June 4, 2012 1 Vancouver, BC. 2 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 9:30 A.M.) 3 4 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Vertlieb. MR. VERTLIEB: The list of closing arguments has been 6 distributed to all counsel and the first member 7 would be Mr. Ward on behalf of the families. 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you. MR. WARD: Mr. Commissioner, Cameron Ward appearing today with 10 11 my colleague Neil Chantler as counsel for the 12 families of 26 missing and murdered women. I have to say, just at the outset, that I'm a 13 14 little bit taken aback or surprised by the fact 15 that commission counsel hasn't followed what I understand to be the established practice of 16 17 delivering the first set of closing submissions. You will recall that commission counsel did the 18 19 first opening and I certainly would have expected, 20 consistent with past experience, commission counsel to deliver the first closing, which, in my 21 22 experience, is then supplemented or augmented by counsel for the other participants. That hasn't 23 24 happened here. It seems unusual. I'll proceed if 25 that's your wish.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Vertlieb?

2 MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Ward has different experience than I do and 3 I think Mr. Ward is scheduled to commence, as has 4 been known for some time. Thank you. 5 MR. WARD: Thank you. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: I note you haven't filed a written argument. 7 Do you intend to do that? MR. WARD: I do, yes. 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right. MR. WARD: As I say, Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Chantler and I are 10 11 counsel for the families of now 26 of the murdered 12 women, those women whose disappearances and 13 murders are the subject of this proceeding. And 14 because this will be likely the last time their 15 names are heard in this room, I want to remind you that I represent the families of Dianne Rock, 16 17 Georgina Papin, Marnie Frey, Cynthia Dawn Feliks, 18 Cara Ellis, Mona Wilson, Helen May Hallmark, Dawn Crey, Angela Hazel Williams, Jacqueline Murdock, 19 20 Brenda Wolfe, Andrea Joesbury, Elsie Sebastian, 21 Heather Bottomley, Andrea Borhaven, Tiffany Drew, 22 Angela Jardine, Stephanie Lane, Tanya Holyk, 23 Olivia Williams, Debra Jones, Janet Henry, Marie 24 Lorna Laliberte, Sereena Abotsway, Dianne Melnick, 25 and Marcella Creison.

It has been a honour for us to represent the 1 2 families of these 26 departed women at this public 3 inquiry. The families, Mr. Commissioner, in my submission, are an impressive and resilient group 4 5 of people from right across this country. They've shown enormous courage by participating in this 6 7 process, which for many has been done at great personal sacrifice to their own family life, their 8 jobs, and in some cases their emotional health. 9 They've made these sacrifices because they for 10, 10 11 15 years or longer have been doggedly seeking the 12 truth. There's no other reason for them to have 13 participated and followed this process.

14 And I have to tell you, given the sacrifices 15 my clients have made and given the hopes and expectations they had for this process, that it is 16 17 with the greatest regret that I must deliver the unanimous message from our clients that this 18 19 public inquiry from their perspective has been 20 almost a complete failure. I say almost because there has been one aspect of this public inquiry 21 22 that has had some merit to the families, and that 23 is that there has been some public exposure of some of the evidence relating to the criminal 24 25 justice system's handling of this tragic --

1

enormously tragic case.

2 The reason I say this public inquiry has 3 essentially failed is that a public inquiry's 4 supposed to be an uncompromising public search for 5 the truth. In my submission this one wasn't. A 6 public inquiry is supposed to be independent. In 7 my submission this one wasn't. A public inquiry 8 is supposed to be thorough. In my submission this one wasn't. A public inquiry is supposed to be 9 open and transparent. In my submission this one 10 11 wasn't. And a public inquiry is supposed to be 12 fair and in my submission this one wasn't.

From the perspective of my clients, the 13 14 families of the murdered women, this commission 15 has not nearly finished its work. It has shut down the hearings prematurely, having failed to 16 17 hear from critical witnesses and having failed to compel the production of critical documents from 18 19 the institutional participants whose conduct is 20 under scrutiny. This could be called a missing evidence inquiry. 21

As a result of these failures, this commission, in my respectful submission, has failed to uncover the true reasons why this enormous tragedy was allowed to happen and exactly

how it was that the criminal justice system and
 those charged with the responsibility of
 administering it utterly failed these women and
 their families in this case.

5 With respect, this commission made a number 6 of significant procedural errors that caused it to 7 lose its way. In my submission, it erred in 8 assigning or delegating its fact finding 9 responsibility to Police Officers LePard, Williams and Evans. It fell into error when it allowed 10 11 their work to control its agenda and in my 12 submission it erred again when it rushed to 13 complete the hearings in accordance with a 14 government deadline that caused it to put 15 witnesses on the stand in groups, that caused the commission to limit the time participants had to 16 17 cross-examine those witnesses and, most importantly, by failing to call critical witnesses 18 19 at all.

20 We maintain that at this stage there are 21 many, many documents and classes of documents 22 relevant to the issues contained in the terms of 23 reference that we applied for, that we sought to 24 have requested and which have never been 25 disclosed. We maintain on behalf of the families

the manner in which this commission's process has been handled, the reliance on LePard, Williams and Evans to in effect adduce and manage the evidence, has enabled the police and government participants to withhold information from the public inquiry that may have reflected on them -- would have reflected on them in a negative manner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

In our respectful submission, the commission 8 9 has enabled the institutional participants to tell their story in a way that's most favourable to 10 11 their interests and to keep back aspects of the 12 story that would be embarrassing to them. We 13 point to the fact that the commission did not 14 issue suppenas in a timely way to compel the 15 institutions to produce their documents.

And clearly the commission through its former 16 17 executive director entered into back room arrangements with the institutional participants 18 19 with respect to the production of documents and, 20 most significantly, with respect to their redaction. Huge portions of documents were 21 22 covered or redacted before they were made 23 available to us, counsel for the participants. 24 They were redacted for such things as people's 25 names, names of witnesses, police investigative

techniques, other facts that were deleted or 1 2 covered or blacked out before we could see the 3 documents, according to an agreement reached 4 between the office of commission counsel and the 5 institutions without any consultation whatsoever with the families as a participant with equal 6 7 standing. That, in my respectful submission, was absolutely wrong and that led to the commission 8 9 finding its way -- losing its way for we, counsel for the families, were handcuffed, unable to 10 11 really conduct our own investigations into the facts because so much was blacked out and 12 13 redacted.

14 Before I go any further, Mr. Commissioner, I 15 consider I have a responsibility to advise you that the families consider this commission to have 16 17 breached the rules of natural justice and procedural fairness and I once again urge you, 18 19 even at this late date, to remedy these errors by 20 affording more time for evidentiary hearings, call 21 the additional necessary witnesses and to complete 22 this inquiry properly. I have no expectation that 23 you will do that given all the past history even 24 though the government has given you five months 25 from now, as I understand it, to complete and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

deliver the final report.

My clients, Mr. Commissioner, to a person are disappointed, discouraged and, most of all, angry at the way this commission's process has unfolded. They feel that this commission has perpetuated the attitude of indifference and disrespect that they themselves first experienced when they reported their loved ones missing and encountered sheer indifference on the part of the authorities.

They also feel that this commission has 10 11 failed to undo the harm that was caused to them 12 when you yourself, Mr. Commissioner, as the Attorney General in 2008 stated publicly that it 13 14 would not be in the public interest to put Robert 15 William Pickton on trial for 20 counts of first-degree murder. We are unaware of any other 16 17 case in the civilized world where 20 first-degree murder charges were dropped because a trial of the 18 19 accused would not be in the public interest or 20 might be too expensive. None of us in this room, 21 I suggest, can imagine the permanent pain that 22 that decision caused the families of 20 murdered 23 women. This commission had a chance to try to address some of that pain, but we feel -- our 24 25 clients feel that this public inquiry has in fact

1

2

3

4

5

6

raised more questions than it has answered.

I turn next to some of the evidence and some of the highlights of my submissions with respect to the conduct of the institutional authorities in this matter. I know that I have limited time. I have to only touch on the highlights.

7 Mr. Commissioner, as you know, over the course of at least five years a man named Robert 8 9 William Pickton and his associates took at least 32 and perhaps as many as 49 impoverished women 10 11 from Vancouver's Downtown Eastside to the suburb 12 of Port Coquitlam, which is some 34 kilometres or 13 45 minutes away by car, where those women were 14 slaughtered, presumably one by one, although we 15 don't know, in probably the most gruesome and horrific ways imaginable. 16

17 The criminal justice system that each of us in this society rely on for our safety from 18 19 predators like Pickton, in this case the Crown, 20 the Vancouver Police Department and the RCMP, allowed the carnage to occur by taking no action 21 22 to intervene and stop the murders. The big 23 question is why? It's not because they were unaware. To the contrary. This inquiry has 24 25 received plenty of evidence that each of those

institutions, the Crown, the Vancouver Police 1 2 Department, and the RCMP, had ample information 3 pointing to Pickton as someone who was likely 4 involved in the perpetration of these heinous 5 crimes throughout the entire five-year period 6 covered by the terms of reference. However, their 7 inaction, their inability to act to stop him and his associates allowed the murders to continue 8 9 unabated until a rookie cop named Nathan Wells finally stepped in, exercised the search warrant 10 11 and put a stop, at least temporarily, to the 12 crimes on February 5th, 2002.

I want to address the conduct of the Criminal 13 14 Justice Branch or the Crown. And I'm addressing 15 it first because we have maintained since the day we became involved that your term of reference 16 17 4(b), the term of reference that enables you and requires you to inquire into the conduct of the 18 19 Criminal Justice Branch, was and is of utmost 20 importance to the families. Its conduct occurred near the beginning of the terms of reference and 21 22 in our submission -- we've made this submission before to no effect -- this issue should have been 23 24 front and centre in these proceedings. The evidence is clear that the RCMP and the 25

Crown knew in March of 1997 that Robert William 1 Pickton had handcuffed and gutted and nearly 2 3 killed a half aboriginal, drug-addicted sex trade 4 worker from Vancouver's Downtown Eastside, a woman 5 that we've called Ms. Anderson, on a junkyard-like 6 property Pickton shared with his brother David. 7 Both Picktons, Willie, David, were well known to the police by March of 1997. 8

9 Willie had been the subject of a sexual assault investigation in 1990 and six more offline 10 11 CPIC queries in the following years, including one by a Vancouver Police Department member made at 12 12:19 in the morning on March 2nd, 1994. We don't 13 know what Willie Pickton was doing, resident of 14 15 Port Coquitlam, humble pig farmer, in downtown in Vancouver in the early hours of that date. Nobody 16 17 does now because everybody's forgotten apparently and the records aren't available. But he was 18 19 known to police. His brother David, David Francis 20 Pickton, was on March 23rd, 1997 known to be an associate of British Columbia's most prominent and 21 22 notorious organized crime organization, the Hells 23 Angels Motorcycle Club, who himself, David Pickton, had been the subject of 59 offline CPIC 24 25 queries as of that date.

Now, we only got the offline CPIC searches 1 2 very late in these proceedings. LePard, as far as 3 we can tell, didn't look at them. Deputy Chief 4 Evans didn't have the benefit of them. Because 5 they came in so late, we don't know why the police 6 in the Lower Mainland, Vancouver and other 7 suburbs, were so interested in David Francis Pickton prior to his brother's attempted murder of 8 Anderson that they queried his name 59 times. We 9 should know. We should have inquired into that. 10 We should have found out. 11

But we do know that -- from the evidence that 12 13 the Crown approved four serious criminal charges 14 against Willie Pickton, David's brother, for what 15 happened on March 23rd, 1997. They, of course, were attempted murder, forcible confinement, 16 17 aggravated assault and assault with a weapon, charges approved after the Crown determined that 18 19 it had sufficient evidence to meet the familiar 20 two-part test. That is, prosecution of Pickton was in the public interest and there was a 21 22 substantial likelihood of conviction.

23Just by itself, an attempted murder charge24would be an extremely serious and, at least in25Coquitlam or Port Coquitlam, a relatively rare

serious charge. An attempted murder charge by itself would require sustained attention and preparation on the part of Crown lawyers to get ready for trial.

1

2

3

4

5 Given that there were three additional 6 serious charges, the Pickton prosecution in March 7 of 1997 demanded careful advanced preparation by the Crown prosecutors as denoted by the so-called 8 9 red file designation that office gave it. Unfortunately, in our respectful submission, it's 10 11 unfortunate for Ms. Anderson, it's unfortunate for the public, it's unfortunate for the nearly two 12 13 dozen families whose loved ones would later be 14 murdered and disposed of at the Pickton's property 15 the Crown failed to prepare the case for trial.

By January 22nd, 1998 with a five-day trial 16 about to start on February 2nd, six business days 17 away, the Crown prosecutors on the evidence before 18 19 you had not begun their preparation. They had not 20 sat down and interviewed any Crown witnesses or 21 prepared minutes of evidence for them. They 22 hadn't interviewed the two people -- civilians who 23 picked Anderson up, bleeding and dying from the road. They hadn't interviewed the doctors or 24 25 nurses who treated her at the hospital and saved

her life. They hadn't interviewed any of the 1 2 police officers involved in the investigation. They hadn't even interviewed the victim herself. 3 4 They had not started any preparation of Pickton's 5 cross-examination in the event he took the stand in his own defence. They had not prepared a draft 6 7 of the admissions of fact they would be seeking from his defence lawyer, Peter Ritchie. They had 8 9 not obtained certified copies of the documents they would need to tender as exhibits or 10 11 apparently even made photocopies of those documents. They had apparently done no legal 12 research into any of the issues that may be raised 13 14 at trial. They had not drafted an opening 15 statement. They had made no efforts to negotiate a plea with Mr. Ritchie. 16

17 In short, in our respectful submission, the Crown was handling this serious file, four serious 18 19 charges against Mr. Pickton, including attempted 20 murder, in a cavalier fashion with none of the 21 diligence the public is entitled to expect from 22 those charged with the heavy responsibility of 23 enforcing and administering criminal justice in 24 the province.

25

I have no doubt, Mr. Commissioner, that Mr.

Ritchie, a capable and experienced lawyer, would 1 2 have been very busy by January 22nd preparing for such a serious trial. We don't know that because 3 4 the commission, of course, refused our application 5 that he be called as a witness so we could ask 6 him. But perhaps his evidence wasn't necessary 7 because I submit every lawyer in this room knows that you don't leave preparation for a five-day 8 9 trial on charges of attempted murder, forcible confinement, aggravated assault and assault with a 10 11 weapon to the last minute. Maybe you can do that 12 on a run-of-the-mill shoplifting or common assault 13 case, but not an attempted murder.

14The evidence discloses that the Crown finally15arranged an interview with Ms. Anderson either on16January 23rd or 26th, 1998 -- it's not clear --17and decided to drop the case that very day without18even consulting the RCMP investigators involved.19What was the Crown's excuse? Ms. Anderson was a20drug addict.

MR. DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, I'm always very reluctant to intercede when there's an argument, but I have an objection to this line of argument. The law precludes guessing or debating about a decision that falls within the scope of prosectorial

discretion, as does charge approval clearly or the 1 2 entry of the stay, as a matter of the exercise of 3 the Crown's discretion. Any argument along those 4 lines, in my submission, is therefore irrelevant 5 and inappropriate to this commission. The issue was not dealt with in evidence because of the 6 7 rules and without the appropriate evidence, my friend should not obliquely make an attack on the 8 9 Crown's decision because that's exactly what he's doing here. He's leading up to saying that this 10 11 decision to stay was inappropriate, it was wrong, 12 and that the Crown did not do its job. All of that is to say they should not have entered the 13 stay of proceedings. That's a clear attack. It's 14 15 a second-guessing process, in my submission, and it clearly falls within the rules in Davies. 16

17 The issue, as I say, was not dealt with in evidence because of the rules and without the 18 19 appropriate evidence, no argument or conclusion 20 should be heard. Efforts to do so now, in my submission, are improper and they're unfair 21 22 because there was no proper opportunity to deal with the entirety of the basis of the decision and 23 whether or not the decision was right or wrong. 24 25 No evidence that challenges the propriety of these

decisions was allowed and no evidence to support a 1 finding of misconduct against any individual or 2 3 any criticism of that decision to enter the stay 4 was allowed. Clearly if you can't lead evidence, 5 then obviously, in my submission, you can't make findings on it because you haven't got the 6 7 evidentiary basis and you can't make argument about it either. 8

9 This whole process of leading up to the Crown didn't do this, didn't do this, didn't do this is 10 11 all designed for no other purpose -- it has no other relevance than to lead you to the conclusion 12 or attempt to lead you to the conclusion that the 13 14 entry of the stay, the decision made by the Crown 15 within the parameters of their constitutionally protected prosectorial independence, was wrong or 16 17 was inappropriate or was a mistake. Those are issues that you ought not to hear evidence on and 18 19 you ought not to hear argument on and you ought 20 not to make findings on. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 21

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes.

