1	Vancouver, BC
2	November 3, 2011
3	(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 10:00 A.M.)
4	THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. I'm going to allow the
6	application in the terms sought in the
7	application. While I do have some general
8	reservations in that it is an unusual type of an
9	application, I think the overall objective here
10	has to be to encourage those people who are
11	marginal, who feel marginal, and who may feel
12	intimidated by the process, and we've heard ample
13	evidence on all that, to come forward and to make
14	the inquiry more inclusive. So I think it's in
15	the public interest that they come forward and
16	participate in the inquiry. This inquiry, the
17	main function is to listen to those people who
18	have felt aggrieved by the system. And I
19	recognize that there are drawbacks, and I
20	appreciate that while in many ways a case-by-case
21	issue-by-issue examination makes more logical
22	sense, and I use quotation remarks around that, in
23	that that's the conventional way we do things in
24	courtrooms, but I think we need to make an
25	exception in this case because of the

vulnerability of those people. In any event, 1 2 there are enough safeguards particularly contained 3 in the material and will be contained in my 4 reasons that will follow next week, and they deal 5 primarily with the weight of some of the evidence. 6 In any event, I'll give written reasons next week. 7 I want to thank counsel for their submissions. All right. Mr. Vertlieb, what are 8 9 we doing today? MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Commissioner, first I wanted to deal with 10 11 something that I think should be commented on. Tuesday, towards the very end of the day, my 12 learned friend Mr. Ward made some comments about 13 14 disclosure, and the tenor of those comments are 15 such that I wanted just to outline some facts around the efforts that your commission staff made 16 17 to deal with documents, because I wouldn't want you to think that your staff has not been 18 19 attempting to be helpful to those who needed help. 20 And I'm not attempting to deal with any of the specific document requests, because Mr. Ward is 21 22 going to be bringing on a motion and we'll deal 23 with the specifics, but let me just start out the first item of correspondence, and there's many 24 25 items of correspondence, I only want to deal with

1	them as it relates to the tenor of the comments
2	about disclosure.
3	There's a letter at November 1, 2010 and it's
4	to Mr. Cameron Ward.
5	Dear Mr. Ward, Thank you for your letter
6	expressing interest in participating in the
7	Missing Women Commission of Inquiry. I'm
8	pleased to inform you the commission's
9	website is now operational. Further
10	information and instructions regarding
11	standing applications can be found. Please
12	note that applications for standing and
13	funding are due November 30, 2010. Should
14	you have any questions please don't hesitate
15	to contact me.
16	Yours truly, myself as counsel.
17	On November 30, the last day for the
18	applications, Mr. Ward wrote November 30 to
19	myself:
20	Dear Sir/Madame, Please find enclosed our
21	application for standing on behalf of
22	families
23	And he outlines them.
24	Then December 2 a letter to Mr. Chantler,
25	December 2, 2010, and it's:

Re discussion of commission procedure with 1 2 commission counsel. The Missing Women 3 Commission of Inquiry would like to invite 4 parties and/or their counsel who have applied 5 for standing an opportunity to discuss the anticipated commission procedure with 6 7 commission counsel Art Vertlieb and Karey 8 Brooks. If you'd like to attend an 9 information session please advise me the date and time convenient for you, and please let 10 11 me know if there's a specific issue you'd like to discuss. 12 13 And that's signed Elizabeth Welsh for the inquiry. 14 She's one of your staff assistants. 15 And then there's a letter January 4, 2011 and it's addressed to Dear Participant and/or Counsel, 16 17 and it's re participant application. It's a lengthy letter. The material paragraph for the 18 19 purposes here is to say: 20 The commissioner recognizes the families who have applied for joint standing, the VPD, 21 22 RCMP and Criminal Justice Branch are directly impacted by the work of the commission, and 23 have a unique and direct perspective to bring 24 25 to the commission's work, therefore, those

applicants have been granted standing with 1 2 reasons to follow. 3 So everyone was informed by a letter actually 4 signed by Elizabeth Welsh on my behalf January 4 that Mr. Ward's clients would be granted standing. 5 The next letter that relates to this is March 6 7 21 from Mr. Ward. 8 We acknowledge your recent requests... This is a letter to the inquiry, but actually 9 addressed to myself. 10 11 We acknowledge your recent requests that we 12 arrange interviews between your office and our clients, the family members of Georgina 13 Papin, Mona Wilson, Marnie Frey, Dianne Rock, 14 15 Cara Ellis, Cynthia Dawn Feliks, Helen Mae Hallmark and Dawn Crey. We have not yet 16 17 received funding assistance, although 18 negotiations with government representatives 19 are underway. Once we've reached an 20 agreement we should be in a position to 21 accommodate your requests. In anticipation 22 of preparing for the inquiry we ask that you provide us with copies of all relevant 23 24 records, preferably in electronic form, 25 together with appropriate software to

1	facilitate searching and accessing the
2	documents. We'd also request you provide us
3	with a list of anticipated witnesses as soon
4	as one's available.
5	And that's from Mr. Ward. So that was March 21,
6	2011.
7	Reply from Ms. Brooks on March 23 re witness
8	list. She says in the second paragraph:
9	We anticipate the evidentiary hearings will
10	initially proceed with witnesses from the
11	community
12	Which, of course, you've seen develop that way.
13	And then on witnesses:
14	If you have any witnesses that have relevant
15	evidence, please let us know.
16	Now, the next letter on documents, Mr. Ward,
17	April 11:
18	Further to our letter to you dated March 21
19	we again request that you provide us with
20	copies of all relevant records and a list of
21	anticipated witnesses for the hearings.
22	Now, keep in mind at this point you couldn't just
23	get documents out because there had to be
24	confidentiality agreements in place and there had
25	to be document flow, and that took some time, but

- 1 I wanted to mention Mr. Ward was writing April 11
- 2 about that. He couldn't have them that date if he
- 3 wanted them for a number of reasons.
- 4 MR. WARD: Excuse me. Excuse me. I'm sure there's a reason
- for this, but I trust I will have an opportunity
- to respond once I understand what's going on here.
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, he's giving me a background, I assume.
- I don't know what's going on.
- 9 MR. WARD: I don't know what's going on.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Well --
- 11 MR. WARD: I'm being quoted in correspondence. Perhaps the
- 12 correspondence can be just entered into the
- record. I don't know why we're taking up time --
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Ward --
- 15 MR. WARD: -- with this --
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Ward.
- 17 MR. WARD: Yes.
- 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Counsel is making a submission to me.
- 19 You'll have an opportunity to reply to it.
- 20 MR. WARD: Thank you.
- 21 THE COMMISSIONER: It's better to do it that way than to
- interrupt someone.
- 23 MR. WARD: I appreciate it. I've had no notice of what this
- 24 submission is, but --
- 25 THE COMMISSIONER: You don't need notice for everything that's

1			done in here, Mr. Ward.
2	MR.	WARD: I	
3	THE	COMMISSI	ONER: Mr. Ward, he's you made an application
4			you complained yesterday about the lack of
5			disclosure. I assume that I mean I don't know
6			any more than you do. I assume that commission
7			counsel is telling me now about the efforts that
8			have been made to give you disclosure. I'm
9			assuming that.
10	MR.	WARD: I	'll wait and have my opportunity to respond. Thank
11			you.
12	THE	COMMISSI	ONER: That's a good idea.
13	MR.	VERTLIEB	: So let me just get back to where I am here. So
14			April 11 we have that letter.
15			Now, there's a next letter to Mr. Ward of May
16			5 :
17			Dear Cameron, Re document disclosure
18			procedure and undertaking of counsel.
19			And it says:
20			You've been granted rights to access
21			documents in the possession of the
22			commission. Attached is a copy of the
23			undertaking to counsel that must be signed
24			and returned to the commission before access
25			may be granted. In addition instructions for

participant counsel have also been attached
which explain how the documents have been
organized, how counsel and participants may
access the documents, and what documents have
been received to date. Finally, you will
also find attached a confidentiality
agreement for your clients. Should you have
any questions regarding this process please
do not hesitate to contact me.
Yours truly and signed by me.
THE COMMISSIONER: What's the date of that?
MR. VERTLIEB: May 5.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right.
MR. VERTLIEB: On May 20 Mr. Ward writes back:
Dear Art, Further to your letter dated May 5
we enclose the originally signed undertaking
of counsel and look forward to receiving
access to the confidential material.
And, indeed, Mr. Ward did send the agreement as an
undertaking of counsel, which incidentally
everyone has had to sign, and it's dated May 20.
Now, on May 25 Robyn Kendall, who was then
part of the staff and assisting in all of these
issues, sent an e-mail to Mr. Chantler and
Mr. Ward and the subject is disclosure database,

1	and Ms. Kendall says:
2	Thank you for your undertaking for counsel.
3	Further to our letter to you we require the
4	external IP address of the computer that will
5	be accessing documents.
6	And that's sort of part of the protection that
7	needs to be in place for these documents.
8	Also, please confirm who will be the main
9	contact for the database.
10	And that's Ms. Kendall May 25.
11	And then May 27 Salima Samnani references a
12	conversation that she had had with Neil Chantler
13	about Concordance. Now, Concordance,
14	Mr. Commissioner, was the document management
15	system that the commission is using as a way of
16	getting all the documents out to the participants.
17	It's just another system, there's a number of
18	ones, and that was the one selected by your
19	document manager, Ms. Thompson, Judy Thompson with
20	the assistance of Mr. Boddie, the executive
21	director. Apparently Mr. Chantler had sent in the
22	undertaking, 'cause he knew to sign that as well,
23	but does not indicated he did not have a static
24	IP address, only a dynamic one. So they're
25	getting a new modem next week and then they'll be

in contact. So that's -- when they say they, that 1 2 means Mr. Ward and Mr. Chantler having to get a 3 different kind of modem. And that is the end of 4 May. 5 Mr. Chantler did send an e-mail to Robyn and 6 he says: 7 Thanks for your e-mail. We have discussed 8 this with our IP person and are arranging to have a fixed IP address in order to 9 accommodate the commission's document 10 11 disclosure process. We currently have a 12 dynamic IP address and the required modem should be delivered next week. We'll provide 13 14 the fixed IP address as soon as possible. 15 Regards Neil Chantler. And then Ms. Kendall again reminds them. 16 17 So then we go, there's an e-mail from Ms. McKeachie, one of your staff as well, sent May 18 19 31 to many of the participants, and Mr. Ward and 20 Mr. Chantler are on the distribution list, and it's a message from John Boddie, your executive 21 22 director: 23 This e-mail is provided as an update to our 24 letter of May 5th, 2011 regarding the 25 disclosure of documents to participants.

1 I'm going to take the material part:

Please find attached a copy of the form request for approval to provide copies of confidential material to be used. For those participants that have not yet contacted the commission for access to the disclosure database, please be advised the contact staff members change. Request for access to disclosure documents and request for approval to distribute copies should now be directed to Judy Thompson. Participants will still need to contact Triage directly for training, technical issues, additional licences, et cetera. Kind regards, John Boddie.

Triage is an independent company that was retained by your staff to actually load the documents onto the database. So documents will come to your commission staff, the staff will then send them to an independent company who then scans them and puts them into a form where everyone can get access. And it's just a routine way in inquiries that documents are often handled, there's nothing apparently unusual about that.

So then we know from Ms. Thompson that log-ins -- log-in IDs and passwords were sent out

to people. And just, for example, we know that 1 2 people had already started to sign on, for example 3 Sean Hern for the VPD, Mr. Arvais who was then 4 representing a group, and Ms. Gervais who is here 5 with us today of course. We know that we had by 6 then received signed undertakings from Mr. Ward 7 and Mr. Crossin for the VPD Union, and Mr. Skwarok. And so we do know we were still 8 9 waiting for IP addresses, this is at the beginning of June, before they could get the log-in details. 10 11 In other words, by the beginning of June some of your participant counsel were all logged in and 12 ready to go and some weren't. And at that point 13 14 in time Mr. Crossin and Mr. Ward and Mr. Skwarok 15 weren't logged in to get this. I'm just wanting you to understand what that date is about. 16 17 So then there's an e-mail from Ms. Thompson to Troy Shannon, who I gather works for Mr. Ward, 18 19 because there's an e-mail where Ms. Thompson, now 20 she's your document manager, at June 6 she says: Hi Troy, We need to have your static IP 21 22 address in order to set up access to the 23 commission's hearing database. Please advise 24 of your IP address at your convenience. That's June 6. 25

1	Now, we then that day Troy I'm sorry, I
2	just mentioned his last name. Troy Shannon that
3	day, June 6:
4	Hi Judy, Here is our static address
5	And he gives the details. And he says:
6	The primary contact for the file will be
7	Mr. Neil Chantler.
8	You remember there is a request of who is the
9	primary contact, and it's all part of the control
10	of documents so people who shouldn't be seeing
11	them are not seeing them. And so that now is
12	given to us. And then there's an e-mail June 6,
13	also from Troy, because he wasn't sure who to
14	write, he was originally writing Jessica McKeachie
15	and that's why Judy Thompson became involved. So
16	that's June 6.
17	It seems that by June 7 now with the address
18	that we had from Mr. Chantler we now have
19	Mr. Chantler being able to access the Concordance
20	database to actually get the documents. So we
21	know June 6, June 7 Mr. Chantler is able to now
22	start accessing. Ms. Thompson that day, June 7,
23	sends an e-mail to Mr. Chantler:
24	Mr. Chantler, we now have your log-in details
25	to enable you to access the commission

1	document disclosure.
2	And she says:
3	Please note the first time you access it
4	you'll have to have some prompts to download.
5	She says:
6	Additional instructions are provided in the
7	attached basic training handout
8	And then she gives him the log-in name, the
9	password, the server and other details that he
LO	would need.
11	So on June 8 Ms. Thompson, Judy Thompson,
12	sends an e-mail to Mr. Chantler:
L3	Hi, Neil, We note that your request for
L 4	access to the Missing Women Commission's
15	document disclosure database does not include
16	an undertaking of counsel signed by you. We
L7	do, however, have a copy of the undertaking
18	signed by Cameron Ward. The commission
19	requires that all lawyers accessing the
20	Concordance database sign the undertaking.
21	For your convenience we've attached a copy,
22	and please fax it to me or e-mail it.
23	'Cause, remember Mr. Ward had signed it but not
24	Mr. Chantler and Mr. Chantler was listed as the
25	primary contact. So that's June 8.

1	The next day Mr. Chantler advised:
2	Thanks, Judy. You will receive a signed copy
3	from me shortly. Regards Neil Chantler.
4	And then that day he does send it that day, and of
5	course we do have it, and it's properly signed
6	June 9, 2011 as Mr. Chantler.
7	Judy writes the same day:
8	Thank you, Neil, for your quick response.
9	Regards Judy Thompson.
10	Now, the next is June 20. It's an e-mail
11	from Judy to Neil Chantler June 20:
12	You're most welcome.
13	She says:
14	I'm not sure what the problem was. The
15	Triage managed to fix it. Judy.
16	And then there seems to have been some problem
17	with Mr. Chantler's access to the database
18	uncontrolled by us in any way. But fortunately
19	Mr. Chantler then tells us on June 20:
20	Hi Judy, The problem with my access seems to
21	have been resolved. Thanks for your help.
22	Signed Neil.
23	We have a letter from Mr. Ward August 14,
24	2011. He says:
25	We understand the commission intends to

commence hearings on October 11, 2011, less 1 than two months from now. We have serious 2 3 concerns about our ability to be ready for 4 that date arising from the nature of document 5 disclosure to date, and the commission's ongoing failure or refusal to provide us with 6 7 a witness list. 8 And in this letter he wants -- he's unhappy with 9 the disclosure process. Mr. Ward says: We appreciate the method of disclosure and 10 access was selected at a time when the 11 12 commission anticipated there would be 13 multiple participants. 14 He says: 15 Now that's not the case we want you to 16 change... 17 And one of the things he wanted us to do was a dedicated hard drive which wasn't the way it was 18 19 being done. And there's a -- he's just concerned 20 about this document protocol and the way the 21 commission was using it. Apparently it seemed to 22 be fine for all the other participants, but I'm 23 just telling you there was correspondence from 24 Mr. Ward. 25 And so on August 15 Ms. Samnani writes to

Dear Neil, Further to your conversation on 2 3 Tuesday we have attached a chart which 4 contains a non-exhaustive list of documents 5 relative to the families represented by you. 6 The original file corresponding in the Concordance document are listed with each 7 8 name. 9 And then she sets out the starting point and keyword searches and how he should do everything. 10 11 And she just tells him what to do and she 12 concludes hopefully that this is of some 13 assistance. 14 And I do want to say that this kind of 15 information was provided as a complete courtesy. No other participant counsel received help 16 17 identifying relevant documents for their clients. It was only Mr. Ward, and in this case 18 19 Mr. Chantler, who were seeking this and we gave --20 they were accommodated truly out of courtesy to them. What we're doing is helping them identify 21 22 relevant documents for their own clients. So 23 that's August 15. 24 Now, on August 16 Ms. McKeachie actually sat 25 with, I believe, Mr. Ward and Mr. Chantler both

Mr. Chantler:

1

and gave them each or one of them, but I think it 1 2 was both, a tutorial on how to go through the 3 document disclosure. And I understand that the 4 only people who needed this tutorial were my 5 learned friends I've just referred to, but that tutorial took place in commission offices on the 6 7 16th. 8 On the 18th Ms. Thompson, 18th of August, writes to Mr. Ward and Mr. Chantler: 9 We've not forgotten about providing you with 10 11 disclosure documents and we will be sending a 12 courier to your office tomorrow. John Boddie has identified several files to be sent to 13 14 you on a priority basis ahead of the rest. 15 Although all the files have not yet been loaded on the external hard drive we hope to 16 17 have this ready early next week and delivered to your office. 18 19 Now, that's the first reference to an external 20 hard drive that Mr. Ward had requested. I'll come to that in a moment. 21 22 Now, Mr. Ward on the 20th e-mails Judy 23 Thompson: 24 Thank you. I also look forward to receiving 25 copies of all the agreements reached with the

participants, especially the RCMP, VPD and 1 2 CJB regarding document disclosure protocols. 3 I think this may have been his first reference to 4 wanting to see the disclosure protocols. It's not 5 a major point right now, but I put that in. 6 I want to come back to this, 'cause remember 7 I mentioned to you a hard drive, and I understand that at this point in time all the other 8 9 participants were handling the document disclosure and had no issues, but Mr. Ward wanted a hard 10 11 drive. I'm going to read an e-mail from John Boddie, August 17, to Mr. Ward: 12 We are working on getting your hard drive set 13 14 up for you. Unfortunately our staff member 15 who is authorized to make such purchases is away, so Wally is going out himself to buy it 16 17 for you now. You may recall, Mr. Commissioner, that when we 18 19 heard that Mr. Ward had this request and the staff 20 member who was authorized was not there at the 21 time you actually went to Staples to buy the hard 22 drive, which of course was at commission expense. 23 At this point in time no one else had requested a 24 separate hard drive.

