1	1	Vancouver, BC
2	2	June 6, 2012
3	3 (PROCEEDINGS	RECONVENED AT 9:17 A.M.)
4	4 THE REGISTRAR: Order. Th	e hearing is now resumed.
5	5 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Woo	dall.
6	6 MR. WOODALL: Mr. Commissi	oner, Kevin Woodall, counsel for
7	7 Constable Fel	l and Constable Wolthers.
8	8 In my su	abmissions before you I'm going to
9	9 focus on one	particular area of evidence which is
10	0 the question	of whether Constable Fell and
11	1 Wolthers advi	sed the Missing Women Review Team.
12	2 that in April	of 2000 certain sex trade workers
13	3 had identifie	ed Pickton from a photo pack. But
14	4 before I get	to that submission, which will occupy
15	5 most of my ti	me, I do want to say some words about
16	6 other allegat	tions that have been made against my
17	7 clients and a	are dealt with fully in our final
18	8 submission.	
19	9 Througho	out this commission some counsel have
20	0 taken the opp	portunity of asking witnesses other
21	1 than Fell and	Wolthers questions insinuating that
22	2 Fell and Wolt	chers were sexist, racist, homophobic
23	3 and didn't do	b their job, that they were
24	4 unprofessiona	al in their work and in their conduct.
25	5 Further some	one, probably someone in this room,

leaked the manuscript, Shenher manuscript to the 1 2 National Post knowing that the Post -- sorry, 3 knowing that Ms. Shenher herself had reconsidered 4 most of the hurtful allegations in that document, 5 and when that person leaked this manuscript to the 6 National Post it was evident that it was, and did 7 so, with the intention that the National Post would do exactly what it did which was repeat 8 these allegations of sexism, racism, homophobia 9 that some certain counsel have perpetuated 10 11 throughout this hearing.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry for interrupting you. I should tell 13 you the fact that that document was leaked 14 confirms my view that I did the right thing by 15 prohibiting that document from going into evidence, and clearly she had resiled from many of 16 17 the things that she had said, and there was little or no relevant evidence in that document. And I 18 19 gave it a considerable amount of thought in 20 excluding that document, and the fact that it was leaked in that particular fashion and those 21 22 allegations that were not true and for which she resiled went to the public. Anyway, go ahead. 23 24 MR. WOODALL: Thank you. It is in my view extremely 25 unfortunate that that was leaked, and again it is

highly likely that it was leaked by someone who is 1 2 in this room, in an act that can only be described 3 as dishonest and sneaky and contemptuous of the 4 participants in this hearing. However, Constable 5 Fell and Constable Wolthers came to this hearing, 6 they sat in the witness stand, and everyone in 7 this room who wished to make an accusation that they were homophobic, wished to make an accusation 8 9 that they were sexist, wished to make an accusation that they were racist had an 10 11 opportunity to put those allegations squarely to 12 them to their face on the record and no one did. 13 No one even put to them the suggestion that they 14 weren't doing their job or that they had acted in 15 any manner other than professional. A question was put to them did they use the word whore or the 16 17 phrase fucking whore to refer to sex trade workers, they both denied it, and no one in this 18 19 room challenged them on that denial.

It is not surprising in one sense that no one put those accusations to them to their face because there's in fact no contemporaneous document prepared by any one of their co-workers throughout the time that they were working at the Missing Women Review Team that raised any

dissatisfaction with their work, much less an 1 accusation of misconduct. The first written 2 3 document concerning any concern about their work 4 was not authored until after they had left the 5 Missing Women Review Team, and an evident response 6 to a memo that they had already written expressing 7 their concerns about the work of the Missing Women Review Team. And in my submission when one 8 9 receives a document in those circumstances after 10 the fact and in response to allegations that have 11 been made against the author of the accusations then one must regard that kind of document with 12 13 the greatest of skepticism.

14 One of the accusations that has been made is 15 that they suffered from tunnel vision by focusing too extensively on Niedermeyer, an accusation 16 17 which I find extremely ironic given that the entire time that these two dedicated officers were 18 19 working with the Missing Women Review Team the 20 only person who was taken off the street and was 21 prevented from preying on the vulnerable women of 22 the Downtown Eastside was Niedermeyer, and the work was done exclusively by Fell and Wolthers. A 23 24 very tangible result of hard work.

25

In my submission therefore, this commission

when considering these allegations, the allegations of sexism, racism, homophobia, unprofessionalism ought to give them no notice whatever. In my submission even to refer to those allegations if only to dismiss them would give them more weight than they deserve.

7 That then brings me to the greater topic of 8 my submissions, which is the question of whether 9 these police officers told the Missing Women Review Team that in April of 2000 certain street 10 11 workers on the Downtown Eastside had picked 12 Pickton from a photo lineup. And I intend to 13 address this in the following steps. The first is 14 to deal with the uncontradicted facts, the next is 15 to deal with the probabilities concerning whether they advised members of the Missing Women Review 16 17 Team orally about the picks, and then finally to address the question of whether the manner in 18 19 which that information was communicated had any 20 material effect on the investigation of Pickton 21 generally.

22 So the uncontradicted facts are that in 23 September of 1999 Fell and Wolthers came up with 24 the idea of taking a photo pack to the sex trade 25 workers in the Downtown Eastside against

opposition of other members of the Missing Women 1 2 Review Team, and that they did a photo canvass and 3 received a number of hits of people recognizing 4 Niedermeyer and other persons whose photos were in 5 the photo pack. In April of 2000 they repeated 6 the process, again on their own initiative, and 7 again did a photo canvass of sex trade workers in 8 the Downtown Eastside. This time the photo pack had a picture of Pickton in it. This was again at 9 the initiative of Fell and Wolthers, and in fact 10 11 Wolthers was the one who obtained the picture of 12 Pickton. The precise notations that they made in 13 their notebook about the information they received 14 is in our written argument at page 41 in paragraph 103 and 104 as follows. Fell noted: 15 Number one. On April 5th, 2000 that a person 16 17 recognized Pickton, tip number 30, took to a house in Surrey/Coquitlam. Hot tub. Bedroom 18 19 house? BJ. Provided drugs. Wanted camera. Hot tub. Refused? Vehicle. 20 The second note noted in Fell's notes on April 21 22 12th was again: 23 Pickton number 30. Drive blue old van white 24 top. Drives around. Pickton, number 30, 25 seen a few times.

And then Wolthers notes: 1 2 Note that information received from a sex 3 trade worker on April 12th was to the effect 4 that Pickton had been seen sometime last year 5 walking around. Used to see him walking 6 around Openheimer Park. 7 In other words, information that was very general, 8 undated although obviously at some point in the 9 past, and not corroborative of any of the information that had been received from Hiscox or 10 Caldwell. 11 12 The question then is did Fell and Wolthers

13 advise members of the Missing Women Review Team 14 orally. It's not surprising that 12 years having 15 passed they can't recall specifically whether they did, but in their interview with LePard and in 16 17 their evidence here they conceded that they had no specific recollection, but in the interview with 18 19 LePard, which happened some years ago and was 20 closer to the event than today, Detective Constable Fell said, in essence, I don't recall 21 22 doing it, but I probably did.

23The question then is what are the24probabilities surrounding the circumstance given25that so much time has passed and memories not

surprisingly have faded. In my submission if one 1 2 looks at the conduct of Fell and Wolthers the 3 probabilities are all on the side that they did 4 tell someone on the Missing Women Review Team 5 about their findings. It would have made no sense 6 for them not to do so. This was something that 7 they had undertaken on their own, on their 8 initiative and against opposition from other 9 members of the Missing Women Review Team. They had noted the observations in their notebook. 10 There would be no reason for them not to tell 11 12 anyone on the Missing Women Review Team, and every reason for them to do so. They are obviously 13 14 diligent and enthusiastic and committed officers, 15 and they might have had a certain boastful sense of telling members on the team that the 16 17 investigative technique they had proposed and carried out had borne fruit. 18

19Then the next question one should address20when considering the probabilities is what are the21probabilities that Detective Shenher or other22members of the team was in error or forgot or23didn't notice when the information was being24given. The probabilities there again are in all25favour of the likelihood that Fell and Wolthers

did provide this information but it was simply not 1 2 noticed or forgotten. It is clear that their, as 3 I say, Fell and Wolthers' conduct in the Missing 4 Women Review Team generally was regarded 5 dismissively by everyone else in the team. The concept of carrying out this photo canvass was 6 7 regarded dismissively. And it would not at all be surprising if what they told other members of the 8 9 Missing Women Review Team about what they were doing generally and about this photo canvass in 10 11 particular was simply treated as they were treated generally, which is to say dismissively. 12 Therefore, in my submission, the probabilities are 13 entirely in favour of members of the team who were 14 15 given this information simply not noting it or forgetting about it. 16

17 Now, in submissions by counsel on behalf of the Vancouver Police Union, Constable Shenher and 18 19 others it is suggested that the position that I 20 have just articulated is inconsistent with the evidence of Fell and Wolthers who have said that 21 22 they do not recall whether they provided that information or not, and in my submission it is not 23 at all inconsistent. Fell and Wolthers have never 24 25 said that they did not tell the other members of

the team, they did not even say that they probably did not tell other members of the team. What they said was they could not recall telling other members of the team. That absence of specific recollection is entirely consistent with them having told other members of the team but simply having no recollection several years later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

The next question is did Fell and Wolthers 8 tell any members of the Missing Women Review Team 9 in writing. Now, it is clear, and Constable Fell 10 11 and Wolthers would concede this point, that the 12 documentation was not as -- that they did not 13 document the sightings as well as they could have and that the method by which they communicated 14 15 their observations in writing was not as clear as it could have been. However, they did create 16 17 notes of the sightings, and those notes were provided to the Missing Women Review Team in 18 19 response to a request by Constable Shenher to do 20 so. Constable Fell and Wolthers testified that they provided their notes, and no one challenged 21 22 them on that evidence, and in my submission it's not open to members of participants in these 23 24 proceedings now to suggest that they were wrong on 25 that evidence given that no one ever challenged

them on it. The notations were there to be seen, 1 2 which is evident from the fact that Deputy Chief Constable LePard was able to find the references 3 4 to the sightings when he reviewed their notes with 5 ease, and in my submission if anyone on the 6 Missing Women Review Team had actually been 7 interested in what Fell and Wolthers were doing and had actually looked at their notes they would 8 9 have seen the same thing that Deputy Chief Constable LePard saw and they would have been able 10 to draw the same conclusions. 11

Therefore my submission on the facts, the 12 13 best conclusion that one can draw given the 14 passage of time is first, Fell and Wolthers cannot 15 recall today whether they advised anyone orally, but they believe that they did. Secondly, the 16 17 probabilities both from the perspective of Fell and Wolthers' conduct and from the side of the 18 19 recipients of the information is all on the side 20 that they did provide the information orally. It 21 is conceded that they did not provide a formal 22 memo, but they did provide their notes, and it 23 appears that no one at the Missing Women Review Team ever bothered to read the notes. 24 25

I then turn to the question of whether if

they failed to provide the information orally to 1 2 members of the Missing Women Review Team that 3 could be considered intentional or whether they in 4 any way intended to undermine the Missing Women 5 Review Team by withholding that information. They 6 were asked that question when they were on the 7 witness stand. Fell and Wolthers both denied it absolutely. No one cross-examined them on that 8 9 point, no one challenged them suggesting that they did in fact intend to withhold that information, 10 11 and that allegation ought to be simply dismissed out of hand. 12

13 And then I wish to the turn to the question 14 to the effect, if any, that the failure, if there 15 was a failure, to provide this information to the Missing Women Review Team had on the Pickton 16 17 investigation as a whole. It has been suggested by some participants that if the hits on Pickton 18 19 had been brought more forcefully to the attention 20 of the Missing Women Review Team or the RCMP other 21 members of the Missing Women Review Team or the 22 RCMP would have focused their attention on Pickton earlier and more directly. In my submission that 23 sounds very much like an attempt to deflect 24 25 criticism from where the criticism ought to lie.

I'm going to leave it to others to identify what 1 2 deficiencies there may or may or not have been in 3 the investigations conducted by the RCMP and the 4 other members of the Vancouver Police Department, 5 but I do want to focus on this question, the 6 narrow question of whether if the information 7 regarding Pickton was not provided it was information that would have prompted the RCMP or 8 9 the other members of the Missing Women Review Team to focus on Pickton. Or to put it another way, 10 11 whether the RCMP or the members of the Missing Women Review Team can rely on the absence of that 12 13 information as an excuse for whatever failings 14 there may or may not have been in those other 15 people's investigations.

I wish to begin with submissions by counsel 16 for Detective Constable Shenher and other members 17 of the Missing Women Review Team. A considerable 18 19 number of paragraphs in their written submissions 20 are devoted to this topic, and it seems to be stated or implied that if this information had 21 22 been brought to their attention more forcefully it would have made a difference in their 23 investigation. But in my submission there is a 24 25 contradiction at the heart of that submission, and

I'll get to that in just a moment. But before I 1 2 get to that contradiction I want to reiterate the 3 point I've made earlier which is that the evidence 4 is guite clear that Detective Constable Shenher 5 and other members of the Missing Women Review Team were simply dismissive of everything that Fell and 6 7 Wolthers did personally and professionally. It's very difficult to believe that anyone on the 8 Missing Women Review Team would have done anything 9 differently based on anything that they had been 10 11 told by Fell and Wolthers at the time.

12 This brings me to the contradiction I 13 mentioned a moment ago. The premise that 14 underlies any suggestion that failure to provide 15 this information, if there was a failure, would have been important to the Missing Women Review 16 17 Team is the premise that it was necessary in late 1999 or early 2000 to establish a link between 18 19 Pickton and the Downtown Eastside, 'cause that's 20 the only thing that this information would do would provide a historical and vague connection to 21 22 Pickton in the Downtown Eastside. In the Vancouver Police Union's written submissions there 23 is considerable discussion of information that was 24 25 available about Pickton. It's also obvious that

the other members of the Missing Women Review Team 1 2 would have known that the women were missing from 3 the Downtown Eastside. In other words, they knew 4 about Pickton, and they knew about the Downtown 5 Eastside as being the principal source of where the women were missing from. There's also 6 7 evidence of surveillance in May of 1999 of Pickton going to the Downtown Eastside and areas adjacent 8 to it. But, my lord, what there is not is 9 evidence of anyone from the Missing Women Review 10 11 Team taking steps other than that, than reviewing that evidence, to draw any link or establish 12 evidence to draw a link between Pickton and the 13 14 Downtown Eastside. If it was indeed so important 15 as is now suggested to establish a link between Pickton and the Downtown Eastside and if that link 16 17 had been established everything would have changed, the question I ask is why did no one on 18 19 the Missing Women Review Team do what Fell and 20 Wolthers did.

There's two possibilities. One possibility is that the members of the Missing Women Review Team were aware that they were going to do the photo canvasses and were relying on them to cover off that part of the investigation. If that

possibility is true the question is why did no one 1 2 on the Missing Women Review Team ever ask them 3 what was the result of your photo canvass. The 4 other possibility is that no one on the Missing 5 Women Review Team was aware that Fell and Wolthers 6 were doing a photo canvass. That's a possibility, 7 and it remains that no one on the Missing Women Review Team turned their mind ever to a similar 8 9 canvass to find out whether other people in the Downtown Eastside had sighted him. There's not a 10 11 similar photo canvass done by someone else.

12 So if this was indeed information that would 13 have been a game changer the question is why did 14 no one else seek out that information on their 15 own. One possibility, a possibility that I say is the correct possibility, is that at that stage of 16 17 the proceedings -- sorry, that stage of the investigations it was already generally known that 18 19 there was a link between Pickton and the missing 20 women, and the missing women were disappearing 21 from the Downtown Eastside, that was known, and 22 information of it, historical information, general 23 information of the type that Fell and Wolthers were obtaining was not in answer to an open 24 25 question, but was rather information that was

generally accepted and known by both the RCMP and the Missing Women Review Team.

1

2

3 If, however, there was in fact a need to 4 obtain links between Pickton and the Downtown 5 Eastside by historical observations then I come 6 back to the point I made earlier why did no one 7 else in the Missing Women Review Team do that themselves. A similar response can be made to the 8 suggestion by the Department of Justice on behalf 9 of the RCMP made in paragraph 295 and 296 of their 10 11 written submission where it is suggested that if 12 the information that Fell and Wolthers had been 13 passed on to the RCMP they would have done all sorts of things. They would have had Special "O" 14 15 doing surveillance, they would have been more diligent in unnamed ways, and they would have 16 17 generally focused on Pickton more energetically than they did. But again I ask the same question. 18 19 The RCMP were aware of Pickton as being a suspect, 20 the RCMP were aware that the Downtown Eastside was 21 where the women were going missing from. If it is 22 true that the RCMP would have needed or acted upon 23 information linking Pickton to the Downtown 24 Eastside why did they not carry out further 25 efforts after August of 1999? If they were

completely ignorant of the fact that Fell and 1 2 Wolthers had carried out the photo canvass why 3 didn't the RCMP carry out a photo canvass of their 4 own? If by contrast they were aware that a photo 5 canvass had been done but didn't know the results why didn't they ask? In my submission the 6 7 conclusion that one draws from all of those questions, and the answers to them, is that there 8 9 was not a pressing need at that time for a link between Pickton and the Downtown Eastside because 10 11 the linkage was already there in the minds of anyone who was asking the right questions. 12 They 13 knew about Pickton, they knew the women were going from the Downtown Eastside, and general historical 14 15 information of the kind that Fell and Wolthers 16 received in April would not have changed anything 17 materially.

So in my submission then in conclusion on 18 19 this point the evidence in my submission -- rather 20 the probabilities support the conclusion that Fell and Wolthers very likely did advise the Missing 21 22 Women Review Team orally, there's no question that 23 their notes included the observations, and that they provided the notes, and had anybody bothered 24 25 to read the notes those observations were there to

be seen, and even if the information was not passed on it would have had -- the absence of passing on that information had no material effect on the investigation.

5 In conclusion, Mr. Commissioner, I wish to 6 address suggestions that have been made by some that Fell and Wolthers were used as scapegoats by 7 other participants to cover their deficiencies in 8 9 their own conduct, and I can do no better than repeat Detective Constable Fell's answer to that 10 11 question when it was put to him when he was testifying on the witness stand that the issue is 12 13 not really whether they were scapegoats or treated 14 unfortunately, the question is how to resolve and 15 prevent a further -- sorry, how to prevent in the future further tragedies, and how to learn from 16 17 the mistakes that many participants in these proceedings made so that a tragedy like this will 18 19 not happen again. Thank you.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MS. CHRISTIE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. It's Vanessa
Christie on behalf of retired Vancouver Police
Department Chief Constable Blythe and retired
Deputy Chief Constable John Unger. What I've just
handed to you, sir, is just some of the -- from

our written -- they won't be referred to today in my oral submissions, but those are some of the more obscure or difficult to find articles that we referred to in our written submissions, so I thought that it would just assist you in the work that you do as you go through those.

7 First, Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to say what an honour, frankly, it has been for me to be here 8 9 and to be part of this, and I've felt most welcome, frankly, in your province and in your 10 11 city by the family members and friends of the women that I met in the hallway outside, to 12 witnesses that I met, by other participant 13 14 counsel, by commission counsel, by Mr. Giles, and 15 by you of course, Mr. Commissioner, and I feel most thankful for the experience you've given me 16 17 and I thank you all for that.

In my respectful submission this inquiry, 18 19 Mr. Commissioner, has been plagued by what has 20 been called the I knew it all along syndrome or phenomenon. The concept is often referred to as 21 22 hindsight bias. A person by the name of Bruce A. 23 MacFarlane, a Canadian lawyer, Crown prosecutor, 24 legal scholar and former federal and provincial 25 Department of Justice official wrote a paper

entitled Wrongful Convictions: The Effect of 1 2 Tunnel Vision and Predisposing Circumstances in 3 the Criminal Justice System. It's a paper he 4 wrote in 2008. And it was prepared, 5 Mr. Commissioner, for the inquiry into pediatric forensic pathology in Ontario conducted by the 6 7 Honourable Stephen T. Gouge, I'm sure you're aware 8 of, and the paper makes some interesting comments 9 about hindsight bias, and this is a quote from that: 10 Studies are clear that tunnel vision is 11 12 reinforced by other cognitive distortions, including hindsight bias, or more 13 colloquially the I knew it all along 14 15 syndrome. In hindsight people tend to believe that an outcome was inevitable, or at 16 17 least was much more predictable than people 18 originally thought. This often involves 19 people projecting new knowledge into their 20 understanding of past events without any 21 recognition that their perception of events 22 in the past has been coloured by the new information. Hindsight bias may reinforce 23 the premature focus on a suspect in a few 24 25 ways. First, once someone becomes a viable

suspect and the focus of police attention, in 1 2 other words once police or prosecutors arrive 3 at a conclusion on culpability, hindsight 4 bias may lead investigators on reflection to 5 conclude that the person was the inevitable 6 suspect from the beginning. This tends to 7 lead investigators to overestimate the degree 8 to which the suspect appeared guilty from the beginning and to give greater attention to 9 those facts and pieces of evidence that point 10 11 to guilt.

In my respectful submission this commission 12 must scrupulously avoid hindsight bias in its 13 ultimate conclusions. We all now know that Robert 14 15 Pickton is responsible for so many tragic disappearances and deaths, and however to suggest 16 that we all should have known this from the very 17 beginning is unfair, just plain wrong, and is 18 19 clouded with impermissible hindsight bias. And 20 it's been suggested, Mr. Commissioner, that time 21 and time again, frankly, at this inquiry, that 22 someone should have honed on to Robert Pickton to the exclusion of all other suspects much earlier. 23 This can only be said, if it can be said at all, 24 25 with the benefit of hindsight. When one honestly

and soberly looks at the facts, and by facts, 1 2 Mr. Commissioner, I mean actual facts, not where 3 witnesses have speculated, provided unsubstantiated opinions, not the baseless 4 5 suggestions of some counsel, when one looks at the facts before this commission it is obvious that no 6 7 one even knew whether any criminal act had occurred in Vancouver. There were missing women 8 9 from Vancouver as well as from our surrounding 10 areas, and as the investigation developed the 11 police were looking at a number of persons of 12 interest and viable suspects, and I think we'd all 13 agree dangerous people. When considering all the 14 facts it would have been unwarranted, in my 15 respectful submission, and irresponsible for the police to focus on Robert Pickton any more or any 16 17 earlier than they did.

And I say this, Mr. Commissioner, because 18 19 Canada's history has many, many, many examples, 20 woeful examples I would say, of wrongful conviction cases. And the names are familiar to 21 22 all of us; Donald Marshall, Jr., David Milgaard, 23 Guy Paul Morin, William Mullins Johnson, Thomas Sophonow, Steven Turscott, and I don't mean to 24 25 suggest that Robert Pickton is one of those,

because he certainly is not, but the principles come from those cases. And there's many, many others, of course, that I haven't mentioned.

1

2

3

4 Good policing, Mr. Commissioner, requires responsible action thereby avoiding the misfortune 5 of tunnel vision. We as a community expect this 6 7 kind of policing. And we as a community deserve 8 this kind of policing. Police officers in this 9 country are taught the dangers of tunnel vision, especially in serious criminal investigations, as 10 11 they should be. As a member of the community we should all be proud that our country has 12 discouraged this type of thinking. And we all 13 have witnessed the many examples of the dangers of 14 15 tunnel vision. And in a paper entitled The Path to Justice: Preventing Wrongful Convictions, it's 16 a report of the Federal, Provincial and 17 Territorial Heads of Prosecution Subcommittee in 18 19 the prevention of wrongful convictions, a paper 20 prepared in the fall of 2011, it begins by saying 21 this:

No criminal justice system is, or can be,
perfect. Nevertheless, the manner in which a
society concerns itself with persons who may
have been wrongly convicted and imprisoned

must be one of the yardsticks by which 1 civilization is measured. 2 3 In that report there's an entire chapter, 4 Mr. Commissioner, dedicated to tunnel vision, and 5 in part it states this: 6 Tunnel vision distorts the perception of 7 evidence. It is one of the contributors to 8 wrongful convictions and is seldom caused by malice. It is insidious and may infect 9 police, prosecutors and judges. Tunnel 10 11 vision has been described as a single minded 12 and overly narrow focus on a particular 13 investigative or prosecutorial theory so as to unreasonably colour the evaluation of 14 15 information received and one's conduct in response to the information. When evidence 16 17 is incorrectly filtered a biased approach 18 develops. Quite often this is reinforced as 19 police and prosecutors assigned to a case 20 interact without critically assessing the 21 evidence or testing the investigative theory. 22 The results can be devastating. 23 In the Sophonow inquiry, as I'm sure again you're well familiar with, Mr. Commissioner, 24 25 Justice Peter Cory made the following comments

about tunnel vision. He said: 1 2 Tunnel vision is insidious. It can affect an 3 officer, or indeed anyone involved in the 4 administration of justice with sometimes 5 tragic results. It results in the officer 6 becoming so focused upon an individual or 7 incident that no other person or incident 8 registers in the officer's thoughts, thus tunnel vision can result in the elimination 9 of other suspects who should be investigated. 10 11 Equally events which could lead to other suspects are eliminated from the officer's 12 thinking. Anyone, police officer, counsel or 13 judge, can become infected by this virus. 14 15 And Justice Peter Cory recommended the attendance annually at a lecture or a course on the subject 16 be mandatory for all officers. The lecture or 17 course should be updated annually, and an officer 18 19 should be required to attend before or during the 20 first year that the officer works as a detective, 21 and that those courses are lectures that 22 illustrate with examples and discuss the problem should be compulsory for police officers, and they 23 would undoubtedly be helpful for counsel and 24 25 judges as well.

So, Mr. Commissioner, despite the lessons 1 2 learned throughout history about the dangers of 3 tunnel vision some at this inquiry, in fact many I 4 would suggest, have encouraged it as a model of 5 best police practices and have criticized those 6 who did not implement it in this investigation. 7 It is respectfully submitted that this commission 8 in its final analysis should not encourage a practice that we all know to be so wrong and so 9 dangerous. This would only cause regression when 10 11 what we need is to be moving forward towards 12 future improvement.

Further at this commission it's been 13 14 suggested that those who did not employ tunnel 15 vision on Robert Pickton from the very beginning must be sexist, must be racist or classist, and 16 that after the arrest of Robert Pickton there was 17 some frenzy of activity leading to a police 18 19 coverup of all of these notions. A lot of time 20 and effort was spent at this commission because these notions had been raised without merit or 21 22 foundation. Valuable time was wasted and could 23 have been better utilized in my respectful submission on other relevant witnesses. Chief 24 25 Constable Carolyn Daley, for example, who was

Support Services Division administration and who 1 2 was part of the new leadership put together by 3 Chief Terry Blythe. Inspector Gord Spencer who 4 was Major Crime Section Homicide who was heavily 5 involved in bringing this matter to a joint forces operation, and who was also chosen as part of that 6 7 new team leadership of Chief Blythe and Deputy Chief Unger, not to mention other new 8 9 investigators, or sorry, other supervisory rank such as Bob Cooper, Barb Morris and other 10 11 investigators selected by the new leadership. 12 Instead, Mr. Commissioner, you heard from 13 witnesses such as Rae-Lynne Dicks who used this as 14 a platform, in my respectful submission, to 15 vocalize opinions that when it came down to it she could not back up. And because the false notions 16 17 of racism, sexism and classism were raised the commission was forced to spend time hearing from, 18 19 in my respectful submission, such unreliable 20 people when it could have been spending more time, frankly, hearing from families. And I don't raise 21 22 that as a criticism of the commission. Not at all. It's a criticism of these who attempted to 23 distract this commission from its real work. 24 25 Just think about it, Mr. Commissioner, does

it make any sense to suggest that the Vancouver 1 2 Police Department did not capture Pickton earlier 3 because the department did not care about 4 aboriginal women? Does it make any sense to 5 suggest that the Vancouver Police Department did 6 not capture Pickton earlier because the department 7 did not care about sex trade workers? Does it 8 make any sense to suggest that the Vancouver 9 Police Department did not capture Pickton earlier because the department did not care about people 10 11 with drug addition, people with low or no income, people from certain communities? Absolutely not. 12 Can there be anything more ludicrous than to 13 suggest that catching a real serial killer in our 14 15 midst is somehow not important to any large or small city police force? Ideas such as this were 16 17 irresponsibly put forward at this commission in order to distract everyone from the real problems 18 19 and in an attempt to choose an easy scapegoat, law 20 enforcement, the very people, frankly the only people, that are dedicated to keeping us as a 21 22 community safe. Even when sex trade workers as a community because of our laws and because of our 23 society cannot keep themselves safe. Many 24 25 witnesses have highlighted the positive steps

1taken in the Downtown Eastside, efforts that were2intended to bring the community together and to3work on healthy solutions. Positive and permanent4change does not happen overnight, but it does not5stop and should not stop people from trying to6make those changes.

7 Unfortunately we seem to have become what I've termed the CSI generation or Criminal Minds 8 9 generation where serious crime gets resolved very neatly in an hour, including commercials. It's 10 not reality. It's a portrayal of police agencies 11 12 that would have us believe that as soon as a crime 13 occurs there are teams of police officers available to dedicate themselves to one 14 15 investigation. It would have us believe that there are unlimited scientific tools available to 16 17 utilize, and there are unlimited witnesses coming forward with reliable and credible information. 18 19 It's that perfect policing world. And that would 20 be great, but of course that's not reality. And unfortunately we know it's not reality, I think 21 22 all of us know that, but it tends to colour our 23 perception of what we expect of a police 24 organization.

25

So if the crime does not get discovered and

resolved quickly within an hour, and I say that as 1 2 an exaggeration obviously, but it must be as a 3 result of racism, sexism, classism, disengagement. 4 It must be as a result of -- this word 5 disengagement, it's a word that's taken on a life 6 and meaning of its own before this commission. We 7 want a reason. We want to know why the crime went 8 undetected. As a community we want to know that. 9 And we want to know why the person was not 10 stopped.

11 And rhetorically I ask this. What amount of 12 time would we all agree upon as being the right amount of time to allow the police to stop someone 13 like Robert Pickton? Why did it take so long for 14 15 American policing authorities to capture serial killers like Ted Bundy and John Wayne Gacy, Gary 16 Ridgway, all these names that are familiar to us? 17 Why does history keep repeating itself? 18

19Well, the definition of serial killer, and we20went through this in our written submissions,21you'll see in Part 2 of our written submissions,22the definition of serial killer requires that23there be at least two killings, or perhaps three24depending on which definition you accept, and in25this case there were none that anyone could point

1to. Whatever definition of serial crime you2adopt, serial crime means more than one crime.3That means that the person was not stopped, not4arrested, not prosecuted, not convicted after the5first crime. Therefore any serial crime means6that the person got away with it at least once7before.

So does that mean that in every case of 8 9 serial crime there was an incompetent police force or an uncaring police force or a racist, sexist or 10 11 classist police force? I would say no. I don't think any one of us would say that. The fact of 12 13 the matter is, and a fact, Mr. Commissioner, that 14 I say no one seems to want to hear or accept, the 15 fact is serial crimes are difficult to solve. And to do it responsibly, and I highlight the word 16 17 responsibly, it just takes time. No one wants to hear that. We all want crime solved now. And 18 19 when it comes to us personally being the victims 20 of crime or our families and friends being the 21 victims of crime we cannot and we will not accept 22 that it takes time to solve crime. And from the 23 point of view of someone personally affected by crime that's understandable. 24

25

However, in order to improve upon the

situation, and everything can always be improved 1 2 upon, we need to step back. We need to look at 3 the bigger picture, and we cannot develop policy 4 and future practises from the point of view of 5 someone personally affected by crime. Even though it touches on all of us, someone too close to the 6 7 situation becomes blinded by their own emotion and their own loss. And this commission cannot fix 8 that loss, and it cannot take away the pain that 9 people are feeling. The commission will have 10 11 failed, in my respectful submission, if it tells the public that this will never happen again just 12 because that may be what people want to hear. The 13 commission's role is to stand back, look at all of 14 the interests involved and make realistic 15 recommendations that move us toward a safer 16 17 community in the future. And ironically, Mr. Commissioner, I would say we're all here for 18 19 the same reason. We all want a safer community. 20 At the same time we want police officers who 21 respect our rights as citizens. We want police 22 officers who are dedicated to ensuring that they 23 have the right person responsible for the crime. We want police officers who acknowledge a person's 24 25 status as an aboriginal person. We want police

officers who consider and understand a person's 1 2 drug addiction. We want a lot, we expect a lot, 3 and we deserve a lot because of the power that's 4 given to police officers. However, in demanding 5 so much we must appreciate that it's a constant 6 balancing of priorities and interests from moment 7 to moment in the policing world. There are constant decisions to be made. And as you 8 yourself, Mr. Commissioner, stated in 1994 in your 9 final report at the Commission of Inquiry into 10 11 Policing in British Columbia:

12 Canadians have high expectations of the 13 police. They expect the police to respond 14 quickly and effectively to criminal activity. 15 They expect the police to console victims, 16 apprehend offenders and prevent crime.

17 It's a tough job, Mr. Commissioner, and one we don't seem to appreciate as a community. If the 18 19 police are too present they're over policing, 20 harassing, displacing the sex trade workers as we've heard here. If the police are not present 21 22 enough they are disengaged, disinterested, not 23 protecting the sex trade workers as we have heard 24 here.

25

In an FBI law enforcement bulletin in January

1of 2005 a person by the name of Chuck Knight wrote2an article entitled The Characteristics of an3Effective Law Enforcement Officer, and he stated4this:

5 Policing today is very complex. As officers you are expected to be all things to all 6 7 people, and to make split second decisions 8 that will take the courts, attorneys and media weeks or months to determine if you've 9 made the right decision, which must be right 10 11 in their eyes or we get battered in the press and humiliated in the courtroom. Police 12 officers must be effective at what they do. 13 With that in mind I would like to share some 14 15 characteristics with you that I believe are critical to be successful in law enforcement. 16 17 Still part of the quote: Perhaps the most valuable commodity a police 18 19 officer can possess today is integrity.

Integrity must be maintained at all cost. Police officers are in a position of public trust, a trust that if broken will create an atmosphere of hostility between the police and the public. Our success depends upon the trust and competence of the citizens in our

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 respective communities. We must remain 2 professional in all that we do and forever be 3 committed to the highest level of standards 4 within the law enforcement profession. As 5 police officers our first sworn duty and 6 allegiance are to the community and the 7 police or sheriffs department's mission. We 8 must maintain a high degree of loyalty to successfully serve our communities. As 9 10 police officers you will be called upon to meet many challenges, adversities and every 11 level of danger. This requires courage. 12 13 You'll be called upon to display this courage with recognition of the high standards for 14 15 which you will be held accountable. You must support your fellow officers at all times for 16 17 the common safety of everyone concerned. 18 And the last part of the quote: 19 While there is a time to be meek, there also 20 is a time to stand and protect yourselves, 21 your fellow officers and your loved ones. 22 You will be called upon to resolve conflicts of many types. This must be done through 23 24 impartial enforcement of the law, not through

25

36

imposition of judgment or punishment.

