarity on the subject doing
24 the best we can with the documents we have, and I
25 acknowledge my friend Mr. Doust's comment.

1 Q In any event, the file ends with the notation for
2 January 26th, '98, of an SOP out of court with
3 your initial there?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q And I just want to revisit one last area, and that
6 is the year that elapsed after January 26th, 1998.
7 You must have run into Randi -- sorry. You must
8 have run into Corporal Mike Connor from time to
9 time just in the course of your work around the
10 courthouse or otherwise?

11 A It's possible.

12 Q And you have no recollection of discussing with
13 him at any time prior to January 26th, 1999, his
14 work in furtherance of investigating Robert
15 William Pickton as a suspect or the suspect in the
16 disappearances of the women from the Downtown
17 Eastside of Vancouver?

18 A Between what date and what date?

19 Q January 26th, 1998, January 26th, 1999, any
20 discussions with Corporal Mike Connor respecting
21 an investigation he was doing of Robert William
22 Pickton in connection with the Downtown Eastside
23 women's disappearances?

24 A No. The only thing I can recall is a comment
25 about Pickton being a suspect, and I'm guessing

1 that would be around the time that they were
2 applying for a warrant through Peter Gulbransen,
3 and I don't know the date of that. But nothing,
4 no. No specifics.

5 Q All right.

6 A That would have been much later, I'm assuming.

7 MR. WARD: Mr. Commissioner, my friend Mr. Vertlieb and I have
8 had some discussions about the video that you
9 might recall -- or, sorry, the audiotape you might
10 recall me mentioning. It's not necessary for my
11 purposes to put it to this witness in cross-
12 examination. We're still discussing the means by
13 which we might make that available.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

15 MR. WARD: Those are my questions for the witness. And thank
16 you, Ms. Connor.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We will adjourn.

18 THE REGISTRAR: We will recess for 15 minutes.

19 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:10 P.M.)**

20 **(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 3:30 P.M.)**

21 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Roberts.

23 **CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERTS:**

24 Q Mr. Commissioner, Darrell Roberts on behalf of
25 Marion Bryce. Good day, Ms. Connor.

1 A Good day.

2 Q I represent Marion Bryce this afternoon on this
3 inquiry. She lost a daughter named Patricia
4 Johnson to Mr. Pickton. And I've got half an
5 hour, and I will endeavour to finish by the end of
6 the day. There are three small areas I want to
7 review with you. First I want to pick up on a
8 question my learned friend Mr. Doust asked you in
9 his follow-up questions to Mr. Vertlieb. He asked
10 you one or two questions about the charge approval
11 process. Remember that?

12 A Yes. I think so.

13 Q Well, my only question to you there is of course
14 when you were appointed this file the charge
15 approval process was well over, right?

16 A Yes, but I think where he may have been going with
17 that was the test of substantial likelihood of
18 conviction, and that --

19 Q Can you put your mike on, please?

20 A Oh, I'm sorry. The test is substantial likelihood
21 of conviction, and that -- that test applies all
22 the way through the prosecution. But you're quite
23 right, the charge assessment had been done long
24 before.

25 Q And you were appointed to or asked to take on this

1 file in October of 1998 -- excuse me -- '97?

2 A We know that it was after October the 22nd of 1997
3 because of the letter from defence.

4 Q All right. But it's relatively in the late part
5 of October?

6 A Well, I don't know that. I know that it was after
7 that, but I can't say for sure it was October
8 because I have no recollection of when I was given
9 the file. Sorry.

10 Q Let me just get through these questions. The
11 charge approval process was over. That was looked
12 after by Mr. Romano?

13 A Correct.

14 Q He added a charge?

15 A Yes.

16 Q It was your job when you got the file to get it
17 ready for trial?

18 A Yes.

19 Q You were going to be Crown counsel on the trial?

20 A Yes.

21 Q That was your job?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Thank you. Now, one of the things I've noted is
24 that there were some admissions that had to be
25 drawn, and there was some discussion about that in

1 some correspondence I've seen, but apparently
2 there was an attendance before a judge who
3 encouraged the parties, the Crown and defence, to
4 have admissions drawn; am I right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q All right. Did you draw them?

7 A I don't recall drawing them. Those admissions
8 could have been filed on the first day of the
9 trial in front of the trial judge.

10 Q I'm not asking you to tell me what could have been
11 done. I just want to know from your memory -- we
12 don't have your file -- did you draw those
13 admissions?

14 A I can't recall, but I don't think so.

15 Q Would it be a fair attempt at your recollection
16 that at the time you had the meeting with Ms.
17 Anderson, which I take it now is fairly -- fairly
18 confidently was on the 23rd because the stay was
19 entered on the 26th, which is a Monday, so that
20 would put your meeting with Ms. Anderson on
21 Friday, the 23rd. Is that settled in your mind
22 now?

23 A No. It's possible that that's when it was.

24 Q All right. But it would not have been the same
25 day that the stay was entered?

1 A It might have been.

2 Q Oh. I thought -- I guess my hearing is not good.
3 I thought you had agreed that it likely was not
4 the same day as the stay being entered because you
5 had to discuss it with Mr. Romano, etcetera,
6 etcetera, right?

7 A That's right. So --

8 Q Anyway, whatever the day, whether it was Friday,
9 the 23rd or Monday, the 26th, is it your best
10 recollection that at that day you had not drawn
11 the admissions?

12 A Probably not.

13 Q Can you turn, please, to the documents. I don't
14 know what they're called, but they're the
15 documents for your -- the purposes of your
16 evidence, Ms. Connor, and there's a tab 14. I
17 didn't put tabs in my mine. My firm doesn't want
18 me to spend too much money. I'm kidding a little
19 bit.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: You're a low-budget firm, you don't have
21 tabs; is that right?

22 MR. ROBERTS: Well, I haven't got, but I've noted on the index
23 it's tab 14.

24 Q Do you have -- there's correspondence with Mr.
25 Peter Ritchie, Gibbons & Ritchie. The first

1 letter I see is October 22, 1997. Do you have
2 that, Ms. Connor?

3 A I've got October 14th, 1997. I'll flip back.

4 Q Can you find his letter October 22?

5 A I'll endeavour to do so.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: What tab is this?

7 MR. ROBERTS: In the index it simply says Ritchie
8 correspondence, Mr. Commissioner.

9 THE REGISTRAR: 14.

10 A Mr. Commissioner, I believe it's tab 14.

11 MR. ROBERTS: It's correspondence with Gibbons Ritchie.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: The April 14th letter is the one I have.

13 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, and I've got a letter which is October 22 --

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I see. Okay.

15 MR. ROBERTS: -- 1997.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

17 A Yes, I have that.

18 MR. ROBERTS:

19 Q Thank you. The letter is written to Mr. Romano.
20 Do you see that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q In the second paragraph he says:

23 We have had a series of Pre-Trial Conferences
24 and Her Honour Judge Holmes wished admissions
25 to be dealt with in advance of this case.

1 A Yes.

2 Q Were you on any of those?

3 A On the pre-trial conferences? No.

4 Q Next paragraph.

5 I am quite hopeful that they will be lengthy
6 admissions made in this case respecting
7 issues such as medical questions, continuity,
8 photographic evidence, toxilological evidence,
9 toxicology evidence,
10 and other matters,
11 is the way he's written it.

12 A Yes.

13 Q

14 Since I understand this file has recently
15 been returned to you, I am writing to request
16 that the process of making admissions be
17 moved ahead. I will await a draft Notice of
18 Admissions from your Crown Counsel and thank
19 you for your continuing courtesy.

20 Do you see that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And when the file ultimately came to you, whenever
23 it was, that was your task?

24 A I would assume so, yes.

25 Q All right. Turn then to another letter, which is

1 January 13, 1998. It's a couple pages along.

2 A Yes.

3 Q Have you found the letter, please, Ms. Connor?

4 A January 13th --

5 Q Yes.

6 A -- from Mr. --

7 Q Ritchie to you.

8 A Yes, I have that.

9 Q All right. He refers to a forensic lab report,
10 and he asks about some other matters. I want to
11 go to the end of the letter, the last paragraph.

12 A Yes.

13 Q This letter again is dated January 13, 1998.

14 I look forward to your draft admissions in
15 this case.

16 A Yes.

17 Q

18 I do not anticipate that factually we are far
19 apart and hope that we can move the matter
20 with some dispatch.

21 So that identifies you haven't drawn them as of
22 the 13th of January, 1998?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q All right. And 10 days later you have your
25 meeting or that's the first possible date for your

1 meeting with Ms. Anderson?

2 A If 10 days is to 23rd, yes.

3 Q And it's your best recollection you didn't draw
4 those admissions between the 13th and the 23rd?

5 A I don't think I did, no.

6 Q So they never were drawn by you?

7 A No.

8 Q And having entered the stay of proceedings, they
9 never ever were drawn by you?

10 A There would be no point, no.

11 Q One other document I want to review in here, and
12 that's at tab 6. This is the Community Resources/
13 Victim Assistance Program material in this file.
14 You're familiar with it, Ms. Connor?

15 A I've looked at it, yes.

16 Q Can you find a page with number 5 at the bottom
17 right-hand corner?

18 A Yes, I have it.

19 Q Now, let me just back up for a moment. This
20 service delivery form, this is a community service
21 to assist the police in, amongst other things,
22 getting witnesses to the trial?

23 A Yes. Victim Services do that.

24 Q And the person who is recording these notes I take
25 it is the RTM or RJM I see in the Workers Initials

1 column?

2 A I see initials, yes.

3 Q I'm not asking you to identify who it is, but
4 these notes, therefore, as to -- to the extent
5 they identify some interaction with Anderson and
6 her mother, they're independent, therefore, from
7 Mrs. Anderson? This is a police file material,
8 right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Let's take page 5. There's two or three here, I
11 think, that are important for the court to note.
12 The date on the left at the top is 12/17, so that
13 would be December 17th, at 19:05.

14 A All right.

15 Q All right.

16 Contact the victim or her mother with...

17 I'm not sure I read that --

18 - sending identical fax to there...

19 "Sending identical fax..." Let me move down to
20 the next one at -- that's 12/17 at 10:30.

21 A Yes.

22 Q

23 -- spoke to victim's mother. Asked her if
24 her daughter would like a court escort for
25 the upcoming trial. She said she did not

1 know but would ask. Told her to tell her
2 daughter to contact us and left phone #.
3 And then you see about 35 minutes later on the
4 same day it appears there is -- no, I'm sorry,
5 we're now into January, are we? 01/17.

6 A That's what it looks like.

7 Q Is that the date? In the middle of the page,
8 01/17. So that would be the 17th of January?

9 A Yes.

10 Q All right.

11 - victim called back. She is interested in a
12 court escort. Told her I would send one up,
13 or "set one up",
14 and that the person providing the escort
15 would call her mother to arrange at what time
16 and where to meet on the day of the trial.
17 And then there's an initial. So this is the entry
18 in the police document of a conversation with Ms.
19 Anderson on the telephone on the 17th of January,
20 1998, at 11:05 in the morning, right?

21 A That's what it says.

22 Q And that she wanted an escort. All right. That's
23 a pretty coherent little piece of message, is it
24 not?

25 A Coherent from the person that wrote it, yes.

1 Q Okay. Next 01/26/98. "Spoke to," and that's
2 Victim 97 has been stamped on that. That's Ms.
3 Anderson we know now. Spoke to her mother by
4 phone. "She will contact Victim 97," Ms.
5 Anderson, because her court date or something,
6 "her court date February 2/58," or '98 it should
7 say, at 9:30. "Victim 97 is to phone this office
8 and confirm she received message." And can you
9 read the next? Is that just a person's initials
10 in the end column?

11 A HLD it looks like.

12 Q The next sentence says, "No subpoena seen on
13 file."

14 A Yes.

15 Q Is it your understanding this is a note of the
16 message that you asked to be sent that there was a
17 stay?

18 A I can't say that. I don't know.

19 Q Well, then what is its purpose?

20 A I don't know. I didn't write it.

21 Q I see. Well, let's think about that and then read
22 the last one. 01/30, so that would be January 30,
23 1998, at 1400 hours. "Spoke to," and I think that
24 means Ms. Anderson's mother. I see "mother"
25 written in brackets beside it. "She is aware

1 court case of February 2/58 'Denotified'." What
2 is one supposed to mean by that -- to understand
3 by that, denotified?

4 A I didn't write that.

5 Q No, I didn't suggest you did, but did you ask for
6 that to be sent?

7 A I don't know.

8 Q I see. "File to remain open. She has spoken to,"
9 Ms. Anderson. So were you aware of these entries
10 when you came here to testify?

11 A I was aware of this set of documents, yes. I
12 don't think I read it -- all of it, but yes.

13 Q All right. As I understand it, Ms. Connor, the
14 core reason for the stay is that, and I'm
15 summarizing, that because of Ms. Anderson's drug
16 addiction she was unable to articulate her
17 evidence and so you were unable to gauge how she
18 would perform as a witness. Does that capture it?

19 A No. I think there's more. I didn't have a case
20 without that witness --

21 Q I understand.

22 A -- and I didn't have her as a witness. She wasn't
23 able to communicate the evidence, and without her
24 I didn't have a case.

25 Q In your interview?

1 A Yes.

2 Q That's the only -- one and only interview you had
3 with her, right?

4 A That's correct. In person, yes.

5 Q Right. Now, you have worked with many witnesses
6 in your career. You're an experienced Crown
7 counsel. I suggest you've worked with many
8 witnesses in your career who have been at one time
9 or another addicted to substance abuse?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Some heroin users?

12 A Yes, I would think so.

13 Q And you have managed to prosecute cases with
14 people who have suffered from such abuse
15 difficulties, have you not?

16 A If they show up and they can articulate the
17 evidence and I can talk to them and I can prepare
18 them for trial, then yes. And it really depends
19 on the level of drugs that they're ingesting too.
20 Some people have worse problems than others.

21 Q I understand that, but you also know that drug
22 users have good times and bad times, times when
23 they're competent and coherent and times when
24 they're not? You know that, of course, as a
25 person who deals with people like that all the

1 time?

2 A Well, the problem with this particular file is
3 right from the file itself and the background this
4 was a problem that had been ongoing since 1985,
5 and I'm gauging that from the criminal record that
6 showed that she had a conviction for possession of
7 narcotics and also for trafficking in narcotics.
8 Also, the file itself indicated that she was an
9 intravenous drug user. There's also the comment
10 from the nurse at RCH that said there were track
11 marks on her thigh. There was reason to believe
12 that this was a long-standing problem. It wasn't
13 a temporary problem.

14 Q I'd like you to answer my question. You know some
15 drug user -- all drug users have good times and
16 they have bad times?

17 A That's a difficult question to answer because it
18 really depends. It's so general. You haven't
19 specified the type of drug they're taking, how
20 much they're taking.

21 Q Let's take heroin. Heroin users can have -- they
22 usually follow a regime of some kind, do they not?

23 A I don't know about a regime.

24 Q Well, you didn't ask Ms. Anderson, did you?

25 A I wasn't able to communicate with --

1 Q You didn't ask her mother either?

2 A I think that there was some discussion with the
3 mother. I had the impression that she was on the
4 street and using drugs.

5 Q Ms. Connor, you read carefully the witness
6 statement that Ms. Connor provided to Constable
7 Casson and Constable Strachan, right?

8 A You mean, I'm sorry, the witness statement that
9 Ms. Anderson did?

10 Q You read the witness statement that Ms. Anderson
11 provided to the RCMP?

12 A Yes. As part of the file, yes. It was included
13 with the file.

14 Q Of course you did. You were getting ready for the
15 trial. You read that before she came in for your
16 interview?

17 A Right.

18 Q You knew she was a drug addict before she came in
19 for the interview?

20 A Yes.

21 Q You knew that there could be problems with her
22 depending on when she took her last dose?

23 A Yes, there could be problems.

24 Q Did you speak to her mother or have anybody speak
25 to her mother to suggest that she should come in

1 when she's clean and coherent?

2 A I don't know -- I would have no knowledge of
3 whether she was during that time period.

4 Q Let me take you to the statement. The reason for
5 my question to you about the statement, that's a
6 very coherent statement, is it not? The one
7 provided to the RCMP.

8 A Yes, and she was in the hospital at the time and
9 presumably not doing drugs.

10 Q All right. So you knew that she was quite capable
11 at some time or other to provide a very coherent
12 statement of her evidence?

13 A If she wasn't doing drugs.

14 Q Well, that's too general by you. When she's not
15 under the influence of drugs at the time of the
16 statement? Isn't that what you mean to say?

17 A Yes, but my understanding is that at that time she
18 was using drugs.

19 Q I understand that. When you came -- when you got
20 to call her in, did your office have a policy for
21 witnesses who were addicted to drugs that you've
22 got one chance, one strike; if you're not coherent
23 in my interview, I am going to stop your
24 prosecution?

25 A A policy, no.

1 Q No, you didn't have such a policy. You didn't
2 have such a one strike policy against a witness,
3 did you?

4 A No.

5 Q You didn't tell Ms. Anderson or anyone around her
6 that if she showed up where she was not coherent
7 or you felt she was under the influence of drugs
8 that you would not proceed with her case at trial?
9 You didn't tell her that, did you?

10 A No, but she -- most people would know that if they
11 were under the influence of drugs that they
12 wouldn't be able to testify.

13 Q You applied your -- what I call a one strike
14 policy against Ms. Anderson? You didn't give her
15 a second chance?

16 A No, I think we'd given her a lot of chances in
17 terms of the difficulty in locating her to begin
18 with to get the interview. It looks like from
19 even January the 9th I was trying to get her in.
20 It was getting close to the trial. There was no
21 expectation that she was going to be coherent and
22 communicate the evidence, so a decision was made
23 to direct a stay of proceedings, and as I've
24 indicated earlier, the door was left open. If she
25 had come back, if the police had taken her to

1 rehab and someone had come back and said, "Look,
2 this person has now gone through rehab. They're
3 completely clean and sober. They remember the
4 incident. You should have another look at that,"
5 I would have.

6 Q You didn't tell her that?

7 A Tell her that, no.

8 Q You didn't tell her that?

9 A No, not her, but the police knew.

10 Q You didn't tell anyone around her that?

11 A No, but the police knew.

12 Q That may be so. The police are busy. You're
13 busy. You had many files. You didn't tell her
14 either before the interview or after the interview
15 that she had only one chance?

16 A I think it's a little unfair to say she had only
17 one chance. I think --

18 Q That's the effect of it, isn't it?

19 A No. It was a matter of me having to sit down,
20 look at the file and make a tough call as to what
21 I was going to do then. I consulted with Mr.
22 Romano and decided that the stay was the best way
23 to proceed at this point.

24 Q This much is clear. It is not the policy of the
25 Criminal Justice Branch of this province to give

1 witnesses who are addicted to drugs only one
2 chance to perform?

3 A No.

4 Q That's not the policy?

5 A No, and it's not my policy either.

6 MR. ROBERTS: Those are my questions.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Roberts. Okay.

8 Who's next? I note it's 3:55. Do you want to
9 start now or do you want to start in the morning?

10 MR. GRATL: I'm in your hands, Mr. Commissioner.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?

12 MR. GRATL: I'm in your hands, Mr. Commissioner. I can start
13 now.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Fine. It's what's convenient for
15 you.

16 A I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I'm just wondering,
17 if possible, it might be nice if I wasn't under
18 cross-examination over the break. I'm not -- I
19 don't mean to cause a problem. Just wondering how
20 long my -- how long Mr. Gratl intends on being.

21 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.

22 A So if we're partway through, then I will be under
23 cross and not able to speak to my counsel tonight.

24 MR. VERTLIEB: The plan is that Ms. Connor will be here
25 tomorrow and finish her questioning.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

2 MR. VERTLIEB: You're under cross. There shouldn't be any
3 problem if we start either tonight or tomorrow.

4 A No, but my problem is I can't talk to my counsel
5 if I'm under cross --

6 MR. VERTLIEB: Well, that's --

7 A -- if we don't finish. That's my issue. I'm
8 sorry, Mr. Commissioner.

9 MR. VERTLIEB: There are other lawyers, Ms. Connor, who want to
10 ask you questions, not just Mr. Gratl.

11 A No, and that's absolutely fine. I'm sorry. The
12 only thing is if he starts and finishes, that's
13 great, and then we --

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I see.

15 A Then I'm not under cross. If he doesn't finish
16 and I'm under cross, I can't speak to Mr. Doust.

17 MR. GRATL: Mr. Commissioner, I don't -- it's my impression
18 that even if I don't start right now the witness
19 would still count as being under cross-examination
20 and wouldn't be at liberty to speak to her
21 counsel.

22 MR. VERTLIEB: I agree with Mr. Gratl. I think it's -- because
23 it's so common I thought it was understood.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: It's all cross-examination, it's just
25 different counsel are cross-examining you, so the

1 rule would still apply.

2 A All right then. I'm sorry. It won't make a
3 difference.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Don't apologize. No, I understand. Go
5 ahead, Mr. Gratl.

6 **CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GRATL:**

7 Q I note the information here was sworn -- it's at
8 tab 4. The information was sworn on April the 8th
9 of 1997?

10 A Yes, that's correct.

11 Q And the trial was scheduled to begin at what time?

12 A The trial was set for February the 2nd of 1998, so
13 normally in Provincial Court it would be 9:30 in
14 the morning. Or have I misunderstood the
15 question?

16 Q That's a period of approximately 10 months?

17 A Oh, yes. Yes.

18 Q And that's relatively fast in Provincial Court to
19 get a five-day trial, isn't it, 10 months?

20 A These days, yes.

21 Q All right. It doesn't even trigger an examination
22 under the Morin test for unreasonable delay?

23 A Eight months. No, I wouldn't expect so, no.

24 Q Okay. So that's a relatively brief time, and
25 there's no concerns about the right to the accused

1 to be tried within a reasonable time even if that
2 February 2nd date is adjourned; isn't that right?

3 A Not on the first date. There would be by a second
4 trial date.

5 Q All right. Now, as I understood your evidence in
6 chief, you indicated that you had some difficulty
7 with adjourning the trial?

8 A Oh. No. My reasoning was that I didn't feel that
9 I could go in front of the judge and ask for an
10 adjournment because I wasn't in a position to say
11 when the witness would be available and capable of
12 testifying, so the decision was made rather than
13 to ask for an adjournment that I wasn't in a
14 position to ask for a stay of proceedings would be
15 directed instead.

16 Q Did you conduct any investigations as to how long
17 it might take for the witness to prepare to
18 testify?

19 A Well, the problem was I felt that she was in the
20 throws of a very serious drug addiction just from
21 my review of the file and my dealings with the
22 file to that point, so I wasn't in a position to
23 be able to say when, if ever, she was going to be
24 in a situation where she could testify. That was
25 the difficulty I found myself in.

1 Q Okay. So let me try to understand this. The only
2 information you had to go on about her drug
3 addiction and the depth of her addiction, the
4 intensity of it, was found in the Crown file and
5 in your dealings with her?

6 A Yeah, the difficulty in getting her in and through
7 dealings with the mom. So that was the
8 information that I had. And it seemed to me to be
9 long-standing.

10 Q Okay. And that was from your review of the
11 criminal record?

12 A Criminal record and the comments in the file that
13 I've indicated already.

14 Q Okay. Now, there wasn't anything in the file to
15 indicate how often she injected?

16 A No.

17 Q There wasn't anything in the file to indicate what
18 substance she was using or substances?

19 A I believe the file said -- if I can just have a
20 moment -- intravenous drug user, and I believe
21 under the -- I'm sorry, if you can just give me a
22 moment. I'm flipping in tab 3. Sorry, this is a
23 little tricky because the witnesses are -- the
24 names are blanked out. Under her "will say" under
25 her witness statement is a heroin addict, and I

1 believe under the comments -- I know when she went
2 into the hospital the nurse commented that there
3 were track marks on her thigh as well.

4 Q All right. And I take it you'll agree with me
5 that those are not sufficient details to allow you
6 to come to a conclusion about the intensity of her
7 addiction?

8 A No, there was more than that. There was, and this
9 is in my "will say", and I think I haven't
10 mentioned it so far, there was a phone call that I
11 received from her before the interview where she
12 was not -- not coherent, and also there was having
13 to get a hold of her through the mom and the
14 difficulties there. My understanding was that
15 this was long-standing. And then she showed up --
16 when I finally did get her in, she showed up in
17 that condition as I've described.

18 Q All right. Do you agree with me that that's not
19 sufficient evidence to make a resolute judgment
20 about the intensity of her addiction?

21 A It was, in my opinion, a situation where I wasn't
22 going to get her on the stand for the trial, which
23 is why I said I didn't ask for the adjournment but
24 I directed the stay to leave the door open.

25 Q All right.

1 A So -- because -- I mean, there was always the
2 possibility that even though I didn't have a
3 concrete date at some day in the future maybe she
4 would be able to testify, so that's why the stay.

5 Q Okay. If you were concerned about the intensity
6 of her addiction and whether that would prevent
7 her from testifying, why wouldn't you ask her
8 about the intensity of her addiction?

9 A She was -- well, I made my own observations when
10 she came in for the interview. She was in bad
11 shape, so to me it was obvious there was a big
12 problem.

13 Q Okay. So you already knew enough about the
14 intensity of her addiction that you didn't feel
15 you had to ask her about --

16 A No.

17 Q -- the intensity of her addiction?

18 A No, I knew it was long-standing. I knew it wasn't
19 temporary from the -- from the file.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: I think we'll stop there until tomorrow
21 morning.

22 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 9:30
23 tomorrow morning.

24 **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:01 P.M.)**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I hereby certify the foregoing to
be a true and accurate transcript
of the proceedings transcribed to
the best of my skill and ability.