MR. NATHANSON: Mr. Commissioner, Andrew Nathanson. I appear
 as counsel for Mr. Romano. With your leave, I
 just wish to adopt Mr. Doust's submissions. In my

submission where Mr. Ward is going and where some 1 2 of my other friends may go clearly offends the 3 rule in *Davies*. And you, sir, have already 4 concluded that in your ruling on the Murray report. And the only thing I would differ from in 5 what Mr. Doust said is I don't think Mr. Ward was 6 7 being oblique at all. I think he was mounting a full on challenge to the propriety of the decision 8 to stay, and in my respectful submission, for all 9 the reasons we've already given you, that is not 10 11 somewhere where participants are permitted to go. I don't wish to interrupt any of my other friends' 12 closing submissions, so I simply will make this 13 14 objection now and ask you to note it. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Nathanson. Mr. 16 Ward? MR. WARD: Yes. In my submission, it was completely 17 inappropriate for my friends Mr. Doust and Mr. 18 19 Nathanson to interrupt my closing submission to 20 you. They, of course, have full and ample opportunity to reply to any submissions I make 21 22 and they have their opportunity then. If people are going to jump up and object to closing 23 submissions, I certainly won't finish in an hour 24 25 and it's completely inappropriate. I am entitled

to make these submissions. You, Mr. 1 Commissioner -- and I notice your counsel hasn't 2 3 objected, but you, Mr. Commissioner, have a duty 4 to hear all of the submissions, including the 5 submissions made on behalf of the families, and to 6 write a report that accords with the terms of 7 reference. The concern of my friends, as I understand it, is one reflected in the terms of 8 9 reference and if I have stepped over any boundary -- and I do not concede I do -- that is a 10 11 job for you and you alone. You have responsibility of hearing these submissions, in my 12 13 respectful submission. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm well aware of what Davies says. I'm 15 well aware of what the Supreme Court of Canada has said prior to Davies. And Mr. Doust's point is 16 17 well taken, and that is that those are areas that I'm not permitted by law to go into, that is 18 19 broach upon the independence of Crown counsel in 20 reaching their particular decisions. So I'm going to let you go on, but you have to keep in mind 21 22 that you are using up time on issues that may not be relevant at the end of the day. You can deal 23 with this evidence, but I am confined, as I ought 24 25 to be, by the law, and the law is quite clear in

1		Davies. And so you can proceed.
2	MR.	WARD: Just before I thank you.
3	THE	COMMISSIONER: Mr. Doust?
4	MR.	DOUST: Mr. Commissioner, hearing argument is it's
5		really not even one step removed from hearing
6		evidence on the issue. The whole concept is to
7		protect the Crown and Crown counsel from that kind
8		of criticism in evidence and I submit with
9		respect, Mr. Commissioner, to say, well, we can't
10		hear the evidence, but we can hear argument on it
11		is to transgress the rule in the same way.
12	THE	COMMISSIONER: Well, not really because he's quite
13		permitted to deal with facts and I'm confined to
14		dealing with the facts as to what was done and
15		what was not done. That's as far as it goes. I
16		fully realize the limitations that are imposed on
17		me by the law when it comes to making a decision
18		on term 4(b) of the terms of reference, but if he
19		wants to make comments on what was done, he's
20		entitled to do that. Where you draw the line is
21		that you can't I can't make a finding at the
22		end of the day that the Crown counsel ought to
23		have done something else instead of what she did
24		in the circumstances. So I'm well aware of that.
25		But he's within his rights to make submissions on

what was done at the time. 1 2 MR. DOUST: It's one thing, though, Mr. Commissioner, to say 3 here's what was done. It's guite another to draw 4 from that a conclusion of impropriety, and that's 5 my complaint. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I'm the one that will have to draw the 7 conclusion at the end of the day. I'm well aware of the -- of the constraints put upon me as far as 8 the inferences that I draw from the arguments. 9 I'm sure you will point that out to me in your 10 11 closing arguments. MR. DOUST: Yes. I understand the limitation on what you can 12 do, Mr. Commissioner, but what I'm saying is the 13 underlying reason for denying you the opportunity 14 15 to come to a conclusion is the inability to criticize and it's inappropriate, in my 16 17 submission, to simply allow my learned friend to say, well, you can't come to this conclusion in 18 19 effect because of the law, but I can stand here 20 and argue that you should come to such and such a conclusion, which is clearly inappropriate. 21 22 THE COMMISSIONER: That's not the point I'm making. The point I'm making is that he's quite within his right 23 to -- to tell me what was done in the 24 25 circumstances by the Crown, what the police did

and because, as you know, I am confined at the end 1 2 of the day, constrained at the end of the day to 3 make findings of fact, nothing more. I cannot 4 second-guess the Crown as to what they ought to 5 have done and so I'm aware of those -- of those constraints that are placed upon me by what the 6 7 Supreme Court of Canada said and what the Court of Appeal in the province has said. 8 9 MR. DOUST: See, I'm not objecting to adverting to the facts, Mr. Commissioner. It's his conclusion that 10 11 something was wrong or something else should have 12 happened or it should have been done in another way. Those are the kinds of conclusions which 13 14 you're precluded from coming to. Surely it 15 follows like the night to day that if you can't come to those conclusions, my friend can't stand 16 17 here and argue them or make his observations about 18 them. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, at the end of the day I have to decide 20 what conclusions ought to be made and I'm well aware of that. So I'm going to let him go on. 21 22 MR. DOUST: All right. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead, Mr. Ward. MR. WARD: Thank you. You were, I remind you, Mr. 24 25 Commissioner, hampered in your duty to inquire

into and make findings of fact respecting the 1 2 decision of the Criminal Justice Branch to enter a 3 stay of proceeding on these charges as a result 4 of, according to the evidence, the Crown's file 5 being destroyed contrary to its file retention policy. Nonetheless, I am going to address some 6 7 of the evidence and urge you to make certain findings of fact based on the available evidence 8 9 that you did hear on this issue.

You heard evidence, Mr. Commissioner, that 10 11 Crown lawyers deal with drug-addicted witnesses all the time. You probably know that yourself 12 13 from your prior career. You heard evidence that 14 if a witness is important to the Crown's case, 15 Crown lawyers have ample resources, including the police, with whom they work, to ensure that 16 17 witnesses can be prepared to testify. The evidence in this case adduced in respect of this 18 19 matter shows clearly that the Crown had between 10 20 and 13 days to get the witness Anderson, Ms. 21 Anderson, ready to take the stand if you assume 22 that her testimony could have been led later than 23 the first day of the trial, and I submit it could have. And you heard evidence, Mr. Commissioner, 24 25 that even if Ms. Anderson was unfit to testify due

to drug consumption or addiction when she was called to the stand, a remedy available to the Crown lawyers would have been to apply for an adjournment to allow time for her to receive the treatment required and to enable her to recover sufficiently to offer testimony on behalf of the Crown.

You've heard evidence, Mr. Commissioner, that 8 this same witness, Ms. Anderson, still drug 9 addicted some years later, was called by the Crown 10 11 to testify at Mr. Pickton's preliminary inquiry. You heard evidence that Geoff Baragar was the 12 13 Crown prosecutor charged with the task of getting 14 her ready to take the stand then. How long did it 15 take Mr. Baragar to get Ms. Anderson ready to testify? A day perhaps, two, three? 16 17 Unfortunately, we can't say because, Mr. Commissioner, you and commission counsel refused 18 19 our requests to call Mr. Baragar as a witness.

20About two dozen of my clients' loved ones21were killed after the Crown stayed the charges22against Willie Pickton. I will let that speak for23itself.

24Had Mr. Pickton been prosecuted, not even25convicted, just prosecuted, he may well have

appreciated that he couldn't murder prostitutes from the Downtown Eastside with impunity; that someone would get involved and try to stop him.

Ms. Anderson herself, who unfortunately didn't testify at this hearing, is reportedly living a clean, sober and productive life today. It's obvious and I submit to you that any or all of our clients' lost loved ones could well be living similar lives like Ms. Anderson's today as well. They didn't get the chance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 I want to turn to making some observations on 12 the evidence you heard about the families. Fourteen family members, whom we represent, took 13 the witness stand over the course of a two-week 14 15 period, separate weeks commencing October 24th, 2011 and April 16th, 2012. Each family member who 16 17 testified had a unique story to tell you, but many common themes emerged. One after another they 18 19 testified about their sheer frustration and 20 disappointment at how the police had handled their family's report of the lost loved one. With very 21 22 few exceptions these family members reported that the police displayed attitudes of indifference, 23 disrespect or outright prejudice and racism. 24 25 These family members reported being left with the

sense that their loved one's personal 1 2 circumstances beset by drug addiction, poverty or 3 their social status or occupations of sex trade 4 workers clearly affected the willingness of the 5 police to do proper investigations of their disappearances. Many felt that their loved ones 6 7 were treated as second-class citizens. Some reported their offence by comments made to them by 8 Vancouver Police Department civilian employees and 9 others. And one family member went so far as to 10 11 file a formal complaint.

Some of the family members, you will recall, 12 13 Mr. Commissioner, reported being told by police that their loved one might be on a cruise, a 14 15 vacation or perhaps avoiding contact with their family, which they knew, they knew because these 16 17 were their daughters, their sisters, was nonsense. Some of them were told, "She'll turn up. They 18 19 always do," the word "they" fairly dripping with 20 contempt. But our family, our clients' loved ones didn't turn up and the comments made by the police 21 22 personnel they dealt with and the attitude that 23 those personnel displayed to them were deeply hurtful. 24

25

One of the family members who had reported

her sister missing to the RCMP said it was like reporting a lost wallet. That's how seriously the case would seem to be taken. In other cases families were obliged to practically beg and plead with police to take their missing person report seriously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

You heard, of course, about the experience of the Freys. They had the mayor of Campbell River assist them in trying to get some action by writing the Mayor of Vancouver, the Attorney General of the Province of British Columbia, to no avail.

Even when the police listened, according to 13 14 the family witness, sometimes weeks or even months 15 went by before they were informed of a single investigative step pertaining to a search. Other 16 17 family members testified that investigative leads that they provided the police simply weren't acted 18 19 upon at all. The most obvious step in any missing 20 person investigation, going to the last known place of residence, sometimes took the police 21 22 weeks, months or even years to get around to 23 doing. In many cases the family members were never even interviewed as next of kin with 24 25 knowledge of the missing person's habits and

whereabouts. The same applied to ex-boyfriends,
friends, associates of the missing women. Even
where there was some investigation into a woman's
disappearance, the lack of dedicated family
liaison officers, an aspect of major case
management principles left the family members
completely in the dark.

There was also a lack of communication 8 9 between the police office -- police departments according to the evidence. Information wasn't 10 11 shared or if it was shared, it was only passed on 12 after lengthy delays. Many of the families did 13 what the police should have assisted them with or done themselves. That is, they conducted their 14 15 own searches. They put up posters. They called hospitals. They called the morgue. They called 16 17 jails. They were obliged to -- well, not obliged to because they loved their relatives. They --18 19 they searched. They couldn't get help from the 20 police. The police are supposed to be there to help people like our clients and in these cases 21 22 they didn't.

The Vancouver Police Department, because it received the vast majority of the complaints, is the institution I propose to address next.

Detective Kim Rossmo confirmed in his sworn 1 2 testimony what most reasonable people discern to 3 be obvious. If the women had gone missing from 4 the west side of Vancouver instead of the Downtown 5 Eastside, the Vancouver Police would have been on 6 the cases right away and they would have responded 7 in a completely different manner. He said that on January 24th of this year. 8

9 Detective Constable Lori Shenher, who on the evidence knew more about the missing women 10 11 investigations and the Vancouver Police Department's institutional attitude about the 12 13 disappearances, pulled no punches. She described 14 the Vancouver Police Department as a dysfunctional 15 boys organization. One quote was, according to her, "It didn't know its ass from a hole in the 16 17 ground," an organization that was permeated with sexist attitudes that precluded proper 18 19 investigation of the disappearances. As she put 20 it, many of her male colleagues -- and I apologize for the graphic nature of her quote -- many of her 21 22 male colleagues wouldn't have pissed on the women if they were on fire. Even once the enormity of 23 the tragedy, all these women, dozens of them taken 24 25 from the east side and killed, even when that

became apparent to all after Pickton's property was being searched, Detective Constable Shenher's colleagues, male colleagues, according to her, were making disgusting pig jokes about the case.

1

2

3

4

5 The VPD for its part concedes mistakes were 6 made. It acknowledges it failed to warn the 7 public about the information it had. It was suggesting a serial killer may be preying on the 8 9 women. That concession amounts to negligence on the part of the VPD. Women's lives were put at 10 11 unnecessary risk by the department's failure to 12 issue a warning. And given all the evidence, in 13 my submission, the department's treatment of the issue has to be chalked up to the police culture. 14 15 In that day the VPD, especially the male members of the force, as an institution was indifferent to 16 17 the plight of these disadvantaged women. They never received the benefit of a response like the 18 19 one that ensued when Graham McMynn was snatched 20 from his west side neighbourhood.

There's some very telling evidence about the way the Vancouver Police Department as an institution treated the impoverished and vulnerable women of the Downtown Eastside, and one stark example stands out in my submission. It was

very late in the testimony you heard when Deputy 1 2 Chief LePard returned to the stand, and it was in 3 connection with the -- what I'll call the girl in 4 the 7-Eleven. According to Deputy Chief LePard, 5 the VPD's handling of her case was a proud shining 6 example of the professional attitude the police 7 department brought to bear and the sympathy it showed when women in the Downtown Eastside needed 8 9 help. The case, as you will recall, because it was just a few days ago, involved a 14-year-old 10 11 girl who had just been raped, was seriously 12 injured, bleeding, completely traumatized when she showed up in the early morning hours at an all 13 night convenience store, 7-Eleven, desperate for 14 15 help. The manager called 911 for her and was assured that police and ambulance would be on the 16 17 way immediately. You heard the evidence that it took the Vancouver Police Department over three 18 19 hours, three hours and eleven minutes to be exact, 20 to attend on this 14-year-old girl. That, Mr. Commissioner, in our submission is unspeakable, 21 22 but it actually speaks volumes because it reveals everything you need to know, Mr. Commissioner, 23 about the Vancouver Police Department's attitude 24 25 as an institution to the plight of the

impoverished and disadvantaged women and girls of the Downtown Eastside who were forced by their drug addictions, their illnesses to resort to selling their bodies.

1

2

3

4

5 There's another good example of Vancouver 6 Police Department indifference and, indeed, 7 disdain for and scorn for these women, and that 8 pertains to what happened after Pickton had been charged with some murders, during a time when the 9 police were literally finding bits and pieces of 10 11 the missing women's bodies and dirt at the Pickton 12 brothers' property. Now the families' worst fears had been realized and their knowledge confirmed. 13 14 Their loved ones had indeed been taking by a 15 predator or predators and had been killed. And this was May of 2002. And the exhibit references 16 are Exhibit 194, Tab 39 and 198, May the 15th, 17 2002. 18

19Inspector Chris Beach apparently delivered a20presentation to the Vancouver Police Board to an21audience that included the mayor, Philip Owen, the22professionals who comprised the board, Chief23Constable Terry Blythe, Deputy Chief John Unger,24Deputy Chief Daley and Deputy Chief Greer in25attendance with the VPD spokesperson Scott Driemel

and an RCMP communications strategist, Eli Sopow. 1 2 Inspector Wayne Holland was there and others came 3 and went, including Inspector LePard. And 4 according to the record of the presentation, 5 Inspector Beach and his colleagues, Driemel and 6 Sopow, prepared a public presentation for 7 information that made the most disparaging, demeaning and probably defamatory statements about 8 the family and friends of Sarah de Vries, Dawn 9 Crey, Sereena Abotsway and Helen Hallmark. 10

11 The Vancouver Police Department as an 12 institution after the remains of the missing 13 women's -- women were being found on Pickton's 14 property were deriding their loved ones and their 15 attempts over the years to get the police to take action. Those remains, of course, were being 16 found in the dirt around the home of Robert 17 William Pickton, the same Robert William Pickton 18 19 who four years earlier was the subject of 20 Detective Constable Shenher's focus as the likely 21 culprit.