Victims, witnesses and all others deserve to 1 2 be treated with dignity. Mr. Commissioner, while of course there will 3 4 always be criticism of law enforcement because of 5 the job that they do, we as a society should be 6 less judgmental and more appreciative of that job 7 that they do. 8 If you look back at your own words, Mr. 9 Commissioner, practice directive number 2 you will know that this commission of inquiry began on the 10 11 right platform. In practice directive 2 this 12 commission stated in part as follows, and this is vour words, Mr. Commissioner: 13 14 I am particularly mindful of the guidance 15 offered by the late and distinguished Mr. Justice Archie Campbell following review 16 17 of the Bernardo case. And then you quote from Mr. Justice Archie 18 19 Campbell: 20 It is often the case that systemic failures, as opposed to individual mistakes are the 21 22 real cause of public disasters and the most appropriate focus of public inquiries. 23 The public identification of individual mistakes 24 25 or wrongdoing, while important, does not

1 necessarily address the underlying problem. 2 And unless the underlying problem is 3 addressed, the same mistakes or wrongdoing 4 will likely occur again if the system that 5 permitted them is not fixed. 6 It is a mistake for a Royal Commissioner or 7 public inquiry to focus exclusively on the 8 search for scapegoats when the failure is really an institutional failure in the sense 9 of a lack of appropriate systems, a lack of 10 reasonable resources, a flawed institutional 11 12 culture, or a breakdown in the machinery of 13 accountability. But these problems do not go away simply 14 15 because individuals have been implicated. These problems only go away when people 16 17 change their systems, their attitude and the 18 way that they do business. 19 Mr. Commissioner, you went on to say that: 20 Where systemic failures have been identified 21 in these reports the simple fact is that even 22 if every individual officer did their job, the overall job did not get done. Justice 23 24 Campbell puts the point in this way: 25 The Bernardo case shows that the motivation,

investigative skill, and dedication are not 1 2 enough. The work of the most dedicated, 3 skillful, and highly motivated investigators, 4 supervisors and forensic scientists can be 5 defeated by the lack of effective case 6 management systems and the lack of systems to 7 ensure communication and co-operation among 8 law enforcement systems. 9 And in writing its final report, Mr. Commissioner, you should be guided by those words in your 10 11 practice directive, and I know you will be And as seen in part 2 of our written 12 submissions on serial killer investigations 13 14 similar problems occur regularly in these 15 investigations. The obvious fact is that these investigations are extremely overwhelming and 16 17 challenging. And looking back again at your practice directive, Mr. Commissioner, you stated 18 19 this: 20 I consider my paramount duty to do everything that I can do to contribute to that end 21 22 within my power as a commissioner. If the 23 reviews and reports that have followed each

25

24

39

of these serial crimes with such similar

characteristics, and the recommendations that

1 have followed have failed to effect change, I
2 have concluded that I must ask myself what it
3 is that this commission can do differently to
4 make a difference.

5 And I would say, Mr. Commissioner, that despite 6 making the statements in that practice directive, 7 in our respectful view this commission of inquiry has been led in the wrong direction assuming that 8 mistakes were made, that those mistakes can be 9 fixed and that this will never happen again. The 10 11 direction has been encouraged I would say by those who would seem to have entirely missed the point 12 13 as to the purpose of the commission, and if the 14 commission gives into this pressure we believe 15 that the commission will have failed, but we feel that the commission will not do that. 16

17 Unfortunately, Mr. Commissioner, I would say that reports such as those prepared by Doug LePard 18 19 and Jennifer Evans have led this commission down a 20 path of finger pointing, blaming and scapegoating. 21 Notions such as disengagement of senior management 22 took on a life of their own in these reports which 23 were strikingly and suspiciously similar. It is 24 of note to mention that several witnesses, by our 25 count five, Mr. Commissioner, testified that Doug

LePard himself had erroneously recorded what they 1 2 had stated during their interviews with him. The 3 LePard and Evans report seemed to have tragically 4 become a guide to fact finding at the commission, 5 and it is respectfully submitted by us, 6 Mr. Commissioner, that in its final analysis this 7 commission must not, and I'm sure will not be blindly guided by the facts and suggestions made 8 in those reports. The commission must rely only 9 on the facts as testified to by the witnesses 10 11 themselves and the documents seen during the hearing. 12

13 And it is respectfully submitted further, 14 Mr. Commissioner, that final report should go in a 15 much different direction, a direction that accepts that these tragedies will inevitably happen again, 16 17 a direction that considers the true challenges which are inherent in these types of 18 19 investigations, and a direction that considers how 20 we, how we, all of us as a community can take 21 responsibility as opposed to blaming law 22 enforcement. Blaming assists no one. And in a 23 perfect world serial killers would not exist, 24 however, this commission needs to accept they do 25 exist, they're likely active at this very moment

in this community as we've heard from witnesses, 1 2 and will exist in the future. And the community 3 needs to work with law enforcement as much as law 4 enforcement needs to work with the community. It 5 does no good to polarize the two groups by blaming one side or the other. And it's of no assistance 6 7 to anyone to try to find racism, sexism or classism where none exists. The fact is that the 8 9 arrest of Robert Pickton was not delayed because officers did not care about these women. It's a 10 11 fallacy created by those who wanted to perpetuate 12 that unhealthy and wrong headed notion. The 13 arrest of Robert Pickton was delayed by the actions of Robert Pickton, and by the inherent 14 15 difficulties in an investigation of this nature. Place the blame where the blame belongs. 16 The 17 community needs to come together against people like this, not be divided by him. 18

And I would say, Mr. Commissioner, the real work here is not to micromanage this investigation and point out why some memo should have been sent earlier or why some person should have taken notes at a meeting. That investigation is done. This commission is looking toward the future, and it's respectfully submitted that the conclusions

reached by this commission must remain focused on 1 2 its goals and not be misled by those who seek to 3 mislead it. The goal of the commission is 4 obvious, or should be obvious, to use this 5 experience as an opportunity to facilitate the 6 improvement of policing investigations and 7 community safety in extreme and rare instances of serial violence. Placing blame and attempting to 8 9 create scapegoats is not only unproductive, but it's unfounded. If anyone is to be blamed for 10 11 these tragic events it should be the one who 12 caused the murders, not those who worked 13 tirelessly to help.

14 I would say to you, Mr. Commissioner, that 15 many in the Vancouver Police Department proved to be highly responsible in their roles and excelled 16 17 in their effectiveness and duty to community safety where other police departments in the 18 19 course of other serial killer investigations as 20 looked at in our written submissions certainly 21 fell short. And I encourage you, 22 Mr. Commissioner, to study or closely look at our 23 written submissions.

24There's a genuine body of literature that25must be considered by you. When you read that

carefully you'll see that the management of this 1 2 investigation, because you have to look obviously 3 at these things in context, the management of this 4 investigation under the leadership of Chief Blythe 5 and Deputy Chief Constable Unger should be praised and their expertise used to help develop better 6 7 serial crime investigation practices. The fact of 8 the matter is that Chief Blythe became the acting chief at the end of June '99 and was sworn in as 9 chief in December of '99. He immediately began 10 11 putting a new leadership team together, which 12 included Deputy Chief Unger, and as soon as Deputy Chief Unger took over the Investigations Division 13 14 he had Inspector Spencer reviewing the status of 15 the missing women investigation and within a month Inspector Spencer had reported back to Deputy 16 17 Chief Unger and they were moving toward a joint forces operation. But it does not happen 18 19 overnight, and it did not happen overnight. 20 There's always challenges that get in the way, resources, problem with the SIUSS system, 21 22 challenges that were worked through and overcome.

23 Perhaps the same problematic patterns emerge 24 across serial killer investigations because the 25 wrong focus is taken when analyzing the problem.

It is unhelpful to focus on only the negative 1 2 aspects of the investigation without also focusing 3 on the positive. What went right? There are many 4 examples of good police work in the missing women 5 investigation, it's often ignored and pushed 6 aside, and we can learn from that as well. There 7 were many examples of dedicated and caring police 8 officers who wanted nothing more than to get to the bottom of this. The success here is not as a 9 result of any one person, it's a combination of 10 11 people and activities and decisions that 12 ultimately put Mr. Pickton in prison for the rest 13 of his despicable life.

14 The idea of disengagement of senior management has become a common notion at the 15 inquiry. And the fact is senior management has a 16 17 name and a face, and it changes as leadership changes. And I ask you, Mr. Commissioner, to 18 19 consider that in writing your report. It's 20 undeniable I would suggest that there was a complete change in attitude and direction under 21 Chief Blythe and his executive team including 22 Deputy Chief Unger. Their form of leadership, 23 Mr. Commissioner, should be looked at in the time 24 25 frame in which they were there, not considering

this general term of senior management. You have 1 2 to look at who that is. And they should be looked 3 at as looking toward the positive aspects of what 4 they did. Concept of team work, open lines of 5 communication, co-operation across police 6 organizations, building relationships with the 7 community, interest in community and social service agencies. Look at the department's 8 9 unprecedented hundred thousand dollar reward offer, Mr. Commissioner. The offer that this was 10 11 commended by the America's Most Wanted program of 12 ground breaking generosity, and was prompted even 13 in the face of the department's dire financial 14 situation. And it was based on a missing person 15 investigation, not a serial killer investigation.

And I would say, Mr. Commissioner, that one 16 17 of the largest problems throughout the terms of reference was the inadequate resources given to 18 19 the Vancouver Police Department. The idea that 20 officers would be required to take the bus to an investigation as told to you by Chief Blythe when 21 22 he was here is absurd, but it was occurring. And 23 it's an obvious problem that requires attention. And there were police board witnesses, that we had 24 25 former Mayor Philip Owen, Elizabeth Watson, Kinder

Mottus that were called, some of which could have 1 2 discussed the issue of resource but offered 3 nothing to the commission, frankly, in my 4 respectful view, even though the resource problem 5 was an obvious recurring theme throughout the investigation. And you have a great deal of 6 7 uncontradicted evidence regarding the lack of 8 resources.

9 When looking at recommendations this 10 commission should, and I'm sure will take guidance 11 from the words of Justice Archie Campbell in the 12 Bernardo inquiry, and he says this:

It is easy with hindsight knowing now that 13 Bernardo was the rapist and the killer to ask 14 15 why he was not identified earlier for what he was, but the same question and the same 16 17 problems have arisen in so many similar tragedies in other countries, because serial 18 19 predators pose a unique challenge to all law 20 enforcement agencies. What is needed is a system of case management for major and 21 22 interjurisdictional serial predator 23 investigations, a system that corrects the 24 defects demonstrated by this and so many 25 similar cases. A case management system is

needed that is based on co-operation rather 1 2 than rivalry among law enforcement agencies. 3 A case management system is needed that 4 depends on specialized training, early 5 recognition of linked offences, co-ordination 6 of interdisciplinary and forensic resources 7 and some simple mechanisms to ensure unified 8 management accountability and co-ordination when serial predators cross police borders. 9 So I would say, Mr. Commissioner, that 10 11 consistency and sharing of methods and technology 12 seems to be a recurring theme throughout our research. And this comes down to money quite 13 often. Public officials need to understand that 14 15 police need resources to do their work. And when Chief Blythe and Deputy Chief Unger went to city 16 manager Judy Rogers and were told to find it 17 within their own budget, this is totally 18 19 unacceptable and an unbelievable response, I would

> say. Fortunately here you had police officers that were willing to go above and beyond. Both Chief Blythe and Deputy Chief Unger told you that they went out and did it anyway and suffered the consequences later. It had to be done.

25

20

21

22

23

24

And I would say, Mr. Commissioner, coming to

the closing here, but it's important to keep in 1 2 mind the larger problems here, and it's some of 3 the things that people have referred to, but what 4 left these women in such a vulnerable position, 5 drug addiction, poverty, homelessness, 6 marginalization of aboriginal people. And the 7 attempt to suggest the disengagement of the police and to blame the police here for racism, sexism or 8 classism, it's an easy trap for all of us to fall 9 into. It offers an easy way for community members 10 11 to make themselves feel better and feel that they're being heard, or it makes -- gives 12 commission or gives counsel something to sav 13 14 before this commission to blame someone to explain 15 the reason why, but it misses the point completely and causes devastating effects based on false and 16 17 inaccurate ideas, because the fact of the matter is, Mr. Commissioner, racism, sexism, classism, 18 19 marginalization of aboriginal people, it all still 20 happens in this country, but to point it out here as being what occurred here is dangerous. That's 21 22 the very thing that keeps those notions going in 23 our country where it's pointed out as existing where it doesn't. 24

25

I would say, Mr. Commissioner, that based on

the testimony summarized in part 3 of our written 1 2 submissions from retired Chief Blythe and retired 3 Deputy Chief Unger it's clear to me, and hopefully 4 to you, that the accusations and blame as it 5 focused on them was unfounded, and given their 6 long-standing commitment and dedication to police 7 service, community safety and regular interaction with all represented groups within the community, 8 Chief Blythe and Deputy Chief Unger were committed 9 to policing for a combined 65 years. They have 10 11 always been committed to a review of this 12 investigation. They encouraged members to 13 preserve documentation to ensure a transparent 14 process. They attended to be interviewed whenever 15 they were asked to do so by those such as LePard and Evans. They have both been thoroughly engaged 16 in this commission of inquiry, including the fact 17 that they were very willing and voluntarily 18 19 attended before this commission to testify under 20 oath. I can assure you that they care very deeply about the people of this community. And, 21 22 Mr. Commissioner, you know that yourself from watching and listening to their testimony. They 23 care very deeply about the recommendations of this 24 25 commission in the hope that it will improve upon

the safety of this community and improve upon the future of policing. Everything can always be improved upon. History tells us that.

1

2

3

In closing, Mr. Commissioner, it's a sad fact 4 5 frankly, but a fact in my respectful submission, that as long as there is prey there will be 6 7 predators, and the crime will always exist, and serial killers will come again, but it's an 8 9 inevitable part of our social makeup, and as a society it is important for us to work together, 10 11 and dividing people doesn't help us, and it won't 12 help here. All we can do is learn from the past, 13 ensure that the next time it does occur we provide 14 the necessary tools to offer the police, the 15 justice system, the community a more proactive means to create a safer society. And that's all 16 17 that really matters. We're all responsible here. We all need to be responsible. 18

So I would say, Mr. Commissioner, thank you once again for the opportunity you've given me to be a part of this work, I know you have a large task at hand in writing the report, and on behalf of Mr. Greenspan and our clients, retired Chief Blythe and retired Deputy Chief Unger, and of course me, we wish you and your team all the best

1		in the work that you have ahead.
2	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you for appearing, and thank you for
3		your submissions.
4	MS.	CHRISTIE: Thank you.
5	THE	COMMISSIONER: Yes, Ms. Winteringham.
6	MS.	WINTERINGHAM: Mr. Commissioner, Janet Winteringham for Don
7		Adam, and with me today is Tam Boyer.
8		I have listened carefully to the submissions
9		of all of the participants over the last few days,
10		and in so doing I am particularly struck by the
11		complexity of your task. I start by saying this.
12		I acknowledge that there is nothing that I can say
13		on behalf of Inspector Adam that will ease the
14		immense burden of the families of the murdered
15		women. He testified over four days and said to
16		you that it was his great regret that they did not
17		catch Pickton sooner and he so wished that they
18		had. So I deliver this submission to you today in
19		an effort to identify for you lessons that were
20		learned by Project Evenhanded and identify for you
21		areas of policing that require this commission's
22		assistance. Counsel for Canada in a very careful
23		submission went through the details of Project
24		Evenhanded and I need not do that here. I am
25		going to focus solely on the role of Inspector

Submissions by Ms. Winteringham

Adam and decisions that he made, and I will also ask you to look at where to go from here from the lessons learned, what in my respectful submission this commission can do to assist investigators who today are investigating the murders of marginalized women.

7 I'm going to touch on three areas that in my 8 respectful submission are relevant to your 9 assessment of Inspector Adam and the decisions that he made throughout the course of the 10 11 investigation. And the first issue is this. 12 Before November 21st, 2000 Inspector Adam knew nothing about the missing women investigation or 13 the Coquitlam investigation. He knew nothing 14 15 about either. He came in to apply fresh eyes to an investigation that was stalled. He did not 16 have the benefit of any investigative knowledge, 17 and there was much, much to learn. That is what 18 19 he set out to do. The team started small, but 20 before they got on the farm on February 5th, 2002 that team grew to 22 investigators. And he 21 22 explained to you why the small team initially was important and then why that team needed to grow. 23 The submission made to you on Monday that Project 24 25 Evenhanded spent a lot of money, had a lot of

Submissions by Ms. Winteringham

meetings but did nothing is absolutely incorrect 1 2 and ignores the entirety of the evidence that's 3 before you about the steps that were taken by this 4 particular investigative team. Inspector Adam 5 came to this investigation as an experienced 6 homicide investigator, but this was an 7 investigation like no other. There was much to 8 learn, and they injected much energy into fully understanding the scope of the assignment. And 9 lessons, Mr. Commissioner, were learned. 10

11 That brings me to the second issue that I 12 would ask you to consider when you're assessing the decisions made by Inspector Adam, and that is 13 this. Inspector Adam was told when he took on the 14 15 assignment that there had been no new missing women since January of 1999. He did not simply 16 17 accept that statement as truth. He set out to determine the accuracy of the information that was 18 19 given to him, and he set out in detail for you 20 what those steps were, including the follow-up and the checks and all of the leg work that went into 21 22 determining whether or not women were continuing 23 to go missing from the Downtown Eastside. Only in hindsight do we know that the Missing Persons Unit 24 25 was unable to manage the volume of work that was

1

2

coming into it and was unable to effectively fulfill its mandate.

3 And much has been said about hindsight, and 4 Ms. Christie went through a careful description 5 for you about hindsight, so I'm not going to say much except to say this. The commission must put 6 7 himself in the position of the investigator and 8 assess the relevant events as they unfolded 9 through the eyes of the investigator with all of that imperfect information that was given to the 10 11 investigator at the time. And this fact about the 12 status of the missing women was one of those 13 imperfect pieces of information. Inspector Adam 14 told you in hindsight he wished he had learned 15 this earlier. And today with all of the experience that he's gained through the Evenhanded 16 17 investigation he would have learned it earlier, but that, in my respectful submission, is the 18 19 benefit of hindsight.

20 So I turn to the third issue that in my 21 submission is fundamental to your assessment of 22 Inspector Adam. He was never directed to go after 23 Pickton ever. And the evidence is uncontradicted 24 on that point. He was never told that Pickton was 25 the VPD's number one suspect. And the evidence is

uncontradicted on that point. All of the evidence 1 2 corroborates Inspector Adam's testimony that the 3 VPD missing women investigation was stalled and 4 was in desperate need of fresh eyes. He testified 5 repeatedly that he knew Pickton was a person of interest, and he agreed with Pickton's priority 6 7 one designation, however, there is no evidence to support a finding of fact that Pickton was the 8 9 obvious serial killer who stood alone. Only hindsight tells us that. There were a great many 10 11 individuals doing horrible things to the women of 12 the Downtown Eastside. That matters. There is immense risk in focusing solely on Pickton. 13 There 14 is at least one serial killer uncaught, that being 15 the valley killer, and there is probably one serial killer actively working the Highway of 16 Tears. We've heard from Ms. Christie in her 17 submission about tunnel vision, so I won't say 18 19 anything more about that.

20 That brings me, however, to this. There is 21 no evidence before you that the one year it took 22 Project Evenhanded and Inspector Adam to catch 23 Pickton was insufficient. And that, 24 Mr. Commissioner, is a cruel analysis. What is 25 expected of a police officer in these

circumstances in terms of timing? The only 1 2 evidence that we have before this commission about 3 timing is that of Gary Bass when he testified 4 about Ridgway, eighteen years. And Mr. Ridgway 5 was a person of interest and had been for many, 6 many years in the Green River investigation. And 7 his home had been searched years before he was ever apprehended for the murders. And so it is 8 9 here that I simply ask you to remember the uncontradicted evidence about the value of all of 10 11 the work of Inspector Adam and Evenhanded when it 12 came to the search of the farm, the use that was 13 made of the available DNA profiles of the missing 14 women, the interrogation of Mr. Pickton. It was 15 the months of work leading up to the firearms search that enabled Evenhanded to move in on 16 17 Pickton the way that they did and to ensure his ultimate conviction. 18

19DC Evans and DCC LePard misunderstood all20that was Project Evenhanded when they testified21that it was Constable Wells that caught Pickton.22Two pieces of identification and an inhaler were23not the basis for murder charges. What Constable24Wells did, however, was give Evenhanded its first25break. It got them on the farm. And Inspector

Submissions by Ms. Winteringham

Adam testified that you cannot take breaks out of 1 2 policing. The test is this. What did Evenhanded 3 do with it? Well, they were ready. They had DNA 4 drying cabinets, they had an anthropologist on 5 standby, they had the briefings of the Green River 6 and Spokane task force, they had their available 7 DNA profiles ready to go. Evenhanded was ready, and once Pickton was arrested two weeks after they 8 9 got on the farm he would never again walk the streets of the Downtown Eastside. That, 10 Mr. Commissioner, was the test. 11

12 So I move to this. Moving forward Project Evenhanded has evolved over more than a decade. 13 The task force has worked to advance the 14 15 investigations of missing and murdered women across the province. It was not just about the 16 17 investigation of Pickton. Many women are still missing. Dozens of other investigations within 18 19 Project Evenhanded are active. Their work 20 continues.

21 We learned this, in my respectful submission, 22 from the staggering loss of life caused by 23 Pickton, that investigators within Evenhanded 24 faced obstacles in their investigation, and those 25 obstacles slowed them down. And I'm going to just

touch on three, and I would ask you, 1 2 Mr. Commissioner, to consider these three 3 obstacles when you are drafting your 4 recommendations from this commission. 5 The first relates to electronic case 6 management, and this was something identified by 7 Mr. Justice Archie Campbell in his report. It's still slowing investigators down today. They have 8 9 not found a perfect system and they need one. The second area that I would ask you to 10 11 address relates to a national DNA missing persons databank. Project Evenhanded invested much time 12 13 and money in trying to fix the state of the DNA databanks during the course of their 14 15 investigation. They overcame this obstacle because they worked out a fix for them to be able 16 17 to have the missing persons DNA databank, but that was from much, much work with the forensic lab. 18 19 And so I would ask you, Mr. Commissioner, to look 20 at this carefully when you're making your recommendations. 21

And the final recommendation that I would ask you to look at relates to the difficulties inherent in missing persons investigations. And you have heard much about that, and nothing is

Submissions by Ms. Winteringham

more evident than what we saw with respect to the 1 2 struggles of the Vancouver Police Department and 3 their Missing Persons Unit and what they've had to 4 do to try to assist the people working within that unit and make it feasible. One of the things I 5 6 would ask you to look at is missing persons 7 legislation that other provinces are now looking 8 at. And I understand that there's been 9 information provided to you through the study commission on that topic. 10

11 So I close with this. You asked Inspector 12 Adam at the conclusion of his four days of testimony with all of these top caliber officers 13 and Pickton identified as a person of interest why 14 15 did women still die. And I answer partly your question with this. His mandate was massive, like 16 17 it or not, and to fulfill it he developed an operational plan that was second to none, but it 18 19 was not instant, nor could it be. Inspector Adam 20 testified about his great regret for not catching Pickton sooner, but Project Evenhanded was 21 22 committed, they were urgent and they cared. Serial killers have preyed on marginalized women 23 for centuries. It appears that one is doing so in 24 25 Northern BC today. The police need all

Submissions by Ms. Winteringham Proceedings

investigative advantages to catch and convict 1 2 these killers as quickly as possible, and I 3 respectfully submit that the commission has an 4 opportunity here to make recommendations that will 5 help the police do better in these complicated and 6 complex cases. And I thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Winteringham. 8 MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Commissioner, we're making good progress. 9 Speakers are taking less time than allotted. We can take the morning break now and come back and 10 finish well on schedule. 11 12 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 13 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:44 A.M.) 14 15 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 11:04 A.M.) 16 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 17 MR. VERTLIEB: Just for the convenience of counsel Mr. DelBigio 18 who will be less than ten minutes wishes to go 19 next, and Mr. Skwarok is comfortable with that. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Skwarok will follow. And I should also tell 21 22 you that Mr. Nathanson who's here for Judge Romano 23 has indicated he will not need to make any presentation to you, he's comfortable with the 24 25 written argument.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 2 MR. VERTLIEB: So I just wanted to let everyone here know the 3 schedule and how it's developing. So, 4 Mr. DelBigio, please. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. DelBigio. 6 MR. DELBIGIO: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, my client is 7 retired Deputy Chief Brian McGuinness. THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 8 9 MR. DELBIGIO: I want to begin by saying I thank you for the opportunity to be able to make a presentation 10 11 today and also for being able to participate. I've listened carefully to the submissions, and 12 it's difficult at this stage to know how to 13 assist. Perhaps I will assist best by being brief 14 15 in what I have to say. 16 We are here in part because women were 17 murdered, sisters and daughters and mothers were lost, and this an unacceptable, and in some ways 18 19 just an unspeakable tragedy. It must never happen 20 again. That's obvious. That is common to everybody in this room. This gives rise to anger 21 22 and it has caused some to ask who's to blame. And the problem is as others have pointed out more 23 eloquently than I'm able is there's nothing that 24 25 this commission can do or will do to rid the world

Submissions by Mr. DelBigio

of the likes of Mr. Pickton, and it is regrettable 1 2 that the vile and the despicable will always be 3 amongst us. They will always prey. The second 4 problem though --5 THE COMMISSIONER: But we can improve conditions whereby they 6 can be apprehended so that other lives can be 7 saved. MR. DELBIGIO: And I'm going to turn to that. 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: I agree that it's unrealistic to assume that by having inquiries and by making recommendations 10 11 and by doing what we are doing that somehow we can rid ourselves of the evil that exists amongst us. 12 The purpose of this inquiry is to -- at least one 13 of the purposes is to provide advice to all of the 14 15 players and all of the people involved so we can take better steps in order to apprehend those 16 people who would cause violence particularly to 17 defenceless and vulnerable peoples. 18 MR. DELBIGIO: I agree. Nothing that I say should be 19 20 interpreted as detracting from the importance of the work of this inquiry. Nothing at all. 21 22 One problem though, I'll say second problem, about focusing simply upon investigations of 23 missing persons or murdered persons is that it 24 25 means that it's too late. It means that someone

has been lost. In some ways -- well, in every way 1 2 it is intolerable, simply intolerable that there 3 are some people who should be so vulnerable in 4 their lives. And how is it that we tolerate that 5 people who are drug addicted or who might suffer from mental illness or might suffer from profound 6 7 social disadvantage, how is it that we tolerate 8 that those people some of them must resort to 9 survival sex trade? It is simply from a societal perspective unacceptable that that is so. And how 10 11 is it that we have come to focus upon enforcement 12 rather than treatment or assistance? Hopefully 13 that will change, because what we do know is that 14 the mere enforcement of laws is not going to offer 15 complete protection.

Some are asking the commission to find facts 16 such as who did what and when did people do 17 certain things or who could have done more. As 18 19 difficult, of course, Mr. Commissioner, you know 20 better than me because of the years that you have spent within the profession that because of the 21 22 passage of time, because of imperfect memories, perhaps even because of bias, unintentional bias 23 on the part of people that it's difficult to do 24 25 certain kinds of fact finding. Pride and

reputations are at stake. More importantly though 1 focusing upon who did what many years ago is 2 3 second best, and as many have said, and my client 4 joins in the submissions, that it's imperative 5 that this commission look forward. It's imperative that this commission focus upon systems 6 7 and not individuals. And as this commission I 8 believe has recognized through some of the 9 comments that it's made that police forces will always be made up of individuals. There will be 10 11 some who will be excellent at what they do. Some will not. There will be good days and there will 12 be bad days. There will always be people who will 13 take leave because of illness. There will always 14 15 be people who will go on vacation. There will be disagreements between people. Some of them will 16 17 be petty. Some of them will be disagreements as to how important investigations should unfold. 18 19 There will probably always be resource problems. 20 That will always be.

At the same time I submit that this commission can safely conclude that the people who choose to become police officers are people who are dedicated to public service. You don't choose that job, an impossibly difficult job, and indeed

a job which if people had watched this commission 1 2 and if they were considering a career in the 3 police force they might be discouraged. But the 4 people who choose that job and who give their 5 lives to that job are dedicated to the public 6 service that they are able to offer through that 7 job. And it's simply inconceivable to think that 8 a police officer, any police officer, let alone a police officer who has given his or her entire 9 life to the job, that they would be indifferent to 10 11 murdered or missing people. It's hoped, one must 12 hope that the allegations that have been made 13 during the course of this inquiry will not discourage good people from joining the police 14 15 force. And equally, and perhaps of more importance, because the public trust in policing 16 17 is so important one must hope that the many allegations, and some accusations that have been 18 19 made during the course of this inquiry will not 20 cause there to be a fundamental lack of trust in policing and police services. That would be 21 22 unfortunate and that would be detrimental.

23The policing today is very different than24when my client Brian McGuinness started many years25ago. There are more women on the force, there are

Submissions by Mr. DelBigio

more people who have university education, there 1 2 are more visible minorities, there's more 3 technology, there's a better use of technology, 4 communications are probably better. My client has 5 retired for about a decade, and much has changed even in that decade, but he is one of the many who 6 7 dedicated his life to policing. In his job he 8 supported those who served under him. He supported Lori Shenher. He insisted that Kim 9 Rossmo be given support. He did his best. He 10 11 struggled in a system which suffered because of 12 lack of resources. This was a difficult investigation that you have heard about. 13 There were multiple suspects, you have heard about that. 14 15 There's no blame that can land on my client's shoulders. I agree entirely, and I urge this 16 17 commission to conclude that great caution must be exercised with respect to the use of hindsight. I 18 19 urge this commission not get distracted from the 20 various occasions in which emotions have run high. This commission, the nature of the inquiry has 21 22 really -- means that the emotions running high would not be unexpected, but against that it's 23 imperative to look forward. It's imperative to 24 25 use this opportunity for positive change. Thank

1		you, those are my submissions.
2	THE COMMISS	IONER: Thank you, Mr. DelBigio.
3	MR. SKWAROK	: Sir, Mark Skwarok appearing for Dr. Rossmo. I
4		hope that the commissioner has before him a copy
5		of my closing submissions entitled "Amended
6		Closing Submissions."
7	THE COMMISS	SIONER: Yes.
8	MR. SKWAROK	: By way of introduction, Dr. Rossmo, of course,
9		was one of the first persons to conclude that
10		there may have been a serial killer in downtown
11		Vancouver, and he was in fact the very first
12		person to commit that conclusion in writing.
13		Prior to that time the Vancouver Police Department
14		was engaged in primarily a type of investigation
15		locating individuals presumed to be alive. In my
16		respectful submission by no later than May the
17		25th, 1999 when Dr. Rossmo prepared his
18		statistical analysis the entire focus of the
19		Vancouver Police Department's investigation should
20		have changed. It should have changed from
21		knocking on doors and trying to find people to
22		looking at suspects and conducting surveillance.
23		This isn't to say, and I'm certainly not trying to
24		submit to this commission that Dr. Rossmo's case
25		assessment was the most important piece of

evidence that should have been considered in determining whether or not the focus of the investigation should have changed.

1

2

3

4 Various individuals, including primarily 5 Detective Constable Shenher and also Constable Dickson and others, had done significant work 6 7 which had begun to show that Mr. Pickton was a likely possible candidate for being investigated, 8 but with the coming of Dr. Rossmo's analysis in 9 May the 25th, that was the catalyst or should have 10 11 been the catalyst for the investigation to become 12 suspect based. Had that occurred in May of 1999 13 it's entirely possible that Mr. Pickton would have 14 been apprehended sooner. Perhaps not, we'll never 15 know. But the point of this exercise is not necessarily to look back with the 20/20 vision of 16 17 hindsight and see what happened. What needs to be done is look at what should have happened. Even 18 19 if those efforts would not have borne fruit it's 20 still important to know what should have happened.

21 Dr. Rossmo joined the VPD in 1980. He spent 22 more than eight years in the Downtown Eastside 23 where he developed an intimacy with the problems 24 associated with the survival sex trade. He 25 subsequently got his Ph.D. in criminology on

Submissions by Mr. Skwarok

something called geographical profiling. Amongst other things geographical profiling involves an information management plan that helps focus on resource allocation. And I stress that because that was his forte, and yet he was not used or at least used minimally throughout the ensuing investigation.

8 It's of note that his job description 9 explicitly stated that he was to prepare geographic profiles for investigation of serial 10 11 violence and predatory sexual crime, and to be a 12 resource in the investigation of predatory crimes. That was his job description. He also provided 13 14 that job description to Inspector Biddlecombe, the 15 MCS inspector, so that he was intimately aware of what Rossmo's skills were. And, indeed, Rossmo 16 17 was the only person with any significant experience investigating serial killers. 18

19Going to page 4 of the argument. The20commission is well aware of how Dr. Rossmo became21involved. He was originally approached in August22of 1998 to join in the missing women working23group, which he did, he produced the blueprint.24At the second meeting of this group in September25it was effectively disbanded at the impetus of

Inspector Biddlecombe never to be seen again and the strategic blueprint was held in abeyance.

1

2

3 Moving to page 5 I discuss the press release. 4 And I won't read from it. The press release was 5 not issued. It was aimed at telling the Downtown 6 Eastside residents of a potential threat. It was 7 also aimed at trying to elicit information from residents which they might have felt comfortable 8 9 in providing in the event they were aware of the problem. And it's just possible, it's just 10 11 possible that this release may have saved a life 12 by having the women who were engaged in survival sex trade activities be more careful in what they 13 were doing and who they went to. I respectfully 14 15 adopt the findings of DCC LePard that the decision not to publish the release was misquided, and also 16 17 of DCC Evans who said that there was a responsibility of the VPD to warn the community. 18

I go on in page 6 to talk about Dr. Rossmo's reputation in the VPD, and allude to the fact that certain individuals found that he was not someone who they had great faith in, they did not agree with his promotion from constable to detective inspector, and they had reservations if not an inability to understand the significance of

statistical analysis.

1

2 On September 22nd, 1998 Dr. Rossmo asked for certain statistical information that he needed to 3 4 come up with a plan to determine whether in fact 5 there was a serial killer on the loose. That 6 information was not forthcoming. It wasn't until 7 four months later in February of 1999 where Dr. Rossmo attending a community lecture by 8 9 Detective Inspector Shenher learned of many of the statistics that he'd been trying to get from major 10 11 crime for the previous few months. Armed with those statistics and based on further research he 12 13 undertook on CPIC he came to prepare his report of May the 25th, 1999. And in my submission that was 14 15 a watershed moment.

The report was clear on two points. First, 16 17 the increase in the number of missing people from 1995 to 1998, in particular sex trade workers, 18 19 could only be accounted by chance to one percent. 20 There was less than a one percent chance that the women being missing -- the women missing was the 21 22 result of something other than foul play. He 23 further determined that the most likely cause of 24 the disappearance was that there was a single 25 murderer. That information coupled with the fact

Submissions by Mr. Skwarok

that the women were not picking up welfare 1 cheques, amongst other things, should have been 2 3 enough to have the Vancouver Police Department 4 completely shift focus. These women, according to 5 the evidence of Detective Constable Shenher, often 6 engaged in tricks for under five dollars. How 7 could it be explained that these very same women would not be picking up their welfare cheques? 8 9 That should have been the point where the Vancouver Police Department's investigation 10 shifted focus. 11

12 Clearly the Vancouver Police Department was 13 of the mind that if they were convinced that there 14 was a serial killer at large they would have found 15 the resources. Resources were never a problem in this case. A number of detectives, Chief Blythe 16 17 and DCC McGuinness all said that the resources would have been found. The problem is that they 18 19 did not accept or unwilling to accept or did not 20 understand the statistical analysis that was 21 prepared by Rossmo.

22 On page 10 I avert to some of the reasons why 23 Dr. Rossmo's thesis was not believed. And again 24 it was, of course, the mantra that was uttered by 25 many officers at the time no body, no crime scene,

Submissions by Mr. Skwarok

no evidence. As Deputy Chief LePard eloquently 1 stated throughout his report that approach to the 2 3 analysis was completely unfounded, inaccurate and 4 counterproductive. As he himself points it out 5 people such as John Gacy and Jeffrey Dahmer hid victims in their homes. That's what serial 6 7 killers do that are successful, they hide the 8 bodies. The mere fact bodies weren't found 9 doesn't mean that there was a serial killer, but on the other side of the coin it would be equally 10 11 wrong to conclude that the absence of finding a 12 body means that a murder had not occurred.