Leanna Smith
Official Reporter
UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

	PAGE
Proceedings	1
Randi Margaret Connor (for the Commission)	
Cross-exam by Mr. Doust	3
Cross-exam by Mr. Ward	18
Cross-exam by Mr. Roberts	163
Cross-exam by Mr. Gratl	184

EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
	(EXHIBIT 133NR - Document entitled: Stay of Proceedings Re: Pickton 1997 Charges - Commission Counsel documents - minus tab 8)	19
	(EXHIBIT DD FOR IDENTIFICATION: Affidavit of Andrew MacDonald dated April 11, 2012)	112

\$	15 [11] - 11:16, 19:20, 23:1, 27:20, 49:2, 60:18, 134:2, 152:5, 152:14, 152:17, 163:18 16 [1] - 158:6 163 [1] - 1:7 16th [2] - 159:14, 160:15 17 [2] - 10:13, 113:22 17th [3] - 172:13, 173:8, 173:19 18 [3] - 91:12, 153:11, 1:6 184 [1] - 1:8 19 [6] - 53:24, 55:10, 60:23, 75:9, 153:11, 2:5 1980 [1] - 113:12 1982 [1] - 113:15 1985 [2] - 144:24, 177:4 1995 [2] - 113:23, 114:4 1996 [2] - 33:7, 115:17 1997 [48] - 4:12, 9:4, 13:2, 19:16, 21:17, 21:24, 22:20, 40:16, 41:16, 41:23, 76:5, 77:2, 77:14, 78:12, 81:1, 81:23, 82:3, 94:10, 94:13, 96:10, 97:18, 97:22, 107:16, 114:8, 114:17, 115:17, 116:7, 116:13, 117:3, 118:2, 121:6, 122:1, 126:16, 126:21, 128:7, 128:17, 138:22, 141:15, 144:3, 159:21, 160:9, 161:2, 165:2, 168:1, 168:3, 168:15, 184:9, 2:6 1998 [21] - 19:25, 20:4, 23:6, 23:12, 23:15, 40:17, 107:16, 114:18, 115:18, 136:5, 158:8, 158:13, 162:6, 162:19, 165:1, 170:1, 170:13, 170:22, 173:20, 174:23, 184:12 1999 [2] - 162:13, 162:19 19:05 [1] - 172:13 1:00 [2] - 133:8, 150:18 1:30 [1] - 133:8 1:45 [2] - 71:16,	108:11 1:50 [1] - 108:13 1b [3] - 117:17, 117:18, 117:19 1st [1] - 4:12	2 2 [4] - 3:21, 3:25, 5:15, 105:17 2/58 [2] - 174:6, 175:1 20 [5] - 35:20, 42:2, 42:5, 44:7, 152:3 2000 [1] - 62:13 2002 [15] - 21:25, 22:8, 23:2, 23:6, 27:6, 27:12, 27:19, 42:24, 46:15, 48:22, 49:3, 76:5, 76:20, 78:12, 79:18 2003 [7] - 78:2, 78:18, 78:22, 79:5, 79:18, 83:6 2010 [1] - 60:1 2012 [8] - 1:2, 34:15, 35:12, 55:5, 87:6, 112:17, 152:2, 2:9 21st [1] - 159:20 22 [4] - 20:4, 168:1, 168:4, 168:13 222 [3] - 7:15, 147:2, 147:4 22nd [9] - 9:8, 94:12, 94:15, 107:21, 108:2, 130:15, 138:22, 144:2, 165:2 23 [4] - 127:25, 128:2, 152:14, 152:17 23rd [11] - 21:9, 107:19, 130:16, 130:24, 159:12, 166:18, 166:21, 167:9, 171:2, 171:4 24 [3] - 4:9, 4:10, 127:24 24th [2] - 159:12, 161:1 25 [3] - 3:12, 4:5, 18:14 26 [2] - 107:16, 158:8 26th [21] - 15:16, 23:14, 23:15, 27:16, 29:5, 107:19, 121:25, 130:19, 130:24, 131:8, 135:23, 136:15, 140:7, 158:13, 162:2, 162:6, 162:13, 162:19, 166:19, 167:9	27 [3] - 49:18, 50:1, 78:3 2:00 [1] - 150:18 2b [4] - 117:19, 117:20, 117:22, 118:2 2nd [6] - 62:5, 130:25, 135:8, 157:19, 184:12, 185:2	8 8 [11] - 19:7, 19:10, 19:14, 19:17, 21:18, 46:15, 51:13, 104:24, 119:25, 160:8, 2:7 80 [1] - 160:2 85 [1] - 83:7 8th [3] - 159:14, 161:2, 184:8	9 9 [1] - 119:25 97 [3] - 174:2, 174:4, 174:7 97th [1] - 159:20 9:00 [2] - 133:7, 134:23 9:30 [6] - 1:3, 133:8, 134:23, 174:7, 184:13, 188:22 9th [13] - 11:14, 11:16, 34:15, 35:12, 87:6, 126:15, 126:21, 138:25, 139:5, 146:12, 147:25, 180:19	A abdomen [1] - 104:1 abide [1] - 66:3 ability [1] - 189:7 able [22] - 1:22, 2:4, 2:21, 8:17, 11:11, 34:25, 63:4, 68:2, 79:17, 80:9, 80:11, 91:22, 112:10, 120:12, 146:5, 175:23, 177:25, 180:12, 182:23, 185:23, 188:4 aboriginal [1] - 67:2 absence [1] - 87:20 absent [1] - 131:16 Absent [1] - 134:7 absolutely [10] - 20:18, 25:22, 39:22, 51:24, 92:19, 103:20, 105:11, 134:3, 137:23, 183:11 Absolutely [9] - 13:12, 17:19, 21:7, 39:4, 49:10, 51:14, 51:22, 105:22, 119:2 abuse [6] - 27:22, 80:21, 83:10, 148:6, 176:9, 176:14 abused [1] - 145:2
\$100 [2] - 97:9	0 01/17 [2] - 173:5, 173:8 01/26/98 [1] - 174:1 01/30 [1] - 174:22 07 [1] - 10:13	3 3 [4] - 46:8, 50:25, 186:22, 1:5 30 [5] - 32:1, 50:3, 129:1, 137:20, 174:22 35 [1] - 173:3 39-page [1] - 46:10 3:10 [1] - 163:19 3:30 [1] - 163:20 3:55 [1] - 182:8	4 4 [2] - 48:2, 184:8 4(b) [3] - 60:2, 87:1, 87:9 40 [2] - 101:25, 119:12 40,000 [1] - 114:11 46 [2] - 10:12, 35:15 4:01 [1] - 188:24	5 5 [4] - 21:25, 22:8, 171:16, 172:10 51 [2] - 104:22, 104:24 52808 [4] - 56:14, 57:20, 75:16, 128:18 5:30 [1] - 36:7 5th [1] - 41:7	6 6 [3] - 9:17, 36:7, 171:12 6:00 [1] - 36:6 6th [2] - 42:24, 43:19	7 7 [2] - 125:8, 125:12 71 [2] - 58:19, 66:17 75 [5] - 49:20, 51:12, 75:18, 94:19, 96:7 752 [1] - 51:6 7th [3] - 9:11, 11:16, 46:4	

<p>abusers [1] - 28:2</p> <p>accept [4] - 22:11, 23:24, 57:18, 60:15</p> <p>accepted [1] - 104:25</p> <p>access [1] - 160:20</p> <p>accessible [1] - 96:14</p> <p>accompaniment [2] - 153:13, 153:17</p> <p>accompany [1] - 105:9</p> <p>according [4] - 9:1, 14:16, 83:6, 160:9</p> <p>account [7] - 24:11, 30:4, 37:24, 94:19, 96:12, 97:11, 150:10</p> <p>accuracy [1] - 63:14</p> <p>accurate [2] - 72:13, 189:5</p> <p>accused [10] - 17:24, 43:12, 45:7, 57:3, 57:8, 95:1, 106:25, 116:20, 133:20, 184:25</p> <p>acknowledge [1] - 161:25</p> <p>acquainted [1] - 115:6</p> <p>acquired [1] - 33:25</p> <p>acquittal [1] - 39:6</p> <p>act [1] - 146:2</p> <p>action [1] - 154:23</p> <p>activities [2] - 83:25, 116:8</p> <p>activity [1] - 117:13</p> <p>actual [1] - 146:16</p> <p>acutally [1] - 143:14</p> <p>add [3] - 44:9, 74:19, 132:8</p> <p>added [2] - 141:1, 165:14</p> <p>addict [3] - 43:9, 178:18, 186:25</p> <p>addicted [6] - 28:19, 83:10, 144:18, 176:9, 179:21, 182:1</p> <p>addiction [14] - 80:22, 82:1, 98:7, 147:17, 175:16, 185:20, 186:3, 187:7, 187:20, 188:6, 188:8, 188:14, 188:17</p> <p>adding [1] - 48:2</p> <p>addition [2] - 141:4, 155:9</p> <p>additional [4] - 54:6, 62:16, 124:15, 138:8</p> <p>address [9] - 5:21, 77:10, 81:21, 82:19,</p>	<p>87:7, 87:9, 87:19, 118:14, 154:7</p> <p>addressed [4] - 19:11, 86:18, 89:7, 121:13</p> <p>addressing [3] - 65:15, 129:6, 129:20</p> <p>adequately [2] - 17:16, 17:17</p> <p>adjourn [1] - 163:17</p> <p>adjourned [3] - 108:11, 185:2, 188:22</p> <p>Adjourned [4] - 60:19, 108:12, 163:19, 188:24</p> <p>adjourning [1] - 185:7</p> <p>adjournment [3] - 185:10, 185:13, 187:23</p> <p>Admin [1] - 44:21</p> <p>administration [1] - 105:1</p> <p>administrative [3] - 119:4, 129:17, 129:19</p> <p>admissibility [1] - 89:9</p> <p>admission [4] - 14:22, 25:20, 26:5, 26:21</p> <p>Admissions [1] - 169:18</p> <p>admissions [19] - 13:20, 13:21, 13:23, 131:16, 131:18, 131:24, 132:4, 133:15, 134:7, 165:24, 166:4, 166:7, 166:13, 167:11, 168:24, 169:6, 169:16, 170:14, 171:4</p> <p>admitted [1] - 132:25</p> <p>adulterated [1] - 69:19</p> <p>advance [10] - 12:1, 13:15, 14:10, 14:12, 45:3, 49:16, 132:22, 135:3, 137:13, 168:25</p> <p>adverted [1] - 86:9</p> <p>advisable [1] - 88:17</p> <p>advise [1] - 15:11</p> <p>advised [9] - 36:23, 41:22, 43:4, 62:11, 62:15, 86:22, 87:4, 87:17, 110:4</p> <p>affect [2] - 25:24, 63:13</p> <p>affected [1] - 62:4</p> <p>Affidavit [2] - 112:16, 2:8</p>	<p>affidavit [10] - 53:9, 54:10, 55:21, 58:14, 59:13, 60:4, 65:22, 68:24, 111:15, 112:5</p> <p>afoul [1] - 89:13</p> <p>afraid [1] - 30:20</p> <p>aftermath [1] - 76:12</p> <p>afternoon [7] - 7:9, 29:19, 133:8, 135:25, 149:23, 156:16, 164:2</p> <p>age [2] - 38:17, 145:16</p> <p>agenda [1] - 55:2</p> <p>aggravated [2] - 51:19, 131:11</p> <p>ago [10] - 22:8, 24:20, 26:20, 38:19, 55:4, 55:11, 86:22, 91:15, 141:24, 159:5</p> <p>agree [37] - 18:15, 20:17, 24:6, 24:18, 25:7, 29:13, 39:19, 42:18, 49:21, 50:8, 52:10, 52:20, 57:19, 60:7, 60:12, 68:4, 75:15, 75:25, 76:1, 78:20, 80:17, 82:2, 82:23, 83:19, 84:7, 89:5, 92:17, 97:14, 102:15, 105:11, 106:12, 109:14, 114:20, 137:19, 183:22, 187:4, 187:18</p> <p>agreed [6] - 63:24, 131:19, 131:24, 134:8, 154:22, 167:3</p> <p>agreeing [1] - 131:24</p> <p>ahead [7] - 19:6, 31:7, 41:24, 81:11, 124:5, 169:17, 184:5</p> <p>air [1] - 69:22</p> <p>alcohol [1] - 27:24</p> <p>alert [1] - 49:15</p> <p>all-out [1] - 103:9</p> <p>allow [2] - 61:25, 187:5</p> <p>alluded [2] - 39:12, 128:2</p> <p>almost [2] - 74:24, 153:6</p> <p>alone [2] - 29:24, 58:19</p> <p>altercation [1] - 107:4</p> <p>alternatives [1] - 89:17</p> <p>amount [1] - 12:18</p> <p>amounts [1] - 28:22</p> <p>analogy [1] - 99:18</p> <p>analyze [1] - 94:23</p>	<p>Anderson [90] - 1:13, 6:19, 6:22, 6:24, 7:7, 7:17, 7:24, 9:15, 9:21, 11:5, 11:9, 14:8, 14:18, 16:23, 18:16, 20:9, 20:15, 21:2, 21:4, 23:17, 24:4, 26:21, 27:6, 29:7, 30:8, 31:19, 32:5, 32:12, 32:14, 32:18, 32:23, 33:2, 33:11, 33:20, 34:15, 35:12, 35:13, 35:22, 35:25, 36:19, 36:24, 37:3, 37:11, 37:14, 38:2, 39:11, 40:5, 45:17, 70:11, 70:14, 77:25, 78:22, 79:6, 79:19, 81:25, 103:22, 107:15, 107:23, 109:22, 109:23, 110:1, 125:21, 126:17, 126:24, 130:14, 131:4, 135:9, 135:22, 138:10, 146:12, 146:20, 149:18, 149:21, 153:14, 153:21, 156:8, 166:17, 166:20, 171:1, 172:5, 172:7, 173:19, 174:3, 174:5, 175:9, 177:24, 178:9, 178:10, 180:5, 180:14</p> <p>Anderson's [24] - 6:15, 16:15, 17:1, 19:24, 20:5, 21:15, 24:11, 29:17, 34:5, 36:21, 37:24, 38:23, 79:10, 94:19, 105:13, 110:16, 126:5, 126:7, 147:7, 150:10, 151:7, 155:9, 174:24, 175:15</p> <p>Anderson-related [1] - 110:1</p> <p>Andrew [5] - 52:6, 77:4, 111:15, 112:17, 2:8</p> <p>Andrews [1] - 54:14</p> <p>Angels [6] - 116:10, 116:15, 117:4, 117:12, 117:13, 144:21</p> <p>anger [1] - 39:20</p> <p>angry [2] - 39:7, 39:8</p> <p>annexed [1] - 59:14</p> <p>answer [10] - 38:13, 47:12, 55:19, 56:7, 58:1, 81:22, 110:18, 144:13, 177:14,</p>	<p>177:17</p> <p>answered [2] - 33:3, 37:5</p> <p>answering [2] - 28:22, 40:2</p> <p>answers [2] - 58:2, 144:14</p> <p>anticipate [2] - 134:5, 170:18</p> <p>anticipated [4] - 17:25, 133:20, 142:18, 143:9</p> <p>Anyway [1] - 167:8</p> <p>apart [3] - 124:3, 133:19, 170:19</p> <p>Apart [1] - 124:7</p> <p>apologize [1] - 184:4</p> <p>apparent [3] - 94:9, 105:5, 119:16</p> <p>Appeal [9] - 84:19, 85:5, 85:12, 88:24, 99:10, 99:12, 100:22, 102:17, 102:22</p> <p>Appeals [1] - 93:5</p> <p>appear [12] - 64:9, 66:12, 66:13, 66:16, 76:2, 120:20, 125:17, 125:19, 126:14, 130:1, 133:23, 157:3</p> <p>appearance [7] - 25:6, 147:8, 147:11, 158:23, 159:22, 160:8, 161:17</p> <p>appearances [4] - 143:4, 158:22, 159:8, 161:7</p> <p>appeared [2] - 158:25, 159:19</p> <p>appearing [3] - 62:3, 160:9, 161:1</p> <p>application [7] - 62:20, 62:24, 63:18, 67:25, 71:18, 71:19, 74:22</p> <p>applied [1] - 180:13</p> <p>applies [1] - 164:21</p> <p>apply [1] - 184:1</p> <p>applying [1] - 163:2</p> <p>appointed [3] - 144:24, 164:14, 164:25</p> <p>appreciate [9] - 54:24, 57:12, 66:4, 100:17, 111:12, 122:11, 134:25, 137:2, 141:23</p> <p>appreciated [1] - 22:25</p> <p>apprised [2] - 53:13, 91:25</p>
--	--	---	---	--

<p>appropriate [12] - 32:15, 54:18, 69:21, 71:17, 72:17, 73:6, 74:10, 84:25, 85:1, 85:7, 89:7, 89:22</p> <p>approval [6] - 3:18, 4:7, 4:20, 164:10, 164:15, 165:11</p> <p>Approval [3] - 4:10, 4:15, 4:18</p> <p>approved [1] - 140:25</p> <p>April [15] - 1:2, 55:5, 78:1, 78:22, 79:18, 86:4, 112:17, 122:1, 141:14, 159:9, 159:20, 168:12, 184:8, 2:9</p> <p>archival [1] - 51:1</p> <p>archived [9] - 44:15, 49:8, 51:9, 51:25, 61:13, 75:18, 108:21, 108:25, 110:10</p> <p>archives [2] - 49:5, 49:20</p> <p>area [5] - 29:2, 94:9, 113:19, 114:15, 162:5</p> <p>areas [2] - 103:8, 164:6</p> <p>arguably [1] - 68:21</p> <p>argue [3] - 18:3, 27:13, 100:10</p> <p>argued [1] - 18:2</p> <p>argument [5] - 89:7, 89:9, 92:17, 92:18, 123:11</p> <p>arise [1] - 17:18</p> <p>arraignment [1] - 160:5</p> <p>arrange [5] - 7:18, 61:9, 136:22, 140:4, 173:15</p> <p>arranged [4] - 7:6, 8:7, 91:9, 135:12</p> <p>arrangement [2] - 6:21, 127:12</p> <p>arrangements [2] - 6:18, 7:12</p> <p>arranging [1] - 2:13</p> <p>arrest [2] - 43:23, 121:6</p> <p>arrested [1] - 115:21</p> <p>articled [1] - 155:18</p> <p>articulate [2] - 175:16, 176:16</p> <p>aside [1] - 51:1</p> <p>asleep [2] - 24:22, 45:22</p> <p>aspect [3] - 63:16, 97:10, 101:8</p>	<p>aspects [3] - 14:13, 81:21, 97:4</p> <p>assailant [2] - 39:2, 104:2</p> <p>assault [6] - 25:15, 51:19, 131:11, 144:25</p> <p>assaulted [2] - 144:20, 145:12</p> <p>assaults [1] - 137:10</p> <p>assess [2] - 81:24, 95:13</p> <p>assessed [1] - 58:24</p> <p>assessing [1] - 95:19</p> <p>assessment [2] - 96:17, 164:23</p> <p>assigned [7] - 8:25, 9:7, 11:5, 11:19, 11:21, 12:14, 157:17</p> <p>assignment [1] - 87:21</p> <p>assist [4] - 87:22, 93:3, 151:24, 171:21</p> <p>Assistance [1] - 171:13</p> <p>assistance [7] - 11:18, 82:18, 88:18, 109:1, 119:7, 127:18, 152:7</p> <p>assistant [1] - 76:13</p> <p>assisted [1] - 83:3</p> <p>associate [1] - 74:20</p> <p>associated [2] - 116:23, 144:21</p> <p>associates [3] - 115:24, 116:15, 117:8</p> <p>association [1] - 117:1</p> <p>associations [1] - 116:9</p> <p>assume [9] - 7:20, 16:3, 23:15, 97:22, 126:4, 131:8, 143:7, 157:7, 169:24</p> <p>assumed [1] - 123:3</p> <p>assuming [7] - 8:2, 8:22, 10:16, 15:8, 124:24, 156:5, 163:6</p> <p>assumption [1] - 57:10</p> <p>assumptions [1] - 53:11</p> <p>assurances [1] - 69:14</p> <p>assure [3] - 34:4, 52:13, 61:19</p> <p>asterisk [4] - 57:21, 57:22, 59:6, 59:9</p> <p>attached [3] - 41:20, 46:21, 64:10</p>	<p>attack [3] - 18:16, 98:11, 103:9</p> <p>attempt [10] - 17:24, 18:3, 56:15, 57:20, 70:10, 76:3, 107:15, 107:22, 122:4, 166:15</p> <p>attempted [10] - 20:10, 22:21, 39:1, 51:20, 108:8, 131:10, 138:6, 138:18, 141:6, 148:21</p> <p>attempting [3] - 10:1, 101:23, 147:23</p> <p>attempts [5] - 9:20, 10:7, 11:17, 108:4, 146:15</p> <p>attend [3] - 7:17, 8:6, 125:23</p> <p>attendance [3] - 79:6, 84:1, 166:2</p> <p>attendances [1] - 143:3</p> <p>attended [3] - 142:5, 153:18, 153:23</p> <p>attention [14] - 3:17, 63:12, 84:21, 84:23, 115:20, 121:12, 122:19, 128:4, 137:13, 137:21, 138:8, 145:9, 147:18, 160:3</p> <p>attitude [1] - 32:4</p> <p>Attorney [5] - 22:2, 44:6, 58:9, 59:23, 73:8</p> <p>audio [1] - 1:12</p> <p>audiotape [1] - 163:9</p> <p>audiotaped [1] - 96:11</p> <p>authored [1] - 122:13</p> <p>authority [1] - 157:13</p> <p>available [12] - 4:20, 40:3, 40:7, 55:3, 61:2, 62:17, 68:20, 68:23, 110:6, 119:6, 163:13, 185:11</p> <p>avenue [2] - 100:2, 102:6</p> <p>await [1] - 169:17</p> <p>aware [26] - 43:23, 44:3, 44:21, 46:4, 48:16, 48:20, 66:2, 67:17, 69:23, 76:24, 82:4, 86:13, 100:19, 100:20, 107:20, 115:13, 115:19, 115:21, 119:4, 119:22, 145:5,</p>	<p>148:15, 160:1, 174:25, 175:9, 175:11</p> <p>awful [1] - 52:13</p>	<p>B</p>	<p>background [8] - 1:10, 66:14, 75:25, 82:5, 112:22, 120:2, 177:3</p> <p>backwards [1] - 121:10</p> <p>bad [7] - 24:5, 105:23, 106:1, 106:3, 176:22, 177:16, 188:10</p> <p>badly [2] - 28:19, 38:21</p> <p>balance [3] - 89:16, 92:3, 130:2</p> <p>bar [3] - 23:1, 36:1, 113:11</p> <p>Baragar [14] - 79:9, 79:10, 79:12, 79:21, 79:23, 80:7, 80:12, 80:16, 81:4, 81:12, 81:18, 81:22, 82:8, 83:15</p> <p>bars [1] - 70:15</p> <p>based [14] - 9:1, 9:19, 9:22, 13:4, 17:9, 47:4, 47:5, 73:2, 79:16, 82:11, 97:21, 119:15, 137:19, 142:12</p> <p>Based [1] - 46:17</p> <p>basic [4] - 31:3, 31:6, 31:10, 97:2</p> <p>basis [5] - 11:23, 12:15, 57:18, 58:19, 115:12</p> <p>bat [1] - 98:1</p> <p>batch [1] - 125:7</p> <p>Bc [2] - 1:1, 113:17</p> <p>bear [1] - 118:10</p> <p>bearing [1] - 85:1</p> <p>bears [1] - 55:13</p> <p>became [2] - 43:24, 86:13</p> <p>become [2] - 61:2, 115:6</p> <p>becomes [1] - 35:19</p> <p>bed [1] - 104:5</p> <p>began [1] - 75:2</p> <p>begin [4] - 67:12, 103:8, 180:17, 184:11</p> <p>begins [1] - 35:15</p> <p>behalf [4] - 62:3, 79:20, 87:21, 163:24</p> <p>behaving [1] - 38:10</p>	<p>behind [4] - 70:15, 128:9, 130:1, 152:19</p> <p>believable [3] - 94:22, 95:7, 97:1</p> <p>belly [1] - 103:25</p> <p>belt [2] - 27:21, 49:3</p> <p>benefit [4] - 2:15, 52:15, 91:22, 119:3</p> <p>bent [1] - 105:5</p> <p>beside [3] - 11:14, 57:21, 174:25</p> <p>best [31] - 1:21, 29:15, 48:12, 48:18, 52:23, 59:17, 65:25, 66:3, 66:8, 66:13, 66:15, 68:16, 79:23, 79:24, 80:7, 80:11, 80:13, 82:8, 111:7, 118:13, 123:11, 140:19, 141:23, 145:23, 155:23, 160:25, 161:24, 167:9, 171:3, 181:22, 189:7</p> <p>better [3] - 101:7, 132:21, 150:5</p> <p>Between [1] - 162:18</p> <p>between [13] - 1:13, 20:1, 28:23, 74:12, 77:21, 87:3, 91:23, 107:15, 108:7, 133:7, 134:8, 134:23, 171:4</p> <p>Bev [2] - 121:20, 149:2</p> <p>beyond [7] - 5:7, 5:25, 60:12, 99:13, 103:4, 107:8, 160:19</p> <p>big [5] - 18:5, 28:25, 107:8, 188:11</p> <p>binder [7] - 9:17, 18:23, 18:24, 42:14, 67:14, 75:10, 158:3</p> <p>bit [11] - 1:10, 8:24, 42:16, 44:9, 54:16, 60:25, 95:21, 107:25, 129:8, 137:21, 167:19</p> <p>blacked [3] - 61:10, 126:11, 126:12</p> <p>blacked-out [1] - 126:11</p> <p>blacken [1] - 61:20</p> <p>blacking [1] - 61:6</p> <p>blame [1] - 64:13</p> <p>blanked [3] - 126:3, 126:4, 186:24</p> <p>bleeding [1] - 134:12</p> <p>blew [1] - 22:10</p> <p>blood [2] - 132:8, 133:21</p> <p>Boddie [3] - 35:14,</p>
---	---	--	---	-----------------	--	---