The Vancouver Police Department should in short, Mr. Commissioner, be ashamed of itself for treating the families that way throughout the piece.

I want to say some remarks in the limited 1 2 time I have about the RCMP. And I know my hour's 3 up, but given my friend Mr. Doust's interventions, 4 I would ask that I get another 15 minutes. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: I'll give you another five. 6 MR. WARD: Five? Five? 7 THE COMMISSIONER: We have other people lined up after you, Mr. Ward. 8 I note --9 MR. WARD: THE COMMISSIONER: And nothing precludes you from putting in 10 11 writing all of these things. I can tell you that written arguments will be far more valuable to me. 12 And that's not to discount the value of oral 13 14 argument. When I'm writing this during the 15 summer, I can tell you that the written arguments will have a greater impact than all of the oral 16 17 ones. MR. WARD: I note in the schedule, just on this point, my 18 19 friend Darrell Roberts QC, who represents the 20 family of one missing woman, had received exactly the same amount of time as I have, one hour. I 21 22 just don't understand that, Mr. Commissioner. THE COMMISSIONER: Well, look, you're all participants and it 23 depends on the various degrees of participation 24 25 and so everybody's given an hour. Time limits

aren't unusual, you know. I mean the Supreme 1 2 Court of Canada, the United States Supreme Court, 3 courts set time limits all the time and so it's 4 not -- you know, these are not unusual. And if I 5 give you more time, then what happens to the other lawyers that are here? So I'm going to carry on. 6 7 MR. WARD: Mr. Commissioner, the families have journeyed here 8 from far and wide --9 THE COMMISSIONER: I know that. MR. WARD: -- to be here today. You have been given by the 10 11 Minister of Justice Shirley Bond five more months to complete this inquiry's work. All I ask you is 12 to listen to the families' finally summation, to 13 14 give them the respect that they deserve, to allow 15 them an audience, the kind of audience they never got when they went to the police 15 years ago. I 16 17 ask for more time, please. THE COMMISSIONER: I'm giving you more time, Mr. Ward. It's 18 19 got nothing to do with the respect of the families 20 and stop saying that. You've been saying that throughout. The fact that we set time limits and 21 22 we have rules, somehow that translates into a lack of respect. I can tell you no one has more 23 respect for the families than I do. At the same 24 25 time we have a process that we have to go through.

So go ahead. Proceed. It doesn't help when you 1 2 start out your submission and you spend 10 minutes 3 on what you see as the witnesses that should have 4 been called. We've dealt with all of that before. 5 This is the time to give me your closing 6 arguments, that along with your written 7 submissions. I want them from you because I need to know the position of your clients. Go ahead. 8 9 MR. WARD: All right. Thank you. The RCMP. I'll just touch on some highlights of the evidence. The RCMP, 10 11 Mike Connor in particular, considered Robert William Pickton to be the only suspect from their 12 13 point of view in the disappearances and murders of the women in the summer of 1998. Mike Connor held 14 15 that view throughout his entire time at Coquitlam while he worked on this file, which ended with his 16 17 transfer on August 19th, 1999. He was convinced Robert William Pickton was the man responsible. 18 19 He was so convinced that even after he was 20 transferred off the file, he parked on Dominion Avenue late at night between 20 and 30 times to 21 22 see if he could catch Pickton in the act.

23It's not very clear what happened after24Connor departed, but we do know from evidence just25received by you that on February 14th, 2000, six

members of the Coquitlam RCMP, Corporal Dave 1 2 McCartney, Constable John Cater, Corporal Marg 3 Kingsbury, Corporal Nicole St. Mars, Corporal 4 Scott Filer and Staff Sergeant Keith Davidson met 5 for the sole purpose of discussing the investigative steps they were going to take in 6 7 pursuit of Pickton. That's February 14th, 2000, 8 two years before Wells executed the search 9 warrant.

Corporal McCartney was tasked, assigned the 10 11 job of getting an authorization to intercept 12 Pickton's communications and to get a search warrant for his property. We don't know what, if 13 14 anything, he did. He wasn't called as a witness. 15 Neither was Corporal Filer, Corporal Kingsbury, Corporal St. Mars or Constable Cater. We don't 16 17 know why those six members of the Coquitlam RCMP apparently didn't follow through. It's a factual 18 19 gap that is unaddressed because this inquiry 20 didn't finish its job.

21 Corporal Filer was tasked with preparing an 22 indirect personality assessment on Pickton, and he 23 did report a little bit later that year, May of 24 2000, and that document couldn't have been more 25 compelling, Mr. Commissioner, in identifying

Pickton as the man responsible. It disclosed 1 2 that, according to the RCMP, both of his parents 3 had died in a psychiatric institution. He lived 4 -- he had been living off and on with various 5 prostitutes and drug users, was quick to anger. He was deceitful in his dealings with police. 6 7 Bizarrely, he had a horse's head mounted on his wall. He held cock fights on his property and, of 8 9 course, he had nearly killed a Downtown Eastside sex trade worker, Anderson, three years before. 10

11 Sergeant Don Adam, whose Project Evenhanded 12 formed in early 2001, did absolutely nothing to 13 advance the case. He spent a lot of money, had a 14 lot of meetings, accomplished nothing.

15 In the few moments remaining I want to address the major shortcomings of this inquiry 16 17 process that, in my respectful submission, the families take such issue with. This process 18 19 lacked independence and transparency and, 20 secondly, it is incomplete as we stand here today. We had two previous provincial public inquiries 21 22 into policing issues before this one was struck. They were, of course, the Braidwood Commission 23 into the inquiry of the death of Robert Dziekanski 24 25 and the Davies Commission of Inquiry into the

death of Frank Paul. Both of them concluded that it is undesirable to have police investigate the actions of police.

1

2

3

4 Despite the conclusions of those commissions, 5 this commission inextricably relied heavily, almost solely, upon police investigations of 6 7 police. Your commission counsel elevated the LePard report to a stature that it simply did not 8 deserve. It was Exhibit 1, offered in the 9 opening, and he was put on the stand for some -- I 10 11 don't know -- 12 or 14 days. Your commission counsel staff apparently delegated most of its 12 13 investigative powers that it should have exercised 14 themselves to a police officer from Ontario, a 15 woman named Jennifer Evans. And on the evidence, the commission's former executive director, a 16 17 former VPD police sergeant named John Boddie, worked closely with both those witnesses, LePard 18 19 and Evans. LePard testified last week that he and 20 Evans met with Boddie at Vancouver Police Department Headquarters. Why? Deputy Chief Evans 21 22 testified that she and Boddie drove to and from 23 Mission, a round trip of about four hours in a 24 car, to meet with Willie Pickton in jail. Why? 25 With the greatest of respect, assigning its

executive director a major role in dealing with 1 2 witnesses was in all of the circumstances an error 3 that compromised or destroyed this commission's 4 independence. It is not the executive director's 5 role. According to the learned author Professor 6 Ratushny and others, to work with witnesses, the 7 executive director of a commission of inquiry like this one fulfils an administrative function 8 9 handling finances, but does not wade into the evidence and deal with witnesses. That was 10 11 completely wrong, in my respectful submission.

12 We asked for copies of the e-mails that were 13 referred to in evidence that passed between Boddie and LePard and between Boddie and Evans. We were 14 15 unsuccessful. We submit the failure to disclose those e-mails does violence to the concepts of 16 17 openness and transparency that are supposed to be the hallmarks of a public inquiry like this one. 18 19 The failure to disclose those e-mails raises 20 serious questions about the independence of this tribunal. 21

Today, on behalf of my clients I request that appropriate steps are taken that all of the commission's e-mails and files be preserved and retained for later scrutiny, if necessary, on this

1

2

important issue that goes to the very heart of the commission's work.

3 We also submit this commission was not 4 thorough at all. The police were allowed and 5 enabled to control and manage the evidentiary agenda. LePard and Evans were allowed and enabled 6 7 to manipulate the hearings and cover up the degree of police misfeasance. LePard's report is a 8 9 patently unreliable document and we'll go over that in length in our written submissions. And we 10 11 submit he is a patently unreliable witness. He 12 lacks credibility. Over two days, separate days, he took pains to assert that in the one meeting he 13 14 had dealing with the case, Pickton's name never 15 came up, the so-called brainstorming session of May 13, 1999. That testimony stands in stark 16 17 contrast with Shenher's sworn testimony and a contemporaneous document disclosing that another 18 19 attendee, Bev Zaporozan, noted that Pickton was 20 discussed there. The later evidence should be preferred over LePard's. 21

As for documents, we submit that many essential documents are missing. The Department of Justice conceded that of the two million pages of Project Evenhanded documents, documents that

should be relevant to this inquiry, only 200,000 pages, which still is a lot, or 10 percent were disclosed. And, as I mentioned before, those that were disclosed to the commission were heavily redacted, parts covered up before we saw them, all in furtherance of a protocol we weren't consulted about.

Witnesses are missing too. We've heard 8 9 virtually no witnesses about the activities at Piggy's Palace. And I submit to you that those 10 11 activities that occurred at the Pickton's unlawful 12 party establishment involving them, the Hells 13 Angels and drug-addicted sex trade workers from 14 the Downtown Eastside, are critical to the fact 15 finding process. The nexus between organized crime, the Picktons and Piggy's Palace was well 16 17 publicized before these hearings began. Noted author Stevie Cameron's book devoted a chapter to 18 19 that nexus and it was published before these hearings began. 20

You heard Deputy Commissioner Gary Bass, the former head of "E" Division, just last week say that he would expect the police were monitoring the members of that organized criminal organization in the Lower Mainland, including the

well publicized attendances at Piggy's Palace for 1 2 pig roasts and New Years Eve parties and other 3 activities and that their files would likely 4 disclose the extent to which there was an 5 intersection between such activities and the 6 missing women. 7 Bev Hyacinthe knew all about those activities. She worked in the RCMP. I 8 9 practically begged you to call her as a witness so that that subject would be disclosed. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Your extra 15 minutes are now up. 12 Thank you. I'll speak to any member of the public MR. WARD: who wants to hear the full submission outside. 13 14 THE COMMISSIONER: I just want to say that all relevant 15 evidence was called. Thank you for your advice. But the fact is that all evidence that we think 16 17 was relevant in the circumstances has been called. There's no evidence at all that the Hells Angels 18 19 had anything at all to do with the missing women's 20 commission of inquiry. It's important that we confine ourselves to issues and the relevant work 21 22 here is relevance. Thank you. 23 MR. WARD: I need to respond to that, Mr. Commissioner. No evidence was called. It was kept out of the room 24 25 by LePard and Evans and Boddie.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

2 MR. WARD: My point -- and I want to be very clear -- is that 3 given that it was published at large to the world 4 prior to the hearings beginning that there was an 5 interconnection, a relationship, a nexus, call it 6 what you will, between the Pickton brothers, 7 organized crime and the missing women from the Downtown Eastside, all occurring at Piggy's 8 Palace. It was incumbent on this commission of 9 inquiry to either -- to receive evidence that is 10 11 in files and to either rule it out for the public so they could be satisfied or confirm that it was 12 13 true, and this commission just simply refused to 14 go there. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. WARD: And that was an error. 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Ward. You have your views and the rest of us have ours. All right. Mr. 18 19 Roberts. 20 MR. HERN: Before Mr. Roberts speaks, if I could just have a 21 moment, Mr. Commissioner. Sean Hern for the VPD. 22 What we just heard, in my experience, were some 23 extraordinary submissions and we have a lot of people here in the room and we have a lot of press 24 25 and I think for the record and for the public it

Proceedings

needs to be noted that in this part of the process 1 2 submissions are not evidence and that's why as 3 lawyers we generally don't object to them. And 4 counsel here are supposed to use the opportunity 5 not just to say anything they want, but to refer to matters that have been heard in evidence. And 6 7 given what we've just heard, in my respectful 8 submission, that's not necessarily being observed. Many things Mr. Ward said as facts I will say are 9 false. But, nevertheless, we sit here and listen 10 11 to that and we don't object because that's part of 12 the process, but I don't want there to be any 13 confusion about what we're doing here. These are 14 submissions by counsel and not evidence. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I know that. I think I made that clear to Mr. Ward, that I have to decide this 16 inquiry and make findings of fact based upon the 17 evidence and not on some allegations about Piggy's 18 19 Palace or Hells Angels or all of that. We have 20 terms of reference. This is an inquiry dealing with the police investigation of missing women and 21

not about organized crime or some other foray that we might get into. That isn't what this inquiry is about and I think the public realizes that. Mr. Roberts.

22

23

24

25

MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Commissioner, I'm having Mr. Giles hand up 1 2 another copy of my submission, which I have 3 prepared in court of appeal style with the text on 4 the left side so that you have writing space on 5 the right side, something you are familiar with. And there is the binder of back-up documents. I 6 7 don't intend to get into the documents themselves in the time available, but there they are for you. 8 9 And I have prepared identical material for Ms. Buckley. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: I can tell you that I have read your written argument. 12 13 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, sir. 14 May it please this commission of inquiry, 15 murder, the wanton killing of another human being, is a most evil crime. Murder tears at the very 16 17 fabric of families and society. In Canada and in all of the civilized societies in the world, 18 19 murder is deserving of the harshest punishment. 20 That is why suspected murder must be competently investigated by the police. That is why suspected 21 22 serial murder must be intensively and competently investigated by the police, to stop the killing 23 and protect the public. That is why when 24 25 suspected serial murders continue for a year, for

two years, for three years, for four years before the killer is caught, the public demands a public inquiry.

1

2

3

4 The missing women were, unfortunately, all 5 addicted to drugs and were sex trade workers in 6 the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver, making 7 bargains with cruising johns for sex in exchange for money and drugs or both. As one RCMP officer 8 -- I think it's Staff Sergeant Davidson -- said in 9 1999, it should be assumed that all of the missing 10 11 women got into vehicles and were transported to somewhere else. 12

By July of 1998 at least it was concluded by some Vancouver Police officers, at least some, that the missing women were victims of foul play. I define that in the dictionary term of violent crime resulting in another's death, usually by a treacherous behaviour.

19At the end of 1997 there were nine missing20women as defined in our terms of reference. By21September, 1998 there were 10 more. By July 27,221998 -- 1999 -- I'm sorry -- when the reward23poster went up in the Downtown Eastside, there24were 31. By the end of 2000 it was over 40. And25when Pickton was caught in February, early

February, 2002, by an extraneous act, he expressed regret later in a jailhouse statement that he didn't get to 50.

In this inquiry we were told by police witnesses that there were many suspects. Some counsel, it seemed to me, seemed to almost trip over themselves to get out through their witnesses that there were many suspects. One counsel went so far as to say in his question there were many, many suspects as if one many wasn't enough.

1

2

3

11 There was, however, only one suspect who in 12 March, 1997 picked up a sex trade worker in the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver and tried to murder 13 14 her at his trailer in Coquitlam. That was Robert 15 Pickton. There was only one suspect identified in July, 1998, tipster information from Mr. Hiscox, 16 17 information later described as unique and compelling, that said the suspect had women's 18 19 identification papers, jewelry, purses, bloody 20 clothing in his trailer and who said that he could get rid of a body without a trace by grinding it 21 22 up and feeding it to his pigs. That was Robert 23 Pickton. There was only one suspect about who it was said in 1998, again in 1998 in September -- it 24 25 was said that he, this suspect, wanted to pick up

Miss Anderson, the victim of the 1997 attempted 1 2 murder so he could finish her off and wanted 3 syringes to assist him. That was Robert Pickton. 4 There was only one suspect who was identified in 5 1999 by Caldwell and others, Caldwell who lived in the suspect's trailer for 10 days, that the 6 7 suspect kept handcuffs under his bed or between 8 the mattresses and an automatic rifle and a clip and wigs, blond and red or brown one, which he 9 wore when he went downtown Vancouver, and through 10 11 Ellingsen was told as well that he kept women's 12 jewelry, purses, identification papers, et cetera and that he could grind up bodies, the same as the 13 statement in '98. That suspect was Robert Pickton 14 15 and yet he was not caught and many women died. There's the right use of the many. Many women 16 17 died because he wasn't caught.