August 29 from Cameron Ward, an e-mail

25

apparently of Mr. Boddie's indicating you had gone 1 2 out yourself to buy it. I'm not sure it was a 3 referenced anywhere by Mr. Ward. He does say 4 August 29: 5 I confirm my previous request for copies of 6 document agreements and protocols reached 7 between the commission and the RCMP, VPD and 8 CJB respectively. 9 Now, August 30 there's an e-mail from Jessica McKeachie, research counsel: 10 11 Good afternoon, Neil. I just wanted to let 12 you know that Ms. Sharon Hill, Andrea Borhaven's mother, contacted the commission 13 today. I had a brief conversation with her 14 15 and tried to explain a bit about the inquiry process, and informed her that you and 16 17 Cameron were representing some of the other 18 families. I gave her your contact, Neil, so 19 you will probably be hearing from her 20 shortly. Now, it's not related to documents, but this is 21 22 another example of where the commission staff are in my review of this going out of their way to 23 assist Mr. Ward. This was an example of referring 24 25 a family member to Mr. Ward so he could represent

1 them. 2 Now, John Boddie follows up this hard drive 3 situation, he sends an e-mail to Mr. Ward on 4 August 30, and he carbon copies Neil Chantler and 5 Judy Thompson and others. This is John Boddie: 6 Cam, I checked on the progress of your hard 7 drive yesterday and learned that it had been 8 delayed because you or Neil had asked that extra information be added. I understand 9 that to be batch numbers on the disclosure. 10 11 I understand the rationale for the request, 12 but it meant that staff had to add that 13 information, and they are doing it. When the 14 task became more than simply copying it added 15 to the prep time. Be assured we are working on it ASAP. 16 17 Now, I just want to say that there was no obligation to do this hard drive, it was done as a 18 19 courtesy. It took many, many hours for 20 Ms. Thompson to actually prepare this hard drive. So I just read Mr. Boddie's followup, 'cause he 21 22 was monitoring this. As he said I checked on the progress of your hard drive, and that's August 30. 23 Now, September 6 Ms. Thompson writes to 24 Mr. Ward and Mr. Chantler: 25

Good afternoon. We are sending by courier
this afternoon an external hard drive of
disclosure documents along with corresponding
Concordance batch numbers.

She says:
There were damaged documents in the original

There were damaged documents in the original disclosure, including documents relating to Brenda Wolf. We've advised that this along with others will be replaced in the future by DOJ. We'll let you know when the file has been added to Concordance. Regarding the LePard report you've got an unredacted version, et cetera. Our purpose in sending you copies was to ensure you have a correct version and not the public use copy available.

So September 6, but I think, unless I misunderstand this, I gather that it's not really until around this time frame that it seems that my learned friend Mr. Ward is really looking at the documents. I'm not quite sure, but I think that's the significance of this approach of this hard drive and the other circumstances. Neil Chantler sends a note September 6:

Thanks, Judy, the hard drive's arrived.

1 Regards.

Now, that's into September. The last, I think that relates to what this discussion's about, would be an e-mail from Mr. Boddie to Mr. Chantler of September 9, because Mr. Chantler had confirmed a telephone conversation where Mr. Boddie granted approval for Mr. Chantler and Mr. Ward to disclose documents to the clients, because there's always a concern about how the lawyers can disclose to the clients given the sensitive nature of some of the information, so I gather Mr. Chantler phoned Mr. Boddie and then Mr. Boddie confirmed in an e-mail:

Yes, approval has been granted. Please complete the required form and just add it.

But the point is at September 9 this discussion's taking place with your staff dealing with these requests in what I would suggest is a kindly way.

So I don't want to say any more other than to read these circumstances to you. It doesn't deal specifically with the document requests that I think Mr. Ward will have in his motion that he's still working on, but I was concerned that lest you have any doubt that your staff has been diligent in meeting the needs of the inquiry and

doing their job as they have been asked to do. 1 2 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Comments, Mr. Ward? 3 MR. WARD: Yes, thank you. And I seek the opportunity to respond to that 40 minute presentation since it 4 5 was all about me and my correspondence. 6 Being the year 2011 Mr. Vertlieb and I, and 7 everybody else in the room, have the ability to communicate with each other instantaneously. And 8 9 Mr. Vertlieb I see has his iPad with him on a regular basis, and I frequently send him e-mails 10 11 and he responds. I had no idea this was coming up this morning. I have asked repeatedly in recent 12 13 days what is on the agenda for the subsequent 14 days, and I've been having difficulty getting a 15 grip on that subject. I would have expected that 16 Mr. Vertlieb, eminent, experienced counsel that he 17 is, vice-president of the Law Society that he is, would have shown me the courtesy of advising me 18 19 that he would be revealing all the contents of the 20 correspondence this morning. It must have taken 21 him some considerable time to prepare that 40 22 minute presentation and retrieve the documents 23 from the files, and he should have, in my respectful submission, given me some notice that 24 25 he was going to do this so I had adequate time to

respond. I'm disappointed that he failed to do that, but I am ready to respond.

Mr. Vertlieb, in his helpful chronology of the communications that have passed between our offices on the issue of document disclosure, confirmed that I was essentially on the record as acting for the families of the missing and murdered women, and I believe it was the 1st of November, 2010. It was confirmed by letter, as he mentioned, that you, Mr. Commissioner, had granted my clients standing on January the 4th, along with three other parties or participants, the VPD, the department of -- pardon me, the VPD, the RCMP and the Criminal Justice Branch. So as of January the 4th there were four parties with standing.

I understand from the material I received much later in October, and I'll come to that in a moment, that three of the four parties or participants in this commission's hearing reached a document vetting protocol, the latest iteration is dated February the 17th, 2011.

Now, Mr. Vertlieb would know, I expect, and others in this room would know, and certainly you, Mr. Commissioner, would know that when matters of significance are determined in a proceeding all

parties or participants should have notice of them 1 2 and the opportunity to be heard on them. And that 3 is the invariable practice of courts and quasi-judicial administrative tribunals like this 4 5 one. Regrettably I was not informed that discussions were going on with respect to the 6 7 vetting and redaction of documents that would ultimately be generated for this commission's 8 9 purpose. Regrettably I was not given any opportunity to make any submissions with respect 10 11 to any agreement reached or protocols reached with 12 respect to that issue. And we'll be dealing with 13 that issue later. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Let me stop you there. I don't want to get 15 into the redaction process now, except my understanding is that there's no right for anybody 16 17 to be involved in the redaction process. I mean I stand to be corrected on that. Why would you be 18 19 entitled to take part in the redaction process of 20 documents that are not yours? MR. WARD: Well --21 22 THE COMMISSIONER: And did you ask? MR. WARD: I -- I --23 24 THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. Did you ask? 25 MR. WARD: I didn't know it was going on. I had no idea it was

going on. How could I ask to be involved in 1 something I'm not aware is taking place. I would 2 3 have thought -- and I have a bit of experience 4 with prior public hearings. I would have thought 5 that the commission would have used its power to compel the production of documents, would have 6 7 received those documents and then would have ascertained upon receipt --8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. I only make the orders compelling documents if you ask for the order. 10 11 You've never come before me to ask for an order, so don't throw this on me. You've never come 12 13 before me to ask for an order. So if you had done 14 that, if you had done that obviously I would have 15 listened to you. In fact, from what I've heard this morning you've complained continuously about 16 the lack of any kind of disclosure. And if I am 17 to accept what Mr. Vertlieb has said this morning, 18 19 and you have an opportunity to reply, and I think 20 maybe it might be better off to leave it to when you're going to make your application for an 21 22 adjournment, the fact is the commission staff 23 spent many hours accommodating you. In fact, the commission even bought you a hard drive out of the 24 25 commission's budget.

MR. WARD: I'm --1 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute. And no one else here was 3 ever required that kind of accommodation. So I 4 assume that's what the purpose of all of this is. 5 So, you know, I don't know -- I don't know 6 anything about what's being said other than what 7 Mr. Vertlieb has told us here this morning, but I assume that he's done this this morning in order 8 9 to respond to your concern that you raised about the lack of accommodation by commission staff. 10 11 And if I were to accept his -- his submission, he said for instance that Judy Thompson spent many 12 13 hours with you to bring you up to speed because 14 your system wasn't up to speed, and in fact got 15 the commission to buy you a hard drive. No one else required that type of accommodation. Now, I 16 17 assume that's why Mr. Vertlieb has told me all of this, and if there is a response to that then I'll 18 19 hear it, but don't tell me about my not making an 20 order to make you a part of the redaction process when you didn't come before me. 21 22 MR. WARD: Thank you. Mr. Vertlieb and you, Mr. Commissioner, 23 are quite right, I have complained continuously 24 about the document disclosure and what I perceive 25 to be the grave inadequacies with respect to it,

both with respect to the method by which documents 1 2 have been disclosed to me and the quantity of the documents and the nature of the documents 3 4 disclosed. The document disclosure, in my 5 submission, remains inadequate and that is why I have a motion pending, and I'm preparing that for 6 7 presentation in the first opportunity. But coming back to my friend Mr. Vertlieb's -- the thrust of 8 9 his remarks as I understand them, if I understand them correctly, yes, it's quite right I have been 10 11 and continue to complain as forcefully as I'm able 12 about what I perceive to be improper and 13 inadequate document disclosure. 14 In October of this year after these hearings 15 had commenced I obtained for the first time copies of correspondence between the commission and the 16 17 Department of Justice, and I'd like to pass up three copies of those because they go directly to 18 19 my friend's remarks. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. MR. WARD: And I have other copies for my friends. Again, I 21 22 obtained these in October of this year, and I just 23 want to --24 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry. These are communications between the 25 Department of Justice and the commission?

- 1 MR. WARD: And commission counsel, yes.
- 2 THE COMMISSIONER: So are you entitled to them?
- 3 MR. WARD: Well, I certainly am. I take the position I am.
- 4 THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know. Maybe you can tell me. Maybe
- 5 someone can tell me.
- 6 MR. WARD: Commission counsel gave them to me in October.
- 7 MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Ward asked for them, and frankly I'm not
- 8 sure he was entitled to them, but in the hopes of
- 9 allaying his concern I thought he should see what
- 10 had been requested. I thought it might help, but
- I'm not sure it has.
- 12 THE COMMISSIONER: I assume, and maybe you can correct me if
- 13 I'm wrong, but if you've got communications
- 14 between commission counsel that he doesn't have to
- show them to the counsel for the Department of
- Justice or the VPD. I mean, those are
- 17 communications that you're making towards
- 18 commission counsel, is he supposed to disclose all
- that to someone else?
- 20 MR. WARD: Oh, I take the position that all communications
- between any counsel and commission counsel should
- be disclosed to everybody just like they would be
- in a legal proceeding.
- 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that's not quite true. That's not
- 25 accurate as far as the law is concerned. But I

```
mean you may take that position, but I'm -- I mean
 1
 2
                   I'm asking you. I don't know.
 3
      MR. WARD: Of course I'm entitled to them is my response.
 4
      THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?
 5
      MR. WARD: Of course I'm entitled to this is my response
 6
                   because it was given to me by commission counsel.
 7
      THE COMMISSIONER: Well, they may have been given to you, but
 8
                   that doesn't mean you're by law entitled to them.
 9
                   I mean there's certain communications that lawyers
                   make, but that doesn't mean every other lawyer is
10
11
                   entitled to them. I don't know, I'm just throwing
                   this out to you. You seem to think that you're
12
                   automatically entitled to everything. But let's
13
                   assume for minute that Mr. Hern writes to
14
15
                   Mr. Vertlieb and there's a matter of some
                   privilege in there, I don't know, are you
16
17
                   automatically entitled to that?
      MR. WARD: Well, these are not privileged communications, and
18
19
                   if they were privilege was waived when commission
20
                   counsel gave them to me in October. In any
21
                   event --
22
      THE COMMISSIONER: In any event. Okay.
      MR. WARD: In any event we're getting a little off track. I
23
                   want to point out just a few things. If you could
24
25
                   turn, please, to the December 24th, 2010 letter
```

from the Department of Justice to my friend 1 2 Mr. Vertlieb and my friend Ms. Brooks. It's about 3 -- these are arranged in chronological order, and 4 I'm sorry there's no page numbers on the top, but 5 this letter December 24th, four paragraphs down Ms. Tobias, the author of this letter of December 6 7 24th, Christmas Eve last year, says: The volume of documentation in the project 8 9 Evenhanded database is enormous. 10 And at the end of that paragraph she says: 11 While we do not have an exact figure, our 12 current understanding is that the total 13 number of pages is closer to 2 million. As opposed to the 1.2 million she originally 14 15 thought. So justice is saying in December 2 million documents are here. I'm not able to 16 17 tell you, Mr. Commissioner, what has been disclosed to us in terms of number of pages, but 18 19 my best estimate is something just under 200,000 20 pages or 10 percent of what there was in the 21 government's file. 22 Let me take you, please, next on this issue 23 of the chronology that my friend Mr. Vertlieb has taken you through to a letter from his office, 24 25 January 27th, 2011, to Ms. Tobias at the

Department of Justice. And it refers to this 1 vetting disclosure protocol which I have and will 3 continue to complain about and the Williams report and the appendices to it. And then it concludes with this couple of paragraphs on page 2. This is Mr. Vertlieb, Q.C. to Ms. Tobias, Q.C. of justice, January 27, 2011: As you know I am troubled by the manner in

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which this commission is receiving disclosure from the RCMP. I am now wondering if it would be more efficient for us to dissolve the informal basis upon which we have been relying upon for disclosure from the RCMP and obtain a formal order for disclosure. Perhaps a formal order for disclosure pursuant to the Public Inquiries Act will at least put the Commission on equal terms to the demands of the media pursuant to freedom of information. Yours very truly, Art Vertlieb.

So it seems that frustration and concern about document disclosure by the repository of the documents is not a concern unique to myself. At least it wasn't as of January 27th. But let me get right to the point.

As Mr. Vertlieb helpfully laid out I was on record as acting for the families by November the 4th. I believe it was actually October 2010. On January the 4th I had standing. For all the reasons set out in my correspondence I did not — I was not entitled to access to any documents until I returned the undertaking which was given to me in May 2011. I actually achieved access to the documents after solving technical difficulties in June of 2011, four and a bit months ago.

We've received information from the commission that Deputy Chief Evans of the Peel Regional Police Department was engaged by the commission in November of 2010 and that she started work on the files in December or thereabouts of 2010. I now have the additional concern in addition to all those concerns expressed in my previous correspondence of why in the world couldn't the families have had access to the files at the same time or around the time that Deputy Chief Evans did. That would have given us six more months to work on this complex matter, and I just don't understand why she got the documents as early as she did. We'll find out exactly which day when she testifies, but she

1	obviously, I'm told, is independent. I'm not
2	independent in the sense that I represent parties
3	with a very real direct interest in these
4	proceedings, and despite that direct interest in
5	these proceedings I as their counsel wasn't
6	entitled, wasn't granted any access until the
7	summer, May, June of 2011, some five, six months
8	apparently after Deputy Chief Evans from Peel,
9	Ontario was given access. If that's a level
10	playing field, if that's fair then I you know,
11	I just I'm speechless. I'm still gravely,
12	gravely concerned about document disclosure.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	MR. WARD: And I'll bring that up with my motion. And those
15	are my remarks in response to Mr. Vertlieb's
16	submission.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. What about the comment that he
18	has made that Mr. Ward has raised here about
19	Deputy Chief Evans being entitled to documents?
20	MR. VERTLIEB: I think Mr. Ward, as he said, will explore all
21	that with the deputy when she's in the witness box
22	and he can discuss what she had and when she had
23	it. We haven't seen her report yet, which
24	unfortunately is delayed, and so when we have the
25	report he will

THE COMMISSIONER: Are you able to tell me how she gets the 1 2 documents? 3 MR. VERTLIEB: No. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me? 5 MR. VERTLIEB: She worked independently, and because she was a 6 police officer there may have been different 7 issues. Part of the problem, as you can appreciate, is disclosure to non-police agencies 8 9 of sensitive information, so frankly I'm not quite sure how that worked out. I didn't know that was 10 11 -- I knew Mr. Ward was bothered with the deputy from Peel, but I wasn't aware that was a competent 12 13 concern of his, so I just --14 THE COMMISSIONER: Did she work through the commission or did 15 she work with the police directly? MR. VERTLIEB: I know she met with police directly and would 16 17 come out and meet different police officers and have interviews, I just am not certain of exactly 18 when she started seeing documents. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. 20 MR. VERTLIEB: I just don't know when she saw them, because you 21 22 could hear that there were discussions that we had with the DOJ about documents and the fulsome 23

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. In any event it's something that

nature of disclosure.

24

25

you can canvass with the deputy chief when she gives evidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just want to say that look, this is a difficult commission of inquiry, it's doing very important work, and rarely a day goes by when I'm not stopped in the street by some citizen who commends us for what we're doing. Last night a well-known citizen came up to me and said, "You're doing the most important work in this province right now." So the public realizes how important it is that we do this work. It is not easy doing this. I know there are voluminous number of documents that are out there. We're being asked to re-examine an investigation that took place back in the '90s, so nothing is easy here. So I just ask counsel to have some patience. I say that at the same time I'm telling you we have a deadline. The fact is that we have to be flexible, we have to work with one another, and we have to show some professionalism. I'm entirely satisfied that all the lawyers in this room are working with the best of intentions, and we all want to see that at the end of the day that all the evidence that's relevant is given to me so that we can prepare a report that will take into

all the factors and that everybody is treated 1 fairly, and all those people who come before us 2 3 and that we have a productive report at the end of 4 the day that will be meaningful. And so that's 5 really why we're here. And I know that sometimes 6 in these difficult, highly charged circumstances 7 that feelings and emotions run high, but having said that we're -- everybody here is legally 8 9 trained, so part of the prerequisites of someone being legally trained is that you set your 10 11 emotions aside and we cheerfully consider what we 12 say to one another and that we accommodate one 13 another. And as I said a moment ago I'm satisfied 14 that everyone here is working with the best of 15 intentions, and even though we're working with very difficult circumstances, and indeed we're 16 17 working with terrible tragedies that have taken place, that's our job to look at these tragedies, 18 19 to look at the victims, to look at the families to 20 ensure that they receive fair treatment and that 21 at the end of the day everybody is accommodated. 22 All right. Any other comments from anybody? 23 MR. WARD: Just one very quickly, Mr. Commissioner. I 24 neglected to ask that the package of documents I 25 was referring to be marked as an exhibit.