In the next two pages of my argument, that being 11 through 13, I quote from Deputy Chief LePard's analysis of how Rossmo could have contributed to the investigation. It's self explanatory, and I won't read it, but I do urge the commissioner to consider these passages in depth.

In paragraph 33 I again make the point about how in May of 1999 the nature of the investigation ought to have changed, and that the resources should have been allocated to trying to find a suspect.

25

In the summer of 1999 Detective Constable

Submissions by Mr. Skwarok

Shenher met with the Spokane task force that was 1 2 investigating the prostitute murders and she was 3 told that she would need a hundred people to do a 4 better job than what she was doing. Now, such a 5 number may be unrealistic, but what is not 6 unrealistic is the number of people that were 7 devoted to the Project Evenhanded which at the end of 2001 had something like 35 people in it. And 8 9 the next couple of pages I discuss what should have been done with those resources including 10 11 surveillance.

12 With respect to recommended changes, it's 13 clear that if Dr. Rossmo had been listened to the 14 investigation direction would have changed and 15 it's entirely possible women would have been saved because Pickton could have been found sooner. 16 17 There were personality conflicts at large, that being one of the explanations why Dr. Rossmo was 18 19 not heeded. What would have been useful is if 20 there was a required process where an individual in the police force has views on serious matters 21 22 that are at odds with his superiors where they 23 could have a round table brainstorming type of session to try and see if there could be some 24 25 persuasion about the other lower ranking officer's

views. I appreciate the difficulties of such a thing in hierarchical organization, but I leave that type of a suggestion and how to implement it in the hands of this commission and/or efficiency expert.

6 I also submit that a new centralized missing 7 persons identification unit should be established. This would have a -- be a group that is 8 9 independent of every police force in British Columbia and have access to all the computer 10 11 information, individuals would be specifically 12 trained at identifying patterns of circumstances 13 relating to missing persons, and such persons would have the authority to demand the information 14 15 from any police agency that could help in identifying any patterns. This proposal is 16 17 outlined in detail in a memo that was provided by Dr. Rossmo in the course of the study group 18 19 sessions. If these recommendations are 20 implemented perhaps they will go some way in to ensuring that we do not have another recurrence of 21 22 the Pickton tragedy.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

24 MR. SKWAROK: Now, it's typically, sir, not my habit to express 25 my feelings about being a counsel in this process,

Submissions by Mr. Skwarok Submissions by Ms. Bateman

1		but given the circumstances I want to echo the
2		words of several of the lawyers here that it's
3		been a honour to be here, and that I, as do
4		counsel and many, many people, have the greatest
5		confidence in your work and am confident that what
6		you will produce will be a very useful document.
7	THE COMMISSI	ONER: Thank you, Mr. Skwarok. Yes, Ms. Bateman.
8		Did you file a written argument?
9	MS. BATEMAN:	No, I did not.
10	THE COMMISSI	ONER: Oh, all right.
11	MS. BATEMAN:	I am Karlene Bateman, counsel for Ms. Sandra
12		Cameron, who was the civilian clerk in the
13		Vancouver Police Department Missing Persons Unit
14		between 1979 and November 2001.
15		Many allegations have been made against
16		Ms. Cameron concerning her conduct while she was
17		the clerk in the Missing Persons Unit, and these
18		include allegations that she acted inappropriately
19		in her exchanges with individuals relating to the
20		missing women, refusing to take reports of missing
21		women for various reasons, and as well
22		representing herself as a police officer. Some of
23		the allegations that we've heard are from family
24		members, some from individuals associated with
25		family members, and some from police officers who

worked with Ms. Cameron. It's crucial to note
that Ms. Cameron only heard about many of these
allegations and specifics during the course of
this inquiry, a significant period of time after
they occurred, well over ten years in many cases,
and as well without any corresponding
documentation.

During Ms. Cameron's testimony you were also 8 9 provided with information about the history of the Missing Persons Unit during her tenure, 10 11 specifically with respect to the number of officers who came in and out of the unit during 12 13 her time and related issues in that regard, 14 requests that were made for additional officers, 15 as well as the evolution of Ms. Cameron's position and the changes and additions to her duties during 16 17 her tenure. You also heard from Ms. Cameron about the process and procedures that she followed with 18 19 respect to the taking and handling of missing 20 persons reports.

21 With respect to the allegations as I'd 22 previously mentioned, what's problematic with many 23 of these allegations is that the time that has 24 passed since these alleged incidents or initially 25 occurred, as well as the fact that many of these

1specific allegations were just heard by2Ms. Cameron for the first time during the course3of this inquiry. As you've heard as well4Ms. Cameron dealt with thousands of telephone5calls and missing persons reports each year, and I6believe in the LePard report in 1998 there was73,199 missing persons reports filed in 1998.

The commission heard about two specific 8 9 instances where Ms. Cameron had the ability to respond to complaints that were made. The first 10 11 was at Exhibit 147NR, tab 29, and this was January 12 22nd, 1997. This was a letter from Ms. Freda Ens 13 of the Vancouver Police & Native Liaison Society, 14 and this referred to some issues -- her letter 15 referred to some issues with respect to Ms. Cameron's conduct and also enclosed a letter 16 17 from Ms. Purcell, and as well referred to an exchange between -- an alleged exchange between 18 19 Ms. Cameron and a grandmother of a missing person 20 approximately a year and a half before.

21 Ms. Cameron was provided with a copy of that 22 correspondence and was able to review the files at 23 her -- that she had at hand as well as her notes 24 on the files, and on February 27th, 1997 she 25 provided a response to Sergeant Cooper and heard

1

nothing more with respect to that matter.

2 Another instance where Ms. Cameron was able 3 to respond to complaints of some of the family 4 members, albeit much more general complaints, was 5 in November of 2001. And we saw a memo dated October 24th, 2001, which was at Exhibit 147NR, 6 7 tab 38, and this was sent from Inspector Boyd to Sergeant Hetherington, and this was seen by 8 Ms. Cameron for the first time during this 9 process. From that memo it was very clear that 10 11 the families at a family meeting had vented their 12 frustrations -- and I'm quoting from the memo had vented their frustrations with the VPD and their 13 14 handling of the missing women, particularly from 15 the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver. And several concerns were raised therein. Inspector Boyd 16 17 asked Sergeant Hetherington to proceed immediately with an overall review of the policies, procedures 18 19 and practices to determine the validity of their 20 complaints, and he also asked that Sergeant Hetherington interview Ms. Cameron and advise her 21 22 of the comments that were made.

In that memo Inspector Boyd also stated that no one wished to formally complain at that time, but that the group would be canvassed for further

specifics regarding their comments and advised of 1 2 the official complaint process. In fact, Sergeant 3 Hetherington did not interview Ms. Cameron, he 4 merely advised her that there were some concerns 5 raised by the families. Ms. Cameron was not 6 provided with any specific complaints or details 7 or documentation, but despite this Ms. Cameron took it upon herself to write a memo which 8 9 addressed some of the concerns with respect to her frustrations in the Missing Persons Unit. And 10 11 perhaps this was because she was told by others that she should watch her back, because as a 12 13 civilian she was the easiest person to blame.

14 Ms. Cameron took the time and generated a 15 memo to Sergeant Hetherington on November 27th, 2001, which is tab 40 of Exhibit 147NR, and in 16 17 that memo she addressed various matters including the issues of communications with families, her 18 19 representing herself as a police officer, and the 20 history of the Missing Persons Unit with respect 21 to personnel and issues in that regard. At the 22 time Ms. Cameron wrote this memo she had already 23 successfully had posted into a position in the archives, and this was a position that she had 24 25 sought on her own accord, and one that she held

until she retired in 2005. Ms. Cameron received no response to her memo, and there was no further mention or any discussions with Ms. Cameron concerning her conduct while in the Missing Persons Unit or with respect to any complaints from the families.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 So other than these two matters, the letter from Ms. Ens and the comments and memo to Sergeant 8 9 Hetherington, at no time up to her retirement in April of 2005 were any complaints, specific 10 11 complaints or allegations regarding her conduct in 12 her dealings with the families or associates of 13 missing women brought to her attention nor any 14 time afterward.

15 Now, we respectfully submit that it was a prerogative of families not to formally complain 16 at that time, but submit that that's not only 17 unfair to the families to not have their specific 18 19 concerns addressed and investigated, but it was 20 also unfair to Ms. Cameron to not be provided with documented specifics at that time or at least 21 22 closer to the time that the alleged incidents 23 occurred so that she could have the opportunity to review any files, documentation and/or tapes in 24 25 order to respond at that time. As you heard in

her testimony the Missing Persons Unit had a 1 2 telephone line that was recorded, Ms. Cameron 3 recalls it was in 1995 or '96, and that it was 4 there at her insistence because of concerns with 5 respect to complaints being made, and as she 6 stated it was there for her protection. So if 7 this information had been brought to her attention at that time she would have been in a much better 8 9 position to reply. So if there was an issue with respect to Ms. Cameron at that time it could have 10 11 been investigated and dealt with by the police department at that time. 12

13 There are so many factors that put 14 Ms. Cameron at a great disadvantage at this time 15 and during this inquiry, the first being the fact that she'd heard allegations for the first time 16 17 during this proceeding, the time that's passed since the alleged incidents occurred, well over 18 19 ten years, the number of files and phone calls 20 that she dealt with in her time at the Missing Persons Unit would make it difficult for her to 21 22 have any specific recollection, the fact that 23 there was no documentation accompanying the 24 complaints or the allegations, or none was 25 provided to Ms. Cameron, as well as the fact that

she didn't have the ability to review any 1 2 information or documentation, being files or phone 3 recordings or notes, that she could have while she 4 was employed by the VPD. Ms. Cameron was denied 5 the opportunity to respond at the actual time that 6 these allegations occurred, and to bring them up 7 to her at this juncture, with respect, we submit is simply unfair. 8

9 With respect to specific allegations heard here for the first time Ms. Cameron did state that 10 11 she never -- she didn't refuse to take a missing persons report because the reportee wasn't family. 12 This was with respect to Ms. Ens' allegations. 13 То 14 this end Ms. Cameron advised that there was no 15 limit as far as she knew of who could file a missing persons report, and she referred to 16 17 throughout her testimony a list of people; nurses, landlords, social workers, teachers. She never 18 19 told Ms. Ens that Ms. Lidguerre would show up 20 behind a pint of beer at the Sunrise. Ms. Cameron never threatened to call Social Services to have 21 22 Ms. Holyk's baby apprehended, and she never told 23 Ms. Purcell that she mustn't care about Tanya because she hadn't been calling regularly. With 24 25 respect to Marion Bryce, Ms. Cameron stated that

1

2

she would never say she'll show up eventually, she's out partying and has a drug habit.

3 With respect to an allegation made by Morris 4 Bates Ms. Cameron denies categorically that she 5 never went to the Native Indian Liaison office and 6 said, "Tell Morris we found one of his whores." 7 With respect to other comments made by Mr. Bates Ms. Cameron testified that she was not "the one 8 9 upstairs taking missing persons reports, she was not in charge, she did not decide whether someone 10 11 would be reported missing or not, and she was not in charge of deciding who would be put on a 12 13 missing persons poster."

14 Ms. Cameron further stated that she never 15 told any of the family members that their loved ones were probably on vacation or holiday. She 16 17 never said, "If they wanted to be found they would be found." She never provided lifestyle advice to 18 19 families of missing women or suggested that 20 parenting strategies had failed and would not 21 listen to the caller. She was not discriminatory 22 against drug users, she did not pick and chose who 23 she dealt with based on their race, and she was 24 not of the view that missing women had moved or 25 were working in another city or had committed

suicide. And, finally, she was not of the view 1 2 that the missing women of the Downtown Eastside --3 that missing women from the Downtown Eastside was 4 not a big problem. You heard her say she felt 5 that these were the people who were at high risk, 6 and in fact Ms. Cameron was the person who pointed 7 out the increase in the number of missing women in 1998. 8

9 Now, Ms. Cameron was -- she did admit that not every call went well, and they were not always 10 11 pleasant and polite. In this vein Mr. Greer had also commented that Ms. Cameron's supervisors had 12 13 also advised him that Ms. Cameron was having 14 trouble and people were being mean and rude to her 15 as well. But what Ms. Cameron can say unequivocally is that she never spoke to anyone in 16 17 a manner that was abusive, insensitive, racist or inappropriate, and denies making the specific 18 19 comments that were alleged to have been made by 20 her during her time in the unit.

21 Ms. Cameron also heard specific comments 22 attributed to her by officers that she'd worked 23 with over the years for the first time during this 24 inquiry. And I'd like to refer -- I'll just refer 25 back to the comments I made previously with

respect to her hearing these allegations for the 1 2 first time years later, as well as the fact that 3 they were not documented and that this is 4 problematic. It appears as though many of these 5 comments were also made in the interviews with 6 Mr. LePard for the preparation of his report, and 7 also included, and you heard here as well, people's subjective opinions of Ms. Cameron. The 8 9 LePard report itself refers to police officers who were interviewed for the review, corroborating 10 11 complaints of some of the family members and that 12 "race and life circumstances of the victims and reportees played a role" in Ms. Cameron's conduct. 13 14 In his report Mr. LePard stated that Ms. Cameron 15 responded to the allegations and set out sections of the document he had taken in his interview with 16 17 Ms. Cameron.

Now, you have to remember that when 18 19 Ms. Cameron was interviewed she did not have any 20 specific complaints provided to her other than the complaints from Ms. Ens and the general complaints 21 22 provided by Sergeant Hetherington. The last she had heard resulted in her memo in late 2001. So 23 we would submit that the specific incidents or 24 25 comments attributed to Ms. Cameron were not put

for Ms. Cameron by Mr. LePard for her response, 1 2 yet they could have been, especially since many of 3 the comments were made when people were 4 interviewed or re-interviewed after Ms. Cameron 5 was initially or was interviewed the one time in November of 2003. I submit that it would have 6 7 been proper and fair for Mr. LePard to recall Ms. Cameron to give her the opportunity to respond 8 to what had been said after her interview at 9 least, but he did not. Instead what was said by 10 11 others was accepted by Mr. LePard and included in the report. 12

13 There was also some allegations made during 14 the inquiry by police officers, and I'll just 15 refer to a couple because of the time. Ms. Cameron denies that Ms. Shenher came to her 16 17 about comments that Ms. Shenher had heard her make that were insensitive, racist, inappropriate or 18 19 abusive to people who were trying to communicate 20 information to her. Ms. Cameron also denied that Ms. Shenher brought up issues with Ms. Cameron's 21 22 telephone demeanor directly with her. And in this vein there's nothing documented, and you'd expect 23 that any incidents should have been if they 24 25 occurred as Ms. Shenher had alleged, and if they

1

had been Ms. Cameron could have responded to them.

Ms. Powell in her testimony referred to two 2 instances where Ms. Shenher came to her about 3 4 Ms. Cameron being rude and abrupt on the 5 telephone, and she referred to a coaching 6 interview with Ms. Cameron pertaining to her 7 telephone demeanor, because Ms. Cameron may have been a little "short." Nothing was ever brought 8 to Ms. Powell's attention about racist or 9 inappropriate comments made by Ms. Cameron, and I 10 11 would suggest that if Ms. Shenher went to 12 Ms. Field about Ms. Cameron being rude and abrupt, she most certainly would have gone to her superior 13 14 official about Ms. Cameron making insensitive, 15 abusive or racist or inappropriate comments, or that she would go to her with any comments that 16 17 she had received in that regard, but Ms. Shenher did not and I submit that this is suspect. 18

When Ms. Powell was asked whether she kept her supervisors informed about any issues concerning Ms. Cameron she answered that there was no issue that she felt needed to be brought to their attention. Ms. Field referred to a couple of matters, one where she took over, and this had nothing to do with Ms. Cameron specifically, but

she did refer to a matter that did concern the family of one of the missing women and stated that this was more with respect to a personality issue. And this was with respect to Ms. Sandra Gagnon, and Ms. Cameron has quite a different recollection of her relationship with Ms. Gagnon.

7 Mr. Dureau also stated he'd never had any concerns with Ms. Cameron's telephone demeanor. 8 The fact is that Ms. Cameron was accountable to 9 the detectives as well as up the chain of command, 10 11 and you'd expect that if there were issues with her conduct or if she said something that was 12 13 abusive or racist, insensitive or inappropriate in 14 any way that it would have been brought to her attention by the detectives. Ms. Cameron states 15 that they did not bring it to her attention. 16 17 You'd also expect that the detectives would have gone to the sergeant as well to advise him of any 18 19 issues, and you'd certainly expect this to be the 20 case for the serious issues that have been 21 alleged, and it appears from the testimony that 22 they did not.

If Ms. Cameron was the problem employee that has been portrayed we submit that she would have been removed during her 22 years in the Missing

Persons Unit, or at the very least disciplined for actions while she was in the unit, yet she was not. And the fact that she was a member of a union would not be a barrier to discipline.

1

2

3

4

24

25

5 We heard about Ms. Cameron's performance in 6 the VPD's own -- about Ms. Cameron's performance 7 in the VPD's own documentation and in testimony, and I'll just give a few instances. At Exhibit 8 9 147, tab 40 there's a memo from Sergeant McClellan in October of 1990 referring to Ms. Cameron as 10 11 proficient and performs effectively in this 12 position.

Exhibit 159NR, December 6, 1999, a draft letter from Sergeant Field to a family in response to an e-mail they had sent with respect to concerns that they had, she stated:

17Ms. Sandy Cameron is a civilian employee of18the VPD and has been the missing persons19clerk for approximately...

And that was blank, it was a draft:
She's the most experienced person we have in
the squad and is considered an expert in
handling these cases. She may only be a

handling these cases. She may only be a clerk, however, she possesses an abundance of knowledge the more senior detectives rely

upon.

1

2 In another memo from Detective Steinbach to 3 Superintendent Rollins on January 18th, 1995 at 4 tab 15 of 148NR he states: 5 Sandra Cameron continues to do an excellent 6 job handling 90 percent of the reports. 7 You also heard testimony of Mr. Biddlecombe 8 surrounding Ms. Cameron not being made a 9 supervisor, and the reason for this was not because of her ability or inability, but was 10 11 because he was concerned if the supervisor -- the 12 full-time supervisor was away that would pull Ms. Cameron out of the Missing Persons Unit and 13 14 Mr. Biddlecombe did not want that to happen. 15 Ms. Cameron also testified that while she was the clerk and applied for another position she was 16 17 asked by Deputy Chief Battershill what would happen to the Missing Persons Unit if she left. 18

19It's interesting to note that many in the VPD20took the time to comment on Ms. Cameron's21knowledge and ability, and we also saw examples of22cards and letters from the public at Exhibit 147,23tab 9 with respect to her ability and her24demeanor. I submit that the converse could also25have been done with respect to any concerns and

1

issues that people had with Ms. Cameron.

2 I'll just touch very quickly on the 3 allegations that she misrepresented herself as a 4 police officer. Ms. Cameron addressed this 5 allegation in her memo of November 27th, 2001 and 6 also gave some testimony in this regard. She 7 denied that she ever misrepresented herself as a police officer, and one of the examples is at 8 Exhibit 148NR, tab 6. And this was a letter to a 9 couple who had complaints about how their file was 10 11 handled and this the response from Inspector 12 Eldridge of Internal Investigations. They were 13 apparently concerned with the treatment they 14 received from Ms. Cameron and alleged that she had 15 identified herself as a detective. Inspector Eldridge stated in the letter that the tapes of 16 17 the telephone conversation had been reviewed, these were the tapes in the unit, and Ms. Cameron 18 19 had not identified herself as a detective. 20 Furthermore, it was stated that Ms. Cameron's demeanor was "empathetic and professional." 21

22 You also heard testimony from a Sergeant 23 Dammann with the Campbell River RCMP with respect 24 to the Frey file and saw some documentation about 25 Ms. Cameron being referred to as a detective.

1 Ms. Cameron in the initial document to Sergeant 2 Dammann on January 13th, 1998 signed off as Sandra 3 Cameron, Missing Persons. She did not include a 4 rank as a sworn member would.

5 You also saw a document that was sent to the 6 chief constable of the VPD to the attention of 7 Detective Lori Shenher from Inspector Stright and 8 Corporal Miskow of the Campbell River RCMP, and 9 this refers to Ms. Cameron as Detective Sandra 10 Cameron. Ms. Cameron never saw this document 11 until preparing for this matter.

Now, in his testimony before this -- in this 12 13 inquiry Sergeant Dammann stated that Ms. Cameron "never described herself as a detective," this was 14 15 an assumption on his part. And as well no one, including Ms. Shenher who received the letter from 16 17 the RCMP, ever spoke with Ms. Cameron about why the Campbell River RCMP would have referred to her 18 19 as a detective.

There was also an example of a letter sent to the VPD about Ms. Cameron and the job she had done and it referred to her as a constable, and it was signed off by Chief Constable Stewart and a notation of well done included, but no inquiries were made with Ms. Cameron as to why this person

1

referred to her as a constable.

2 Now, Ms. Cameron was aware that on occasion 3 people assumed she was a police officer which is 4 why she had civilian put on her business cards. 5 The fact is that people incorrectly assumed for 6 whatever reason that she was a police officer, 7 because Ms. Cameron did not represent herself as 8 an officer in her dealings with the public or 9 other police agencies.

I just want to touch quickly on the issue of 10 11 taking missing persons reports. And this was an 12 issue that was brought to Mr. Biddlecombe's attention in January of 1998 with people having 13 14 problems reporting, and this was a memo by 15 Sergeant Cooper at Exhibit 148NR, tab 30, following a meeting with Mr. Bates and Ms. Ens 16 17 concerning complaints people had received -- or they had received from people who had been 18 19 rebuffed by staff at both the public information 20 counter and communications when attempting to file 21 a missing persons report. This matter did not 22 involve Ms. Cameron, but shows that people were having issues filing missing persons reports. You 23 also heard about instances from Ms. Cameron where 24 25 she would take missing persons reports, and this

was prior to E-Comm being established. 1 2 Ms. Cameron testified that if information was 3 received from an outlying agency that she would 4 complete the report. She also stated that if 5 someone was calling long distance she would take the report because she didn't want them to sit in 6 7 a queue at the non-emergency number. She would also go to the public information counter if she 8 9 was called, she would go downstairs to take the 10 report.

11 You also heard about the process involved 12 when a report was taken by her. It wasn't as 13 simple as writing down on the VPD generated form 14 that was presented. She would have to walk that 15 form down to the communications centre and get an operator to assign a case number, she'd have to 16 17 have information entered into the computer aided dispatch, she would have to take it to the CPIC 18 19 for entry and then distribute the copies. So you 20 also heard that if the reportee was not calling 21 long distance or when it was necessary that a unit be dispatched Ms. Cameron would ask that they call 22 23 the communications centre. Now, after E-Comm was 24 established because of the change in location 25 Ms. Cameron was unable to take reports because she

1

2

couldn't just walk them to the communications centre as she had done in the past.

3 You'd also heard some testimony about people 4 going back and forth, and when people would call 5 Ms. Cameron and tell her that they'd tried to file 6 a report with the non-emergency or 9-1-1 but were 7 denied, Ms. Cameron testified that she would ask 8 them or tell them that they would have to call 9 back to that number and tell them to say that they spoken with her and that they were to take the 10 11 report. This was inconvenient and frustrating, 12 but it was necessary in order to have the report filed. And we see an example of this at Exhibit 13 147NR where there was a reference to Ms. Cameron 14 15 advising that they call. Ms. Cameron testified that it got to a point where she sent an 16 17 interoffice memo to the communications centre requesting that when someone calls to report a 18 19 person is missing to just take the report.

20With respect to bouncing back and forth on21occasion, Ms. Cameron testified, and I'll quote:22Sometimes when they would get to my office to23my telephone lines they were highly, highly24agitated. There was a lot of yelling and25cursing and swearing, and belief that I think

it was starting to form that the VPD was not interested in working or taking on these files, and so that's why I really wanted to stress for them to go back to the communications centre and file it, because they have the forms, they have the case numbers, they have the computers to access everything in the computer and everything.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

And the fact of the matter is that because of 9 the process and what was involved Ms. Cameron 10 11 could not have possibly taken every report from every person who called her. That would have been 12 over 3,000 in 1998. She would never refuse to 13 14 take a report. She would take a report or she 15 would explain the process. She also didn't deny instances where people called her very frustrated 16 17 because they couldn't have their report taken, and unfortunately there was a procedure that needed to 18 19 be followed. We would also submit that having a 20 family member or friend go missing and having to report them as missing to the police would be a 21 22 very emotional time and that people would be understandably stressed and sensitive. 23 24 Furthermore, attempting to gather information and 25 updates could very well have been frustrating.

And Ms. Cameron refers this in the report she 1 2 wrote to Sergeant Hetherington at paragraphs 3 and 3 4 when she states that: 4 Many of these family members were and still 5 are highly emotional and critical of how the police department has handled the 6 7 investigation into the disappearance of their 8 family members and friends. 9 I am the one constant here in Missing Persons that these family members have been able to 10 11 talk to. On many occasions I would have to 12 tell them that the investigator they were looking for had been transferred, was off on 13 14 sick leave or no longer here. This only 15 increased their anxiety. This was not something they wanted to hear. After they 16 17 expressed their frustrations etc. with our handling of the case, in many instances it 18 19 became almost impossible to deal with them 20 effectively again. As you may be aware, my telephone calls are taped and have been used 21 22 by Internal in the past. 23 Ms. Cameron also said in her testimony: That not all the calls that came to missing 24 25 persons were pleasant. People were in highly

agitated states. People are, you know, 1 2 they're upset. They want answers, they want 3 it solved, they want action. 4 And there's actually an example of this in one of the cards and letters at Exhibit 148NR at tab 9, 5 page 27, and this was a letter to Ms. Cameron from 6 7 a person she was dealing with in having his son 8 return to him from Quebec, and he said: 9 I'm very sorry for the way I spoke and treated you, when my situation was happening 10 11 at that time. I feel that you were very 12 instrumental in working things out... Please accept my apology and my deepest thanks at 13 14 this time. Your understanding and compassion 15 hasn't gone without notice. There was also a notation on there from Ms. Powell 16 17 saying: Sandy, Thanks for the extra effort. It's 18 19 appreciated by all. 20 With respect to contact with the families, after Ms. Shenher came into the Missing Persons 21 22 Unit in 1998 she took over contact with the 23 missing women's families and Ms. Cameron may have had contact with them after this time, but she 24 25 testified it would be hard to know without being

able to refer to log notes on each file. In any event, when Ms. Shenher became involved with the Missing Women Review Team in 1999 the communication Ms. Cameron had with the families lessened even more.

1

2

3

4

5

6 So in closing, Ms. Cameron to this inquiry to 7 respond to allegations made about her conduct that occurred well over ten years ago, and the majority 8 9 of which she'd heard for the first time during this proceeding. When Ms. Cameron was advised of 10 11 these complaints or allegations in the past she was in a better position to respond at that time. 12 13 So although she was able to deny many of the 14 allegations made because she knows she just would 15 not make those types of comments, the fact is that there's no documentation, these matters took place 16 17 well over ten years ago, Ms. Cameron hasn't had the opportunity to review files, notes or any 18 19 tapes as she could have if the complaints were 20 made or put to her at the time. Ms. Cameron's been denied the opportunity to properly respond 21 22 all of these years later. I would submit that it 23 would be unfair to make a finding of misconduct with respect to Ms. Cameron. She's not denied 24 25 that every phone call with every person who called

the unit went well, you heard that testimony, but she has denied allegations concerning her conduct during her time in the unit, and as well that she denied making comments that were inappropriate, racist, insensitive or abusive.

6 What Ms. Cameron also denies is that she 7 acted inappropriately by refusing to take certain reports of missing women that violated any policy 8 9 or for any other reasons. She held the view that the women of the Downtown Eastside were high risk. 10 11 You also heard her state that she would not refuse 12 to take a report if the reportee was not a family 13 member. She wouldn't refuse the report if the 14 person had no fixed address, and in fact never realized that not having a fixed address was an 15 apparent issue until she heard this from 16 17 Ms. Dicks. The fact is that Ms. Cameron advocated taking reports with no bars whatsoever. She did 18 19 not treat individuals differently on any basis and 20 did not prioritize reports on the basis of race or any other factor of the reportee or of the missing 21 22 person, and she did not misrepresent herself as a 23 police officer.

24In the earlier days of the inquiry25Ms. Cameron was referred to as a "lowly clerk,"

and we'd like to emphasize an important point, 1 2 that it was this lowly clerk who maintained the 3 statistics of missing persons in Vancouver and who 4 brought the issue of the increased numbers of 5 missing women from the Downtown Eastside to the 6 attention of Inspector Biddlecombe in early 1998, 7 and shortly after that Ms. Shenher came to the unit. We would like to submit that Ms. Cameron 8 9 was not a barrier to the women being reported as missing, nor was she a barrier with respect to the 10 11 investigation. 12 And Ms. Cameron had asked if I could just say 13 the following on her behalf. She says: 14 I cared about every person who was reported 15 missing to VPD over the 22 years I was with missing persons, and wanted each and every 16 one to be found safe and well. If I said or 17 did anything that made you, the families that 18 19 I spoke to, feel as though I didn't care 20 about your missing loved ones I deeply regret 21 it. 22 And from myself and Ms. Cameron we appreciate 23 the task that you have ahead of you and thank you for the time to present these submissions. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Bateman.

MR. VERTLIEB: Now, Mr. Commissioner, everyone then who has 1 2 wished to make closing argument has had the 3 opportunity, I see no one left to do that. One 4 point on procedure. Your original directive for 5 filing of reply was to be a week after oral 6 argument, which would have meant that reply would 7 be a week today. Because of the extension granted to the participants to file written argument I 8 9 would suggest that that proposal be extended an extra week so that reply be filed by the 20th, so 10 11 people who wish to file reply aren't doing it once 12 now and once after any further written arguments 13 come in. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 15 MR. VERTLIEB: Then two other matters before we complete. One is Mr. Roberts who wishes to have a moment of your 16 17 time. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Mr. Roberts. 18 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, sir. Mr. Commissioner, I wish to make 19 20 a small presentation to end this on a collegial note with respect to counsel who has been out of 21 22 town and attended this inquiry at great patience 23 and great respect. It's Ms. Vanessa Christie and 24 of course Mr. Greenspan attending here. I'm of 25 the view that it's always great to have counsel

attend from other provinces, especially from the 1 2 great legal centre of Toronto. It encourages and 3 nourishes excellence at the bar. As I've said 4 Ms. Christie has attended here for many days, I 5 suspect in hotel rooms, and that's not always 6 easy, and she's also written the longest 7 submission I think here, and by the way this collegial presentation --8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: She's the only one that quotes Sir Winston Churchill. 10 11 MR. ROBERTS: Yes, I noticed that. And this presentation 12 doesn't mean to say that some of us here, myself 13 included, will not want to reply to your 14 submission. But, anyway, Mr. Greenspan was here 15 in and out so quickly, Mr. Commissioner, that no one had a chance to say goodbye to him, and so I 16 17 have a small gift that I'd like to provide to her and Mr. Greenspan on behalf of all of us here. If 18 19 you could come up, Ms. Christie. Let me describe 20 what it is. It's a clever sketch by a man named Mr. Bragg, and it shows a jury, likely an American 21 22 jury, laughing uproariously. THE COMMISSIONER: I have a copy of that. 23 24 MR. ROBERTS: Well, in the foreground is a glum looking 25 counsel, and underneath it says "Objection

sustained." Now, as a little background all of us 1 2 here will remember when Mr. Greenspan was here he 3 had difficulty not leading Mr. Blythe when it came 4 to a very material matter, and when objection was taken Mr. Greenspan said, "Well, all my life I've 5 never been able to ask a non-leading question," so 6 7 I think maybe that's why everybody is laughing 8 uproariously in this picture. I've had it 9 inscribed: To Edward L. Greenspan, QC, and Vanessa V. Christie. Thanks for joining in. From 10 11 all Vancouver counsel on the Missing Women 12 Inquiry, June 6, 2012. Thank you, Vanessa. MS. CHRISTIE: Thank you very much. 13 14 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, sir. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Roberts. Thank you, Ms. Christie. 16 17 MS. CHRISTIE: Thank you. MR. VERTLIEB: Thank you, Mr. Roberts. Mr. Roberts organized 18 19 that on behalf of all counsel, and we're grateful 20 to him for that. Finally, Rick Harry from Squamish Nation, the hereditary head of 21 Xwalacktun. You know him well. You were so 22 grateful to him for coming to your study 23 24 commissions. 25 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

1	MR. VERTLIEB: And as we all were. And he's kindly come at
2	your request to
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Do closing prayer.
4	MR. VERTLIEB: do the closing prayer, and he is here with us
5	now. And if I may with your leave ask Mr. Harry
6	to come forward.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Before he comes forward I do
8	want to thank everyone for participating in the
9	inquiry. We've had, according to Mr. Giles, 92
10	days of hearings, 83 witnesses, 231 exhibits, not
11	to mention the thousands of pages of exhibits. I
12	want to thank all counsel particularly for the
13	written submissions, and all the assistance that
14	you gave me during the course of the 92 days. I
15	know at times it was spirited, but that's to be
16	expected in light of why we are here. We're
17	examining a very, very serious matter, the most
18	the police investigation relating to the most
19	prolific killer in Canadian history, so it's a
20	very, very serious matter and that almost by
21	definition involves a spirited discussion and
22	that's to be expected. So I want to thank all
23	lawyers for their professionalism.
24	I want to particularly thank the families who

25

107

participated and who told us their stories about

their loss of their loved ones. I think we were 1 2 all touched by what happened and our hearts went 3 out to all the families who came here and told us 4 about the irreparable and horrific losses that 5 they suffered. And as well there are many other 6 members of the community, particularly from the 7 Downtown Eastside, from the aboriginal communities 8 who attended here and took part in the inquiry, I 9 want to thank all of you sincerely.

And with that we'll move on to -- before we 10 hear from you, sir, I want to congratulate you on 11 12 the honour that you received for being appointed 13 as the Order of British Columbia, and it's an 14 honour that you no doubt deserve for your many 15 years of service to the community. Thank you for all that you've done for British Columbia, and 16 17 also thank you for attending here again, and we appreciate that. We'll now hear the closing 18 19 prayer.