The purpose of this inquiry, in my respectful 18 19 submission -- and I turn now in my material to 20 page 8 of my prepared material. The purpose of this inquiry -- and there's only one purpose in 21 22 the order for this inquiry, page 8, is to inquire into and report on the conduct of the missing 23 women investigations. It again is captured in the 24 25 first term of reference: To inquire into and make

findings of fact respecting the conduct of the 1 2 missing women investigations. That purpose, in my 3 submission, is the main purpose and the only 4 purpose before us. Everything else, in my 5 submission, is secondary to making that inquiry and making those findings of fact. Possible 6 7 systemic factors, however valuable they may be, 8 and recommendations into some matter or other 9 which is encouraged by term of references (c) and (d) must await those findings of fact. 10

11 And I pause here. I feel compelled to pause 12 here to say, Mr. Commissioner, that I distance myself entirely from the remarks I heard this 13 14 morning from Mr. Ward. This commission has not 15 failed. It has received ample relevant evidence from a wide range of sources, from police officers 16 across the spectrum of both police forces, the 17 Vancouver Police Department and the RCMP. And 18 19 it's received expert evidence from an acknowledged 20 expert in policing, in my submission, Ms. Jennifer Evans, Deputy Chief of Police of Regional Police 21 22 Force. It has also received evidence from social 23 science experts and from the families and 24 conducted laudable forums for the latter purpose. 25 It has also been assisted by a wide range of

counsel, experienced counsel. It's even had
 Canada's most prestigious or recognized criminal
 law counsel attend us, Edward Greenspan, QC, who
 graced us with his presence.

5

6

7

8

9

10

In my respectful submission, this commission of inquiry only has to complete its job of making the requisite findings of fact and then, of course, looking at the other matters of the terms of reference, and that is what I have always intended to do to assist this commission to do.

And so the focus of my submission this morning is in assisting the commission to make those requisite findings of fact. And I start with the duty of the police to investigate crime. And I turn to page 10 of my submission. I may have gone too quickly. No, I haven't.

17 It is, of course, the duty of the police to investigate crime and to investigate crime at the 18 19 suspected stage. I note a passage in my prepared 20 submission by Chief Justice McLachlin where it is stated the police must -- that's her word --21 22 investigate crime. That is their duty. And, of 23 course, that begins at the suspicion of crime. Where does that duty begin? In my submission it 24 25 begins by the direction that is given statutorily

to the police, not just unique for this province, 1 2 but across Canada. There are statutes similar to the Police Act of British Columbia. And in the 3 Police Act of British Columbia -- I'm on page 4 5 11 -- Section 26(s) of that Police Act says: The duties and functions of the Municipal 6 7 Police Department are under the direction of 8 the Municipal Police Board. 9 In Vancouver that's the Vancouver Police Board. (A) To enforce in the municipality the 10 criminal law and the laws of British 11 12 Columbia. 13 So a fortiori we must focus on -- we are required 14 to focus on, it is our duty to look at the 15 obligation, the duty of the police to investigate crime and enforce the criminal law in their 16 17 respective jurisdictions. That means Vancouver and so far as there may be crime involved in 18 19 Coquitlam, that means the RCMP in Coquitlam. 20 And I turn to the question of Vancouver's jurisdiction. It is my respectful submission that 21 22 the common sense of it always was that the women 23 are missing from Vancouver likely in relation to some crime, one crime or another, likely only one. 24 25 People don't go missing off the streets of a city

or town, a village unless there is some likely crime by which they -- they've gone missing.

Coquitlam's task was to investigate, if it was the case, whether there was murder that was committed in Coquitlam. The logical place to start, therefore, is where the police -- where the victims went missing, and that takes me to a discussion of Vancouver's crimes. And I'm on page 15 of my prepared material.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I'm not going to take this commission through 10 11 what I've done before, what the law of kidnapping 12 is. It's well defined. It is set out in Section 13 279(1) of the Criminal Code. Contrary to some people's understanding, it is not a very difficult 14 15 crime to understand. I'll say briefly that the basic crime is simply unlawful confinement and 16 17 transportation from A to B. An unlawful confinement can be achieved by either force or 18 19 fraud. And that's not Darrell Roberts' 20 definition. That is the dictionary definition. That's in all of the dictionaries and I hasten to 21 22 say it's in all the law of all the western world.

23Kidnapping occurs by either force or fraud24and when the aspect -- the means by which it most25logically applies in Vancouver, because there's a

total absence throughout of any of the sex trade 1 2 workers being bodily picked up and forced into any 3 vehicle, it occurs by fraud, by being induced by 4 some stratagem of some kind into a vehicle and 5 then transported somewhere and then attacked. How 6 does one know that the representation by which 7 they willingly got into a car is a stratagem, a deceit, a ruse? It's not that difficult, not for 8 9 counsel and not for a police officer. As soon as foul play is suspected, that means treachery. 10 11 That means something contrary to the basis upon which the woman got into the car. Then you have a 12 case of suspected kidnapping by fraud. That, of 13 course, is the foundation of what Vancouver's 14 15 crime was and it only remained for the police to be able to see it. 16

17 Let me just move further to identifying that kidnapping was indeed Vancouver's crime. And I 18 19 don't need to take too long with this because, in 20 fact, as we got into the hearing, it was established through the evidence of two parties in 21 22 particular: The evidence of Mr. Blythe or Chief 23 Blythe and the evidence from the resolutions of 24 the Vancouver Police Board both in establishing the reward for \$100,000, \$30,000 of Vancouver's 25

money and \$70,000 of the Province's money, to 1 2 investigate the crimes of unlawful confinement, 3 kidnapping and murder in relation to the missing 4 women, offering that reward for information 5 leading to the arrest or conviction of anyone for -- for the disappearance of such women under those 6 7 crimes for anyone disappearing, not just all of 8 them. 9 I turn in my submission to paragraph 54 and 55 and 56. We got into this in the evidence of 10 11 Mr. -- Chief Blythe when Mr. Greenspan was here and which he said that the crime was Vancouver's 12 13 crime. And you'll see that over on page 19 of my submission. He said: 14 15 Did you agree that this was primarily a Q Vancouver's case? 16 17 And he said: The missing individuals we're dealing with 18 19 were all from our jurisdiction, specifically 20 the Downtown Eastside. And in his last answer he said: 21 22 The fact that this project --23 That's the joint forces. 24 -- involved other locations is really 25 irrelevant.

And then in cross-examination he gave all the 1 2 answers we see at the bottom of 19 and over and 20 3 that these -- what he meant by Vancouver's case 4 was that these were Vancouver's crimes. And, 5 indeed, he said at paragraph 57 that they were 6 Vancouver's crimes in 1998 as well, of course, so 7 they had to be. The nature of the women going missing hadn't changed between 1998 and 1999. 8

9 In my submission there can be no contest with the proposition that kidnapping and murder, which 10 11 is death caused during kidnapping, is deemed by the statute Section 231(5) paragraph E to be 12 13 first-degree murder, were Vancouver's crimes. And this confirms the common sense of the matter 14 15 that I spoke to earlier. It also confirms, in my submission, the evidence of Ms. Jennifer Evans. 16

17 And I want to turn for a moment to something that Ms. Evans said which I feel needs some 18 19 clarification. I don't know whether Ms. Evans 20 received, Mr. Commissioner, the minutes from the Vancouver Police Board, but that doesn't matter 21 22 for purposes of my submission. I suspect she did 23 not, but I frankly don't know. She said that the crime began in Vancouver. You will remember that. 24 25 And there was much to do about that in examination

of her, including by myself. And my 1 2 cross-examination is set out in part on page 22. 3 And in one of the answers she said -- middle of 4 page 22 of my prepared submission. She said: 5 I didn't say specifically what crime. I asked her, "Why didn't you say kidnapping if 6 7 that's what you meant," because it is what she 8 meant. 9 But I felt it was due to the number of women that had gone missing, that it was my opinion 10 11 that investigators could have come to the 12 conclusion that Pickton was targeting women in the Downtown Eastside, so in his mind he 13 was going looking (sic) as to the offence 14 15 would start in Vancouver. I turn the page in the interests of time. She 16 17 acknowledged in my cross-examination that the crime she had in mind when she wrote that sentence 18 19 "In my opinion, in my experience the crime began 20 in Vancouver was kidnapping," she says, "Yes, it was." 21 22 I turn to paragraph 64. The italicized words 23 need to be addressed before acknowledging the correctness of Deputy Chief Evans's opinion. 24 25 First, it's not necessarily the numbers of missing

women that drive the opinion. It's how the women went missing: Being picked up in cars in sex trade bargains and transported elsewhere and then being suspected victims of foul play. That is the key in identifying the crime of kidnapping, in my submission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 Second, it's not that the police could have, but they should have come to the conclusion that 8 9 Pickton was targeting Downtown Eastside sex trade workers, should have because it was their duty to 10 11 investigate the crime. Therefore, it was their 12 duty to do so, as I've stated, looking at the 13 facts, as I submit, with trained eyes, not lazy 14 eyes that failed to get past the willingness of 15 the women to get into the cars of sex purchasers. Kidnapping was Vancouver's crime to investigate 16 17 for the disappearance of the missing women.

And, third, it was not the task of the police 18 19 to figure out what was in Pickton's mind. This 20 notion is erroneous. The offence of kidnapping does not require proof of any specific intention. 21 22 The intent requires a legal presumption of intention. In a case of potential kidnapping by 23 means of fraud of a sex trade worker, suspected 24 25 foul play presumed the sex bargain was a stratagem

to get confinement. This approach coincides with the duty of the police to investigate suspected crime. They cannot delay an investigation by sitting back and wondering what's in the accused's mind.

6 I turn then to my first finding of fact. And 7 I have drawn, in my respectful submission, Mr. Commissioner, a finding of fact this commission 8 ought to make and that's at page 24. While there 9 was a delay in establishing Vancouver's crimes to 10 11 investigate before this commission, I can put that aside. That's fine. They have been established. 12 13 They are the crimes of unlawful confinement, 14 kidnapping and murder. And I submit this ought to 15 be found as a fact by this commission of inquiry.

1

2

3

4

5

I turn next to the conduct of the Vancouver 16 17 Police in investigating their crimes. And I will be very brief with this too because, in fact, all 18 19 the evidence establishes before this commission 20 that Vancouver from beginning to end never investigated the crime of kidnapping in Vancouver. 21 22 They didn't do so through Constable Shenher when 23 she was actually doing an investigation by -- for 24 the Vancouver Police Force and they didn't do it 25 afterwards.

I start off -- and I'll skip over the 1 2 paradoxical remarks that we started this hearing 3 with the report that doesn't -- of Mr. LePard that 4 makes no mention of Vancouver's crimes and then 5 said, as I'm over at page 25 -- I think I need to identify this just for a moment, Mr. Commissioner. 6 7 He said both in his report and identified the page number, 296 and 299, that Vancouver was simply 8 assisting the RCMP. And then further in his 9 evidence he said that Constable Shenher was 10 11 assisting Corporal Connor.

12 I say over on page 26 that this evidence is 13 wrong in two respects: First, as Staff Sergeant 14 Connor made clear in his testimony at this 15 inquiry, he and the Coquitlam RCMP were not investigating Vancouver's crimes at any time 16 17 during the period of the missing women. Depending on whether there was sufficient evidence, they 18 19 were investigating the suspected crime of murder 20 at Pickton's property in Coquitlam. The crimes by which the women went missing from Vancouver's 21 22 Downtown Eastside were Vancouver's crimes to 23 investigate.

24 Second, in 1998 with respect to the unique 25 and compelling information from Mr. Hiscox,

Detective Constable Shenher was not assisting 1 2 Corporal Connor of the RCMP. As a member of the 3 Vancouver Police Department and working with the 4 information source Hiscox, she was embarked --5 embarked on a criminal investigation, which the 6 brief excerpt from her cross-examination makes 7 clear. Of course, a source always goes or, to turn it the other way around, an investigation --8 a criminal investigation goes with a source. 9

10So we have it that Constable Shenher was in111998 investigating a crime, a suspected crime in12Vancouver in relation to the source, but she13didn't know, according to her evidence,14particularly what crime it was except a general15homicide.

I will not take you through on this oral 16 submission her timeline for that investigation, 17 but I assure you, Mr. Commissioner, beginning at 18 19 page 27, paragraph 78 all through those 20 alphabetical paragraphs that exactly sets out the work that Constable Shenher did to investigate the 21 22 information from Mr. Hiscox right through to her 23 meeting with Corporal Connor on October 15, 1998. And during the course of all of that she found Mr. 24 25 Hiscox to be very credible. She told us in this

inquiry that he never asked for anything. She 1 2 believed him. She thought he was really out to do 3 the right thing. There wasn't any suggestion 4 anywhere in her evidence that she did not find him 5 to be a credible source. And so too did Corporal Connor, parenthetically, find him to be credible. 6 7 Nevertheless, she was the one investigating 8 Vancouver's crimes and, as it turns out, according 9 to the evidence of everybody here and particularly Sergeant Field, that Shenher was self-directed 10 11 pretty much. We really don't know what 12 interaction she had with any other officer after Sergeant Field left in -- I think it was in 13 14 September to go to CLEU, from which she did not come back until March of 1999. 15 So what did Constable Shenher do or know 16 17 about the crimes that she was investigating? I turn to page 33. 18 19 But the women were not killed on the streets 0 20 of Downtown Eastside Vancouver, so did you put your mind to what kind of murder? 21 22 А No, I did not. 23 And did you know then that the kidnapping, Q the basic kidnapping crime is simply 24 25 confinement plus transportation?

1 I did not know that. I had never worked on a А 2 kidnapping. 3 I'll drop down a bit. 4 So my basic question to you, as you were out Q there on the street working as a constable in 5 1998, you did not know that the crime of 6 7 kidnapping was confinement and 8 transportation, the basic crime? 9 А As I said, I have no experience with kidnapping, so I don't know what I thought 10 about kidnapping at that time. 11 One more. Over at page 34: 12 So let me make this more precise. You didn't 13 0 have knowledge for you to be able to identify 14 15 a crime of kidnapping in relation to women from Downtown Eastside having sex bargains 16 17 and getting into cars, that didn't trigger 18 anything in you as to whether there might be 19 a kidnapping going on? 20 I didn't consider kidnapping. А 21 You did not think of it? Q 22 I did not. No. I didn't think of it. А 23 And, similarly, when it comes --THE COMMISSIONER: I'll stop you there, Mr. Roberts, for the 24 25 morning break.

1 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.

2 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes. 3 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:12 A.M.) 4 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 11:30 A.M.) 5 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Roberts. 7 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Commissioner, may it please the commission, I was at the point of discussing the actual conduct 8 9 of the Vancouver Police Department in their investigation of their crimes. I had taken you 10 11 through the lack of knowledge of Constable Shenher, the only person for Vancouver 12 investigating crime for -- in Vancouver in 13 relation to Pickton in 1998 and her lack of 14 15 knowledge of the crime of kidnapping in all 16 respects. 17 And for purposes of note taking, I'm at page 35 with respect to another aspect of her lack of 18 19 knowledge, and that is her knowledge on the basis 20 for getting a search warrant and the question I 21 put to her: 22 0 And did you know that you could get a search 23 warrant even though it was based on hearsay? I did not know that. 24 Α 25 And did you know that what you're doing is 0

1	not getting corroboration in any legal sense
2	but what the case law calls verification, to
3	verify the credibility of your informant?
4	Did you know that's really what you have to
5	do?
6	A None of that was ever communicated to me by
7	colleagues or a supervisor, no.
8	So in addition to her lack of knowledge of
9	kidnapping, she really was quite untrained on the
10	subject matter of search warrants under Section
11	487 of the Criminal Code.
12	And then another aspect of her lack of
13	knowledge or in relation to her lack of knowledge
14	of search warrants is the her evidence about
15	her dealings with witness Anderson and what she
16	could use that evidence for. And I'm again at
17	page 35. She told us in her evidence that she
18	found Ms. Anderson she went to visit her at the
19	hospital and she found where she found her at
20	least, and she found that Ms. Anderson, the victim
21	of the 1997 attempted murder, was very believable
22	and compelling. So I asked her a question.
23	Q You found her evidence compelling?
24	A Yes.
25	Q What were you looking for?

I'm sorry. 1 2 You said this is what you were looking for? 3 And she said: 4 Yes. That's correct. 5 So I asked her why. And her answer over page, 6 which I've reproduced from the transcript, is very 7 interesting. She said: 8 Because, as I testified, Mr. Commissioner, that the circumstances around the incident 9 seemed to indicate that Ms. Anderson had --10 11 that she was a Downtown Eastside sex worker, 12 that she fit the profile of our investigation 13 and of our other missing women in terms of being street involved, drug dependent and 14 15 working from the Downtown Eastside and she had an interaction with Mr. Pickton that he 16 17 took her away from the confines of Downtown Eastside to a residence and that they had a 18 19 violent interaction there and that, in my 20 view, could have very, very, very likely could have possibly ended in her death. So I 21 22 felt this was the type of scenario --And these are the words I would focus on. 23 24 -- that could conceivably be applied to some 25 of these other women.