- 1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay.
- 2 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number 32.
- 3 **(EXHIBIT 32)**
- 4 MR. HERN: Just before we take the break, Mr. Commissioner, I
- just wanted to clarify one aspect of your order,
- 6 that what you granted is the order that was as
- 7 amended Mr. Gratl in the course of the
- 8 proceedings?
- 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
- 10 MR. HERN: So the affidavits that will come in will not be
- anonymous, but they will be presumptively
- 12 admissible in that form and that we can have leave
- to apply to cross-examine?
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: It is amended, yes.
- 15 MR. HERN: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So you have an application this
- morning?
- 18 MR. HERN: The Department of Justice is going to be making the
- 19 application that I had introduced last Friday, and
- I will be supporting it, but I don't expect that I
- 21 will have a whole lot to say about it.
- Mr. Brongers is going to do that.
- 23 THE COMMISSIONER: How long do you think you'll be, Mr.
- 24 Brongers?
- 25 MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, Andrew Majawa for the Government

of Canada. I expect to be in the neighbourhood of 1 2 30 to 40 minutes with my submissions, and of course I'm not sure how long my friends will be. 3 4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll come back. 5 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:59 A.M.) 6 7 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 11:17 A.M.) THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 8 9 MR. VERTLIEB: Just one point on your ruling. Ms. Gervais reminds me that she had asked for the protection 10 11 that you were affording Mr. Gratl's position to be 12 extended to her position as well, and I just 13 wanted you --14 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 15 MR. VERTLIEB: Thank you. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Yes. 17 MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, Andrew Majawa for the Government of Canada. I believe you have a brief of 18 19 materials in front of you. It is a thin brief 20 with a clear plastic cover. THE COMMISSIONER: I don't have it. I don't know what I've 21 22 done with it. I'm sure you gave it to me. MR. MAJAWA: I believe I have an extra copy. This was 23 24 distributed to my friends yesterday, I believe, or perhaps the day before. I can't recall. 25

Τ		I rise today to seek your direction and your
2		guidance, whether it be through an order or a
3		direction or some other means, in respect of
4		developing a protocol which will help to prevent
5		the publication of sensitive, private information,
6		and potentially privileged information as well
7		which I will refer to throughout these submissions
8		as the confidential information. Now, at the
9		outset I would like to
LO	THE COMMISSI	ONER: Maybe I can shorten this up. I'm not going
L1		to make any order today with respect to the
L2		prohibition of any publication. I just won't do
L3		it.
L 4	MR. MAJAWA:	And I'm not we're not seeking a publication
L5		ban.
L 6	THE COMMISSI	ONER: Have you discussed this with other counsel
L7		as to what their position is on this?
L8	MR. MAJAWA:	Mr. Commissioner, we've through concerted efforts
L9		of Mr. Vertlieb tried to come to an agreement as
20		to what information should be afforded protection
21		and should be kept from public disclosure. As I
22		said we had some meetings, we've exchanged
23		correspondence, and unfortunately it appears that
24		we are not able to come to an agreement amongst
25		the participants. What I'm rising to seek here

today is not a publication ban. It's been 1 2 mischaracterized that way in the media and perhaps 3 by some of my friends. But what we are seeking 4 here is some direction here as to a protocol, a 5 process as to how we can deal with keeping 6 confidential information confidential, and a 7 process by which if there are issues with publication that it can be dealt with on a 8 9 case-by-case basis. So we're not seeking an order right now in a vacuum where there's no document 10 11 before you or no evidence before you. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: I think the best way of dealing with this is the conventional way, and that is what you argued 13 yesterday that these things ought to be decided on 14 15 a case-by-case basis, and that's what I would -that's what I intend to do subject to any 16 17 opposition to that. And we agreed that it should be dealt with in 18 MR. MAJAWA: 19 terms of the actual ban on -- any ban on 20 publication should be dealt with on a case-by-case basis. However, in order to assure that there's 21 22 no inadvertent disclosures of confidential 23 information during the hearings either during examination of the witness or otherwise we need to 24 25 have an understanding that we can all work under

to ensure that that doesn't happen, whether it be 1 2 by speaking of people by initials. But we also 3 need to know exactly what information we're going 4 to try to not reveal. When it becomes an issue as 5 to whether or not something should actually be in 6 the public domain then we can argue the issue on a 7 case-by-case basis as you have suggested. But the problem also is, as you are aware these 8 9 proceedings are streamed live over the Internet, they are available immediately, so anything that 10 11 is said is published right away. There could be the potential, very real potential --12 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, surely counsel must know when they're 13 14 calling a particular witness or are in the process 15 of filing a particular document as to whether or not a red flag ought to be raised and at that 16 17 stage I would expect counsel to tell me that the identity of this particular document or the 18 19 publication of that document or the viva voce 20 evidence is in question and I ought to deal with it similarly to a voir dire in a criminal case. 21 22 MR. MAJAWA: And that's generally the process that we propose, but I do not believe that there is a consensus 23 amongst my friends as to exactly what information 24 25 would set off that red flag. We have our position

- 1 set out in the letter of October 31st.
- 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, tell me what you -- I mean maybe I'm
- 3 missing something and I'm simplifying it here, but
- 4 tell me what you're proposing.
- 5 MR. MAJAWA: In terms of the process?
- 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
- 7 MR. MAJAWA: Well, perhaps if I could turn you to page 2 of --
- 8 THE COMMISSIONER: You don't have to read the argument, just
- 9 tell me what it is.
- 10 MR. MAJAWA: Well, I just would like to highlight the types of
- information that we are talking about. So if you
- can go to page 2 of the letter that's dated
- October 31st.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
- MR. MAJAWA: And I think it's important to go through these
- 16 categories, because it gives you a context for the
- 17 type of information that we are concerned about.
- So the first one is adoption records and
- information revealing the adoptive status of
- individuals or those who have placed children for
- 21 adoption. Now, I don't think my friends --
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: I've read them all.
- 23 MR. MAJAWA: -- have an issue with that.
- 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Just a minute. Sometimes it's easier
- 25 if I just go through this instead of us reading

- 1 along. I can read it quicker that way. Okay. So
- 2 what about images contained in photographs that
- 3 are of a sensitive nature, so who decides what's
- 4 sensitive?
- 5 MR. MAJAWA: Well, you will decide that on a case-by-case
- 6 basis.
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay. Information. All right.
- 8 And sub (h) is relevancy.
- 9 MR. MAJAWA: That's correct.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Okay. Well, is there anyone here
- 11 that's opposed to this?
- 12 MR. ROBERTS: Just a clarification.
- 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Roberts.
- 14 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, Darryl Roberts for aboriginal women. I just
- want a clarification of (d), what is in the public
- domain. I assume that the LePard Report is in the
- 17 public domain.
- 18 MR. MAJAWA: I would assume, yes. There are a number of
- 19 versions of the LePard Report.
- 20 MR. ROBERTS: I just wanted to make clear that the tipster
- information in the LePard Report is not something
- 22 that the public protocol or --
- MR. MAJAWA: Well, perhaps --
- 24 THE COMMISSIONER: It's been filed as an exhibit here, so I
- assume it's in the public domain.

1 MR. ROBERTS: All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MAJAWA: Well, perhaps I could just elaborate briefly on

(d) as to what type of information that is in
reference to. So it's stated there that to the
extent that they are not already in the public
domain, names and other identifying information of
individuals who are the originators or subjects of
tips made to the police in respect to the missing
women investigations.

Mr. Commissioner, there were numerous tips called in by members of the public when the Missing Women Task Force was operational. These tips were called in often times by a neighbour calling on their neighbour thinking that they may be involved in the disappearance of the missing There's incidences where a sister-in-law women. has called in on their brother-in-law. Those cases were investigated and determined to not be involved with the disappearance of the missing women, however, their names, their information is enclosed in the documents, has not yet been redacted from the documents, and that's the type of information that in our view should be protected.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah.

MR. MAJAWA: And I believe from our discussions with some of my 1 2 friends that there were issues with whether or not 3 that type of information should at least be 4 flagged and then dealt with on a case-by-case 5 basis. So what we are seeking here is a direction 6 that this information listed on page 2 is the type 7 of information that should at least raise the flag that this is confidential information, this is 8 information that should not be released to the 9 public. It could have serious effects to people's 10 11 individual privacy. It could also potentially cause harm to individuals if somebody was not 12 13 aware that their violent partner had been called 14 in on as a tip. If they became aware they may be 15 subject to some violence. So there are some very serious concerns in our view in addition to the 16 17 privacy concerns that need to be dealt with, that we all need to be on the same understanding as to 18 19 how we are going to deal with these going forward 20 so that there are relatively few mistakes as can possibly be made. 21 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right. 23 MR. MAJAWA: So that the process -- and before I move on from

there to the process I would just note that not

only are these areas of confidential information

24

25

that have been set out in the letter, not only are 1 2 those in my view obviously deserving of privacy, 3 and obviously not deserving of not being disclosed 4 publically, but they are supported also by the 5 legislation under which this commission operates. What I'm referring to there is the Public Inquiry 6 7 Act section 15, which has also been adopted in the procedures on section 5 which empowers you to 8 9 restrict access to information that is contained in certain areas of the Freedom of Information and 10 11 Protection of Privacy Act, and in particular sections 15 to 19 and 21 to 22.1 of those. And 12 13 really the relevant ones are section 15 where 14 disclosure may be harmful to law enforcement, 15 section 19 where disclosure may be harmful to individual or public safety, and section 22 where 16 17 disclosure may be harmful to personal privacy. THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, I don't --18 Okay. I'm not going to go through them all. 19 MR. MAJAWA: 20 THE COMMISSIONER: I don't find any of that difficult. MR. MAJAWA: For the record though, that is the basis for why 21 22 we are of the view that those areas are worthy of protection. And of course as you have stated, and 23 as has been stated yesterday, each one can be 24 25 argued on an individual basis case by case as they

1 arise.

So perhaps then the best thing to do is to first clarify the areas of confidential information on page 2. And also to -- perhaps I'll back up a moment before we go there. Just so we're clear on this, because there's been a lot of discussion today about what's existing in the documents, what redactions have been made, and I just want to be clear that this information that exists, that we are -- that I'm addressing right now is information that is currently available to all counsel. It is currently existing in the documents that have been disclosed and exists in the Concordance database.

The documents that have been disclosed, as you've heard, were subject to redactions pursuant to a disclosure protocol. That is a separate issue. And I think you appreciate that, but I just want to make it clear that that is a separate issue. This information and this protocol should not in any way hamper counsel's ability to prepare for witnesses, to prepare for cross-examination, to understand the case, because it is available to them.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.

```
MR. MAJAWA: So the proposed protocol is found on page 3 of
 1
 2
                   that same October 31st letter. And the first
 3
                   point there where it says that:
 4
                        All counsel and participants shall make best
 5
                        efforts to avoid revealing the confidential
 6
                        information during the examination of
 7
                        witnesses or otherwise during the course of
 8
                        hearings.
9
                   For that to have any meaning or for that to be
                   workable we must all be operating on the same
10
11
                   understanding of what is presumptively
                   confidential information, and that's why it's
12
                   important to get direction and guidance from you.
13
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I've read them all. I don't -- I
14
15
                   don't see any difficulty with this protocol. I'll
                   hear from counsel on that. Okay. The protocol
16
                   leaves this procedure largely in the hands of
17
                   counsel, as it should be.
18
19
     MR. MAJAWA: That's correct.
20
      THE COMMISSIONER: And it's up to each counsel to tell me if
                   anything fits into one of these categories; right?
21
22
     MR. MAJAWA:
                   That's correct.
23
      THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
      MR. MAJAWA: However, as I said, every counsel needs to be on
24
25
                   the same understanding of the categories of
```

1 confidential information or at least deserving of 2 a red flag. THE COMMISSIONER: Well, all right. 3 4 MR. MAJAWA: So the protocol, as you have pointed out, leaves 5 it in counsel's hands, but I just -- I think it is useful to go briefly to the proposed protocol so 6 7 that it is understood and that it's clear that it's not as it has been reported right now as a 8 publication ban that we are seeking, however, I 9 will have some comments that do need to be made 10 11 with respect to the live recording of the proceedings. 12 13 The second point of the protocol is that commission counsel and counsel for the 14 15 participants will inform witnesses of the categories of confidential information and 16 17 instruct them to make best efforts not to reveal that information while giving testimony. 18 19 Now, while it is certainly a different matter 20 for counsel to agree, and counsel who are experienced in examining witnesses to agree to try 21 22 to adopt a method to refer to confidential 23 information in a way that does not actually reveal it to the public, it may not be so for witnesses. 24 25 They may not be versed with that or capable of

doing that and they may make mistakes, and that's why I will get into why we have some issues potentially with the live streaming of it. But I would note that there may be times where it is necessary to refer to an individual, and that's carried in (c), and we could go by initials. I think that that could be agreed upon by everyone to use initials if you need to refer to somebody in a document that is deserving of privacy.

That's a very -- a typical way of doing it.

In (d), that's with respect -- (d) is in respect to documents, that if a document is referred to and proposed to be entered into evidence then -- and it might reasonably contain confidential information then the document should be marked for identification, returned to the owner of the document so that that information can be redacted. And we would make best efforts on behalf of the Government of Canada if a document was ours to return it as quickly as possible, although it of course would depend on how large the document was.

Now, it would be on counsel as (e) if confidential information is accidentally revealed that counsel would flag that for yourself so that

1		we are all aware that has happened, and it's at
2		that time that a publication ban may be necessary
3		to prevent whatever was just uttered inadvertently
4		from being published in the media. And of course
5		at that point argument could be heard on a
6		case-by-case basis as to whether or not that is a
7		case, but initially the publication ban could be
8		issued at that point and do not report that
9		person's name until we
10	THE COMMISSI	ONER: I understand that.
11	MR. MAJAWA:	Then (f). Now, there may be circumstances where
12		it is necessary to refer to confidential
13		information it just might be too hindering to go
14		by initials.
15	THE COMMISSI	ONER: I've read all of this. Yeah, I understand
16		that.
17	MR. MAJAWA:	In addition to that point I would just add that
18		there also may be times, and this would be
19		something that we could deal with at the time as
20		well, but there also may be times where privileged
21		information needs to be discussed or other
22		information that would potentially resolve in
23		other orders being issued, but that again can be
24		dealt with on a confidential basis. And the
25		flexibility for parties to apply is found in (g).

So the parties can apply. If they do not agree 1 2 that that information is confidential they can 3 apply for a ruling from yourself and that is how 4 it could be dealt with. 5 Now, the final point though that I believe 6 it's important to make is that these proceedings 7 as you are aware are being streamed live over the Internet. If somebody makes an accidental 8 9 reference to some confidential information, then regardless of whatever publication ban that ends 10 11 up being put out there afterwards it's too late, the bell has been rung and it has already been 12 published immediately. Now, we're not proposing 13 14 by any means that there be no camera here or that 15 proceedings do not be recorded, but we are proposing that the live streaming be delayed for 16 17 some time, and I don't propose a particular time because I don't know of the technical 18 19 requirements, but to be delayed for some period of 20 time so that in the event that confidential information is revealed it can be removed before 21 22 it is broadcast.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, how much of this are you expecting? I mean, surely if you're interviewing your witnesses ahead of time you will be advising your witness as

23

24

25

```
to the confidential nature of particular evidence.
 1
 2
      MR. MAJAWA: And, in fact, that's the nature of part of the
 3
                   protocol. But I don't know what others will be
 4
                   asking on cross-examination necessarily, and I
 5
                   can't -- I wouldn't want to hesitate to guess.
 6
      THE COMMISSIONER: Well, if someone asks something in
 7
                   cross-examination surely it's up to the counsel
                   for the witness who is being examined and
 8
 9
                   cross-examined to immediately raise an objection.
                   I mean, isn't that the best way of dealing with
10
                   it?
11
      MR. MAJAWA: I agree if it's something that comes up in
12
13
                   response to a particular question. However, there
                   may be times when a response is given not
14
15
                   necessarily in response to a particular question
                   that itself would raise that issue.
16
17
      THE COMMISSIONER: There's always that eventuality. You're
                   right, there's that possibility. But I'm not so
18
19
                   sure that at this stage we can come up with a
20
                   protocol that will prevent that. We don't know.
      MR. MAJAWA: No, and that's why, in my submission, it's
21
22
                   necessary to, in the possibility that that may
                   happen, that the streaming be delayed at least.
23
                   It will be obvious at the end of each hearing
24
25
                   period either at the lunch break or at the end of
```

the day whether or not that happened. If it 1 2 didn't happen then it could be released. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Let me hear from other counsel. 4 Mr. Vertlieb, what's your position? 5 MR. VERTLIEB: Perhaps I could just listen to what's being said and then I can see if there's something I need to 6 7 help you with. I think that would be helpful. I must say though that I think the proposal set out 8 9 by my learned friend for the DOJ is one that makes sense for our purposes. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. 12 MR. VERTLIEB: I've always thought that the documents could go 13 to the owner of the documents and that lawyer could make sure there's nothing that needs 14 15 attention, and then deal with it when it comes up and it allows you to see what the real problem is. 16 17 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. MR. VERTLIEB: If we've been unable to get agreement on it. 18 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Is anybody opposed to that? No? 20 Wait a minute. MR. HERN: I think my position is probably most consistent with 21 22 the Department of Justice, so maybe it makes sense 23 for me to go second here. 24 I just have a couple of points. The first 25 thing I want to say, and it's really for -- I

understand you know this, but I want to say it for 1 2 the record because it keeps getting mischaracterized. What this is about is about two 3 4 public agencies who had a lot of confidential 5 information that we've given that over to you coming to you as the head of another public agency 6 7 to identify this as an issue. None of us want to cause harm to third parties, and I think that's an 8 9 issue. And coincident with that is the point that none of us really have a stake in the issue either 10 11 in the sense that it doesn't do the VPD, for example, any -- it doesn't help us in any way to 12 be protecting this information. This is for the 13 benefit of third parties and we're all doing this 14 15 as officers of the court. So that said I think the protocol makes sense that Department of 16 17 Justice has proposed. And I just want to identify two things. One 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I just want to identify two things. One is that it doesn't seem to include the identification of who are sex trade workers. And I know that my friend Mr. Gratl feels that's of importance, and I think that's pretty reasonable. If we have identified sex trade workers, and we have hundreds in the documents, that those names be kept out even if they're not victims. You'll

```
see within the protocol item (b) says we're going
 1
 2
                   to protect names of victims, but they may not be
 3
                   victims, they may just simply be witnesses, for
 4
                   example, who are identified as sex trade workers.
 5
      THE COMMISSIONER: So you're agreeable to Mr. Gratl's position?
 6
      MR. HERN: Absolutely on that.
 7
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
      MR. HERN: The part where I think that Mr. Gratl and the police
 8
 9
                   agencies part company is with respect to persons
                   of interest who are captured in the item (e) of
10
11
                   the Department of Justice. Now, it says:
12
                        Information that could identify a person of
13
                        interest.
                   Now, there are suspects, there are people who have
14
15
                   been accused of crimes but not convicted, and that
16
                   may --
17
      THE COMMISSIONER: And that's my understanding of what people
                   of interest are.
18
      MR. HERN: Yeah, and so that may be an issue. I think I'll
19
20
                   wait to hear from Mr. Gratl on that, but I'd like
                   to say a few things in reply. Obviously while
21
22
                   they may not be the most sympathetic of third
23
                   parties they are entitled to protection from this
24
                   commission. And those are my only two concerns.
25
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hern. Mr. Gratl.
```

```
MR. GRATL: Mr. Commissioner, I was taken a little bit by
 1
 2
                   surprise by this application this morning. I had
 3
                   prepared materials in my mind but not on paper,
 4
                   and so I'd be prepared to speak to this issue this
 5
                   afternoon or else just --
 6
      THE COMMISSIONER: How much time do you need to prepare for
 7
                   this? Tell me what's wrong with their protocol.
                  Well, the difficulty with the protocol is that it
 8
      MR. GRATL:
                   allows for the RCMP to control information in a
 9
                   way that's equivalent to a publication ban and
10
11
                   they can limit the publicity of information.
12
      THE COMMISSIONER: No, that isn't it at all. They look at
                   information that they think will be sensitive and
13
                   they will ask -- I will ultimately decide whether
14
15
                   or not it will be -- that information will be
                   revealed in an open hearing.
16
17
                  All right. I wonder if I can pass forward -- I
      MR. GRATL:
18
                   wonder -- Mr. Commissioner, I'm passing forward a
19
                   package of documents.
20
      THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
                  This is a package of documents that has been
21
      MR. GRATL:
22
                   retrieved and assembled by my staff. It's a -- it
23
                   represents a partial amalgamation of a list of
                   missing women that were created by various police
24
25
                   agencies over the years, and you can see that the
```

names of missing women have been redacted from 1 2 these lists. So in page 1 you see that there's 3 what's described here by the Vancouver Police 4 Investigation Division in a 1986 missing persons 5 year end report one of the missing persons outstanding in 1986 it described as native hooker 6 7 STW 1182. THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. 8 9 MR. GRATL: This is the type of information that my friends 10 propose to redact. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. So are you opposed to that? 12 MR. GRATL: Yes. I mean, these are the missing women. would be hard, in my submission, to come to a 13 thorough understanding of the investigation of 14 15 missing women while their names are concealed by these redactions. It seems to me that the names 16 17 of the missing women ought to be public. And so if you go over to page 3 we see two more missing 18 19 women redacted under STW 8091 and STW 268. And if 20 you flip to the -- interestingly enough if you flip to the last four pages you'll see a more 21 22 recent list of missing women. This is the fourth last page in. 23 24 MR. MAJAWA: Sorry, I hate to arise and interrupt, but with 25 respect to Mr. Gratl's point that if sex trade

workers have been redacted to our list of missing 1 2 women, we've had discussions with our friend about 3 that. If mistakes were made we would acknowledge 4 that the missing women should not be redacted, and 5 we are working on a response to a particular letter that Mr. Gratl has sent to commission 6 7 counsel with respect to that issue. So we do not take the position that the missing women are to be 8 9 redacted. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 11 MR. MAJAWA: And I also would just note that this is then getting into the issue of information that's 12 already been redacted, which is separate and 13 14 possibly part of a future application, but it is 15 separate from the protocol that I was presenting. THE COMMISSIONER: In any event, you agree with the general 16 proposition that that evidence is relevant given 17 the terms of reference of the inquiry? 18 19 If we have redacted a person as a sex trade worker MR. MAJAWA: 20 and they happen to be also one of the women on the missing women list, yes, that is a mistake and 21 that will be addressed. And we have informed 22 Mr. Gratl of that and we continue to work on other 23 24 specific requests with respect to that. 25 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