20 MR. HARRY: Oseeyup, oseeyup (phonetic). I know that there's 21 been other people working here, spiritual leaders, 22 and I'm a simple human being and I'm here to 23 support and to share and give a small offer of a 24 prayer to the family of the missing women, and we 25 hope that something good comes out of this, and I

Proceedings

wish for all, and all for all the hard work that's 1 2 been going on around here. And there's a lot of 3 emotions were there. I felt them. I couldn't imagine having someone go missing in my family. 4 5 So I would like to just share this spirit song, Commissioner, to uplift and to hopefully help you 6 7 out in this closure, and I'm here just to do my 8 best also. So my hands up for all the people who are here. I know you all care, that's why you're 9 here. So I'll share the song, it's a prayer song, 10 11 and you can pray in your own way. (CLOSING PRAYER) 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Remember our sisters. 13 14 THE REGISTRAR: These hearings are now concluded. Thank you 15 all. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:18 P.M.) 16 17 I hereby certify the foregoing to be a 18 true and accurate transcript of the 19 proceedings transcribed herein to the 20 best of my skill and ability. 21 22 23 Peri McHale 24 Official Reporter 25 UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

Submissions by M	Mr.	Woodall	1
Submissions by M	Ms.	Christie	19
Submissions by M	Ms.	Winteringham	52
Submissions by M	Ms.	DelBigio	62
Submissions by M	Mr.	Skwarok	68
Submissions by M	Ms.	Bateman	77
Closing prayer			108

2003 (n) - 88.6 2005 (n) - 35.1, 82.1,	•	2002 [1] - 53:20	7	38:13, 48:8	85:18
96 (i) = 83.3 (92 (i) = 44.9, 44.10 82.10 2008 (i) = 71.4 2012 (i) = 74.2 2012 (i) = 7		2003 [1] - 88:6		accountable [2] -	advise [4] - 7:13,
Sep [2] - 44.9, 44:10 Sociol (1) - 21:4 2011 (1) - 24:2 2011 (1) - 25:2 2011 (1) - 25:1 2011 (1) - 25:2 2011 (1) - 25:1 2011 (1) - 25		2005 [3] - 35:1, 82:1,		36:15, 90:9	18:21, 80:21, 90:18
1 2011 [1] - 2420 2012 [2] - 12, 106:12 2012 [2] - 12, 106:12 2012 [2] - 12, 106:12 2014 [1] - 64:15 214 [1] - 106:16 214 [1] - 106:16 214 [1] - 107:10 214 [1] - 107:10 215 [2] - 107:10 2		82:10	77 [1] - 1:8	accounted [1] - 72:19	advised [8] - 1:11,
1 2012 [p] - 12, 106-12 20th [l] - 104-10 accusation [p] - 37, 38, 3:10, 42, 4:16 accusation [p] - 37, 38, 3:10, 42, 4:16 accusation [p] - 37, 32, 1, 4:11, 4:14, 33;11, 4:14, 33;12, 102, 17 accusation [p] - 37, 33, 3:10, 42, 4:16 accusation [p] - 37, 33;24, 5:21 101:10 advice (p] - 102;17 109 [r] - 732 22 219 [r] - 732 22 219 [r] - 732 22 219 [r] - 732 22 219 [r] - 732 22 2106 [r] - 159 2106 [r] - 159 2106 [r] - 179 24th [r] - 80:6 9 9 32,1, 4:11, 4:14, 33;24, 5:21 101:10 accusation [p] - 37, 33;24, 5:21 33;5 1004 [r] - 61:14 25th [p] - 68:17, 69:10, 310 [r] - 72;4 9 97,19, 90;714 33;24, 5:21 33;5 1104 [r] - 61:14 25th [p] - 68:17, 69:10, 320 [r] - 72;14 9(r] - 92;6 9(r] - 70;1, 107;14 9(r] - 92;6 9(r] - 72;14 9(r] - 72;14 </td <td>'99 [2] - 44:9, 44:10</td> <td>2008 [1] - 21:4</td> <td></td> <td>accuracy [1] - 54:18</td> <td>5:16, 11:15, 81:1,</td>	'99 [2] - 44:9, 44:10	2008 [1] - 21:4		accuracy [1] - 54:18	5:16, 11:15, 81:1,
20th 1 - 10 - 11 - 13 - 10 - 73.12 21 + 11 - 53.12 90.1 - 10 - 21 - 21 - 21 + 11 - 41 + 14 + 14 + 10 - 21 + 10 + 10 - 21 +		2011 [1] - 24:20	8	accurate [1] - 109:18	81:4, 84:14, 86:13,
1 (i) - 1.3 10 (i) - 73.22 21st (i) - 53.12 22 (i) - 50.21, 90.25, 103 (i) - 6.15 9 accusations (i) - 321, 411, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3022, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3022, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 411, 3022, 411, 411, 411, 3022, 411, 411, 411, 3021, 411, 41	1	2012 [2] - 1:2, 106:12		accusation [5] - 3:7,	101:10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		20th [1] - 104:10	83 (1) - 107·10	3:8, 3:10, 4:2, 4:16	advising [1] - 97:15
9 321, 4-31, 4	1 [1] - 1·3	21st [1] - 53:12		accusations [5] -	advocated [1] -
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		22 [3] - 53:21, 90:25,	٩	3:21, 4:11, 4:14,	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	••	103:15	J	50:4, 66:18	
106 [n] - 1:9 231 [n] - 107:10 91[n] - 92:3 3.524, 52.12 3.53 10:44 [n] - 61:14 214 [n] - 60:6 91.1 [n] - 77:4 3.12, 92.23.7 actig - 3.2, 23.7 actig - 3.1, 17.22, 3.6 actig - 9.2, 23.1 actig - 1.2, 10.1 actig - 1.2, 11.6 actig - 2.2, 23.1 actig - 2.2, 23.1 actig - 2.2, 24.1 agg - 0.1, 9.2 actig - 1.2, 11.6 actig - 2.2, 24.1 agg - 0.1, 9.2 actig - 1.2, 11.6 ac	••	22nd [2] - 72:2, 79:12		• • • •	affected [2] - 32:23,
10:44 (n) = 61:14 24th (n) = 80:6 9-1.1 (n) = 97:6 acted (n) = 3.2, 2.37 agences (n) = -30:1 11 (n) = 74:14 27 (n) = 100:6 72:14 72:1			9 [2] - 92:23, 100:5		
11 (I) - 74:14 25th (g) - 68:17, 69:10, 11:04 (g) - 61:15 27t (g) - 707:9, 107:14 27t (g) - 107:9, 107:14 27t (g) - 107:9, 107:14 27t (g) - 107:9, 107:14 27t (g) - 707:9, 107:14 27t (g) - 707:9, 107:14 27t (g) - 72:4, 81:15, 93:5 30:1 30:1 3		24th [1] - 80:6			•
$ \begin{array}{ c $		25th [3] - 68:17, 69:10,			
12 (n) - 7:14 27 (n) - 100:6 9:17 (n) - 1:3 acting (n) - 44:8 agency (g) - 76:10, 12 (n) - 74:14 29 (n) - 79:11, 29 (n) - 79:11, acting (n) - 44:8 acting (n) - 44:8 agitated (g) - 97:24, 13 (n) - 94:2 29 (n) - 77:10 A.M (g) - 1.3, 61:14, acting (n) - 44:8 acting (n) - 44:8 agitated (g) - 97:24, 147 (g) - 91:9, 92:22 296 (n) - 17:10 A.M (g) - 1.3, 61:14, actively (n) - 56:16 active	••				
12:18 (n) - 109:16 35.5 27th (n) - 79:24, 81:15, 35.5 action (n) - 24:25, 12th (n) - 79:24, 81:15, 35.5 36:3 36:1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>9:17 [1] - 1:3</td> <td>•••</td> <td></td>			9:17 [1] - 1:3	•••	
12th [1] - 622, 7.3 93:5 A 100.3 101.13					
13 (1) - 74:14 29 (1) - 17:10 A.M (3) - 113, 61:14, 61:15 10:2 active (2) - 41:25, 65:26, 66:25, 101:14, 101:17 147 (2) - 919, 92:22 147 NR (4) - 92:4, 93:9, 95:15, 100:5 3 (2) - 50:1, 99:2 3 (2) - 50:1, 99:2 109:20 active (2) - 41:25, 85:19 active (2) - 42:24, 22:42, 22:23, 22:35 active (2) - 42:25, 84:1, 35:19 active (2) - 42:24, 82:24, 54:13, 35:11, 65:12, 65:12, 65:14, 65:13, 55:22, 74 active (1) - 52:7, 85:1, 85:1, 85:1, 85:12, 56:13, 55:22, 55:13, 55:12, 56:13, 55:22, 55:13, 55:12, 56:14, 56:13, 55:22, 55:13, 55:12, 56:14, 56:13, 55:22, 49:14 aboriginal (5) - 29:4, 41:15, 56:12, 65:13, 55:22, 42:9, 22:23, 14:18, 15:14, 10:21:4 addition (1) - 29:11, 36:11, 85:1, 89:11, 10:12; 44, 10:15, 55:13, 55:11,			A		-
13th (n) - 94:2 295 (n) - 17:10 A.M (s) - 13. (6:1:4, 61:15 91.2 92.2 92.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2 91.2	13 [1] - 74:14				
147 [2] - 91:9, 92:22 236 [1] - 17:10 61:15 61:15 61:17 61:17 30:17 59:16 30:22.9 30:22.9 30:22.3 30:17 30:17 59:16 30:22.9 30:22.9 30:22.3 30:17 30:17 59:16 62:24.95:15 30:22.9 40:21 30:17 74:20 30:17 74:20 30:17 53:12.5 54:14.5 53:12.5 54:14.5 33:12.9 40:17 33:25.9 40:21.9 54:14.5 54:14.5 54:14.5 54:14.5 54:14.5 51:15.7 30:17 75:8 30:17 75:8 30:17 75:8 30:17 74:11 30:17 40:17 33:12.9 41:17.10 51:15.7 51:17.7 71:18 30:17 71:13 30:17 71:13 30:17 71:18			A.M [3] - 1:3, 61:14.		_
147NR [4] - 79:11, 80:6, 81:16, 97:14 3 abeyance [1] - 71:2 ability [6] - 79:9, 84:1, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 activities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 attivities [2] - 45:11, 92:10, 92:21, 92:24, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 attivities [2] - 45:11, 92:13, 53:1, 53:9, 109:20 actively [1] - 56:16 attivities [2] - 45:11, 92:13, 53:1, 53:9, 101:13, 106:6 actively [1] - 56:16 attivities [2] - 45:11, 92:13, 53:1, 53:9, 101:13, 106:6 attively [1] - 56:17 attively [1] - 92:1, 92:13, 53:1, 53:9, 101:13, 106:7 attively [1] - 61:5 31:12, 192; 74:11 absord [1] - 48:4, 89:12 attively [1] - 61:2 attively [2] - 27:18, 101:14, 102:2 attively [1] - 61:2 attively [2] - 27:13, 102:5 attively [1] - 61:4 85:13, 25:4; 101:14, 102:2 attively [1] - 61:4 85:13, 25:4; 102:15 attively [1] - 48:4, 89:12 attively [1] - 28:14 attively [2] - 27:14, 124, 102:15 attively [1] - 28:14 attively [2] - 27:15 attively [2]	147 [2] - 91:9, 92:22	296 [1] - 17:10		,	
806, 8, 116, 97.14 J ability (#) -79.9, 84:1, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 159.15, 100.5 ability (#) -79.9, 84:1, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 ability (#) -79.9, 84:1, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 1112, 63.9, 63:14 3112, 63.9, 63:14 67:16, 71:22 159.17, 100.5 3(2) - 50:1, 99:2 3(0) (1) - 98:13 ability (#) - 79.9, 84:1, 92:10, 92:21, 92:23, 109:20 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:13 31:12, 63.9, 63:14 159.17, 101.13, 92:4 3,000 (1) - 98:13 ability (#) - 113, 11:10, 59:16, 62:10, 62:11, 90:10, 92:13 ability (#) - 79.9, 84:1, 92:10, 92:14, 92:14, 92:14, 31:14 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:13, 100:6 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:13, 100:7 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:14, 71:22, 72:7 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:15, 71:16, 71:3 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:13, 91:0 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:13, 100:7 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:13, 100:7 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:14, 71:22, 72:7 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:15, 71:16, 71:14, 71:22, 72:7 attivities [2) - 45:11, 71:15, 71:16, 71:14, 71:22, 72:17, 71:16, 71:17, 71:19, 71:17, 71:19, 71:13, 91:00:13, 71:11, 91:12, 71:19, 71:11, 91:12, 71:19, 71:11, 91:12, 71:19	147NR [4] - 79:11,	•			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	80:6, 81:16, 97:14	3	-		• • • •
95:15, 100:5 3[2] - 50:1, 99:2 109:20 able [13] - 11:3, 11:10, able [13] - 12:1, 31:10, 22:1, 82:2, 92:10, 101:11, 30:10; able [13] - 12:1, 30:10; - 75:8, 33:10, -75:8, 33:10, -75:8, 33:10, -75:8, 33:10, -75:8, 33:10, -75:8, 33:10, -77:18, 77:38, 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 53:12, 54:4, 54:13, 55:22, 31:10; -81:5, 55:24, 35:12, 30:5, 55:24, 31:10; -81:5, 55:24, 35:12, 30:5, 55:24, 31:10; -10:4, 33:10, -77:18, 77:23, 78:3, 79:7, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 72:14, 79:27, 72:7, 72:14, 74:22, 74:12, 45:13, 51:24, 35:10, -77:38, 75:14, 35:10, -77:38, 77:14, 39:12 100:20 aborginal [5] - 29:4, 49:19, 53:12, 55:27, 41:14, 55:13, 55:22, 31:15, 55:22, 57:11, 58:1, 39:5, 39:5 allegation [3] - 12:1, 55:24, 45:13, 39:5 1995 [13] - 70:12, 79:24, 79:7, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 72:14, 79:12, 79:24, 71:14, 39:50 lutbly [3] - 12:3, 32:14, 32:21, 21:2, 74:11, 39:50 lutbly [3] - 12:3, 32:14, 32:21, 21:2, 32:1, 42:24, 41:0, 51:1, 52:5, 55 additional [1] - 78:16, 82:11, 83:16, 83:1, 51:1, 52:22, 32:1, 32:1, 72:3, 79:3, 30:13, 101:7, 101:14, 102:14, 31:16, 82:19, 83:17, 73:19, 100:13, 102:5 additional [1] - 78:16, 82:14, 83:16, 84:33, 101:7, 101:14, 102:15, 31:10:25, 31:10; 31:10; 32:16, 32:10, 32:14, 32:21, 41:24, 32:14,	148NR [4] - 92:4, 93:9,		-	••• •	
	95:15, 100:5	3 [2] - 50:1, 99:2			
158NR [1] - 91:13 18th [1] - 92:3 19th [1] - 92:13 19th [1] - 92:14 19th [1] - 92:14 19th [1] - 92:14 19th [1] - 92:14 19th [1] - 82:27 19th [1] - 82:7 19th [1] - 82:7 19	15 [2] - 61:13, 92:4		able [13] - 11:3, 11:10,		_
	159NR [1] - 91:13	3,199 [1] - 79:7	59:16, 62:10, 62:11,		
19 (1) - 1:4 6:24, 95:15 80:2, 99:10, 101:1, 33 (1) - 74:20 50:2, 99:10, 101:1, 101:13, 106:6 52:13, 53:1, 53:9, 53:12, 54:4, 54:13, 35:12, 54:4, 54:13, 35:12, 54:4, 54:13, 35:12, 54:4, 54:13, 35:12, 54:4, 55:13, 55:22, 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 108:7 albert [1] - 80:4 1990 (1) - 91:10 35 (1) - 75:8 33 (1) - 74:20 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 108:7 55:12, 54:4, 54:13, 35:12, 54:4, 55:13, 55:22, 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 108:7 albert [1] - 80:4 1995 (3) - 72:18, 83:3, 92:3 4 abrupt [2] - 89:4, 89:12 abrupt [2] - 89:4, 89:12 addition [1] - 68:15 allegations [2] - 12:4 addited [1] - 64:5 allegations [2] - 12:4 49:5 1997 (2] - 79:12, 79:24 4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3 4 absence [4] - 10:4, 13:12, 19:2, 74:11 addition [1] - 29:11 addition [1] - 29:13 addition [1] - 29:13 addition [1] - 29:14	18th [1] - 92:3	30 [4] - 6:17, 6:23,	62:24, 66:6, 79:22,		aided [1] - 96:17
$\begin{array}{ $		6:24, 95:15	80:2, 99:10, 101:1,		aimed [2] - 71:5, 71:7
1990 [1] - 91:10 38 [1] - 80:7 33:25, 49:6, 49:19, 108:7 34:14, 35:10, 32.22, 108:7 34:14, 35:10, 32.22, 108:10, 101:7 34:14, 35:10, 38:10, 32.22, 100:10, 101:7 34:14, 35:10, 38:10, 32.22, 109:10, 30:11, 101:14, 102:14, 102:15 34:14, 35:10, 38:1, 59:11, 102:14, 102:14, 102:14, 109:16, 102:15 34:16, 38:10, 31:14, 38:10, 32.22, 108:14, 32:11,	••	33 [1] - 74:20			albeit [1] - 80:4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	••	35 [1] - 75:8		54:14, 55:13, 55:22,	alive [1] - 68:15
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		38 [1] - 80:7		56:22, 57:11, 58:1,	allegation [3] - 12:11,
92:392:392:393:121997 [2] - 79:12, 79:24 $4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3$ $4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3$ $4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3$ $4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3$ 40 [2] - 81:16, 91:9 $4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3$ $4 [2] - 81:16, 91:9$ $3 (2] - 81:16, 91:9$ $3 (2] - 81:16, 91:9$ 98:13, 100:22, 103:6 $4 [1] - 6:14$ $3 (2] - 81:16, 91:9$ $3 (2] - 81:16, 91:9$ $3 (2] - 81:16, 91:9$ 98:13, 100:22, 103:6 $4 [1] - 6:14$ $3 (2) - 81:16, 91:9$ $3 (2) - 81:16, 91:9$ $3 (2) - 81:16, 91:9$ 98:13, 100:22, 103:6 $5 (1] - 71:3$ $5 (1] - 71:3$ $3 (2) - 81:17, 82:17, 82:17, 82:17, 82:19, 92:113 (2) - 81:18, 83:16, 83:2999 [13] - 5:23, 14:18, 15:7, 17:25, 54:16, 68:17, 69:12, 72:7, 72:14, 74:21, 74:25, 91:13, 101:35 (1] - 71:35 (1] - 71:35 (1] - 71:35 (1] - 71:35 (1] - 71:35 (1] - 71:35 (1] - 71:35 (2) - 12:7, 71:19, 91:13, 92:12, 92:14, 43:221, 41:24, 54:17, 73:19, 100:133 (2) - 81:17, 82:19, 93:3, 101:7$				60:12, 60:19	85:3, 93:5
1997 [2] - 79:12, 79:24 4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3 absence [4] - 10:4, 13:12, 19:2, 74:11 addicted [1] - 64:5 2.4, 2.9, 2.2, 3:1 1998 [11] - 70:22, 72:2, 72:18, 79:6, 79:7, 86:8, 94:2, 95:13, 98:13, 100:22, 103:6 4 [2] - 70:19, 99:3 40 [2] - 81:16, 91:9 absence [4] - 10:4, 13:12, 19:2, 74:11 addiction [2] - 34:2, 49:5 4:10, 5:1, 5:2, 5:5: 66:12, 66:18, 77: 30:11, 72:14, 74:25, 54:16, 68:17, 69:12, 72:7, 72:14, 74:21, 74:25, 91:13, 101:3 5 [1] - 71:3 5 [1] - 71:3 absurd [1] - 46:22 abundance [1] - 91:24 abusive [5] - 86:17, 88:19, 89:15, 90:13, 102:5 additions [1] - 78:16 82:11, 83:16, 83:2 additions [1] - 78:16 83:11, 87:1, 87:19, 93:3, 101:7, 101:7 2 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 88:11 accepts [1] - 41:15 accepts [1] - 41:15 accepts [1] - 41:15 accepts [1] - 41:15 accepts [1] - 41:15 adjacent [1] - 15:8 93:14 2000 [6] - 1:12, 5:10, 65: (6:16, 14:18, 53:12 6 [5] - 50:10 65 [5] - 50:10 83:23 accord [1] - 81:25 accord [1] - 81:25 advance [1		4		Adam's [1] - 56:2	allegations [32] - 1:16,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				addicted [1] - 64:5	2:4, 2:9, 2:22, 3:11,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•••	4 [2] - 70·19 99·3			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•••	,			66:12, 66:18, 77:15,
98:13, 100:22, 103:6 1999 [13] - 5:23, 14:18, 15:7, 17:25, 54:16, 68:17, 69:12, 72:7, 72:14, 74:21, 74:25, 91:13, 101:3 5 absurd [1] - 46:22 abundance [1] - 91:24 abusive [5] - 86:17, 88:19, 89:15, 90:13, 102:5 additions [1] - 78:16 additions [1] - 78:14 additions [1] - 78:16 additions [1] - 78:14 additons [1] - 78:16 additions [1] - 78:16 additio			-		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		v			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			••		
91:13, 101:3 $52 [1] - 1:5$ $5th [2] - 6:16, 53:20$ accept [8] - 31:24, $32:14, 32:21, 41:24,$ $54:17, 73:19, 100:13$ $accepted [2] - 17:1,$ $88:11$ addressed [5] - 38:3, $81:9, 81:17, 82:19,$ $93:4$ alleged [8] - 78:24, $79:18, 82:22, 83:$ $86:19, 88:25, 90:2$ 26accept [2] - 17:1, $88:11$ addressed [5] - 38:3, $93:4$ alleged [8] - 78:24, $93:4$ 2 [4] - 31:21, 37:9, $37:11, 39:12$ 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, $91:13, 93:9, 106:12$ accepts [1] - 41:15 $accepts [1] - 41:15$ $accepts [2] - 76:10,$ $98:7$ adjacent [1] - 15:8 $61:14, 109:16$ allegiance [1] - 36:6 $allocated [1] - 70:4$ $allocated [1] - 70:4$ $allocated [1] - 70:4$ $allotted [1] - 61:9$ $allow [1] - 31:13$ $allow [1] - 31:13$ $allow [2] - 32:2, 71:15$ $almost [2] - 99:19,$ $advance [1] - 58:14$ $almost [2] - 99:19,$ $advance [1] - 61:1$ $advantages [1] - 61:1$ $allor [2] - 56:9, 66:$					
2 5th [2] - 6:16, 53:20 32:14, 32:21, 41:24, 54:17, 73:19, 100:13 attresset [3] - 30.0, 93:4 attresset [3] - 30.0, 93:4 2 6 32:14, 32:21, 41:24, 54:17, 73:19, 100:13 81:9, 81:17, 82:19, 93:4 86:19, 88:22, 83:7 37:11, 39:12 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 accepted [2] - 17:1, 88:11 addresset [3] - 30:0, 93:4 allegiance [1] - 36:6 2000 [6] - 1:12, 5:10, 65; 6:16, 14:18, 53:12 6 [5] - 1:7 65:10 - 50:10 65:10 - 50:10 accepts [1] - 41:15 accompanying [1] - 83:23 allotted [1] - 70:4 2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 87:23, 93:5 68:11.6, 87:23, 93:5 according [2] - 73:4, 107:9 advance [1] - 58:14 alloget [5] - 99:19, according [2] - 73:4, 107:9					
2 6 54:17, 73:19, 100:13 93:4 86:19, 88:25, 90:2 2 [4] - 31:21, 37:9, 37:11, 39:12 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 88:11 accepted [2] - 17:1, 88:11 93:4 allegiance [1] - 36:6 2000 [6] - 1:12, 5:10, 6:5, 6:16, 14:18, 53:12 6 [5] - 1:7, 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 87:23, 93:5 6 [5] - 1:7, 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 87:23, 93:5 6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 93:4 allegiance [1] - 36:6 2000 [6] - 1:12, 5:10, 65 [1] - 50:10 6 [5] - 1:7 75:8, 77:14, 83:23 accompanying [1] - 83:23 adopt [2] - 32:2, 71:15 allow [1] - 71:20 2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, 87:23, 93:5 6 [5] - 1:7 6 [5] - 1:7 6 [5] - 1:7 93:4 adopt [2] - 32:2, 71:15 allow [1] - 31:13 adopt [2] - 32:2, 71:15 advantages [1] - 61:1 advantages [1] - 61:1 advantages [1] - 61:1 advantages [1] - 61:1 87:23, 93:5 accountability [2] - accountability [2] - adversities [1] - 36:11 alone [2] - 56:9, 66:		ətn [2] - 6:16, 53:20	• • • •		79:18, 82:22, 83:18,
O accepted [2] - 17:1, 37:11, 39:12 adjacent [1] - 15:8 93:14 20/20 [1] - 69:16 6[5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 6[5] - 1:2, 71:19, 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 accepts [1] - 41:15 access [2] - 76:10, 98:7 adjacent [1] - 15:8 allocated [1] - 36:2 2000 [6] - 1:12, 5:10, 65 [1] - 50:10 65 [1] - 50:10 accompanying [1] - 83:23 accompanying [1] - 83:23 allotted [1] - 61:9 allotted [1] - 61:9 2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 87:23, 93:5 68 [1] - 1:7 accord [1] - 81:25 according [2] - 73:4, 107:9 advance [1] - 58:14 advantages [1] - 61:1 almost [2] - 99:19, adversities [1] - 36:11	2	6	54:17, 73:19, 100:13		86:19, 88:25, 90:21,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2} [4] - 31:21, 37:9, \\ 37:11, 39:12 \\ \textbf{20/20} [1] - 69:16 \\ \textbf{2000} [6] - 1:12, 5:10, \\ 6:5, 6:16, 14:18, \\ 53:12 \\ \textbf{2001} [7] - 75:8, 77:14, \\ 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, \\ 87:23, 93:5 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [5] - 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ \textbf{6} [6] - 1:16 \\ \textbf{6} [6] - 1:16 \\ \textbf{6} [6] - 1:17 \\ \textbf$		- D	accepted [2] - 17:1,		
$\begin{array}{c} 2[4] = 31:21, 37:9, \\ 37:11, 39:12 \\ 20/20 [1] = 69:16 \\ 2000 [6] = 1:12, 5:10, \\ 6:5, 6:16, 14:18, \\ 53:12 \\ 2001 [7] = 75:8, 77:14, \\ 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, \\ 87:23, 93:5 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 6[5] = 1:2, 71:19, \\ 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 \\ 62 [1] = 1:6 \\ 65 [1] = 50:10 \\ 68 [1] = 1:7 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} accepts [1] = 41:15 \\ access [2] = 76:10, \\ 98:7 \\ accompanying [1] = \\ 83:23 \\ accord [1] = 81:25 \\ accord [1] = 58:14 \\ almost [2] = 99:19, \\ advantages [1] = 61:1 \\ adversities [1] = 36:11 \\ adversities [1] = 36:11 \\ alone [2] = 56:9, 66:11 \\ adversities [1] = 36:11 \\ alone [2] = 56:9, 66:11 \\ adversities [1] = 36:11 \\ alone [2] = 56:9, 66:11 \\ adversities [1] = 36:11 \\ adversites [1] = 36:11 \\ adversites [1] = 36:11$	0		88:11		allegiance [1] - 36:6
37:11, 39:12 91:13, 93:9, 106:12 access [2] - 76:10, 98:7 administration [2] - allocation [1] - 70:4 20/20 [1] - 69:16 62 [1] - 1:6 98:7 access [2] - 76:10, 98:7 administration [2] - allocation [1] - 70:4 6:5, 6:16, 14:18, 53:12 65 [1] - 50:10 65 [1] - 50:10 accompanying [1] - administration [2] - allow [1] - 61:9 2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 87:23, 93:5 68 [1] - 1:7 according [2] - 73:4, 107:9 advantages [1] - 61:1 almost [2] - 99:19, adversities [1] - 36:11		6 [5] - 1:2, 71:19,	accepts [1] - 41:15		allocated [1] - 74:23
20/20 [i] - 09:16 62 [i] - 1:6 98:7 26:4, 28:1 allotted [i] - 61:9 2000 [i] - 1:12, 5:10, 65 [i] - 50:10 accompanying [i] - accompanying [i] - admit [i] - 86:9 allotted [i] - 31:13 53:12 68 [i] - 1:7 accord [i] - 81:25 accord [i] - 81:25 adopt [2] - 32:2, 71:15 allotted [1] - 71:20 2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, accord [i] - 81:25 advance [i] - 58:14 almost [2] - 99:19, 87:23, 93:5 accountability [2] - accountability [2] - adversities [i] - 61:1 alone [2] - 56:9, 66:			access [2] - 76:10,		allocation [1] - 70:4
6:5, 6:16, 14:18, 65 [1] - 50:10 accompanying [1] - admit [1] - 86:9 allow [1] - 31:13 53:12 68 [1] - 1:7 accord [1] - 81:25 accord [1] - 81:25 adopt [2] - 32:2, 71:15 allow [1] - 71:20 2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, according [2] - 73:4, 107:9 advance [1] - 58:14 allows [2] - 99:19, 87:23, 93:5 accountability [2] - accountability [2] - accountability [2] - adversities [1] - 36:11 allows [2] - 56:9, 66:		62 [1] - 1:6	98:7		
68 1] - 1:7 83:23 adopt [2] - 32:2, 71:15 allude [1] - 71:20 2001 77:75:8, 77:14, accord [1] - 81:25 according [2] - 73:4, advance [1] - 58:14 almost [2] - 99:19, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 87:23, 93:5 accountability [2] - 32:2, 71:15 allude [1] - 71:20 almost [2] - 99:19,		65 [1] - 50:10			allow [1] - 31:13
2001 [7] - 75:8, 77:14, accord [1] - 81:25 advance [1] - 58:14 almost [2] - 99:19, 80:5, 80:6, 81:16, 107:9 advantages [1] - 61:1 107:20 87:23, 93:5 accountability [2] - accountability [2] - adversities [1] - 36:11 alone [2] - 56:9, 66:		68 [1] - 1:7			allude [1] - 71:20
according [2] - 73:4, advantages [1] - 61:1 107:20 87:23, 93:5 accountability [2] - adversities [1] - 36:11 alone [2] - 56:9, 66:					almost [2] - 99:19,
87:23, 93:5 accountability (2) - adversities [1] - 36:11 alone [2] - 56:9, 66:					107:20
				adversities [1] - 36:11	alone [2] - 56:9, 66:8
advice [2] - 63:14, Amenaed [1] - 68:5	· -		accountability [2] -	advice [2] - 63:14,	Amended [1] - 68:5
ii			ii		

America's [1] - 46:11 American [2] - 31:15, 104:8 105:21 amount [3] - 2:19, 31:11, 31:13 104:12 analysis [9] - 27:8, 41:6, 56:24, 68:18, 69:9, 72:1, 73:20, 74:3, 74:15 analyzing [1] - 44:25 anger [1] - 62:21 58:8 annually [2] - 26:16, 26:18 answer [3] - 16:24, 19:10, 60:15 answered [1] - 89:21 answers [2] - 18:8, 100:2 46:3 anthropologist [1] -58:4 anxiety [1] - 99:15 54:12 anyway [3] - 2:23, 48:23, 105:14 apology [1] - 100:13 apparent [1] - 102:16 appeared [1] - 22:8 appearing [2] - 52:2, 54.1568·3 applied [1] - 92:16 apply [1] - 53:15 appointed [1] - 108:12 appreciate [5] - 34:5, 34:18, 76:1, 103:22, 108:18 appreciated [1] -100:19 appreciative [1] - 37:6 apprehend [2] - 34:16, 95:5 63:16 apprehended [4] -57:8, 63:6, 69:14, 94:15 84:22 approach [2] - 25:17, 74:2 approached [1] -70:21 35:23 appropriate [2] -37:23, 38:10 approximately .. [1] -91:19 April [8] - 1:12, 5:10, 6:5, 6:16, 6:21, 7:3, 18:16, 82:10 105:1 Archie [4] - 37:16, 37:18, 47:11, 59:7 archives [1] - 81:24 area [2] - 1:9, 59:10 areas [4] - 15:8, 23:10, 52:21, 53:7 argument [8] - 6:14,

61:25, 70:19, 74:13, 77:8, 104:2, 104:6, arguments [1] arisen [1] - 47:17 armed [1] - 72:11 arrest [3] - 27:17, 42:9, 42:13 arrested [2] - 32:4, arrive [1] - 22:2 article [1] - 35:2 articles [1] - 20:3 articulated [1] - 9:20 aside [1] - 45:6 aspects [2] - 45:2, assess [1] - 55:8 assessing [2] - 25:20, assessment [3] - 53:9, 55:21, 68:25 assign [1] - 96:16 assigned [1] - 25:19 assignment [2] - 54:9, assist [5] - 20:5, 53:4, 60:4, 62:14 assistance [4] - 42:6, 52:22, 64:12, 107:13 assists [1] - 41:22 associated [2] -69:24, 77:24 associates [1] - 82:12 assume [1] - 63:9 assumed [2] - 95:3, assuming [1] - 40:8 assumption [1] assure [1] - 50:20 **AT** [4] - 1:3, 61:14, 61:15, 109:16 atmosphere [1] attempt [3] - 12:24, 29:19, 49:7 attempted [1] - 28:23 attempting [3] - 43:8, 95:20, 98:24 attend [2] - 26:19, attendance [1] - 26:15 attended [5] - 50:14, 50:19, 104:22, 105:4, 108:8 attending [3] - 72:8, 104:24, 108:17 attention [15] - 12:19,

12:22, 13:22, 22:1, 22:9, 46:23, 82:13, 83:7, 89:9, 89:23, 90:15, 90:16, 94:6, 95:13, 103:6 attitude [2] - 38:17, 45:21 attorneys [1] - 35:8 attributed [2] - 86:22, 87:25 August [2] - 17:25, 70:21 author [1] - 4:11 authored [1] - 4:4 authorities [1] - 31:15 authority [1] - 76:14 available [5] - 14:25, 30:14, 30:16, 57:13, 58:6 avert [1] - 73:22 avoid [1] - 22:13 avoiding [1] - 24:5 aware [11] - 15:23, 16:5, 17:19, 17:20, 18:4, 21:7, 70:15, 70:20, 71:9, 95:2, 99:20 В baby [1] - 84:22 background [1] -106:1 bad [1] - 65:13 balancing [1] - 34:6 bar [1] - 105:3 Barb [1] - 28:10 barrier [3] - 91:4, 103:9, 103:10 bars [1] - 102:18 based [8] - 14:10, 46:14, 48:1, 49:16, 49:25, 69:12, 72:12, 85:23 baseless [1] - 23:4 basis [3] - 57:23, 102:19, 102:20 Bass [1] - 57:3 Bateman [4] - 77:7, 77:11, 103:25, 1:8 BATEMAN [2] - 77:9, 77:11 Bates [3] - 85:4, 85:7, 95:16 battered [1] - 35:11 Battershill [1] - 92:17 BC [2] - 1:1, 60:25 became [4] - 44:8, 70:20, 99:19, 101:2

-ii -

become [6] - 26:14, 30:7, 41:4, 45:15, 65:23, 69:11 becomes [2] - 21:25, 33:7 becoming [1] - 26:6 bedroom [1] - 6:18 beer [1] - 84:20 began [2] - 37:10, 44:10 begin [2] - 13:16, 62:9 beginning [4] - 22:6, 22:9, 22:18, 27:15 begins [1] - 24:20 begun [1] - 69:7 behalf [8] - 9:17, 17:9, 19:22, 51:22, 52:13, 103:13, 105:18, 106:19 behind [1] - 84:20 belief [1] - 97:25 belongs [1] - 42:16 benefit [3] - 22:25, 53:17, 55:19 Bernardo [4] - 37:17, 38:25, 47:12, 47:14 best [8] - 11:13, 27:5, 51:25, 62:14, 65:3, 67:10, 109:8, 109:20 better [12] - 19:9, 27:23, 44:6, 49:11, 61:5, 63:16, 64:20, 67:3, 67:4, 75:4, 83:8, 101:12 between [11] - 14:18, 15:13, 15:15, 16:19, 17:4, 18:10, 35:23, 65:16, 77:14, 79:18 beyond [1] - 48:21 bias [9] - 20:22, 21:9, 21:13, 21:23, 22:4, 22:13, 22:19, 64:23 biased [1] - 25:17 Biddlecombe [5] -70:14, 71:1, 92:7, 92:14, 103:6 Biddlecombe's [1] -95:12 big [1] - 86:4 bigger [1] - 33:3 **BJ** [1] - 6:19 blame [9] - 42:16, 43:8, 49:8, 49:14, 50:4, 62:22, 67:15, 81:13 blamed [1] - 43:10 blaming [4] - 40:20, 41:21, 41:22, 42:5 blank [1] - 91:20 blinded [1] - 33:7

blindly [1] - 41:8 blue [1] - 6:23 blueprint [2] - 70:23, 71.2 Blythe [14] - 19:23, 28:3, 28:7, 44:4, 44:8, 45:22, 46:21, 48:16, 48:22, 50:2, 50:9, 51:24, 73:16, 106:3 board [1] - 46:24 boastful [1] - 8:15 **Bob** [1] - 28:10 bodies [2] - 74:8 **body** [3] - 43:24, 73:25, 74:12 borders [1] - 48:9 borne [2] - 8:18, 69:19 bothered [2] - 11:24, 18:24 bottom [1] - 45:9 bouncing [1] - 97:20 **Boyd** [3] - 80:7, 80:16, 80:23 Boyer [1] - 52:7 bragg [1] - 105:21 brainstorming [1] -75:23 break [2] - 57:25, 61:10 breakdown [1] - 38:12 breaking [1] - 46:12 breaks [1] - 58:1 Brian [2] - 62:7, 66:24 brief [1] - 62:14 briefings [1] - 58:5 bring [3] - 30:2, 84:6, 90:16 bringing [1] - 28:5 brings [4] - 5:7, 14:12, 54:11, 56:20 British [4] - 34:11, 76:9, 108:13, 108:16 broken [1] - 35:22 brought [10] - 12:19. 13:22, 82:13, 83:7, 88:21, 89:8, 89:22, 90:14, 95:12, 103:4 Bruce [1] - 20:22 Bryce [1] - 84:25 budget [1] - 48:18 building [1] - 46:6 bulletin [1] - 34:25 Bundy [1] - 31:16 burden [1] - 52:14 bus [1] - 46:20 business [2] - 38:18, 95:4