110:4, 111:4 bodily [1] - 51:19 book [2] - 18:8, 119:10 booked [1] - 136:17 booklet [2] - 3:11, 60:24 books [1] - 18:4 born [2] - 33:6, 113:19 bottom [6] - 3:18, 3:22, 4:11, 10:13, 130:9, 171:16 bound [1] - 61:24 box [1] - 50:13 boxes [4] - 58:19, 66:17, 110:24 brackets [1] - 174:25 branch [2] - 5:8, 63:24 Branch [9] - 59:24, 62:7, 62:9, 62:16, 63:19, 66:23, 69:23, 77:5, 181:25 Branch's [3] - 62:14, 62:21, 66:19 breach [2] - 1:25, 101:11 break [5] - 60:16, 93:1, 108:10, 137:9, 182:18 brief [11] - 17:9, 22:16, 41:15, 41:24, 42:1, 53:18, 55:12, 99:11, 113:13, 120:11, 184:24 Brief [1] - 118:6 bring [1] - 157:9 British [3] - 59:23, 94:5, 113:14 broadcast [1] - 1:25 broken [1] - 54:21 Brooks [1] - 35:14 brother [1] - 116:14 brothers [1] - 115:24 brought [4] - 41:21, 63:12, 74:22, 80:3 Bryce [2] - 163:25, 164:2 buddy [1] - 37:4 budget [1] - 167:20 building [5] - 78:8, 78:14, 78:18, 83:9, 156:12 bulk [1] - 3:10 bunch [1] - 132:12 Burnaby [4] - 161:7, 161:13, 161:15, 161:17 business [1] - 19:25	busy [7] - 12:18, 13:25, 134:21, 149:24, 150:22, 181:12, 181:13 buzz [2] - 78:19, 142:6 bylaw [1] - 116:4	126:16, 127:9, 127:20, 131:12, 131:16, 132:7, 132:9, 132:14, 133:10, 134:17, 137:18, 138:18, 141:9, 144:7, 146:10, 168:25, 169:6, 170:15, 175:1, 175:19, 175:24, 180:8 cases [18] - 24:7, 28:3, 39:4, 39:21, 82:14, 124:18, 132:19, 133:4, 133:6, 137:4, 137:23, 137:25, 138:1, 141:7, 145:11, 160:2, 160:4, 176:13 Casson [1] - 178:7 Casson's [1] - 148:11 cast [1] - 141:22 categories [1] - 51:3 caught [1] - 147:17 caused [2] - 16:20, 96:24 causing [1] - 51:19 cc'd [1] - 122:15 Cd [1] - 1:24 Celle [24] - 24:11, 25:4, 26:8, 27:1, 27:7, 27:10, 29:18, 29:22, 29:23, 34:11, 34:16, 35:13, 35:21, 35:23, 36:18, 45:12, 86:23, 87:7, 87:13, 87:20, 150:11, 151:6, 152:2, 153:15 cent [1] - 116:5 centres [1] - 83:1 certain [2] - 69:15, 88:22 Certainly [1] - 18:15 certainly [14] - 2:15, 6:3, 13:21, 14:1, 14:3, 14:14, 24:8, 35:21, 55:18, 77:18, 81:12, 89:15, 124:22, 161:4 certify [1] - 189:4 chain [1] - 45:25 challenge [3] - 95:21, 99:24, 145:3 chance [7] - 95:21, 112:8, 179:22, 180:15, 181:15, 181:17, 182:2 chances [1] - 180:16 change [6] - 16:7, 16:14, 17:4, 36:9, 58:6, 58:11 Chantler [1] - 74:20 characterize [1] -	89:21 characters [1] - 83:24 charge [20] - 3:18, 4:7, 4:19, 17:20, 17:24, 20:11, 20:20, 21:5, 32:7, 32:17, 99:16, 129:21, 141:1, 141:5, 164:10, 164:14, 164:23, 165:11, 165:14 Charge [3] - 4:10, 4:14, 4:18 charged [1] - 51:18 Charges [2] - 19:16, 2:6 charges [15] - 17:14, 18:17, 34:9, 35:24, 36:12, 36:24, 37:13, 39:2, 39:5, 44:1, 76:2, 140:8, 141:1, 155:3, 158:8 charitable [1] - 31:20 Charlie [3] - 155:19, 159:4 check [3] - 54:11, 109:3, 120:18 checking [2] - 10:22, 130:5 chief [1] - 185:6 child [10] - 33:3, 33:4, 33:8, 33:9, 38:17, 144:25, 145:13, 145:16, 145:20, 146:2 children [4] - 98:7, 145:1, 145:11, 145:17 Children [1] - 145:8 chilling [2] - 94:21, 101:15 Christmas [1] - 128:23 cigarette [1] - 28:24 circulated [3] - 70:24, 70:25, 88:6 circumstances [11] - 32:3, 39:19, 69:13, 69:21, 73:15, 75:22, 86:19, 97:16, 148:1, 152:19, 154:19 citizen [1] - 31:21 City [1] - 115:17 civilian [2] - 83:9, 131:15 civilians [1] - 134:11 Cjb [6] - 64:19, 68:5, 70:12, 71:2, 73:7, 73:20 Cjb-001-000971 [1] - 42:12	clarity [2] - 73:22, 161:23 class [2] - 31:21, 145:8 classify [1] - 114:5 clean [2] - 179:1, 181:3 clear [12] - 49:18, 64:15, 69:21, 85:8, 85:10, 87:22, 90:7, 100:22, 102:22, 116:5, 144:1, 181:24 clearly [2] - 5:24, 57:23 clinical [1] - 134:15 close [2] - 102:9, 180:20 Club [2] - 116:10, 117:4 cocaine [1] - 28:25 Code [1] - 51:6 code [1] - 51:16 cogent [1] - 28:14 coherent [9] - 173:23, 176:23, 179:1, 179:6, 179:11, 179:22, 180:6, 180:21, 187:12 Coherent [1] - 173:25 colleagues [3] - 114:19, 122:20, 141:18 colour [1] - 147:12 Columbia [3] - 59:24, 94:6, 113:15 column [2] - 172:1, 174:10 combination [2] - 105:25, 106:3 coming [13] - 23:14, 45:25, 53:1, 53:4, 67:9, 67:10, 73:13, 83:5, 114:22, 115:3, 115:9, 131:9, 149:21 commanders [1] - 121:13 commencement [1] - 130:25 comment [7] - 74:19, 116:16, 153:4, 153:10, 161:25, 162:24, 177:9 commentary [1] - 91:17 commented [1] - 187:2 comments [6] - 69:6, 86:21, 116:12, 116:25, 186:12, 187:1 Commission [4] -
--	--	--	---	--

19:16, 62:8, 1:4, 2:6
commission [10] -
 3:4, 34:17, 55:12,
 61:9, 65:2, 85:4, 86:2,
 86:24, 87:22, 88:19
commission's [2] -
 86:24, 119:6
Commissioner [215]
 - 1:5, 2:6, 2:23, 3:5,
 3:22, 4:6, 18:11,
 34:25, 35:2, 35:4,
 35:18, 42:18, 42:23,
 53:12, 53:15, 53:20,
 54:3, 54:5, 54:13,
 54:15, 54:20, 55:7,
 57:25, 58:1, 58:8,
 58:10, 59:12, 59:16,
 59:20, 60:5, 60:6,
 60:22, 61:11, 61:14,
 61:18, 61:22, 62:2,
 62:3, 62:22, 62:24,
 63:3, 63:9, 63:16,
 63:23, 64:3, 64:6,
 64:7, 64:20, 64:24,
 65:8, 65:9, 65:13,
 65:18, 65:24, 66:1,
 66:9, 66:10, 66:11,
 67:1, 67:16, 67:17,
 67:18, 67:20, 67:24,
 68:1, 68:9, 68:11,
 68:19, 68:23, 69:2,
 69:5, 69:9, 69:10,
 69:13, 69:18, 69:25,
 70:4, 70:5, 70:7,
 70:20, 70:22, 71:4,
 71:13, 71:19, 72:2,
 72:4, 72:11, 72:12,
 72:15, 72:16, 72:19,
 72:22, 73:1, 73:4,
 73:12, 73:16, 73:24,
 74:1, 74:3, 74:13,
 74:14, 74:16, 75:4,
 84:13, 84:14, 84:15,
 84:22, 85:10, 85:18,
 85:24, 86:1, 86:6,
 86:8, 86:15, 86:17,
 88:7, 88:10, 88:12,
 88:20, 89:4, 89:11,
 89:18, 89:25, 90:1,
 90:4, 90:18, 90:23,
 91:5, 91:7, 91:11,
 92:2, 92:6, 92:9,
 92:11, 92:22, 93:3,
 93:8, 93:9, 93:10,
 93:13, 93:25, 95:4,
 98:10, 98:25, 99:6,
 99:7, 99:9, 100:14,
 100:17, 101:5,
 101:17, 102:8,
 102:14, 102:25,
 103:10, 105:15,

108:10, 108:15,
 108:22, 109:2, 109:5,
 109:7, 109:9, 110:3,
 110:15, 110:23,
 110:24, 111:12,
 111:14, 111:19,
 111:21, 112:1, 112:2,
 112:4, 112:11, 113:3,
 117:22, 117:24,
 118:4, 118:11,
 118:23, 119:2, 128:1,
 132:16, 132:18,
 152:4, 158:19,
 161:18, 163:7,
 163:14, 163:17,
 163:22, 163:24,
 167:20, 168:6, 168:8,
 168:10, 168:12,
 168:14, 168:16,
 182:7, 182:10,
 182:11, 182:12,
 182:14, 182:16,
 182:21, 183:1, 183:8,
 183:14, 183:17,
 183:24, 184:4, 188:20
commissioner [1] -
 89:14
Commissioner's [1] -
 99:20
common [5] - 13:6,
 39:21, 82:16, 141:7,
 183:23
communicate [4] -
 9:15, 175:23, 177:25,
 180:22
communication [3] -
 20:12, 20:23, 77:10
communications [2]
 - 20:1, 124:14
communities [1] -
 62:4
Community [1] -
 171:12
community [4] -
 114:11, 115:5, 116:8,
 171:20
compelled [1] -
 74:23
compelling [3] -
 62:20, 63:18, 94:21
competent [1] -
 176:23
compiled [1] - 120:4
complainant [17] -
 17:22, 25:15, 43:8,
 44:17, 44:25, 45:17,
 45:22, 94:25, 105:20,
 131:14, 132:7,
 133:10, 133:19,
 134:10, 134:11,

138:7, 145:13
complainant's [1] -
 107:9
complete [3] - 22:17,
 58:20, 103:9
completed [1] -
 20:25
completely [9] -
 31:24, 31:25, 67:6,
 81:10, 84:17, 92:17,
 94:25, 181:3
complex [1] - 31:5
compliance [1] -
 52:1
complicated [6] -
 132:6, 132:15,
 132:20, 133:3, 135:1,
 138:2
comply [1] - 68:2
components [1] -
 4:19
comprises [1] -
 119:12
computer [3] - 76:7,
 76:21, 77:3
computers [3] -
 76:10, 76:20, 77:12
concede [3] - 2:15,
 96:22, 109:7
conceding [1] -
 89:11
conceivably [1] -
 95:18
concept [1] - 26:16
concepts [3] - 31:2,
 89:20, 93:19
concern [5] - 1:17,
 30:18, 50:6, 61:1,
 128:24
concerned [5] -
 14:24, 54:22, 133:2,
 149:6, 188:5
concerns [1] -
 184:25
conclude [2] - 5:5,
 92:20
concluded [1] - 25:8
conclusion [6] -
 5:20, 90:11, 98:24,
 100:6, 102:20, 187:6
conclusions [1] -
 100:11
Concordance [1] -
 62:18
concrete [1] - 188:3
condition [13] - 16:7,
 16:15, 17:1, 17:5,
 24:14, 27:10, 29:7,
 31:8, 82:21, 82:22,
 150:22, 151:7, 187:17

conditions [1] -
 68:12
conduct [10] - 50:19,
 107:14, 123:3,
 124:24, 142:16,
 143:16, 143:20,
 161:6, 161:21, 185:16
conducted [4] -
 34:15, 35:12, 149:25,
 152:1
confederates [1] -
 30:20
conference [4] -
 159:12, 159:13,
 159:15, 160:13
Conferences [1] -
 168:23
conferences [1] -
 169:3
confidence [1] - 73:2
confident [1] - 77:23
confidently [1] -
 166:18
confinement [2] -
 51:20, 131:12
confirm [3] - 129:24,
 130:23, 174:8
confirmed [2] - 29:9,
 130:7
confirming [1] -
 113:10
confirms [3] - 26:7,
 27:2, 27:9
connection [2] -
 57:14, 162:22
Conner [3] - 158:10,
 158:15
Connor [45] - 1:7,
 1:8, 1:11, 2:7, 3:1,
 3:7, 3:14, 11:8, 15:6,
 15:21, 16:12, 18:15,
 19:20, 33:24, 37:6,
 44:19, 53:22, 75:9,
 92:15, 92:20, 94:3,
 103:16, 112:19,
 116:14, 118:10,
 122:13, 123:8,
 124:15, 124:23,
 126:18, 139:6, 162:8,
 162:20, 163:16,
 163:25, 167:16,
 168:2, 170:3, 171:14,
 175:13, 178:5, 178:6,
 182:24, 183:9, 1:4
Connor's [1] - 36:15
conscientious [1] -
 125:1
consents [1] - 120:5
consider [4] - 5:7,
 79:13, 92:13, 98:17

considerable [2] -
 50:16, 61:5
considerably [1] -
 114:6
consideration [2] -
 5:11, 86:25
considered [4] - 6:3,
 31:21, 117:4, 123:11
considering [1] -
 91:15
consistent [3] -
 80:17, 123:22, 155:1
consistently [1] -
 111:7
Constable [2] -
 178:6, 178:7
constantly [2] -
 114:22, 124:19
constitutional [2] -
 85:2, 101:11
constraints [1] -
 137:3
consultations [1] -
 79:3
consulted [2] -
 22:13, 181:21
Contact [1] - 172:16
contact [17] - 6:18,
 9:14, 9:23, 10:4,
 10:17, 10:24, 11:4,
 21:1, 25:25, 29:15,
 43:14, 138:13, 139:5,
 156:21, 156:22,
 173:2, 174:4
contacted [4] - 11:8,
 32:11, 36:22, 41:8
contain [2] - 19:25,
 77:1
contained [5] -
 26:25, 44:10, 88:23,
 89:21, 94:19
contemplated [1] -
 65:1
contemplating [1] -
 20:20
content [2] - 43:16,
 122:20
contentious [3] -
 104:23, 133:18,
 133:23
contents [4] - 88:16,
 88:22, 120:11, 129:6
context [1] - 144:9
continuation [5] -
 6:5, 6:11, 159:14,
 160:12, 160:14
continue [1] - 92:14
continuing [1] -
 169:19
continuity [1] - 169:7

<p>contrary [2] - 88:25, 101:3</p> <p>contribution [1] - 88:1</p> <p>control [6] - 4:6, 60:12, 62:11, 63:21, 64:18, 66:20</p> <p>controversial [1] - 13:16</p> <p>convenience [1] - 8:6</p> <p>convenient [3] - 7:21, 7:23, 182:14</p> <p>conversation [18] - 15:6, 15:14, 20:24, 33:23, 37:25, 38:4, 38:15, 38:20, 43:3, 80:13, 81:13, 129:16, 140:13, 140:18, 156:16, 156:19, 173:18</p> <p>conversations [2] - 6:23, 7:2</p> <p>convey [1] - 31:10</p> <p>conveyed [3] - 39:23, 39:25, 155:10</p> <p>conveying [2] - 122:20, 155:9</p> <p>convicted [1] - 146:7</p> <p>conviction [8] - 4:23, 5:6, 5:21, 6:2, 16:17, 164:18, 164:21, 177:6</p> <p>convictions [1] - 39:14</p> <p>cooperative [1] - 7:4</p> <p>coordinator [1] - 157:22</p> <p>copies [13] - 19:24, 35:18, 59:14, 84:12, 110:6, 111:16, 111:17, 120:21, 125:14, 125:17, 125:20, 130:2, 130:7</p> <p>Copies [1] - 41:5</p> <p>copy [27] - 2:13, 34:13, 34:21, 34:22, 35:1, 42:22, 71:1, 84:10, 86:14, 91:9, 93:4, 93:5, 112:24, 113:1, 113:4, 118:13, 118:16, 118:25, 119:10, 126:2, 126:5, 126:10, 126:18, 127:1, 151:9, 151:13, 151:23</p> <p>Coquitlam [31] - 7:16, 7:22, 11:21, 22:1, 22:10, 48:5, 48:9, 56:11, 56:16, 57:3, 57:8, 76:6,</p>	<p>77:12, 78:1, 78:13, 97:8, 104:8, 113:22, 114:10, 114:13, 114:14, 114:15, 114:24, 115:2, 115:8, 115:14, 115:16, 116:8, 128:3, 160:22</p> <p>core [2] - 92:7, 175:14</p> <p>corner [2] - 98:3, 171:17</p> <p>Corporal [13] - 11:8, 15:6, 15:21, 16:12, 44:19, 116:14, 122:13, 124:15, 124:23, 126:18, 139:6, 162:8, 162:20</p> <p>Correct [1] - 165:13</p> <p>correct [74] - 4:13, 5:16, 6:16, 7:10, 8:2, 9:3, 9:4, 17:21, 19:22, 20:6, 21:13, 22:21, 25:11, 27:1, 27:25, 28:3, 28:4, 29:19, 30:2, 32:21, 34:3, 35:9, 40:5, 40:13, 43:21, 44:10, 45:13, 45:19, 47:15, 48:10, 48:23, 49:6, 49:20, 50:3, 50:23, 51:21, 57:24, 58:17, 62:25, 63:13, 75:20, 75:24, 76:8, 76:11, 77:7, 78:14, 78:15, 82:1, 82:14, 83:11, 84:5, 91:13, 94:6, 95:16, 113:15, 113:16, 113:20, 113:24, 114:12, 127:22, 134:17, 136:7, 141:2, 144:3, 149:11, 150:1, 154:17, 154:23, 159:18, 162:4, 170:23, 176:4, 184:10</p> <p>correctly [4] - 21:6, 64:14, 144:6, 144:10</p> <p>correspond [1] - 56:10</p> <p>correspondence [9] - 40:21, 76:23, 87:3, 120:21, 156:3, 166:1, 167:24, 168:8, 168:11</p> <p>Correspondence [1] - 40:23</p> <p>council [1] - 65:3</p> <p>Counsel [15] - 3:14, 4:3, 19:17, 48:6, 48:9, 49:17, 50:1, 50:17, 77:12, 114:13, 128:3, 128:15, 158:9,</p>	<p>169:18, 2:7</p> <p>counsel [70] - 3:1, 3:4, 13:5, 18:13, 24:20, 34:12, 34:20, 40:20, 40:21, 40:23, 47:8, 48:4, 48:16, 49:2, 49:4, 50:12, 50:18, 52:2, 55:12, 55:24, 56:3, 57:1, 57:4, 60:14, 61:24, 62:15, 67:2, 75:19, 76:5, 77:10, 77:19, 78:13, 79:1, 79:4, 80:19, 82:11, 82:17, 83:22, 86:2, 86:24, 87:4, 87:5, 87:15, 90:9, 94:4, 100:21, 101:9, 101:14, 102:18, 113:14, 113:21, 114:18, 115:14, 116:13, 116:17, 116:19, 117:3, 121:8, 122:8, 137:19, 148:17, 148:24, 150:23, 161:1, 165:19, 176:7, 182:23, 183:4, 183:21, 183:25</p> <p>counsel's [1] - 94:15</p> <p>count [2] - 48:2, 183:19</p> <p>counterpart [1] - 70:12</p> <p>counts [4] - 39:15, 78:3, 78:4, 78:17</p> <p>couple [8] - 14:12, 80:23, 81:21, 126:10, 148:16, 154:13, 156:13, 170:1</p> <p>coupled [1] - 40:3</p> <p>course [30] - 1:17, 2:25, 12:20, 13:18, 13:24, 16:2, 16:6, 17:3, 17:7, 18:1, 24:23, 40:16, 61:24, 82:13, 87:2, 87:14, 88:16, 90:22, 98:4, 98:16, 107:23, 133:17, 135:4, 136:24, 154:23, 155:6, 162:9, 164:13, 176:24, 178:14</p> <p>Court [23] - 11:22, 12:25, 13:2, 78:14, 84:19, 85:5, 85:11, 85:12, 88:24, 93:5, 99:10, 99:12, 100:22, 102:16, 102:21, 115:1, 133:5, 134:20, 134:22, 184:13,</p>	<p>184:18</p> <p>court [44] - 11:22, 12:1, 12:9, 12:14, 12:19, 40:19, 76:23, 128:18, 136:6, 136:7, 136:15, 136:21, 142:19, 142:21, 143:1, 143:4, 145:21, 148:25, 149:1, 149:3, 153:13, 153:17, 153:25, 154:1, 155:17, 156:10, 157:6, 157:8, 157:9, 158:22, 159:25, 160:3, 160:24, 161:3, 161:4, 161:11, 161:12, 162:2, 172:11, 172:24, 173:12, 174:5, 174:6, 175:1</p> <p>courtesy [2] - 156:24, 169:19</p> <p>courthouse [5] - 153:18, 153:23, 154:4, 155:14, 162:10</p> <p>courtroom [3] - 78:1, 78:21, 154:6</p> <p>courts [1] - 160:1</p> <p>cover [2] - 49:14, 141:4</p> <p>covered [2] - 50:20, 78:11</p> <p>covers [2] - 114:13, 114:15</p> <p>create [2] - 50:17, 155:2</p> <p>created [8] - 40:4, 55:3, 65:2, 75:12, 77:14, 78:19, 87:19, 120:5</p> <p>creating [1] - 76:6</p> <p>creation [1] - 146:18</p> <p>credenza [1] - 18:5</p> <p>credibility [6] - 43:12, 45:7, 70:10, 95:19, 96:17, 110:17</p> <p>credible [3] - 28:14, 94:25, 106:12</p> <p>crime [2] - 11:2, 117:14</p> <p>Criminal [13] - 51:6, 59:24, 62:6, 62:9, 62:15, 62:21, 63:18, 66:19, 66:23, 69:23, 77:5, 181:25, 186:12</p> <p>criminal [9] - 39:13, 39:16, 94:24, 105:1, 117:5, 137:2, 144:19, 177:5, 186:11</p> <p>critical [2] - 132:6,</p>	<p>138:4</p> <p>criticisms [2] - 90:5, 90:6</p> <p>cross [19] - 42:19, 64:25, 67:12, 67:19, 74:16, 75:6, 100:21, 102:18, 112:21, 163:11, 182:18, 182:23, 183:2, 183:5, 183:15, 183:16, 183:19, 183:24, 183:25</p> <p>Cross [8] - 3:8, 18:12, 163:23, 184:6, 1:5, 1:6, 1:7, 1:8</p> <p>Cross-exam [4] - 1:5, 1:6, 1:7, 1:8</p> <p>cross-examination [5] - 102:18, 112:21, 182:18, 183:19, 183:24</p> <p>Cross-examination [4] - 3:8, 18:12, 163:23, 184:6</p> <p>cross-examine [1] - 42:19</p> <p>cross-examining [2] - 100:21, 183:25</p> <p>crosses [1] - 84:18</p> <p>Crossin [4] - 120:22, 121:4, 121:7, 121:18</p> <p>Crown [119] - 3:14, 4:3, 9:22, 10:3, 12:11, 12:19, 14:16, 21:16, 22:25, 23:4, 25:23, 28:2, 31:17, 32:6, 40:7, 40:11, 40:20, 43:5, 44:14, 44:21, 46:25, 47:5, 47:8, 47:21, 48:4, 48:5, 48:9, 49:2, 49:4, 49:17, 50:1, 50:12, 50:17, 50:18, 52:2, 55:1, 57:4, 57:15, 70:13, 75:19, 76:5, 77:12, 78:12, 78:25, 79:3, 79:17, 79:20, 80:19, 80:21, 81:15, 82:10, 82:17, 82:24, 83:3, 83:4, 83:13, 83:21, 84:3, 84:4, 85:3, 85:7, 87:15, 90:9, 90:12, 94:4, 100:21, 101:8, 101:14, 102:18, 107:14, 109:24, 113:14, 113:21, 113:22, 114:13, 114:18, 114:21, 115:14, 116:13,</p>
---	---	---	---	---

116:17, 116:18,
117:3, 117:4, 117:9,
122:8, 123:23, 128:3,
128:15, 129:1,
129:11, 136:13,
137:16, 137:19,
148:5, 148:24, 149:7,
149:24, 150:22,
154:1, 154:6, 155:20,
156:4, 157:13, 158:9,
159:20, 160:3,
160:10, 160:23,
161:1, 161:4, 161:5,
161:17, 165:19,
166:3, 169:18, 176:6,
186:4
Crown's [5] - 34:8,
76:19, 128:18,
131:16, 134:17
Crown-based [2] -
9:22, 47:5
crucial [1] - 107:3
crystal [2] - 49:18,
85:8
curious [1] - 141:24
Cv [2] - 112:24, 113:7

D

daily [1] - 95:12
dangerous [1] -
105:3
dangling [1] - 142:2
dare [1] - 37:12
dark [6] - 29:19,
29:23, 30:19, 147:13,
149:23, 150:16
Darrell [1] - 163:24
date [39] - 4:4, 9:2,
11:7, 11:13, 15:14,
43:3, 45:3, 46:13,
72:25, 87:11, 89:9,
121:25, 125:22,
129:10, 130:25,
142:20, 142:24,
143:1, 143:8, 143:10,
144:2, 145:14,
157:12, 159:16,
159:21, 160:7,
160:17, 162:18,
163:3, 170:25,
172:12, 173:7, 174:5,
174:6, 185:2, 185:3,
185:4, 188:3
dated [4] - 42:24,
112:17, 170:13, 2:9
Dated [2] - 128:7,
128:17
dates [7] - 8:21,
21:14, 21:22, 43:22,