1 This kind of scenario could be applied? Q 2 And she says: 3 Yes. 4 All right. I understand that. How? 0 5 She didn't understand my question, so I asked her 6 again. 7 I just want to know why you say it was Q 8 compelling in the sense of what did you propose to do with this statement? 9 The statement of Ms. Anderson? 10 А 11 0 Yes. It informed my investigation. Unfortunately, 12 А it didn't inform her investigation enough to 13 be used in any way to try and catch Pickton. 14 And that is because of her lack of knowledge of 15 the law of kidnapping, of the crime of kidnapping 16 17 and how that might be used to commence or to 18 pursue an investigation based on a search warrant 19 where, of course, the Anderson statement would 20 have a very compelling use. 21 In the result, over on page 37: 22 0 This compelling credible evidence from Hiscox never got used, right? 23 24 No. I suppose not. Α 25 I'll skip over the evidence of Sergeant Field.

She agreed that Ms. Anderson -- Corporal -- start again. Field agreed that Constable Shenher was self-directed and that she really had no knowledge of what was going on from the time she left in September of 1998 and came back in March of 1999.

Now, there are two aspects before I go to 6 7 suggested findings of fact. There are two aspects 8 I want to address in the evidence of Mr. LePard. 9 And I'm at page 38. He said -- there was a repeated refrain in his evidence -- and I feel I 10 must address it here -- that it was not known at 11 12 the time just how the missing women got to the Pickton's property. It's submitted this assertion 13 14 is not valid. It's wrong. It was known at the 15 time that at least some of the missing women went missing by getting into cars of cruising sex 16 17 purchasers, Ms. Anderson being a case in point. This likelihood in how the women went missing is 18 19 picked up in the language of the \$100,000 reward, 20 which offers the reward for information leading to the arrest and conviction of the person or persons 21 22 responsible for the unlawful confinement, 23 kidnapping or murder of any or all of the missing 24 women.

25

1

2

3

4

5

As for sex trade workers finding other ways

or means to Pickton's property, lured there by 1 2 easy access to drugs, while it is uncertain, in my 3 submission, that this was known by the Vancouver 4 Police Department officers at the time, the common 5 sense of the matter is that Pickton was clever enough to appear friendly to sex trade workers as 6 7 a cover for his evil, predatory agenda. Staff 8 Sergeant Connor agreed with this. 9 Q But the Piggy's Palace reference, that seems

to me a distraction that shouldn't have 10 11 stopped the search warrant process, all else 12 being equal. Staff Sergeant Davidson had 13 pointed to that and said that a clever 14 stealth killer could be using his 15 friendliness to sex trade workers, whether or not killing everyone or whether 16 17 familiarizing himself with them at some other location as a cover for his stealth killings. 18 19 It's possible to view it that way, isn't it?

A Absolutely.

20

The second aspect of Mr. LePard's evidence that needs to be addressed, in my submission, is his repeated assertion, both in the Vancouver Police Department internal review report and in his oral testimony, that even if the Vancouver

Police Department should have investigated the 1 2 crime of kidnapping in the disappearance of the 3 missing women, the Coquitlam RCMP legally had and 4 accepted the jurisdictional responsibility for the 5 Pickton investigation. That is not true. They 6 only accepted jurisdiction to investigate murder, 7 suspected murder in Coquitlam, and they made that 8 very clear, particularly in an exchange of questions both with yourself, Mr. Commissioner, 9 and with commission counsel, learned counsel Mr. 10 Vertlieb. 11 12 By the way, I pass over a very useful article 13 from the Dalhousie Law Journal by Richard Bell, 14 which identifies how important it is that police

15 officers must pay attention to their own 16 jurisdiction. That lies behind Corporal Connor's 17 evidence when I asked him this question over the 18 top of page 40:

19QWhat about the missing women in Vancouver and20how they got missing?

Poorly phrased.

21

22

Did you accept jurisdiction over that?

23AAbsolutely not. That was something that24Vancouver City Police, in my view, is that25was what they were dealing with.

Mr. Commissioner, I end up with, in my 1 2 respectful submission, three findings of fact that 3 ought to be made. And I have kept the findings of 4 fact that I respectfully submit to a minimum, but 5 number 2 is this one: During the period of the 6 missing women, the Vancouver Police Department 7 failed to investigate their crimes of unlawful confinement, kidnapping and murder with respect to 8 suspect Pickton or at all. At all material times 9 the Vancouver Police Department regarded the 10 11 Pickton investigation as the obligation of the 12 Coquitlam RCMP and that the Vancouver Police 13 Department were merely assisting the RCMP. That's fact finding -- finding of fact number 2. 14 15 Number 3, in my submission, should be --

before I go to this one, I want to go to -- in my own submission here -- would you humour me a moment, Mr. Commissioner, and turn to page 53 in my prepared submission?

20 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR. ROBERTS: Keep your finger on the other spot, please. This is a passage from the evidence in chief of Mr. LePard in day one of his testimony at page 53 where he says:

25 The nature of the information is obviously --

1	The question was about how do you go about
2	corroborating an informant, a source's
3	information, Mr. Commissioner. They were dealing
4	with Mr. Hiscox at the time. Counsel asking the
5	questions was Mr. Vertlieb.
6	A So the nature of the information is
7	obviously very important. And then after
8	that it's about how much corroboration is
9	there for the information and so that's
10	something that you do with informant's
11	information, is you try to corroborate it so
12	that you can see whether there's any
13	credibility that can be attached to it,
14	because police
15	This is my italics.
16	police resources are valuable and so you
17	don't want to waste them. So there are many
18	ways to go and corroborate
19	I'll leave it there. You don't want to waste
20	them. I go back to my findings of fact suggested
21	or submitted at page 40, please. Number 3.
22	Constable Shenher was the only Vancouver Police
23	Department officer to investigate the information
24	from Mr. Hiscox received by the Vancouver Police
25	Department on July 27, 1998, and although she

found it compelling and Mr. Hiscox credible, she 1 2 did not know the crime of kidnapping, nor the law 3 with respect to search warrants, nor the law with 4 respect to informant privilege for that matter, 5 nor was she given any supervision by an experienced officer. In the result, she lost 6 7 contact with Mr. Hiscox. That's the evidence before this commission. 8 9 And the information was wasted. I use Mr. LePard's own word, was wasted. 10 11 Fact finding number 4. Constable Shenher interviewed Ms. Anderson, victim of Pickton's 12 13 unlawful confinement and attempted murder on March 14 23, 1997, and although she believed Ms. Anderson 15 and found her witness statement compelling -- and I quote her, "quite conceivably could be applied" 16 17 to the missing women, not knowing the law of kidnapping or the law with respect to search 18 19 warrants, Constable Shenher did not know what to 20 do with it and it too was wasted. Now, I'm then going to go -- before I embark 21 22 on the next part of my submission, which is the 23 catching of Pickton in 1998 by a competent

24 25

73

investigation, I respectfully make these

observations. They can even be put into a finding

of fact. I have not typed them up, but it's this 1 2 Mr. Commissioner: The lack of an experienced 3 trained police officer -- the lack of experience 4 and training, I should say, of Constable Shenher 5 for the task at hand in 1998 in investigating the tip information from Mr. Hiscox in relation to 6 7 Pickton is hard to imagine, but it's true. That she was the only officer assigned to this task is 8 equally hard to imagine, but it's true. This 9 incompetence of the Vancouver Police Department, 10 11 in my respectful submission, is the great tragedy 12 of the missing women investigation of the women being continually murdered. And, in my respectful 13 submission, this commission should so find. 14 То 15 not make this finding may in some eyes at least fail the public of this province of British 16 17 Columbia just as the Vancouver Police Department failed the public of this city and of this 18 19 province, because the women are from all over, in 20 the failure to perform their duty to investigate their crimes. 21

I go to page 41 of my prepared submission. It is my respectful submission that it's essential for this commission to address this question: When should Pickton have been caught? I know for

some that seems to be troublesome to try and 1 2 address this. In my opinion, in my submission it 3 should not be. Deputy Chief Evans said that 4 Pickton ought to have been caught quicker. Mr. 5 LePard said in his report that 1998 was the best chance to catch Pickton. Anyone who doesn't 6 7 believe me Mr. LePard said that, turn to page 42, 8 please. Here's the page from Mr. LePard's report, 9 top of page 42: Although women went missing from the Downtown 10 11 Eastside from the mid-1990s throughout 1998, 12 by the time a more suspected-focused investigation began in May, '99, the 13 14 suspicious disappearances had apparently 15 stopped, with the last one occurring in May, 1999. 16 17 Quite apart from that being probably a problem in record keeping. Nevertheless, that's what he 18 19 said. 20 The fact that there were no new suspicious 21 disappearances added to the immense 22 challenges because proactive strategies that might have led to the suspect, if employed, 23 would not have succeeded. 24 25 And I have bolded what he then wrote:

The best chance of catching the killer was in 1 2 1998 when he was active. By the time he 3 became active again in 2000 and so on, the 4 investigative capacity to maximize the 5 chances of catching him did not exist. I take him as his word. The best chance to catch 6 7 him was in 1998. I agree with him. Unfortunately for the Vancouver Police Department view, however, 8 9 that best chance was Vancouver's chance.

A properly trained officer or officers, 10 11 knowing the crimes that they were to investigate and knowing the procedures available to pursue an 12 13 investigation, ought to have pursued in relation to the information from Hiscox an ordinary garden 14 15 variety, centuries established search warrant to find the things that related to Vancouver's 16 17 crimes.

I skip over the knowledge of the crime of 18 19 kidnapping to page 47 -- 46. I'm sorry. As Mr. 20 LePard himself said in evidence before this commission, search warrants are not the hardest 21 22 search device to obtain. At the top of page 46 23 I've set out the search warrant section 487(1) and 24 the particular subsection that applies is (b): 25 A justice who is satisfied --

That means a justice of the peace. 1 2 -- by information on oath in Form 1 that 3 there are reasonable grounds to believe that 4 there is in a building, receptacle or place 5 (b) anything that there are reasonable grounds to believe will afford evidence with 6 7 respect to the commission of an offence 8 against this Act or any other Act of 9 Parliament. Focus on the words "will afford evidence with 10 11 respect to the commission of an offence". They 12 issue a warrant under his hand authorizing person 13 named therein or a peace officer. 14 I skip over subsection (2), of course, so you 15 can get a search warrant in Vancouver to search in another jurisdiction. You simply get the warrant 16 17 issued on proper grounds and then take it to the other jurisdiction for it to be endorsed. 18 19 What was the basis for obtaining a search 20 warrant in Vancouver? I don't shy away from this question. I may be the only person before this 21 22 commission of inquiry that has addressed it, but I 23 respectfully believe I am right to do so. And I 24 am speaking without reference to my notes for the 25 moment.

Here's what we had or what you have to have. 1 2 You have to have the specific place to find 3 something. Let me back up. You, first of all, 4 have to have an offence. You have to have the 5 specific offence. It can't just be homicide. It 6 has to be a crime that relates to what it is you 7 are searching for. Vancouver had that crime. It was kidnapping. That is to say death caused 8 during kidnapping, which is their crime. That's 9 first-degree murder. You have to have that 10 11 specific crime. Second, you have to have a 12 specific place. Third, you have to have detailed items to find, not just some general allegation of 13 14 criminal activity, things to be found which will 15 afford evidence. It must be current. And you have to have, as I say, a nexus, connection, a 16 17 link between those things. Vancouver had all of that, but they also needed what they clearly had: 18 19 A credible informant who had reliable information 20 that would would meet the test of reasonable 21 probability.

22 Now, I stop there for a moment. Reasonable 23 probability. That's not a momentous task. That's 24 not a task that's beyond any lawyer in this room. 25 We all address issues, levels of proof in the work

which we do. Lawyers who are involved in criminal 1 2 law must address the issue of burden of proof 3 beyond a reasonable doubt. They don't call expert 4 evidence for that. They make submissions about it 5 before the Court in accordance with the 6 authorities and in accordance with reason and in 7 accordance with how the evidence shapes up in 8 relation to that test of beyond a reasonable 9 doubt.

We also do the same thing in a civil case. 10 11 And the standard in a civil case is higher than 12 here to get a search warrant. It's on a balance 13 of probabilities. I.e., it must be more than 50 14 percent. Here it's not that high. It's 15 reasonable probability. As I heard someone say --I think it was my good friend on behalf of the 16 Department of Justice -- that it's sometimes said 17 there has to be sufficient evidence, something to 18 19 which you can give a reason that you believe in. 20 And that's why police officers then go about trying to verify the information from somebody who 21 22 can be anonymous and remain anonymous and therefore not a hearsay informant. 23

24In this case we had a credible informant,25believed to be credible by both Shenher and

Corporal Connor, who had information that Corporal 1 2 Connor at least believed to be reliable in a 3 couple of respects, who Pickton was, what his 4 connection was with sex trade workers; that he 5 knew all about the charge against Pickton with 6 respect to Ms. Anderson; that there was -- there 7 was a request for syringes, while there were syringes strewn all over Pickton's trailer from 8 9 the video and photographs of the 1997 crime. He went back and looked at those and came to a 10 11 conclusion, as stated in his affidavit, which was never used at the time, but got used in 2002, that 12 13 Pickton had a need for syringes to control his 14 victims, he not doing drugs. He went and checked 15 to see the allegation about there being bloody clothing and found that the clothing from the 1997 16 17 matter was still in the detachment locker and felt it should be taken on face value that there's 18 19 bloody clothing there. He knew about the 20 relationship between the informant Miss Yelds, who 21 gave a lot of this information to Mr. Hiscox, the 22 relationship with Pickton. He had Buff Hyacinthe, 23 who worked in his office, who confirmed much of that, what kind of person she was, that she was a 24 25 cop hater, that she fixed her Harley-Davidson in

her living-room, that she wouldn't talk to the police. All of that unique relationship was there and confirmed that they were friends and that she got her meat -- Yelds got her meat from Mr. Pickton and had ample reason to have been in his trailer to have seen what she saw, said she saw.

7 And then we have that unique relationship between Hiscox and Yelds. They had been in foster 8 9 care together and she had a soft spot for him and he was the one person that she might reveal things 10 11 to that she might reveal to no one else. These are all circumstances that would go into a search 12 13 warrant, which, in my view, would add immensely to 14 that search warrant.

And then the coup de grace, in my respectful submission, is Ms. Anderson's statement. Even if the police might have had difficulty in persuading a justice of the peace of the reasonable probability of the source's information on its own absent Ms. Anderson's statement, Ms. Anderson's statement puts it over the top.

I rely on the law of the Supreme Court of Canada in the *Debot* case, which identifies that you don't have to verify so many details. You don't have to verify them all provided what you

have actually observed accords with what you 1 2 believe you will find out in your investigation. 3 It accords with the anticipated evidence that 4 you're looking for. And Ms. Anderson's statement, 5 as Constable Shenher put it, it could very well be applied. I thought it could very well be applied 6 7 to the missing women. It was exactly what she was looking -- we were looking for. She is absolutely 8 9 right. If she only knew about the law of kidnapping and working with other knowledgeable 10 11 people, that could have been marshalled into an 12 application for a search warrant, which, in my respectful submission, is most likely to have 13 14 succeeded.

15 And so I -- before I get to that conclusion, though, there are other strategies which it would 16 17 have led to, Mr. Commissioner. And I don't know where I am in my prepared submission, but I will 18 19 address it with you now. It is in all likelihood 20 that that sort of application for a search warrant would have been assisted by having Corporal 21 Connor's affidavit as well. I mean he was working 22 with her. It ought to have been a joint forces 23 investigation and Corporal Connor's affidavit with 24 25 his 35 years of experience would have assisted it

immensely. He's the one who also felt that Hiscox was credible.

1

2

3 But in addition, even if there is some 4 difficulty with getting that search warrant, they 5 were -- would then be at a point with asking for 6 trying to obtain a consent search warrant. On top 7 of that, it ought to have led Vancouver, if they are investigating kidnapping, to go back and look 8 9 at the Anderson transaction to see if they had a kidnapping crime to charge there which was not 10 11 stopped by the stay of proceedings in New Westminster or in Coquitlam with respect to the 12 unlawful confinement charges. There are a number 13 14 of ways in which the co-operation of the two 15 police forces working with their crimes ought to have brought about the capture of Pickton in 1998 16 17 in my respectful submission.