1	MR. GRATL: What I've requested, Mr Commissioner, is not only
2	the names of the missing women that have been
3	concealed under the rubric of their identities as
4	sex workers, but also all documents relating to
5	them so the investigations pertaining to those
6	individuals as well. So if you look at the last
7	four pages there, which is a list of missing women
8	current to current to approximately the period
9	just before Mr. Pickton's arrest, you can see the
10	first name there is Sereena Abotsway, that's a
11	familiar name, and then underneath that we've
12	three missing women who are blacked out, STW 17
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think your friend has said that
14	those are ones that they would they agree with
15	your position on those.
16	MR. GRATL: And the difficulty that I have, Mr. Commissioner,
17	is that there's no provision in their redacting
18	protocol that they're proposing for independent
19	counsel or counsel for the families to review
20	these redactions, and so there's no guarantee that
21	we'll get as counsel copies of the documents in
22	unredacted form so that we might be in a position
23	to take objection to those redactions. If it
24	if it's in the interest of the groups and
25	individuals from whom I as independent counsel are

in the public realm. THE COMMISSIONER: Why can't you ask for disclosure of that when that issue comes up? MR. GRATL: Oh, I have asked for disclosure, but I actually didn't get a response from my friends from the Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	1	to take guidance, and Ms. Gervais as independent
in the public realm. THE COMMISSIONER: Why can't you ask for disclosure of that when that issue comes up? MR. GRATL: Oh, I have asked for disclosure, but I actually didn't get a response from my friends from the Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	2	counsel is to take guidance, and Mr. Ward for his
THE COMMISSIONER: Why can't you ask for disclosure of that when that issue comes up? MR. GRATL: Oh, I have asked for disclosure, but I actually didn't get a response from my friends from the Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	3	clients the families if they want that information
MR. GRATL: Oh, I have asked for disclosure, but I actually didn't get a response from my friends from the Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	4	in the public realm.
MR. GRATL: Oh, I have asked for disclosure, but I actually didn't get a response from my friends from the Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	5	THE COMMISSIONER: Why can't you ask for disclosure of that
didn't get a response from my friends from the Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	6	when that issue comes up?
Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	7	MR. GRATL: Oh, I have asked for disclosure, but I actually
that they will provide me with that information and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	8	didn't get a response from my friends from the
and the underlying documents that relate to the investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	9	Department of Justice. They haven't confirmed
investigation of those missing women whose names have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	10	that they will provide me with that information
have been concealed. THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	11	and the underlying documents that relate to the
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah. MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	12	investigation of those missing women whose names
MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	13	have been concealed.
from the issue that we've raised this morning. What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah.
What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	15	MR. MAJAWA: Mr. Commissioner, I fear that we are straying away
disclosure issues and issues with respect to redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	16	from the issue that we've raised this morning.
redactions that have already been made. As I have already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	17	What Mr. Gratl is getting into right now is
already referenced we are in discussions with Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	18	disclosure issues and issues with respect to
21 Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect	19	redactions that have already been made. As I have
_	20	already referenced we are in discussions with
	21	Mr. Gratl through commission counsel with respect
22 to certain of his requests. Should those end up	22	to certain of his requests. Should those end up
23 being needed to be discussed or aired in this	23	being needed to be discussed or aired in this
24 forum than that will be done at that point but at	24	forum then that will be done at that point, but at
24 Torum chen chac will be done at that point, but at	25	this point I don't believe that it's necessary to

1		go there.
2	THE	COMMISSIONER: Yes, yes. Do you understand?
3	MR.	GRATL: I do understand the position taken by the
4		Department of Justice, but in my respectful
5		submission the redaction protocols do amount to a
6		form of limiting public access to information.
7	THE	COMMISSIONER: Well, yes, of course in the public interest
8	MR.	GRATL: And as such I think there ought to be some special
9		care exercised and safeguards put in place to
10		ensure there's not over redaction, and that
11		includes redactions that have already been made.
12		Just because the RCMP has already made those
13		redactions prior before submitting them to the
14		commission does not mean those redactions are
15		legitimate. And, in my submission, if there's to
16		be a redaction process, that redaction process
17		should include those redactions that have already
18		been made so that all participants' counsel have
19		an opportunity to make at least submissions in
20		respect of proposed redactions on which agreement
21		has not been achieved.
22		I agree a lot of what my friends say is
23		uncontroversial. There's a lot of third party
24		information that there's no it might be in the
25		first place irrelevant to these proceedings, and

in the second place the public interest in 1 2 publicity over that information might be over born 3 by other considerations, but the process envisioned by the police simply, in my respectful 4 5 submission, provides an overly broad discretion to 6 the police to limit what is seen in the public 7 eye. I'm not prepared to make submissions on the 8 9 point of persons of interest at this time. What I'd like to do is I'd like to prepare a little bit 10 11 of case law, because in my respectful submission my concern about the editing of persons of 12 interest relates to what, in my submission, is a 13 14 misinterpretation, overly broad interpretation of 15 the law in respect of redacting the names of suspects or accused persons. That is to say in my 16 17 view the RCMP and the Vancouver Police Department are taking the position that the names of persons 18 19 of interest are targets of investigation. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So when do you think you'll be ready to deal with this issue? 21 22 MR. GRATL: I can deal with it this afternoon. MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Commissioner, I'm just concerned, and if I 23 may help here. I know Mr. Gratl has a strong view 24 25 about this and I respect his view. I don't want

him to feel rushed. We've been talking about this 1 2 for a while, but I really think it's important 3 that everyone if they feel they need time be given 4 that on issues of law. And I don't see any need 5 to put it over to the afternoon, because we're 6 able to start with Deputy Chief LePard Monday, I 7 don't anticipate any of these problems arising next week with the deputy, and that will give my 8 learned friend Mr. Gratl and counsel for the DOJ 9 time to sit and look at the redactions of the 10 11 missing person list, because DOJ did agree to provide that information, they recognize that may 12 have been an error and they're working together. 13 14 So rather than have Mr. Gratl feel in any way that 15 he's been rushed and not have the time he needs, I'd rather we just adjourn this. I think we've 16 17 come a long way with your help this morning on the subject and we've made a lot of progress, but I 18 19 think Mr. Gratl could have some time after the 20 deputy gives evidence next week and we can sort it 21 out. It may still come to agreement. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. MR. VERTLIEB: I just wanted to interrupt just to say that. 23 think everyone's got a good idea now of the 24 commissioner's view of the recommendations and the 25

```
protocol, but it shouldn't happen next week with
 1
 2
                   Deputy LePard.
 3
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
 4
      MR. VERTLIEB: Just on that subject so you know why I speak
 5
                   with some confidence, your staff is sending out to
                   all counsel a list of the Concordance numbers for
 6
 7
                   the documents that we intend to put to the deputy,
                   and so that will give ample time to either DOJ or
 8
 9
                   VPD to look at those documents and see if there
                   are any problems, and I'm confident that counsel
10
11
                   for those respective police agencies will tell me
12
                   if there's a problem. I don't anticipate this
13
                   will be a problem next week.
14
      THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right.
15
      MR. VERTLIEB: So I just think that Mr. Gratl really should
                   have some more time.
16
17
      THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
      MR. VERTLIEB: You're welcome.
18
19
      MR. MAJAWA: Perhaps I could just add as well, Mr. Gratl has
20
                   made some specific requests for particular names
                   behind redactions that have already been made and
21
22
                   we are considering those requests, and I would
                   suggest that that is how it should be dealt with
23
                   in the first instance if we can come to an
24
25
                   agreement, and at this point it would be premature
```

to make that request or any kind of an argument 1 2 with respect to that because we have not yet been 3 unable to come to an agreement on some of the ones 4 that he has been seeking. And I just want to 5 again just to stress for the record that the 6 protocol that I was speaking about earlier is 7 separate and apart. We are not saying -- we are 8 not taking the position that redactions that have 9 been made are never to be looked at again. If Mr. Gratl is unsatisfied with the redactions that 10 11 were made after having engaged in conversations 12 with us, and after having engaged in conversations with commission counsel, then of course it's 13 within his right to bring an application with 14 15 respect to those, but that is separate and apart from the issue that I was speaking with respect to 16 17 earlier this morning. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. All right. Any 18 19 other counsel have any other comments? 20 MS. GERVAIS: Robyn Gervais, independent counsel for aboriginal interests. The only comment I would like to make 21 22 is with respect to the live streaming. I think 23 it's really important that the live streaming continue. I know that the aboriginal community 24 25 across BC is watching the live stream, and as I

1	indicated yesterday I'm starting to get some
2	communication from the aboriginal community and
3	that has come in various forms. For example, when
4	I was cross-examining a witness I had someone from
5	the aboriginal community sending me text messages
6	saying could you please ask this question, and so
7	I think
8	THE COMMISSIONER: You've got associate counsel all over the
9	province.
10	MS. GERVAIS: Yes. But I think it important that there not be
11	a delay and that the live stream continue. Thank
12	you.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I'm not going to make any order with
14	respect to the live streaming, yet in any event.
15	MR. WARD: And Cameron Ward, counsel for the families of 18
16	missing and murdered women. I've been silent, but
17	I do want to say that on behalf of my clients I
18	have strong concerns about categories (e) and (g)
19	in the department's letter of October 31st, 2011,
20	and I will have submissions on those two
21	categories and why it is inappropriate, in my
22	submission, to redact persons of interest
23	information from the documents, but I will save
24	those until we resume communicating on this issue.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

1	MR.	WARD: Thank you.
2	THE	COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll adjourn.
3	THE	REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until ten o'clock
4		on Monday morning.
5		(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:57 A.M.)
6		
7		I hereby certify the foregoing to be a
8		true and accurate transcript of the
9		proceedings herein transcribed to the
10		best of my skill and ability.
11		
12		
13		Peri McHale
14		Official Reporter
15		UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

Proceedings			1
Ruling			1
Submissions by	Mr.	Vertlieb	2
Submissions by	Mr.	Ward	25
Submissions by	Mr.	Majawa	41
Submissions by	Mr.	Hern	57
Submissions by	Mr.	Gratl	60

———i -

	EXHIBITS	
NO.		PAGE
(EXHIBIT 32)		40

-ii

•	1:6	Α	11:14, 13:22, 13:24,	allow [1] - 1:5
	268 [1] - 61:19		14:17	allows [2] - 57:16,
	27 [2] - 10:11, 34:7		address [1] - 14:4	60:9
'90s [1] - 38:15	27th [2] - 33:25, 34:24	A.M [4] - 1:3, 41:6,	addressed [3] - 4:16,	amalgamation [1] -
		41:7, 71:5	5:10, 62:22	60:23
1	29 [2] - 20:25, 21:4	ability [4] - 17:3, 25:7,	addresses [1] - 13:9	amended [2] - 40:7,
•	2	50:21, 71:10		
	3	able [7] - 14:19, 14:21,	addressing [1] - 50:10	40:14
1 [4] - 3:3, 61:2, 1:3,		30:11, 33:16, 37:1,	adequate [1] - 25:25	amount [1] - 65:5
1:4	3 [3] - 1:2, 51:1, 61:18		adjourn [2] - 67:16,	ample [2] - 1:12, 68:8
1.2 [1] - 33:14	30 [7] - 3:13, 3:17,	42:24, 67:6	71:2	Andrea [1] - 21:12
10 [1] - 33:20		aboriginal [5] - 46:14,	ADJOURNED [2] -	Andrew [2] - 40:25,
10:00 [1] - 1:3	3:18, 21:9, 22:4,	69:20, 69:24, 70:2,	41:6, 71:5	41:17
10:59 [1] - 41:6	22:23, 41:2	70:5	adjourned [1] - 71:3	anonymous [1] -
	31 [1] - 11:19	Abotsway [1] - 63:10	adjournment [1] -	40:11
11 [4] - 6:17, 7:1, 8:14,	31st [4] - 45:1, 45:13,	absolutely [1] - 59:6	28:22	anticipate [3] - 6:9,
17:1	51:2, 70:19	accept [2] - 28:18,	administrative [1] -	67:7, 68:12
1182 [1] - 61:7	32 [3] - 40:2, 40:3, 2:4	29:11	27:4	anticipated [4] - 4:6,
11:17 [1] - 41:7		access [22] - 8:20,	admissible [1] - 40:12	6:3, 6:21, 17:12
11:57 [1] - 71:5	4	8:24, 9:4, 9:18, 12:6,	adopt [1] - 52:22	anticipation [1] - 5:21
14 [1] - 16:23		12:8, 12:21, 13:22,	adopted [1] - 49:7	apart [2] - 69:7, 69:15
15 [6] - 17:25, 18:23,		14:19, 14:25, 15:3,		
41:5, 49:7, 49:12,	4 [2] - 4:15, 5:4	15:14, 16:17, 16:20,	adoption [2] - 45:18,	appendices [1] - 34:4
49:13	40 [4] - 25:4, 25:21,	17:11, 35:6, 35:8,	45:21	applicants [1] - 5:1
16 [1] - 18:24	41:2, 2:4	35:19, 36:6, 36:9,	adoptive [1] - 45:19	application [12] - 1:6,
16th [1] - 19:7	41 [1] - 1:7	49:9, 65:6	advise [2] - 4:9, 13:23	1:7, 1:9, 3:21, 4:17,
17 [2] - 20:12, 63:12	4th [4] - 26:11, 26:15,	,	advised [3] - 12:7,	8:3, 28:21, 40:16,
17th [1] - 26:21	35:3, 35:4	accessing [4] - 6:1,	16:1, 23:8	40:19, 60:2, 62:14,
	,	10:5, 14:22, 15:19	advising [2] - 25:18,	69:14
18 [1] - 70:15	5	accidental [1] - 55:8	55:25	applications [3] -
18th [2] - 19:8	•	accidentally [1] -	affidavits [1] - 40:10	3:11, 3:12, 3:18
19 [2] - 49:12, 49:15		53:24	afforded [1] - 42:20	applied [2] - 4:4, 4:21
1986 [2] - 61:4, 61:6	5 [4] - 8:16, 9:12, 9:15,	accommodate [3] -	affording [1] - 41:11	apply [4] - 40:13,
1st [1] - 26:8	49:8	5:21, 11:10, 39:12	afternoon [6] - 21:11,	54:25, 55:1, 55:3
	57 [1] - 1:8	accommodated [2] -	23:1, 23:2, 60:5,	appreciate [5] - 1:20,
2	5th [1] - 11:24	18:20, 39:21	66:22, 67:5	7:23, 17:10, 37:8,
		accommodating [1] -	afterwards [1] - 55:11	50:18
O 0.04 0.05	6	28:23	agencies [5] - 37:8,	approach [1] - 23:22
2 [10] - 3:24, 3:25,	•	accommodation [3] -	58:4, 59:9, 60:25,	appropriate [1] - 5:25
33:13, 33:16, 34:5,		29:3, 29:10, 29:16	68:11	approval [4] - 12:3,
45:7, 45:12, 48:6,	6 [9] - 13:20, 13:25,	accurate [2] - 31:25,		
50:4, 1:5	14:3, 14:12, 14:16,	71:8	agency [1] - 58:6	12:9, 24:7, 24:14
20 [5] - 9:14, 9:21,	14:21, 22:24, 23:17,	accused [2] - 59:15,	agenda [1] - 25:13	April [3] - 6:17, 7:1,
16:10, 16:11, 16:19	23:24	66:16	aggrieved [1] - 1:18	8:14
200,000 [1] - 33:19	60 [1] - 1:9	achieved [2] - 35:8,	ago [2] - 35:10, 39:13	areas [4] - 48:25,
2010 [8] - 3:3, 3:13,				49:10, 49:22, 50:3
		65:21	agree [8] - 52:20,	, ,
3:25, 26:9, 32:25,	7	65:21	52:21, 55:1, 56:12,	argue [1] - 44:6
	7	acknowledge [3] -	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13,
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15,	7	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3	52:21, 55:1, 56:12,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16	7 7 7 13 14 :17, 14:21,	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7,	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13,
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15,		acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6,	7 [3] - 14:17, 14:21,	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8,
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6,	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7,	7 [3] - 14:17, 14:21,	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15,	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7,	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9,	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18,	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 _[2] - 15:11, 15:25	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9,	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12 22 [1] - 49:16	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 _[2] - 15:11, 15:25 8091 _[1] - 61:19	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9, 22:14, 23:11	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3 agreements [3] - 6:24,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9 arrived [1] - 23:25
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12 22 [1] - 49:16 22.1 [1] - 49:12	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 _[2] - 15:11, 15:25	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9, 22:14, 23:11 addition [4] - 8:25,	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3 agreements [3] - 6:24, 19:25, 21:6	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9 arrived [1] - 23:25 Art [3] - 4:7, 9:15,
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12 22 [1] - 49:16 22.1 [1] - 49:12 23 [1] - 6:7	7 [3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 [2] - 15:11, 15:25 8091 [1] - 61:19	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9, 22:14, 23:11 addition [4] - 8:25, 35:17, 48:16, 54:17	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3 agreements [3] - 6:24, 19:25, 21:6 ahead [2] - 19:14,	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9 arrived [1] - 23:25 Art [3] - 4:7, 9:15, 34:19
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12 22 [1] - 49:16 22.1 [1] - 49:12 23 [1] - 6:7 24th [3] - 32:25, 33:5,	7 _[3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 _[2] - 15:11, 15:25 8091 _[1] - 61:19	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9, 22:14, 23:11 addition [4] - 8:25, 35:17, 48:16, 54:17 additional [3] - 12:13, 15:6, 35:16	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3 agreements [3] - 6:24, 19:25, 21:6 ahead [2] - 19:14, 55:25	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9 arrived [1] - 23:25 Art [3] - 4:7, 9:15, 34:19 Arvais [1] - 13:3
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12 22 [1] - 49:16 22.1 [1] - 6:7 24th [3] - 32:25, 33:5, 33:7	7 [3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 [2] - 15:11, 15:25 8091 [1] - 61:19	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9, 22:14, 23:11 addition [4] - 8:25, 35:17, 48:16, 54:17 additional [3] - 12:13, 15:6, 35:16 address [8] - 10:4,	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3 agreements [3] - 6:24, 19:25, 21:6 ahead [2] - 19:14, 55:25 aired [1] - 64:23	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9 arrived [1] - 23:25 Art [3] - 4:7, 9:15, 34:19 Arvais [1] - 13:3 ASAP [1] - 22:16
3:25, 26:9, 32:25, 35:3, 35:14, 35:16 2011 [15] - 1:2, 4:15, 6:6, 11:24, 16:6, 16:24, 17:1, 25:6, 26:21, 33:25, 34:7, 35:8, 35:10, 36:7, 70:19 20th [1] - 19:22 21 [4] - 5:7, 6:5, 6:18, 49:12 22 [1] - 49:16 22.1 [1] - 6:7 24th [3] - 32:25, 33:5,	7 [3] - 14:17, 14:21, 14:22 8 8 [2] - 15:11, 15:25 8091 [1] - 61:19 9 9 [3] - 16:6, 24:5,	acknowledge [3] - 5:8, 5:11, 62:3 Act [3] - 34:16, 49:7, 49:11 acting [2] - 26:7, 35:2 actual [1] - 43:19 add [4] - 22:12, 24:15, 54:17, 68:19 added [3] - 22:9, 22:14, 23:11 addition [4] - 8:25, 35:17, 48:16, 54:17 additional [3] - 12:13, 15:6, 35:16	52:21, 55:1, 56:12, 62:16, 63:14, 65:22, 67:11 agreeable [1] - 59:5 agreed [2] - 43:18, 53:7 agreement [11] - 5:20, 9:7, 9:19, 27:11, 42:19, 42:24, 57:18, 65:20, 67:21, 68:25, 69:3 agreements [3] - 6:24, 19:25, 21:6 ahead [2] - 19:14, 55:25	argue [1] - 44:6 argued [2] - 43:13, 49:25 argument [3] - 45:8, 54:5, 69:1 arise [2] - 50:1, 61:24 arising [2] - 17:4, 67:7 arrange [1] - 5:12 arranged [1] - 33:3 arranging [1] - 11:8 arrest [1] - 63:9 arrived [1] - 23:25 Art [3] - 4:7, 9:15, 34:19 Arvais [1] - 13:3

aside [1] - 39:11 aspect [1] - 40:5 assembled [1] - 60:22 assist [1] - 21:24 **assistance** [3] - 5:17, 10:20, 18:13 assistants [1] - 4:14 assisting [1] - 9:23 associate [1] - 70:8 assume [11] - 7:7, 8:5, 8:6, 29:4, 29:8, 29:17, 31:12, 32:14, 46:16, 46:18, 46:25 **assuming** [1] - 8:9 assure [1] - 43:21 assured [1] - 22:15 **AT** [4] - 1:3, 41:6, 41:7, 71:5 attached [7] - 8:22, 9:1, 9:6, 12:2, 15:7, 15:21, 18:3 attempting [2] - 2:19, 2:20 attend [1] - 4:8 attention [1] - 57:15 August [11] - 16:23, 17:25, 18:23, 18:24, 19:8, 20:12, 20:25, 21:4, 21:9, 22:4, 22:23 author [1] - 33:6 authorized [2] - 20:15, 20:20 automatically [2] -32:13, 32:17 available [5] - 6:4, 23:16, 44:10, 50:11, 50:23 avoid [1] - 51:5 aware [7] - 28:2, 37:12, 44:8, 48:13, 48:14, 54:1, 55:7