52:13, 158:21, 160:6
daughter [8] - 33:6,
38:12, 139:11, 140:4,
140:17, 164:3,
172:24, 173:2
Davies [12] - 84:19,
85:12, 88:24, 89:5,
89:14, 90:21, 93:5,
100:23, 101:4, 101:7,
102:17
day-to-day [1] - 50:6
days [23] - 11:23,
12:2, 12:9, 12:15,
14:6, 15:4, 61:4,
63:19, 64:21, 66:22,
68:6, 122:7, 135:5,
135:7, 135:14, 136:6,
136:15, 145:14,
147:20, 170:24,
171:2, 184:20
daytimer [1] - 21:23
Dd [3] - 112:14,
112:16, 2:8
deadline [1] - 69:7
deal [12] - 2:9, 87:2,
90:14, 92:19, 103:5,
108:15, 109:21,
109:25, 110:10,
111:9, 111:10, 144:22
dealing [10] - 17:14,
39:8, 58:7, 58:12,
59:22, 63:21, 83:23,
103:11, 123:24, 161:8
dealings [7] - 22:3,
23:3, 40:15, 148:17,
185:21, 186:5, 186:7
deals [2] - 50:11,
176:25
dealt [6] - 27:22,
28:1, 32:1, 39:4, 39:6,
168:25
death [1] - 99:17
debate [1] - 99:24
decade [1] - 55:4
December [6] - 9:16,
126:15, 126:20,
128:7, 128:17, 172:13
decided [4] - 21:5,
140:7, 154:2, 181:22
deciding [1] - 129:20
decision [22] - 18:17,
29:4, 32:8, 32:10,
32:21, 34:9, 36:22,
98:15, 99:14, 99:19,
100:7, 100:13,
100:23, 101:10,
101:23, 102:19,
154:15, 154:18,
154:19, 155:8,
180:22, 185:12

decisions [4] -
25:24, 99:22, 99:23,
99:25
declined [1] - 63:1
dedicated [1] - 125:2
deemed [1] - 88:17
defence [9] - 40:23,
94:15, 121:8, 122:21,
123:3, 148:17,
149:12, 165:3, 166:3
defend [1] - 70:13
defer [2] - 89:8,
90:13
defined [2] - 51:6,
65:6
definitions [1] -
51:16
degree [1] - 81:24
delay [1] - 184:22
delete [1] - 61:20
deliver [1] - 63:19
delivered [4] - 57:13,
63:15, 88:8, 130:3
delivery [1] - 171:20
Dennis [3] - 84:11,
85:16, 94:3
denotified [1] - 175:3
deny [1] - 38:21
denying [1] - 124:21
department [1] -
58:9
depended [3] -
108:5, 132:7
depth [1] - 186:3
Deputy [1] - 22:2
described [4] -
24:17, 43:24, 95:18,
187:17
describes [1] - 24:14
describing [1] -
38:15
description [2] -
63:1, 97:4
Description [1] - 2:3
design [1] - 102:5
designated [1] -
144:25
designations [1] -
137:12
designed [1] - 98:11
desire [1] - 87:24
desk [3] - 91:10,
92:12, 108:4
destroyed [16] -
40:9, 44:16, 46:1,
48:25, 51:25, 52:4,
52:11, 52:21, 58:20,
58:23, 59:10, 61:7,
61:15, 62:13, 66:18,
108:24

destruction [14] -
47:21, 48:14, 48:21,
50:12, 52:18, 54:9,
55:14, 55:23, 57:14,
58:4, 59:5, 65:16,
67:23, 68:25
Detachment [1] -
115:8
detachment [1] -
122:17
detail [3] - 2:8,
32:24, 44:9
detailed [1] - 22:23
details [1] - 187:5
determination [2] -
4:25, 16:9
determine [3] - 4:21,
13:14, 110:20
determined [5] -
21:8, 45:5, 53:6,
53:10, 59:5
develop [1] - 145:19
developed [1] -
110:17
devoted [3] - 119:17,
123:24, 124:4
diaries [3] - 19:25,
21:16, 21:17
diary [4] - 8:13, 8:17,
23:5, 23:6
died [1] - 103:24
differ [1] - 30:6
difference [3] -
28:23, 29:1, 184:3
different [14] - 4:1,
34:4, 67:3, 90:10,
90:11, 98:24, 100:24,
102:20, 114:23,
118:12, 119:24,
124:9, 144:16, 183:25
difficult [8] - 55:18,
83:16, 95:15, 95:23,
96:19, 145:2, 145:4,
177:17
difficulties [9] - 7:3,
66:5, 66:6, 74:25,
125:4, 137:3, 145:6,
176:15, 187:14
difficulty [12] - 15:4,
28:22, 57:11, 75:21,
88:5, 107:25, 109:19,
128:1, 180:17, 185:6,
185:25, 186:6
digest [1] - 112:8
digress [1] - 21:15
dinner [3] - 32:19,
33:17, 37:16
direct [4] - 3:17,
121:11, 122:19,
180:23

directed [6] - 84:21,
84:23, 125:20,
158:14, 185:15,
187:24
directly [2] - 32:14,
101:22
dire [1] - 132:13
disabuse [2] - 89:15,
90:19
disabusing [1] -
93:25
disadvantage [2] -
42:17, 52:11
disagree [6] - 18:19,
82:2, 83:20, 84:6,
89:3, 119:19
disappearances [2] -
162:16, 162:23
disappointed [1] -
75:2
disappointing [1] -
67:11
disapproval [2] -
32:20, 34:7
disbelieve [2] - 96:3,
106:6
discerned [1] -
146:21
disclosed [4] -
59:13, 69:19, 73:10,
110:24
disclosure [18] -
57:13, 58:16, 59:17,
62:6, 62:17, 63:10,
63:17, 64:16, 86:10,
108:15, 122:21,
123:14, 124:15,
149:1, 149:3, 149:4,
161:11, 161:12
disco [1] - 148:25
discovered [3] -
58:14, 58:18, 65:19
discretion [4] - 85:7,
90:12, 100:8, 101:14
discuss [7] - 1:9,
25:12, 25:14, 31:16,
32:8, 108:17, 167:5
discussed [5] -
26:17, 44:18, 154:15,
154:20, 154:22
discussing [5] -
31:23, 141:9, 141:16,
162:12, 163:12
discussion [10] -
1:6, 15:8, 15:10,
20:21, 79:21, 86:10,
142:6, 150:12,
165:25, 178:2
discussions [12] -
6:14, 79:9, 79:11,

79:14, 79:16, 83:15,
83:21, 131:23,
142:13, 148:19,
162:20, 163:8
dismiss [1] - 150:3
dismissed [3] -
62:19, 62:24, 63:1
dismissing [2] -
71:18, 71:19
dispatch [1] - 170:20
disposal [1] - 50:11
disposing [1] -
148:22
disposition [1] -
149:9
dispute [11] - 15:2,
30:4, 30:17, 30:22,
51:11, 51:12, 56:12,
57:5, 74:12, 126:13,
133:1
disputing [2] - 56:22,
126:1
distributed [1] -
54:11
disturbing [1] -
104:15
divided [1] - 119:12
docket [1] - 150:23
doctor [1] - 81:3
doctor's [2] - 132:24,
133:2
document [32] -
8:20, 19:3, 35:6, 42:2,
42:4, 42:10, 42:19,
42:25, 46:10, 48:1,
48:8, 50:11, 53:18,
53:19, 53:25, 54:4,
54:7, 54:18, 62:14,
67:5, 67:22, 84:10,
88:11, 112:14,
119:16, 122:12,
124:22, 139:14,
147:5, 152:6, 171:11,
173:18
Document [2] -
19:15, 2:5
documentation [1] -
81:6
documents [69] -
4:2, 19:17, 41:5,
47:13, 47:22, 50:12,
52:9, 52:18, 52:22,
54:6, 54:8, 54:23,
55:3, 57:12, 58:3,
59:14, 60:4, 60:8,
62:10, 62:12, 62:21,
63:11, 63:14, 63:20,
64:18, 64:22, 65:5,
65:16, 65:20, 65:21,
66:18, 67:4, 67:13,

68:15, 68:25, 69:20,
70:12, 70:13, 70:16,
70:19, 70:20, 70:21,
71:6, 71:16, 72:24,
73:5, 73:9, 74:21,
76:6, 76:10, 76:15,
76:23, 109:21,
109:23, 110:1,
119:20, 120:5,
120:14, 125:7,
125:15, 129:25,
138:23, 146:22,
158:20, 161:24,
167:13, 167:15,
175:11, 2:7
dog [1] - 116:4
Don [16] - 24:11,
25:4, 26:8, 27:1, 27:7,
27:9, 29:22, 34:15,
35:13, 35:21, 35:23,
36:18, 45:12, 86:23,
152:2, 153:15
done [22] - 18:7,
24:8, 52:8, 53:8,
63:23, 65:18, 66:24,
66:25, 98:14, 100:3,
129:14, 134:20,
135:2, 135:19,
142:24, 143:9,
148:14, 156:1, 157:6,
161:22, 164:23,
166:11
door [5] - 100:16,
111:2, 180:24, 187:24
dose [1] - 178:22
double [1] - 112:14
doubt [3] - 56:17,
57:7, 101:15
doubts [1] - 69:14
Doust [104] - 3:1, 3:4,
3:6, 3:8, 3:24, 4:8,
18:10, 18:11, 34:23,
35:2, 42:10, 42:12,
42:21, 53:4, 53:6,
53:8, 54:3, 54:6,
54:14, 54:16, 57:25,
58:2, 58:9, 58:11,
59:13, 59:17, 60:22,
61:12, 61:15, 61:19,
61:24, 63:12, 64:2,
64:4, 64:12, 65:13,
65:15, 65:25, 66:2,
66:12, 67:16, 67:17,
68:10, 68:19, 68:24,
69:3, 69:15, 70:1,
71:5, 71:21, 73:3,
73:14, 73:24, 77:19,
77:21, 84:13, 84:15,
84:23, 85:17, 85:19,
85:25, 86:2, 86:7,

86:9, 86:16, 86:20,
88:14, 89:1, 90:1,
90:5, 90:22, 90:25,
91:6, 91:20, 93:3,
93:10, 93:15, 93:21,
98:9, 99:3, 99:7,
99:10, 100:15, 101:5,
101:18, 102:13,
102:24, 103:2,
103:14, 108:16,
110:3, 110:4, 110:16,
110:24, 111:14,
112:3, 118:23, 119:8,
151:9, 151:13,
161:16, 164:8,
183:16, 1:5
Doust's [3] - 69:6,
136:5, 161:25
down [14] - 3:17, 8:4,
30:1, 51:4, 74:2,
74:15, 81:8, 85:11,
115:17, 147:2, 147:3,
148:11, 172:19,
181:19
Downtown [4] - 62:5,
98:3, 162:16, 162:22
downtown [2] - 7:21,
97:7
draft [4] - 131:18,
131:19, 169:17,
170:14
drafting [1] - 133:14
draw [3] - 166:6,
166:12, 171:3
drawing [1] - 166:7
drawn [8] - 13:21,
100:11, 165:25,
166:4, 167:10,
170:21, 171:6, 171:9
drive [1] - 76:22
driven [1] - 105:6
drives [1] - 77:11
drop [1] - 37:12
dropped [4] - 35:24,
36:11, 36:24, 39:2
dropping [1] - 32:7
drove [2] - 101:25
drug [27] - 28:12,
43:9, 80:25, 81:5,
81:7, 81:9, 81:14,
82:1, 82:6, 83:10,
83:18, 83:25, 98:7,
140:17, 144:18,
147:17, 148:8,
175:15, 176:21,
177:9, 177:15,
177:19, 178:18,
185:20, 186:2, 186:20
drug-addicted [1] -
144:18

drug-free [1] - 81:9
drugs [25] - 6:25,
25:1, 25:21, 26:5,
26:14, 26:22, 27:3,
27:7, 27:17, 27:24,
28:15, 28:20, 43:9,
45:1, 82:25, 176:19,
178:4, 179:9, 179:13,
179:15, 179:18,
179:21, 180:7,
180:11, 182:1
duck [1] - 52:16
ducks [1] - 132:21
due [2] - 33:20,
98:16
duration [1] - 45:18
during [18] - 9:16,
13:8, 13:24, 24:22,
26:23, 71:5, 71:15,
78:11, 93:19, 95:12,
124:10, 133:17,
135:4, 135:13,
135:17, 136:4,
142:15, 179:3
duties [2] - 50:19,
136:7
duty [1] - 87:24

E

e-mail [3] - 22:24,
44:5, 88:5
earliest [1] - 65:20
early [3] - 13:9,
29:19, 110:14
earn [1] - 98:6
Easier [1] - 135:19
easiest [1] - 105:7
easily [1] - 14:4
Eastside [4] - 62:5,
98:3, 162:17, 162:22
easy [1] - 18:8
effect [7] - 38:5,
64:13, 101:15,
127:17, 153:5, 153:7,
181:18
effort [2] - 119:18,
124:16
efforts [2] - 9:13,
111:7
eight [6] - 39:15,
114:9, 128:24, 129:1,
131:14, 134:9
Eight [1] - 184:23
either [21] - 9:15,
11:4, 13:7, 13:9,
14:20, 15:11, 21:9,
54:21, 84:7, 98:6,
107:18, 115:23,
130:15, 130:17,

135:13, 155:18,
156:17, 178:1,
181:14, 182:5, 183:3
elapsed [1] - 162:6
electronic [1] -
111:17
element [1] - 104:15
elements [4] - 17:13,
94:16, 104:4, 104:11
elevated [1] - 104:6
Ellingsen [1] - 83:11
embroided [1] - 86:11
emphasis [1] - 103:6
emphasized [4] -
98:22, 98:23, 100:5
employed [1] -
113:13
encouraged [1] -
166:3
end [15] - 18:1,
106:9, 107:5, 107:15,
120:17, 120:20,
120:23, 120:24,
121:16, 121:17,
121:20, 146:6, 164:5,
170:11, 174:10
endeavour [2] -
164:5, 168:5
ended [1] - 141:10
endorsed [2] -
154:16, 154:22
ends [1] - 162:1
Enforcement [2] -
129:25, 130:3
enlist [1] - 82:17
enlisted [1] - 148:6
ensure [3] - 19:9,
82:21, 148:8
ensuring [1] - 123:24
entered [9] - 15:25,
16:19, 118:15,
156:18, 158:9,
166:19, 166:25,
167:4, 171:8
entering [1] - 157:2
enterprise [1] -
110:22
enters [1] - 137:9
enthusiasm [2] -
124:12, 144:22
entire [2] - 97:18,
106:8
entirely [3] - 59:21,
60:5, 89:3
entirety [2] - 90:2,
91:2
entitled [10] - 19:15,
21:1, 25:22, 25:24,
29:13, 60:8, 99:20,
102:14, 103:12, 2:5

<p>entries [4] - 8:13, 50:17, 54:2, 175:9</p> <p>entry [4] - 10:18, 20:4, 160:9, 173:17</p> <p>Er [1] - 158:16</p> <p>error [3] - 65:19, 129:18</p> <p>escort [5] - 30:1, 172:24, 173:12, 173:14, 173:22</p> <p>escorted [1] - 153:20</p> <p>essential [1] - 17:13</p> <p>essentially [1] - 93:17</p> <p>established [3] - 15:15, 80:18, 82:16</p> <p>estimate [1] - 93:2</p> <p>estimates [1] - 92:25</p> <p>etcetera [2] - 167:5, 167:6</p> <p>Evenhanded [1] - 128:11</p> <p>evening [2] - 13:10, 63:11</p> <p>event [14] - 1:15, 2:8, 22:7, 45:25, 60:11, 60:15, 63:24, 63:25, 72:22, 89:23, 119:10, 126:14, 128:12, 162:1</p> <p>events [5] - 45:25, 52:14, 91:19, 97:19, 107:1</p> <p>evidence [63] - 4:20, 9:1, 13:10, 13:16, 14:13, 14:15, 14:19, 14:23, 17:11, 21:6, 24:10, 26:16, 26:19, 27:14, 34:1, 34:2, 34:18, 38:4, 48:12, 48:13, 48:17, 52:2, 52:5, 52:19, 52:24, 54:3, 54:24, 55:25, 56:1, 56:4, 67:8, 73:13, 79:11, 80:12, 82:4, 94:11, 99:2, 122:12, 132:5, 132:14, 132:21, 132:25, 133:2, 133:11, 133:22, 135:1, 135:3, 138:3, 141:6, 144:6, 153:19, 153:24, 167:16, 169:8, 169:9, 175:17, 175:23, 176:17, 179:12, 180:22, 185:5, 187:19</p> <p>evidence...and [1] - 154:3</p> <p>evident [2] - 10:7, 98:16</p>	<p>exact [2] - 140:1, 140:18</p> <p>exactly [3] - 24:1, 100:8, 126:9</p> <p>Exactly [1] - 132:17</p> <p>exam [5] - 3:3, 1:5, 1:6, 1:7, 1:8</p> <p>examination [14] - 3:8, 3:10, 18:12, 74:17, 75:7, 102:18, 112:21, 163:12, 163:23, 182:18, 183:19, 183:24, 184:6, 184:21</p> <p>examine [1] - 42:19</p> <p>examined [1] - 4:21</p> <p>examines [1] - 98:20</p> <p>examining [3] - 100:21, 102:11, 183:25</p> <p>example [4] - 14:7, 16:24, 83:11, 119:21</p> <p>except [4] - 65:10, 107:3, 136:8</p> <p>exception [1] - 68:24</p> <p>exchange [2] - 36:17, 150:11</p> <p>exclusively [1] - 11:20</p> <p>Excuse [4] - 34:19, 77:21, 112:25, 118:23</p> <p>excuse [2] - 37:14, 165:1</p> <p>executed [3] - 43:20, 44:1, 119:23</p> <p>exercise [5] - 85:6, 90:12, 100:7, 101:16, 103:9</p> <p>exercised [1] - 101:14</p> <p>exhibit [9] - 19:10, 19:19, 60:23, 61:12, 88:14, 91:2, 112:6, 118:16, 118:24</p> <p>Exhibit [8] - 19:14, 19:15, 112:16, 112:23, 113:5, 117:16, 2:5, 2:8</p> <p>Exhibits [1] - 2:1</p> <p>exhibits [2] - 119:5, 120:4</p> <p>exist [1] - 74:22</p> <p>existence [4] - 49:5, 70:21, 86:13, 115:19</p> <p>exists [1] - 64:15</p> <p>expect [8] - 17:2, 25:5, 29:2, 34:20, 57:11, 89:22, 120:3, 184:23</p> <p>expectation [2] -</p>	<p>16:24, 180:21</p> <p>expected [1] - 132:24</p> <p>expecting [1] - 135:14</p> <p>experience [14] - 12:24, 13:3, 13:4, 13:13, 17:10, 28:6, 38:24, 82:11, 88:1, 93:21, 134:2, 137:2, 137:20, 149:7</p> <p>experienced [5] - 22:25, 27:20, 90:9, 97:25, 176:6</p> <p>experiences [1] - 38:23</p> <p>experiencing [1] - 88:4</p> <p>expert [4] - 34:17, 66:8, 86:25, 87:7</p> <p>explain [7] - 52:23, 53:25, 57:9, 68:7, 80:16, 86:19, 155:15</p> <p>explaining [3] - 31:22, 139:10, 140:15</p> <p>explanation [14] - 6:20, 41:15, 41:24, 42:2, 47:20, 54:8, 55:22, 57:16, 58:4, 58:14, 59:21, 60:13, 107:6, 111:21</p> <p>express [1] - 34:7</p> <p>expressed [4] - 30:18, 32:20, 69:15, 93:19</p> <p>expressing [2] - 128:24, 140:11</p> <p>extant [1] - 3:15</p> <p>extensive [1] - 114:16</p> <p>extent [5] - 95:17, 103:22, 118:11, 134:15, 172:4</p> <p>extra [4] - 35:18, 124:16, 147:18, 151:22</p> <p>extremely [4] - 17:22, 73:11, 105:25, 123:9</p> <p>eye [1] - 126:9</p>	<p>27:4, 51:15, 69:6, 78:16, 83:8, 87:18, 91:23, 98:21, 101:19, 101:20, 103:2, 103:4, 103:5, 103:7, 116:18, 128:24, 141:1, 141:10, 145:3, 155:4</p> <p>factors [2] - 5:14, 5:22</p> <p>facts [15] - 97:2, 99:21, 99:23, 100:4, 100:9, 100:12, 102:11, 102:14, 102:21, 103:11, 131:19, 131:25, 134:8, 141:25</p> <p>factual [3] - 91:16, 94:16, 97:10</p> <p>factually [3] - 132:14, 138:2, 170:18</p> <p>faintly [1] - 126:12</p> <p>Fair [3] - 52:25, 80:15, 84:9</p> <p>fair [19] - 14:15, 23:25, 24:13, 31:23, 34:25, 39:20, 68:9, 68:11, 94:22, 114:17, 115:4, 125:2, 125:4, 126:17, 137:5, 137:15, 137:22, 160:20, 166:15</p> <p>Fairly [1] - 152:12</p> <p>fairly [11] - 1:15, 13:6, 13:17, 13:22, 31:3, 31:6, 114:16, 123:16, 146:3, 166:17</p> <p>fairness [1] - 14:20</p> <p>fall [3] - 51:2, 60:1, 94:12</p> <p>falling [2] - 24:22, 45:22</p> <p>familiar [9] - 34:12, 35:7, 50:1, 83:12, 89:5, 100:23, 104:25, 158:19, 171:14</p> <p>familiarity [1] - 159:23</p> <p>families [1] - 18:14</p> <p>family [1] - 37:15</p> <p>far [6] - 100:18, 100:25, 102:11, 149:6, 170:18, 187:10</p> <p>fare [1] - 97:23</p> <p>farm [2] - 46:5, 76:13</p> <p>fashion [4] - 65:6, 66:25, 73:6, 120:8</p> <p>fast [1] - 184:18</p> <p>fault [2] - 64:12, 68:17</p> <p>favour [2] - 5:15,</p>	<p>116:20</p> <p>fax [3] - 46:21, 172:18, 172:19</p> <p>faxes [1] - 46:9</p> <p>fear [1] - 29:25</p> <p>feasible [1] - 69:7</p> <p>February [23] - 12:13, 21:25, 22:8, 23:2, 26:25, 34:15, 35:12, 41:7, 42:24, 43:19, 46:4, 46:15, 48:22, 49:3, 87:6, 130:25, 135:8, 152:2, 157:18, 174:6, 175:1, 184:12, 185:2</p> <p>feed [1] - 148:7</p> <p>feet [2] - 92:23, 93:22</p> <p>felt [6] - 14:9, 32:14, 106:9, 154:18, 180:7, 185:19</p> <p>female [1] - 142:3</p> <p>few [10] - 3:9, 15:4, 50:7, 56:6, 61:4, 75:10, 121:1, 134:7, 158:1, 159:5</p> <p>fewer [1] - 12:21</p> <p>fifth [1] - 47:22</p> <p>figure [2] - 1:21, 139:14</p> <p>figured [1] - 130:17</p> <p>File [1] - 175:8</p> <p>file [169] - 8:25, 9:7, 9:10, 9:18, 9:24, 10:23, 11:5, 11:19, 11:20, 13:22, 17:19, 17:21, 18:6, 21:21, 21:23, 22:15, 24:6, 40:3, 40:7, 40:11, 40:12, 40:15, 40:18, 40:19, 40:22, 41:1, 41:3, 41:9, 41:10, 41:20, 41:23, 43:5, 43:7, 44:14, 44:15, 46:1, 46:25, 47:4, 47:21, 48:13, 48:15, 48:18, 48:22, 48:23, 49:4, 49:9, 49:11, 49:15, 49:17, 51:8, 51:24, 52:4, 52:10, 52:15, 52:18, 52:21, 54:10, 55:1, 55:23, 56:11, 56:13, 56:16, 56:19, 57:15, 57:19, 58:4, 58:21, 59:5, 59:6, 65:16, 75:16, 75:17, 76:14, 76:15, 76:22, 77:14, 79:15, 83:12, 94:11, 94:24, 95:1, 97:19, 105:19,</p>
--	--	--	--	---

105:22, 106:7,
106:10, 107:14,
107:21, 108:3, 108:8,
109:24, 110:18,
111:16, 116:21,
118:2, 118:17,
119:11, 119:17,
119:20, 123:23,
124:11, 124:14,
125:3, 125:21,
128:18, 129:5,
133:16, 136:12,
137:11, 138:5, 138:8,
140:22, 141:8,
141:14, 141:16,
141:20, 142:13,
142:16, 143:16,
143:20, 143:22,
144:12, 144:17,
144:22, 145:3, 145:6,
148:25, 149:14,
155:5, 155:6, 155:7,
157:9, 157:14,
159:23, 160:7,
160:20, 161:6, 161:9,
161:22, 162:1,
164:14, 165:1, 165:9,
165:16, 166:12,
169:14, 169:22,
171:13, 172:7,
174:13, 177:2, 177:3,
177:8, 178:12,
178:13, 181:20,
185:21, 185:22,
186:4, 186:12,
186:14, 186:17,
186:19, 188:19
filed [2] - 90:19,
166:8
files [37] - 12:2, 12:5,
12:7, 12:8, 12:20,
48:10, 49:8, 49:14,
49:19, 51:1, 53:21,
55:15, 57:3, 57:23,
58:19, 58:22, 59:10,
60:24, 61:7, 61:13,
75:13, 75:22, 76:1,
76:21, 77:14, 108:20,
108:24, 108:25,
110:10, 114:2,
124:24, 141:19,
145:3, 145:22,
160:22, 160:23,
181:13
final [1] - 25:13
finally [3] - 3:4, 59:2,
187:16
fine [4] - 17:24,
34:24, 77:23, 183:11
Fine[2] - 151:22,

182:14
fingertips [1] - 87:11
finish [5] - 10:18,
164:5, 182:25, 183:7,
183:15
finished [1] - 2:7
finishes [1] - 183:12
firm [4] - 120:21,
121:7, 167:17, 167:20
First[3] - 81:22,
94:18, 164:7
first [36] - 4:24, 5:3,
6:10, 7:8, 9:9, 11:3,
11:10, 13:23, 14:5,
20:8, 36:3, 44:24,
45:9, 59:7, 66:7,
92:16, 94:8, 94:11,
97:6, 110:9, 121:18,
122:6, 125:10,
125:13, 126:2, 128:2,
130:6, 133:16,
145:13, 147:23,
153:21, 161:7, 166:8,
167:25, 170:25, 185:3
Firstly[1] - 97:6
fits [4] - 27:10,
32:11, 32:16, 107:2
five [8] - 14:6, 114:9,
135:5, 135:7, 135:14,
146:2, 147:20, 184:19
five-day [1] - 184:19
fix [3] - 159:21,
160:6, 160:7
fixing [1] - 160:17
flag [1] - 98:1
flip [1] - 168:3
flipping [2] - 37:19,
186:22
flow [1] - 3:2
fly [1] - 137:5
focus [1] - 12:12
focused [1] - 95:9
focusing [1] - 46:2
folders [1] - 18:6
follow [5] - 34:13,
35:21, 50:23, 164:9,
177:22
follow-up [1] - 164:9
following [6] - 25:11,
51:2, 100:9, 104:4,
125:13, 136:15
follows [1] - 138:5
food [1] - 28:17
foot [2] - 122:15,
125:22
force [3] - 39:13,
60:1, 82:25
foregoing [1] - 189:4
forensic [1] - 170:9
forget [2] - 34:5,

150:8
forgive [1] - 148:4
form [3] - 56:4,
65:22, 171:20
format [1] - 76:22
formed [1] - 25:8
former [2] - 87:15,
94:4
forms [3] - 126:15,
129:25, 130:3
formulate [1] -
112:10
forth [1] - 40:23
forthcoming [2] -
87:12, 132:5
forward [2] - 11:1,
170:14
forwarded [2] -
41:25, 86:3
four [9] - 11:23, 12:1,
12:4, 12:9, 12:15,
51:17, 133:6, 136:6,
136:15
fourish [1] - 150:16
fourth [2] - 141:1,
141:4
Frank[1] - 85:13
frankly [1] - 74:4
free [1] - 81:9
freeway [1] - 114:14
frequently [2] -
27:21, 114:2
Friday[9] - 7:11,
21:8, 21:12, 69:4,
130:18, 130:24,
156:15, 166:21, 167:8
friend [31] - 42:21,
53:11, 54:17, 65:15,
66:5, 67:9, 79:13,
79:15, 84:16, 86:20,
88:14, 90:1, 93:21,
96:10, 98:18, 99:4,
100:1, 100:9, 101:18,
102:7, 103:17,
108:17, 108:19,
119:3, 136:4, 151:9,
151:23, 161:25,
163:7, 164:8
front [11] - 40:18,
42:17, 58:15, 100:16,
118:15, 139:3, 141:4,
148:23, 148:25,
166:9, 185:9
fruitful [1] - 58:25
frustration [1] -
39:19
fuck [1] - 37:20
fuckin' [1] - 37:12
fulfil [1] - 95:12
full [10] - 11:24, 18:6,

22:17, 39:13, 54:8,
58:14, 89:7, 92:18,
150:23, 157:13
fully [1] - 91:25
function [1] - 28:21
furore [1] - 46:4
furtherance [1] -
162:14
future [1] - 188:3