С	Canadians [1] - 34:12	2:10, 5:10, 8:15,	106:10, 106:16, 1:4	105:8
	candidate [1] - 69:8	29:12, 64:18, 64:25,	Chuck [1] - 35:1	colloquially [1] -
	cannot [7] - 11:14,	71:21, 72:3, 102:7	Churchill [1] - 105:10	21:14
cabinets [1] - 58:4	29:24, 32:21, 33:3,	certainly [5] - 24:1,	circumstance [1] -	colour [2] - 25:14,
Caldwell [1] - 7:11	33:8, 33:9, 58:1	43:20, 68:23, 89:13,	7:24	30:22
caliber [1] - 60:13	canvass [11] - 6:2,	90:19	Circumstances [1] -	coloured [1] - 21:22
caller [1] - 85:21	6:7, 9:6, 9:10, 16:3,	certify [1] - 109:17	21:2	Columbia [4] - 34:11,
camera [1] - 6:19	16:6, 16:9, 16:11,	chain [1] - 90:10	circumstances [5] -	76:10, 108:13,
Cameron [109] - 77:12,	18:2, 18:3, 18:5	challenge [1] - 47:19	4:9, 57:1, 76:12,	108:16
77:16, 78:1, 78:2,	canvassed [1] - 80:25	challenged [4] - 3:19,	77:1, 87:12	combination [1] -
78:17, 79:2, 79:4,	canvasses [1] - 15:24	10:21, 10:25, 12:9	citizens [2] - 33:21,	45:10
79:9, 79:19, 79:21,	capture [4] - 29:2,	challenges [4] -	35:25	combined [1] - 50:10
80:2, 80:9, 80:21,	29:6, 29:9, 31:15	36:11, 41:17, 44:20,	city [4] - 20:11, 29:16,	comfortable [3] -
81:3, 81:5, 81:7,	cards [3] - 92:22,	44:22	48:16, 85:25	61:19, 61:24, 71:8
81:14, 81:22, 82:1,	95:4, 100:5	challenging [1] -	civilian [4] - 77:12,	coming [5] - 30:17,
82:3, 82:20, 83:2,	care [9] - 29:3, 29:7,	39:17	81:13, 91:17, 95:4	48:25, 55:1, 69:9,
83:10, 83:14, 83:25,	29:10, 42:10, 50:20,	chance [3] - 72:19,	civilization [1] - 25:2	106:23
84:4, 84:10, 84:14,	50:24, 84:23,	72:20, 105:16	classism [5] - 28:17,	Comm [2] - 96:1,
84:20, 84:25, 85:4,	103:19, 109:9	change [7] - 30:4,	31:3, 42:8, 49:9,	96:23
85:8, 85:14, 86:6,	cared [2] - 60:22,	38:17, 40:1, 45:21,	49:18	command [1] - 90:10
86:9, 86:13, 86:15,	103:14	64:13, 67:25, 96:24		commended [1] - 90.10
86:21, 87:8, 87:14,			classist [2] - 27:16,	
87:17, 87:19, 87:25,	career [1] - 66:2	changed [8] - 15:18,	32:11	46:11
88:1, 88:4, 88:8,	careful [3] - 52:22,	18:16, 67:5, 68:20,	clear [9] - 9:2, 10:10,	comment [1] - 92:20
88:16, 88:20, 89:1,	55:4, 71:13	69:3, 74:22, 75:14	10:15, 14:4, 21:11,	commented [1] -
89:4, 89:6, 89:7,	carefully [4] - 44:1,	changer [1] - 16:13	50:3, 72:16, 75:13,	86:12
89:10, 89:12, 89:14,	52:8, 59:20, 62:12	changes [5] - 30:6,	80:10	comments [19] - 21:8,
89:21, 89:25, 90:5,	caring [1] - 45:7	45:17, 45:18, 75:12,	clearly [2] - 2:16,	25:25, 65:9, 80:22,
90:9, 90:15, 90:23,	Carolyn [1] - 27:25	78:16	73:12	81:1, 82:8, 85:7,
91:10, 91:17, 92:5,	carried [2] - 8:18, 18:2	chapter [1] - 25:3	clerk [7] - 77:12,	86:19, 86:21, 86:25,
92:8, 92:13, 92:15,	carry [2] - 17:24, 18:3	Characteristics [1] -	77:17, 91:19, 91:24,	87:5, 87:25, 88:3,
93:1, 93:4, 93:14,	carrying [1] - 9:6	35:2	92:16, 102:25, 103:2	88:17, 89:10, 89:15,
93:18, 93:25, 94:1,	case [17] - 25:19,	characteristics [2] -	clever [1] - 105:20	89:16, 101:15, 102:4
94:3, 94:9, 94:10,	31:25, 32:8, 37:17,	35:15, 39:25	client [4] - 62:6, 65:3,	commercials [1] -
94:13, 94:17, 94:21,	37:20, 38:25, 39:5,	charge [2] - 85:10,	66:24, 67:4	30:10
94:13, 94:17, 94:21, 94:25, 95:2, 95:7,	47:21, 47:25, 48:3,	85:12	client's [1] - 67:15	commission [58] -
95:22, 95:24, 96:2,	59:5, 68:24, 73:16,	charges [1] - 57:23	clients [2] - 1:17,	1:19, 4:25, 20:14,
96:22, 96:25, 97:5,	90:20, 96:16, 98:6,	checks [1] - 54:21	51:23	22:12, 23:6, 27:7,
97:7, 97:14, 97:15,	99:18	cheques [2] - 73:2,	close [2] - 33:6, 60:11	27:13, 27:20, 28:18,
97:21, 98:10, 99:1,	cases [6] - 23:21,	73:8	closely [1] - 43:22	28:22, 28:24, 29:17,
99:23, 100:6,	24:2, 47:25, 61:6,	Chief [32] - 11:2, 11:9,	closer [2] - 7:20,	31:6, 33:8, 33:10,
	78:5, 91:23	19:23, 19:24, 28:3,	82:22	37:10, 37:12, 40:3,
100:23, 101:4,	catalyst [2] - 69:10,	28:7, 28:8, 44:4,	closing [9] - 49:1,	40:7, 40:13, 40:14,
101:6, 101:10,	69:11	44:5, 44:8, 44:12,	51:4, 68:5, 101:6,	40:15, 40:16, 40:19,
101:17, 101:24,	catch [3] - 52:17,	44:13, 44:17, 45:22,	104:2, 107:3, 107:4,	41:4, 41:7, 41:9,
102:6, 102:17,	56:22, 61:1	45:23, 46:21, 48:16,	108:18, 109:12	41:24, 42:24, 43:1,
102:25, 103:8,	catching [2] - 29:14,	48:22, 50:2, 50:3,	Closing [2] - 68:6, 1:9	43:3, 47:3, 47:10,
103:12, 103:22	60:20	50:9, 51:23, 51:24,	closure [1] - 109:7	49:13, 49:14, 50:17,
Cameron's [12] - 78:8,	categorically [1] -	62:7, 73:16, 74:1,	clouded [1] - 22:19	50:19, 50:25, 53:4,
78:15, 79:16, 86:12,	85:4	74:14, 92:17, 94:23	co [6] - 3:23, 39:7,	55:6, 57:2, 59:4,
87:13, 88:21, 90:8, 91:5, 91:6, 92:20	caught [1] - 57:21	chief [4] - 27:24, 44:9,	46:5, 48:1, 48:5,	60:10, 61:3, 62:25,
91:5, 91:6, 92:20, 03:20, 101:20	caused [4] - 25:8,	44:10, 94:6	48:8	64:16, 65:5, 65:6,
93:20, 101:20	43:12, 58:22, 62:22	choose [4] - 29:19,	co-operation [3] -	65:7, 65:22, 66:1,
Campbell [8] - 37:16,	causes [1] - 49:16	65:23, 65:24, 66:4	39:7, 46:5, 48:1	67:17, 67:19, 67:21,
37:19, 38:24, 47:11,	caution [1] - 67:17	chose [1] - 85:22	co-ordination [2] -	68:24, 70:20, 76:4,
59:7, 93:23, 94:8,	centralized [1] - 76:6	chosen [1] - 28:6	48:5, 48:8	79:8
94:18	centre [6] - 96:15,	CHRISTIE [4] - 19:21,	co-workers [1] - 3:23	Commission [1] -
Canada [1] - 52:22	96:23, 97:2, 97:17,	52:4, 106:13, 106:17	coaching [1] - 89:5	34:10
Canada's [1] - 23:19		Christie [9] - 19:22,	• • •	commission's [2] -
Canadian [2] - 20:23,	98:5, 105:2	55:4, 56:17, 104:23,	cognitive [1] - 21:12 coin [1] - 74:10	33:14, 52:21
			LUIIII-14 IU	
107:19	centuries [1] - 60:24 certain [10] - 1:12,	105:4, 105:19,	collegial [2] - 104:20,	commissioner [3] -

39:22, 68:4, 74:18	31:8, 33:17, 33:19,	11:11, 22:14, 42:25	13:25, 14:2, 14:12	coupled [1] - 72:25
COMMISSIONER [27]	34:18, 36:6, 41:20,	conditions [1] - 63:5	contrast [1] - 18:4	courage [2] - 36:12,
- 1:5, 2:12, 19:20,	42:1, 42:2, 42:4,	conduct [14] - 1:24,	contribute [1] - 39:21	36:13
52:2, 52:5, 61:7,	42:17, 43:7, 43:17,	8:2, 9:3, 11:18, 19:9,	contributed [1] -	course [20] - 20:15,
61:12, 61:20, 62:1,	46:7, 49:10, 50:7,	25:15, 77:16, 79:16,	74:16	24:3, 26:16, 26:18,
62:5, 62:8, 63:5,	50:8, 50:21, 51:1,	82:4, 82:11, 87:13,	contributors [1] - 25:7	30:20, 37:3, 43:19,
63:9, 68:2, 68:7,	51:15, 71:18, 72:8,	90:12, 101:7, 102:2	convenience [1] -	51:25, 53:10, 59:14,
76:23, 77:7, 77:10,	108:6, 108:15	conducted [2] - 13:3,	61:17	64:19, 66:13, 66:19,
103:25, 104:14,	compassion [1] -	21:6	conversation [1] -	68:8, 73:24, 76:18,
104:18, 105:9,	100:14	conducting [1] - 68:22	93:17	78:3, 79:2, 104:24,
105:23, 106:15,	competence [1] -	confidence [1] - 77:5	converse [1] - 92:24	107:14
106:25, 107:3, 107:7	35:25	confident [1] - 77:5	convict [1] - 61:1	courses [1] - 26:21
Commissioner [60] -	complain [2] - 80:24,	confirms [1] - 2:14	convicted [2] - 24:25,	courtroom [1] - 35:12
1:6, 19:5, 19:21,	82:16	conflicts [2] - 36:22,	32:4	courts [1] - 35:8
20:7, 20:15, 20:19,	complaint [1] - 81:2	75:17	conviction [2] - 23:21,	cover [2] - 15:24, 19:8
21:5, 22:20, 23:2,	complaints [18] -	congratulate [1] -	57:18	coverup [1] - 27:19
23:18, 24:4, 25:4,	79:10, 80:3, 80:4,	108:11	Convictions [2] -	CPIC [2] - 72:13,
25:24, 27:1, 28:12,	80:20, 81:6, 82:5,	connection [1] - 14:21	21:1, 24:16	96:18
28:25, 32:13, 33:18,	82:10, 82:11, 83:5,	consequences [1] -	convictions [2] -	create [4] - 10:16,
34:9, 34:17, 37:3,	83:24, 87:11, 87:20,	48:24	24:19, 25:8	35:22, 43:9, 51:16
37:9, 37:13, 38:6,	87:21, 93:10, 95:17,	consider [6] - 34:1,	convinced [1] - 73:13	created [1] - 42:11
38:19, 39:9, 39:18,	101:11, 101:19	39:20, 45:19, 54:12,	Cooper [3] - 28:10,	credible [1] - 30:18
40:5, 40:17, 40:25, 41:6, 41:14, 42:19,	complete [4] - 45:21,	59:2, 74:18	79:25, 95:15	Crime [1] - 28:4
43:14, 43:22, 45:18,	64:15, 96:4, 104:15	considerable [3] -	copies [1] - 96:19	crime [23] - 30:9,
45:24, 46:10, 46:16,	completely [4] - 18:1,	2:19, 13:18, 14:24	copy [3] - 68:4, 79:21,	30:12, 30:25, 31:7,
48:10, 48:25, 49:18,	49:15, 73:4, 74:3	considered [4] - 12:3,	105:23	32:1, 32:2, 32:5,
49:25, 50:22, 51:4,	complex [2] - 35:5,	43:25, 69:1, 91:22	Coquitlam [1] - 53:14	32:9, 32:18, 32:20,
51:19, 52:6, 54:10,	61:6	considering [5] - 5:1,	Corporal [1] - 94:8	32:21, 32:22, 32:24,
56:24, 58:11, 59:2,	complexity [1] - 52:11	8:20, 23:13, 45:25,	correct [1] - 16:16	33:5, 33:23, 34:16,
59:19, 61:6, 61:8,	complicated [1] - 61:5	66:2	corrects [1] - 47:23	44:7, 51:7, 70:11,
62:6, 64:19, 104:1,	compulsory [1] -	considers [2] - 41:17,	correspondence [1] -	72:11, 73:25
104:19, 105:15,	26:23	41:19	79:22	crimes [3] - 32:15,
109:6	computer [3] - 76:10,	consistency [1] -	corresponding [1] -	39:24, 70:12
commissions [1] -	96:17, 98:8	48:11	78:6	criminal [4] - 23:7,
106:24	computers [1] - 98:7	consistent [1] - 10:5	corroborates [1] -	24:10, 24:22, 34:14
commit [1] - 68:12	concede [1] - 10:11	console [1] - 34:15	56:2	Criminal [2] - 21:3,
commitment [1] - 50:6	conceded [2] - 7:17,	Constable [26] - 1:7,	corroborating [1] -	30:8
committed [6] - 8:14,	11:21	1:10, 3:4, 3:5, 7:21,	87:10	criminology [1] -
36:3, 50:9, 50:11,	concept [3] - 9:6,	9:18, 10:10, 10:19,	corroborative [1] - 7:9	69:25
60:22, 85:25	20:21, 46:4	10:20, 11:3, 11:10,	Cory [2] - 25:25, 26:15	critical [2] - 35:16,
commodity [1] - 35:18	concern [2] - 4:3, 90:1	13:17, 14:4, 19:10,	cost [1] - 35:20	99:5
common [3] - 36:17,	concerned [3] - 36:17,	19:23, 19:24, 27:25,	counsel [22] - 1:6,	critically [1] - 25:20
45:15, 62:20	92:11, 93:13	44:5, 57:21, 57:23,	1:19, 2:10, 9:17,	criticism [5] - 12:25,
communicate [1] -	concerning [7] - 4:3, 5:15, 77:16, 82:4,	69:5, 73:5, 74:25, 94:23	13:16, 20:14, 23:5,	28:22, 28:23, 37:4
88:19	89:21, 95:17, 102:2		26:13, 26:24, 49:13,	criticized [1] - 27:5
communicated [2] -	concerns [10] - 4:7,	constable [4] - 71:23, 94:6, 94:22, 95:1	52:22, 61:17, 76:25,	cross [2] - 12:8, 48:9
5:19, 10:14	24:24, 80:16, 81:4,		77:4, 77:11, 104:21,	cross-examined [1] -
communication [3] -	81:9, 82:19, 83:4,	constant [3] - 34:5, 34:8, 99:9	104:25, 105:25,	12:8
39:7, 46:5, 101:4	90:8, 91:16, 92:25	contact [3] - 100:20,	106:11, 106:19,	Crown [1] - 20:23
communications [8] -	conclude [5] - 22:5,	100:22, 100:24	107:12	crucial [1] - 78:1
67:4, 81:18, 95:20,	65:22, 67:17, 68:9,	contemporaneous [1]	count [1] - 40:25	cruel [1] - 56:24
96:15, 96:23, 97:1,	74:11	- 3:22	counter [2] - 95:20,	CSI [1] - 30:8
97:17, 98:5	concluded [2] - 40:2,	contemptuous [1] -	96:8	culpability [1] - 22:3
communities [4] -	109:14	3:3	counterproductive [1]	culture [1] - 38:12
29:12, 36:1, 36:9,	conclusion [8] -	context [1] - 44:3	- 74:4	cursing [1] - 97:25
108:7	11:13, 18:7, 18:18,	continues [2] - 58:20,	countries [1] - 47:18	
community [30] -	18:20, 19:5, 22:3,	92:5	country [4] - 24:9,	D
24:6, 24:7, 24:11,	60:12, 68:12	continuing [1] - 54:22	24:12, 49:20, 49:23	
29:22, 29:23, 30:2,	conclusions [3] -	contradiction [3] -	couple [4] - 75:9,	Dahmer [1] - 74:5
			88:15, 89:23, 93:10	
		iv		

Daley [1] - 27:25 Dammann [3] - 93:23, 94:2, 94:13 danger [1] - 36:12 dangerous [3] - 23:13, 27:10, 49:21 dangers [3] - 24:9, 24:14, 27:2 databank [2] - 59:12, 59:17 databanks [1] - 59:14 dated [1] - 80:5 daughters [1] - 62:17 David [1] - 23:22 days [9] - 52:9, 52:15, 60:12, 65:12, 65:13, 102:24, 105:4, 107:10, 107:14 **DC** [1] - 57:19 DCC [4] - 57:19, 71:15, 71:17, 73:17 deal [4] - 5:14, 5:15, 47:6, 99:19 dealing [1] - 100:7 dealings [2] - 82:12, 95:8 dealt [5] - 1:17, 79:4, 83:11, 83:20, 85:23 deaths [1] - 22:16 decade [3] - 58:13, 67:5, 67:6 December [2] - 44:10, 91:13 decide [1] - 85:10 deciding [1] - 85:12 decision [2] - 35:10, 71:15 decisions [6] - 34:8, 35:7, 45:11, 53:1, 53:9, 54:13 dedicate [1] - 30:14 dedicated [9] - 4:18, 25:4, 29:21, 33:22, 39:2, 45:7, 65:24, 66:5, 67:7 dedication [2] - 39:1, 50:6 deepest [1] - 100:13 deeply [3] - 50:20, 50:24, 103:20 defeated [1] - 39:5 defects [1] - 47:24 defenceless [1] -63:18 deficiencies [2] -13:2, 19:8 definition [5] - 31:19, 31:22, 31:24, 32:1, 107:21 deflect [1] - 12:24

degree [2] - 22:7, 36:8 delayed [2] - 42:9, 42:13 **DelBigio** [5] - 61:17, 62:4, 62:5, 68:2, 1:6 DELBIGIO [4] - 62:6, 62:9, 63:8, 63:19 deliver [1] - 52:18 demand [1] - 76:14 demanding [1] - 34:4 demeanor [5] - 88:22, 89:7, 90:8, 92:24, 93:21 demonstrated [1] -47:24 denial [1] - 3:19 denied [10] - 3:18, 12:7, 84:4, 88:20, 93:7, 97:7, 101:21, 101:24, 102:2, 102:4 denies [4] - 85:4, 86:18, 88:16, 102:6 deny [2] - 98:15, 101:13 department [5] - 29:3, 29:6, 29:10, 83:12, 99.6 Department [14] -13:4, 17:9, 19:23, 20:25, 29:2, 29:5, 29:9. 43:15. 46:19. 60:2. 68:13. 73:3. 73:12, 77:13 department's [3] -36:7, 46:8, 46:13 Department's [2] -68:19, 73:10 departments [1] -43:18 depth [1] - 74:19 Deputy [18] - 11:2, 11:9, 19:24, 28:7, 44:5, 44:12, 44:16, 45:23, 48:16, 48:22, 50:3, 50:9, 51:24, 62:7, 74:1, 74:14, 92.17 describe [1] - 105:19 described [3] - 3:2, 25:11.94:14 description [4] - 55:4, 70:8, 70:13, 70:14 deserve [5] - 5:6, 24:7, 34:3, 37:1, 108:14 designation [1] - 56:7 desperate [1] - 56:4 despicable [2] -45:13, 63:2 despite [3] - 27:1, 40:5, 81:7

detail [2] - 54:19, 76:17 details [2] - 52:23, 81:6 detective [7] - 26:20, 71:23, 93:15, 93:19, 93:25, 94:14, 94:19 **Detective** [12] - 7:20, 8:21, 13:17, 14:4, 19:10, 69:5, 72:9, 73:5, 74:25, 92:2, 94:7, 94:9 detectives [5] - 73:16, 90:10, 90:15, 90:17, 91:25 determine [4] - 35:9, 54:18, 72:4, 80:19 determined [1] - 72:23 determining [2] -54:22, 69:2 detracting [1] - 63:20 detrimental [1] - 66:22 devastating [2] -25:22, 49:16 develop [2] - 33:3, 44:6 developed [3] - 23:10, 60:17, 69:23 developing [1] - 62:3 develops [1] - 25:18 devoted [2] - 13:20, 75:7 **Dicks** [2] - 28:13, 102:17 Dickson [1] - 69:6 die [1] - 60:15 difference [2] - 13:23, 40:4 different [3] - 41:15, 66:23, 90:5 differently [3] - 14:10, 40:3, 102:19 difficult [9] - 14:8, 20:3, 32:15, 62:13, 64:19, 64:24, 65:25, 67:12, 83:21 difficulties [3] - 42:15, 59:23, 76:1 difficulty [1] - 106:3 dignity [1] - 37:2 diligent [2] - 8:14, 17:16 dire [1] - 46:13 directed [1] - 55:22 direction [8] - 40:8. 40:11, 41:15, 41:17, 41:19, 45:21, 75:14 directive [6] - 37:9, 37:11, 39:11, 39:18, 40:6, 104:4

·V·

directly [2] - 12:23, 88:22 disadvantage [2] -64:7, 83:14 disagreements [2] -65:16, 65:17 disappearance [2] -72:24, 99:7 disappearances [1] -22.16 disappearing [1] -16:20 disasters [1] - 37:22 disbanded [1] - 70:25 discipline [1] - 91:4 disciplined [1] - 91:1 discourage [1] - 66:14 discouraged [2] -24:13, 66:3 discovered [1] - 30:25 discriminatory [1] -85:21 discuss [3] - 26:22, 71:3, 75:9 discussed [1] - 47:2 discussion [2] -14:24, 107:21 discussions [1] - 82:3 disengaged [1] -34:22 disengagement [5] -31:3, 31:5, 40:21, 45:14, 49:7 dishonest [1] - 3:3 disinterested [1] -34:22 dismiss [1] - 5:5 dismissed [1] - 12:11 dismissive [1] - 14:6 dismissively [3] - 9:5, 9:7, 9:12 dispatch [1] - 96:18 dispatched [1] - 96:22 displacing [1] - 34:20 display [1] - 36:13 dissatisfaction [1] -4:1 distance [2] - 96:5, 96.21 distinguished [1] -37:15 distortions [1] - 21:12 distorts [1] - 25:6 distract [2] - 28:24, 29:18 distracted [1] - 67:19 distribute [1] - 96:19 divided [1] - 42:18 dividing [1] - 51:11

Division [2] - 28:1, 44:13 **DNA** [6] - 57:13, 58:3, 58:7, 59:11, 59:13, 59:17 document [15] - 2:4, 2:13, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 3:23, 4:3, 4:9, 4:12, 10:13, 77:6, 87:16, 94:1, 94:5, 94:10 documentation [10] -10:12, 50:13, 78:7, 81:7, 82:24, 83:23, 84:2, 91:7, 93:24, 101:16 documented [3] -82:21, 87:3, 88:23 documents [1] - 41:11 dollar [1] - 46:9 dollars [1] - 73:6 Don [1] - 52:6 Donald [1] - 23:22 done [18] - 4:23, 14:9, 16:11, 17:13, 18:5, 36:23, 38:23, 42:23, 48:24, 64:18, 69:6, 69:18, 75:10, 92:25, 94:21, 94:24, 97:2, 108:16 doors [1] - 68:21 doubt [1] - 108:14 Doug [2] - 40:18, 40:25 down [7] - 28:15, 40:19, 48:13, 58:25, 59:8, 96:13, 96:15 downstairs [1] - 96:9 Downtown [30] - 4:22, 5:11, 5:25, 6:8, 14:19, 14:22, 15:3, 15:4, 15:8, 15:14, 15:16, 16:10, 16:21, 17:4, 17:20, 17:23, 18:10, 18:14, 30:1, 54:23, 56:12, 58:10, 69:22, 71:5, 80:15, 86:2, 86:3, 102:10, 103:5, 108:7 downtown [1] - 68:10 dozens [1] - 58:18 Dr [14] - 68:3, 68:8, 68:17, 68:24, 69:9, 69:21, 70:20, 71:19, 72:2, 72:8, 73:23, 75:13, 75:18, 76:18 draft [2] - 91:13, 91:20 drafting [1] - 59:3 draw [4] - 11:11, 11:13, 15:12, 15:13

draws [1] - 18:7 drive [1] - 6:23 drives [1] - 6:24 drug [6] - 29:11, 34:2, 49:5, 64:5, 85:2, 85.22 drugs [1] - 6:19 drying [1] - 58:4 Dureau [1] - 90:7 during [22] - 26:19, 41:2, 41:11, 59:14, 66:13, 66:19, 78:3, 78:8, 78:10, 78:12, 78:16. 79:2. 80:9. 83:15, 83:17, 86:20, 86:23, 88:13, 90:25, 101:9, 102:3, 107:14 duties [1] - 78:16 duty [3] - 36:5, 39:20, 43:17 Ε **E-Comm** [2] - 96:1, 96.23 e-mail [1] - 91:15 early [3] - 14:18, 48:4, 103:6 ease [2] - 11:5, 52:13 easiest [1] - 81:13 Eastside [30] - 4:22, 5:11, 5:25, 6:8, 14:19, 14:22, 15:3, 15:5, 15:8, 15:14, 15:16, 16:10, 16:21, 17:5, 17:20, 17:24, 18:10, 18:14, 30:1, 54:23, 56:12, 58:10, 69:22, 71:6, 80:15, 86:2, 86:3, 102:10, 103:5, 108:7 easy [5] - 29:19, 47:13, 49:9, 49:10, 105:6 echo [1] - 77:1 education [1] - 67:1 Edward [1] - 106:9 effect [5] - 5:20, 7:3, 12:14, 19:3, 40:1 Effect [1] - 21:1 Effective [1] - 35:3 effective [2] - 35:13, 39.5 effectively [5] - 34:14, 55:1, 70:25, 91:11, 99:20 effectiveness [1] -43:17 effects [1] - 49:16

efficiency [1] - 76:4 effort [3] - 27:20, 52:19, 100:18 efforts [3] - 17:25, 30:1, 69:19 eight [1] - 69:22 eighteen [1] - 57:4 either [1] - 53:15 Eldridge [2] - 93:12, 93.16 electronic [1] - 59:5 elicit [1] - 71:7 eliminated [1] - 26:12 elimination [1] - 26:9 Elizabeth [1] - 46:25 eloquently [2] - 62:24, 74:1 emerge [1] - 44:23 emergency [2] - 96:7, 97:6 emotion [1] - 33:7 emotional [2] - 98:22, 99:5 emotions [3] - 67:20, 67:22, 109:3 empathetic [1] - 93:21 emphasize [1] - 103:1 employ [1] - 27:14 employed [1] - 84:4 employee [2] - 90:23, 91:17 enabled [1] - 57:16 enclosed [1] - 79:16 encourage [2] - 27:8, 43·21 encouraged [3] - 27:4, 40:11, 50:12 encourages [1] -105:2 end [5] - 39:21, 44:9, 75:7, 84:14, 104:20 energetically [1] -17:17 energy [1] - 54:8 Enforcement [1] -35:3 enforcement [14] -29:20, 34:25, 35:16, 36:4, 36:24, 37:4, 39:8, 41:22, 42:3, 42:4, 47:20, 48:2, 64:11, 64:14 engaged [4] - 50:16, 68:14, 71:12, 73:6 Ens [5] - 79:12, 82:8, 84:19, 87:21, 95:16 Ens' [1] - 84:13 ensuing [1] - 70:6 ensure [5] - 39:7, 48:7, 50:13, 51:13,

57:17 ensuring [2] - 33:22, 76:21 entered [1] - 96:17 enthusiastic [1] - 8:14 entire [4] - 4:18, 25:3, 66:9, 68:18 entirely [6] - 9:14, 10:5, 40:12, 67:16, 69:13, 75:15 entirety [1] - 54:2 entitled [4] - 21:1, 24:15, 35:2, 68:5 entry [1] - 96:19 equally [3] - 26:11, 66:15, 74:10 erroneously [1] - 41:1 error [1] - 8:22 especially [3] - 24:10, 88:2, 105:1 essence [1] - 7:21 establish [3] - 14:18, 15:12, 15:15 established [4] -15:17, 76:7, 96:1, 96:24 etc [1] - 99:17 evaluation [1] - 25:14 Evans [5] - 40:19, 41:3, 50:16, 57:19, 71:17 Evenhanded [17] -52:20, 52:24, 53:25, 55:16, 56:22, 57:11, 57:16, 57:20, 57:24, 58:2, 58:7, 58:13, 58:19, 58:23, 59:12, 60:21, 75:7 event [3] - 7:20, 71:9, 101:2 events [5] - 21:20, 21:21, 26:11, 43:11, 55.8 eventually [1] - 85:1 evidence [29] - 1:9, 2:16, 2:18, 7:17, 9:21, 10:22, 10:25, 14:3, 15:7, 15:10, 15:12, 15:13, 18:19, 22:10, 25:7, 25:16, 25:21, 47:7, 54:2, 55:23, 55:25, 56:1, 56:7, 56:21, 57:2, 57:10, 69:1, 73:5, 74:1 evident [4] - 2:6, 4:5, 11:2, 60:1 evil [1] - 63:12 evolution [1] - 78:15 evolved [1] - 58:13

-vi -

exactly [1] - 2:8 exaggeration [1] -31.2 examined [1] - 12:8 examining [1] -107:17 example [4] - 27:25, 94:20, 97:13, 100:4 examples [8] - 23:19, 23:20, 24:14, 26:22, 45:4, 45:7, 92:21, 93:8 excelled [1] - 43:16 excellence [1] - 105:3 excellent [2] - 65:11, 92:5 except [1] - 55:6 exchange [2] - 79:18 exchanges [1] - 77:19 excluding [1] - 2:20 exclusion [1] - 22:23 exclusively [2] - 4:23, 38:7 excuse [1] - 13:13 executive [1] - 45:22 exercise [1] - 69:15 exercised [1] - 67:18 exhibit [1] - 91:13 Exhibit [9] - 79:11, 80:6, 81:16, 91:8, 92:22, 93:9, 95:15, 97:13, 100:5 exhibits [2] - 107:10, 107:11 exist [4] - 41:23, 41:25, 42:2, 51:7 existing [1] - 49:23 exists [2] - 42:8, 63:12 expect [9] - 24:6, 30:23, 34:2, 34:13, 34:15, 88:23, 90:11, 90:17, 90:19 expectations [1] -34:12 expected [4] - 35:6, 56:25, 107:16, 107:22 experience [4] -20:16, 43:5, 55:16, 70:18 experienced [2] -54:5, 91:21 expert [2] - 76:5, 91:22 expertise [1] - 44:6 explain [2] - 49:14, 98:15 explained [2] - 53:22, 73.7 explanations [1] -

75:18 explanatory [1] -74:17 explicitly [1] - 70:9 express [1] - 76:24 expressed [1] - 99:17 expressing [1] - 4:6 extended [1] - 104:9 extension [1] - 104:7 extensively [1] - 4:16 extra [2] - 100:18, 104:10 extreme [1] - 43:7 extremely [3] - 2:24, 4:17, 39:16 eyes [4] - 35:11, 53:15, 55:9, 56:4 F

face [4] - 3:12, 3:21, 45:17, 46:13 faced [1] - 58:24 facilitate [1] - 43:5 fact [45] - 2:13, 2:20, 3:22, 4:10, 6:10, 11:2, 12:10, 17:3, 18:1, 27:3, 32:12, 32:13, 32:15, 38:21, 39:15, 41:4, 42:8, 44:7, 45:16, 49:17, 50:17, 51:4, 51:5, 55:11, 56:8, 64:25, 68:11, 71:20, 72:4, 72:25, 74:8, 78:25, 81:2, 83:15, 83:22, 83:25, 86:6, 87:2, 90:9, 91:3, 95:5, 98:9, 101:15, 102:14, 102:17 factor [1] - 102:21 factors [1] - 83:13 facts [12] - 5:14, 5:22, 11:12, 22:10, 23:1, 23:2, 23:6, 23:14, 41:8, 41:10, 64:16 faded [1] - 8:1 failed [5] - 12:1, 33:11, 40:1, 40:15, 85:20 failings [1] - 13:13 failure [6] - 12:14, 12:15, 14:14, 14:15, 38:8, 38:9 failures [2] - 37:20, 38.20 fair [1] - 88:7 faith [1] - 71:22 fall [2] - 24:20, 49:9 fallacy [1] - 42:11