В

background [1] - 7:7 **ban** [9] - 42:15, 43:1, 43:19, 52:9, 54:2, 54:7, 55:10, 60:10 basic [1] - 15:7 basis [13] - 19:14, 25:10, 34:12, 43:9, 43:15, 43:21, 44:7, 46:6, 48:5, 49:21, 49:25, 54:6, 54:24 batch [2] - 22:10, 23:4 BC [2] - 1:1, 69:25 became [3] - 14:15, 22:14, 48:14

becomes [1] - 44:4 beginning [2] - 13:9, 13.11 behalf [4] - 3:21, 5:4, 53:19, 70:17 behind [1] - 68:21 bell [1] - 55:12 benefit [1] - 58:14 best [10] - 33:19, 38:22, 39:14, 43:12, 50:2, 51:4, 52:17, 53:18, 56:10, 71:10 better [2] - 7:21, 28:20 between [7] - 5:12, 21:7, 26:4, 30:16, 30:24, 31:14, 31:21 bit [5] - 21:15, 28:3, 35:10, 60:1, 66:10 blacked [1] - 63:12 Boddie [11] - 10:20, 11:21, 12:14, 19:12, 20:12, 22:2, 22:5, 24:4, 24:7, 24:12, 24:13 Boddie's [2] - 21:1, 22:21 Borhaven's [1] - 21:13 **born** [1] - 66:2 bothered [1] - 37:11 bought [1] - 28:24 box [1] - 36:21 Branch [2] - 4:22, 26:14 break [2] - 40:4, 56:25 Brenda [1] - 23:8 brief [3] - 21:14, 41:18, 41:19 briefly [2] - 47:2, 52:6 bring [4] - 4:24, 29:13, 36:14, 69:14 **bringing** [1] - 2:22 broad [2] - 66:5, 66:14 broadcast [1] - 55:22 Brongers [2] - 40:22, 40:24 **Brooks** [3] - 4:8, 6:7, 33:2 brother [1] - 47:17 brother-in-law [1] -47:17

C

buy [4] - 20:16, 20:21,

Cam [1] - 22:6 camera [1] - 55:14 Cameron [6] - 3:4,

budget [1] - 28:25

21:2, 29:15

8:17, 15:18, 20:25, 21:17, 70:15 Canada [3] - 41:1, 41:18, 53:19 canvass [1] - 38:1 capable [1] - 52:25 captured [1] - 59:10 Cara [1] - 5:15 carbon [1] - 22:4 care [1] - 65:9 carried [1] - 53:6 case [25] - 1:20, 1:25, 17:15, 18:18, 43:9, 43:15, 43:20, 44:7, 44:21, 46:5, 48:4, 49:25, 50:23, 54:6, 54:7, 66:11 case-by-case [8] -1:20, 43:9, 43:15, 43:20, 44:7, 46:5, 48:4, 54:6 cases [1] - 47:18 categories [6] - 45:16, 51:21, 51:25, 52:16, 70:18, 70:21 certain [4] - 32:9, 37:18, 49:10, 64:22 certainly [3] - 26:23, 31:3, 52:19 certify [1] - 71:7 cetera [2] - 12:14, 23:13 change [1] - 12:8 **change..** [1] - 17:16 Chantler [33] - 3:24, 9:24, 10:12, 10:21, 11:2, 11:5, 11:15, 11:20, 14:7, 14:18, 14:19, 14:21, 14:23, 14:24, 15:12, 15:24, 16:1, 16:3, 16:6, 16:11, 16:19, 18:1, 18:19, 18:25, 19:9, 22:4, 22:25, 23:23, 24:5, 24:7, 24:12 Chantler's [1] - 16:17 charged [1] - 39:6 **chart** [1] - 18:3 checked [2] - 22:6, 22:22 **cheerfully** [1] - 39:11 Chief [5] - 35:12, 35:21, 36:8, 36:19, 67:6 chief [1] - 38:1 children [1] - 45:20

33:23 circumstances [5] -23:23, 24:20, 39:6, 39:16, 54:11 citizen [2] - 38:6, 38:8 CJB [2] - 20:2, 21:8 clarification [2] -46:12, 46:15 clarify [2] - 40:5, 50:3 clear [6] - 41:20, 46:20, 50:6, 50:9, 50:19, 52:7 clients [10] - 5:5, 5:13, 9:7, 18:17, 18:22, 24:8, 24:10, 26:11, 64:3, 70:17 closer [1] - 33:13 coincident [1] - 58:9 coming [3] - 25:11, 30:7, 58:6 **commence** [1] - 17:1 commenced [1] -30:15 commends [1] - 38:7 comment [2] - 36:17, 69:21 commented [1] - 2:11 comments [7] - 2:13. 2.14 3.1 25.2 39:22, 52:10, 69:19 commission [48] -2:16, 4:1, 4:2, 4:6, 4:7, 4:23, 8:6, 8:22, 8:24, 10:15, 12:6, 12:18, 14:25, 15:18, 16:25, 17:12, 17:21, 19:6, 20:22, 21:7, 21:13, 21:22, 28:5, 28:22, 28:24, 29:10, 29:15, 30:16, 30:25, 31:1, 31:6, 31:14, 31:18, 31:21, 32:6, 32:19, 34:9, 35:12, 35:14, 37:14, 38:4, 49:5, 52:14, 59:24, 62:6, 64:21, 65:14, 69:13 **Commission** [3] - 3:7, 4:3, 34:17 Commission's [1] -15:14 commission's [8] -3:8, 4:25, 11:10, 13:23, 17:5, 26:19, 27:8, 28:25 **COMMISSIONER** [102] - 1:5, 7:7, 7:10, 7:14, 7:16, 7:18,

7:21, 7:25, 8:3, 8:12,

9:11, 9:13, 25:2,

27:14, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 29:2, 30:20, 30:24, 31:2, 31:4, 31:12, 31:24, 32:4, 32:7, 32:22, 36:13, 36:17, 37:1, 37:4, 37:14, 37:20, 37:25, 40:1. 40:9. 40:14. 40:16, 40:23, 41:4, 41:14, 41:16, 41:21, 42:10, 42:16, 43:12, 44:13, 45:2, 45:6, 45:8, 45:14, 45:22, 45:24, 46:7, 46:10, 46:13, 46:24, 47:25, 48:22, 49:18, 49:20, 50:25, 51:14, 51:20, 51:23, 52:3, 54:10, 54:15, 55:23, 56:6, 56:17, 57:3, 57:11, 57:17, 57:19, 59:5, 59:7, 59:17, 59:25, 60:6, 60:12, 60:20, 61:8, 61:11, 62:10, 62:16, 62:25, 63:13, 64:5, 64:14, 65:2, 65:7, 66:20, 67:22, 68:3, 68:14, 68:17, 69:18, 70:8, 70:13, 70:25, 71:2 Commissioner [19] -2:10, 10:14, 20:18, 26:10, 26:24, 29:22, 33:17, 39:23, 40:4, 40:25, 41:17, 42:18, 47:10, 60:1, 60:18, 63:1, 63:16, 64:15, 66:23 commissioner [1] -4:20 commissioner's [1] -67:25 communicate [1] -25:8 communicating [1] -70:24 communication [1] -70:2 communications [7] -26:4, 30:24, 31:13, 31:17, 31:20, 32:9, 32:18 community [3] -69:24, 70:2, 70:5 **community..** [1] - 6:11 company [3] - 12:15, 12:19, 59:9 compel [1] - 28:6 compelling [1] - 28:10 **competent** [1] - 37:12

Christmas [1] - 33:7

chronological [1] -

33:3

complain [2] - 30:11,
34:3
complained [3] - 8:4, 28:16, 29:23
complete [2] - 18:15,
24:15
complex [1] - 35:22
computer [1] - 10:4
concealed [3] - 61:15, 63:3, 64:13
concern [8] - 24:9,
29:9, 31:9, 34:21,
34:23, 35:17, 37:13,
66:12
concerned [6] - 17:19,
24:23, 31:25, 36:12,
45:17, 66:23
concerns [6] - 17:3,
35:17, 48:16, 48:17,
59:24, 70:18
concerted [1] - 42:18
concludes [2] - 18:12,
34:4
Concordance [9] -
10:13, 14:19, 15:20,
18:7, 23:4, 23:11,
50:14, 68:6
confidence [1] - 68:5
confident [1] - 68:10
confidential [23] -
9:18, 12:4, 42:8,
43:6, 43:22, 48:8,
48:25, 50:3, 51:5,
51:12, 52:1, 52:16, 52:22, 53:15, 53:24,
54:12, 54:24, 55:2,
55:9, 55:20, 56:1,
58:4
confidentiality [2] -
6:24, 9:6
confirm [2] - 10:8,
21:5
confirmed [5] - 24:6,
24:13, 26:6, 26:9,
64:9
consensus [1] - 44:23
consider [1] - 39:11
considerable [1] -
25:21 considerations [1] -
66:3
considering [1] -
68:22
consistent [1] - 57:21
contact [10] - 3:15,
9:9, 10:9, 11:1, 12:7,
12:12, 14:6, 14:9,
15:25, 21:18
contacted [2] - 12:5,
04.40

21:13

contain [1] - 53:14 contained [4] - 2:2, 2:3, 46:2, 49:9 contains [1] - 18:4 contents [1] - 25:19 context [1] - 45:16 continue [5] - 30:11, 34:3, 62:23, 69:24, continuously [2] -28:16, 29:23 control [2] - 14:9, 60:9 convenience [2] -13:24, 15:21 convenient [1] - 4:10 conventional [2] -1:23, 43:13 conversation [4] -10:12, 18:2, 21:14, 24:6 conversations [2] -69:11, 69:12 convicted [1] - 59:15 copies [12] - 5:23, 6:20, 12:3, 12:10, 19:25, 21:5, 22:4, 23:14, 30:15, 30:18, 30:21, 63:21 copy [7] - 8:22, 12:2, 15:17, 15:21, 16:2, 23:15, 41:23 copying [1] - 22:14 correct [5] - 23:14, 31:12, 46:9, 51:19, 51:22 corrected [1] - 27:18 correctly [1] - 30:10 correspondence [11] -2:24, 2:25, 7:11, 7:12, 17:23, 25:5, 25:20, 30:16, 35:5, 35:18, 42:23 corresponding [2] -18:6, 23:3 counsel [63] - 2:7, 3:16, 4:2, 4:4, 4:7, 7:18, 8:7, 8:18, 8:23, 9:1, 9:3, 9:17, 9:20, 10:2, 13:12, 15:16, 18:16, 21:10, 25:16, 31:1, 31:6, 31:14, 31:15, 31:18, 31:21, 32:6, 32:20, 36:5, 38:16, 42:16, 44:13, 44:17, 50:12, 51:4, 51:16, 51:18, 51:20, 51:24, 52:14, 52:20, 53:23, 53:25, 56:7, 57:3, 62:7, 63:19, 63:21, 63:25, 64:2,

64:21, 65:18, 67:9, 68:6, 68:10, 69:13, 69:19, 69:20, 70:8, 70:15 Counsel [1] - 4:16 counsel's [2] - 50:21, 52.5 **couple** [2] - 34:5, 57:24 courier [2] - 19:12, 23:1 course [14] - 6:12, 13:5, 16:5, 20:22, 32:3, 32:5, 40:7, 41:3, 49:23, 51:7, 53:21, 54:4, 65:7, 69:13 court [1] - 58:15 courtesy [4] - 18:15, 18:20, 22:19, 25:18 courtrooms [1] - 1:24 courts [1] - 27:3 cover [1] - 41:20 created [1] - 60:24 Crey [1] - 5:16 crimes [1] - 59:15 criminal [1] - 44:21 Criminal [2] - 4:22, 26:14 cross [6] - 40:13, 50:22, 56:4, 56:7, 56:9, 70:4 cross-examination [3] - 50:22, 56:4, 56:7 cross-examine [1] -40:13 cross-examined [1] cross-examining [1] -70:4 Crossin [2] - 13:7, 13:14 current [3] - 33:12, 63:8 **Cynthia** [1] - 5:15

D

damaged [1] - 23:6 Darryl [1] - 46:14 database [11] - 9:25, 10:9, 12:7, 12:17, 13:23, 14:20, 15:15, 15:20, 16:17, 33:9, 50:14 date [7] - 4:9, 7:2, 9:5, 9:11, 13:16, 17:4, 17:5 dated [5] - 6:18, 9:15,

9:21, 26:21, 45:12 Dawn [2] - 5:15, 5:16 days [2] - 25:13, 25:14 deadline [1] - 38:18 deal [14] - 2:4, 2:10, 2:17, 2:20, 2:22, 2:25, 24:20, 43:5, 44:20, 48:19, 54:19, 57:15, 66:21, 66:22 dealing [4] - 24:17, 27:12, 43:12, 56:10 dealt [8] - 43:8, 43:18, 43:20, 48:4, 48:17, 54:24, 55:4, 68:23 Dear [1] - 4:16 dear [5] - 3:5, 3:20, 8:17, 9:15, 18:2 December [7] - 3:24, 3:25, 32:25, 33:5, 33:6, 33:15, 35:15 decide [2] - 46:5, 60:14 decided [1] - 43:14 decides [1] - 46:3 dedicated [1] - 17:18 delay [1] - 70:11 delayed [5] - 22:8, 36:24, 55:16, 55:19, 56:23 delivered [2] - 11:13, 19:17 demands [1] - 34:18 Department [13] -30:17, 30:25, 31:15, 33:1, 34:1, 35:13, 40:18, 57:22, 58:16, 59:11, 64:9, 65:4, 66:17 department [1] - 26:13 department's [1] -70:19 Deputy [6] - 35:12, 35:21, 36:8, 36:19, 67:6, 68:2 deputy [6] - 36:21, 37:11, 38:1, 67:8, 67:20. 68:7 described [2] - 61:3, 61:6 deserving [4] - 49:2, 49:3, 52:1, 53:9

despite [1] - 36:4

details [4] - 13:10,

determined [2] -

26:25, 47:18

develop [1] - 6:12

Dianne [1] - 5:14

different [4] - 11:3,

developing [1] - 42:4

14:5, 14:24, 15:9

37:6, 37:17, 52:19 difficult [4] - 38:4, 39:6, 39:16, 49:20 difficulties [1] - 35:9 difficulty [4] - 25:14, 51:15, 60:8, 63:16 diligent [1] - 24:25 dire [1] - 44:21 direct [3] - 4:24, 36:3, 36:4 directed [1] - 12:10 direction [5] - 42:1, 42:3, 43:4, 48:5, 51:13 directly [5] - 4:22, 12:12, 30:18, 37:15, 37:16 director [2] - 10:21, 11:22 disappearance [2] -47:15, 47:19 disappointed [1] -26:1 disclose [3] - 24:8, 24:10, 31:18 disclosed [7] - 30:2, 30:4, 31:22, 33:18, 49:3, 50:13, 50:15 disclosure [45] - 2:14, 3:2, 8:5, 8:8, 8:17, 9:25. 11:11. 11:25. 12:6. 12:9. 15:1. 15:15, 17:5, 17:9, 17:10, 19:3, 19:11, 20:2, 20:4, 20:9, 22:10, 23:3, 23:7, 26:5, 28:17, 29:24, 30:4, 30:13, 34:2, 34:9, 34:13, 34:14, 34:15, 34:22, 36:12, 37:8, 37:24, 42:21, 49:14, 49:15, 49:17, 50:17, 64:5, 64:7, 64:18 disclosures [1] -43:22 discretion [1] - 66:5 discuss [3] - 4:5, 4:12, 36:22 discussed [4] - 11:7, 42:16, 54:21, 64:23 discussion [2] - 4:1, 50:7 discussion's [2] -24:3, 24:16 discussions [5] -27:6, 37:22, 48:1, 62:2, 64:20