G

gallery [1] - 77:6
gang [2] - 117:13,
117:15
gather [1] - 112:23
gathering [1] - 2:16
gauge [1] - 175:17
gauging [1] - 177:5
Gaul[5] - 22:5, 42:6,
44:5, 46:6, 46:9
Gee[1] - 153:5
general [4] - 109:8,
140:1, 177:18, 179:14
General[3] - 22:2,
44:6, 59:23
Genera's [2] - 58:9,
73:9
generally [3] - 50:19,
117:7, 129:9
generated [1] -
109:20
genesis [1] - 88:11
Geoff[3] - 22:5, 46:6,
46:9
Geoffrey[2] - 42:6,
44:5
Gibbons[2] - 167:25,
168:11
Giffin[6] - 128:5,
128:14, 128:21,
129:13, 129:17
Giles[2] - 1:19, 1:22
Gillian[1] - 123:18
given [18] - 24:11,
38:24, 55:11, 57:1,
60:14, 87:25, 95:1,
107:6, 110:19,
111:21, 115:4,
123:21, 141:6,
145:22, 149:13,
154:19, 165:8, 180:16
Given[2] - 38:23,
154:24
goodbye [1] - 31:8
govern [1] - 50:18
Government[1] -
128:13
Gratl[58] - 60:22,
62:2, 62:3, 62:23,

62:25, 63:6, 63:10,
64:1, 64:7, 64:21,
64:25, 65:12, 66:11,
67:24, 68:5, 69:6,
69:13, 69:19, 70:4,
70:6, 70:8, 70:22,
71:12, 71:18, 72:2,
72:10, 72:14, 72:18,
72:21, 72:23, 73:2,
73:5, 73:13, 73:17,
74:2, 74:3, 74:13,
74:15, 108:16,
108:17, 108:23,
109:3, 109:6, 109:19,
111:8, 111:21,
111:23, 112:2,
182:10, 182:12,
182:20, 183:10,
183:17, 183:22,
184:5, 184:6, 1:8
great [8] - 32:24,
80:1, 87:2, 87:24,
109:25, 144:22,
146:11, 183:13
greatest [1] - 66:11
grievous [1] - 142:1
grown [1] - 114:6
Guess[1] - 123:19
guess [4] - 11:12,
99:19, 154:21, 167:2
guesses [1] - 84:16
guessing [5] - 85:6,
85:9, 91:24, 114:9,
162:25
guilty [1] - 160:4
guise [1] - 105:4
Gulbransen[9] -
22:6, 44:5, 46:9,
46:12, 46:19, 46:24,
47:8, 47:13, 163:2

H

hair [2] - 147:12,
147:13
half [2] - 125:23,
164:4
halls [1] - 78:7
hand [7] - 56:10,
88:4, 93:6, 93:7,
118:24, 128:19,
171:17
handcuff [2] - 142:2,
142:5
handcuffs [4] -
102:4, 104:10,
141:12, 141:13
handed [1] - 118:5
handle [1] - 49:15
handled [4] - 90:9,

<p>104:13, 117:9, 123:22 handling [13] - 22:15, 22:20, 28:3, 79:4, 80:20, 83:22, 94:10, 116:20, 124:11, 129:5, 142:12, 147:19, 148:5 hands [2] - 182:10, 182:12 handwriting [4] - 48:3, 75:12, 125:11, 141:3 handwritten [4] - 53:19, 53:21, 54:2, 55:14 hangout [1] - 115:16 happy [4] - 33:1, 33:19, 55:18, 80:15 hard [4] - 76:22, 77:11, 126:2, 151:13 harm [1] - 51:19 hatred [1] - 105:6 Hawaii [1] - 37:21 hear [6] - 1:24, 16:12, 35:23, 53:1, 77:9, 85:21 heard [7] - 63:7, 73:4, 77:19, 91:17, 94:7, 122:12, 144:5 hearing [17] - 1:4, 1:16, 6:12, 32:17, 60:3, 60:18, 60:21, 75:1, 87:3, 92:8, 93:21, 108:11, 108:14, 160:5, 163:21, 167:2, 188:22 hearsay [3] - 46:22, 79:23, 81:9 heft [1] - 119:15 held [2] - 37:18, 50:12 hello [1] - 37:9 Hells [6] - 116:9, 116:15, 117:3, 117:12, 117:13, 144:21 help [8] - 28:17, 39:16, 63:4, 63:7, 70:15, 91:18, 142:19, 157:25 helped [2] - 80:6, 81:20 helpful [8] - 2:16, 42:19, 56:1, 91:16, 92:4, 146:14, 148:14, 151:15 hereby [1] - 189:4 heroin [5] - 28:13, 28:25, 176:11, 177:21, 186:25</p>	<p>Heroin [1] - 177:21 herself [4] - 29:9, 38:2, 98:6, 151:17 high [2] - 43:24, 123:16 high-profile [1] - 123:16 history [1] - 144:19 Hld [1] - 174:11 hold [3] - 10:2, 11:9, 187:13 Holmes [2] - 161:14, 168:24 home [11] - 20:6, 32:19, 33:2, 33:13, 33:19, 36:15, 37:15, 38:11, 102:3, 139:13, 139:15 homicide [1] - 109:20 Honour [1] - 168:24 Hope [1] - 146:1 hope [1] - 170:19 hopeful [1] - 169:5 hoping [1] - 120:11 horrible [1] - 52:11 horrified [1] - 107:11 hospital [11] - 1:14, 94:21, 95:6, 96:9, 104:5, 105:14, 106:21, 141:11, 142:1, 179:8, 187:2 Hotel [1] - 36:1 hotel [1] - 80:4 hotels [1] - 148:7 hour [8] - 32:19, 71:5, 71:15, 91:12, 93:1, 108:10, 125:23, 164:5 hours [2] - 150:11, 174:23 huge [4] - 20:16, 22:2, 22:11, 110:22 hundred [1] - 116:5 hung [1] - 37:21 hurt [1] - 14:15 hypothetical [1] - 28:18</p>	<p>identified [1] - 133:9 identifies [1] - 170:21 identify [5] - 105:16, 125:7, 158:3, 172:3, 172:5 identity [1] - 1:18 ignoring [1] - 67:13 illegal [2] - 27:23, 115:16 imagine [3] - 33:18, 38:7, 38:10 immediate [1] - 107:22 immediately [5] - 22:13, 32:16, 64:17, 111:10, 131:4 immensely [1] - 105:2 Impact [3] - 10:15, 10:22, 10:25 impacted [1] - 100:6 impaired [1] - 81:25 important [11] - 23:4, 36:4, 36:23, 52:3, 54:25, 60:2, 80:24, 81:2, 133:11, 161:19, 172:11 impression [9] - 25:9, 26:13, 27:2, 27:3, 27:11, 27:16, 123:22, 178:3, 183:17 incapable [2] - 149:25, 150:21 incident [2] - 6:6, 181:4 inclined [2] - 109:8, 144:12 include [1] - 77:15 included [3] - 55:12, 83:23, 178:12 including [5] - 40:4, 82:13, 82:18, 139:13, 157:9 inclusion [1] - 19:8 incoherent [1] - 150:21 indeed [3] - 57:11, 149:22, 150:21 independence [1] - 85:2 independent [2] - 136:23, 172:6 Index [1] - 1:1 index [5] - 118:22, 119:12, 120:8, 167:22, 168:7 indicate [5] - 16:13, 124:11, 161:16, 186:15, 186:17</p>	<p>indicated [9] - 13:19, 55:25, 139:13, 141:17, 159:10, 177:8, 180:24, 185:6, 186:13 indicates [2] - 20:4, 143:3 indicating [1] - 141:4 indication [3] - 17:4, 124:3, 157:21 individual [1] - 99:14 individuals [5] - 61:1, 61:17, 62:4, 99:22, 99:24 inflicted [1] - 17:23 influence [7] - 27:17, 28:8, 28:12, 28:15, 179:15, 180:7, 180:11 information [19] - 2:16, 9:25, 31:10, 31:14, 41:17, 61:16, 69:19, 91:16, 116:22, 120:2, 139:12, 143:18, 152:21, 157:10, 158:8, 184:7, 184:8, 186:2, 186:8 informations [1] - 76:10 ingested [4] - 25:1, 25:21, 26:5, 26:22 ingesting [1] - 176:19 initial [2] - 162:3, 173:17 Initials [1] - 171:25 initials [4] - 160:21, 161:4, 172:2, 174:9 injected [1] - 186:15 injecting [1] - 28:25 injuries [6] - 17:23, 103:22, 104:3, 106:1, 107:12, 134:16 injury [2] - 51:5, 51:17 input [1] - 22:3 inquiries [2] - 68:12, 110:13 inquiry [14] - 59:25, 65:2, 78:3, 78:12, 78:16, 79:4, 79:8, 79:20, 83:6, 83:8, 84:3, 99:13, 101:13, 164:3 Inquiry [1] - 85:13 inserted [2] - 37:11, 126:12 instance [1] - 5:19 instead [1] - 185:15 instigation [1] - 84:16</p>	<p>instructions [1] - 68:20 intellectual [1] - 31:2 intend [1] - 89:19 intends [1] - 182:20 intensity [7] - 186:4, 187:6, 187:20, 188:5, 188:8, 188:14, 188:17 intention [3] - 138:12, 144:13, 145:6 interaction [1] - 172:5 intercourse [1] - 106:22 interest [7] - 5:9, 5:12, 5:14, 5:22, 5:24, 6:2, 74:18 interested [3] - 52:25, 124:21, 173:11 interesting [2] - 2:11, 48:21 interests [2] - 67:3, 67:24 interval [1] - 147:20 intervene [1] - 93:24 interview [49] - 1:12, 21:7, 21:9, 21:10, 21:22, 29:22, 34:14, 35:11, 45:3, 45:11, 45:12, 80:1, 94:20, 105:20, 107:15, 107:23, 108:8, 125:24, 127:5, 127:11, 130:14, 134:9, 134:22, 135:15, 135:21, 138:17, 145:13, 145:17, 145:19, 146:11, 146:16, 146:19, 147:20, 150:15, 151:17, 152:1, 152:2, 152:9, 156:14, 175:25, 176:2, 178:16, 178:19, 179:23, 180:18, 181:14, 187:11, 188:10 interviewed [10] - 13:17, 14:4, 14:5, 14:10, 15:3, 107:18, 127:2, 133:12, 135:8, 151:5 interviewing [3] - 96:9, 127:15, 133:7 interviews [9] - 13:6, 24:8, 115:10, 120:6, 129:1, 135:17, 137:4, 137:14, 144:14 intravenous [2] - 177:9, 186:20</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>introduce ^[1] - 145:21</p> <p>introducing ^[1] - 35:6</p> <p>investigating ^[2] - 44:19, 162:14</p> <p>investigation ^[5] - 62:12, 118:17, 119:18, 154:25, 162:21</p> <p>investigations ^[1] - 185:16</p> <p>investigator's ^[2] - 116:12, 116:24</p> <p>investigators ^[1] - 123:23</p> <p>involved ^[23] - 6:8, 10:1, 10:11, 49:22, 55:20, 55:24, 61:1, 71:11, 71:14, 79:2, 79:15, 81:23, 82:3, 83:13, 83:24, 87:24, 115:23, 116:2, 117:14, 123:15, 143:24, 144:18, 160:19</p> <p>involvement ^[2] - 40:2, 138:21</p> <p>involving ^[4] - 40:22, 138:2, 138:6, 145:11</p> <p>irrelevant ^[2] - 67:7</p> <p>isolation ^[3] - 95:10, 95:24, 96:21</p> <p>issue ^[20] - 1:9, 21:14, 43:12, 45:8, 54:25, 55:14, 57:14, 59:5, 60:2, 65:15, 67:22, 75:11, 77:11, 85:22, 87:4, 87:9, 104:19, 129:19, 183:7</p> <p>issued ^[4] - 64:17, 69:8, 125:18, 126:15</p> <p>issues ^[10] - 2:20, 17:18, 40:24, 54:25, 82:19, 83:10, 86:11, 86:25, 89:24, 169:7</p> <p>items ^[1] - 108:18</p> <p>itself ^[8] - 40:7, 96:2, 96:5, 107:10, 114:10, 157:3, 177:3, 177:8</p>	<p>23:14, 23:15, 27:16, 29:5, 40:17, 107:16, 130:23, 130:24, 135:23, 136:5, 136:16, 138:24, 139:4, 140:8, 146:12, 147:25, 150:15, 158:8, 158:13, 162:2, 162:6, 162:13, 162:19, 170:1, 170:4, 170:13, 170:22, 173:5, 173:8, 173:19, 174:22, 180:19</p> <p>Janzen ^[3] - 121:7, 121:9, 123:4</p> <p>Jason ^[1] - 62:3</p> <p>job ^[5] - 39:8, 92:4, 124:8, 165:16, 165:21</p> <p>John ^[1] - 35:14</p> <p>Johnson ^[1] - 164:4</p> <p>joined ^[2] - 114:4, 155:20</p> <p>judge ^[7] - 46:14, 157:3, 157:18, 157:23, 166:2, 166:9, 185:9</p> <p>Judge ^[2] - 161:14, 168:24</p> <p>judgment ^[6] - 85:5, 93:5, 99:11, 100:7, 101:7, 187:19</p> <p>July ^[1] - 160:13</p> <p>jumped ^[1] - 94:16</p> <p>June ^[5] - 62:13, 159:9, 159:12, 159:13, 161:1</p> <p>junior ^[1] - 110:4</p> <p>jurisdictions ^[2] - 113:14, 113:17</p> <p>juror ^[1] - 123:19</p> <p>jury ^[2] - 13:3, 145:25</p> <p>Justice ^[11] - 59:24, 62:6, 62:9, 62:15, 62:21, 63:19, 66:19, 66:23, 69:23, 77:5, 181:25</p> <p>justice ^[5] - 38:23, 39:13, 39:16, 105:1, 126:16</p> <p>justify ^[2] - 99:20, 149:16</p> <p>Justin ^[1] - 76:25</p>	<p>21:17, 120:3</p> <p>key ^[3] - 64:25, 141:12, 142:4</p> <p>kicked ^[1] - 160:15</p> <p>kidding ^[2] - 36:13, 167:18</p> <p>kids ^[1] - 37:5</p> <p>killed ^[1] - 39:18</p> <p>kilometres ^[1] - 101:25</p> <p>Kim ^[3] - 43:4, 44:13, 48:22</p> <p>kind ^[4] - 66:7, 73:17, 101:13, 177:22</p> <p>kinds ^[2] - 73:14, 160:22</p> <p>Kingsbury ^[5] - 41:14, 41:25, 42:7, 43:17, 44:10</p> <p>knife ^[1] - 141:11</p> <p>knowing ^[2] - 75:25, 91:22</p> <p>knowledge ^[22] - 13:5, 17:10, 17:13, 76:19, 82:12, 83:14, 83:17, 83:20, 84:8, 97:21, 107:17, 107:19, 116:16, 117:2, 117:10, 142:11, 142:12, 143:21, 143:23, 157:17, 179:2</p> <p>known ^[8] - 67:9, 78:18, 87:15, 102:21, 115:15, 142:3, 144:20, 152:7</p> <p>Krieger ^[1] - 85:11</p>	<p>66:24, 72:25, 91:8, 156:15, 165:4</p> <p>law ^[11] - 17:18, 18:3, 85:10, 85:14, 88:25, 91:25, 100:19, 100:21, 102:23, 120:21, 121:7</p> <p>Law ^[2] - 129:25, 130:3</p> <p>Lawson ^[1] - 143:13</p> <p>Lawton ^[4] - 143:15, 143:16, 143:20</p> <p>lawyer ^[10] - 27:1, 34:16, 35:12, 57:12, 86:23, 107:14, 123:2, 123:9, 137:1, 155:18</p> <p>lawyers ^[5] - 2:20, 114:1, 114:7, 123:12, 183:9</p> <p>lead ^[1] - 98:24</p> <p>Leanna ^[1] - 189:9</p> <p>learn ^[1] - 79:16</p> <p>learned ^[7] - 32:5, 38:1, 86:19, 87:11, 87:12, 127:14, 164:8</p> <p>learning ^[2] - 36:21, 39:1</p> <p>least ^[2] - 138:24, 147:25</p> <p>leave ^[13] - 3:10, 15:5, 55:6, 67:22, 75:11, 77:21, 93:17, 119:1, 138:12, 138:15, 154:4, 154:8, 187:24</p> <p>led ^[2] - 79:10, 103:6</p> <p>left ^[7] - 30:25, 56:10, 134:2, 139:15, 172:12, 173:2, 180:24</p> <p>left-hand ^[1] - 56:10</p> <p>legal ^[3] - 17:9, 18:6, 69:23</p> <p>length ^[1] - 60:10</p> <p>lengthy ^[3] - 33:25, 149:25, 169:5</p> <p>Lens ^[1] - 129:24</p> <p>less ^[8] - 12:2, 38:5, 57:24, 68:13, 81:5, 82:7, 83:24, 149:15</p> <p>lesser ^[1] - 148:20</p> <p>letter ^[29] - 9:2, 9:3, 9:11, 42:24, 44:10, 84:20, 84:23, 85:15, 86:3, 93:4, 93:14, 94:15, 131:22, 143:12, 143:19, 143:24, 155:24, 156:1, 161:14, 165:3, 168:1, 168:4, 168:12, 168:13, 168:19,</p>	<p>169:25, 170:3, 170:11, 170:13</p> <p>letters ^[2] - 121:5, 121:19</p> <p>letting ^[1] - 98:18</p> <p>level ^[2] - 31:5, 176:19</p> <p>liberty ^[1] - 183:20</p> <p>lied ^[1] - 14:18</p> <p>life ^[2] - 32:2, 109:12</p> <p>light ^[2] - 63:10, 63:11</p> <p>lights ^[1] - 102:2</p> <p>likelihood ^[9] - 4:22, 5:6, 5:21, 6:1, 16:10, 16:16, 31:16, 164:17, 164:20</p> <p>Likely ^[2] - 12:17, 21:19</p> <p>likely ^[8] - 9:24, 18:2, 21:20, 25:10, 29:6, 44:16, 90:10, 167:3</p> <p>limit ^[1] - 64:10</p> <p>limitations ^[1] - 85:3</p> <p>limited ^[2] - 65:7, 70:17</p> <p>Line ^[1] - 35:20</p> <p>line ^[8] - 98:9, 98:10, 98:18, 99:5, 101:2, 117:24, 152:14, 152:17</p> <p>lines ^[4] - 84:18, 144:8, 144:11, 153:11</p> <p>Lisa ^[1] - 148:10</p> <p>list ^[19] - 51:18, 53:21, 55:15, 56:24, 57:6, 57:22, 58:21, 60:24, 61:19, 75:13, 75:17, 75:23, 108:20, 108:24, 108:25, 110:11, 122:16, 158:21</p> <p>listen ^[3] - 71:20, 102:10, 109:11</p> <p>listened ^[1] - 74:18</p> <p>listening ^[2] - 63:6, 91:19</p> <p>live ^[2] - 1:25, 56:5</p> <p>living ^[1] - 6:24</p> <p>load ^[3] - 11:24, 12:4, 13:25</p> <p>locate ^[3] - 41:23, 108:4, 139:7</p> <p>located ^[6] - 43:6, 44:14, 46:25, 48:23, 52:19, 78:13</p> <p>locating ^[1] - 180:17</p> <p>loggerheads ^[1] - 72:4</p> <p>logical ^[1] - 30:10</p>
J				
<p>Jacinta ^[5] - 143:11, 143:13, 143:15, 143:16, 143:20</p> <p>Jack ^[1] - 79:9</p> <p>January ^[40] - 9:10, 11:14, 11:16, 12:12, 12:16, 15:15, 20:4,</p>				
K				
<p>Karey ^[1] - 35:13</p> <p>keep ^[3] - 21:22, 23:10, 60:9</p> <p>kept ^[3] - 21:16,</p>				
L				
<p>lab ^[1] - 170:9</p> <p>labouring ^[2] - 74:25, 75:1</p> <p>lacked ^[1] - 124:12</p> <p>laid ^[2] - 17:25, 44:1</p> <p>landed ^[1] - 108:3</p> <p>Lanton ^[1] - 143:11</p> <p>large ^[3] - 39:6, 102:17, 137:25</p> <p>largely ^[1] - 67:7</p> <p>last ^[16] - 19:23, 50:3, 61:4, 86:14, 112:20, 113:22, 121:11, 122:24, 130:9, 148:16, 154:13, 158:13, 162:5, 170:11, 174:22, 178:22</p> <p>Last ^[2] - 121:1, 122:7</p> <p>late ^[7] - 9:3, 40:17,</p>				
xi				

<p>logically [1] - 31:1 logistical [2] - 129:18, 129:19 long-standing [5] - 94:5, 177:12, 186:9, 187:15, 188:18 Look[4] - 50:25, 73:16, 111:2, 181:1 look [23] - 23:9, 27:5, 42:4, 42:5, 51:16, 56:12, 59:18, 86:5, 95:5, 95:25, 96:21, 99:21, 106:8, 119:1, 126:8, 137:12, 139:14, 148:12, 148:23, 157:20, 170:14, 181:4, 181:20 looked [16] - 17:19, 35:8, 52:8, 58:23, 76:13, 94:8, 94:11, 94:18, 98:13, 100:4, 105:18, 110:5, 144:11, 152:25, 165:11, 171:15 looking [13] - 4:1, 17:20, 19:22, 56:24, 59:4, 76:14, 96:4, 107:5, 118:18, 119:11, 119:16, 142:25, 158:5 looks [11] - 119:19, 121:25, 130:6, 130:10, 138:23, 156:2, 158:11, 159:13, 173:6, 174:11, 180:18 loose [1] - 120:13 lost [1] - 164:3 lovely [1] - 153:9 low [1] - 167:20 low-budget [1] - 167:20 Lower[2] - 113:18 Ltd[1] - 189:11 lucid [1] - 28:13 lunch [2] - 65:23, 91:12 Lynn[1] - 83:11</p>	<p>Main [3] - 7:15, 8:4, 147:4 Mainland [2] - 113:18, 113:19 maintain [2] - 88:17, 111:24 male [1] - 142:4 man [3] - 97:7, 102:5, 104:7 manage [1] - 82:19 managed [4] - 28:7, 28:11, 84:1, 176:13 manner [2] - 64:16, 101:10 manual [1] - 50:6 Manual [5] - 3:14, 4:3, 49:17, 50:2, 50:18 Maple [1] - 114:13 March [5] - 40:16, 62:5, 96:10, 107:16, 121:6 Marg [3] - 41:14, 41:25, 42:7 Margaret [2] - 3:7, 1:4 marijuana [1] - 28:24 Marion [2] - 163:25, 164:2 mark [1] - 19:6 marked [11] - 18:24, 19:4, 19:14, 48:2, 88:14, 111:20, 112:6, 112:12, 112:14, 113:7, 120:13 markings [1] - 73:9 marks [2] - 177:11, 187:3 material [24] - 3:15, 40:4, 45:15, 50:20, 62:10, 69:11, 70:1, 70:6, 70:8, 70:9, 71:21, 77:15, 77:16, 83:7, 94:17, 96:16, 110:5, 110:16, 110:17, 110:20, 171:13, 172:7 materials [3] - 66:21, 109:25, 111:1 matter [30] - 18:8, 22:4, 23:3, 25:16, 28:22, 34:18, 38:3, 52:3, 53:2, 68:22, 87:25, 89:6, 93:17, 106:3, 106:9, 106:24, 118:3, 123:19, 124:24, 131:12, 132:22, 148:22, 154:21, 156:23, 157:17, 159:8,</p>	<p>161:18, 161:20, 170:19, 181:19 matters [7] - 3:9, 53:14, 108:18, 136:16, 145:15, 169:10, 170:10 mean [24] - 5:24, 21:20, 26:15, 28:10, 49:13, 64:21, 66:12, 72:12, 72:16, 73:7, 83:16, 95:16, 95:22, 96:19, 109:10, 109:11, 110:21, 128:11, 133:15, 175:2, 178:8, 179:16, 182:19, 188:1 means [4] - 2:7, 26:17, 163:12, 174:24 mechanics [3] - 1:23, 52:22, 157:2 media [4] - 22:5, 46:4, 46:6, 115:20 mediate [1] - 74:11 medical [5] - 103:23, 120:4, 133:21, 134:14, 169:7 meet [10] - 2:4, 6:19, 7:21, 23:18, 71:5, 71:15, 77:25, 139:2, 147:8, 173:16 meeting [37] - 7:5, 7:11, 7:13, 7:14, 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 8:1, 8:8, 23:24, 24:4, 24:12, 24:15, 24:23, 25:2, 25:6, 25:8, 25:21, 26:6, 26:22, 26:24, 27:16, 27:18, 30:5, 32:9, 45:18, 95:20, 131:4, 149:25, 150:24, 152:18, 152:23, 153:4, 166:16, 166:20, 170:25, 171:1 members [7] - 69:16, 115:7, 116:9, 117:8, 117:12, 128:25, 144:21 memo [3] - 128:3, 149:2, 157:22 memorandum [8] - 121:20, 128:9, 128:13, 128:22, 129:5, 129:20, 130:2, 130:13 memory [3] - 30:7, 146:23, 166:11 memos [1] - 27:12 mention [3] - 91:14, 116:13, 161:13</p>	<p>mentioned [8] - 24:19, 29:10, 88:3, 116:18, 141:19, 146:25, 147:3, 187:10 mentioning [4] - 116:3, 142:10, 155:20, 163:10 message [7] - 41:14, 41:19, 41:20, 90:7, 173:23, 174:8, 174:16 met [7] - 5:3, 21:4, 127:2, 131:9, 149:22, 152:23, 156:8 methadone [1] - 148:7 mid [1] - 64:25 mid-cross [1] - 64:25 middle [2] - 56:14, 173:7 might [24] - 3:21, 16:15, 28:24, 30:6, 30:12, 47:17, 66:22, 66:23, 75:22, 78:9, 80:21, 87:8, 89:13, 100:18, 142:19, 151:2, 157:23, 160:4, 163:9, 163:13, 167:1, 182:17, 185:17 Mike [7] - 44:19, 79:14, 83:15, 122:13, 124:23, 162:8, 162:20 mike [1] - 164:19 militated [1] - 116:20 mill [1] - 137:4 mind [18] - 24:2, 24:3, 24:4, 25:9, 29:4, 42:16, 60:9, 85:1, 89:15, 90:19, 92:24, 93:25, 95:23, 96:24, 116:6, 141:23, 153:1, 166:21 mine [1] - 167:17 Ministry [1] - 44:6 minor [3] - 137:4, 137:8, 137:9 minus [3] - 19:14, 19:17, 2:7 minute [4] - 4:24, 37:7, 71:13, 133:25 minutes [4] - 60:18, 129:2, 163:18, 173:3 misaligned [1] - 118:14 Miscellaneous [1] - 120:13 misleading [1] - 54:16 misled [1] - 54:17 missed [2] - 7:7, 104:15</p>	<p>missing [2] - 18:14, 46:1 misspelt [1] - 159:3 misspoke [1] - 117:21 mistaken [3] - 33:22, 86:20, 130:21 misunderstood [2] - 53:7, 184:14 mixed [1] - 12:3 mixture [1] - 12:22 mom [7] - 21:3, 26:2, 36:4, 186:7, 187:13 Mom [3] - 33:8, 37:8, 38:13 moment [19] - 4:16, 21:14, 26:20, 34:6, 34:23, 35:3, 45:10, 49:24, 53:20, 56:6, 57:9, 57:19, 63:5, 86:11, 89:12, 110:11, 171:19, 186:20, 186:22 moments [1] - 159:5 Monday [17] - 7:11, 21:10, 21:11, 25:11, 31:9, 130:18, 130:24, 131:1, 135:7, 135:22, 138:16, 156:18, 156:19, 156:20, 166:19, 167:9 money [2] - 98:6, 167:18 monitor [2] - 16:20, 16:25 monitoring [1] - 148:13 month [1] - 12:16 month's [1] - 146:4 months [8] - 9:16, 11:25, 74:25, 138:18, 138:20, 184:16, 184:19, 184:23 Moody [1] - 114:14 Morin [1] - 184:22 morning [20] - 1:20, 7:8, 13:9, 60:16, 67:10, 88:3, 88:8, 92:16, 118:1, 134:21, 134:22, 138:11, 153:14, 153:21, 173:20, 182:9, 184:14, 188:21, 188:23 most [8] - 31:20, 59:24, 87:16, 111:7, 135:24, 149:22, 180:10 mother [46] - 6:15, 6:24, 7:2, 7:4, 8:9,</p>
<div>M</div>				
<p>Macdonald [6] - 52:6, 77:4, 111:15, 112:17, 2:9 Mackenzie [1] - 77:4 Maclean [2] - 121:21, 149:2 Madam [1] - 102:4 mail [3] - 22:24, 44:5, 88:5</p>				