25:17 f 7, 27:8, 9, 41:6, f 22 :17, 86:1, f - 46:13 f - 46:13 f - 46:13 f 0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	foregoing [1] - 109:17 foreground [1] - 105:24 forensic [4] - 21:6, 39:4, 48:6, 59:18 forever [1] - 36:2 forgetting [1] - 9:16 forgot [1] - 8:22 forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Gacy}\left[2\right]-31:16,\ 74:5\\ \textbf{Gagnon}\left[2\right]-90:4,\\ 90:6\\ \textbf{gained}\left[1\right]-55:16\\ \textbf{game}\left[1\right]-16:13\\ \textbf{Gary}\left[2\right]-31:16,\ 57:3\\ \textbf{gather}\left[1\right]-98:24\\ \textbf{general}\left[6\right]-7:7,\\ 16:22,\ 18:14,\ 46:1,\\ 80:4,\ 87:21\\ \textbf{generally}\left[7\right]-5:21,\\ 9:4,\ 9:10,\ 9:12,\\ 16:18,\ 17:1,\ 17:17\\ \textbf{generated}\left[2\right]-81:14,\\ 96:13\\ \textbf{generation}\left[2\right]-30:8,\\ 30:9\\ \textbf{generosity}\left[1\right]-46:12\\ \textbf{genuine}\left[1\right]-43:24\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{ground [1] - 46:12} \\ \textbf{group [5] - 70:23,} \\ 70:24, 76:8, 76:18, \\ 80:25 \\ \textbf{groups [2] - 42:5, 50:8} \\ \textbf{grow [1] - 53:23} \\ \textbf{guidance [2] - 37:14,} \\ 47:10 \\ \textbf{guide [1] - 41:4} \\ \textbf{guide [1] - 41:4} \\ \textbf{guide [2] - 39:10,} \\ 41:8 \\ \textbf{guilt [1] - 22:11} \\ \textbf{guilt [1] - 22:8} \\ \textbf{Guy [1] - 23:23} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{H} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{habit [2] - 76:24, 85:2} \end{array}$
7, 27:8, 9, 41:6, 22 ::17, 86:1, f - 46:13 f - 46:13 f 0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, 1, 72:16, 0, 80:9, 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	105:24 forensic [4] - 21:6, 39:4, 48:6, 59:18 forever [1] - 36:2 forgetting [1] - 9:16 forgot [1] - 8:22 forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Gagnon} \ [2] - 90:4, \\ 90:6 \\ \textbf{gained} \ [1] - 55:16 \\ \textbf{game} \ [1] - 16:13 \\ \textbf{Gary} \ [2] - 31:16, 57:3 \\ \textbf{gather} \ [1] - 98:24 \\ \textbf{general} \ [6] - 7:7, \\ 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, \\ 80:4, 87:21 \\ \textbf{generally} \ [7] - 5:21, \\ 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, \\ 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 \\ \textbf{generated} \ [2] - 81:14, \\ 96:13 \\ \textbf{generation} \ [2] - 30:8, \\ 30:9 \\ \textbf{generosity} \ [1] - 46:12 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{70:} 24, \ \text{76:8}, \ \text{76:18}, \\ \text{80:} 25 \\ \text{groups} [2] - 42:5, \ \text{50:8} \\ \text{grow} [1] - 53:23 \\ \text{guidance} [2] - 37:14, \\ 47:10 \\ \text{guide} [1] - 41:4 \\ \text{guided} [2] - 39:10, \\ 41:8 \\ \text{guilt} [1] - 22:11 \\ \text{guilty} [1] - 22:8 \\ \text{Guy} [1] - 23:23 \\ \hline \end{array}$
9, 41:6, f 22 f 217, 86:1, f - 46:13 f - 8:5, f 0:20 f 11:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, f 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, f 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forensic [4] - 21:6, 39:4, 48:6, 59:18 forever [1] - 36:2 forgetting [1] - 9:16 forgot [1] - 8:22 forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Gagnon} \ [2] - 90:4, \\ 90:6 \\ \textbf{gained} \ [1] - 55:16 \\ \textbf{game} \ [1] - 16:13 \\ \textbf{Gary} \ [2] - 31:16, 57:3 \\ \textbf{gather} \ [1] - 98:24 \\ \textbf{general} \ [6] - 7:7, \\ 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, \\ 80:4, 87:21 \\ \textbf{generally} \ [7] - 5:21, \\ 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, \\ 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 \\ \textbf{generated} \ [2] - 81:14, \\ 96:13 \\ \textbf{generation} \ [2] - 30:8, \\ 30:9 \\ \textbf{generosity} \ [1] - 46:12 \\ \end{array}$	80:25 groups [2] - 42:5, 50:8 grow [1] - 53:23 guidance [2] - 37:14, 47:10 guide [1] - 41:4 guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
22 :17, 86:1, f - 46:13 f - 8:5, f 0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	39:4, 48:6, 59:18forever [1] - 36:2 forgetting [1] - 9:16 forgot [1] - 8:22 forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{gained} [1] - 55:16\\ \textbf{game} [1] - 16:13\\ \textbf{Gary} [2] - 31:16, 57:3\\ \textbf{gather} [1] - 98:24\\ \textbf{general} [6] - 7:7,\\ 16:22, 18:14, 46:1,\\ 80:4, 87:21\\ \textbf{generally} [7] - 5:21,\\ 9:4, 9:10, 9:12,\\ 16:18, 17:1, 17:17\\ \textbf{generated} [2] - 81:14,\\ 96:13\\ \textbf{generation} [2] - 30:8,\\ 30:9\\ \textbf{generosity} [1] - 46:12\\ \end{array}$	groups [2] - 42:5, 50:8 grow [1] - 53:23 guidance [2] - 37:14, 47:10 guide [1] - 41:4 guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
:17, 86:1, f - 46:13 f - 8:5, f 0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forever [1] - $36:2$ forgetting [1] - $9:16$ forgot [1] - $8:22$ forgotten [1] - $9:2$ form [4] - $45:23$, 96:13, $96:15$, $98:1formal [1] - 11:21formally [2] - 80:24,82:16former [2] - 20:24,46:25forms [1] - 98:6forte [1] - 70:5forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20forthcoming [1] - 72:6fortunately [1] - 48:20$	game [1] - 16:13 Gary [2] - 31:16, 57:3 gather [1] - 98:24 general [6] - 7:7, 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, 80:4, 87:21 generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	grow [1] - 53:23 guidance [2] - 37:14, 47:10 guide [1] - 41:4 guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
f - 46:13 - 8:5, f 0:20 1:11 - 57:15 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, 1, 72:16, 1, 72:16, f, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f	forgetting [1] - 9:16 forgot [1] - 8:22 forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	Gary [2] - 31:16, 57:3 gather [1] - 98:24 general [6] - 7:7, 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, 80:4, 87:21 generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	guidance [2] - 37:14, 47:10 guide [1] - 41:4 guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
- 46:13 f - 8:5, f 0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forgot [1] - 8:22 forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	Gary [2] - 31:16, 57:3 gather [1] - 98:24 general [6] - 7:7, 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, 80:4, 87:21 generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	47:10 guide [1] - 41:4 guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
- 8:5, f 0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forgotten [1] - 9:2 form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	gather [1] - 98:24 general [6] - 7:7, 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, 80:4, 87:21 generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	guide [1] - 41:4 guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
0:20 f 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, f 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, g 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	form [4] - 45:23, 96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	general [6] - 7:7, 16:22, 18:14, 46:1, 80:4, 87:21 generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	guided [2] - 39:10, 41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
0:20 1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	96:13, 96:15, 98:1 formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	80:4, 87:21 generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	41:8 guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23
1:11 f - 57:15 f 2, 5:13, f 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	formal [1] - 11:21 formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	generally [7] - 5:21, 9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	guilt [1] - 22:11 guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23
- 57:15 f 2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	formally [2] - 80:24, 82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	9:4, 9:10, 9:12, 16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	guilty [1] - 22:8 Guy [1] - 23:23 H
2, 5:13, 7, 21:25, 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, 1, 72:16, 0, 80:9, 16, 84:10, 16, 84:10, 2, 101:9 f	82:16 former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	16:18, 17:1, 17:17 generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	Guy [1] - 23:23
7, 21:25, f 5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, f 1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	former [2] - 20:24, 46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	generated [2] - 81:14, 96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	H
5, 36:5, 24, 59:5, 1, 72:16, f, 80:9, 16, 84:10, 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	46:25 forms [1] - 98:6 forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	96:13 generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	
1, 72:16, f , 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	generation [2] - 30:8, 30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	
1, 72:16, f 0, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forte [1] - 70:5 forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	30:9 generosity [1] - 46:12	habit [2] - 76:24, 85:2
D, 80:9, f 16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forth [2] - 97:4, 97:20 forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	generosity [1] - 46:12	habit [2] - 76:24, 85:2
16, 84:10, f 2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	forthcoming [1] - 72:6 fortunately [1] - 48:20	• • • • •	1
2, 101:9 f 25, 73:6 f	fortunately [1] - 48:20	genuine (1) - 43.24	half [1] - 79:20
25, 73:6 f	• • •	-	hallway [1] - 20:12
	forward [8] - 27:11,	geographic [1] - 70:10	hand [3] - 12:12,
59:13,	29:17, 30:18, 58:12,	geographical [2] -	51:22, 79:23
	65:5, 67:24, 107:6,	70:1, 70:2	handed [1] - 19:25
:5, 40:10,	107:7	gift [1] - 105:17	handled [2] - 93:11,
2:15 f	foul [1] - 72:22	Giles [2] - 20:14,	99:6
38:11 f	foundation [1] - 27:22	107:9	handling [5] - 78:19,
1:9, 13:5, f	four [3] - 52:15, 60:12,	given [16] - 4:17, 7:24,	80:14, 91:23, 92:6,
24, 22:1,	72:7	8:24, 9:15, 10:25,	99:18
12, 37:23, f	frame [1] - 45:25	11:13, 20:16, 34:4,	hands [2] - 76:4,
	frankly [7] - 20:8,	46:18, 50:5, 51:20,	109:8
11, 65:6,	20:10, 22:21, 28:21,	54:19, 55:10, 66:9,	harassing [1] - 34:20
2, 70:3,	29:20, 47:3, 51:5	67:10, 77:1	hard [3] - 4:24,
	Freda [1] - 79:12	glum [1] - 105:24	100:25, 109:1
	frenzy [1] - 27:18	goal [1] - 43:3	Harry [2] - 106:20, 107:5
	fresh [2] - 53:15, 56:4	goals [1] - 43:2	HARRY [1] - 108:20
	Frey [1] - 93:24	goodbye [1] - 105:16	head [1] - 106:20
- 4:15, f	friend [1] - 98:20	Gord [1] - 28:3	headed [1] - 42:12
^{3, 63:23,} f	friends [3] - 20:11,	gouge [1] - 21:7	Heads [1] - 24:18
4.20	32:20, 99:8	grandmother [1] -	healthy [1] - 30:3
	fruit [2] - 8:18, 69:19	79:19	hear [6] - 32:14,
1 54.20	frustrated [1] - 98:16	granted [1] - 104:7 grateful [2] - 106:19,	32:18, 33:13, 99:16,
- 39:23, f	frustrating [2] - 97:11,	106:23	108:11, 108:18
00.10	98:25	great [12] - 30:20,	heard [35] - 28:12,
f] - 5:13,	frustrations [4] -	47:6, 52:16, 56:10,	34:21, 34:23, 42:1,
16, 95:16,	80:12, 80:13, 81:10,	60:20, 67:17, 71:22,	49:12, 56:17, 59:25,
	99:17	83:14, 104:22,	67:13, 67:14, 77:23,
6.15	fucking [1] - 3:17	104:23, 104:25,	78:2, 78:17, 79:1,
1	fulfill [2] - 55:2, 60:17	105:2	79:3, 79:8, 79:25,
0.16	full [1] - 92:12	greater [2] - 5:7, 22:9	82:25, 83:16, 84:9,
1 32·11	full-time [1] - 92:12	greatest [2] - 4:13,	86:4, 86:21, 87:7,
		77:4	87:23, 88:17, 91:5,
1 66.3			92:7, 93:22, 95:24,
⁴ , 66:3, f		-	96:11, 96:20, 97:3,
4, 66:3, 25, 75:1,		• • • •	101:9, 102:1,
4, 66:3, 25, 75:1, 9 f		105:14, 105:18,	102:11, 102:16
4, 66:3, 25, 75:1, 9 28:18		106:2, 106:5, 106:9	hearing [10] - 1:4,
4, 66:3, 25, 75:1, 9 28:18	21.12, 33.4, 33.11,	Greer [1] - 86:11	2:11, 3:4, 3:5, 28:18,
4, 66:3, 25, 75:1, 28:18] - 12:19, f	12.2 12.21 51.2		
(4, 66:3, :25, 75:1, :9 28:18 2] - 12:19,	4, 66:3, fundamental [2] - .25, 75:1, .55:21, 66:20 .9 furthermore [2] - 28:18 93:20, 98:24 .2] - 12:19, future [7] - 19:16, .28:5, .27:12, 33:4, 33:17, .28:5, .27:12, 31:4, 51:2	4, 66:3, fundamental [2] - 77:4 525, 75:1, 55:21, 66:20 Green [2] - 57:6, 58:5 9 furthermore [2] - 93:20, 98:24 2] - 12:19, future [7] - 19:16, 105:14, 105:18, 28:5 27:12, 33:4, 33:17, Green[2] - 86:11

28:21, 41:12, 61:13, 61:16, 87:1 hearings [2] - 107:10, 109:14 heart [1] - 13:25 hearts [1] - 108:2 heavily [1] - 28:4 heeded [1] - 75:19 held [4] - 36:15, 71:2, 81:25, 102:9 help [7] - 43:13, 44:6, 51:11, 51:12, 61:5, 76:15, 109:6 helpful [1] - 26:24 helps [1] - 70:3 hereby [1] - 109:17 hereditary [1] - 106:21 herein [1] - 109:19 herself [11] - 2:3, 77:22, 81:8, 81:19, 93:3, 93:7, 93:15, 93:19, 94:14, 95:7, 102:22 Hetherington [8] -80:8, 80:17, 80:21, 81:3, 81:15, 82:9, 87:22, 99:2 hid [1] - 74:5 hide [1] - 74:7 hierarchical [1] - 76:2 high [7] - 34:12, 36:8, 36:14, 67:20, 67:22, 86:5, 102:10 highest [1] - 36:3 highlight [1] - 32:16 highlighted [1] - 29:25 highly [7] - 3:1, 39:3, 43:16, 97:23, 99:5, 99:25 Highway [1] - 56:16 himself [3] - 41:1, 55:7, 74:4 hindsight [18] - 20:22, 21:9, 21:13, 21:15, 21:23, 22:3, 22:13, 22:19, 22:25, 47:13, 54:24, 55:3, 55:5, 55:14, 55:19, 56:10, 67:18, 69:17 Hiscox [1] - 7:10 historical [4] - 14:21, 16:22, 17:5, 18:14 history [7] - 23:19, 27:2, 31:18, 51:3, 78:9, 81:20, 107:19 hits [2] - 6:3, 12:18 holiday [1] - 85:16 Holyk's [1] - 84:22 home [1] - 57:7 homelessness [1] -

49:5 homes [1] - 74:6 homicide [1] - 54:6 Homicide [1] - 28:4 homophobia [2] - 2:9, 5.2 homophobic [2] -1:22, 3:8 honed [1] - 22:22 honestly [1] - 22:25 honour [4] - 20:8, 77:3, 108:12, 108:14 Honourable [1] - 21:7 hope [5] - 50:25, 66:12, 66:17, 68:4, 108:25 hoped [1] - 66:11 hopefully [3] - 50:3, 64:12, 109:6 horrible [1] - 56:11 horrific [1] - 108:4 hostility [1] - 35:23 hot [2] - 6:18, 6:20 hotel [1] - 105:5 hour [2] - 30:10, 31:1 house [2] - 6:18, 6:19 human [1] - 108:22 humiliated [1] - 35:12 hundred [2] - 46:9, 75:3 hurtful [1] - 2:4 L idea [3] - 5:24, 45:14, 46:19 ideas [2] - 29:16, 49:17 identification [3] -37:24. 57:22. 76:7 identified [7] - 1:13, 38:20, 47:15, 59:6, 60:14, 93:15, 93:19 identify [3] - 13:1, 52:19, 52:20 identifying [2] - 76:12, 76:16 ignorant [1] - 18:1 ignored [1] - 45:5 ignores [1] - 54:2 illness [2] - 64:6, 65:14 illustrate [1] - 26:22 imagine [1] - 109:4 immediately [2] -44:10, 80:17 immense [2] - 52:14, 56:13 impartial [1] - 36:24

imperative [4] - 65:4, 65:6, 67:24 imperfect [3] - 55:10, 55:13. 64:22 impermissible [1] -22.19 impetus [1] - 70:25 implement [2] - 27:6, 76.3 implemented [1] -76:20 implicated [1] - 38:15 implied [1] - 13:21 importance [2] -63:20, 66:16 important [12] - 14:16, 15:14, 29:15, 37:25, 49:1, 51:10, 53:23, 65:18, 66:17, 68:25, 69:20, 103:1 importantly [1] - 65:1 imposition [1] - 36:25 impossible [1] - 99:19 impossibly [1] - 65:25 imprisoned [1] - 24:25 improve [4] - 32:25, 50:25, 51:1, 63:5 improved [2] - 33:1, 51:3 improvement [2] -27:12, 43:6 inability [2] - 71:25, 92:10 inaccurate [2] - 49:17, 74:3 inadequate [1] - 46:18 inappropriate [6] -86:18, 88:18, 89:10, 89:15, 90:13, 102:4 inappropriately [2] -77:18, 102:7 incident [2] - 26:7 incidents [5] - 78:24, 82:22, 83:18, 87:24, 88:24 include [2] - 77:18, 94:3 included [6] - 18:23, 44:12, 87:7, 88:11, 94:24, 105:13 including [9] - 21:13, 30:10, 45:22, 50:17, 54:20, 69:4, 75:10, 81:17, 94:16 income [1] - 29:11 incompetent [1] - 32:9 inconceivable [1] -66.7 inconsistent [2] -9:20, 9:24

-viii -

inconvenient [1] -97:11 incorrect [1] - 54:1 incorrectly [2] - 25:17, 95:5 increase [2] - 72:17, 86.7 increased [2] - 99:15, 103:4 indeed [5] - 15:14, 16:12, 26:3, 65:25, 70:16 independent [1] - 76:9 **INDEX** [1] - 1:1 Indian [1] - 85:5 indicated [1] - 61:23 indifferent [1] - 66:10 individual [5] - 26:6, 37:21, 37:24, 38:22, 75:20 individuals [11] -38:15, 56:11, 65:7, 65:10, 68:15, 69:4, 71:21, 76:11, 77:19, 77:24, 102:19 inevitable [3] - 21:16, 22:5, 51:9 inevitably [1] - 41:16 infect [1] - 25:9 infected [1] - 26:14 information [57] -5:19, 6:13, 7:2, 7:7, 7:10, 8:23, 9:1, 9:15, 9:23, 11:19, 11:20, 12:1, 12:5, 12:10, 12:15, 13:6, 13:8, 13:13, 13:21, 14:15, 14:20, 14:24, 16:12, 16:14, 16:22, 16:23, 16:25, 17:12, 17:23, 18:15, 19:1, 19:3, 21:23, 25:15, 25:16, 30:18, 54:18, 55:10, 55:13, 60:9, 70:3, 71:7, 72:3, 72:6, 72:25, 76:11, 76:14, 78:9, 83:7, 84:2, 88:20, 95:19, 96:2, 96:8, 96:17, 98:24 informed [1] - 89:20 inhaler [1] - 57:22 inherent [3] - 41:18, 42:14, 59:24 initial [1] - 94:1 initiative [3] - 6:6, 6:10, 8:8 injected [1] - 54:8 inquiries [3] - 37:23, 63:10, 94:24 Inquiry [2] - 34:10,

106:12 inquiry [27] - 20:18, 21:5, 22:21, 25:23, 27:3, 37:10, 38:7, 40:7, 45:16, 47:12, 50:17.63:13.63:21. 66:13. 66:19. 67:21. 78:4. 79:3. 83:15. 86:24, 88:14, 94:13, 101:6, 102:24, 104:22, 107:9, 108:8 inscribed [1] - 106:9 insensitive [5] -86:17, 88:18, 89:14, 90:13, 102:5 insidious [2] - 25:9, 26:2 insinuating [1] - 1:21 insisted [1] - 67:9 insistence [1] - 83:4 Inspector [27] - 28:3, 44:14, 44:16, 52:13, 52:25, 53:9, 53:12, 54:4, 54:13, 54:14, 55:13, 55:22, 56:2, 56:22, 57:11, 57:25, 60:11, 60:19, 70:14, 71:1, 72:9, 80:7, 80:23, 93:11, 93:15, 94:7, 103:6 inspector [3] - 70:15, 71:24, 80:16 instance [1] - 80:2 instances [7] - 43:7, 79:9, 89:3, 91:8, 95:24, 98:16, 99:18 instant [1] - 60:19 instead [2] - 28:12, 88:10 institutional [2] - 38:9, 38.11 instrumental [1] -100:12 insufficient [1] - 56:23 integrity [2] - 35:19, 35:20 intend [2] - 5:12, 12:10 intended [2] - 12:4, 30:2 intention [1] - 2:7 intentional [1] - 12:3 interact [1] - 25:20 interaction [1] - 50:7 interdisciplinary [1] -48:6 interest [5] - 23:12, 46:7, 56:6, 57:5, 60:14 interested [2] - 11:7,

98:2	39:1, 53:17, 54:4,	joins [1] - 65:4	L	7:19, 11:3, 11:10,
interesting [2] - 21:8,	61:1	joint [2] - 28:5, 44:17		40:18, 41:1, 41:3,
92:19	investigator [5] - 54:6,	Jr [1] - 23:22		50:15, 57:19, 71:15,
interests [2] - 33:15,	55:7, 55:9, 55:11,	Judge [1] - 61:22	lab [1] - 59:18	74:1, 79:6, 87:6,
34:6	99:12	judge [1] - 26:14	lack [7] - 38:10, 39:5,	87:9, 87:14, 88:1,
interjurisdictional [1]	investigators [9] -	judges [2] - 25:10,	39:6, 47:7, 66:20,	88:7, 88:11
- 47:22	22:4, 22:7, 28:9,	26:25	67:12	LePard's [1] - 74:15
Internal [2] - 93:12,	28:11, 39:3, 53:4,	judgment [1] - 36:25	land [1] - 67:15	less [5] - 4:1, 37:6,
99:22	53:21, 58:23, 59:8	judgmental [1] - 37:6	landlords [1] - 84:18	61:9, 61:18, 72:20
interoffice [1] - 97:17	involve [1] - 95:22	Judy [1] - 48:17	large [4] - 29:15,	lessened [1] - 101:5
interpreted [1] - 63:20	involved [8] - 26:3,	juncture [1] - 84:7	51:21, 73:14, 75:17	lessons [4] - 27:1,
interrogation [1] -	28:5, 33:15, 63:15,	June [3] - 1:2, 44:9,	larger [1] - 49:2	52:19, 53:3, 54:10
57:14	70:21, 96:11, 98:10,	106:12	largest [1] - 46:17	letter [10] - 79:12,
interrupting [1] - 2:12	101:2	jury [2] - 105:21,	last [4] - 7:4, 36:18,	79:14, 79:16, 82:7,
interview [7] - 7:16,	involves [3] - 21:18,	105:22	52:9, 87:22	91:14, 93:9, 93:16,
7:18, 80:21, 81:3,	70:2, 107:21	Justice [10] - 17:9,	late [4] - 14:17, 37:15,	94:16, 94:20, 100:6
87:16, 88:9, 89:6	ironic [1] - 4:17	•••	63:25, 87:23	letters [2] - 92:22,
interviewed [6] -	ironically [1] - 33:17	20:25, 21:3, 24:16,	laughing [2] - 105:22,	100:5
50:14, 87:10, 87:19,	irreparable [1] - 108:4	25:25, 26:15, 37:16,	106:7	level [2] - 36:3, 36:12
88:4, 88:5	irresponsible [1] -	37:18, 47:11, 59:7	Law [1] - 35:3	Liaison [2] - 79:13,
oo.4, oo.5 interviews [2] - 41:2,	23:15	justice [4] - 24:22,	law [12] - 29:19, 34:25,	85:5
87:5	irresponsibly [1] -	26:4, 38:23, 51:15	35:16, 36:4, 36:24,	Lidguerre [1] - 84:19
	29:17	17	37:4, 39:8, 41:21,	lie [1] - 12:25
intimacy [1] - 69:23	issue [12] - 19:12,	K	42:3, 47:19, 48:2	life [9] - 31:5, 40:22,
intimately [1] - 70:15 intolerable [2] - 64:2	47:2, 53:11, 54:11,		laws [2] - 29:23, 64:14	45:13, 58:22, 66:10,
	55:20, 83:9, 89:22,	Karlene [1] - 77:11	lawyer [1] - 20:23	67:7, 71:11, 87:12,
introduction [1] - 68:8	90:3, 95:10, 95:12,	keep [3] - 29:24,	lawyers [2] - 77:2,	106:5
invested [1] - 59:12	102:16, 103:4	31:18, 49:1	107:23	lifestyle [1] - 85:18
investigated [4] -	issued [1] - 71:5	keeping [1] - 29:21	lead [3] - 22:4, 22:7,	light [1] - 107:16
26:10, 69:8, 82:19,	issues [12] - 78:13,	keeps [1] - 49:22	26:11	likelihood [1] - 8:25
83:11	79:14, 79:15, 81:18,	kept [1] - 89:19	leaders [1] - 108:21	likely [7] - 3:1, 18:21,
investigating [3] -	81:21, 88:21, 89:20,	Kevin [1] - 1:6	leadership [7] - 28:2,	38:4, 41:25, 69:8,
53:5, 70:18, 75:2	90:11, 90:19, 90:20,	killer [17] - 29:14,	28:7, 28:11, 44:4,	72:23, 105:21
investigation [49] -	93:1, 95:23	31:19, 31:22, 39:13,	44:11, 45:17, 45:23	limit [1] - 84:15
5:20, 12:17, 13:24,	itself [3] - 24:24,	43:19, 44:24, 46:15,	leading [4] - 27:18,	line [1] - 83:2
15:25, 19:4, 23:10,	31:18, 87:9	47:14, 56:9, 56:14,	57:15, 106:3, 106:6	lines [2] - 46:4, 97:23
27:6, 30:15, 42:15,	51.10, 07.5	56:15, 56:16, 68:10,	leaked [6] - 2:1, 2:5,	••
42:20, 42:23, 44:2, 44:4, 44:7, 44:15,	J	72:5, 73:14, 74:9,	2:13, 2:21, 2:25, 3:1	lineup [1] - 5:12
	J	107:19	learn [5] - 19:16, 45:6,	link [7] - 14:18, 15:12,
45:2, 45:5, 46:15,		killers [7] - 31:16,	51:12, 53:18, 54:8	15:13, 15:15, 15:16,
46:21, 47:6, 50:12,	Janet [1] - 52:6	41:23, 51:8, 60:23,	learned [8] - 27:2,	16:19, 18:9
53:11, 53:13, 53:14,	January [6] - 34:25,	61:2, 70:18, 74:7	52:20, 53:3, 54:10,	linkage [1] - 18:11
53:16, 54:5, 54:7,	54:16, 79:11, 92:3,	killings [1] - 31:23	55:14, 55:17, 58:21,	linked [1] - 48:5
55:17, 56:3, 57:6,	94:2, 95:13	Kim [1] - 67:9	72:9	linking [1] - 17:23
58:17, 58:24, 59:15, 67:13, 68:14, 68:19,	Jeffrey [1] - 74:5	kind [4] - 4:12, 18:15,	least [9] - 21:17,	links [1] - 17:4
	Jennifer [1] - 40:19	24:7, 24:8	31:23, 32:6, 56:14,	list [1] - 84:17
69:3, 69:11, 70:7, 70:10, 70:12, 73:10,	job [21] - 1:23, 3:14,	Kinder [1] - 46:25	63:13, 70:6, 82:21,	listen [1] - 85:21
70:10, 70:12, 73:10, 74:16, 74:21, 75:14,	34:17, 37:5, 37:6,	kindly [1] - 107:1	88:10, 91:1	listened [3] - 52:8,
	38:22, 38:23, 65:25,	kinds [1] - 64:25	leave [5] - 13:1, 65:14,	62:12, 75:13
99:7, 103:11, 107:18	66:1, 66:4, 66:5,	Knight [1] - 35:1	76:2, 99:14, 107:5	listening [1] - 50:23
investigations [17] -	66:7, 66:10, 67:7,	knocking [1] - 68:21		literature [1] - 43:24
13:3, 13:15, 16:18, 24:10, 30:13, 30:15	70:8, 70:13, 70:14,	knowing [3] - 2:2, 2:3,	lecture [3] - 26:16,	lives [3] - 63:6, 64:4,
24:10, 39:13, 39:15, 39:16, 41:19, 43:6,	75:4, 92:6, 94:21	47:13	26:17, 72:8 lectures [1] - 26:21	66:5
	John [3] - 19:24,			locating [1] - 68:15
43:19, 44:24, 47:23, 58:15, 58:18, 50:24	31:16, 74:5	knowledge [4] -	led [2] - 40:8, 40:19	location [1] - 96:24
58:15, 58:18, 59:24, 63:23, 65:18	Johnson [1] - 23:23	21:19, 53:17, 91:25, 92:21	left [4] - 4:4, 49:4,	log [1] - 101:1
	join [1] - 70:22		92:18, 104:3	long-standing [1] -
Investigations [2] -	joined [1] - 69:21	known [5] - 15:2,	leg [1] - 54:21	50:6
44:13, 93:12	joining [2] - 66:14,	16:18, 16:21, 17:1,	legal [2] - 20:24, 105:2	longest [1] - 105:6
investigative [7] -	106:10	22:17	legislation [1] - 60:7	look [15] - 33:2, 33:14,
8:17, 25:13, 25:21,	-	knows [1] - 101:14	LePard [18] - 7:16,	37:8, 43:22, 44:2,
		ix		

46:2, 46:8, 53:2,	mantra [1] - 73:24	99:10, 108:6	13:18, 14:5, 14:9,	37:22, 39:2, 68:25,
59:19, 59:23, 60:6,	manuscript [3] - 2:1,	memo [20] - 4:6,	14:16, 15:1, 15:10,	72:23, 89:13, 91:21,
65:5, 67:24, 69:16,	2:5	11:22, 42:21, 76:17,	15:19, 15:22, 16:2,	107:17, 107:18
69:18	marginalization [2] -	80:5, 80:10, 80:12,	16:4, 16:7, 17:2,	Most [1] - 46:11
looked [4] - 11:8,	49:6, 49:19	80:23, 81:8, 81:15,	17:7, 18:21, 54:24,	mothers [1] - 62:17
43:20, 45:24, 46:2	marginalized [2] -	81:17, 81:22, 82:2,	60:3, 77:13, 77:17,	motivated [1] - 39:3
looking [9] - 23:11,	53:6, 60:23	82:8, 87:23, 91:9,	78:10, 81:10, 81:20,	motivation [1] - 38:25
39:17, 42:24, 46:3,	Marion [1] - 84:25	92:2, 93:5, 95:14,	82:4, 83:1, 83:20,	Mottus [1] - 47:1
47:9, 60:7, 68:22,	Mark [1] - 68:3	97:17	90:25, 92:13, 92:18,	move [4] - 33:16,
99:13, 105:24	Marshall [1] - 23:22	memories [2] - 7:25,	94:3, 99:9, 100:21,	57:16, 58:12, 108:10
looks [3] - 8:2, 23:1,	massive [1] - 60:16	64:22	101:3, 106:11	moved [1] - 85:24
23:5	material [3] - 5:20,	mental [1] - 64:6	missing [68] - 15:2,	moving [4] - 27:11,
loose [1] - 72:5	19:3, 106:4	mention [4] - 28:8,	15:6, 16:19, 16:20,	44:17, 58:12, 71:3
lord [1] - 15:9	materially [1] - 18:17	40:24, 82:3, 107:11	17:21, 23:8, 44:15,	MR [23] - 1:6, 2:24,
Lori [2] - 67:9, 94:7	matter [12] - 28:5,	mentioned [3] - 14:13,	45:4, 46:14, 53:13,	61:8, 61:17, 61:21,
loss [4] - 33:8, 33:9,	32:13, 44:8, 49:17,	24:3, 78:22	54:15, 54:23, 55:12,	62:2, 62:6, 62:9,
58:22, 108:1	80:1, 90:1, 94:11,	mere [2] - 64:14, 74:8	56:3, 57:13, 58:15,	63:8, 63:19, 68:3,
losses [1] - 108:4	95:21, 98:9, 106:4,	merely [1] - 81:4	58:18, 59:11, 59:17,	68:8, 76:24, 104:1,
lost [2] - 62:18, 64:1	107:17, 107:20	merit [1] - 27:21	59:24, 60:6, 63:24,	104:15, 104:19,
loved [4] - 36:21,	matters [8] - 51:17,	met [3] - 20:12, 20:13,	66:11, 70:22, 72:17,	105:11, 105:24,
85:15, 103:20, 108:1	56:12, 75:21, 81:17,	75:1	72:21, 76:6, 76:13,	106:14, 106:18,
low [1] - 29:11	82:7, 89:24, 101:16,	method [1] - 10:14	77:20, 78:19, 79:5,	107:1, 107:4, 108:20
lower [1] - 75:25	104:15	methods [1] - 48:11	79:7, 79:19, 80:14,	MS [7] - 19:21, 52:4,
lowly [2] - 102:25,	Mayor [1] - 46:25	micromanage [1] -	82:13, 84:11, 84:16,	52:6, 77:9, 77:11,
103:2	McClellan [1] - 91:9	42:20	85:9, 85:11, 85:13,	106:13, 106:17
loyalty [1] - 36:8	McGuinness [3] -	midst [1] - 29:15	85:19, 85:24, 86:2,	Mullins [1] - 23:23
LTD [1] - 109:25	62:7, 66:24, 73:17	might [5] - 8:15, 64:5,	86:3, 86:7, 90:2,	multiple [1] - 67:14
ludicrous [1] - 29:13	McHale [1] - 109:23	64:6, 66:3, 71:8	91:18, 95:11, 95:21,	murder [2] - 57:23,
Lynne [1] - 28:13	MCS [1] - 70:15	Milgaard [1] - 23:22	95:23, 95:25, 97:19,	74:12
	mean [6] - 23:2, 23:24,	mind [4] - 16:8, 35:14,	98:20, 98:21, 99:24, 100:23, 102:8,	murdered [5] - 52:14,
Μ	32:8, 74:9, 86:14,	49:2, 73:13	102:21, 103:3,	58:15, 62:17, 63:24,
	105:12	minded [1] - 25:11	103:5, 103:10,	66:11
MaaFarlana	meaning [1] - 31:6	mindful [1] - 37:14	103:15, 103:16,	murderer [1] - 72:25
MacFarlane [1] - 20:23	means [8] - 32:2, 32:3,	Minds [1] - 30:8	103:20, 108:24,	murders [4] - 43:12,
	32:5, 51:16, 63:25,	minds [1] - 18:11	109:4	53:5, 57:8, 75:2
machinery [1] - 38:12	67:22, 74:12	minimally [1] - 70:6	mission [1] - 36:7	must [26] - 4:12,
mail [1] - 91:15	meant [1] - 104:6	minorities [1] - 67:2	mistake [1] - 38:6	22:13, 25:1, 27:16,
maintain [1] - 36:8	measured [1] - 25:2	minutes [2] - 61:13,	mistakes [6] - 19:17,	31:2, 31:4, 34:5,
maintained [2] -	mechanisms [1] -	61:18	37:21, 37:24, 38:3,	35:10, 35:13, 35:20,
35:20, 103:2	48:7	misconduct [2] - 4:2,	40:9	36:1, 36:8, 36:15,
Major [1] - 28:4	media [1] - 35:9	101:23	misunderstood [1] -	36:23, 40:2, 41:7,
major [2] - 47:21,	meek [1] - 36:19	misfortune [1] - 24:5	57:19	41:9, 43:1, 43:25,
72:10	meet [1] - 36:11	misguided [1] - 71:16	model [1] - 27:4	55:6, 62:19, 64:8,
majority [1] - 101:8	meeting [4] - 42:23,	Miskow [1] - 94:8	moment [7] - 14:1,	66:11, 66:17, 67:17
makeup [1] - 51:9	70:24, 80:11, 95:16	mislead [1] - 43:3	14:13, 34:6, 34:7,	mustn't [1] - 84:23
malice [1] - 25:9	meetings [1] - 54:1	misled [1] - 43:2	41:25, 72:15, 104:16	N
man [1] - 105:20	member [5] - 24:11,	misrepresent [1] -	Monday [1] - 53:24	N
manage [1] - 54:25	91:3, 94:4, 98:20,	102:22	money [3] - 48:13,	
management [13] -	102:13	misrepresented [2] -	53:25, 59:13	name [3] - 20:22, 35:1,
39:6, 40:21, 44:1,	members [35] - 5:16,	93:3, 93:7	month [1] - 44:15	45:17
44:3, 45:15, 45:16,	6:1, 7:13, 8:9, 8:16,	missed [1] - 40:12	months [4] - 35:9,	named [1] - 105:20
46:1, 47:21, 47:25, 48:3, 48:8, 59:6,	8:22, 9:8, 9:14, 9:25,	misses [1] - 49:15	57:15, 72:7, 72:11	names [2] - 23:21,
48:3, 48:8, 59:6, 70:3	10:2, 10:4, 10:6,	Missing [57] - 1:11,	Morin [1] - 23:23	31:17
70.5 manager [1] - 48:17	10:9, 10:23, 12:2,	3:25, 4:5, 4:7, 4:19,	morning [2] - 19:21,	narrow [2] - 13:6,
• • • •	12:21, 13:4, 13:9,	5:9, 5:16, 6:1, 7:13,	61:10	25:12
mandate [2] - 55:2,	13:11, 13:17, 14:5,	8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:3,	Morris [3] - 28:10,	Nathanson [1] - 61:22
60:16	15:1, 15:22, 20:11,	9:9, 10:9, 10:18,	85:3, 85:6	Nation [1] - 106:21
mandatory [1] - 26:17	49:10, 50:12, 77:24,	11:6, 11:23, 12:2,	most [13] - 1:15, 2:4,	national [1] - 59:11
manner [4] - 3:15, 5:18, 24:23, 86:17	77:25, 80:4, 85:15,	12:4, 12:16, 12:20,	20:9, 20:16, 35:18,	National [3] - 2:2, 2:6,
5:18, 24:23, 86:17	87:11, 99:4, 99:8,	12:21, 13:9, 13:11,	,,,,,,,	
		x		
		2 2		