dissolve [1] - 34:11

distribute [1] - 12:10

distributed [1] - 41:24 drive's [1] - 23:25 31:2, 31:8, 32:3, 40:20, 41:1, 44:17 15:3, 19:19, 20:3, distribution [1] due [1] - 3:13 32:5, 32:8, 32:11, expected [1] - 25:15 30:7, 30:15, 45:18, 11:20 32:13, 32:17, 35:6, expecting [1] - 55:23 50:3, 51:2, 57:24, during [4] - 43:23, 36:6, 36:19, 59:23 63:10, 65:25, 68:24 **Division** [1] - 61:4 51:6, 51:7 expense [1] - 20:22 **envisioned** [1] - 66:4 fits [1] - 51:21 document [34] - 2:21, dynamic [2] - 10:24, **experience** [1] - 28:3 6:25, 8:17, 10:14, equal [1] - 34:17 five [1] - 36:7 11:12 experienced [2] fix [1] - 16:15 10:19, 11:10, 13:20, **equivalent** [1] - 60:10 25:16, 52:21 15:1, 15:15, 17:4, Ε **error** [1] - 67:13 explain [2] - 9:2, 21:15 fixed [2] - 11:9, 11:14 17:20, 18:7, 19:3, especially [1] - 20:1 explore [1] - 36:20 flag [5] - 44:16, 44:25, 20:2, 20:9, 21:6, essentially [1] - 26:6 expressed [1] - 35:18 48:7, 52:2, 53:25 e-mail [18] - 9:24, 24:21, 26:5, 26:20, estimate [1] - 33:19 flagged [1] - 48:4 **expressing** [1] - 3:6 11:5, 11:7, 11:17, 29:24, 30:4, 30:13, flexibility [1] - 54:25 et [2] - 12:13, 23:13 extended [1] - 41:12 11:23, 13:17, 13:19, 34:22, 36:12, 43:10, Evans [4] - 35:12, flexible [1] - 38:19 extent [1] - 47:5 14:12, 14:23, 15:12, 44:15, 44:18, 44:19, 35:21, 36:8, 36:19 flip [2] - 61:20, 61:21 external [4] - 10:4, 15:22, 16:10, 20:11, 53:9, 53:12, 53:15, Eve [1] - 33:7 flow [1] - 6:25 19:16, 19:19, 23:2 20:25, 21:9, 22:3, 53:17, 53:19, 53:22 **Evenhanded** [1] - 33:9 follow [2] - 2:4, 5:2 extra [2] - 22:9, 41:23 24:4, 24:13 documentation [1] event [9] - 2:1, 2:6, follows [1] - 22:2 **eye** [1] - 66:7 e-mails [2] - 19:22, 33:8 32:21, 32:22, 32:23, followup [1] - 22:21 25:10 documents [65] -37:25, 55:20, 62:16, F Force [1] - 47:12 early [2] - 19:17, 35:24 2:17, 6:2, 6:16, 6:23, 70:14 forcefully [1] - 30:11 easier [1] - 45:24 8:21, 9:2, 9:4, 10:5, eventuality [1] - 56:17 foregoing [1] - 71:7 easy [2] - 38:11, 38:15 10:7, 10:16, 11:25, **f)** [1] - 54:11 evidence [11] - 1:13, forgotten [1] - 19:10 editing [1] - 66:12 12:9, 12:16, 12:17, facilitate [1] - 6:1 2:5, 6:15, 38:2, form [7] - 5:24, 12:2, effects [1] - 48:10 fact [6] - 28:15, 28:22, 12:22, 14:10, 14:20, 38:24, 43:11, 44:20, 12:20, 24:15, 40:12, efficient [1] - 34:11 18:4, 18:17, 18:22, 28:23, 29:14, 38:18, 53:14, 56:1, 62:17, 63:22, 65:6 efforts [6] - 2:16, 8:7, 19:11, 21:21, 23:3, 56:2 67:20 formal [2] - 34:14, 42:18, 51:5, 52:17, 23:6, 23:7, 23:21, factors [1] - 39:1 evidentiary [1] - 6:9 34:15 53:18 24:8, 25:22, 27:7, facts [1] - 2:15 exact [1] - 33:11 **forms** [1] - 70:3 either [4] - 43:23, 27:20, 28:6, 28:7, failed [1] - 26:1 exactly [4] - 35:25, fortunately [1] - 16:18 28:10, 30:1, 30:3, 56:25, 58:10, 68:8 failure [1] - 17:6 37:18, 44:3, 44:24 forum [1] - 64:24 elaborate [1] - 47:2 33:16, 34:23, 35:6, fair [2] - 36:10, 39:20 examination [6] forward [7] - 1:13, electronic [1] - 5:24 35:9, 35:24, 36:19, fairly [1] - 39:2 1:21, 43:24, 50:22, 1:15, 9:17, 19:24, Elizabeth [2] - 4:13, 37:2, 37:19, 37:23, familiar [1] - 63:11 51:6, 56:4, 56:7 48:19, 60:17, 60:18 5:4 38:13, 39:24, 47:21, families [10] - 4:20, examine [2] - 38:14, four [6] - 26:15, 26:18, 47:22, 50:8, 50:13, Ellis [1] - 5:15 18:5, 21:18, 26:7, 40:13 33:5, 35:10, 61:21, 50:15, 53:12, 57:12, eminent [1] - 25:16 35:2, 35:19, 39:19, examined [2] - 56:8, 63.7 57:13, 58:24, 60:19, emotions [2] - 39:7, 63:19, 64:3, 70:15 56:9 fourth [1] - 61:22 60:21, 63:4, 63:21, 39:11 families.. [1] - 3:22 examining [2] - 52:21, frame [1] - 23:19 64:11, 68:7, 68:9, **empowers** [1] - 49:8 family [2] - 5:13, 21:25 70:4 frankly [2] - 31:7, 37:9 70:23 enable [1] - 14:25 far [1] - 31:25 example [7] - 13:1, Freedom [1] - 49:10 **DOJ** [6] - 23:10, 37:23, enclose [1] - 9:16 fax [1] - 15:22 13:2, 21:22, 21:24, freedom [1] - 34:18 57:9, 67:9, 67:11, enclosed [2] - 3:20, fear [1] - 64:15 58:12, 59:4, 70:3 frequently [1] - 25:10 68:8 47:21 February [1] - 26:21 except [1] - 27:15 Frey [1] - 5:14 domain [5] - 44:6, **encourage** [1] - 1:10 **exception** [1] - 1:25 feelings [1] - 39:7 Friday [1] - 40:19 46:16, 46:17, 46:25, end [10] - 2:12, 11:3, Feliks [1] - 5:15 exchanged [1] - 42:22 friend [11] - 2:13, 47:6 33:10, 38:23, 39:3, felt [1] - 1:18 excuse [2] - 7:4 23:20, 30:8, 33:1, done [8] - 8:1, 17:19, 39:21, 56:24, 56:25, few [3] - 32:24, 48:20, executive [2] - 10:20, 33:2, 33:23, 57:9, 22:18, 28:13, 28:14, 61:5, 64:22 59:21 11:21 58:21, 62:2, 63:13,29:8, 41:22, 64:24 ends [1] - 55:10 field [1] - 36:10 **exercised** [1] - 65:9 67:9 doubt [1] - 24:24 enforcement [1] figure [1] - 33:11 exhaustive [1] - 18:4 friend's [1] - 30:19 down [1] - 33:5 49:14 file [4] - 14:6, 18:6, exhibit [2] - 39:25, friends [11] - 19:5, download [1] - 15:4 engaged [3] - 35:13, 46:24 23:10, 33:21 30:21, 41:3, 41:24, drawbacks [1] - 1:19 69:11, 69:12 **Exhibit** [1] - 40:2 filed [1] - 46:24 43:3, 44:24, 45:21, drive [17] - 17:18, enormous [1] - 33:9 files [5] - 19:13, 19:15, **EXHIBIT** [2] - 40:3, 2:4 48:2, 61:9, 64:8, 19:16, 19:20, 20:7, ensure [4] - 23:14, 25:23, 35:15, 35:20 **eXHIBITS** [1] - 2:1 65:22 20:11, 20:13, 20:22, 39:20, 44:1, 65:10 filing [1] - 44:15 existing [2] - 50:7, front [1] - 41:19 20:24, 22:2, 22:7, entered [2] - 7:12, **final** [1] - 55:5 50:12 frustration [1] - 34:21 22:18, 22:20, 22:23, 53:13 exists [2] - 50:10, finally [1] - 9:5 fulsome [1] - 37:23 23:2, 23:23, 28:24, entirely [1] - 38:20 50:13 fine [1] - 17:22 function [1] - 1:17 29:15 entitled [13] - 27:19, expect [4] - 26:22, first [14] - 2:10, 2:24, funding [2] - 3:13,

5:17	Н	himself [1] - 20:16	INDEX [1] - 1:1	intentions [2] - 38:22,
future [2] - 23:9, 62:14	П	hindering [1] - 54:13	indicated [2] - 10:23,	39:15
		hooker [1] - 61:6	70:1	interest [14] - 1:15,
G	Hallmark [1] - 5:16	hope [1] - 19:16	indicating [1] - 21:1	3:6, 36:3, 36:4,
	hamper [1] - 50:21	hopefully [1] - 18:12	individual [4] - 48:11,	59:10, 59:13, 59:18,
	handled [1] - 12:22	hopes [1] - 31:8	49:16, 49:25, 53:5	63:24, 65:7, 66:1,
g) [1] - 54:25	handling [1] - 20:9	hours [3] - 22:19,	individuals [5] -	66:9, 66:13, 66:19,
gather [3] - 13:18,	handout [1] - 15:7	28:23, 29:13	45:20, 47:7, 48:12,	70:22
23:18, 24:12	hands [2] - 51:17,	hundreds [1] - 58:24	63:6, 63:25	interestingly [1] -
general [2] - 1:7,	52:5	manaroao [1] 00.21	inform [2] - 3:8, 52:15	61:20
62:16	hard [19] - 17:18,	ı	informal [1] - 34:12	interests [1] - 69:21
generally [1] - 44:22	19:16, 19:20, 20:7,	Ī	Information [1] -	Internet [2] - 44:9,
generated [1] - 27:8	20:10, 20:13, 20:21,		49:10	55:8
Georgina [1] - 5:13	20:24, 22:2, 22:6,	idea [4] - 8:12, 25:11,	information [68] -	interpretation [1] -
GERVAIS [2] - 69:20,	22:18, 22:20, 22:23,	27:25, 67:24	3:10, 4:9, 18:15,	66:14
70:10	23:2, 23:22, 23:25,	identification [2] -	22:9, 22:13, 24:11,	interrupt [3] - 7:22,
Gervais [4] - 13:4,	28:24, 29:15, 61:13	53:16, 58:20	34:19, 35:11, 37:9,	61:24, 67:23
41:9, 64:1, 69:20	harm [2] - 48:12, 58:8	identified [3] - 19:13,	42:5, 42:6, 42:8,	interviewing [1] -
given [14] - 14:12,	harmful [3] - 49:14,	58:23, 59:4	42:20, 43:6, 43:23,	55:24
24:10, 25:24, 27:9,	49:15, 49:17	identify [4] - 18:21,	44:3, 44:24, 45:11,	interviews [2] - 5:12,
32:6, 32:7, 35:7,	hate [1] - 61:24	58:7, 58:18, 59:12	45:17, 45:19, 46:7,	37:18
35:21, 36:9, 38:24,	head [1] - 58:6	identifying [2] - 18:17,	46:21, 47:3, 47:6,	intimidated [1] - 1:12
56:14, 58:5, 62:17,	hear [5] - 29:19,	47:6	47:20, 47:23, 48:3,	introduced [1] - 40:19
67:3	37:22, 51:16, 57:3,	identities [1] - 63:3	48:6, 48:7, 48:8,	invariable [1] - 27:3
Government [3] -	59:20	identity [1] - 44:18	48:9, 48:25, 49:9,	investigated [1] -
40:25, 41:17, 53:19	heard [6] - 1:12,	IDs [1] - 12:25	50:4, 50:9, 50:11,	47:18
government [1] - 5:18	20:19, 27:2, 28:15,	images [1] - 46:2	50:20, 51:6, 51:12,	Investigation [1] -
government's [1] -	50:16, 54:5	immediately [3] -	52:1, 52:16, 52:18,	61:4
33:21	hearing [9] - 1:4,	44:10, 55:13, 56:9	52:23, 53:15, 53:17,	investigation [4] -
granted [9] - 5:1, 5:5,	13:23, 21:19, 26:19,	impacted [1] - 4:23	53:24, 54:13, 54:21,	38:14, 61:14, 64:12,
8:20, 8:25, 24:7,	41:5, 41:8, 56:24,	importance [1] - 58:22	54:22, 55:2, 55:9,	66:19
24:14, 26:10, 36:6,	60:16, 71:3	important [9] - 38:5,	55:21, 58:5, 58:13,	investigations [2] -
40:6	hearings [7] - 6:9,	38:9, 38:10, 45:15,	59:12, 60:9, 60:11,	47:9, 63:5
Gratl [17] - 40:7,	6:21, 17:1, 28:4,	51:13, 55:6, 67:2,	60:13, 60:15, 61:9,	invite [1] - 4:3
58:21, 59:8, 59:20,	30:14, 43:23, 51:8	69:23, 70:10	62:12, 64:3, 64:10,	involved [5] - 14:15,
59:25, 62:6, 62:23,	Helen [1] - 5:15	improper [1] - 30:12	65:6, 65:24, 66:2,	27:17, 28:1, 47:15,
64:17, 64:21, 66:24,	help [9] - 2:19, 16:21,	inadequacies [1] -	67:12, 70:23	47:19
67:9, 67:14, 67:19, 68:15, 68:19, 69:10,	18:16, 31:10, 42:4,	29:25	informed [4] - 5:3,	IP [9] - 10:4, 10:24,
1:9	57:7, 58:12, 66:24,	inadequate [2] - 30:5,	21:16, 27:5, 62:22	11:8, 11:9, 11:12,
GRATL [12] - 60:1,	67:17	30:13	initials [4] - 44:2, 53:6,	11:14, 13:9, 13:21,
60:8, 60:17, 60:21,	helpful [3] - 2:19,	inadvertent [1] - 43:22	53:8, 54:14	13:24
61:9, 61:12, 63:1,	26:3, 57:7	inadvertently [1] -	inquiries [1] - 12:21	iPad [1] - 25:9
63:16, 64:7, 65:3,	helpfully [1] - 35:1	54:3	Inquiries [1] - 34:16	irrelevant [1] - 65:25
65:8, 66:22	helping [1] - 18:21	inappropriate [1] -	inquiry [10] - 1:14,	issue [25] - 1:21, 4:11,
Gratl's [3] - 41:11,	hereby [1] - 71:7	70:21	1:16, 4:13, 5:9, 5:22,	26:5, 27:12, 27:13,
59:5, 61:25	herein [1] - 71:9	incidences [1] - 47:16	21:15, 24:25, 38:4,	33:22, 44:4, 44:6,
grave [1] - 29:25	Hern [4] - 13:3, 32:14,	incidentally [1] - 9:20	62:18 Inquiry [3] - 3:7, 4:3,	45:23, 50:18, 50:20,
gravely [2] - 36:11,	59:25, 1:8	include [3] - 15:15,	49:6	56:16, 58:7, 58:9,
36:12	HERN [8] - 40:4, 40:10, 40:15, 40:18,	58:19, 65:17	instance [2] - 29:12,	58:10, 59:19, 60:4,
grip [1] - 25:15	57:21, 59:6, 59:8,	includes [1] - 65:11 including [1] - 23:7	68:24	62:7, 62:12, 64:6,
group [1] - 13:4	59:19	inclusive [1] - 23:7	instantaneously [1] -	64:16, 66:21, 69:16,
groups [1] - 63:24	hesitate [3] - 3:14,		25:8	70:24
guarantee [1] - 63:20	9:9, 56:5	indeed [2] - 9:19, 39:16	instead [1] - 45:25	issue-by-issue [1] -
guess [1] - 56:5	hi [4] - 13:21, 14:4,	independent [8] -	instruct [1] - 52:17	1:21 issued [2] - 54:8,
guidance [4] - 42:2,	15:13, 16:20	12:15, 12:19, 36:1,	instructions [3] -	54:23
51:13, 64:1, 64:2	high [1] - 39:7	36:2, 63:18, 63:25,	3:10, 8:25, 15:6	issues [10] - 9:24,
	highlight [1] - 45:10	64:1, 69:20	intend [2] - 43:16,	12:13, 20:10, 37:7,
	highly [1] - 39:6	independently [1] -	68:7	43:7, 48:2, 53:2,
	Hill [1] - 21:12	37:5	intends [1] - 16:25	64:18, 67:4
		35		,

item [3] - 2:24, 59:1, 59:10 items [1] - 2:25 iteration [1] - 26:20 itself [1] - 56:16

J

January [8] - 4:15, 5:4, 26:11, 26:14, 33:25, 34:7, 34:24, 35.4 Jessica [2] - 14:14, 21:9 **job** [2] - 25:1, 39:18 John [6] - 11:21, 12:14, 19:12, 20:11, 22:2, 22:5 joint [1] - 4:21 judicial [1] - 27:4 Judy [15] - 10:19, 12:11, 14:4, 14:15, 15:11, 16:2, 16:7, 16:9, 16:11, 16:15, 16:20, 19:22, 22:5, 23:25, 29:12 June [19] - 13:10, 13:11, 13:20, 13:25, 14:3, 14:12, 14:16, 14:17, 14:21, 14:22, 15:11, 15:25, 16:6, 16:10, 16:11, 16:19, 35:10, 36:7 justice [2] - 33:15, 34:6 Justice [13] - 4:22, 26:14, 30:17, 30:25, 31:16, 33:1, 34:1, 40:18, 57:22, 58:17, 59:11, 64:9, 65:4

Κ

Karey [1] - 4:7 keep [1] - 6:22 keeping [1] - 43:5 keeps [1] - 58:2 Kendall [4] - 9:22, 10:1, 10:10, 11:16 kept [2] - 42:21, 58:25 keyword [1] - 18:10 kind [6] - 11:3, 12:14, 18:14, 28:17, 29:3, 69:1 kindly [1] - 24:18 known [1] - 38:8

L

lack [3] - 8:4, 28:17,

29:10

63:6

laid [1] - 35:1

large [1] - 53:21

late [1] - 55:11

latest [1] - 26:20

law [8] - 31:25, 32:8,

largely [1] - 51:17

last [9] - 3:17, 14:2,

24:2, 33:7, 38:7,

40:19, 61:21, 61:23,

47:16, 47:17, 49:14, 66:11, 66:15, 67:4 Law [1] - 25:17 lawyer [2] - 32:10, 57:13 lawyers [4] - 15:19, 24:10, 32:9, 38:21 learned [6] - 2:13, 19:5, 22:7, 23:20, 57:9, 67:9 least [7] - 34:17, 34:24, 48:3, 48:7, 52:1, 56:23, 65:19 leave [2] - 28:20, 40:12 leaves [2] - 51:17, 52:4 legal [1] - 31:23 legally [2] - 39:8, 39:10 legislation [1] - 49:5 legitimate [1] - 65:15 lengthy [1] - 4:18 LePard [6] - 23:12, 46:16, 46:19, 46:21, 67:6, 68:2 less [1] - 17:1 lest [1] - 24:23 letter [28] - 3:3, 3:5, 3:24, 4:15, 4:18, 5:3, 5:6, 5:9, 6:16, 6:18, 8:14, 8:15, 9:15, 10:3, 11:24, 16:23, 17:8, 26:9, 32:25, 33:5, 33:6, 33:24, 45:1, 45:12, 49:1, 51:2, 62:6, 70:19 level [1] - 36:9 licences [1] - 12:13 limit [2] - 60:11, 66:6 limiting [1] - 65:6 list [13] - 6:3, 6:8, 6:20, 11:20, 17:7,

18:4, 60:23, 61:22,

62:1, 62:21, 63:7,

67:11, 68:6 listed [3] - 15:24, 18:7, 48:6 listen [2] - 1:17, 57:5 listened [1] - 28:15 lists [1] - 61:2 live [10] - 44:9, 52:11, 53:3, 55:7, 55:16, 69:22, 69:23, 69:25, 70:11, 70:14 load [1] - 12:16 loaded [1] - 19:16 log [5] - 12:25, 13:10, 14:24. 15:8 log-in [4] - 12:25, 13:10, 14:24, 15:8 log-ins [1] - 12:25 logged [2] - 13:12, 13:15 logical [1] - 1:21 look [10] - 9:17, 19:24, 38:3, 39:18, 39:19, 60:12, 63:6, 67:10, 68:9 looked [1] - 69:9 looking [1] - 23:20 LTD [1] - 71:15 lunch [1] - 56:25