Now, in my prepared submission, Mr. 18 19 Commissioner, I went back and I decided that 20 because of the evidence in this case, I should 21 re-examine such a conclusion. That might be too tough for some -- some of us here to accept 22 23 without looking at some of the evidence and so I 24 re-examined it in the light of Mr. LePard's 25 evidence, Deputy Chief Evans' evidence and Mr.

Connor's evidence, and I've concluded still, in my respectful submission, that such finding of fact should be found. You will recall I examined Mr. LePard based upon an aide-memoire, a draft search warrant as if it were prepared in 1998 by Constable Shenher. And I took her through that -him through that affidavit.

8 First I preface it with this: Mr. LePard 9 acknowledged in my cross-examination that 10 kidnapping by fraud was Vancouver's crime to 11 investigate. I think I put it in my question. 12 "It was quintessentially Vancouver's crime, would 13 you not agree," to which he said, "Yes."

14 Now, when I took him through the 15 aide-memoire, he acknowledged that it would have been sound to put into the application the 16 17 kidnapping and death caused during kidnapping with respect to the 10 missing women suspected to be 18 19 victims of foul play. He acknowledged the force 20 of putting into that application the statement from Ms. Anderson. He acknowledged the 21 22 correctness of putting into that application all the circumstances in the work that had been done 23 with Mr. Hiscox, including the difficulty with him 24 25 not coming forward sometimes because he was in a

men's shelter somewhere and he hadn't always 1 2 responded in a timely way to Constable Shenher. 3 He agreed as well that it would have been 4 supported by an affidavit from Corporal Connor. 5 Throughout that cross-examination, however, he 6 seemed to have some difficulty as to whether he 7 was looking at a real document or a hypothetical one despite my telling him a number of times that 8 9 it was an aide-memoire hypothetical document.

Then at a break -- after the break he came 10 11 back and said -- with respect to the nexus 12 question between Vancouver's crimes and the things 13 to be searched for, he said I see where you're 14 going with this rather than say, yes, you're 15 right. There has to be a nexus. Nevertheless, his evidence continued, in my submission, as you 16 17 will see in my submission, to be supportive of the idea that this was a viable thing that ought to be 18 19 done. I didn't conclude, however, by putting the 20 ultimate question to him and we broke for the weekend. 21

22 On the weekend -- and I take some 23 responsibility for this -- I was approached by 24 counsel, Mr. Hern, whether he could look at the 25 document that I was dealing with. And because I

said -- to be fair to make sure any difficulty is 1 2 cleared away so he knows what it is, said of 3 course, I didn't realize he was going to take it 4 for the weekend and prepare a response to it, 5 which is what he did, a long, in my respectful 6 submission, diatribe in which he retreated to a 7 prior position that there were no crimes in Vancouver and that kidnapping was not Vancouver's 8 9 crime to investigate and said that the material I had been working with was false. 10

In my respectful submission, the cross-examination which I conducted of Mr. LePard simply supports the validity of a search warrant investigation that ought to have been conducted in 1998 and there should be no credit given to that memorandum which Mr. LePard prepared.

17 I turn to the second witness, which is Ms. Evans. In my cross-examination of Ms. Evans --18 19 and here I'm at page, for reference, 72. And I 20 have to be quick because I'm almost done. I was 21 stopped during that cross-examination in part. Ms. Evans agreed that it was a worthwhile thing to 22 23 do, to try and see when Pickton might have been caught, but then she said she would have some 24 25 difficulty with that. And I'm at page 71,

1actually, paragraph 171. But the difficulty she2had, Mr. Commissioner, was simply determining the3exact time -- sorry. I'm at the bottom of page472.

5

6

I agree, but I think it's difficult to determine the exact date.

7 Well, I'm not asking anyone to determine the 8 exact date, but I am asking this commission to 9 address the question of whether Pickton could have been caught in the fall of 1998. In my submission 10 11 this must be done. At least an effort must be 12 made to look at that. And I should say that when I was stopped in that, it was because there was 13 14 some suggestion it was going to be speculative. 15 In my respectful submission, to address the question of whether evidence meets a reasonable 16 17 probability is not speculative. It's what lawyers and judges are trained to do. It's four square, 18 19 if I can put it this way, Mr. Commissioner, in 20 your wheelhouse, you having sat for many years on the BC Supreme Court conducting trials in many 21 22 criminal cases. We are all trained to do these 23 things and, in my submission, that I should not have been put off. I should have pursued that 24 25 line of cross-examination more vigorously, but I

1 didn't.

2

3

4

5

And the third witness was Corporal Connor, and he said that the aide-memoire material is something he would have wanted to have a very, very serious look at.

6 I go to the conclusion, which is stated at 7 the bottom of page 74. It is my submission that the best chance of catching Pickton in the fall of 8 9 1980 by search warrant investigation led by the Vancouver Police Department remains sound and 10 11 undiminished by any re-examination of that conclusion with reference to the evidence of Mr. 12 13 LePard, Deputy Chief Evans and Sergeant Connor. 14 If anything, their evidence supports the 15 conclusion that Pickton ought to have been caught in the fall of 1998. 16

17 And I drop down to the finding of fact which I ask this commission to make. In our submission 18 19 the Vancouver Police Department pursued a strategy such as these: A search warrant-based 20 investigation in the fall of 1998 would have had a 21 22 better than even chance, which is the level of 23 proof from this inquiry, balance of probabilities -- it's a test on a public 24 25 inquiry -- of catching the killer Pickton in 1998

and I respectfully ask, as does my colleague, Mr. Nathanson, this commission to so find.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

I am not going to take the commission through 1999. I make similar submissions with respect to the Caldwell information for 1999. He should have been caught then as well.

I rely on the material I put forward that Vancouver Police Department, including all the way up to Deputy Chief McGuinness, did not do anything to investigate Vancouver's crime of kidnapping and murder in 1999 or at any time.

12 And I turn, then, a couple of minutes remaining and maybe even ask for leeway for a 13 14 couple of minutes to address the RCMP. I'm at 15 page 82. I do not -- in my respectful submission, we do not absolve them from all responsibility for 16 17 the missing women. I'm at paragraph 205. I do say in paragraph -- in that paragraph that the 18 19 passive response by Corporal Connor to the stay of 20 the charges that he laboriously put together and he and his other fellow officers believing Ms. 21 22 Anderson to be a truthful witness and then have it 23 all put to one side on that stay, that perhaps it's my own vigorous nature, but I find his 24 25 passive response hard to take.

Anyway, when we come to 1998, he receives a 1 2 tip information. Shenher communicates it to him. 3 Part of that tip is that there are syringes that 4 Pickton wants and it's in context of finishing off 5 Ms. Anderson. He wants her picked up to finish 6 her off. And there's a lengthy meeting with 7 Hiscox on October 15th where this is reviewed, amongst other things, and he finds Hiscox to be 8 9 credible. I take that matter and the stay, the subsequent reaction to the stay or non-reaction in 10 11 1998 individually. It is my respectful submission that he ought to have pursued an investigation of 12 the crime of uttering a threat, and he didn't 13 because he had the crime or misunderstood it. 14

15 The crime is set out on the top of page 84. It was changed, I believe, by the Parliament of 16 Canada in 1985 so that the individual crime of 17 simply uttering a threat is a crime. It's all 18 19 about the context, the circumstances in which it 20 is made. And here to utter a threat in context of Ms. Anderson that he wants to finish her off 21 22 couldn't be more compelling. His evidence with 23 respect to his knowledge about this is on page 85, 24 middle of the page:

25

Q I heard you say that the problem with

considering that, such a charge, is that you 1 2 didn't have evidence that he said it to Ms. 3 Anderson? 4 А Correct. 5 If you look at the commentation on the 0 6 section, I don't believe that's required. 7 It's an objective test and she may not have 8 even heard it, and still a person can be 9 convicted for threatening. And he goes on to say, well, that's what he has 10 11 done for years. 12 And then over at page 86 towards the bottom, 13 I asked him in the long running paragraph about pursuing that kind of investigation or going back 14 15 and having the stay lifted to pursue the prosecution of the crime of attempted murder and 16 17 kidnapping, particularly in context with all of this information on the table from Hiscox about 18 19 missing women's purses and identification in 20 Pickton's trailer; that he was duty bound to try and pursue what the RCMP could pursue on an 21 22 investigation against Pickton. And his answer is: 23 No. These things were not considered. 24 In paragraph 213 I say in the circumstances 25 in which the words were uttered by Pickton to have

Ms. Anderson picked up so he could finish her off is plainly a criminal threat to cause the death of Ms. Anderson and he made a mistake in not investigating this utterance. And I also submit he made a mistake in not working diligently to try and lift the stay and to do so in context with Vancouver's crimes.

And I want to address just one more thing 8 9 before I finish with the RCMP, and that's this silence by a police officer when working with a 10 11 police officer from another force. And, Mr. Commissioner, that's in paragraph 218. Late in 12 13 the hearings Inspector Moulton of the RCMP said in 14 answer to a question from Mr. Hira that it's just 15 not done, to talk to another police force about their crimes. And you heard my cross-examination, 16 17 I think, of Superintendent Bass about that -- or Deputy Commissioner Bass, I should say. Had that 18 19 been done -- I mean Corporal Connor -- I know I 20 picked on him laterally with respect to the crime of uttering, which he didn't seem to know, but had 21 22 that been done, surely there would have been some 23 positive interaction with Constable Shenher, maybe even causing Connor to go to Mr. Pickering, 24 25 somebody else he knew in the Vancouver Police

Force. One would like to think that police forces 1 2 can talk about these things with each other 3 without their egos getting in the way. Indeed, 4 Deputy Chief Bass said that should be so. But 5 when I look back at the evidence in this case, 6 which I sat along with everybody else and listened 7 to for these long months, I've always been struck by the fact that they didn't talk to each other 8 about their crimes and had that been done, it may 9 well be together with adequate knowledge of their 10 11 crimes or it might even assist in getting that 12 adequate knowledge. There would have been a quicker response in catching Pickton. 13

14 I go to finding of fact number 7 and that is 15 on page 89. I respectfully submit the failure of the RCMP to investigate the crime of threatening 16 in relation to the utterance of Pickton -- let me 17 just pause here to say the evidence was that it 18 19 was said to more than Ms. Yelds. He wasn't 20 confined in any investigation to only going to Ms. Yelds. Hiscox said he heard it around being said. 21 22 Yes. It would take an investigation to find 23 somebody who heard Pickton say that. He ought to have tried to do that. The failure to do that --24 25 their failure to pursue a search warrant for

syringes in relation to the crime of threatening 1 2 and in relation to the tip information as to 3 Pickton's ability to dispose of a body by grinding 4 it up -- here I'm at risk of going too quickly 5 again. I only want to identify this, Mr. 6 Commissioner: That one aspect of the tip in both 7 cases in 1998 and 1999 that's not neutral to -- it doesn't just look to Vancouver and kidnapping. It 8 also looks to Coquitlam. A body can be ground up 9 at Pickton's farm. Well, evidence of that, all 10 11 one needs is a little gruesome imagination. That 12 might be body parts or particles and so on, as there were, which would identify and help identify 13 for Coquitlam the crime of murder in Coquitlam. 14

15 And so the tip information about grinding up a body and feeding it to pigs in the feed and so 16 17 on, if that's what it was, is not divorced from the investigation of Coquitlam's crime, and I 18 19 think that should be kept in mind. And that's why 20 I put it in here, a search warrant in that regard which could have assisted Vancouver in their 21 22 investigation.

23The failure to pursue these things, in my24submission, contributed to the failure to catch25Pickton in 1998. And, Mr. Commissioner, I use the

word "contributed" in the civil law causation 1 2 proof sense. You will know the case from the 3 Supreme Court of Canada called Hehey v. Leonati, 4 H-e-h-e-y, and Leon-a-t-i, a decision in the 5 nineties by Justice John Major for the Court. Something which materially, materially contributes 6 7 to is a legal cause. And I use that word "contributed to" in the legal sense -- in that 8 9 legal sense there. And I say -- make the same submission with 10 11 respect to 1999, the failure to follow up on the 12 Caldwell information for their own crimes in 1999, and that's finding of fact number 8 on page 91. 13 14 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 15 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Commissioner, I think I'm done with my time. I want to ask your indulgence for one moment, one 16 17 minute, to address the issue of recommendations. I'm not going to address term of reference 4(b). 18 19 I rely on my written submission for that, as I do 20 for the crimes in 1999. You might ask -- or someone might ask why, 21 22 Mr. Roberts, have you focused so much on 1998 and a little bit on 1999. You haven't focused at all 23 on 2000 and 2001. My answer to that is very 24

25

95

simple. It is the duty of this commission of

inquiry in investigating into the conduct -- an 1 2 inquiry into the conduct of investigations to make 3 a determination as best it can as when -- as to 4 when a proper police investigation ought to have 5 caught Pickton. It is most important for my 6 client. Her daughter went missing in 2001. 7 Focusing on all these fancy names like Evenhanded 8 and Amelia and so on is not going to help her one 9 iota. I'm not suggesting there aren't mistakes made then, but in my respectful submission, there 10 11 is ample evidence -- there's ample support for the 12 proposition -- assertion by Mr. LePard in his report that the best chance to catch Pickton was 13 in 1998 and that's what this commission needs to 14 15 address.

In the recommendations section you will find 16 17 some observations by me that with respect to a regional police force, there is a distinct 18 19 absence. I use the word lacuna of evidence to 20 support it in the sense that there's no failure of the police in the sense of not having the tools to 21 22 investigate Pickton that they would be better off 23 with if they had a regional police force. The failure is fundamental of Vancouver Police 24 25 Department failing to investigate their crimes

coupled with a failure by the RCMP to assist in 1 2 that investigation by talking and communicating a 3 little bit and investigating offences that were 4 available to them to investigate, both working 5 together. And there's no reason why we have to 6 go, in my respectful view, to a regional police 7 force to achieve that. I know others may be of a different view, but on the basis of the evidence 8 9 in this case, that's where I come down.

And then the only recommendation I would 10 11 make, which I believe is supported by the 12 evidence, is that it appears that for some police officers, although I'm told today the Vancouver 13 Police now take seriously the idea that a sex 14 15 trade worker bargain could be a ruse for a predator, but, nevertheless, I was struck by the 16 17 fact of the complete consistent lack of knowledge of the crime of kidnapping by means of threat, by 18 19 means of a stratagem or deceit or fraud in this 20 hearing from the chief of police all the way down. And nothing captures it more than the evidence of 21 22 Deputy Chief McGuinness. He kept wanting to use 23 the word force or coercion. I set it out in my 24 submission. And only when I put an example, well, 25 once the person is attacked, then that would

suggest that the bargain was simply a ruse to get confinement so they could be attacked, he sat and thought about it for a bit and said, "Well, I guess when I think about it, that's so," which tells one, of course, he never thought about it before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 Now, I know many years have passed. These 8 gentlemen are retired. But his evidence captures the complete lack of knowledge of the crime that 9 they were to investigate, and that's tragic and it 10 11 was tragic for all the missing women. That's the real tragedy of this hearing in my -- I said this 12 was the elephant in the room last December. I 13 14 don't mean to be the person who said I told you 15 so, but here I'm doing it anyway. Sorry about that. But I truly believe the tragedy of the 16 missing women is a failure of the Vancouver Police 17 Force to understand the crime of kidnapping by 18 19 means of fraud. Had they known that, then the 20 women would have stood a chance.

21 Mr. Commissioner, thank you for hearing me. 22 And I want to express my appreciation for being 23 involved in this commission of inquiry. I didn't 24 know it would take this long, but I've done my 25 best to be of help. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I want to thank you -- I want to thank 1 you, Mr. Roberts, for being involved. I know that 2 3 you're -- you've done this on a pro bono basis and 4 you have given voluntarily your time to assist the 5 inquiry, and that's clearly in the finest 6 traditions of the bar. I just want you to know 7 that's appreciated when a lawyer volunteers his or her time to do this public endeavour. Thank you. 8 MR. ROBERTS: I appreciate that. Let me just add this one 9 point, and that is I would be remiss if I didn't 10 11 say, you know, that I happen to be in a large law firm and they had to consent to my doing this, so 12 the credit really goes to my firm Miller Thomson. 13 Thanks very much. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Gratl. 15 MR. GRATL: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. We are, by my estimation, 16 17 13 minutes from the usual lunch break and so I'm asking for an early adjournment, that we return at 18 19 1:30 and I can commence my closing statement then. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's fine. 21 MR. GRATL: Thank you. THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 1:30. 22 23 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:15 P.M.) (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 1:30 P.M.) 24 25 THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Gratl.