8:14, 8:19, 8:22, 9:15,
10:25, 11:5, 20:2,
20:5, 20:12, 20:13,
20:23, 21:15, 29:15,
32:6, 32:11, 32:13,
32:15, 33:1, 33:13,
36:18, 36:22, 36:23,
138:24, 139:4, 139:8,
139:18, 139:24,
140:8, 155:9, 172:6,
172:16, 172:23,
173:15, 174:3,
174:24, 178:1, 178:3,
178:24, 178:25
mother's [5] - 11:13,
18:25, 19:25, 140:10,
146:15
 motive [1] - 104:12
Motorcycle [2] -
116:10, 117:4
move [6] - 92:20,
93:16, 112:20,
140:22, 170:19,
172:19
moved [1] - 169:17
moving [2] - 5:7,
94:8
murder [22] - 17:24,
18:4, 20:10, 22:21,
39:1, 51:20, 56:15,
57:20, 59:25, 76:3,
78:3, 78:4, 78:17,
102:6, 110:18, 122:4,
123:19, 131:10,
138:6, 138:18, 141:7,
148:21
murdered [1] - 18:14
Murray [17] - 84:11,
85:16, 86:6, 86:15,
87:14, 87:17, 87:20,
88:16, 89:23, 90:8,
93:11, 93:13, 94:3,
98:21, 100:2, 100:3
Murray's [6] - 2:10,
98:16, 98:25, 99:3,
99:8, 104:22
must [9] - 4:21, 46:6,
67:9, 119:17, 150:7,
155:8, 155:10, 162:7

N

name [15] - 1:19,
8:21, 11:13, 36:3,
37:11, 109:3, 115:19,
126:3, 126:4, 143:14,
143:15, 150:8,
155:19, 157:11, 159:7
name's [1] - 158:11
named [3] - 27:1,

86:23, 164:3
namely [1] - 90:8
names [10] - 56:24,
57:2, 57:6, 57:8,
60:25, 61:2, 61:6,
61:9, 61:21, 186:24
Narbonne [2] - 67:2
narcotics [3] - 27:23,
177:7
native [1] - 113:18
nature [1] - 11:1
near [1] - 55:2
nearly [1] - 39:17
necessarily [4] -
50:5, 138:7, 161:3,
161:5
necessary [7] - 28:2,
35:19, 42:15, 68:8,
80:20, 89:22, 163:10
need [15] - 1:20, 2:9,
2:17, 2:22, 12:8,
13:14, 60:13, 65:10,
71:9, 71:25, 72:16,
74:9, 92:12, 92:18,
142:17
needed [14] - 25:12,
28:6, 28:20, 29:25,
31:13, 32:10, 39:16,
109:14, 131:15,
134:9, 137:14,
139:11, 140:2
needs [2] - 1:22,
65:6
negotiate [3] -
149:15, 149:18,
149:20
negotiated [1] -
134:8
negotiating [2] -
148:20, 149:8
Neil [1] - 77:4
neutral [1] - 31:20
never [5] - 32:3,
37:23, 38:6, 171:6,
171:9
New [3] - 46:17,
113:19, 114:25
new [4] - 27:8, 58:12,
61:19, 63:10
news [3] - 32:17,
36:23, 53:13
next [18] - 1:14, 3:2,
3:6, 28:14, 31:9,
36:17, 44:4, 54:2,
55:9, 57:9, 117:16,
125:6, 126:10,
155:16, 172:20,
174:9, 174:12, 182:8
Next [2] - 169:4,
174:1

nice [1] - 182:17
night [3] - 36:7, 80:4,
86:14
nine [1] - 138:11
nobody's [1] - 68:2
non [1] - 123:14
non-disclosure [1] -
123:14
nonetheless [2] -
84:1, 87:18
noon [5] - 71:5,
71:15, 88:9, 93:1,
108:10
noon-hour [1] - 93:1
normal [2] - 12:18,
136:19
Normally [1] - 26:17
normally [6] - 11:25,
41:18, 133:15, 157:8,
159:17, 184:13
notation [3] - 10:11,
157:23, 162:1
note [9] - 10:13,
130:9, 130:10,
148:23, 160:7,
172:11, 174:15,
182:8, 184:7
noted [3] - 53:21,
165:23, 167:22
notes [14] - 8:22,
9:19, 11:13, 18:25,
21:21, 40:11, 40:21,
47:3, 52:12, 52:15,
76:22, 147:24,
171:24, 172:4
Notes [1] - 41:1
nothing [8] - 29:10,
38:19, 48:14, 60:6,
98:11, 151:6, 163:3
Notice [1] - 169:17
notice [3] - 145:23,
146:4
noticed [2] - 10:23,
107:10
Notification [2] -
129:25, 130:3
notified [1] - 138:11
notifiers [1] - 129:9
notify [1] - 129:9
notifying [1] - 125:21
notorious [3] -
115:16, 116:7, 117:5
November [2] - 9:16,
159:9
number [30] - 5:13,
12:7, 12:8, 19:14,
20:6, 21:2, 32:13,
32:25, 33:2, 33:13,
36:14, 36:15, 36:25,
39:7, 42:10, 48:2,

54:7, 56:20, 75:16,
91:2, 91:3, 109:21,
119:20, 125:24,
128:18, 138:1, 138:3,
139:13, 139:15,
171:16
numbered [1] -
120:16
numbers [3] - 56:10,
56:11, 56:13
nurse [2] - 177:10,
187:2

O

o'clock [3] - 36:7,
138:11, 149:23
object [6] - 15:24,
19:8, 90:2, 90:16,
90:17, 102:10
objected [1] - 18:16
objecting [1] - 98:9
objection [7] - 88:21,
89:2, 89:19, 90:18,
90:25, 108:19
objectionable [1] -
93:23
objections [2] -
61:22, 112:11
obligation [2] -
64:15, 65:5
obligations [3] -
69:24, 73:7, 73:23
obliquely [1] -
101:22
observations [1] -
188:9
obtained [2] - 46:20,
138:4
obvious [2] - 149:12,
188:11
Obviously [1] - 90:23
obviously [4] - 21:1,
60:13, 92:16, 99:15
occasion [4] - 11:10,
13:8, 103:19, 148:12
occasions [3] - 8:18,
117:11, 159:1
occupied [1] -
111:12
occupies [1] - 46:12
occur [2] - 13:7,
91:20
occurred [5] - 7:11,
41:11, 124:10, 143:4,
156:20
occurring [2] -
78:17, 83:8
October [18] - 9:3,
9:9, 94:12, 94:14,

107:21, 108:2,
138:22, 144:2, 159:9,
159:15, 165:1, 165:2,
165:5, 165:7, 168:1,
168:3, 168:4, 168:13
offence [1] - 148:21
offences [3] - 51:5,
51:17, 75:17
offend [1] - 88:23
offenders [1] - 105:4
offensive [1] - 90:20
offer [2] - 55:22, 87:8
offered [2] - 57:15,
79:7
offering [1] - 105:9
offers [1] - 91:22
office [48] - 18:6,
23:17, 25:18, 29:11,
29:18, 29:24, 30:19,
30:25, 33:17, 37:17,
41:13, 43:5, 44:13,
57:2, 57:4, 59:23,
73:9, 76:5, 76:6,
76:20, 77:13, 78:18,
113:22, 113:25,
114:4, 114:5, 114:13,
114:24, 115:5,
115:14, 117:9,
121:21, 122:14,
129:1, 135:10,
136:13, 137:11,
137:16, 138:17,
140:24, 141:7,
141:18, 142:7, 150:2,
151:4, 152:13, 174:7,
179:20
office's [2] - 40:15,
94:10
officer [4] - 16:13,
44:19, 125:2, 130:6
officers [12] - 80:3,
81:7, 82:12, 96:8,
105:14, 115:12,
122:16, 124:7,
124:17, 127:8, 130:4,
135:12
offices [3] - 50:13,
78:13, 114:23
Official [1] - 189:10
often [7] - 12:3, 66:5,
105:3, 114:25,
115:11, 141:18,
186:15
old [1] - 38:12
once [9] - 5:19,
11:19, 19:19, 20:24,
26:1, 35:11, 139:2,
149:17, 155:8
One [10] - 14:13,
53:8, 61:3, 69:2,

80:24, 92:23, 142:2,
142:18, 156:14,
171:11
one [91] - 2:3, 4:6,
4:12, 7:5, 9:19, 17:19,
19:23, 24:9, 26:20,
27:22, 30:10, 33:12,
37:5, 38:8, 39:3, 42:6,
42:7, 47:5, 48:1,
49:19, 58:17, 58:24,
60:24, 67:3, 69:1,
72:4, 74:19, 79:6,
83:13, 85:4, 86:20,
89:16, 91:2, 91:10,
95:11, 96:21, 96:23,
101:7, 103:2, 103:19,
107:3, 108:18,
111:18, 121:23,
122:2, 123:11,
124:13, 125:3, 126:3,
127:20, 130:6, 130:8,
130:10, 136:9,
141:11, 145:19,
145:25, 146:3,
146:17, 147:19,
147:20, 148:12,
149:3, 149:11,
149:23, 151:11,
151:18, 157:8, 160:3,
162:5, 164:10,
165:23, 168:12,
172:20, 173:12,
173:13, 174:22,
175:2, 176:2, 176:8,
179:6, 179:22, 180:2,
180:13, 181:15,
181:17, 182:1
one's [1] - 68:17
one-page [1] - 58:24
ones [3] - 12:3,
146:8, 160:5
ongoing [1] - 177:4
onward [1] - 153:11
open [7] - 17:5,
89:17, 103:25, 104:1,
175:8, 180:24, 187:24
opened [3] - 111:2,
141:9, 141:14
operating [3] - 31:5,
57:10, 103:24
operation [1] -
115:25
opinion [8] - 86:25,
87:8, 87:19, 87:20,
89:16, 89:21, 93:19,
187:21
opinions [1] - 88:22
opportunity [6] -
28:16, 84:20, 85:20,
86:5, 108:17, 149:8

order [37] - 2:25, 3:2,
14:14, 62:20, 63:2,
63:3, 63:17, 63:18,
64:17, 65:3, 65:10,
68:1, 68:3, 68:5,
68:18, 69:8, 69:20,
70:17, 71:2, 71:17,
72:1, 72:3, 72:9,
72:17, 73:17, 74:5,
74:10, 74:22, 74:23,
75:6, 109:8, 109:10,
111:25, 112:1,
118:14, 132:21
Order [4] - 1:4, 60:21,
108:14, 163:21
order-in-council [1]
- 65:3
orders [4] - 2:1,
68:16, 109:12, 109:13
ordinary [3] - 73:15,
73:17, 98:3
organization [1] -
117:5
original [4] - 29:3,
59:6, 59:8, 111:16
originally [1] - 17:20
otherwise [2] -
111:12, 162:10
ought [4] - 66:24,
66:25, 75:5, 97:25
outset [1] - 110:25
outside [2] - 87:3,
153:25
overcome [1] -
106:15
own [8] - 25:20, 26:5,
26:21, 38:24, 70:14,
82:11, 114:18, 188:9

P

package [2] - 59:8,
59:10
page [44] - 3:18,
3:21, 3:22, 4:11, 5:15,
10:12, 19:22, 35:15,
47:23, 50:14, 51:15,
53:25, 54:2, 55:9,
56:8, 56:14, 58:16,
58:18, 58:24, 60:24,
61:6, 61:7, 61:10,
75:11, 94:17, 96:7,
104:24, 122:15,
125:10, 125:13,
125:23, 128:2, 128:8,
128:10, 152:5,
152:14, 152:16,
153:11, 158:13,
158:20, 171:16,
172:10, 173:7

Page [3] - 3:25, 1:2,
2:3
pages [13] - 9:19,
19:21, 61:21, 91:13,
94:19, 96:8, 118:13,
120:16, 120:17,
121:1, 152:3, 170:1
pains [1] - 61:20
pair [1] - 102:3
Palace [2] - 115:15,
115:25
paper [3] - 23:2,
76:15, 154:25
para [1] - 104:24
paragraph [11] -
50:25, 51:13, 60:2,
87:1, 87:9, 89:13,
104:22, 122:24,
168:22, 169:4, 170:11
paralegals [1] - 41:1
pardon [3] - 79:18,
84:3, 125:19
part [13] - 7:8, 39:8,
44:24, 45:9, 45:15,
48:3, 52:7, 96:23,
105:20, 112:20,
165:4, 178:12
Part [1] - 109:19
participant [1] -
73:20
participants [3] -
2:20, 62:18, 70:25
particular [16] - 5:22,
12:12, 13:19, 14:2,
15:1, 17:21, 18:25,
39:11, 74:21, 83:1,
95:1, 102:19, 140:11,
152:20, 161:21, 177:2
Particularly [1] -
71:1
particularly [2] -
38:20, 151:1
parties [1] - 166:3
partly [1] - 103:21
parts [1] - 50:5
partway [1] - 182:22
passage [4] - 34:14,
35:15, 35:20, 152:15
passed [2] - 78:9,
108:7
passing [2] - 84:11,
159:23
past [2] - 34:2, 69:17
path [1] - 100:8
Patricia [2] - 35:25,
164:3
pattern [1] - 98:21
Paul [3] - 85:13,
99:17, 121:9
pause [3] - 45:11,

104:20
pay [3] - 36:10,
137:13, 160:3
peace [1] - 126:16
People [1] - 114:22
people [35] - 1:23,
14:7, 14:9, 18:21,
27:22, 28:1, 32:2,
39:7, 39:9, 49:15,
59:19, 61:4, 61:11,
64:13, 73:6, 73:7,
82:25, 83:1, 83:11,
83:18, 90:7, 105:9,
106:19, 114:11,
114:21, 114:24,
115:3, 115:9, 117:12,
141:10, 142:1,
176:14, 176:20,
176:25, 180:10
per [1] - 116:5
perceive [2] - 84:24,
91:4
perfect [2] - 60:10,
68:13
perfectly [2] - 28:13,
107:2
perform [3] - 97:9,
175:18, 182:2
Perhaps [2] - 42:21,
151:8
perhaps [8] - 18:21,
42:9, 42:21, 86:2,
92:16, 98:24, 118:11,
139:14
period [7] - 9:12,
76:4, 78:11, 114:18,
147:19, 179:3, 184:16
permit [1] - 101:13
persist [1] - 12:6
person [35] - 10:3,
10:5, 22:5, 30:25,
46:7, 48:12, 48:19,
52:23, 58:6, 58:11,
58:12, 59:4, 59:7,
75:12, 77:2, 79:24,
82:9, 95:20, 104:16,
146:6, 147:18,
152:20, 152:25,
153:6, 153:9, 155:25,
157:1, 171:24,
173:14, 173:25,
176:4, 176:25, 181:2
person's [1] - 174:9
personal [4] - 51:5,
51:16, 83:17, 84:8
personally [1] -
126:23
persons [1] - 61:13
Peter [10] - 22:5,
44:5, 46:9, 46:12,

46:24, 132:4, 143:13,
161:14, 163:2, 167:25
Petrie [2] - 79:14,
83:15
phone [19] - 32:25,
33:4, 33:5, 33:10,
36:10, 36:14, 36:15,
36:25, 37:18, 37:23,
38:4, 38:13, 41:19,
41:20, 125:24, 173:2,
174:4, 174:7, 187:10
phoned [8] - 8:18,
32:24, 33:18, 36:8,
36:10, 37:4, 38:10,
129:22
photocopies [1] -
42:23
photographic [1] -
169:8
phrase [2] - 4:25,
122:6
physical [4] - 48:15,
76:14, 82:21, 147:10
physically [1] - 49:13
pick [1] - 164:7
picked [5] - 14:8,
97:7, 101:24, 110:7,
134:11
Pickton [35] - 6:8,
19:16, 22:1, 22:4,
22:10, 22:20, 23:3,
29:25, 30:20, 31:18,
32:18, 34:9, 46:5,
51:18, 56:15, 57:20,
70:9, 70:15, 75:16,
76:13, 77:14, 78:17,
79:4, 83:5, 109:3,
109:20, 115:20,
115:24, 116:14,
122:4, 162:15,
162:22, 162:25,
164:4, 2:6
Pickton's [8] - 18:16,
31:18, 41:8, 43:20,
58:21, 78:2, 121:6,
123:2
Picktons [1] - 116:7
piece [2] - 92:4,
173:23
piecing [1] - 8:5
Piggy's [2] - 115:15,
115:25
place [9] - 1:13, 15:1,
38:6, 38:7, 38:15,
115:15, 146:16,
156:17, 157:10
placed [3] - 59:7,
100:20, 103:6
places [1] - 102:17
plan [3] - 13:12,

102:6, 182:24
planning [1] - 97:8
play [1] - 78:25
played [1] - 1:16
plea [6] - 148:20,
 149:9, 149:15,
 149:18, 149:20, 160:4
pleased [1] - 52:25
plus [1] - 96:10
Pm [5] - 108:12,
 108:13, 163:19,
 163:20, 188:24
pocket [2] - 141:13,
 142:5
point [28] - 2:9, 4:16,
 5:4, 5:7, 7:20, 31:22,
 38:24, 48:24, 67:3,
 74:15, 77:17, 82:10,
 84:10, 89:12, 99:7,
 100:12, 101:3,
 101:20, 101:21,
 107:3, 130:12, 131:3,
 142:25, 150:24,
 156:8, 171:10,
 181:23, 185:22
pointed [1] - 96:11
points [1] - 56:2
police [42] - 1:13,
 9:18, 17:2, 47:4, 47:9,
 80:3, 80:6, 80:10,
 81:16, 81:19, 82:12,
 82:18, 82:24, 83:2,
 94:20, 96:8, 105:13,
 106:23, 117:1,
 122:16, 124:7, 125:2,
 127:8, 129:10, 130:4,
 131:14, 132:2, 132:5,
 132:20, 133:12,
 134:10, 134:25,
 135:12, 135:17,
 142:5, 171:21, 172:7,
 173:18, 180:25,
 181:9, 181:11, 181:12
police-based [1] -
 47:4
policies [1] - 50:20
Policy [6] - 3:14, 4:3,
 4:4, 49:17, 50:2,
 50:17
policy [15] - 49:4,
 49:7, 50:5, 52:1,
 62:14, 75:19, 155:4,
 179:20, 179:25,
 180:1, 180:2, 180:14,
 181:24, 182:4, 182:5
Port [29] - 7:16, 7:22,
 11:21, 22:1, 22:10,
 48:5, 48:9, 56:11,
 56:16, 57:3, 57:8,
 76:6, 77:12, 78:1,

78:13, 97:8, 104:7,
 113:21, 114:10,
 114:12, 114:14,
 114:15, 114:23,
 114:24, 115:2,
 115:14, 115:15,
 116:8, 160:22
portion [5] - 61:5,
 90:13, 109:22, 110:2,
 126:11
portions [3] - 50:8,
 90:20, 140:23
posed [1] - 35:22
position [15] - 16:8,
 52:13, 58:21, 65:17,
 68:2, 81:24, 82:25,
 83:19, 84:25, 85:15,
 88:20, 112:9, 185:10,
 185:14, 185:22
positions [1] - 2:18
possession [8] -
 20:6, 39:15, 62:11,
 63:21, 64:18, 109:24,
 156:4, 177:6
possibility [6] -
 29:10, 31:16, 135:18,
 148:20, 149:8, 188:2
possible [19] - 18:18,
 32:20, 33:7, 34:8,
 65:20, 110:14, 129:7,
 129:22, 135:21,
 146:9, 151:21,
 156:14, 156:19,
 160:11, 161:13,
 162:11, 166:23,
 170:25, 182:17
possibly [3] - 95:2,
 97:20, 112:7
post [1] - 46:12
posted [1] - 119:5
practice [12] - 13:4,
 16:20, 50:6, 80:18,
 82:17, 113:12, 127:7,
 127:16, 136:11,
 136:20, 136:24, 155:2
practised [1] - 87:16
practises [1] - 87:15
Pre [1] - 168:23
pre [5] - 159:12,
 159:13, 159:15,
 160:12, 169:3
Pre-trial [1] - 168:23
pre-trial [5] - 159:12,
 159:13, 159:15,
 160:12, 169:3
preceding [3] -
 121:18, 138:18,
 138:19
precise [2] - 38:5,
 52:12

precision [3] - 9:6,
 11:7, 90:15
preface [1] - 57:9
preferred [2] -
 147:22, 147:25
prelim [1] - 70:12
preliminary [8] -
 78:3, 78:16, 79:4,
 79:7, 79:20, 83:6,
 84:3, 96:16
premise [1] - 57:18
prep [7] - 49:16,
 136:20, 136:22,
 136:24, 137:17,
 142:24, 143:8
preparation [10] -
 17:8, 55:20, 55:21,
 105:21, 134:3,
 136:13, 137:21,
 138:9, 142:15, 143:22
prepare [13] - 17:17,
 18:8, 58:13, 67:4,
 67:6, 82:15, 86:15,
 86:24, 136:18, 140:5,
 146:5, 176:17, 185:17
prepared [15] -
 17:16, 18:4, 33:24,
 34:2, 41:24, 52:7,
 71:25, 82:3, 84:11,
 84:15, 119:22, 120:2,
 134:1, 144:6, 154:25
preparing [5] - 34:1,
 82:13, 107:23, 137:8,
 156:24
presence [5] - 23:20,
 35:13, 45:4, 48:7,
 65:1
present [3] - 25:7,
 54:16, 60:23
presented [3] -
 13:10, 29:7, 52:5
preserved [1] - 49:19
pressure [1] - 65:4
presumably [3] -
 55:4, 55:13, 179:9
pretty [7] - 12:10,
 31:10, 114:19, 116:7,
 117:6, 141:24, 173:23
Pretty [1] - 152:11
prevent [1] - 188:6
prevented [1] - 145:4
previous [2] - 23:3,
 124:23
previously [2] -
 26:13, 112:2
principle [2] - 85:2,
 101:12
printed [3] - 94:17,
 95:16, 96:7
prioritize [1] - 14:1

private [1] - 113:12
problem [23] - 13:20,
 14:20, 16:23, 32:23,
 46:22, 60:25, 101:5,
 106:25, 131:22,
 148:15, 149:17,
 149:21, 151:6, 177:2,
 177:4, 177:12,
 177:13, 182:19,
 183:3, 183:4, 185:19,
 188:12
problems [12] -
 16:20, 44:17, 80:22,
 83:19, 106:2, 106:15,
 107:8, 140:16,
 176:20, 178:21,
 178:23
proceed [9] - 17:6,
 39:22, 43:8, 101:1,
 112:19, 145:5, 145:7,
 180:8, 181:23
proceeded [1] - 39:5
proceeding [8] -
 25:10, 29:6, 31:17,
 78:25, 118:16,
 140:16, 155:16
Proceedings [12] -
 1:3, 19:16, 60:19,
 60:20, 108:12,
 108:13, 163:19,
 163:20, 188:24, 1:1,
 1:3, 2:6
proceedings [20] -
 16:19, 40:19, 44:18,
 55:1, 73:21, 143:2,
 157:5, 157:6, 157:11,
 157:14, 157:20,
 158:1, 158:4, 158:9,
 158:14, 159:10,
 171:8, 180:23,
 185:14, 189:6
process [10] - 2:16,
 87:5, 87:6, 145:21,
 149:1, 149:5, 164:11,
 164:15, 165:11,
 169:16
processes [1] -
 119:4
processing [1] - 31:2
procured [1] - 79:5
produce [7] - 62:9,
 65:5, 71:3, 71:25,
 76:10, 110:13, 110:21
produced [27] - 54:7,
 60:9, 66:21, 69:12,
 70:2, 70:8, 70:9,
 70:13, 70:16, 71:7,
 71:10, 71:16, 71:22,
 72:6, 72:19, 72:24,
 73:5, 74:4, 74:7, 74:8,

74:11, 75:5, 109:15,
 109:17, 109:18
producing [1] -
 108:20
production [3] -
 62:20, 64:17, 70:18
professional [2] -
 112:22, 123:10
profile [2] - 43:25,
 123:16
program [1] - 88:5
Program [1] - 171:13
programing [1] -
 76:7
programs [1] - 76:7
prohibited [2] - 91:4,
 103:8
Project [1] - 128:10
properties [1] -
 119:24
property [1] - 43:20
propose [1] - 93:16
propriety [1] - 99:25
prosecute [1] -
 176:13
prosecuted [2] -
 117:12, 145:12
prosecution [13] -
 5:9, 5:15, 20:16,
 31:18, 34:1, 40:16,
 80:18, 104:13,
 109:20, 110:18,
 116:4, 164:22, 179:24
prosecutions [3] -
 115:23, 117:8, 137:20
prosecutor [16] -
 21:16, 22:25, 27:20,
 31:25, 38:25, 79:10,
 84:17, 94:4, 95:13,
 95:25, 97:25, 105:24,
 143:14, 144:25,
 146:1, 149:24
Prosecutor [1] -
 102:5
prosecutors [4] -
 26:15, 101:15,
 117:15, 149:3
prostitute [1] - 153:5
protect [1] - 1:21
protecting [1] - 1:17
protesting [1] -
 140:21
prove [3] - 79:7,
 134:14, 134:17
provide [10] - 6:20,
 22:14, 22:19, 34:17,
 34:25, 35:19, 42:22,
 86:3, 111:17, 179:11
Provided [1] - 58:8
provided [12] -