2:7	notebook [2] - 6:13,	62:20	once [7] - 21:25, 22:2,	32:25, 61:16, 63:16,
Native [2] - 79:13,	8:10	obviously [4] - 7:8,	32:6, 51:20, 58:8,	82:25, 97:12
85:5	noted [3] - 6:15, 6:21,	8:13, 31:2, 44:2	104:11, 104:12	ordination [2] - 48:5,
nature [3] - 42:15,	8:10	occasion [2] - 95:2,	one [81] - 1:9, 3:12,	48:8
67:21, 74:21	notes [17] - 6:21, 7:1,	97:21	3:13, 3:18, 3:20,	organization [2] -
neatly [1] - 30:10	10:17, 10:21, 11:4,	occasions [2] - 67:20,	3:23, 4:8, 4:12, 4:14,	30:24, 76:2
1ecessarily [2] - 38:1,	11:8, 11:22, 11:24,	99:11	6:11, 6:16, 8:1, 8:19,	organizations [1] -
69:16	18:23, 18:24, 18:25,	occupy [1] - 1:14	10:21, 10:25, 11:13,	46:6
necessary [4] - 14:17,	42:22, 79:23, 84:3,	occur [3] - 38:4,	11:23, 12:8, 12:9,	organized [1] - 106:18
51:14, 96:21, 97:12	101:1, 101:18	39:14, 51:13	15:18, 15:21, 16:1,	original [1] - 104:4
need [14] - 17:3, 18:9,	nothing [14] - 45:8,	occurred [11] - 23:8,	16:4, 16:7, 16:14,	originally [2] - 21:18,
27:11, 33:2, 48:14,	47:3, 52:12, 53:13,	49:21, 69:12, 74:12,	16:15, 17:6, 18:7,	70:21
48:15, 51:18, 52:24,	53:14, 54:1, 59:25,	78:5, 78:25, 82:23,	22:25, 23:5, 23:7,	oseeyup [2] - 108:20
56:4, 59:9, 60:25,	62:24, 63:19, 63:21,	83:18, 84:6, 88:25,	23:25, 25:1, 25:7,	ought [4] - 5:3, 12:11,
61:23, 75:3	80:1, 88:23, 89:8,	101:8	30:14, 32:2, 32:12,	12:25, 74:22
needed [8] - 17:22,	89:25	occurring [1] - 46:22	32:14, 32:17, 34:17,	
••			41:22, 42:6, 43:11,	ourselves [1] - 63:12
47:20, 48:1, 48:3,	notice [3] - 5:3, 8:23,	occurs [1] - 30:13	45:10, 46:16, 55:12,	out [1] - 100:12
53:23, 72:3, 89:22,	100:15	October [2] - 80:6,	45.10, 46.16, 55.12, 55:25, 56:7, 56:14,	outcome [1] - 21:16
98:18	noticed [2] - 9:2,	91:10		outlined [1] - 76:17
needs [5] - 41:24,	105:11	odds [1] - 75:22	56:15, 56:21, 59:9,	outlying [1] - 96:3
42:3, 42:4, 42:17,	noting [1] - 9:15	OF [1] - 1:1	60:5, 60:24, 63:13,	outside [1] - 20:12
69:17	notion [2] - 42:12,	offences [1] - 48:5	63:22, 66:11, 66:17,	overall [2] - 38:23,
negative [1] - 45:1	45:15	offenders [1] - 34:16	67:6, 68:9, 72:19,	80:18
never [26] - 9:24,	notions [5] - 27:19,	offer [6] - 46:10,	72:20, 75:18, 80:24,	overcame [1] - 59:15
33:12, 40:10, 55:22,	27:21, 28:16, 40:21,	51:14, 64:14, 66:6,	81:25, 85:6, 85:8,	overcome [1] - 44:22
55:24, 58:9, 62:19,	49:22	108:23	88:5, 89:24, 90:2,	overestimate [1] -
69:14, 71:1, 73:15,	nourishes [1] - 105:3	offered [2] - 37:15,	93:8, 94:15, 99:9,	
84:11, 84:18, 84:21,	November [6] - 53:12,	47:2	100:4, 103:17,	22:7
84:22, 85:1, 85:5,	77:14, 80:5, 81:15,		104:3, 104:15,	overly [1] - 25:12
85:14, 85:17, 85:18,		offers [1] - 49:10	105:9, 105:16	overnight [3] - 30:4,
	88:6, 93:5	office [2] - 85:5, 97:22	one's [1] - 25:15	44:19
86:16, 90:7, 94:10,	number [19] - 6:3,	officer [19] - 26:3,	ones [4] - 36:21,	overwhelming [1] -
94:14, 98:13,	6:16, 6:17, 6:23,	26:5, 26:13, 26:18,	85:16, 103:20, 108:1	39:16
102:14, 106:6	6:24, 13:19, 23:11,	26:20, 35:19, 38:22,		Owen [1] - 46:25
nevertheless [1] -	37:9, 55:25, 72:17,	56:25, 66:8, 66:9,	Ontario [1] - 21:6	own [15] - 6:6, 8:7,
24:23	73:16, 75:5, 75:6,	77:22, 81:19, 93:4,	open [3] - 10:23,	16:15, 18:4, 19:9,
new [9] - 21:19, 21:22,	78:11, 83:19, 86:7,	93:8, 95:3, 95:6,	16:24, 46:4	31:6, 33:7, 33:8,
28:2, 28:7, 28:8,	96:7, 96:16, 97:9	95:8, 102:23	Openheimer [1] - 7:6	37:8, 40:22, 48:18,
28:11, 44:11, 54:15,	numbers [2] - 98:7,	Officer [1] - 35:3	operation [5] - 28:6,	81:25, 91:6, 91:7,
76:6	103:4	officer's [3] - 26:8,	39:7, 44:18, 46:5,	109:11
next [7] - 5:14, 8:19,	nurses [1] - 84:17	26:12, 75:25	48:1	109.11
10:8, 51:13, 61:19,			operational [1] - 60:18	
74:13, 75:9	0	officers [32] - 4:18,	operator [1] - 96:16	P
Niedermeyer [3] -	0	5:9, 8:14, 24:8,	opinions [3] - 23:4,	
4:16, 4:22, 6:4		26:17, 26:23, 30:13,	28:15, 87:8	P.M [1] - 109:16
	oath [1] - 50:20	33:20, 33:22, 33:24,		
non [3] - 96:7, 97:6,	objection [1] - 106:4	34:1, 34:4, 35:5,	opportunity [13] -	pack [4] - 1:13, 5:24,
106:6	Objection [1] - 105:25	35:13, 35:21, 36:5,	1:20, 3:11, 43:5,	6:5, 6:8
non-emergency [2] -	obscure [1] - 20:3	36:10, 36:16, 36:21,	51:20, 61:4, 62:10,	page [6] - 6:14, 70:19
96:7, 97:6		42:10, 45:8, 46:20,	67:25, 82:23, 84:5,	71:3, 71:19, 73:22,
non-leading [1] -	observations [5] -	48:20, 60:13, 65:23,	88:8, 101:18,	100:6
106:6	8:10, 10:15, 17:5,	73:25, 77:25, 78:12,	101:21, 104:3	pages [3] - 74:13,
none [4] - 31:25, 42:8,	18:23, 18:25	78:14, 86:22, 87:9,	opposed [2] - 37:21,	75:9, 107:11
60:18, 83:24	obstacle [1] - 59:15	88:14	41:21	pain [1] - 33:9
Northern [1] - 60:25	obstacles [3] - 58:24,	official [3] - 20:25,	opposition [2] - 6:1,	paper [5] - 20:25,
notation [2] - 94:24,	58:25, 59:3	81:2, 89:14	8:8	21:3, 21:8, 24:15,
100:16	obtain [1] - 17:4	Official [1] - 109:24	oral [2] - 20:2, 104:5	24:19
	obtained [1] - 6:11		orally [6] - 5:17, 7:14,	paragraph [3] - 6:14,
notatione rol - 6.10	obtaining [1] - 16:24	officials [1] - 48:14	11:15, 11:20, 12:1,	17:10, 74:20
notations [2] - 6:12, 11:1		often [7] - 20:21,		11.10, 14.20
11:1	• • •		18.22	naragrapha
11:1 note [7] - 6:21, 7:2,	obvious [9] - 14:25,	21:18, 25:18, 37:20,	18:22 Order (1) - 108:13	paragraphs [2] -
11:1	• • •		18:22 Order [1] - 108:13 order [7] - 1:4, 29:18,	paragraphs [2] - 13:19, 99:2 paramount [1] - 39:20

parenting [1] - 85:20 Park [1] - 7:6 Part [1] - 31:21 part [16] - 15:25, 20:9, 25:5, 28:2, 28:6, 35:17, 36:18, 37:12, 39:12, 50:1, 51:9, 51:21, 62:16, 64:24, 94:15, 108:8 participant [1] - 20:13 participants [7] - 3:4, 10:23, 12:18, 19:8, 19:17, 52:9, 104:8 participate [1] - 62:11 participated [1] -107:25 participating [1] -107:8 particular [6] - 1:9, 2:21, 9:11, 25:12, 54:4, 72:18 particularly [7] -37:14, 52:10, 63:17, 80:14, 107:12, 107:24, 108:6 partly [1] - 60:15 partying [1] - 85:2 passage [2] - 11:14, 64.22 passages [1] - 74:18 passed [6] - 7:15, 7:25, 17:13, 19:2, 78:24, 83:17 passing [1] - 19:3 past [7] - 7:9, 21:20, 21:22, 51:12, 97:2, 99:22, 101:11 Path [1] - 24:15 path [1] - 40:20 pathology [1] - 21:6 patience [1] - 104:22 patterns [3] - 44:23, 76:12, 76:16 Paul [1] - 23:23 pediatric [1] - 21:5 people [70] - 6:3, 16:9, 21:15, 21:17, 21:19, 23:13, 28:20, 29:10, 29:11, 29:12, 29:20, 29:21, 30:5, 33:10, 33:13, 35:7, 38:16, 42:17, 45:11, 49:3, 49:6, 49:19, 50:21, 51:11, 60:4, 63:15, 63:17, 64:3, 64:5, 64:8, 64:17, 64:24, 65:13, 65:15, 65:16, 65:22, 65:23, 66:1, 66:4, 66:11, 66:14, 67:1, 68:21, 72:17,

74:5, 75:3, 75:6, 75:8, 77:4, 84:17, 86:5, 86:14, 88:3, 88:19, 93:1, 95:3, 95:5, 95:13, 95:17, 95:18, 95:22, 97:3, 97:4, 98:16, 98:22, 99:25. 100:1. 104:11, 108:21, 109:8 people's [2] - 13:15, 87:8 peoples [1] - 63:18 percent [3] - 72:19, 72:20, 92:6 perception [3] - 21:21, 25:6, 30:23 perfect [4] - 24:23, 30:19, 41:23, 59:9 performance [2] -91:5, 91:6 performs [1] - 91:11 perhaps [9] - 31:23, 35:18, 44:23, 62:14, 64:23, 66:15, 69:14, 76:20, 81:11 Peri [1] - 109:23 period [1] - 78:4 permanent [1] - 30:3 permitted [1] - 38:5 perpetuate [1] - 42:11 perpetuated [1] - 2:10 person [32] - 2:5, 4:20. 6:16, 20:22, 22:5, 26:7, 31:9, 32:3, 32:6, 33:23, 33:25, 35:1, 42:22, 45:10, 46:14, 56:5, 57:5, 60:14, 68:12, 70:17, 79:19, 81:13, 86:6. 91:21, 94:25, 97:19, 98:12, 100:7, 101:25, 102:14, 102:22, 103:14 person's [2] - 33:24, 34:1 personality [2] -75:17, 90:3 personally [4] - 14:7, 32:19, 32:23, 33:5 personnel [1] - 81:21 persons [28] - 6:4, 23:11, 24:24, 59:11, 59:17, 59:24, 60:6, 63:24, 68:9, 76:7, 76:13, 78:20, 79:5, 79:7, 84:12, 84:16, 85:9, 85:13, 91:18, 95:11, 95:21, 95:23, 95:25, 99:25, 103:3,

103:16 Persons [16] - 54:24, 60:3, 77:13, 77:17, 78:10, 81:10, 81:20, 82:5, 83:1, 83:21, 91:1. 92:13. 92:18. 94:3, 99:9, 100:21 perspective [2] -11:17, 64:10 persuasion [1] - 75:25 pertaining [1] - 89:6 Peter [2] - 25:25, 26:15 petty [1] - 65:17 Ph.D [1] - 69:25 phenomenon [1] -20:21 Philip [1] - 46:25 phone [3] - 83:19, 84:2, 101:25 phonetic) [1] - 108:20 **photo** [16] - 1:13, 5:12, 5:24, 6:2, 6:5, 6:7, 6:8, 9:6, 9:10, 15:24, 16:3, 16:6, 16:11, 18:2, 18:3, 18:4 photos [1] - 6:4 phrase [1] - 3:17 pick [1] - 85:22 picked [1] - 5:11 picking [2] - 73:1, 73.8 picks [1] - 5:17 Pickton [62] - 1:13, 5:12, 5:20, 6:9, 6:12, 6:17, 6:23, 6:24, 7:4, 12:16, 12:18, 12:22, 13:7, 13:10, 14:19, 14:22, 14:25, 15:4, 15:7, 15:13, 15:16, 16:19, 17:4, 17:17, 17:19, 17:23, 18:10, 18:13, 22:15, 22:22, 23:16, 23:25, 27:15, 27:17, 29:2, 29:6, 29:9, 31:14, 42:9, 42:13, 42:14, 45:12, 52:17, 55:23, 55:24, 56:5, 56:8, 56:13, 56:23, 57:14, 57:17, 57:21, 58:8, 58:17, 58:23, 60:14, 60:21, 63:1, 69:7, 69:13, 75:16, 76:22 Pickton's [1] - 56:6 picture [4] - 6:9, 6:11, 33:3. 106:8 piece [1] - 68:25 pieces [3] - 22:10,

-xii

55:13, 57:22 pint [1] - 84:20 place [2] - 42:16, 101:16 placing [1] - 43:8 plagued [1] - 20:19 plain [1] - 22:18 plan [3] - 60:18, 70:3, 72:4 platform [2] - 28:14, 37.11 play [1] - 72:22 played [1] - 87:13 players [1] - 63:15 pleasant [2] - 86:11, 99.25 point [23] - 7:8, 10:11, 12:9, 14:3, 17:6, 18:19, 22:10, 31:25, 32:23, 33:4, 38:24, 40:12, 42:21, 49:15, 49:20, 55:24, 56:1, 69:15, 73:9, 74:20, 97:16, 103:1, 104:4 pointed [3] - 49:23, 62:23, 86:6 pointing [1] - 40:20 points [2] - 72:16, 74:4 polarize [1] - 42:5 Police [17] - 9:18, 13:4, 14:23, 19:22, 29:2, 29:5, 29:9, 43:15, 46:19, 60:2, 68:13, 68:19, 73:3, 73:10, 73:12, 77:13, 79:13 police [78] - 5:9, 22:1, 22:2, 23:11, 23:16, 24:8, 25:10, 25:19, 26:13, 26:23, 27:5, 27:18, 29:16, 30:11, 30:13, 30:23, 31:13, 32:9, 32:10, 32:11, 33:20, 33:21, 33:24, 33:25, 34:4, 34:13, 34:15, 34:19, 34:21, 35:12, 35:18, 35:21, 35:23, 36:5, 36:7, 36:10, 43:18, 45:4, 45:7, 46:5, 46:24, 48:9, 48:15, 48:20, 49:7, 49:8, 50:6, 51:14, 56:25, 60:25, 61:5, 65:9, 65:23, 66:3, 66:8, 66:9, 66:14, 66:21, 75:21, 76:9, 76:15, 77:22, 77:25, 81:19, 83:11, 87:9, 88:14, 93:4,

93:8, 95:3, 95:6, 95:9, 98:21, 99:6, 102:23, 107:18 policies [1] - 80:18 policing [17] - 24:4, 24:7, 24:8, 30:19, 31:15, 34:7, 34:19, 35:5, 43:6, 50:10, 51:2, 52:21, 58:2, 66:16, 66:21, 66:23, 67.7 Policing [1] - 34:11 policy [2] - 33:3, 102:8 polite [1] - 86:11 portrayal [1] - 30:11 portrayed [1] - 90:24 pose [1] - 47:19 position [11] - 9:19, 35:21, 49:4, 55:7, 78:15, 81:23, 81:24, 83:9, 91:12, 92:16, 101.12 positive [5] - 29:25, 30:3, 45:3, 46:3, 67:25 possess [1] - 35:19 possesses [1] - 91:24 possibilities [1] -15:21 possibility [7] - 15:21, 16:1, 16:4, 16:6, 16:15, 16:16 possible [6] - 61:2. 69:8, 69:13, 71:10, 71:11, 75:15 possibly [1] - 98:11 Post [4] - 2:2, 2:6, 2:7 posted [1] - 81:23 poster [1] - 85:13 potential [1] - 71:6 poverty [1] - 49:5 Powell [3] - 89:2, 89:19, 100:16 Powell's [1] - 89:9 power [2] - 34:3, 39:22 practice [6] - 27:9, 37:9, 37:11, 39:11, 39:18, 40:6 practices [3] - 27:5, 44:7, 80:19 practises [1] - 33:4 praised [1] - 44:5 pray [1] - 109:11 prayer [6] - 107:3, 107:4, 108:19, 108:24, 109:10, 1:9 **PRAYER** [1] - 109:12 precise [1] - 6:12 predator [1] - 47:22

predators [3] - 47:19, 48:9, 51:7 predatory [2] - 70:11, 70.12 predictable [1] - 21:17 Predisposing [1] -21.2 premature [1] - 21:24 premise [2] - 14:13, 14:17 preparation [1] - 87:6 prepare [2] - 70:9, 72:13 prepared [6] - 3:23, 21:4, 24:20, 40:18, 68:17, 73:21 preparing [1] - 94:11 prerogative [1] - 82:16 present [3] - 34:19, 34:21, 103:24 presentation [5] -61:24, 62:10, 104:20, 105:8, 105:11 presented [1] - 96:14 preserve [1] - 50:13 press [3] - 35:11, 71:3. 71:4 pressing [1] - 18:9 pressure [1] - 40:14 presumed [1] - 68:15 prevent [3] - 19:15, 34:16 prevented [1] - 4:21 preventing [1] - 24:16 prevention [1] - 24:19 previous [1] - 72:11 previously [2] - 78:22, 86.25 prey [2] - 51:6, 63:3 preyed [1] - 60:23 preying [1] - 4:21 pride [1] - 64:25 primarily [2] - 68:14, 69:4 principal [1] - 15:5 principles [1] - 24:1 priorities [1] - 34:6 prioritize [1] - 102:20 priority [1] - 56:6 prison [1] - 45:12 proactive [1] - 51:15 probabilities [9] -5:15, 7:24, 8:3, 8:20, 8:21, 8:24, 9:13, 11:17, 18:20 problem [16] - 26:22, 38:1, 38:2, 44:21, 44:25, 46:23, 47:4, 62:23, 63:4, 63:22,

71:10, 73:15, 73:18, 86:4, 90:23 problematic [3] -44:23, 78:22, 87:4 problems [10] - 29:18, 38:14, 38:16, 39:14, 46:17, 47:17, 49:2, 65:19, 69:23, 95:14 procedure [2] - 98:18, 104:4 procedures [2] -78:18, 80:18 proceed [1] - 80:17 proceeding [2] -83:17, 101:10 PROCEEDINGS [5] -1:3, 61:14, 61:15, 109:16, 1:1 proceedings [4] -10:24, 16:17, 19:18, 109:19 process [10] - 6:6, 50:14, 75:20, 76:25, 78:18, 80:10, 81:2, 96:11, 98:10, 98:15 produce [1] - 77:6 produced [1] - 70:23 profession [2] - 36:4, 64:21 professional [3] -3:15, 36:2, 93:21 professionalism [1] -107:23 professionally [1] -14:7 proficient [1] - 91:11 profiles [3] - 57:13, 58:7, 70:10 profiling [2] - 70:1, 70:2 profound [1] - 64:6 program [1] - 46:11 progress [1] - 61:8 prohibiting [1] - 2:15 Project [10] - 52:20, 52:23, 53:24, 56:22, 57:20, 58:12, 58:19, 59:12, 60:21, 75:7 projecting [1] - 21:19 prolific [1] - 107:19 promotion [1] - 71:23 prompted [2] - 13:8, 46:12 proper [1] - 88:7 properly [1] - 101:21 proposal [2] - 76:16, 104:9 proposed [1] - 8:17 prosecuted [1] - 32:4 Prosecution [1] -

24:18 prosecutor [1] - 20:23 prosecutorial [1] -25:13 prosecutors [3] -22:2, 25:10, 25:19 prostitute [1] - 75:2 protect [1] - 36:20 protecting [1] - 34:23 protection [2] - 64:15, 83.6 proud [1] - 24:12 proved [1] - 43:15 provide [11] - 9:1, 11:20, 11:21, 11:22, 12:1, 12:15, 14:14, 14:21, 51:13, 63:14, 105:17 provided [19] - 6:19, 9:22, 10:18, 10:21, 13:7, 18:24, 23:3, 60:9, 70:13, 76:17, 78:9, 79:21, 79:25, 81:6, 82:20, 83:25, 85:18, 87:20, 87:22 providing [1] - 71:9 province [2] - 20:10, 58:16 provinces [2] - 60:7, 105:1 provincial [1] - 20:24 Provincial [1] - 24:17 public [16] - 2:23, 33:12, 35:21, 35:24, 37:22, 37:23, 37:24, 38:7, 48:14, 65:24, 66:5, 66:16, 92:22, 95:8, 95:19, 96:8 publish [1] - 71:16 pull [1] - 92:12 punishment [1] -36:25 Purcell [2] - 79:17, 84:23 purpose [2] - 40:13, 63:13 purposes [1] - 63:14 pushed [1] - 45:5 put [15] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:16, 3:21, 13:10, 19:11, 28:2, 29:17, 45:12, 55:6, 83:13, 85:12, 87:25, 95:4, 101:20 puts [1] - 38:24 putting [1] - 44:11

Q

QC [1] - 106:9 Quebec [1] - 100:8 questions [3] - 1:21, 18:8, 18:12 queue [1] - 96:7 quickly [6] - 31:1, 34:14, 61:2, 93:2, 95:10, 105:15 quite [4] - 14:4, 25:18, 48:13, 90:5 quote [6] - 21:9, 35:17, 36:18, 37:18, 74:14, 97:21 quotes [1] - 105:9 quoting [1] - 80:12

R

race [3] - 85:23, 87:12, 102:20 racism [7] - 2:9, 5:2, 28:17, 31:3, 42:7, 49:8, 49:18 racist [10] - 1:22, 3:10, 27:16, 32:10, 86:17, 88:18, 89:9, 89:15, 90:13, 102:5 Rae [1] - 28:13 Rae-Lynne [1] - 28:13 raise [1] - 28:21 raised [5] - 3:25, 27:21, 28:17, 80:16, 81.5 rank [2] - 28:9, 94:4 ranking [1] - 75:25 rapist [1] - 47:14 rare [1] - 43:7 rather [4] - 16:25, 18:19, 48:1, 64:12 **RCMP** [16] - 12:20, 12:22, 13:3, 13:8, 13:11, 17:1, 17:10, 17:13, 17:19, 17:20, 17:22, 18:3, 93:23, 94:8, 94:17, 94:18 re [1] - 88:4 re-interviewed [1] -88:4 reached [1] - 43:1 read [5] - 11:24, 18:25, 43:25, 71:4, 74:17 ready [3] - 58:3, 58:7 real [5] - 28:24, 29:14, 29:18, 37:22, 42:19 realistic [1] - 33:15

reality [3] - 30:11, 30:20, 30:21 realized [1] - 102:15 really [5] - 19:13, 38:9, 51:17, 67:22, 98:3 reason [7] - 8:11, 8:13, 31:7, 33:19, 49:15, 92:9, 95:6 reasonable [1] - 38:11 reasons [3] - 73:22, 77:21, 102:9 rebuffed [1] - 95:19 received [14] - 6:3, 6:13. 7:2. 7:10. 18:16. 25:15. 82:1. 89:17, 93:14, 94:16, 95:17, 95:18, 96:3, 108:12 receives [1] - 4:9 recess [1] - 61:13 recipients [1] - 11:19 recognition [3] -21:21, 36:14, 48:5 recognized [2] - 6:17, 65:8 recognizing [1] - 6:3 recollection [5] - 7:18, 10:5, 10:7, 83:22, 90:5 recommendation [1] -59:22 recommendations [9] - 33:16, 39:25, 47:9, 50:24, 59:4, 59:21, 61:4, 63:10, 76:19 recommended [2] -26:15, 75:12 reconsidered [1] - 2:3 RECONVENED [1] -1:3 record [1] - 3:12 recorded [2] - 41:1, 83:2 recordings [1] - 84:3 recurrence [1] - 76:21 recurring [2] - 47:5, 48:12 refer [7] - 3:17, 5:4, 86:24, 88:15, 90:1, 101:1 reference [2] - 46:18, 97:14 references [1] - 11:3 referred [16] - 20:1, 20:4, 20:21, 49:3, 79:14, 79:15, 79:17, 84:16.89:2.89:5. 89:23, 93:25, 94:18, 94:22, 95:1, 102:25 referring [1] - 91:10

-xiii -

refers [3] - 87:9, 94:9,	51:22, 59:7, 72:13,	67:12, 73:15, 73:17,	3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19,	69:9, 70:16, 71:19,
99:1	72:16, 74:2, 79:6,	74:22, 75:10	5:10, 5:16, 6:2, 7:13,	73:23
reflection [1] - 22:4	84:12, 84:16, 87:6,	respect [33] - 33:21,	8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4,	round [1] - 75:23
refuse [4] - 84:11,	87:9, 87:14, 88:12,	60:1, 67:18, 75:12,	9:9, 10:9, 10:18,	Royal [1] - 38:6
98:13, 102:11,	95:21, 96:4, 96:6,	78:11, 78:19, 78:21,	11:6, 11:23, 12:2,	rude [3] - 86:14, 89:4,
102:13	96:10, 96:12, 97:6,	79:15, 80:1, 81:9,	12:5, 12:16, 12:20,	89:12
refused [1] - 6:20	97:11, 97:12, 97:18,	81:20, 82:5, 83:5,	12:21, 13:9, 13:12,	run [1] - 67:20
refusing [2] - 77:20,	97:19, 98:11, 98:14,	83:10, 84:7, 84:9,	13:18, 14:5, 14:9,	running [1] - 67:22
102:7	98:17, 98:21, 99:1,	84:13, 84:25, 85:3,	14:16, 15:1, 15:10,	_
regard [5] - 4:12,	102:12, 102:13	85:7, 87:1, 90:3,	15:19, 15:22, 16:2,	S
78:13, 81:21, 89:17,	reported [4] - 44:16,	90:4, 91:15, 92:23,	16:5, 16:8, 17:2,	
93:6	85:11, 103:9, 103:14	92:25, 93:23, 97:20,	17:7, 18:22, 101:3	
regarded [2] - 9:4, 9:7	reportee [4] - 84:12,	100:20, 101:24,	review [8] - 37:16,	sad [1] - 51:4
regarding [4] - 13:7,	96:20, 102:12,	103:10, 104:21,	50:11, 79:22, 80:18,	safe [3] - 29:22, 29:24,
47:7, 81:1, 82:11	102:21	104:23	82:24, 84:1, 87:10,	103:17
registers [1] - 26:8	reportees [1] - 87:13	respectful [15] -	101:18	safely [1] - 65:22
REGISTRAR [4] - 1:4,	Reporter [1] - 109:24	20:18, 22:12, 23:15,	reviewed [2] - 11:4,	safer [3] - 33:16,
61:13, 61:16, 109:14	reporting [1] - 95:14	27:23, 28:14, 28:19,	93:17	33:19, 51:16
regression [1] - 27:10	REPORTING [1] -	33:11, 40:7, 47:4,	reviewing [2] - 15:11,	safety [5] - 36:17,
regret [3] - 52:16,	109:25	51:5, 53:3, 53:8,	44:14	43:7, 43:18, 50:7,
60:20, 103:20	reports [18] - 38:21,	55:18, 58:21, 68:16	reviews [1] - 39:23	51:1
regrettable [1] - 63:1	39:23, 40:18, 40:22,	respectfully [7] - 27:7,	reward [1] - 46:9	Sandra [5] - 77:11,
regular [1] - 50:7	41:9, 77:20, 78:20,	41:5, 41:13, 42:25,	rhetorically [1] - 31:11	90:4, 92:5, 94:2,
-	79:5, 79:7, 85:9,	61:3, 71:14, 82:15	Rick [1] - 106:20	94:9
regularly [2] - 39:14,	92:6, 95:11, 95:23,	respective [1] - 36:1	rid [2] - 62:25, 63:12	Sandy [2] - 91:17,
84:24	95:25, 96:25, 102:8,	respond [9] - 34:13,	Ridgway [3] - 31:17,	100:18
reinforce [1] - 21:23	102:18, 102:20	79:10, 80:3, 82:25,	57:4	sat [1] - 3:6
reinforced [2] - 21:12,	represent [1] - 95:7	84:5, 88:8, 101:7,	rights [1] - 33:21	saved [3] - 63:7,
25:18	represented [1] - 50:8	101:12, 101:21	rise [1] - 62:21	71:11, 75:15
reiterate [1] - 14:2	representing [2] -	responded [2] - 87:15,	risk [3] - 56:13, 86:5,	saw [7] - 11:10, 60:1,
related [1] - 78:13	77:22, 81:19	89:1	102:10	80:5, 92:21, 93:24,
relates [3] - 59:5,	reputation [1] - 71:20	response [11] - 4:5,		94:5, 94:10
59:11, 59:23	reputations [1] - 65:1	4:10, 10:19, 17:8,	rivalry [1] - 48:2	scapegoat [1] - 29:19
relating [3] - 76:13,		25:16, 48:19, 79:25,	River [5] - 57:6, 58:5,	scapegoating [1] -
77:19, 107:18	request [2] - 10:19,	82:2, 88:1, 91:14,	93:23, 94:8, 94:18	40:20
relationship [1] - 90:6	107:2	93:11	Robert [10] - 22:14,	scapegoats [4] - 19:7,
relationships [1] -	requesting [1] - 97:18	responsibility [2] -	22:22, 23:16, 23:25,	19:13, 38:8, 43:9
46:6	requests [1] - 78:14	41:21, 71:18	27:15, 27:17, 31:14,	scene [1] - 73:25
release [4] - 71:3,	require [1] - 52:21	responsible [6] -	42:9, 42:13, 42:14	schedule [2] - 61:11,
71:4, 71:11, 71:16	required [3] - 26:19,	22:15, 24:5, 33:23,	Roberts [5] - 104:16,	62:3
relevant [4] - 2:18,	46:20, 75:20	43:16, 51:17, 51:18	104:18, 106:15,	scholar [1] - 20:24
27:24, 53:8, 55:8	requires [4] - 24:4,	responsibly [2] -	106:18	scientific [1] - 30:16
reliable [1] - 30:18	31:22, 36:12, 46:23		ROBERTS [4] -	
rely [3] - 13:12, 41:9,	research [2] - 48:13,	32:16, 32:17 rest [1] - 45:12	104:19, 105:11,	scientists [1] - 39:4
91:25	72:12	result [7] - 4:24, 16:3,	105:24, 106:14	scope [1] - 54:9
relying [1] - 15:24	reservations [1] -	26:9, 31:3, 31:4,	Rogers [1] - 48:17	scrupulously [1] -
remain [2] - 36:1, 43:1	71:24		role [3] - 33:14, 52:25,	22:13
remains [1] - 16:7	residents [2] - 71:6,	45:10, 72:22 resulted [1] - 87:23	87:13	search [3] - 38:8,
remember [4] - 57:9,	71:8		roles [1] - 43:16	57:12, 57:16
87:18, 106:2, 109:13	resiled [2] - 2:16, 2:23	results [4] - 18:5,	Rollins [1] - 92:3	searched [1] - 57:7
removed [1] - 90:25	resolve [2] - 19:14,	25:22, 26:5	Romano [1] - 61:22	second [9] - 6:21,
repeat [2] - 2:8, 19:10	36:22	RESUMED [1] - 61:15	room [5] - 1:25, 3:2,	35:7, 54:11, 59:10,
repeated [1] - 6:5	resolved [2] - 30:9,	resumed [2] - 1:4,	3:7, 3:19, 62:21	60:18, 63:3, 63:22,
repeatedly [1] - 56:5	31:1	61:16	rooms [1] - 105:5	65:3, 70:24
repeating [1] - 31:18	resort [1] - 64:8	retired [9] - 19:22,	Rossmo [14] - 67:10,	secondly [1] - 11:16
reply [6] - 83:9, 104:5,	resource [5] - 47:2,	19:23, 50:2, 51:23,	68:3, 68:8, 68:17,	Section [1] - 28:4
	47:4, 65:19, 70:4,	51:24, 62:7, 67:5,	69:21, 70:16, 70:20,	sections [1] - 87:15
104.0. 104.10.	70:12	82:1	72:2, 72:8, 73:21,	see [7] - 7:5, 31:21,
104:6, 104:10, 104:11, 105:13	10.12			
104:11, 105:13	resources [12] -	retirement [1] - 82:9	74:15, 75:13, 75:18,	44:1, 69:17, 75:24,
104:11, 105:13 report [37] - 24:17,		return [1] - 100:8	74:15, 75:13, 75:18, 76:18	97:13, 104:3
104:11, 105:13	resources [12] -			