2 MR. GRATL: Mr. Commissioner, as you know, my name is Jason 3 Gratl and I serve in the role of independent 4 counsel for individuals and groups in the Downtown 5 Eastside who may be affected by these proceedings. I intend in these submissions to concentrate on 6 7 the answers that this inquiry can provide rather than the questions that the process itself raises. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. 9

In my opening statement, Mr. Commissioner, I 10 MR. GRATL: 11 emphasized that the exercise of discretion by police forces in dealing with survival sex workers 12 13 in the Downtown Eastside was influenced by the 14 enactment of a criminal prohibition against sex 15 work, a criminal prohibition against drug use and influenced by judicial pronouncements that accord 16 17 with those laws and support those laws and the rationale for them: That the police discretion 18 19 was influenced by vehement advocacy on the parts 20 of residents associations and merchants 21 associations and all done within the community policing framework that encourage the police to 22 23 drive survival sex workers as far away from the 24 public view as geography and police power would 25 allow.

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

THE COMMISSIONER: You're relying to a large extent on what Dr. 1 2 Lowman told us. That's basically what he said, is 3 that because of the complaints of the residents in 4 that area, they were -- sex trade workers were 5 sent to an area north of Hastings Street where the 6 conditions were unsafer. 7 Yes. And I went into some detail in that -- about MR. GRATL: that in my opening statement. 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: I know that. MR. GRATL: And I'm pleased -- I suppose it's a mixed blessing 10 11 that the evidence bears those opening submissions out in their -- in its fine detail, so I won't go 12 into further detail on those issues. 13 14 But overall what the evidence bears out, in 15 my respectful submission, is that what was needed and what was lacking in the Vancouver Police 16 17 Department during the period of reference was a leadership that prioritized the right of all 18 19 people, all people, whether they're sex workers, 20 drug users, aboriginal people or women or met all or none of those descriptions. What was missing 21 22 was a commitment to the right of all people to equal protection of the law in proportion to the 23 24 risks they face and in priority over notions of 25 propriety and enhancement of property values.

That leadership and commitment to equality 1 2 was absent from the Vancouver Police Department 3 leadership during the period of reference. Instead -- instead of that commitment, the 4 5 Vancouver Police Department leadership swam hard 6 in the direction of the political tide. Every 7 resource and innovation deployed by the Vancouver Police to suppress sex workers and contain them in 8 9 dangerous industrial areas was at the behest of and with the concurrence of established Vancouver 10 11 residents, merchants and institutions.

12 The displacement and containment strategies which forced survival sex workers either to work 13 14 in industrial areas at night or to face criminal 15 prosecution demonstrate that the safety and lives of survival sex workers ranked below street optics 16 17 in the registry of public values. The will of the majority enforced by the police was to push 18 19 survival sex workers out of the public eye and to 20 leave them isolated and unprotected.

It was a public concern over appearances and property values that led to the creation of what the Vancouver Police Department called in their own documents containment zones, what Professor Lowman called orange light districts and what

1Jamie Lee Hamilton referred to as killing fields.2Call it what you will, serial predators were3effectively granted a level of immunity from4prosecution in those areas.

Now, concurrent with the creation of 5 6 containment zones, Vancouver Police devoted 7 tremendous resources to suppressing sex workers and sex work. Vancouver Police Department 8 9 facilitated community patrols that agitated against sex workers, supported a Court Watch 10 11 program to promote harsh sentences in provincial courts, liaised with Vancouver Engineering to 12 13 disrupt traffic, parked in front of sex workers 14 when they were working, and it goes on. They 15 issued bylaw and Motor Vehicle Act tickets. They worked undercover stings against sex workers and 16 17 their customers. They encouraged Crown counsel to seek no go conditions. They sent Dear John 18 19 letters to the families of customers, created a 20 registry of sex workers with photos, took sex 21 workers on involuntary starlight tours to UBC and 22 other remote locations, dumped sex workers' purses 23 on the ground and confiscated their condoms and clean needles. 24

25

In addition, from 1999 to 2002 the Vancouver

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

Police Department and City Hall together funded a \$7.4 million special project, the Downtown Eastside Extraordinary Policing Program, to crack down on drug transactions and street disorder, including sex work, deploying 36 constables and 4 sergeants, civilian analysts, researchers and administrative support full time for three years.

8 Mobilization against sex workers had the 9 blessing and approval of the police board, 10 Vancouver City Hall citizens groups and broadcast 11 and print media encouraged that work with what the 12 academic literature describes as a discourse of 13 disposal.

14 In short, the VPD were not alone in their 15 approach to street level sex work. But simply saying that the biases and discriminatory 16 17 attitudes of the criminal justice system were shared by a broad section of established and 18 19 respectable society does not excuse it. This 20 inquiry should not shy away from criticism of the police and prosecution even if that criticism 21 22 holds a mirror up to established sectors in the 23 community.

24In 1994, Mr. Commissioner, you recommended25the implementation of a community policing

strategy within British Columbia. At that time I take it you did not anticipate that a community policing strategy that incorporates the wishes of established residents and merchants is at risk of articulating their biases, their discriminatory attitudes and their basis motives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

A community policing model that makes policing priorities a matter of local politics will always be at risk of suppressing politically disadvantaged sectors, including drug users and sex workers. Fortunately, this inquiry provides you with an opportunity to improve that policing model.

14 A critical component, Mr. Commissioner, of a 15 successful community policing model, the component missing in the community policing strategy 16 17 deployed by the Vancouver Police Department during the period of reference is policing leadership 18 19 that adopts the principles that persons are 20 entitled to police protection commensurate with the risks that they face with priority on crimes 21 22 against the person over crimes of consent and administering of offences. 23

24What was required in this context was25leadership from VPD senior management to refuse

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

community demands to crack down on sex workers and 1 2 drug transactions. What was required was a 3 leadership that refused the offer from City Hall for extraordinary allocations to fund a crackdown 4 5 in the Downtown Eastside. And what was required, 6 I repeat, was police leadership that would 7 prioritize a woman's right to equal protection of the law in proportion to the risks she faces over 8 9 propriety and property values.

Now, Mr. Commissioner, it appears that a 10 11 mantra is emerging from the individual institutional police interests with participant 12 status at this inquiry. It's emerging from their 13 14 written submissions. The mantra that's emerging 15 is a mantra of hindsight. Hindsight, it is said, is something that the inquiry should avoid. And 16 17 to be fair, a concern about hindsight is in part a real concern. We should refrain from criticizing 18 19 police officers for failing to respond to risks of 20 which they were unaware or for being unaware of risks of which they had no obligation to become 21 aware. However, this inquiry has a mandate to 22 23 judge these officers and institutions in 24 retrospect and should not be driven away from that 25 mandate by cries of hindsight.

At its core the argument that we should not 1 2 judge officers in hindsight amounts to an assertion that the institutions and officers were 3 4 unaware of the risks faced by sex workers, and 5 that assertion in very large measure is 6 inaccurate. The policing institutions and 7 specific officers were well aware of the risks faced by sex workers and they were well aware of 8 the risk that a serial killer was preying on them. 9

The findings of fact that this inquiry should 10 11 focus on is the failure of the Vancouver Police 12 Department to deploy the resources in proportion 13 to the risks of serious bodily harm and deaths 14 faced by sex workers in the Downtown Eastside. 15 Now, I pause to note that there's a great deal of -- aside from failure to deploy resources, 16 17 there's a great deal of incompetence. There are many decisions that were failed decisions. 18 There 19 was information that was inappropriately digested. 20 But it's the failure to devote appropriate levels 21 of resources and appropriate quality of resources 22 that ought to be at the focus of this inquiry.

There are two stages to such an analysis: Firstly, assessing the police force's awareness of the risks faced by sex workers and, secondly,

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

assessing whether the resources deployed were commensurate with that risk. And, of course, there are distinctions between institutional knowledge and individual knowledge, between things that are known and things that ought to be known and between degrees of certainty, and those distinctions I will address in written argument.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

But it can be clearly and with certainty said 8 9 that the VPD knew two things perfectly well: Firstly, the Vancouver Police Department knew that 10 11 survival sex workers in the Downtown Eastside were 12 at extraordinary risk of serious assault and rape. 13 And, secondly, the Vancouver Police Department 14 knew that there was a significant risk that a 15 serial killer was preying on sex workers in the Downtown Eastside. These are quite apart from the 16 17 considerations about the evidence dealing specifically with Robert William Pickton. 18

19Firstly, the Vancouver Police Department was20aware of extraordinary risks to sex workers'21safety generally. The police were aware of and22had access to and had reviewed the reports of23violence set out in the bad date sheets24distributed by the sex worker advocacy25organization in lieu of making reports to the

police. Reports were prepared for the Department 1 2 of Justice by Professor Lowman and others and 3 circulated to the Vancouver Police Department. 4 Extraordinary risk to sex workers' safety was 5 notorious. There were numerous media reports, a 6 PACE report delivered to the Vancouver Police 7 Department -- Board on December, 2000 and ongoing marches and demonstrations about the -- by the 8 9 memorial marchers, Jamie Lee Hamilton and others.

As Detective Constable Lori Shenher very 10 11 quickly realized upon being assigned to locate 12 missing women, there was no end to the number of 13 strange, violent men who were prepared to harm sex workers. Her awareness of that risk was 14 15 documented and widely circulated to all the relevant decision makers. If any member of the 16 17 Vancouver Police Department senior management team did not know of the extraordinary threats to sex 18 19 workers' safety from customers, boyfriends and 20 others, those officers were not doing their jobs. At the very least, the officers and institutions 21 22 as a whole ought to have known of those risks.

23 In the face of knowledge of extraordinary 24 risks to sex worker's safety, the VPD had no 25 general response. Not only did the VPD fail to

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

implement a safety or outreach program, the VPD 1 actively resisted any such program. When the BC 2 3 Civil Liberties Association warned of 4 extraordinary danger to sex workers in September 5 of 1998, the VPD ignored the problem and a memo 6 was circulated to Inspector Greer to the effect 7 that sex workers do not deserve extraordinary protection. When PACE circulated its study on 8 9 violence against Vancouver sex workers to VPD 10 senior management and the police board in December 11 of 2000 and advocated that resources be shifted to a sex worker liaison position, the VPD argued 12 13 strenuously against the sex worker liaison 14 position in the face of assault and rape rates 15 that were multiples of the rates in the rest of the population. They did so on the basis that 16 17 they felt they were doing enough.

18The failure to deploy protective resources19commensurate with the risk of sex workers of20serious violence and rape was a failure of21leadership.

22 Secondly, in addition to the knowledge of 23 extraordinary risk to sex workers of serious 24 violence and rape, the VPD was aware of a 25 significant risk that one or more serial killers

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

was responsible for the disappearances of sex 1 2 workers from the Downtown Eastside. There was no 3 need for the Vancouver Police Department to be absolutely certain that there was a serial killer. 4 5 An awareness just of the risk of a serial killer 6 was sufficient for them to act. And there were 7 ample indications of that risk. Project Eclipse concluded in 1992 that there was such a serial 8 9 killer. There were a string of serial killers of sex workers known to be operating in the late 10 11 nineties. In the Pacific Northwest the risk of a 12 serial killer was recognized and publicized by 13 Professor Lowman and also there were ongoing 14 public statements to that effect by numerous 15 advocates in the Downtown Eastside.

Awareness of the risk of a serial killer was 16 17 sufficient for Inspector Greer and Deputy Chief McGuinness, along with Detective Inspector Rossmo, 18 19 to support the formation of a missing women's 20 working group in September of 1998. At the time 21 there was sufficient information to justify a 22 comprehensive assessment of how to approach the 23 missing women cases and whether to initiate a full 24 scale serial killer investigation. The work --25 the working group was disbanded without any

decrease to the risk of -- to sex workers with the concurrence of Deputy Chief McGuinness.

1

2

3 Awareness of a risk of a serial killer 4 preying on sex workers was sufficient to create a 5 supposedly suspect-focused investigative team 6 after the Vancouver Police Department -- after the 7 Vancouver Police Board created support for a reward for information into potential kidnapping 8 9 and murder of sex workers in late April of 1999. The team, which became Project Amelia, was 10 11 underresourced, disorganized, insufficiently 12 experienced and trailed away over a very short 13 period of time as the already sparse investigators 14 were reassigned and not replaced. Again, Amelia 15 trailed away without any decrease in the risk that a serial killer was preying on sex workers. 16

Awareness of a risk of a serial killer 17 preying on sex workers was again sufficient in 18 19 November of 2000 to create Project Evenhanded, a 20 joint forces operation. But it was apparently not sufficient to get Evenhanded to actually 21 22 investigate. By November of 2001, Evenhanded had not even concluded its review of missing persons 23 24 files. It spun its wheels until January of 2002 25 when a dozen investigators were finally deployed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

to interview sex workers about potential suspects.

So then apart from the important question why, there's no doubt, none at all, that the police were aware of a significant risk that a serial killer was preying on sex workers in the Downtown Eastside. Again, certainty is not required. A significant risk is sufficient or ought to be sufficient to mobilize the police. And although some resources were deployed, those resources were inadequate to properly investigate the missing women cases or pursue a serial killer at any stage during the period of reference.

13 Very few investigators were assigned and 14 those who were assigned were inexperienced and out 15 of their depth like Detective Constable Shenher, who had never investigated a complex case much 16 17 less commanded one, or they were busy with other matters and distracted like Detectives Lepine and 18 19 Chernoff and Sergeant Don Adam, or they were both 20 distracted and inexperienced like Sergeant Field.

That brings us to the important question why. Why did the police forces fail to deploy adequate resources to investigate the missing women cases and fail to deploy resources adequate to conduct a serial killer investigation?

I wish to highlight three types of misconduct that I submit should be denounced by you, Mr. Commissioner: Firstly, the active suppression of the serial killer theory; secondly, reliance on discriminatory attitudes and biases against sex workers and drug users; and, thirdly, management failure to respond to biases and discrimination.

First, Mr. Commissioner, you should denounce 8 9 the active suppression of the recognition of the risk of a serial killer within and outside the 10 11 police forces. Deputy Chief Constables LePard and 12 Evans conclude in their words that the police 13 forces were too slow to accept the serial killer 14 theory. This, in my respectful submission, is too 15 charitable a description of the facts. It is clear that the Vancouver Police Department 16 17 actively attempted to suppress widespread recognition of the risk of an active serial killer 18 19 on an ongoing basis throughout the terms of 20 reference both within and outside the force. 21 There are many examples. I will mention a few of 22 the most damning.

After he began to act on the risk of a serial killer in September, 1998, Detective Inspector Rossmo's access to missing persons and homicide

files was throttled by Sergeant Field acting under orders from Inspector Biddlecombe. That decision killed the Missing Person's working crew.

1

2

3

4 In early April of 1999 VPD senior management 5 lobbied the Attorney General with a memorandum written by Detective Constable Shenher, not --6 7 against supporting a reward for information 8 regarding the missing women. The campaign extended to deceiving the Attorney General by 9 advising him there were no tips or suspects and 10 11 that the investigations to date had been as 12 thorough and as equipped as homicide 13 investigations. The campaign suppressed support for a real task force to investigate missing 14 15 women's cases within the office of the Attorney General in 1999. 16

17 In late April of 1999 VPD senior management actively campaigned at the police board level 18 19 against the creation of a task force and against 20 the reward. This campaign also involved the similar deception of the Vancouver Police Board 21 22 with a memorandum authored by Sergeant Field. 23 Although Vancouver Police Department management 24 were unable to stop the police board from 25 supporting a reward, the campaign effectively

suppressed police board support for a real task force to investigate missing women in 1999.

3 In May of 2000 when Detectives Fell and 4 Wolthers resisted the winding down of the Missing 5 Women Review Team on the basis that there was a 6 serial killer and on the basis that they wished to 7 continue to investigate the suspects and personally brought the high probability of a 8 9 serial killer and the reality that the review team was not meaningfully a suspect-based investigation 10 11 to the attention of the chief constable, Fell and Wolthers were removed from the review team and 12 they were sidelined. Their careers were 13 14 sidelined. VPD senior management suppressed Fell and Wolthers and allowed the review team to be 15 reduced to Detective Constable Shenher 16 17 investigating alone. This decision eliminated the last vestiges of a suspect focus at Project 18 19 Amelia.