33:12, 34:22, 45:16,
58:5, 67:14, 88:2,
104:9, 111:16,
138:23, 178:6,
178:11, 179:7
providing [3] -
62:16, 139:12, 173:14
Province [1] - 94:5
province [3] - 50:19,
80:19, 181:25
Provincial [8] -
11:22, 12:24, 78:14,
133:5, 134:20,
134:22, 184:13,
184:18
public [10] - 5:9,
5:12, 5:14, 5:22, 5:24,
6:2, 61:3, 61:16, 62:1,
87:23
publication [1] - 2:1
pull [1] - 19:2
pulled [1] - 47:13
purports [1] - 55:16
purpose [4] - 84:2,
84:4, 91:4, 174:19
purposes [4] - 14:9,
25:7, 163:11, 167:15
pursuant [3] - 49:3,
62:13, 75:18
pursue [2] - 58:25,
98:18
pursuing [2] - 100:2,
102:7
put [28] - 1:24, 8:14,
16:7, 39:17, 45:6,
48:13, 49:14, 52:9,
59:7, 65:17, 65:22,
66:3, 70:15, 77:18,
80:9, 85:3, 101:8,
105:23, 124:9,
144:16, 148:6, 153:3,
154:24, 160:7,
163:11, 164:19,
166:20, 167:17
puts [1] - 52:11
putting [5] - 55:21,
77:8, 132:23, 155:24,
160:17
puzzling [1] - 129:8

Q

Qc [2] - 84:11, 94:3
quality [1] - 4:6
quandary [1] -
109:16
quarter [2] - 36:9,
37:4
questioning [9] -
93:17, 93:20, 98:9,

98:10, 98:19, 99:5,
101:2, 103:17, 182:25
questions [22] - 1:7,
26:21, 40:2, 40:10,
52:16, 55:19, 56:7,
75:10, 93:23, 101:3,
103:11, 134:6, 136:5,
148:16, 154:14,
163:15, 164:9,
164:10, 165:10,
169:7, 182:6, 183:10
quick [2] - 93:22,
93:24
quickly [4] - 13:17,
13:22, 111:11, 112:20
quite [23] - 12:3,
24:20, 28:10, 28:23,
33:1, 53:12, 55:18,
74:4, 75:24, 77:23,
78:19, 85:10, 100:22,
102:22, 114:25,
115:11, 126:11,
133:5, 137:21,
141:18, 164:22,
169:5, 179:10
quote [1] - 104:21
quoted [1] - 37:24

R

Race [1] - 147:12
raised [2] - 87:1,
87:9
ran [1] - 12:25
Randi [6] - 3:7, 36:14,
37:6, 158:9, 162:7,
1:4
ranks [1] - 80:18
raped [2] - 14:18,
106:17
rapport [1] - 145:20
rather [4] - 26:24,
73:14, 155:24, 185:12
rationale [2] - 101:6,
101:8
Rc [2] - 159:18, 160:9
Rch [1] - 177:10
Rcmp [27] - 10:4,
10:9, 16:13, 41:15,
82:13, 82:18, 90:5,
115:7, 118:2, 118:17,
119:17, 119:22,
121:13, 122:12,
122:14, 123:23,
124:12, 127:18,
128:22, 140:22,
141:2, 148:6, 148:10,
148:14, 154:24,
178:11, 179:7
Rcmps [1] - 119:11

Re [2] - 19:16, 2:6
re [3] - 3:3, 3:10,
122:4
re-exam [1] - 3:3
re-examination [1] -
3:10
reached [2] - 102:8,
102:19
reaches [1] - 101:2
reaching [1] - 29:4
reaction [5] - 38:25,
39:20, 39:21, 39:23,
140:21
reactivated [1] - 16:5
read [31] - 4:14, 4:15,
10:19, 20:5, 26:24,
29:20, 30:3, 32:22,
34:11, 34:14, 35:7,
35:10, 43:2, 90:22,
97:18, 98:19, 99:10,
116:24, 126:2, 130:9,
135:24, 148:11,
151:7, 153:12,
172:17, 174:9,
174:21, 175:12,
178:5, 178:10, 178:15
reading [4] - 4:17,
29:21, 35:20, 116:12
ready [5] - 54:10,
106:10, 135:15,
165:17, 178:14
reaffirm [1] - 73:22
realistic [1] - 68:18
reality [1] - 106:7
really [27] - 13:14,
13:15, 14:25, 21:8,
31:5, 56:1, 75:2, 80:8,
81:1, 82:23, 95:20,
97:24, 105:23, 106:3,
106:13, 125:6, 132:6,
132:8, 133:10,
133:18, 133:23,
153:9, 154:21,
159:25, 176:18,
177:18
Really [1] - 132:17
reason [25] - 5:23,
8:4, 30:4, 30:22,
38:14, 38:21, 47:6,
56:12, 56:17, 57:7,
60:14, 96:4, 101:12,
101:24, 106:6, 133:1,
140:15, 144:23,
146:1, 155:2, 158:22,
161:10, 175:14,
177:11, 179:4
reasonable [5] - 2:3,
39:3, 39:20, 68:3,
185:1
reasonably [1] -

107:7
reasoning [1] - 185:8
reasons [2] - 61:3,
100:24
recalled [2] - 29:18,
65:5
receive [1] - 89:16
Received [1] - 48:5
received [10] - 2:10,
10:14, 10:21, 17:22,
47:25, 71:1, 122:7,
144:17, 174:8, 187:11
receiving [1] - 129:5
recently [3] - 26:9,
40:4, 169:14
recess [2] - 60:18,
163:18
recognize [2] - 57:2,
75:11
recognized [2] -
28:5, 147:14
recollection [50] -
7:2, 7:14, 7:25, 8:7,
9:5, 11:3, 20:13,
23:23, 24:1, 24:17,
26:2, 29:15, 40:1,
41:9, 41:13, 43:7,
44:20, 45:22, 46:24,
79:24, 80:7, 80:13,
82:7, 127:20, 136:23,
139:9, 139:19,
139:23, 140:9,
140:10, 140:14,
140:20, 141:8,
141:15, 141:21,
142:9, 146:19,
146:23, 147:1,
151:16, 155:13,
155:23, 157:1,
160:18, 161:8,
162:12, 165:8,
166:15, 167:10, 171:3
recollections [2] -
22:14, 22:20
recommended [1] -
141:2
reconsidering [1] -
17:6
Reconvened [2] - 1:3,
60:20
record [21] - 8:21,
10:8, 40:18, 54:21,
56:23, 123:21,
142:19, 143:1, 143:2,
146:15, 155:2,
155:17, 157:5,
157:20, 158:1, 158:4,
159:10, 161:16,
177:5, 186:11, 186:12
recording [2] - 1:12,

171:24
records [5] - 46:20,
55:14, 55:22, 134:15,
142:21
recovered [1] - 81:10
recovering [1] -
104:6
red [8] - 49:11,
49:13, 49:14, 98:1,
102:1, 136:9, 137:11,
138:5
redacted [2] - 36:3,
65:21
reduced [1] - 80:25
refer [3] - 3:11,
26:15, 35:14
reference [10] - 6:5,
9:9, 9:21, 56:16, 60:3,
63:22, 66:21, 87:2,
87:10, 123:2
referenced [1] -
119:25
references [2] - 1:18,
20:1
referencing [1] -
128:17
referred [7] - 5:13,
6:4, 48:1, 130:1,
143:12, 158:1, 159:4
referring [7] - 23:5,
26:23, 42:25, 99:3,
99:7, 120:8, 158:4
refers [1] - 170:9
reflects [1] - 38:20
refreshed [2] - 30:7,
146:24
refused [2] - 104:8,
104:11
regard [2] - 64:4,
64:11
regardless [1] -
89:19
regime [2] - 177:22,
177:23
regional [3] - 22:6,
46:16, 52:7
Registrar [21] - 1:4,
19:3, 19:6, 19:11,
19:13, 60:18, 60:21,
108:11, 108:14,
111:20, 112:14,
113:2, 113:5, 113:6,
117:18, 117:20,
118:1, 163:18,
163:21, 168:9, 188:22
registry [1] - 157:7
regrettably [1] -
60:10
Regrettably [1] -
120:16

<p>regular [8] - 11:23, 12:14, 115:12, 117:14, 124:7, 136:7, 152:25, 153:6</p> <p>regularly [1] - 114:19</p> <p>rehab [3] - 83:1, 181:1, 181:2</p> <p>rehabilitation [2] - 80:20, 82:20</p> <p>related [6] - 23:2, 60:4, 62:12, 80:17, 99:23, 110:1</p> <p>relating [2] - 115:25, 118:17</p> <p>relation [4] - 54:9, 58:6, 58:22, 68:25</p> <p>relative [3] - 65:16, 65:19, 84:25</p> <p>relatively [5] - 114:11, 137:9, 165:4, 184:18, 184:24</p> <p>relevance [1] - 55:13</p> <p>relevant [11] - 54:24, 62:10, 63:20, 66:20, 68:21, 70:18, 75:5, 110:8, 110:9, 110:16, 111:4</p> <p>reliability [1] - 63:14</p> <p>rely [3] - 11:12, 40:1, 59:18</p> <p>remain [2] - 16:25, 175:8</p> <p>remains [1] - 61:2</p> <p>remand [3] - 159:22, 160:23, 161:3</p> <p>Remand [1] - 160:1</p> <p>Remember [2] - 135:5, 164:11</p> <p>remember [23] - 7:3, 24:9, 25:19, 30:13, 30:14, 30:22, 34:10, 41:18, 56:19, 116:3, 129:23, 133:4, 142:10, 142:25, 144:9, 144:10, 145:25, 147:5, 147:10, 152:22, 155:20, 155:21, 181:3</p> <p>remembered [2] - 38:9, 38:18</p> <p>remnants [1] - 77:13</p> <p>remove [1] - 19:13</p> <p>removed [3] - 19:7, 19:9, 19:13</p> <p>repeat [1] - 56:25</p> <p>repeatedly [1] - 24:25</p> <p>report [52] - 2:10, 2:12, 2:14, 6:5, 6:11, 22:17, 40:20, 47:1,</p>	<p>47:8, 48:4, 66:8, 84:15, 85:25, 86:6, 86:9, 86:12, 86:15, 86:21, 87:12, 87:23, 88:4, 88:18, 88:22, 89:10, 90:3, 90:5, 90:6, 90:8, 90:13, 90:14, 90:18, 90:20, 90:22, 91:1, 91:8, 91:18, 91:21, 93:11, 93:13, 96:20, 98:17, 98:20, 98:25, 99:3, 99:8, 104:22, 116:12, 116:17, 116:18, 148:24, 170:9</p> <p>reported [1] - 96:18</p> <p>Reporter [1] - 189:10</p> <p>Reporting [1] - 189:11</p> <p>reports [1] - 103:24</p> <p>represent [1] - 164:2</p> <p>represented [1] - 3:1</p> <p>reputation [1] - 117:7</p> <p>request [9] - 2:3, 68:3, 70:17, 77:18, 110:19, 111:8, 111:25, 122:7, 169:15</p> <p>requested [6] - 41:17, 58:3, 58:12, 59:17, 63:2, 135:16</p> <p>requesting [2] - 125:22, 149:4</p> <p>requests [1] - 122:22</p> <p>require [5] - 43:14, 49:16, 99:14, 137:21, 145:9</p> <p>required [9] - 5:9, 18:3, 18:9, 44:3, 105:19, 134:16, 136:6, 136:14, 147:18</p> <p>requires [1] - 138:8</p> <p>requiring [3] - 64:17, 70:18, 71:2</p> <p>research [2] - 18:6, 52:8</p> <p>residence [3] - 32:25, 33:10, 41:9</p> <p>resist [1] - 117:23</p> <p>resolute [1] - 187:19</p> <p>Resources [1] - 171:12</p> <p>respect [27] - 2:19, 33:20, 33:21, 38:3, 50:20, 52:18, 54:25, 57:3, 62:6, 66:11, 66:17, 66:18, 79:5, 79:17, 80:19, 84:24, 85:6, 90:11, 98:13, 99:16, 100:10,</p>	<p>100:15, 112:9, 119:24, 152:12, 157:2</p> <p>respected [1] - 94:4</p> <p>respectful [2] - 38:16, 59:22</p> <p>respectfully [1] - 70:17</p> <p>respecting [4] - 47:21, 118:2, 162:20, 169:6</p> <p>respects [2] - 66:15, 141:25</p> <p>responding [1] - 39:18</p> <p>response [6] - 15:22, 26:20, 43:16, 122:21, 136:4, 140:10</p> <p>responsibility [1] - 82:24</p> <p>responsible [1] - 61:4</p> <p>responsive [1] - 77:15</p> <p>rest [4] - 10:18, 28:16, 102:15, 136:17</p> <p>restate [2] - 127:14, 156:7</p> <p>restriction [1] - 102:18</p> <p>restrictions [1] - 100:20</p> <p>result [3] - 28:5, 115:13, 159:24</p> <p>resume [1] - 67:19</p> <p>Resumed [3] - 3:7, 108:13, 163:20</p> <p>resumed [4] - 1:4, 60:21, 108:14, 163:21</p> <p>retained [3] - 34:17, 86:23, 87:13</p> <p>retaining [1] - 87:7</p> <p>retention [4] - 49:4, 49:17, 62:14, 67:23</p> <p>retrieved [1] - 48:9</p> <p>return [2] - 29:3, 68:6</p> <p>returned [1] - 169:15</p> <p>reveal [3] - 76:22, 121:5</p> <p>revelations [2] - 63:12, 63:13</p> <p>review [12] - 2:14, 29:17, 40:3, 40:8, 51:2, 97:6, 112:8, 142:13, 164:7, 171:11, 185:21, 186:10</p> <p>reviewed [4] - 1:6, 26:9, 26:12, 144:17</p> <p>reviewing [6] -</p>	<p>94:24, 96:20, 106:4, 106:7, 140:23, 146:21</p> <p>revisit [2] - 63:16, 162:5</p> <p>revived [1] - 103:25</p> <p>Richard [9] - 15:18, 20:24, 25:12, 31:13, 32:10, 44:21, 48:2, 116:3, 154:20</p> <p>ride [1] - 97:22</p> <p>Ridge [1] - 114:13</p> <p>right-hand [2] - 128:19, 171:17</p> <p>righteous [1] - 105:5</p> <p>risk [1] - 54:21</p> <p>Ritchie [28] - 9:2, 9:11, 13:19, 14:22, 18:2, 70:9, 123:1, 123:3, 123:7, 123:15, 131:19, 132:4, 134:7, 143:13, 143:25, 148:18, 149:19, 155:10, 155:22, 156:3, 156:10, 156:20, 159:6, 167:25, 168:7, 168:11, 170:7</p> <p>Ritchies [2] - 143:19, 161:14</p> <p>Rjm [1] - 171:25</p> <p>road [1] - 134:12</p> <p>Robert [6] - 56:15, 57:20, 78:2, 109:19, 162:14, 162:21</p> <p>Roberts [12] - 163:22, 163:23, 163:24, 167:22, 168:7, 168:11, 168:13, 168:15, 168:18, 182:6, 182:7, 1:7</p> <p>Roe [1] - 143:2</p> <p>role [3] - 78:25, 84:17, 101:16</p> <p>Roman [32] - 15:19, 20:25, 25:13, 26:1, 31:13, 32:10, 44:21, 48:2, 116:3, 128:4, 128:14, 128:22, 129:4, 136:10, 136:22, 137:17, 140:25, 141:9, 141:16, 142:9, 142:14, 142:17, 143:19, 148:24, 154:16, 154:20, 156:9, 156:17, 165:12, 167:5, 168:19, 181:22</p> <p>room [8] - 1:16, 6:13, 87:3, 96:9, 111:17,</p>	<p>137:1, 150:7, 150:14</p> <p>roster [1] - 83:23</p> <p>roughly [1] - 50:4</p> <p>routine [1] - 137:10</p> <p>Roxana [1] - 153:16</p> <p>Roxanna [18] - 9:22, 9:24, 10:10, 10:14, 10:16, 10:21, 10:24, 23:20, 30:9, 45:5, 45:10, 150:8, 150:9, 150:10, 152:3, 152:6, 153:8, 154:11</p> <p>Rtc [1] - 125:11</p> <p>Rtm [1] - 171:25</p> <p>rule [4] - 88:23, 90:21, 101:4, 184:1</p> <p>rules [1] - 17:10</p> <p>ruling [2] - 62:5, 67:25</p> <p>run [6] - 89:13, 132:9, 132:15, 137:4, 162:7, 162:8</p> <p>run-of-the-mill [1] - 137:4</p> <p>running [3] - 13:25, 115:11, 133:4</p>
S				
<p>safety [1] - 30:18</p> <p>said/she [1] - 132:16</p> <p>said/you [1] - 71:14</p> <p>samples [2] - 132:8, 133:21</p> <p>sat [1] - 161:15</p> <p>satisfy [1] - 68:6</p> <p>save [1] - 142:22</p> <p>saved [1] - 49:5</p> <p>savory [1] - 83:24</p> <p>saw [13] - 6:10, 27:11, 91:8, 132:24, 135:22, 144:17, 147:5, 147:14, 149:2, 151:20, 155:25, 156:9, 156:10</p> <p>scared [1] - 31:3</p> <p>scenarios [1] - 156:13</p> <p>scene [1] - 14:25</p> <p>schedule [1] - 12:17</p> <p>scheduled [2] - 145:14, 184:11</p> <p>scheduler [1] - 136:12</p> <p>schedules [1] - 11:25</p> <p>scheduling [3] - 137:3, 137:6, 157:22</p> <p>scope [1] - 99:13</p> <p>Scouten [4] - 120:22,</p>				

121:4, 121:7, 121:19
scrap [1] - 23:1
scrutinize [1] - 90:14
scurrying [1] - 68:14
search [9] - 22:14,
 41:8, 43:19, 43:25,
 46:5, 76:13, 77:3,
 119:23, 138:3
searched [1] - 77:13
Second [1] - 75:11
second [18] - 5:8,
 31:21, 50:13, 82:10,
 84:16, 85:6, 85:9,
 91:24, 99:19, 106:20,
 123:2, 126:3, 126:8,
 126:10, 158:20,
 168:22, 180:15, 185:3
second-class [1] -
 31:21
second-guess [1] -
 99:19
second-guesses [1]
 - 84:16
second-guessing
 [3] - 85:6, 85:9, 91:24
secondly [1] - 38:11
secretary [4] - 41:22,
 43:4, 135:16, 135:20
section [2] - 51:6,
 99:11
sections [1] - 119:13
sector [1] - 105:7
securing [1] - 39:14
security [1] - 73:17
see [72] - 3:19, 4:10,
 8:20, 10:11, 10:14,
 10:21, 31:8, 37:1,
 43:18, 46:10, 47:25,
 50:8, 50:13, 51:7,
 78:7, 84:20, 85:21,
 90:23, 99:10, 109:1,
 114:19, 115:9,
 115:11, 118:4,
 119:12, 119:13,
 119:24, 120:1, 120:9,
 120:15, 120:22,
 121:4, 121:9, 122:5,
 122:15, 122:17,
 122:23, 123:17,
 124:2, 125:12,
 125:25, 126:11,
 126:13, 128:16,
 128:19, 130:5,
 135:12, 139:2, 140:5,
 146:13, 147:24,
 150:4, 151:2, 153:2,
 157:21, 158:12,
 158:21, 159:3,
 159:19, 159:25,
 168:1, 168:14,

168:20, 169:20,
 171:25, 172:2, 173:3,
 174:21, 174:24,
 175:8, 183:14
seeing [4] - 78:9,
 124:4, 130:7, 159:12
seek [1] - 88:13
seeking [2] - 22:3,
 122:21
seeks [1] - 101:10
seem [5] - 94:25,
 116:2, 133:1, 133:18,
 161:16
self [1] - 105:5
self-righteous [1] -
 105:5
semantics [1] -
 154:21
send [2] - 10:25,
 173:12
sending [1] - 172:18
Sending [1] - 172:19
senior [3] - 13:5,
 57:1, 87:15
sense [9] - 14:16,
 72:7, 87:23, 87:25,
 95:17, 98:12, 110:12,
 123:5, 131:2
sent [2] - 174:16,
 175:6
sentence [3] - 89:13,
 150:22, 174:12
September [5] -
 130:13, 159:9,
 159:14, 160:8, 161:2
Sergeant [6] - 128:5,
 128:13, 128:14,
 128:21, 129:13,
 129:17
serial [1] - 59:25
series [2] - 125:14,
 168:23
serious [27] - 12:2,
 12:3, 12:20, 17:22,
 24:5, 49:8, 49:9,
 49:16, 51:5, 51:16,
 57:24, 59:25, 76:2,
 103:18, 103:21,
 104:3, 104:12,
 104:14, 104:18,
 105:25, 106:5, 106:9,
 107:24, 131:12,
 136:12, 185:20
seriousness [2] -
 104:6, 149:14
serve [1] - 127:9
served [9] - 62:8,
 64:2, 64:4, 65:11,
 72:23, 126:23, 127:6,
 127:12, 127:16

Service [2] - 45:4,
 189:11
service [7] - 10:3,
 10:5, 127:17, 151:2,
 151:5, 171:20
services [2] - 97:9,
 104:10
Services [9] - 8:10,
 9:18, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9,
 46:25, 47:4, 90:7,
 171:23
set [36] - 7:14, 8:10,
 12:1, 12:4, 12:21,
 14:6, 14:25, 19:23,
 51:1, 51:18, 55:16,
 84:18, 88:23, 89:14,
 90:21, 100:22, 101:4,
 112:23, 133:6, 135:5,
 135:7, 135:17,
 135:20, 141:24,
 142:20, 142:24,
 143:1, 143:8, 143:10,
 149:6, 149:12,
 159:16, 161:11,
 173:13, 175:11,
 184:12
settled [1] - 166:21
seven [4] - 6:6,
 134:23, 145:14,
 147:20
several [2] - 13:25,
 119:23
sex [6] - 98:2, 105:2,
 105:5, 105:8, 142:3,
 144:18
sexual [1] - 144:25
sexually [2] - 145:2,
 145:12
shape [7] - 25:20,
 29:12, 43:11, 45:2,
 80:2, 80:5, 188:11
sheer [1] - 119:15
short [5] - 22:22,
 35:20, 47:12, 145:23,
 146:3
shorthand [2] -
 143:3, 158:25
shortly [2] - 13:7,
 21:25
Shortly [2] - 22:8,
 41:7
shoulder [1] - 119:1
show [10] - 7:25,
 42:15, 45:20, 84:9,
 90:15, 112:3, 127:6,
 130:23, 151:19,
 176:16
showed [5] - 14:25,
 177:6, 180:6, 187:15,
 187:16

showing [2] - 10:12,
 134:15
shown [5] - 6:12,
 18:25, 19:21, 45:14,
 117:16
shows [2] - 124:22,
 157:5
shut [1] - 115:17
side [3] - 56:10,
 128:19, 134:12
sides [1] - 68:11
signature [4] - 121:9,
 158:12, 158:14,
 158:17
signatures [1] -
 130:7
significance [1] -
 16:14
significant [5] - 65:3,
 85:3, 88:1, 88:18,
 97:10
similar [2] - 125:13,
 128:12
simple [1] - 123:13
simply [1] - 6:22,
 8:21, 27:2, 33:22,
 48:13, 48:25, 112:7,
 135:19, 155:24,
 161:20, 168:7
sit [4] - 74:2, 74:15,
 147:8, 181:19
sitting [5] - 23:22,
 77:5, 150:7, 150:13,
 150:19
situation [12] - 5:4,
 58:24, 73:20, 99:18,
 105:24, 106:8,
 132:12, 133:14,
 145:24, 146:17,
 185:24, 187:21
six [4] - 120:17,
 134:22, 138:18,
 138:20
Six [2] - 121:16,
 121:17
sixth [2] - 121:11,
 121:20
size [2] - 115:4,
 115:5
skill [1] - 189:7
skills [1] - 33:25
skip [1] - 121:10
slash [1] - 107:1
slightly [4] - 67:3,
 118:12, 124:9, 144:16
slip [5] - 120:19,
 121:12, 121:23,
 121:24, 124:2
slit [2] - 103:25,
 104:1

slowly [1] - 102:1
small [5] - 113:25,
 114:4, 114:5, 114:11,
 164:6
smaller [1] - 141:17
Smith [17] - 9:23,
 9:24, 10:11, 23:20,
 30:9, 45:5, 45:10,
 45:20, 150:9, 150:10,
 152:3, 152:6, 153:4,
 153:8, 153:16,
 154:11, 189:9
smoke [1] - 28:24
snapped [1] - 104:10
sober [1] - 181:3
social [1] - 106:21
society [1] - 105:8
Someone [1] - 158:7
someone [13] -
 28:12, 30:1, 31:21,
 33:11, 39:17, 50:16,
 53:1, 53:4, 127:9,
 138:17, 144:20,
 147:16, 181:1
sometime [2] - 9:2,
 9:12
sometimes [4] -
 21:21, 145:18, 146:9,
 160:2
Somewhat [1] -
 148:18
somewhere [1] -
 149:2
son [1] - 33:6
soon [2] - 146:9,
 156:22
Sop [1] - 162:2
sore [1] - 57:21
sorry [34] - 4:1, 4:9,
 53:7, 54:16, 55:10,
 59:3, 61:15, 69:4,
 81:11, 102:24, 113:6,
 117:19, 120:23,
 127:25, 129:18,
 130:17, 137:6,
 137:15, 139:3,
 142:11, 152:16,
 153:15, 156:21,
 159:20, 162:7, 163:9,
 164:20, 173:4, 178:8,
 182:16, 183:8,
 183:11, 184:2, 186:21
Sorry [11] - 10:20,
 19:1, 24:3, 64:3, 70:7,
 113:3, 120:25, 158:5,
 165:9, 182:11, 186:22
sort [8] - 2:17, 8:13,
 20:21, 27:22, 91:23,
 129:11, 138:7, 147:18
sorts [1] - 32:2