M

Mae [1] - 5:15 mail [18] - 9:24, 11:5, 11:7, 11:17, 11:23, 13:17, 13:19, 14:12, 14:23, 15:12, 15:22, 16:10, 20:11, 20:25, 21:9, 22:3, 24:4, 24:13 mails [2] - 19:22, 25:10 main [2] - 1:17, 10:8 MAJAWA [36] - 40:25, 41:17, 41:23, 42:14, 42:18, 43:18, 44:22, 45:5, 45:7, 45:10, 45:15, 45:23, 46:5, 46:9, 46:18, 46:23, 47:2, 48:1, 48:23, 49:19, 49:21, 51:1, 51:19, 51:22, 51:24, 52:4, 54:11, 54:17, 56:2, 56:12, 56:21, 61:24, 62:11, 62:19, 64:15, 68:19 Majawa [3] - 40:25, 41:17. 1:7 major [1] - 20:5 managed [1] - 16:15 minutes [2] - 41:2,

management [1] -10:14 manager [2] - 10:19, 13:20 manner [1] - 34:8 March [4] - 5:6, 6:5, 6:7, 6:18 marginal [2] - 1:11 marked [2] - 39:25, 53:16 Marnie [1] - 5:14 material [6] - 2:3, 4:18, 9:18, 12:1, 12:4. 26:16 materials [2] - 41:19, 60:3 matter [3] - 32:15, 35:22, 52:19 matters [1] - 26:24 McHale [1] - 71:13 McKeachie [4] -11:18, 14:14, 18:24, 21:10 mean [13] - 8:5, 27:17, 31:16, 32:1, 32:8, 32:9, 32:10, 45:2, 55:24, 56:10, 61:12, 65:14 meaning [1] - 51:9 meaningful [1] - 39:4 means [3] - 11:2, 42:3, 55:14 meant [1] - 22:12 media [3] - 34:18, 43:2, 54:4 meet [1] - 37:17 meeting [1] - 24:25 meetings [1] - 42:22 member [3] - 20:14, 20:20, 21:25 members [3] - 5:13, 12:8, 47:11 mention [1] - 7:1 mentioned [3] - 14:2, 20:7, 26:10 message [1] - 11:21 messages [1] - 70:5 met [1] - 37:16 method [3] - 17:10, 30:1, 52:22 might [7] - 28:20, 31:10, 53:14, 54:13, 63:22, 65:24, 66:2 million [3] - 33:13, 33:14, 33:16 mind [2] - 6:22, 60:3 minute [7] - 25:4, 25:22, 28:9, 29:2, 32:14, 45:24, 57:20

41:5 mischaracterized [2] -43:2, 58:3 misinterpretation [1] -66:14 missing [24] - 26:7, 45:3, 47:8, 47:15, 47:19, 60:24, 61:1, 61:4, 61:5, 61:12, 61:15, 61:17, 61:18, 61:22, 62:1, 62:4, 62:8, 62:21, 63:2, 63:7, 63:12, 64:12, 67:11, 70:16 **Missing** [4] - 3:7, 4:2, 15:14, 47:12 mistake [1] - 62:21 mistakes [3] - 48:20, 53:1, 62:3 misunderstand [1] -23:18 modem [3] - 10:25, 11:3, 11:12 moment [4] - 19:21, 26:18, 39:13, 50:5 Mona [1] - 5:14 Monday [2] - 67:6, 71:4 monitoring [1] - 22:22 months [4] - 17:2, 35:10, 35:22, 36:7 morning [12] - 25:12, 25:20, 28:16, 28:18, 29:7, 29:8, 40:17, 60:2, 64:16, 67:17, 69:17, 71:4 most [4] - 16:12, 38:9, 57:21, 59:22 mother [1] - 21:13 motion [4] - 2:22, 24:22, 30:6, 36:14 move [1] - 48:23 MR [108] - 2:10, 7:4, 7:9, 7:11, 7:15, 7:17, 7:20, 7:23, 8:2, 8:10, 8:13, 9:12, 9:14, 25:3, 27:21, 27:23, 27:25, 29:1, 29:22, 30:21, 31:1, 31:3, 31:6, 31:7, 31:20, 32:3, 32:5, 32:18, 32:23, 36:14, 36:20, 37:3, 37:5, 37:16, 37:21, 39:23, 40:4, 40:10, 40:15, 40:18, 40:25, 41:9, 41:15, 41:17, 41:23, 42:14, 42:18, 43:18, 44:22, 45:5, 45:7, 45:10, 45:15, 45:23, 46:5,

46:9, 46:12, 46:14, 46:18, 46:20, 46:23, 47:1, 47:2, 48:1, 48:23, 49:19, 49:21, 51:1, 51:19, 51:22, 51:24, 52:4, 54:11, 54:17, 56:2, 56:12, 56:21. 57:5. 57:12. 57:18, 57:21, 59:6, 59:8, 59:19, 60:1, 60:8, 60:17, 60:21, 61:9, 61:12, 61:24, 62:11, 62:19, 63:1, 63:16, 64:7, 64:15, 65:3, 65:8, 66:22, 66:23, 67:23, 68:4, 68:15, 68:18, 68:19, 70:15, 71:1 **MS** [2] - 69:20, 70:10 multiple [1] - 17:13 murdered [2] - 26:8, 70.16 must [5] - 8:23, 25:20, 44:13, 51:10, 57:8

Ν

name [6] - 14:2, 15:8, 18:8, 54:9, 63:10, 63:11 names [12] - 47:6, 47:20, 58:24, 59:2, 61:1, 61:15, 61:16, 63:2, 64:12, 66:15, 66:18, 68:20 native [1] - 61:6 nature [7] - 17:4, 24:11, 30:3, 37:24, 46:3, 56:1, 56:2 necessarily [2] - 56:4, 56:15 necessary [5] - 53:5, 54:2, 54:12, 56:22, 64.25 need [15] - 1:24, 7:25, 12:12, 13:21, 15:10, 43:24, 44:3, 48:17, 48:18, 52:10, 53:8, 57:6, 60:6, 67:3, 67:4 needed [3] - 2:19, 19:4, 64:23 needs [6] - 10:7, 24:25, 51:24, 54:21, 57:14, 67:15 neglected [1] - 39:24 negotiations [1] - 5:18 neighbour [2] - 47:13, 47:14

neighbourhood [1] -41:1 Neil [14] - 10:12, 11:15. 14:7. 15:13. 16:3, 16:8, 16:11, 16:22, 18:2, 21:11, 21:18, 22:4, 22:8, 23:23 never [3] - 28:11, 28:12, 69:9 new [1] - 10:25 next [15] - 2:4, 2:6, 5:6, 6:16, 8:15, 10:25, 11:13, 16:1, 16:10, 19:17, 33:22, 67:8, 67:20, 68:1, 68:13 night [1] - 38:7 **NO**[1] - 2:2 non [2] - 18:4, 37:8 non-exhaustive [1] -18.4 non-police [1] - 37:8 none [2] - 58:7, 58:10 **note** [7] - 3:12, 15:3, 15:13, 23:24, 48:24, 53:4, 62:11 nothing [3] - 12:22, 38:15, 57:14 notice [4] - 7:23, 7:25, 25:24, 27:1 November [8] - 1:2. 3:3. 3:13. 3:17. 3:18.

0

26:9, 35:2, 35:14

10:17, 33:13, 33:18,

38:12, 40:2, 46:18

numbers [4] - 22:10,

numerous [1] - 47:10

23:4, 33:4, 68:6

number [7] - 7:3,

o'clock [1] - 71:3 objection [2] - 56:9, 63:23 objective [1] - 1:9 obligation [1] - 22:18 obtain [1] - 34:14 obtained [2] - 30:15, 30:22 obvious [1] - 56:24 obviously [5] - 28:14, 36:1, 49:2, 49:3, 59.21 October [11] - 17:1, 26:17, 30:14, 30:22, 31:6, 32:20, 35:3, 45:1, 45:13, 51:2,

19:12, 19:18, 33:24 officer [1] - 37:6 officers [2] - 37:17, 58:15 offices [2] - 19:6, 26:5 Official [1] - 71:14 often [2] - 12:22, 47:13 once [2] - 5:19, 7:6 one [23] - 4:14, 10:18, 10:24. 11:18. 17:17. 19:1, 20:23, 27:5, 29:2, 29:15, 38:19, 39:12, 39:23, 40:5, 41:9, 45:18, 49:24, 51:21, 57:9, 58:18, 61:5, 62:20 one's [1] - 6:4 ones [4] - 10:18, 49:13, 63:14, 69:3 ongoing [1] - 17:6 **Ontario** [1] - 36:9 open [1] - 60:16 operates [1] - 49:5 operating [1] - 51:10 operational [2] - 3:9, 47:12 opportunity [10] - 4:5, 7:5, 7:19, 8:10, 25:3, 27:2, 27:10, 28:19, 30:7, 65:19 opposed [4] - 33:14, 46:11, 57:19, 61:11 opposition [1] - 43:17 order [19] - 1:4, 11:9, 13:22, 28:10, 28:11, 28:13, 29:8, 29:20, 33:3, 34:14, 34:15, 40:5, 40:6, 41:8, 42:2, 42:11, 43:9, 43:21, 70:13 orders [2] - 28:9, 54:23 organized [1] - 9:3 original [2] - 18:6, 23.6 originally [3] - 9:16, 14:14, 33:14 originators [1] - 47:7

70:19

OF [1] - 1:1

office [4] - 5:12,

outstanding [1] - 61:6 overall [1] - 1:9 overly [2] - 66:5, 66:14 own [1] - 18:22 owner [2] - 53:17, 57:13

Ρ

package [3] - 39:24, 60:19, 60:21 PAGE [1] - 2:2 page [10] - 33:4, 34:5, 45:7, 45:12, 48:6, 50:4, 51:1, 61:2, 61:18, 61:23 pages [5] - 33:13, 33:18, 33:20, 61:21, 63:7 paper [1] - 60:3 Papin [1] - 5:14 paragraph [3] - 4:18, 6:8, 33:10 paragraphs [2] - 33:5, 34:5 pardon [2] - 26:13, 37:4 part [12] - 9:23, 10:6, 12:1, 14:9, 27:19, 29:20, 37:7, 39:9, 56:2, 59:8, 59:9, 62:14 partial [1] - 60:23 Participant [1] - 4:16 participant [4] - 4:17, 9:1, 13:12, 18:16 participants [16] - 9:3, 10:16, 11:19, 11:25, 12:5, 12:11, 17:13, 17:22, 20:1, 20:9, 26:12, 26:19, 27:1, 42:25, 51:4, 52:15 participants' [1] -65:18 participate [1] - 1:16 participating [1] - 3:6 particular [10] - 44:14, 44:15, 44:18, 49:11, 55:17, 56:1, 56:13, 56:15, 62:5, 68:20 particularly [1] - 2:2 parties [11] - 4:4, 26:12, 26:15, 26:18, 27:1, 36:2, 54:25, 55:1, 58:8, 58:14, 59:23 partner [1] - 48:13 party [1] - 65:23 pass [2] - 30:17, 60:17 passed [1] - 26:4 passing [1] - 60:18 password [1] - 15:9 passwords [1] - 12:25 patience [1] - 38:16 Peel [3] - 35:12, 36:8, 37:12 pending [1] - 30:6 people [11] - 1:10, 1:17, 2:1, 13:1, 13:2, 14:10, 19:4, 39:2, 44:2, 59:14, 59:17 people's [1] - 48:10 perceive [2] - 29:24, 30:12 percent [1] - 33:20 perhaps [11] - 7:11, 34:15, 41:25, 43:2, 45:7, 46:23, 47:2, 50:2, 50:4, 57:5, 68:19 Peri [1] - 71:13 period [3] - 55:19, 56:25, 63:8 person [4] - 11:8, 59:12, 62:19, 67:11 person's [1] - 54:9 personal [1] - 49:17 persons [8] - 59:9, 61:4, 61:5, 66:9, 66:12, 66:16, 66:18, 70:22 perspective [1] - 4:24 **pertaining** [1] - 63:5 phoned [1] - 24:12 photographs [1] -46:2 Pickton's [1] - 63:9 place [10] - 6:24, 10:7, 19:6, 24:17, 28:2, 38:14, 39:18, 65:9, 65:25, 66:1 placed [1] - 45:20 plastic [1] - 41:20 playing [1] - 36:10 pleased [1] - 3:8 point [22] - 6:22, 13:13, 18:9, 20:5, 20:8, 20:23, 24:16, 32:24, 34:25, 41:9, 51:3, 52:13, 54:5, 54:8, 54:17, 55:5, 58:9, 61:25, 64:24, 64:25, 66:9, 68:25 pointed [1] - 52:4 points [1] - 57:24 Police [3] - 35:13, 61:3, 66:17

police [11] - 37:6,

37:8, 37:15, 37:16,

otherwise [2] - 43:24,

44:16, 44:20, 61:17,

ought [5] - 43:14,

outline [1] - 2:15

outset [1] - 42:9

outlines [1] - 3:23

51:7

65:8

37:17, 47:8, 59:8,	44:8, 57:16, 68:12,	68:1, 69:6	53:20	67:12
60:24, 66:4, 66:6,	68:13	protocols [5] - 20:2,	quite [5] - 23:21,	recognizes [1] - 4:20
68:11	problems [2] - 67:7,	20:4, 21:6, 27:11,	29:23, 30:10, 31:24,	recommendations [1]
position [17] - 5:20,	68:10	65:5	37:9	- 67:25
31:3, 31:20, 32:1,	procedure [4] - 4:1,	provide [8] - 5:23, 6:2,	quotation [1] - 1:22	RECONVENED [1] -
41:11, 41:12, 42:17,	4:6, 8:18, 51:17	6:19, 11:13, 12:3,	quoted [1] - 7:11	1:3
44:25, 57:4, 57:21,	procedures [1] - 49:8	17:6, 64:10, 67:12	quotou[ij 7.11	record [6] - 7:13, 26:6,
59:5, 62:8, 63:15,	proceed [1] - 6:10	provided [3] - 11:23,	R	35:2, 49:21, 58:2,
63:22, 65:3, 66:18,	proceeding [2] -	15:6, 18:15		69:5
69:8	26:25, 31:23	provides [1] - 66:5		recorded [1] - 55:15
possession [1] - 8:21	proceedings [10] -	providing [1] - 19:10	raise [3] - 48:7, 56:9,	recording [1] - 52:11
possibility [2] - 56:18,	36:4, 36:5, 40:8,	province [2] - 38:9,	56:16	records [3] - 5:24,
56:22	44:9, 52:12, 55:6,	70:9	raised [4] - 29:9,	6:20, 45:18
possible [2] - 11:14,	55:15, 65:25, 71:9,	provision [1] - 63:17	36:18, 44:16, 64:16	red [3] - 44:16, 44:25,
53:20	1:3	public [23] - 1:15,	rarely [1] - 38:5	52:2
possibly [2] - 48:21,	PROCEEDINGS [5] -	23:15, 28:4, 38:10,	rather [2] - 67:14,	redact [2] - 61:10,
62:14	1:3, 41:6, 41:7, 71:5,	42:21, 44:6, 46:15,	67:16	70:22
potential [2] - 44:12	1:1	46:17, 46:22, 46:25,	rationale [1] - 22:11	redacted [9] - 47:22,
potentially [4] - 42:6,	process [19] - 1:12,	47:5, 47:11, 48:10,	RCMP [9] - 4:22, 20:1,	53:18, 61:1, 61:19,
48:11, 53:3, 54:22	9:8, 11:11, 17:9,	49:16, 52:24, 58:4,	21:7, 26:13, 34:10,	62:1, 62:4, 62:9,
power [1] - 28:5	21:16, 27:15, 27:17,	58:6, 61:17, 64:4,	34:13, 60:9, 65:12,	62:13, 62:19
practice [1] - 27:3	27:19, 29:20, 43:5,	65:6, 65:7, 66:1,	66:17	redacting [2] - 63:17,
preferably [1] - 5:24	43:7, 44:14, 44:22,	66:6	Re [2] - 4:1, 8:17	66:15
premature [1] - 68:25	45:5, 48:23, 48:24,	Public [2] - 34:16,	re [3] - 4:17, 6:7, 38:14	redaction [9] - 27:7,
prep [1] - 22:15	65:16, 66:3	49:6	re-examine [1] - 38:14	27:15, 27:17, 27:19,
prepare [7] - 22:20,	production [1] - 28:6	publically [1] - 49:4	reached [6] - 5:19,	29:20, 65:5, 65:10,
25:21, 38:25, 50:21,	productive [1] - 39:3	publication [12] -	19:25, 21:6, 26:19,	65:16
50:22, 60:6, 66:10	professionalism [1] -	42:5, 42:12, 42:14,	27:11	redactions [15] - 50:8,
prepared [3] - 60:3,	38:20	43:1, 43:8, 43:20,	read [8] - 20:11,	50:16, 61:16, 63:20,
60:4, 66:8	progress [3] - 22:6,	44:19, 52:9, 54:2,	22:21, 24:19, 45:8,	63:23, 64:19, 65:11,
preparing [2] - 5:22,	22:23, 67:18	54:7, 55:10, 60:10	45:22, 46:1, 51:14,	65:13, 65:14, 65:17,
30:6	prohibition [1] - 42:12	publicity [2] - 60:11,	54:15	65:20, 67:10, 68:21,
prerequisites [1] -	project [1] - 33:8	66:2	reading [1] - 45:25	69:8, 69:10
39:9	prompts [1] - 15:4	published [3] - 44:11,	ready [5] - 13:13, 17:3,	refer [5] - 42:7, 52:22,
presentation [3] -	properly [1] - 16:5	54:4, 55:13	19:17, 26:2, 66:21 real [3] - 36:3, 44:12,	53:5, 53:8, 54:12
25:4, 25:22, 30:7	proposal [1] - 57:8	purchases [1] - 20:15	57:16	reference [5] - 19:19,
presenting [1] - 62:15	propose [3] - 44:22,	purpose [3] - 23:13,	realizes [1] - 38:10	20:3, 47:4, 55:9,
president [1] - 25:17	55:17, 61:10	27:9, 29:4	really [9] - 23:18,	62:18
presumptively [2] -	proposed [5] - 51:1,	purposes [2] - 4:19,	23:20, 39:5, 49:13,	referenced [2] - 21:3,
40:11, 51:11	52:6, 53:13, 58:17,	57:10	57:25, 58:10, 67:2,	64:20
pretty [1] - 58:22	65:20	pursuant [3] - 34:16,	68:15, 69:23	references [1] - 10:11
prevent [3] - 42:4,	proposing [4] - 45:4,	34:18, 50:16	realm [1] - 64:4	referred [2] - 19:5, 53:13
54:3, 56:20	55:13, 55:16, 63:18	put [6] - 20:5, 34:17, 55:11, 65:9, 67:5,	reason [1] - 7:4	referring [3] - 21:24,
previous [2] - 21:5,	proposition [1] -	68:7	reasonable [1] - 58:22	39:25, 49:6
35:18	62:17	puts [1] - 12:20	reasonably [1] - 53:14	refers [1] - 34:1
primarily [1] - 2:5	protect [1] - 59:2 protected [1] - 47:24	puts [1] - 12.20	reasons [5] - 2:4, 2:6,	refusal [1] - 17:6
primary [3] - 14:6, 14:9, 15:25	protecting [1] - 58:13	Q	5:2, 7:3, 35:5	regarding [5] - 3:10,
priority [1] - 19:14	protecting [1] - 38.13	Q	receipt [1] - 28:8	9:8, 11:24, 20:2,
privacy [5] - 48:11,	41:10, 42:20, 49:23,		receive [2] - 16:2,	23:11
48:17, 49:2, 49:17,	59:23	Q.C [2] - 34:6	39:20	regardless [1] - 55:10
53:9	Protection [1] - 49:11	quantity [1] - 30:2	received [7] - 5:17,	regards [5] - 11:15,
Privacy [1] - 49:11	protocol [24] - 17:20,	quasi [1] - 27:4	9:5, 13:6, 18:16,	12:14, 16:3, 16:9,
private [1] - 42:5	26:20, 34:2, 42:4,	quasi-judicial [1] -	26:16, 28:7, 35:11	24:1
privilege [2] - 32:16,	43:4, 46:22, 50:17,	27:4	receiving [3] - 9:17,	Regional [1] - 35:13
32:19	50:20, 51:1, 51:15,	questions [2] - 3:14,	19:24, 34:9	REGISTRAR [5] - 1:4,
privileged [3] - 32:18,	51:16, 52:4, 52:6,	9:8	recent [4] - 5:8, 5:11,	40:2, 41:5, 41:8,
42:6, 54:20	52:13, 56:3, 56:20,	quick [1] - 16:8	25:12, 61:22	71:3
problem [8] - 16:14,	58:16, 59:1, 60:7,	quicker [1] - 46:1	recess [1] - 41:5	regrettably [2] - 27:5,
16:16, 16:20, 37:7,	60:8, 62:15, 63:18,	quickly [2] - 39:23,	recognize [2] - 1:19,	27:9
, , , , , ,				