seem [3] - 30:7, 34:18,	28:17, 31:3, 42:7,	skepticism [1] - 4:13	speakers [1] - 61:9	Steinbach [1] - 92:2
40:12	49:8, 49:18	sketch [1] - 105:20	Special [1] - 17:14	step [1] - 33:2
seldom [1] - 25:8	sexist [4] - 1:22, 3:9,	skill [2] - 39:1, 109:20	specialized [1] - 48:4	Stephen [1] - 21:7
selected [1] - 28:11	27:16, 32:10	skillful [1] - 39:3	specific [13] - 7:18,	steps [6] - 5:13, 15:11,
self [1] - 74:16	sexual [1] - 70:11	skills [1] - 70:16	10:4, 79:1, 79:8,	29:25, 54:3, 54:20,
senior [5] - 40:21,	share [4] - 35:14,	SKWAROK [3] - 68:3,	81:6, 82:10, 82:18,	63:16
45:14, 45:16, 46:1,	108:23, 109:5,	68:8, 76:24	83:22, 84:9, 86:18,	Steven [1] - 23:24
91:25	109:10	Skwarok [5] - 61:19,	86:21, 87:20, 87:24	Stewart [1] - 94:23
sense [7] - 3:20, 8:5,	sharing [1] - 48:11	61:21, 68:3, 77:7,	specifically [4] - 7:15,	still [7] - 35:17, 49:19,
8:15, 29:1, 29:4,	Shenher [24] - 2:1,	1:7	76:11, 78:11, 89:25	58:17, 59:8, 60:15,
29:8, 38:9	2:3, 8:21, 9:18,	slowed [1] - 58:25	specifics [3] - 78:3,	69:20, 99:4
sensitive [1] - 98:23	10:19, 13:17, 14:4,	slowing [1] - 59:8	81:1, 82:21	stood [1] - 56:9
sent [6] - 42:21, 80:7,	67:9, 69:5, 72:9,	small [6] - 29:16,	speculated [1] - 23:3	stop [3] - 30:5, 31:13
91:15, 94:5, 94:20,	73:5, 75:1, 88:16,	53:19, 53:22,	Spencer [3] - 28:3,	stopped [2] - 31:10,
97:16	88:17, 88:21, 88:25,	104:20, 105:17,	44:14, 44:16	32:3
September [3] - 5:23,	89:3, 89:11, 89:17,	108:23	spend [1] - 28:18	stories [1] - 107:25
70:24, 72:2	94:7, 94:16, 100:21,	sneaky [1] - 3:3	spending [1] - 28:20	strategic [1] - 71:2
Sergeant [15] - 79:25,	101:2, 103:7	soberly [1] - 23:1	spent [4] - 27:20,	strategies [1] - 85:20
80:8, 80:17, 80:20,	sheriffs [1] - 36:7	social [4] - 46:7, 51:9,	53:25, 64:21, 69:21	street [2] - 4:20, 5:10
81:2, 81:15, 82:8,	shift [1] - 73:4	64:7, 84:18	spirit [1] - 109:5	streets [1] - 58:10
87:22, 91:9, 91:14,	shifted [1] - 73:11	Social [1] - 84:21	spirited [2] - 107:15,	stress [2] - 70:4, 98:4
93:22, 94:1, 94:13,	short [2] - 43:21, 89:8	societal [1] - 64:9	107:21	stressed [1] - 98:23
95:15, 99:2	shortly [1] - 103:7	society [5] - 24:24,	spiritual [1] - 108:21	Stright [1] - 94:7
sergeant [1] - 90:18	shoulders [1] - 67:16	29:24, 37:5, 51:10,	split [1] - 35:7	strikingly [1] - 40:23
serial [32] - 29:14,	show [3] - 69:7, 84:19,	51:16	Spokane [2] - 58:6,	struck [1] - 52:10
31:15, 31:19, 31:22,	85:1	Society [1] - 79:13	75:1	struggled [1] - 67:11
32:1, 32:2, 32:5,	shows [3] - 38:25,	solely [2] - 52:25,	spoken [1] - 97:10	struggles [1] - 60:2
32:9, 32:15, 39:13,	95:22, 105:21	56:13	squad [1] - 91:22	studies [1] - 21:11
39:24, 41:23, 43:8,	sick [1] - 99:14	solutions [1] - 30:3	Squamish [1] - 106:21	study [4] - 43:22, 60:9,
43:19, 44:7, 44:24,	side [5] - 8:3, 11:18,	solve [2] - 32:15,	squarely [1] - 3:11	76:18, 106:23
46:15, 47:18, 47:22,	11:19, 42:6, 74:10	32:22	staff [1] - 95:19	Subcommittee [1] -
48:9, 51:8, 56:9,	sighted [1] - 16:10	solved [2] - 32:18,	stage [3] - 16:16,	24:18
56:14, 56:16, 60:23,	sightings [3] - 10:13,	100:3	16:17, 62:13	subject [1] - 26:16
68:10, 70:10, 70:18,	10:17, 11:4	someone [18] - 1:25,	staggering [1] - 58:22	subjective [1] - 87:8
72:5, 73:14, 74:6,	signed [2] - 94:2,	3:1, 8:4, 16:11,	stake [1] - 65:1	submission [39] -
74:9	94:23	21:25, 22:22, 31:13,	stalled [2] - 53:16,	1:14, 1:18, 4:8, 4:25,
serious [6] - 24:10,	significance [1] -	32:23, 33:5, 33:6,	56:3	5:4, 8:1, 9:13, 9:23,
30:9, 75:21, 90:20,	71:25	49:14, 63:25, 71:21,	stand [5] - 3:6, 12:7,	10:22, 11:5, 11:12,
107:17, 107:20	significant [3] - 69:6,	85:10, 96:5, 97:18,	19:12, 33:14, 36:20	12:23, 13:24, 13:25,
serve [1] - 36:9	70:17, 78:4	109:4	standards [2] - 36:3,	17:11, 18:6, 18:18,
served [1] - 67:8	similar [8] - 16:8,	sometime [1] - 7:4	36:14	18:19, 20:18, 22:12,
service [5] - 46:8,	16:11, 17:8, 39:14,	sometimes [2] - 26:4,	standby [1] - 58:5	23:15, 27:24, 28:14,
50:7, 65:24, 66:6,	39:24, 40:23, 47:17,	97:22	standing [1] - 50:6	28:19, 33:11, 51:5,
108:15	47:25	son [1] - 100:7	start [1] - 52:11	52:18, 52:23, 53:3,
SERVICE [1] - 109:25	simple [4] - 38:21,	song [3] - 109:5,	started [2] - 53:19,	53:8, 53:24, 55:18,
Services [2] - 28:1,	48:7, 96:13, 108:22	109:10	66:24	55:21, 56:18, 58:21,
84:21	simply [14] - 9:1, 9:11,	soon [2] - 30:12,	starting [1] - 98:1	68:16, 72:14, 105:7,
services [1] - 66:21	9:15, 10:6, 12:11,	44:12	state [3] - 59:13,	105:14
session [1] - 75:24	14:6, 38:14, 54:16,	sooner [4] - 52:17,	84:10, 102:11	Submissions [2] -
sessions [1] - 76:19	57:9, 63:23, 64:2,	60:21, 69:14, 75:16	statement [1] - 54:17	68:6, 1:7
set [4] - 53:19, 54:17,	64:9, 66:7, 84:8	Sophonow [2] - 23:24,	statements [1] - 40:6	submissions [27] -
54:19, 87:15	sincerely [1] - 108:9	25:23	states [5] - 25:5,	1:8, 5:8, 9:17, 13:16,
several [4] - 10:7,	single [2] - 25:11,	sorry [6] - 2:2, 2:12,	90:15, 92:4, 99:3,	13:19, 14:23, 20:2,
40:24, 77:2, 80:15	72:24	16:17, 19:15, 28:9,	100:1	20:4, 31:20, 31:21,
sex [13] - 1:12, 3:17,	sisters [2] - 62:17,	100:9	statistical [4] - 68:18,	39:13, 43:20, 43:23,
5:24, 6:7, 7:2, 29:7,	109:13	sorts [1] - 17:14	72:1, 72:3, 73:20	50:2, 52:3, 52:8,
29:22, 34:20, 34:23, 64:9, 69:24, 71:13,	sit [1] - 96:6	sought [1] - 81:25	statistics [3] - 72:10,	62:12, 65:4, 68:1,
72:18	situation [4] - 33:1,	sounds [1] - 12:24	72:12, 103:3	68:5, 103:24,
sexism [7] - 2:9, 5:2,	33:7, 46:14, 100:10	source [1] - 15:5	status [3] - 33:25,	107:13, 1:3, 1:4, 1:5,
Contin [1] 2.0, 0.2,	SIUSS [1] - 44:21	SPEAKER [1] - 109:13	44:14, 55:12	1:6, 1:8

xv

aubmit un 61.2				
submit [15] - 61:3,	75:11	18:22, 101:3	themselves [5] - 17:8,	toward [4] - 33:16,
65:21, 68:24, 76:6,	survival [3] - 64:9,	team [18] - 8:16, 8:22,	29:24, 30:14, 41:11,	42:24, 44:17, 46:3
82:15, 82:17, 84:7,	69:24, 71:12	9:5, 9:14, 10:1, 10:2,	49:11	towards [1] - 27:11
87:24, 88:6, 89:18,	suspect [10] - 17:19,	10:4, 10:6, 28:7,	theory [2] - 25:13,	town [1] - 104:22
90:24, 92:24, 98:19,	21:24, 22:1, 22:6,	44:11, 45:22, 46:4,	25:21	trade [13] - 1:12, 3:17,
101:22, 103:8	22:8, 55:25, 69:12,	51:25, 53:19, 53:21,	thereby [1] - 24:5	5:24, 6:7, 7:3, 29:7,
submitted [4] - 27:7,	74:24, 89:18, 105:5	53:22, 53:23, 54:4	therefore [4] - 4:25,	29:22, 34:20, 34:23,
41:5, 41:13, 42:25	suspects [6] - 22:23,	teams [1] - 30:13	9:13, 11:12, 32:5	64:9, 69:24, 71:13,
subsequently [1] -	23:12, 26:10, 26:12,	Tears [1] - 56:17	therein [1] - 80:16	72:18
69:25	67:14, 68:22	technique [1] - 8:17	thesis [1] - 73:23	tragedies [3] - 19:16,
success [2] - 35:24,	suspiciously [1] -	technology [3] -	they've [1] - 60:3	41:16, 47:18
45:9	40:23	48:11, 67:3	thinking [2] - 24:13,	tragedy [3] - 19:18,
successful [2] -	sustained [1] - 106:1	Ted [1] - 31:16	26:13	62:19, 76:22
35:16, 74:7	swearing [1] - 97:25	telephone [9] - 79:4,	third [1] - 55:20	tragic [3] - 22:15,
successfully [2] -	sworn [3] - 36:5, 44:9,	83:2, 88:22, 89:5,	Thomas [1] - 23:23	26:5, 43:11
36:9, 81:23	94:4	89:7, 90:8, 93:17,	thoroughly [1] - 50:16	tragically [1] - 41:3
suffer [2] - 64:5, 64:6	syndrome [2] - 20:20,	97:23, 99:21	thoughts [1] - 26:8	trained [1] - 76:12
suffered [4] - 4:15,	21:15	ten [5] - 61:18, 78:5,	thousand [1] - 46:9	training [1] - 48:4
48:23, 67:11, 108:5	system [10] - 24:22,	83:19, 101:8, 101:17	thousands [2] - 79:4,	transcribed [1] -
suggest [12] - 10:24,	38:4, 44:21, 47:21,	tend [1] - 21:15	107:11	109:19
22:16, 23:25, 27:4,	47:23, 47:25, 48:3,	tends [2] - 22:6, 30:22	threat [1] - 71:6	transcript [1] - 109:18
29:1, 29:5, 29:8,	51:15, 59:9, 67:11	tenure [2] - 78:10,	threatened [1] - 84:21	transferred [1] - 99:13
29:14, 45:20, 49:7,	System [1] - 21:3	78:17	three [4] - 31:23, 53:7,	transparent [1] -
89:11, 104:9	systemic [2] - 37:20,	term [1] - 46:1	59:1, 59:2	50:13
suggested [7] - 9:19,	38:20	termed [1] - 30:8	throughout [11] -	trap [1] - 49:9
12:17, 15:15, 17:11,	systems [6] - 38:10,	terms [2] - 46:17, 57:1	1:19, 2:11, 3:24,	treat [1] - 102:19
22:20, 27:14, 85:19	38:17, 39:6, 39:8,	Territorial [1] - 24:18	27:2, 46:17, 47:5,	treated [5] - 9:11,
suggesting [1] - 12:9	65:6	Terry [1] - 28:3	48:12, 53:10, 70:6,	19:13, 37:2, 100:10
suggestion [4] - 3:13,	_	test [2] - 58:2, 58:11	74:2, 84:17	treatment [2] - 64:12,
14:14, 17:9, 76:3	Т	testified [16] - 10:20,	timing [2] - 57:1, 57:3	93:13
suggestions [3] -		40:25, 41:10, 52:15,	tip [1] - 6:17	tricks [1] - 73:6
19:6, 23:5, 41:8	tab [9] - 79:11, 80:7,	56:4, 57:3, 57:20,	tirelessly [1] - 43:13	tried [1] - 97:5
suicide [1] - 86:1	81:16, 91:9, 92:4,	58:1, 60:20, 85:8,	today [14] - 7:20,	trouble [1] - 86:14
summarized [1] - 50:1	92:23, 93:9, 95:15,	92:15, 96:2, 97:7,	11:15, 20:1, 35:5,	true [5] - 2:22, 16:1,
summer [1] - 74:25	100:5	97:15, 97:21, 100:25	35:19, 52:7, 52:18,	17:22, 41:17, 109:18
Sunrise [1] - 84:20	table [1] - 75:23	testify [1] - 50:19	53:5, 55:15, 59:8,	trust [5] - 35:22,
Superintendent [1] -	Tam [1] - 52:7	testifying [1] - 19:12	60:25, 62:11, 66:23,	35:25, 66:16, 66:20
92:3	tangible [1] - 4:24	testimony [17] - 50:1,	104:7	truth [1] - 54:17
superior [1] - 89:13	Tanya [1] - 84:23	50:23, 56:2, 60:13,	together [5] - 28:2,	try [3] - 42:7, 60:4,
superiors [1] - 75:22	taped [1] - 99:21	78:8, 83:1, 84:17,	30:2, 42:17, 44:11,	75:24
supervisor [3] - 92:9,	tapes [4] - 82:24,	89:2, 90:21, 91:7,	51:10	trying [8] - 30:5,
92:11, 92:12	93:16, 93:18, 101:19	92:7, 93:6, 93:22,	tolerate [2] - 64:4,	59:13, 68:21, 68:23,
		94:12, 97:3, 99:23,	61.1	71:7, 72:10, 74:23,
supervisors [3] - 39:4,			64:7	
86:12, 89:20	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11,	102:1	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22,	88:19
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9		102:1 testing [1] - 25:21	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21,	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24,	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15,
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20,	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22,	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9,
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10,	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6,
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16,	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 26:1, 26:2,
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8,	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16, 51:14	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 26:1, 26:2, 26:9, 27:3, 27:14,
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 62:8,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16, 51:14 top [2] - 6:24, 60:13	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 26:1, 26:2, 26:9, 27:3, 27:14, 56:18
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20,	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 62:8, 63:5, 63:9, 68:2,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16, 51:14 top [2] - 6:24, 60:13 topic [3] - 5:7, 13:20,	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 26:1, 26:2, 26:9, 27:3, 27:14, 56:18 Tunnel [1] - 21:2
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 62:8, 63:5, 63:9, 68:2, 68:7, 76:23, 77:7,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16, 51:14 top [2] - 6:24, 60:13 topic [3] - 5:7, 13:20, 60:10	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 26:1, 26:2, 26:9, 27:3, 27:14, 56:18 Tunnel [1] - 21:2 turn [4] - 11:25, 12:13,
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8 surprisingly [1] - 8:1	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9, 10:9, 10:18, 11:6,	$102:1\\ testing [1] - 25:21\\ thankful [1] - 20:16\\ THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5,\\ 2:12, 19:20, 52:2,\\ 52:5, 61:7, 61:12,\\ 61:13, 61:16, 61:20,\\ 62:1, 62:5, 62:8,\\ 63:5, 63:9, 68:2,\\ 68:7, 76:23, 77:7,\\ 77:10, 103:25,\\ \end{array}$	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16, 51:14 top [2] - 6:24, 60:13 topic [3] - 5:7, 13:20, 60:10 Toronto [1] - 105:2	88:19 tub [2] - 6:18, 6:20 tunnel [14] - 4:15, 21:11, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 25:6, 25:10, 26:1, 26:2, 26:9, 27:3, 27:14, 56:18 Tunnel [1] - 21:2 turn [4] - 11:25, 12:13, 55:20, 63:8
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8 surprisingly [1] - 8:1 Surrey/Coquitlam [1]	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9, 10:9, 10:18, 11:6, 11:24, 12:2, 12:5,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 62:8, 63:5, 63:9, 68:2, 68:7, 76:23, 77:7, 77:10, 103:25, 104:14, 104:18,	took [12] - 6:17, 40:22, 44:13, 54:14, 56:21, 81:8, 81:14, 89:24, 92:20, 100:22, 101:16, 108:8 tools [2] - 30:16, 51:14 top [2] - 6:24, 60:13 topic [3] - 5:7, 13:20, 60:10 Toronto [1] - 105:2 totally [1] - 48:18	$\begin{array}{c} 88:19\\ \textbf{tub} [2] - 6:18, 6:20\\ \textbf{tunnel} [14] - 4:15,\\ 21:11, 24:6, 24:9,\\ 24:15, 25:4, 25:6,\\ 25:10, 26:1, 26:2,\\ 26:9, 27:3, 27:14,\\ 56:18\\ \textbf{Tunnel} [1] - 21:2\\ \textbf{turn} [4] - 11:25, 12:13,\\ 55:20, 63:8\\ \textbf{turned} [1] - 16:8\\ \end{array}$
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8 surprisingly [1] - 8:1 Surrey/Coquitlam [1] - 6:18	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9, 10:9, 10:18, 11:6, 11:24, 12:2, 12:5, 12:16, 12:20, 12:21,	102:1 testing [1] - 25:21 thankful [1] - 20:16 THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5, 2:12, 19:20, 52:2, 52:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20, 62:1, 62:5, 62:8, 63:5, 63:9, 68:2, 68:7, 76:23, 77:7, 77:10, 103:25, 104:14, 104:18, 105:9, 105:23,	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{took} \ [12] - 6:17, \ 40:22, \\ 44:13, \ 54:14, \ 56:21, \\ 81:8, \ 81:14, \ 89:24, \\ 92:20, \ 100:22, \\ 101:16, \ 108:8 \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	$\begin{array}{c} 88:19\\ \textbf{tub} [2] - 6:18, 6:20\\ \textbf{tunnel} [14] - 4:15,\\ 21:11, 24:6, 24:9,\\ 24:15, 25:4, 25:6,\\ 25:10, 26:1, 26:2,\\ 26:9, 27:3, 27:14,\\ 56:18\\ \textbf{Tunnel} [1] - 21:2\\ \textbf{turn} [4] - 11:25, 12:13,\\ 55:20, 63:8\\ \textbf{turned} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Turscott} [1] - 23:24\\ \end{array}$
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8 surprisingly [1] - 8:1 Surrey/Coquitlam [1] - 6:18 surrounding [3] -	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9, 10:9, 10:18, 11:6, 11:24, 12:2, 12:5, 12:16, 12:20, 12:21, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18,	$102:1\\ testing [1] - 25:21\\ thankful [1] - 20:16\\ THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5,\\ 2:12, 19:20, 52:2,\\ 52:5, 61:7, 61:12,\\ 61:13, 61:16, 61:20,\\ 62:1, 62:5, 62:8,\\ 63:5, 63:9, 68:2,\\ 68:7, 76:23, 77:7,\\ 77:10, 103:25,\\ 104:14, 104:18,\\ 105:9, 105:23,\\ 106:15, 106:25,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{took} [12] - 6:17, \ 40:22, \\ 44:13, \ 54:14, \ 56:21, \\ 81:8, \ 81:14, \ 89:24, \\ 92:20, \ 100:22, \\ 101:16, \ 108:8 \\ \textbf{tools} [2] - 30:16, \\ 51:14 \\ \textbf{top} [2] - 6:24, \ 60:13 \\ \textbf{topic} [3] - 5:7, \ 13:20, \\ 60:10 \\ \textbf{Toronto} [1] - 105:2 \\ \textbf{totally} [1] - 48:18 \\ \textbf{touch} [4] - 53:7, \ 59:1, \\ 93:2, \ 95:10 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88:19\\ \textbf{tub} [2] - 6:18, 6:20\\ \textbf{tunnel} [14] - 4:15,\\ 21:11, 24:6, 24:9,\\ 24:15, 25:4, 25:6,\\ 25:10, 26:1, 26:2,\\ 26:9, 27:3, 27:14,\\ 56:18\\ \textbf{Tunnel} [1] - 21:2\\ \textbf{turn} [4] - 11:25, 12:13,\\ 55:20, 63:8\\ \textbf{turned} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Turscott} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{two} [12] - 4:18, 15:21,\\ \end{array}$
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8 surprisingly [1] - 8:1 Surrey/Coquitlam [1] - 6:18 surrounding [3] - 7:24, 23:9, 92:8	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9, 10:9, 10:18, 11:6, 11:24, 12:2, 12:5, 12:16, 12:20, 12:21, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18, 14:5, 14:9, 14:17,	102:1 $testing [1] - 25:21$ $thankful [1] - 20:16$ $THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5,$ $2:12, 19:20, 52:2,$ $52:5, 61:7, 61:12,$ $61:13, 61:16, 61:20,$ $62:1, 62:5, 62:8,$ $63:5, 63:9, 68:2,$ $68:7, 76:23, 77:7,$ $77:10, 103:25,$ $104:14, 104:18,$ $105:9, 105:23,$ $106:15, 106:25,$ $107:3, 107:7, 109:14$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{took} [12] - 6:17, \ 40:22, \\ 44:13, \ 54:14, \ 56:21, \\ 81:8, \ 81:14, \ 89:24, \\ 92:20, \ 100:22, \\ 101:16, \ 108:8 \\ \textbf{tools} [2] - 30:16, \\ 51:14 \\ \textbf{top} [2] - 6:24, \ 60:13 \\ \textbf{topic} [3] - 5:7, \ 13:20, \\ 60:10 \\ \textbf{Toronto} [1] - 105:2 \\ \textbf{totally} [1] - 48:18 \\ \textbf{touch} [4] - 53:7, \ 59:1, \\ 93:2, \ 95:10 \\ \textbf{touched} [1] - 108:2 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88:19\\ \textbf{tub}[2]-6:18,6:20\\ \textbf{tunnel}[14]-4:15,\\ 21:11,24:6,24:9,\\ 24:15,25:4,25:6,\\ 25:10,26:1,26:2,\\ 26:9,27:3,27:14,\\ 56:18\\ \textbf{Tunnel}[1]-21:2\\ \textbf{turn}[4]-11:25,12:13,\\ 55:20,63:8\\ \textbf{turned}[1]-16:8\\ \textbf{Turscott}[1]-23:24\\ \textbf{two}[12]-4:18,15:21,\\ 31:23,42:5,57:22,\\ \end{array}$
86:12, 89:20 supervisory [1] - 28:9 Support [1] - 28:1 support [5] - 18:20, 36:16, 56:8, 67:10, 108:23 supported [2] - 67:8, 67:9 surprising [3] - 3:20, 7:14, 9:8 surprisingly [1] - 8:1 Surrey/Coquitlam [1] - 6:18 surrounding [3] -	task [6] - 51:22, 52:11, 58:6, 58:14, 75:1, 103:23 taught [1] - 24:9 teachers [1] - 84:18 Team [40] - 1:11, 3:25, 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:10, 5:17, 6:2, 7:13, 8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4, 9:9, 10:9, 10:18, 11:6, 11:24, 12:2, 12:5, 12:16, 12:20, 12:21, 13:9, 13:12, 13:18, 14:5, 14:9, 14:17, 15:1, 15:11, 15:19,	$102:1\\ testing [1] - 25:21\\ thankful [1] - 20:16\\ THE [31] - 1:4, 1:5,\\ 2:12, 19:20, 52:2,\\ 52:5, 61:7, 61:12,\\ 61:13, 61:16, 61:20,\\ 62:1, 62:5, 62:8,\\ 63:5, 63:9, 68:2,\\ 68:7, 76:23, 77:7,\\ 77:10, 103:25,\\ 104:14, 104:18,\\ 105:9, 105:23,\\ 106:15, 106:25,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{took} [12] - 6:17, \ 40:22, \\ 44:13, \ 54:14, \ 56:21, \\ 81:8, \ 81:14, \ 89:24, \\ 92:20, \ 100:22, \\ 101:16, \ 108:8 \\ \textbf{tools} [2] - 30:16, \\ 51:14 \\ \textbf{top} [2] - 6:24, \ 60:13 \\ \textbf{topic} [3] - 5:7, \ 13:20, \\ 60:10 \\ \textbf{Toronto} [1] - 105:2 \\ \textbf{totally} [1] - 48:18 \\ \textbf{touch} [4] - 53:7, \ 59:1, \\ 93:2, \ 95:10 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88:19\\ \textbf{tub} [2] - 6:18, 6:20\\ \textbf{tunnel} [14] - 4:15,\\ 21:11, 24:6, 24:9,\\ 24:15, 25:4, 25:6,\\ 25:10, 26:1, 26:2,\\ 26:9, 27:3, 27:14,\\ 56:18\\ \textbf{Tunnel} [1] - 21:2\\ \textbf{turn} [4] - 11:25, 12:13,\\ 55:20, 63:8\\ \textbf{turned} [1] - 16:8\\ \textbf{Turscott} [1] - 23:24\\ \textbf{two} [12] - 4:18, 15:21,\\ \end{array}$

104:15 type [5] - 16:23, 24:13,	Unger [12] - 19:24, 28:8, 44:5, 44:12,	uproariously [2] - 105:22, 106:8	violence [3] - 43:8, 63:17, 70:11	Winteringham [4] - 52:5, 52:6, 61:7, 1:5
68:14, 75:23, 76:3	28:8, 44:5, 44:12, 44:13, 44:17, 45:23,			
, ,		upset [1] - 100:2	virus [1] - 26:14	WINTERINGHAM [1] -
types [3] - 36:23,	48:16, 48:22, 50:3,	upstairs [1] - 85:9	visible [1] - 67:2	52:6
41:18, 101:15	50:9, 51:24	urge [3] - 67:16,	vision [15] - 4:15,	wish [7] - 12:13,
typically [1] - 76:24	unhealthy [1] - 42:12	67:19, 74:17	21:11, 24:6, 24:9,	13:16, 19:5, 51:25,
	unhelpful [1] - 45:1	urgent [1] - 60:22	24:15, 25:4, 25:6,	104:11, 104:19,
U	UNIDENTIFIED [1] -	useful [2] - 75:19,	25:11, 26:1, 26:2,	109:1
	109:13	77:6	26:9, 27:3, 27:15,	wished [7] - 3:7, 3:8,
ultimate rol 22:14	unified [1] - 48:7	users [1] - 85:22	56:18, 69:16	3:9, 52:17, 55:14,
ultimate [2] - 22:14,	unintentional [1] -	utilize [1] - 30:17	Vision [1] - 21:2	80:24, 104:2
57:18	64:23	utilized [1] - 27:23	vocalize [1] - 28:15	wishes [2] - 61:18,
ultimately [1] - 45:12	Union [1] - 9:18	uttered [1] - 73:24	volume [1] - 54:25	104:16
unable [3] - 54:25,	union [1] - 91:4		voluntarily [1] - 50:18	withhold [1] - 12:10
55:1, 96:25	Union's [1] - 14:23	V	VPD [13] - 56:3, 69:21,	withholding [1] - 12:5
unacceptable [3] -	unique [1] - 47:19	•	71:18, 71:20, 80:13,	witness [3] - 3:6, 12:7,
48:19, 62:18, 64:10	Unit [14] - 54:24, 60:3,		84:4, 91:18, 92:19,	19:12
unbelievable [1] -	77:13, 77:17, 78:10,	vacation [2] - 65:15,	94:6, 94:21, 96:13,	witnessed [1] - 24:14
48:19	81:10, 81:20, 82:5,	85:16	98:1, 103:15	witnesses [13] - 1:20,
uncaring [1] - 32:10	83:1, 83:21, 91:1,	vague [1] - 14:21	VPD's [3] - 55:25,	20:13, 23:3, 27:24,
uncaught [1] - 56:14	92:13, 92:18, 100:22	validity [1] - 80:19	91:6, 91:7	28:13, 29:25, 30:17,
uncontradicted [6] -	unit [10] - 60:5, 76:7,	valley [1] - 56:15	vulnerable [4] - 4:21,	37:1, 40:24, 41:10,
5:14, 5:22, 47:7,	78:12, 86:20, 91:2,	valuable [2] - 27:22,	49:4, 63:18, 64:3	42:1, 46:24, 107:10
55:23, 56:1, 57:10		35:18	43.4, 03.10, 04.3	woeful [1] - 23:20
undated [1] - 7:8	93:18, 96:21, 102:1,	value [1] - 57:10	14/	Wolthers [31] - 1:7,
undeniable [1] - 45:20	102:3, 103:8	van [1] - 6:23	W	,
under [5] - 44:4,	UNITED [1] - 109:25	Vancouver [24] - 1:1,		1:11, 1:21, 1:22, 3:5,
45:21, 50:19, 67:8,	university [1] - 67:1	9:18, 13:4, 14:23,	walk [3] - 58:9, 96:14,	4:23, 5:23, 6:10,
73:6	unless [1] - 38:2		97:1	6:11, 7:1, 7:12, 8:2,
underlies [1] - 14:14	unlimited [2] - 30:16,	19:22, 23:8, 23:9,	walking [2] - 7:5	8:25, 9:21, 9:24,
	30:17	29:1, 29:5, 29:8,	wants [1] - 32:17	10:8, 10:11, 10:20,
underlying [2] - 38:1,	unnamed [1] - 17:16	43:15, 46:19, 60:2,		11:7, 11:14, 12:7,
38:2	unprecedented [1] -	68:11, 68:13, 68:19,	warn [1] - 71:18	14:7, 14:11, 15:20,
undermine [1] - 12:4	46:9	73:3, 73:10, 73:12,	wasted [1] - 27:22	16:5, 16:23, 17:12,
underneath [1] -	unproductive [1] -	77:13, 79:13, 80:15,	watch [1] - 81:12	18:2, 18:15, 18:21,
105:25	43:9	103:3, 106:11	watched [1] - 66:1	19:7
understandable [1] -	unprofessional [1] -	Vanessa [4] - 19:21,	watching [1] - 50:23	Wolthers' [2] - 9:3,
32:24	1:24	104:23, 106:10,	watershed [1] - 72:15	11:18
understandably [1] -	unprofessionalism	106:12	Watson [1] - 46:25	Women [41] - 1:11,
98:23	[1] - 5:3	various [4] - 67:20,	Wayne [1] - 31:16	3:25, 4:5, 4:7, 4:19,
undertaken [1] - 8:7	unrealistic [3] - 63:9,	69:4, 77:21, 81:17	ways [4] - 17:16,	5:9, 5:16, 6:1, 7:13,
undertook [1] - 72:13	75:5, 75:6	vehicle [1] - 6:20	21:25, 62:18, 64:1	8:4, 8:9, 8:12, 9:4,
undetected [1] - 31:8	unreasonably [1] -	vein [2] - 86:11, 88:23	week [3] - 104:5,	9:9, 10:9, 10:18,
undoubtedly [1] -	25:14	vented [2] - 80:11,	104:7, 104:10	11:6, 11:23, 12:2,
26:24		80:13	weeks [2] - 35:9, 58:8	12:4, 12:16, 12:20,
unequivocally [1] -	unreliable [1] - 28:19	VERTLIEB [9] - 61:8,	weight [1] - 5:6	12:21, 13:9, 13:12,
86:16	unspeakable [1] -	61:17, 61:21, 62:2,	welcome [1] - 20:10	13:18, 14:5, 14:9,
unexpected [1] -	62:19	104:1, 104:15,	welfare [2] - 73:1, 73:8	14:16, 15:1, 15:10,
67:23	unsubstantiated [1] -	106:18, 107:1, 107:4	Wells [2] - 57:21,	15:19, 15:22, 16:2,
unfair [5] - 22:18,	23:4	viable [2] - 21:25,	57:24	16:5, 16:7, 17:2,
82:18, 82:20, 84:8,	unwarranted [1] -	23:12	whatsoever [1] -	17:7, 18:22, 101:3,
101:23	23:14	victims [6] - 32:19,		106:11
unfold [1] - 65:18	unwilling [1] - 73:19	32:21, 34:15, 37:1,	102:18	women [52] - 4:21,
	up [16] - 5:23, 28:16,	74:6, 87:12	whereby [1] - 63:5	15:2, 15:6, 16:20,
unfolded [1] - 55:8	54:20, 57:15, 65:10,		white [1] - 6:23	
unfortunate [2] - 2:25,	72:4, 73:1, 73:8,	view [10] - 2:14, 2:24,	whole [1] - 12:17	17:21, 18:13, 20:12,
66:22	82:9, 84:6, 84:19,	32:23, 33:4, 40:7,	whore [2] - 3:16, 3:17	23:8, 29:4, 42:10,
unfortunately [5] -	85:1, 88:21, 90:10,	47:4, 85:24, 86:1,	whores [1] - 85:6	44:15, 45:4, 49:4,
19:14, 30:7, 30:21,	105:19, 109:8	102:9, 104:25	William [1] - 23:23	52:15, 53:6, 53:13,
40:17, 98:18	updated [1] - 26:18	views [2] - 75:21, 76:1	willing [2] - 48:21,	54:16, 54:22, 55:12,
	•	vile [1] - 63:2	50:18	56:3, 56:11, 57:14,
unfounded [3] -	updates 1 - 98:25			
unfounded [3] - 43:10, 50:5, 74:3	updates [1] - 98:25 uplift [1] - 109:6	violated [1] - 102:8	Winston [1] - 105:9	58:15, 58:17, 60:15,

60:23, 62:16, 66:25, 70:22, 71:12, 72:21, 73:1, 73:4, 73:7, 75:15, 77:20, 77:21, 80:14, 82:13, 85:19, 85:24, 86:2, 86:3, 86:7, 90:2, 102:8, 102:10, 103:5, 103:9, 108:24 women's [1] - 100:23 Woodall [3] - 1:5, 1:6, 1:3 WOODALL [2] - 1:6, 2:24 word [4] - 3:16, 31:4, 31:5, 32:16 words [9] - 1:15, 7:7, 15:3, 22:2, 37:8, 37:13, 39:10, 47:11, 77:2 worker [1] - 7:3 workers [12] - 1:12, 3:18, 3:23, 5:11, 5:25, 6:7, 29:7, 29:22, 34:20, 34:23, 72:18, 84:18 works [1] - 26:20 world [4] - 30:19, 34:7, 41:23, 62:25 write [1] - 81:8 writing [7] - 10:10, 10:15, 39:9, 45:19, 51:22, 68:12, 96:13 written [20] - 4:2, 4:6, 6:14, 13:19, 14:23, 17:11, 20:1, 20:4, 31:20, 31:21, 39:12, 43:20, 43:23, 50:1, 61:25, 77:8, 104:8, 104:12, 105:6, 107:13 wrongdoing [2] -37:25, 38:3 wrongful [3] - 23:20, 24:19, 25:8 Wrongful [2] - 21:1, 24:16 wrongly [1] - 24:25 wrote [5] - 20:25, 21:4, 35:1, 81:22, 99:2 Х Xwalacktun [1] -106:22

Y
Y yardsticks [1] - 25:1 year [5] - 7:4, 26:20, 56:21, 79:5, 79:20 years [21] - 7:14, 7:19, 10:7, 50:10, 57:4, 57:6, 57:7, 64:20, 65:2, 66:24, 69:22, 78:5, 83:19, 86:23, 87:2, 90:25, 101:8, 101:17, 101:22, 103:15, 108:15 yelling [1] - 97:24 yourself [2] - 34:9, 50:22 yourselves [1] - 36:20