<p>sought [3] - 14:22, 41:10, 87:18</p> <p>sounding [1] - 54:21</p> <p>source [3] - 47:7, 47:19, 48:17</p> <p>speaking [6] - 1:19, 48:16, 90:23, 93:11, 139:23, 150:1</p> <p>special [3] - 75:22, 117:9, 145:9</p> <p>specific [5] - 7:1, 108:18, 110:19, 116:22, 141:15</p> <p>specifically [9] - 10:10, 33:3, 49:7, 56:19, 57:6, 65:6, 76:9, 130:13, 139:20</p> <p>specifics [1] - 163:4</p> <p>specified [2] - 74:21, 177:19</p> <p>specify [2] - 74:9, 116:25</p> <p>speculate [2] - 97:24, 139:22</p> <p>spelled [2] - 84:24, 158:11</p> <p>spelt [3] - 158:16, 159:7</p> <p>spend [6] - 12:19, 25:5, 136:6, 137:25, 150:6, 167:18</p> <p>spent [2] - 61:5, 135:24</p> <p>spoken [5] - 15:18, 20:14, 26:1, 147:22, 175:8</p> <p>stab [1] - 142:2</p> <p>stabbed [2] - 43:11, 45:6</p> <p>staff [2] - 41:2, 157:9</p> <p>Staff [5] - 128:5, 128:14, 128:21, 129:12, 129:17</p> <p>stage [1] - 71:14</p> <p>stake [2] - 20:16, 25:16</p> <p>stamp [2] - 47:25, 48:7</p> <p>stamped [1] - 174:2</p> <p>stand [8] - 45:6, 58:18, 64:22, 65:1, 80:9, 101:9, 132:23, 187:22</p> <p>standard [3] - 3:18, 4:20, 126:15</p> <p>Standard [3] - 4:11, 4:15, 4:18</p> <p>standing [7] - 23:1, 94:5, 98:2, 177:12, 186:9, 187:15, 188:18</p>	<p>stands [2] - 2:19, 57:21</p> <p>start [12] - 4:17, 68:16, 97:3, 103:5, 107:5, 125:19, 138:21, 182:9, 182:12, 183:3, 183:18</p> <p>started [5] - 76:9, 146:11, 147:21, 147:23, 160:13</p> <p>starts [2] - 60:23, 183:12</p> <p>state [1] - 54:17</p> <p>Statement [3] - 10:15, 10:22, 10:25</p> <p>statement [53] - 11:2, 24:21, 24:24, 25:4, 26:7, 26:8, 26:25, 27:9, 29:17, 30:8, 32:22, 32:23, 33:8, 33:15, 34:5, 69:1, 82:5, 95:5, 95:9, 95:17, 96:1, 96:4, 96:21, 96:25, 104:5, 105:13, 106:4, 106:6, 106:13, 106:21, 106:23, 107:9, 107:10, 131:19, 131:24, 134:8, 135:23, 136:3, 148:11, 150:17, 151:7, 151:11, 151:18, 178:6, 178:8, 178:10, 179:4, 179:5, 179:6, 179:12, 179:16, 186:25</p> <p>statements [5] - 14:17, 22:16, 27:5, 95:14, 120:7</p> <p>Stay [3] - 19:15, 158:9, 2:5</p> <p>stay [39] - 15:7, 15:12, 15:16, 16:4, 16:21, 18:17, 21:5, 21:12, 34:9, 36:22, 44:18, 55:1, 66:24, 129:21, 140:8, 144:12, 154:3, 154:5, 154:8, 154:13, 154:15, 155:22, 156:18, 157:3, 157:6, 157:11, 157:14, 158:13, 166:18, 166:25, 167:4, 171:8, 174:17, 175:14, 180:23, 181:22, 185:14, 187:24, 188:4</p> <p>stayed [5] - 15:12, 20:11, 29:6, 32:18, 44:25</p>	<p>staying [5] - 20:10, 20:20, 20:21, 32:6, 155:3</p> <p>stays [1] - 16:19</p> <p>steady [1] - 12:10</p> <p>steps [2] - 119:22, 140:24</p> <p>still [13] - 23:6, 55:10, 69:7, 75:9, 78:15, 93:1, 111:23, 114:21, 134:9, 136:11, 163:12, 183:19, 184:1</p> <p>stimulants [1] - 27:24</p> <p>stood [1] - 97:5</p> <p>Stop [1] - 4:24</p> <p>stop [9] - 26:4, 36:16, 42:1, 102:1, 104:8, 108:10, 123:1, 179:23, 188:20</p> <p>stopping [2] - 36:20, 124:19</p> <p>stored [1] - 76:21</p> <p>Strachan [1] - 178:7</p> <p>straight [1] - 117:24</p> <p>straightforward [3] - 13:7, 14:24, 135:3</p> <p>street [5] - 6:25, 98:2, 98:5, 152:22, 178:4</p> <p>Street [2] - 7:15, 8:5</p> <p>streets [1] - 152:24</p> <p>strike [3] - 179:22, 180:2, 180:13</p> <p>strongest [3] - 18:18, 32:19, 34:8</p> <p>struggling [1] - 54:23</p> <p>stuck [4] - 24:2, 24:3, 24:4, 153:1</p> <p>student [1] - 155:18</p> <p>stuff [1] - 23:10</p> <p>subject [2] - 142:6, 161:23</p> <p>submission [6] - 59:22, 65:7, 85:4, 85:8, 102:15, 103:7</p> <p>submissions [1] - 64:14</p> <p>submit [1] - 58:13</p> <p>subpoena [16] - 64:4, 64:8, 64:11, 65:10, 70:23, 72:23, 111:23, 111:24, 112:3, 125:14, 126:23, 127:7, 127:12, 127:16, 174:12</p> <p>subpoenas [3] -</p>	<p>70:24, 125:18, 125:20</p> <p>Subsequently [1] - 87:10</p> <p>substance [7] - 28:1, 80:21, 83:10, 89:6, 148:6, 176:9, 186:18</p> <p>substances [3] - 27:22, 28:9, 186:18</p> <p>substantial [8] - 4:22, 5:6, 5:20, 6:1, 16:9, 16:16, 164:17, 164:20</p> <p>substitutes [1] - 148:8</p> <p>subtlety [1] - 91:23</p> <p>successful [1] - 9:14</p> <p>suffered [2] - 103:23, 176:14</p> <p>sufficient [2] - 187:5, 187:19</p> <p>suggest [29] - 22:19, 23:22, 24:16, 31:6, 31:15, 48:7, 48:8, 56:11, 58:25, 89:8, 94:16, 94:18, 96:6, 97:5, 97:11, 98:4, 103:21, 104:4, 115:13, 116:19, 119:15, 133:25, 137:1, 141:22, 141:25, 161:20, 175:5, 176:7, 178:25</p> <p>suggesting [4] - 70:22, 81:15, 98:15, 153:20</p> <p>suggestion [2] - 31:15, 50:9</p> <p>suggestions [2] - 98:12, 111:1</p> <p>suggests [1] - 135:24</p> <p>summarily [2] - 150:3, 151:4</p> <p>summarize [1] - 156:7</p> <p>summarizing [1] - 175:15</p> <p>summary [2] - 120:8, 122:24</p> <p>summons [3] - 62:8, 66:4, 126:15</p> <p>Sund [3] - 43:4, 44:13, 48:23</p> <p>Supertext [1] - 128:10</p> <p>support [2] - 98:6, 156:1</p> <p>suppose [1] - 16:2</p> <p>supposed [3] - 36:5, 74:11, 175:2</p>	<p>Supreme [5] - 12:25, 13:2, 85:11, 114:25, 115:1</p> <p>Surely [1] - 21:16</p> <p>surfacing [1] - 55:5</p> <p>surname [1] - 56:15</p> <p>surprises [1] - 153:10</p> <p>surprising [1] - 67:7</p> <p>surrounding [1] - 46:1</p> <p>survival [1] - 98:2</p> <p>suspect [3] - 162:15, 162:25</p> <p>Suzette [1] - 67:2</p> <p>swore [1] - 119:23</p> <p>sworn [2] - 184:7, 184:8</p> <p>sympathetic [1] - 65:9</p> <p>system [6] - 38:24, 39:13, 39:17, 39:18, 48:13, 76:25</p>
T				
<p>Tab [7] - 11:16, 50:1, 53:24, 125:12, 127:25, 128:2, 158:6</p> <p>tab [43] - 3:11, 4:4, 4:9, 4:10, 9:17, 19:1, 19:7, 19:10, 19:14, 19:17, 19:20, 34:19, 34:20, 42:2, 44:7, 46:8, 49:18, 53:21, 53:23, 53:25, 55:10, 60:23, 75:9, 105:17, 120:13, 120:17, 120:20, 120:24, 121:11, 125:8, 127:23, 127:24, 130:2, 139:3, 158:21, 167:16, 167:23, 168:6, 168:10, 171:12, 184:8, 186:22, 2:7</p> <p>table [1] - 103:24</p> <p>tabs [3] - 119:12, 167:17, 167:21</p> <p>tainted [1] - 26:16</p> <p>talks [2] - 4:6, 24:22</p> <p>tap [2] - 132:13, 132:20</p> <p>tape [1] - 1:18</p> <p>target [1] - 105:3</p> <p>targets [1] - 105:7</p> <p>task [1] - 169:23</p> <p>tasks [1] - 95:11</p> <p>taxicab [2] - 30:1, 30:19</p>				

<p>team [3] - 66:14, 69:16, 70:10</p> <p>telephone [11] - 15:6, 15:9, 20:6, 32:13, 33:2, 33:13, 34:7, 37:8, 37:25, 129:15, 173:19</p> <p>telephoned [1] - 32:18</p> <p>temporary [2] - 177:13, 188:19</p> <p>tendency [1] - 28:7</p> <p>tendering [1] - 88:13</p> <p>tenor [1] - 140:1</p> <p>terms [40] - 17:18, 18:18, 22:16, 24:1, 31:20, 32:20, 34:8, 52:22, 55:19, 57:5, 60:2, 61:16, 63:21, 64:8, 66:4, 66:20, 70:23, 82:20, 87:1, 87:10, 91:18, 92:8, 95:19, 96:1, 101:16, 104:12, 107:6, 110:16, 111:24, 111:25, 132:19, 140:17, 140:24, 143:8, 148:13, 154:13, 154:14, 156:23, 180:17</p> <p>terrible [1] - 25:20</p> <p>test [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 164:21, 184:22</p> <p>testified [5] - 70:11, 114:1, 136:4, 140:25, 143:11</p> <p>testifies [1] - 89:23</p> <p>testify [19] - 33:24, 43:11, 45:2, 53:1, 53:4, 70:15, 78:2, 80:5, 80:11, 82:21, 82:22, 151:17, 153:18, 153:24, 175:10, 180:12, 185:18, 185:24, 188:4</p> <p>testifying [7] - 26:9, 38:3, 52:14, 79:19, 148:9, 185:12, 188:7</p> <p>testimony [4] - 78:23, 84:2, 84:4, 156:8</p> <p>tests [1] - 89:14</p> <p>theft [1] - 39:15</p> <p>thereafter [1] - 76:20</p> <p>thereby [1] - 1:25</p> <p>therefore [4] - 81:23, 118:24, 172:4, 172:6</p> <p>therein [1] - 88:23</p> <p>they've [3] - 95:22,</p>	<p>158:16</p> <p>thick [1] - 119:20</p> <p>thigh [2] - 177:11, 187:3</p> <p>thinking [5] - 31:1, 54:18, 93:10, 106:4, 147:13</p> <p>thinks [1] - 93:22</p> <p>third [1] - 19:23</p> <p>three [20] - 11:23, 11:25, 12:4, 12:9, 12:15, 23:13, 63:19, 64:21, 66:22, 68:6, 69:7, 84:11, 133:6, 136:6, 136:15, 138:25, 141:2, 150:11, 164:6, 172:10</p> <p>three-day [1] - 69:7</p> <p>throat [1] - 107:1</p> <p>throughout [4] - 13:18, 17:25, 40:15, 122:14</p> <p>thrown [2] - 123:13, 123:25</p> <p>throws [2] - 147:17, 185:20</p> <p>thumb [1] - 57:21</p> <p>Thursday [1] - 69:4</p> <p>timely [3] - 64:16, 65:6, 66:25</p> <p>tired [1] - 142:12</p> <p>title [3] - 125:13, 128:8, 128:10</p> <p>today [17] - 1:22, 2:5, 2:8, 23:22, 24:11, 26:9, 28:13, 29:9, 33:24, 46:15, 63:13, 67:5, 77:6, 81:9, 93:20, 114:21, 136:11</p> <p>today's [1] - 43:3</p> <p>together [9] - 8:5, 52:9, 55:22, 59:8, 71:9, 71:24, 72:8, 82:12, 82:15</p> <p>tomorrow [6] - 92:15, 92:21, 182:25, 183:3, 188:20, 188:23</p> <p>tonight [2] - 182:23, 183:3</p> <p>took [13] - 1:12, 38:6, 80:4, 80:10, 81:19, 96:22, 140:25, 143:22, 144:1, 146:3, 146:16, 156:17, 178:22</p> <p>top [3] - 50:14, 55:2, 172:12</p> <p>topic [1] - 67:4</p> <p>totally [2] - 2:3, 52:20</p>	<p>touch [6] - 3:9, 9:20, 16:25, 93:18, 108:6, 112:21</p> <p>tough [1] - 181:20</p> <p>toxicology [1] - 169:9</p> <p>toxilogical [1] - 169:8</p> <p>track [4] - 21:22, 120:3, 177:10, 187:3</p> <p>trade [6] - 98:2, 105:2, 105:5, 105:8, 142:3, 144:19</p> <p>trafficking [1] - 177:7</p> <p>transcribed [1] - 189:6</p> <p>transcript [10] - 29:22, 35:16, 40:5, 45:12, 45:21, 94:20, 95:16, 105:14, 152:1, 189:5</p> <p>transcripts [1] - 120:6</p> <p>transferred [1] - 114:23</p> <p>transit [4] - 120:19, 121:12, 121:24, 124:2</p> <p>Transit [1] - 121:23</p> <p>transmitted [1] - 122:14</p> <p>tremendous [1] - 109:21</p> <p>trial [107] - 12:11, 12:19, 13:3, 13:8, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18, 13:23, 13:24, 14:3, 14:6, 14:9, 14:11, 14:15, 15:4, 18:1, 25:11, 29:5, 31:7, 43:10, 43:13, 45:1, 45:3, 45:8, 57:1, 70:9, 82:14, 82:20, 84:2, 105:21, 106:11, 107:24, 123:19, 123:25, 125:21, 125:23, 129:11, 130:25, 131:5, 131:10, 133:13, 133:17, 135:5, 135:6, 135:7, 135:13, 135:18, 136:9, 136:12, 136:18, 136:25, 138:12, 138:16, 139:1, 140:5, 142:15, 142:20, 142:24, 143:1, 143:7, 143:8, 143:10, 143:21, 144:7, 144:14, 145:14,</p>	<p>145:25, 146:5, 147:21, 149:6, 149:9, 149:13, 153:16, 153:21, 155:16, 156:24, 157:18, 157:22, 159:12, 159:13, 159:15, 159:16, 160:12, 165:17, 165:19, 166:9, 168:23, 169:3, 171:22, 172:25, 173:16, 176:18, 178:15, 180:8, 180:20, 184:11, 184:12, 184:19, 185:4, 185:7, 187:22</p> <p>trials [6] - 12:4, 12:25, 13:25, 115:11, 137:8, 137:9</p> <p>trickling [2] - 64:23, 72:25</p> <p>tricks [1] - 98:4</p> <p>tricky [1] - 186:23</p> <p>tried [5] - 11:10, 138:13, 139:5, 151:2, 185:1</p> <p>trigger [1] - 184:21</p> <p>trouble [3] - 50:16, 109:13, 159:11</p> <p>troubling [2] - 73:11, 73:13</p> <p>true [7] - 44:11, 95:2, 97:20, 106:18, 107:7, 119:20, 189:5</p> <p>try [7] - 39:17, 112:19, 139:6, 149:15, 149:20, 156:7, 186:1</p> <p>trying [14] - 31:19, 47:20, 52:16, 54:24, 66:2, 68:15, 115:17, 133:24, 144:9, 146:19, 159:25, 161:23, 180:19</p> <p>turn [11] - 5:21, 19:20, 46:8, 47:22, 49:23, 51:15, 67:19, 120:12, 125:6, 135:20, 167:13</p> <p>Turn [2] - 55:9, 169:25</p> <p>turns [1] - 98:4</p> <p>twice [1] - 103:24</p> <p>two [22] - 4:19, 11:25, 14:7, 22:16, 53:8, 53:25, 71:8, 72:7, 74:12, 74:24, 80:2, 91:3, 105:13, 109:13, 117:11, 134:9, 134:10,</p>	<p>141:10, 141:25, 150:11, 164:10, 172:10</p> <p>two-page [1] - 53:25</p> <p>type [1] - 177:19</p>
U				
<p>ultimately [1] - 169:22</p> <p>unable [3] - 68:5, 175:16, 175:17</p> <p>unacceptable [2] - 59:21, 60:5</p> <p>uncomfortable [1] - 79:22</p> <p>under [32] - 4:4, 4:14, 27:17, 27:21, 28:8, 28:12, 28:15, 49:2, 57:10, 62:10, 65:3, 69:13, 69:20, 74:25, 75:1, 105:4, 116:24, 149:10, 179:15, 180:7, 180:11, 182:17, 182:22, 183:2, 183:5, 183:15, 183:16, 183:19, 184:22, 186:21, 186:24, 187:1</p> <p>Under [2] - 4:18, 186:24</p> <p>undermine [4] - 70:10, 100:13, 101:10, 101:23</p> <p>understandable [2] - 39:25, 106:18</p> <p>understatement [1] - 68:13</p> <p>understood [4] - 64:14, 144:5, 183:23, 185:5</p> <p>undertake [1] - 142:14</p> <p>undertakings [1] - 61:25</p> <p>undertook [1] - 87:21</p> <p>underworld [1] - 83:25</p> <p>unenforceable [1] - 68:17</p> <p>unfair [2] - 31:25, 181:16</p> <p>unfortunately [2] - 25:25, 38:1</p> <p>unit [1] - 117:10</p> <p>United [1] - 189:11</p> <p>unlawful [2] - 51:20, 131:12</p> <p>Unless [1] - 21:7</p>				

unless [2] - 21:7, 48:11
unlikely [1] - 132:2
unreasonable [1] - 184:22
untimely [1] - 63:10
untrained [1] - 126:9
unusual [5] - 13:22, 127:4, 133:13, 134:21
up [39] - 1:10, 7:14, 7:25, 8:10, 13:21, 14:8, 19:2, 22:10, 30:5, 37:21, 48:2, 53:24, 66:24, 84:12, 93:6, 97:7, 101:25, 110:5, 111:4, 111:5, 114:25, 120:12, 127:6, 131:9, 133:14, 134:11, 135:17, 135:20, 141:10, 148:7, 164:7, 164:9, 171:19, 173:12, 173:13, 176:16, 180:6, 187:15, 187:16
upcoming [1] - 172:25
upset [5] - 33:14, 39:7, 39:8, 39:11, 140:11
urinate [2] - 102:2, 104:9
usage [5] - 80:25, 81:5, 81:7, 81:14, 82:6
user [3] - 177:9, 177:15, 186:20
users [4] - 176:11, 176:22, 177:15, 177:21
uses [1] - 33:4
ushered [2] - 150:2, 150:25
usual [5] - 2:25, 88:16, 127:7, 127:16, 136:24
uttered [1] - 96:8
uttering [1] - 150:21

V

vacuum [3] - 74:6, 87:19, 90:24
vague [3] - 140:14, 146:23
Vancouver [10] - 1:1, 7:15, 7:19, 97:7, 123:12, 142:4, 144:18, 146:20, 148:12, 162:17
variety [1] - 57:24

various [9] - 40:24, 113:14, 119:13, 120:4, 130:4, 143:4, 158:22, 158:25, 159:8
vein [1] - 63:17
veracity [2] - 95:13, 105:12
verbatim [1] - 24:1
version [6] - 36:21, 95:1, 96:12, 97:19, 107:1, 118:2
Vertlieb [32] - 1:5, 2:7, 2:24, 3:6, 4:14, 5:13, 6:4, 19:3, 19:5, 19:12, 63:3, 63:5, 91:7, 91:8, 91:12, 92:3, 92:7, 92:10, 92:12, 92:23, 93:9, 93:14, 96:10, 103:17, 151:24, 163:7, 164:9, 182:24, 183:2, 183:6, 183:9, 183:22
Vic97 [1] - 126:12
vicinity [1] - 98:5
Victim [17] - 8:10, 9:18, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:15, 10:22, 10:25, 45:4, 46:25, 47:4, 90:6, 171:13, 171:23, 174:2, 174:4, 174:7
victim [25] - 10:3, 10:4, 10:14, 10:21, 10:24, 11:1, 11:2, 18:16, 20:9, 25:14, 31:18, 33:18, 38:10, 39:1, 104:16, 106:1, 107:2, 120:3, 125:18, 125:20, 151:1, 151:5, 152:7, 172:16, 173:11
victim's [2] - 39:23, 172:23
victims [3] - 25:22, 148:5, 153:10
Victoria [1] - 87:16
video [1] - 163:8
view [4] - 92:3, 98:14, 102:8, 102:25
violent [2] - 105:4, 140:20
virtually [1] - 54:10
visiting [1] - 33:9
voiced [1] - 89:2
voir [1] - 132:13
vulnerability [1] - 104:19
vulnerable [9] - 104:16, 105:2, 106:1, 107:13, 137:24, 138:6, 145:8, 146:8, 147:16

W

wait [2] - 133:25, 135:14
waiter [1] - 36:1
waiting [2] - 30:1, 153:25
wake [1] - 41:8
walk [2] - 30:11, 50:7
Walk [1] - 31:4
walked [3] - 30:15, 35:25, 135:9
walks [1] - 32:2
wants [2] - 85:21, 88:15
Ward [76] - 1:15, 2:17, 2:19, 18:11, 18:12, 18:13, 19:3, 19:7, 19:18, 24:7, 25:19, 27:4, 28:10, 29:13, 31:24, 34:19, 34:24, 35:5, 42:11, 42:13, 42:21, 53:5, 53:7, 53:13, 53:16, 54:21, 55:8, 59:20, 59:21, 60:17, 63:2, 66:6, 67:19, 67:21, 70:23, 74:18, 75:8, 86:7, 86:18, 88:8, 88:11, 88:13, 89:2, 89:5, 89:19, 91:13, 91:20, 92:18, 93:16, 94:2, 95:8, 100:25, 102:25, 103:15, 112:4, 112:5, 112:18, 113:2, 113:5, 113:7, 113:9, 117:16, 117:19, 117:21, 117:23, 118:2, 118:7, 119:3, 119:9, 146:13, 148:2, 151:14, 161:20, 163:7, 163:15, 1:6
Wards [2] - 2:3, 62:19
warned [1] - 137:12
warrant [3] - 43:20, 43:25, 163:2
warranted [1] - 11:2
warrants [3] - 119:23, 138:3, 138:4
watch [2] - 78:21, 121:13
watches [1] - 121:14
ways [1] - 53:8
weapon [1] - 131:11
wearing [1] - 147:11
web [1] - 1:24
website [1] - 119:6
week [14] - 11:24,

12:2, 12:9, 12:15, 28:14, 131:10, 134:2, 135:18, 136:8, 136:16, 136:17, 136:21, 155:16, 157:18
weeks [1] - 138:25
Weiler [4] - 155:19, 159:4, 159:7
well-established [1] - 82:16
well-respected [1] - 94:4
West [1] - 46:17
Westminster [2] - 113:19, 114:25
whatsoever [1] - 23:23
whiner [1] - 54:22
whole [8] - 58:22, 95:25, 100:12, 107:4, 136:21, 150:19, 151:21, 151:23
Wiler [1] - 159:3
William [3] - 109:20, 162:15, 162:21
Williams [1] - 118:5
willing [1] - 144:8
wire [3] - 132:13, 132:20, 138:2
wire-tap [2] - 132:13, 132:20
wish [2] - 34:13, 111:20
wished [1] - 168:24
witness [51] - 1:21, 3:11, 16:23, 26:18, 34:2, 42:22, 53:9, 56:5, 59:1, 59:3, 64:25, 70:11, 70:14, 82:4, 89:20, 98:12, 106:11, 106:13, 107:13, 115:10, 120:6, 120:7, 125:14, 127:5, 127:6, 127:15, 129:9, 137:3, 137:14, 137:24, 138:7, 139:7, 145:8, 147:16, 149:19, 154:9, 161:21, 163:11, 163:15, 175:18, 175:20, 175:22, 178:5, 178:8, 178:10, 180:2, 183:18, 185:11, 185:17, 186:25
Witness [1] - 118:6
witness's [2] - 1:17, 26:16
witnesses [41] -

13:6, 13:14, 13:15, 14:3, 15:3, 28:2, 28:6, 64:22, 65:4, 79:6, 80:21, 82:15, 82:19, 83:7, 83:9, 83:23, 95:14, 129:10, 131:14, 131:15, 132:2, 132:23, 133:7, 134:10, 134:14, 134:16, 134:23, 134:25, 135:9, 137:7, 137:8, 138:1, 146:2, 146:8, 148:5, 171:22, 176:5, 176:8, 179:21, 182:1, 186:23
women [3] - 18:14, 105:6, 162:16
women's [1] - 162:23
wondering [4] - 118:25, 161:11, 182:16, 182:19
Word [1] - 76:7
word [2] - 33:4, 49:23
words [6] - 38:6, 62:19, 62:25, 96:7, 140:1, 140:18
worker [9] - 45:4, 98:2, 106:21, 142:3, 144:19, 151:2, 151:5, 152:7, 152:22
workers [3] - 105:2, 105:6, 105:8
Workers [1] - 171:25
workload [2] - 8:25, 108:5
workmates [1] - 79:12
world [1] - 146:7
worried [3] - 80:8, 132:1, 132:13
worse [1] - 176:20
wounds [2] - 141:11, 142:2
wrapped [1] - 30:5
wrist [2] - 141:12, 142:3
wrists [1] - 104:10
write [6] - 44:4, 155:5, 157:11, 157:14, 174:20, 175:4
writes [2] - 122:25, 123:8
writing [2] - 158:10, 169:15
written [9] - 104:24, 130:10, 148:24, 155:2, 158:7, 158:15, 168:19, 169:11, 174:25

wrote ^[6] - 43:22,
44:2, 93:4, 128:22,
143:13, 173:25

Y

year ^[4] - 16:5, 54:24,
86:4, 162:6

years ^[18] - 6:6,
12:10, 13:13, 22:8,
23:1, 23:13, 27:20,
32:1, 49:2, 49:20,
50:3, 51:12, 52:14,
75:18, 113:22, 134:2,
137:20

yesterday ^[26] - 1:6,
1:11, 2:11, 19:11,
24:17, 38:1, 39:12,
63:11, 66:7, 86:13,
88:3, 88:8, 88:9, 91:9,
96:11, 103:16, 113:7,
113:25, 130:1, 141:6,
143:11, 144:5,
144:10, 144:24, 153:3

Yesterday ^[1] - 6:12

young ^[1] - 33:6

Young ^[1] - 145:16

yourself ^[5] - 20:2,
57:12, 98:1, 129:16,
154:15