regular [1] - 25:10 require [1] - 10:3 Rock [1] - 5:14 sense [6] - 1:22, 36:2, skill [1] - 71:10 relate [1] - 64:11 required [4] - 11:12, room [3] - 25:7, 26:23, 57:10, 57:22, 58:11, Skwarok [2] - 13:8, related [1] - 21:21 24:15, 29:3, 29:16 38:21 58:16 13:14 sensitive [6] - 24:11, Society [1] - 25:17 relates [4] - 3:1, 5:6, requirements [1] routine [1] - 12:21 55:19 rubric [1] - 63:3 37:9, 42:5, 46:3, 24:3, 66:13 **software** [1] - 5:25 requires [1] - 15:19 46:4, 60:13 relating [2] - 23:7, Ruling [1] - 1:4 **solving** [1] - 35:9 sent [6] - 9:24, 10:21, 63:4 research [1] - 21:10 ruling [2] - 41:9, 55:3 someone [6] - 7:22, 11:18, 12:25, 19:13, relative [1] - 18:5 reservations [1] - 1:8 run [1] - 39:7 31:5, 31:19, 39:9, 62:6 relatively [1] - 48:20 resolve [1] - 54:22 56:6, 70:4 rung [1] - 55:12 separate [7] - 20:24, released [2] - 48:9, resolved [1] - 16:21 rushed [2] - 67:1, sometimes [2] - 39:5, 50:17, 50:19, 62:13, 45:24 57:2 respect [25] - 27:6, 67:15 62:15, 69:7, 69:15 relevancy [1] - 46:8 27:10. 27:12. 29:25. soon [2] - 6:3, 11:14 September [6] - 22:24, relevant [8] - 5:23, 30:1. 42:3. 42:11. S **sorry** [5] - 14:1, 30:24, 47:8, 52:11, 53:11, 23:17, 23:24, 24:2, 32:4, 33:4, 61:24 6:14, 6:20, 18:17, 24:5, 24:16 18:22, 38:24, 49:13, 53:12, 59:9, 61:25, sort [2] - 10:6, 67:20 safeguards [2] - 2:2, Sereena [1] - 63:10 62:7, 62:24, 64:18, 62:17 sought [1] - 1:6 65.9 serious [3] - 17:2, 64:21, 65:20, 66:15, relying [1] - 34:13 speaking [3] - 44:2, safety [1] - 49:16 66:25, 69:2, 69:15, 48:10, 48:16 remains [1] - 30:5 69:6, 69:16 **Salima** [1] - 10:11 server [1] - 15:9 69:16, 69:22, 70:14 remarks [4] - 1:22, special [1] - 65:8 Samnani [2] - 10:11, respectful [4] - 25:24, **SERVICE** [1] - 71:15 30:9, 30:19, 36:15 specific [4] - 2:21, 17:25 65:4, 66:4, 66:11 session [1] - 4:9 remember [3] - 14:8, 4:11, 62:24, 68:20 sat [1] - 18:24 15:23, 20:6 respective [1] - 68:11 set [8] - 13:22, 20:13, specifically [1] - 24:21 satisfied [2] - 38:21, 35:5, 39:10, 44:25, reminds [2] - 11:16, respectively [1] - 21:8 **specifics** [1] - 2:23 39:13 respond [6] - 7:6, 45:1, 49:1, 57:8 41:10 speechless [1] - 36:11 save [1] - 70:23 8:10, 25:4, 26:1, sets [1] - 18:9 removed [1] - 55:21 speed [2] - 29:13, saw [1] - 37:21 26:2, 29:9 several [1] - 19:13 repeatedly [1] - 25:12 29:14 scans [1] - 12:19 responds [1] - 25:11 sex [6] - 58:20, 58:23, replaced [1] - 23:9 spent [2] - 28:23, Sean [1] - 13:3 response [10] - 16:8, 59:4, 61:25, 62:19, reply [4] - 6:7, 7:19, 29:12 searches [1] - 18:10 29:18, 32:3, 32:5, 63.4 28:19, 59:21 staff [19] - 2:16, 2:18, **searching** [1] - 6:1 36:15, 56:13, 56:14, **shall** [1] - 51:4 **Report** [3] - 46:16, 4:14, 9:23, 11:18, second [4] - 6:8, 56:15, 62:5, 64:8 **Shannon** [2] - 13:18, 46:19, 46:21 12:7, 12:16, 12:18, 52:13, 57:23, 66:1 rest [1] - 19:14 14:2 report [8] - 23:12, 20:14, 20:19, 21:22, section [5] - 49:7, **Sharon** [1] - 21:12 restrict [1] - 49:9 34:3, 36:23, 36:25, 22:12, 24:17, 24:24, 49:8, 49:13, 49:15, resume [1] - 70:24 **shorten** [1] - 42:10 28:22, 29:10, 60:22, 38:25, 39:3, 54:8, 49:16 shortly [2] - 16:3, **RESUMED** [1] - 41:7 68:5 sections [1] - 49:12 reported [1] - 52:8 resumed [2] - 1:4, 21:20 stage [2] - 44:17, see [15] - 20:4, 25:9, 41:8 show [2] - 31:15, 56:19 Reporter [1] - 71:14 31:9, 38:23, 51:15, REPORTING [1] retained [1] - 12:15 38:20 stake [1] - 58:10 57:6, 57:16, 59:1, shown [1] - 25:18 retrieve [1] - 25:22 stand [1] - 27:18 71:15 60:25, 61:2, 61:18, standing [10] - 3:11, repository [1] - 34:22 retrieved [1] - 60:22 sign [4] - 9:21, 10:22, 61:21, 63:9, 67:4, return [1] - 53:20 13:2, 15:20 3:12, 3:21, 4:5, 4:21, represent [2] - 21:25, 68:9 returned [3] - 8:24, signed [12] - 4:13, 5:4, 5:1, 5:5, 26:11, 36:2 seeing [3] - 14:10, 8:23, 9:10, 9:16, 35:7, 53:16 26:15, 35:4 representatives [1] -14:11. 37:19 13:6, 15:16, 15:18, Staples [1] - 20:21 5:18 reveal [3] - 44:4, seek [3] - 25:3, 42:1, 15:23, 16:2, 16:5, 52:17, 52:23 start [3] - 2:23, 14:22, represented [1] - 18:5 42.25 16:22 revealed [3] - 53:24, 67:6 representing [2] seeking [7] - 18:19, significance [2] -13:4, 21:17 55:21, 60:16 started [3] - 13:2, 42:14, 43:3, 43:9, 23:22, 26:25 revealing [3] - 25:19, 35:15, 37:19 represents [1] - 60:23 48:5, 52:9, 69:4 silent [1] - 70:16 45:19, 51:5 starting [2] - 18:9, request [11] - 6:2, seem [2] - 32:12, similarly [1] - 44:21 70:1 6:19, 12:3, 12:8, review [2] - 21:23, 58:19 63:19 simplifying [1] - 45:3 static [3] - 10:23, 12:9, 14:8, 15:13, selected [2] - 10:18, rights [1] - 8:20 simply [3] - 22:14, 13:21, 14:4 20:19, 21:5, 22:11, 17:11 69.1 rise [1] - 42:1 59:3, 66:4 status [1] - 45:19 send [5] - 9:19, 11:5, requested [4] - 19:20, rising [1] - 42:25 **Sir/Madame** [1] - 3:20 still [5] - 12:11, 13:8, 12:18, 16:4, 25:10 sister [1] - 47:16 24:23, 36:11, 67:21 20:23, 31:10, 63:1 ROBERTS [4] - 46:12, sending [5] - 19:11, sister-in-law [1] stop [1] - 27:14 requests [9] - 2:21, 46:14, 46:20, 47:1 23:1, 23:13, 68:5, 47:16 5:11, 5:21, 24:18, Roberts [2] - 46:13, stopped [1] - 38:6 70:5 24:21, 62:24, 64:22, 46.14 sit [1] - 67:10 **straying** [1] - 64:15 sends [4] - 14:23, 68:20, 68:22 Robyn [3] - 9:22, 11:5, **situation** [1] - 22:3 stream [2] - 69:25, 15:12, 22:3, 23:24 requests.. [1] - 5:8 69:20 **six** [2] - 35:22, 36:7 70:11

streamed [2] - 44:9, 55:7 streaming [6] - 53:3, 55:16, 56:23, 69:22, 69:23, 70:14 street [1] - 38:6 stress [1] - 69:5 strong [2] - 66:24, 70.18 **STW** [4] - 61:7, 61:19, 63:12 sub [1] - 46:8 subject [7] - 9:25, 25:15. 43:16. 48:15. 50:16, 67:18, 68:4 subjects [1] - 47:7 submission [14] -7:18, 7:24, 25:24, 29:11, 30:5, 36:16, 56:21, 61:13, 65:5, 65:15, 66:5, 66:11, 66:13, 70:22 submissions [7] - 2:8, 27:10, 41:2, 42:7, 65:19, 66:8, 70:20 Submissions [5] - 1:5, 1:6, 1:7, 1:8, 1:9 **submitting** [1] - 65:13 subsequent [1] -25:13 suggest [2] - 24:18, 68:23 suggested [1] - 44:7 **summer** [1] - 36:7 **supported** [1] - 49:4 **supporting** [1] - 40:20 supposed [1] - 31:18 surely [3] - 44:13, 55:24, 56:7 surprise [1] - 60:2 suspects [2] - 59:14, 66:16 sympathetic [1] -59.22 system [4] - 1:18, 10:15, 10:17, 29:14

T

targets [1] - 66:19 task [1] - 22:14 Task [1] - 47:12 technical [3] - 12:13, 35:9, 55:18 telephone [1] - 24:6 ten [1] - 71:3 tenor [2] - 2:14, 3:1 terms [6] - 1:6, 33:18, 34:17, 43:19, 45:5,

62:18 terrible [1] - 39:17 testifies [1] - 35:25 testimony [1] - 52:18 text [1] - 70:5 **THE** [107] - 1:4, 1:5, 7:7, 7:10, 7:14, 7:16, 7:18, 7:21, 7:25, 8:3, 8:12, 9:11, 9:13, 25:2, 27:14, 27:22, 27:24, 28:9, 29:2, 30:20, 30:24, 31:2, 31:4, 31:12, 31:24, 32:4, 32:7, 32:22, 36:13, 36:17, 37:1, 37:4, 37:14, 37:20, 37:25, 40:1, 40:2, 40:9, 40:14, 40:16, 40:23, 41:4, 41:5, 41:8, 41:14, 41:16, 41:21, 42:10, 42:16, 43:12, 44:13, 45:2, 45:6, 45:8, 45:14, 45:22, 45:24, 46:7, 46:10, 46:13, 46:24, 47:25, 48:22, 49:18, 49:20, 50:25, 51:14, 51:20, 51:23, 52:3, 54:10, 54:15, 55:23, 56:6, 56:17, 57:3, 57:11, 57:17, 57:19, 59:5, 59:7, 59:17, 59:25, 60:6, 60:12, 60:20, 61:8, 61:11, 62:10, 62:16, 62:25, 63:13, 64:5, 64:14, 65:2, 65:7, 66:20, 67:22, 68:3, 68:14, 68:17. 69:18. 70:8. 70:13, 70:25, 71:2, 71:3 thereabouts [1] -35.16 therefore [1] - 4:25 **thin** [1] - 41:19 thinking [1] - 47:14 third [4] - 58:8, 58:14, 59:22, 65:23 Thompson [17] -10:19, 12:11, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 14:15, 14:22, 15:11, 16:9, 19:8, 19:23, 22:5, 22:20, 22:24, 29:12 thorough [1] - 61:14

three [4] - 26:12,

throw [1] - 28:12

26:18, 30:18, 63:12

throughout [1] - 42:7

throwing [1] - 32:11

thrust [1] - 30:8 tip [1] - 48:14 tips [3] - 47:8, 47:10, 47:13 tipster [1] - 46:20 **Tobias** [3] - 33:6, 33:25, 34:6 today [7] - 2:9, 13:5, 21:14, 42:1, 42:11, 43:1, 50:7 together [2] - 5:25, 67:13 tomorrow [1] - 19:12 took [4] - 6:25, 19:6, 22:19, 38:14 top [1] - 33:4 total [1] - 33:12 towards [2] - 2:12, 31:17 track [1] - 32:23 trade [5] - 58:20, 58:23, 59:4, 61:25, 62:19 tragedies [2] - 39:17, 39:18 trained [2] - 39:9, 39:10 training [2] - 12:12, 15.7 transcribed [1] - 71:9 transcript [1] - 71:8 treated [1] - 39:1 treatment [1] - 39:20 Triage [2] - 12:12, 16:15 triage [1] - 12:15 tribunals [1] - 27:4 tried [2] - 21:15, 42:19 troubled [1] - 34:8 **Troy** [5] - 13:18, 13:21, 14:1, 14:2, 14:13 true [2] - 31:24, 71:8 truly [4] - 3:16, 9:10, 18:20, 34:19 trust [1] - 7:5 try [2] - 44:4, 52:21 Tuesday [2] - 2:12, 18:3 turn [2] - 32:25, 45:7 tutorial [3] - 19:2,

U ultimately [2] - 27:8, 60:14 unable [2] - 57:18, 69:3 uncontrolled [1] -16:18 uncontroversial [1] -65:23 under [5] - 33:19, 43:25, 49:5, 61:19, 63:3 underlying [1] - 64:11 underneath [1] -63:11 understood [1] - 52:7 undertaking [10] -8:18, 8:23, 9:16, 9:20, 10:2, 10:22, 15:16, 15:17, 15:20, 35:7 undertakings [1] -13:6 underway [1] - 5:19 unfortunately [3] -20:14, 36:24, 42:23 unhappy [1] - 17:8 Union [1] - 13:7 unique [2] - 4:24, 34:23 **UNITED** [1] - 71:15 unless [1] - 23:17 unredacted [2] -23:12, 63:22 unsatisfied [1] - 69:10 unusual [2] - 1:8, 12:23 up [20] - 7:13, 13:22, 20:14, 22:2, 25:11, 29:13, 29:14, 30:17, 36:14, 38:8, 42:10, 50:5, 51:20, 55:11, 56:7, 56:12, 56:19, 57:15, 64:6, 64:22 update [1] - 11:23 useful [1] - 52:6 uttered [1] - 54:3

vacuum [1] - 43:10 Vancouver [3] - 1:1, 61:3, 66:17 various [2] - 60:24, 70:3 versed [1] - 52:25 version [2] - 23:13,

23:15 versions [1] - 46:19 Vertlieb [20] - 2:8, 4:7, 25:6. 25:9. 25:16. 26:3, 26:22, 28:18, 29:7, 29:17, 29:22, 32:15, 33:2, 33:23, 34:6, 34:20, 35:1, 42:19, 57:4, 1:5 **VERTLIEB** [20] - 2:10, 8:13, 9:12, 9:14, 31:7, 36:20, 37:3, 37:5, 37:16, 37:21, 41:9, 41:15, 57:5, 57:12, 57:18, 66:23, 67:23, 68:4, 68:15, 68:18 Vertlieb's [2] - 30:8, 36:15 vetting [3] - 26:20, 27:7, 34:2 vice [1] - 25:17 vice-president [1] -25:17 victims [4] - 39:19, 58:25, 59:2, 59:3 view [8] - 47:23, 48:16, 49:2, 49:22, 66:17, 66:24, 66:25, 67:25 violence [1] - 48:15 violent [1] - 48:13 viva [1] - 44:19 voce [1] - 44:19 voir [1] - 44:21 volume [1] - 33:8 voluminous [1] -38:12 **VPD** [10] - 4:21, 13:3, 13:7, 20:1, 21:7, 26:12, 26:13, 31:16, 58:11, 68:9

W

vulnerability [1] - 2:1

wait [4] - 8:10, 28:9, 57:20, 59:20 waiting [1] - 13:9 waived [1] - 32:19 Wally [1] - 20:16 wants [1] - 17:8 Ward [51] - 2:13, 2:21, 3:4, 3:18, 5:7, 6:5, 6:16, 7:1, 7:14, 7:16, 8:1, 8:3, 8:15, 9:14, 9:19, 9:25, 11:2, 11:19, 13:6, 13:14, 13:18, 15:18, 15:23,

X

19:4, 19:6

70:20

two [6] - 17:2, 58:3,

type [8] - 1:8, 29:16,

48:3, 48:6, 61:9

types [1] - 45:10

typical [1] - 53:10

45:17, 47:3, 47:22,

58:18, 59:24, 61:18,

16:23, 17:9, 17:24, 18:18, 18:25, 19:9, 19:20, 19:22, 20:10, 20:12, 20:19, 20:25, 21:3, 21:24, 21:25, 22:3, 22:25, 23:20, 24:8, 24:22, 25:2, 31:7, 36:18, 36:20, 37:11, 64:2, 70:15, 1:6 WARD [28] - 7:4, 7:9, 7:11, 7:15, 7:17, 7:20, 7:23, 8:2, 8:10, 25:3, 27:21, 27:23, 27:25, 29:1, 29:22, 30:21, 31:1, 31:3, 31:6, 31:20, 32:3, 32:5, 32:18, 32:23, 36:14, 39:23, 70:15, 71:1 ward [1] - 3:5 **Ward's** [1] - 5:5 watching [1] - 69:25 ways [1] - 1:20 website [1] - 3:9 week [9] - 2:4, 2:6, 10:25, 11:13, 19:17, 67:8, 67:20, 68:1, 68:13 weight [1] - 2:5 welcome [2] - 16:12, 68:18 well-known [1] - 38:8 Welsh [2] - 4:13, 5:4 whole [1] - 40:21 Williams [1] - 34:3 Wilson [1] - 5:14 witness [8] - 6:7, 17:7, 36:21, 43:24, 44:14, 55:25, 56:8, 70:4 witnesses [12] - 6:3, 6:10, 6:13, 6:14, 6:21, 50:22, 51:7, 52:15, 52:21, 52:24, 55:24, 59:3 Wolf [1] - 23:8 Women [4] - 3:7, 4:2, 15:14, 47:12 women [22] - 26:8, 46:14, 47:9, 47:16, 47:20, 60:24, 61:1, 61:12, 61:15, 61:17, 61:19, 61:22, 62:2, 62:4, 62:8, 62:20, 62:21, 63:2, 63:7, 63:12, 64:12, 70:16 wonder [2] - 60:17, 60:18 wondering [1] - 34:10

words [1] - 13:11

workable [1] - 51:10
worker [1] - 62:19
workers [5] - 58:20,
58:23, 59:4, 62:1,
63:4
works [1] - 13:18
world [1] - 35:19
worthy [1] - 49:22
write [1] - 14:14
writes [6] - 9:14, 16:7,
17:25, 19:9, 22:24,
32:14
writing [2] - 7:1, 14:14
written [1] - 2:6
wrote [1] - 3:18



year [5] - 25:6, 30:14, 30:22, 33:7, 61:5 years [1] - 60:25 yesterday [6] - 8:4, 22:7, 41:24, 43:14, 49:24, 70:1 yourself [3] - 21:2, 53:25, 55:3