1

2

In mid 2001 the Vancouver Police raided Jamie Lee Hamilton's Grandma's House, which was the only non-profit brothel available to survival sex workers at the time. The raid appeared to be -appears to be retribution for Miss Hamilton's public insistence of a high probability of a

serial killer and a high probability that the
 serial killer was active in the Downtown Eastside.
 This decision suppressed one active voice and
 intimidated many others.

5 Throughout the period of reference, with very 6 few exceptions the VPD propagated the message that 7 there is no evidence of a serial killer, knowing 8 it to be false, knowing that there were tips about 9 Pickton from Hiscox, Caldwell, Best and Menard as well as the attempted murder of Anderson by Robert 10 11 Pickton. The purpose of this deception was to 12 prevent or limit any public outcry for a true 13 serial killer investigation.

14 I'm not done. It goes on. Throughout 15 Project Evenhanded the RCMP and VPD suppressed all information that the serial killer was active. 16 17 The names of women who went missing after December, 1999 were deliberately concealed from 18 19 public view, even after Robert William Pickton was 20 apprehended, Inspector Beach with what must have been the concurrence of other members of senior 21 22 management engaged at the police board level and a 23 smear campaign of critics who asserted that the 24 risk of a serial killer was apparent during the 25 period of reference. This smear campaign involved

1a deliberate and inaccurate attack on the2reputations of family and friends of the deceased.

3

4

5

6

7

The overall picture, Mr. Commissioner, is of a senior police management of VPD battling to control their resources by refusing publicly to acknowledge the risk of a serial killer even after the serial killer is caught.

Now, the unintended by-product or likely 8 9 unintended by-product of the attempt by VPD and RCMP to control their resource deployment by 10 11 deceiving the police board, the Attorney General 12 and the public was that they failed in their duty 13 to warn sex workers that they were at special risk 14 of being killed. In fact, the police did the opposite of warning the women. The police 15 reassured them that they had been investigating 16 17 the matter and there was no evidence of a serial killer. 18

19Specific and useful information obtained in20August of 1999 from informants believed by21Vancouver Police Department investigators to be22reliable about Robert William Pickton's method of23using female accomplices to persuade sex workers24to attend the farm was withheld from sex workers.25It is not an exaggeration to say that distributing

1

2

that information to sex workers could have saved lives.

You, Mr. Commissioner, should firstly denounce this widespread deception and misinformation about the risk of a serial killer and denounce the marginalizations of those who spoke out in favour of a serial killer investigation in the strongest possible terms.

9 Secondly, you should condemn reliance by decision makers on adverse stereotypes and 10 11 preconceptions about sex workers and drug addicts. Stereotypes about transient sex workers and 12 13 binging drug users were the basis of the decision 14 to squander resources by attempting to locate 15 missing women. Misinformed perceptions about the capacities of drug users to attend in court formed 16 a factual basis for Crown counsel's decision to 17 stay the attempt murder and aggravated assault 18 19 charges against Robert Pickton in January of 1998. 20 And I appreciate that denunciation of that -- the 21 use of preconceptions in that context is not 22 available to you, but a finding of fact that 23 preconceptions informed the decision would be, in my respectful submission. 24

25

Stereotypes were the basis of a pretext for

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

Port Coquitlam investigators to discount the 1 2 information provided about Robert Pickton and Lynn 3 Ellingsen by Ross Caldwell. Stereotyping of sex 4 workers and drug users consistently derailed the 5 criminal justice system and immunized Robert 6 William Pickton from accountability for his crimes 7 during the period of reference. Reliance on stereotypes amounts to more than just ignorance. 8 It amounts to discrimination. At its foundation 9 is the attitude that sex workers are not entitled 10 11 to equal protection of the law. You, Mr. Commissioner, should, secondly, denounce reliance 12 13 on stereotypes.

And, thirdly, you should denounce the failure by senior management to address repeated instances of discriminatory conduct.

14

15

16

17 This inquiry heard evidence of abusive treatment of sex workers' families and aboriginal 18 19 people by Sandy Cameron. Testimony was given by 20 Ms. Dicks regarding racist attitudes and jokes and there were admissions of widespread and 21 22 inappropriate use of derisive terms to refer to 23 sex workers. There was testimony about 24 inappropriate racial jokes, testimony that the 25 directions of female supervisors were ignored and

that female co-workers were not respected. And there was also testimony about homophobia in the force.

1

2

3

4 We can expect a measure of biased, 5 discriminatory, bigoted behaviour will always crop 6 up in any large organization, but when senior 7 management fails to do anything about that, the entire organization becomes biased, discriminatory 8 9 and bigoted. It is telling that Deputy Chief LePard did not prioritize an analysis of 10 11 discrimination or bias against sex workers in 12 conducting his review of the missing women 13 investigation.

14 There was no evidence at this inquiry of a 15 measured rational, consistent response by senior Vancouver Police Department management to respond 16 17 to any of the biased conduct proven at this inquiry notwithstanding the well understood and 18 19 sedimented human rights law that prevailed in this 20 province at the time. This failure should be denounced. 21

22 So, thirdly, Mr. Commissioner, you should 23 denounce the failure of VPD senior management to 24 take effective action to respond to racism and 25 discrimination within the department.

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

Mr. Commissioner, when making findings of 1 2 misconduct or criticizing police forces, I urge 3 you to assign responsibility at the highest 4 possible level. In a hierarchy new recruits take 5 direction and absorb values from above. In 6 particular, the failure to deploy adequate 7 resources rests with Inspector Earl Moulton, Deputy Chief -- Deputy Commissioner Gary Bass, 8 Sergeant Don Adam, Deputy Chief Brian McGuinness 9 and Chief Constables Bruce Chambers and Terry 10 11 Blythe. Responsibility rests and should rest with 12 those who have assumed it.

13 In making findings of facts I also urge you 14 to recognize the good work done by some of the 15 investigators, including Corporal Connor and Detectives Chernoff and Lepine and Fell and 16 17 Wolthers as well as compassion exhibited to sex workers and their families by some police 18 19 officers, including Detective Constable Shenher 20 and Dave Dickson.

21 Mr. Commissioner, I would like to propose a 22 few recommendations to you and end as much as 23 possible on a constructive note, but you'll 24 recognize that implicit in some of these 25 suggestions are findings of fact that may be

1critical and will be elaborated further in my2written submissions. I don't -- I don't pretend3that this is a comprehensive list.

Firstly, there's a need for a province-wide
reform of a missing persons intake and
investigation system. It needs a single 800
number and it needs civilian staffing. Missing
persons is an inter-jurisdictional matter
requiring an inter-jurisdictional solution.

Secondly, there is a need for an appraisal of 10 11 the current rates of violence against sex workers 12 in the strolls within the Lower Mainland. I say 13 strolls because Burnaby, New West, Surrey and even 14 smaller centres like Port Moody have strolls and 15 all of these strolls should be appraised for their current rates of violence against sex workers. A 16 17 corresponding appraisal should be done of whether the police resources expended to investigate and 18 19 prevent that violence are adequate to respond to 20 that violence.

Thirdly, there is a need to alleviate the conflict between the police mandates to prosecute sex workers and their mandate to protect sex workers. This could be done in a variety of ways, including decriminalizing or not enforcing sex

Submissions by Mr. Gratl

work laws. Even short of a recommendation to
 decriminalize it may be of assistance to make
 findings of fact, making a connection between
 enforcement of Section 213 of the Criminal Code
 and harm to survival sex workers.

6 Fourthly, there is a need to expand the sex 7 worker liaison program to ensure, firstly, 8 investigative coverage and, secondly, community 9 liaison. The sex worker liaison should be 10 available to sex workers 24 hours a day and 7 days 11 a week because those are the times during which 12 sex work is carried on in Vancouver.

13Fifthly, there is a need to restore the14Native Liaison Society, ensuring that it is15properly staffed and given a clear policing16mandate.

17 Sixthly, there is a need to create a formal policy granting immunity from arrest or 18 19 prosecution for any person who is reporting an 20 offence against the person, including crimes of violence or sex crimes. That means no enforcement 21 22 of warrants. That means no arrest for confessions 23 of drug use or sex work. That means no searches, no frisk searches, no searching of persons, just 24 25 acceptance of the report of a crime of violence or

1

a sex crime against a person.

2 Seventh, there is a need to conduct an 3 equality audit of the police forces in British 4 Columbia. Police forces need formal, progressive 5 hiring policies, formal discrimination-free 6 workplace codes, formal training systems and 7 formal disciplinary remedies. The evidence that we've heard justifies a province-wide audit and so 8 9 I say with respect so does the evidence that we haven't heard. 10

11 Eighth, there is a need to conduct an audit 12 of management systems within the Vancouver Police 13 force. With a few small exceptions, each level of 14 management appears consistently to have failed to 15 hold its charges to account for its errors. While there is much evidence of officers being praised 16 17 by their managers, there is very little evidence of corrective action of any kind for any reason. 18

19And, last but certainly not least and perhaps20most important, there is a need, in my respectful21submission, for compensation for the children of22the missing women. Mr. Commissioner, a23recommendation like that could lift the missing24women's children up. Not only with your findings25of fact, but also with your recommendation can you

improve their lives. 1 2 Those are a few suggestions as to 3 recommendations, but the problem is this, as you 4 know, Mr. Commissioner: There are many, many more 5 than that and they are serious. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 7 MR. GRATL: I'd like to conclude, then, on a personal note by 8 recalling the words of the late Rick Sugden, QC who wrote that an aspect of advocacy is to 9 disagree without being disagreeable. And I know 10 11 that things have been disagreeable from time to 12 time during this process. In retrospect, much of 13 this was unnecessary and I regret my part in it, but I leave this room, Mr. Commissioner, with 14 15 respect for your role and its challenges and a better understanding that lawyers, no less than 16 17 other segments of society, are subject to the passions and frailties that animate us all. 18 19 I wish to thank my colleagues from Farris, 20 Mr. Hern, and Mr. Dickson as well as my colleagues 21 Ms. Tobias, Ms. Hoffman, Mr. Majawa, Mr. Makosz 22 and Mr. Brongers, all from the Department of Justice, a hefty team, indeed, whose positions I 23 say this: The positions of the Government of 24 25 Canada and of the Vancouver Police Department have

throughout been most at odds with the position that I have taken on behalf of Downtown Eastside community, but we've managed to maintain very respectful relations throughout this difficult period.

1

2

3

4

5

6 I'd also thank all the counsel who worked for 7 aboriginal interests. It was a most excellent 8 experience having dealings with them and sharing 9 thoughts and counsel for families, similarly. And 10 I extend the same gratitude to many of my other 11 colleagues acting for individual police officers 12 and other institutions.

13I need to thank as well my articled student14Seth Cooper for his competence and perseverance15and my associate, Mary Sedominika (phonetic), who16held the fort in my absence, and my fiance Mary17Kessler for stitching me up after every round and18still being my fiance.

19And, lastly, I wish to thank the advocates20from the Downtown Eastside who kept sending me21back into the ring. Aside from those I have been22asked not to mention, there's a long list of23advocates and contributors to the life of the24Downtown Eastside who took time out of their lives25to provide me with their insights and guidance.

1I'm grateful to each of them. And, in particular,2I wish to express my gratitude to Ann Livingston,3Don Larson, Gladys Radyk, David Dennis, Gordon4Murray, Wayne Leng and Jamie Lee Hamilton, all of5whom, in my view, brighten Vancouver with their6inner light.

So, Mr. Commissioner, I urge you to honour
and mark the memory of the missing women with your
word and provide the relatives with some solace
with your findings of fact and recommendation.
Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Gratl. I want to thank you 12 not only for your submission here this afternoon 13 14 but for the -- your advocacy for those people who 15 need to be heard. And you have mentioned some of the people like Miss Radyk and Leng and various 16 17 other people who are involved in making the Downtown Eastside a better place in which to live, 18 19 and I think all of us need to know that, and I 20 just want to thank you for being their advocate and bringing their interests to this inquiry 21 22 because we need to hear about their concerns. Thank you. 23

24 MR. GRATL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Miss Hunt? So you're each going to

1		take 30 minutes; is that correct?
2	MS.	NARBONNE: We are.
3	MS.	HUNT: If that's all right with you.
4	THE	COMMISSIONER: That's fine. Thank you.
5	MS.	HUNT: Good afternoon. My name is Elizabeth Hunt and, as
6		you know, I'm co-counsel with Suzette Narbonne and
7		we are representing the aboriginal interest before
8		this inquiry. I'm going to follow along closely
9		with the outline. And I know that there's been
10		much discussion about the aboriginal interest at
11		this inquiry and I just want to go through the
12		lead up to the terms of reference to find the
13		Vancouver Police Department and the First Nations
14		women, where they find themselves before they were
15		senselessly murdered.
16		I want to start at the beginning of this
17		commission and talk about when Eugene Harry opened
18		this up and the leaders from the First Nation
19		Summit, they blessed this process and they
20		provided a detailed summary of the relationship
21		between the aboriginal peoples and the Government
22		of Canada and British Columbia. And we know that
23		we're here to talk about the Vancouver Police
24		Department and the RCMP and these institutions as

it relates to the terms of reference into the

25

Submissions by Ms. Hunt

missing and murdered women from the Downtown 1 2 Eastside of Vancouver, British Columbia. And it's 3 also within the traditional territory of the Coast 4 Salish people. 5 A disproportionate number of the missing and 6 murdered aboriginal women are descendants from 7 tribal nations originating not from the Downtown Eastside. If you look at that map up there, 8 9 you'll see -- sorry. THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Do you want a minute? 10 11 MS. HUNT: No. I'm just going to go back. You'll see up there 12 a map and you'll see the territories of where the First Nations come from that we can find the 13 14 women. And they're from the Sto:lo Nation. 15 They're from Fort Nelson up in Treaty 8. They're from the In-SHUCK-ch. They're from Mount Currie. 16 17 They're from Takla Lake. They're from Hobbema. They're from the O'Chiese First Nation. They're 18 19 from Lethbridge and the Cree Nation, the Manitoba 20 Pince Creek First Nation, the Nisga'a Nation. I'm 21 going to get to the next one. Excuse me. The 22 Kwakwaquewak Nation, the Green Lake Nation, the 23 Nuchatlaht. I think Jason's passionate closing 24 got to me. The Pacheedaht First Nation, the 25 Wet'suwe'ten, the Chilliwack community out in the

1Sto:lo, the Semiahmoo and the Nisga'a Nation.2There's also First Nation women from Colorado. We3don't actually know the origin of the First4Nation. And we had descendents that were from5Mexico as well.

6 So I just wanted to say that these First 7 Nation women weren't from the Downtown Eastside; that they had families. They have families that 8 come from nations and it's within that context 9 that each and every aboriginal woman was brutally 10 11 and senselessly murdered and you need to understand the much larger aboriginal struggle. 12 13 And this struggle for aboriginal women is 14 inextricably woven into the greater widespread 15 struggle for all aboriginal people.

Where we believe Canadian Society has gone 16 17 seriously wrong is with the notion of using this social evolution to justify the oppression of 18 19 indigenous people. The independent counsel for 20 the aboriginal interest takes this careful position that the idea of social evolution 21 22 legitimizes the idea that races and cultures exist 23 on a hierarchical scale from primitive to 24 civilized and is therefore acceptable to -- for so-called civilized races to dominate the 25

uncivilized races because they believe them to be inferior. As aboriginal community, I think when we talk about whether aboriginal people experience racism, we have to go turn to the history of Canada to the time that these women were murdered.

Franz Boas, one of the most famous founders of anthropology, talked about this. He says he doesn't agree with that. He says we're influenced by close associations to neighbouring cultures.

10Canada and BC are founded on this11hierarchical concept and values that are12ethnocentric and destructive to any other people13and cultures that do not share these same views.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14To carry on this aggressive program of15economic development without first resolving these16serious issues faced by aboriginal women and17aboriginal people is to nation build on a faulty18foundation, and this error will continue to have a19serious impact on everyone involved.

20 We submit that Canada and British Columbia 21 through their various agencies are responsible for 22 the greatest Charter violations to occur to these 23 women. These violations have resulted in the loss 24 of a generation of women, women who have children, 25 women who have family.

Death by rejection. And it's evident from the witnesses at this inquiry that the Government of BC, including the Attorney General, including the police boards, including the Vancouver Police Department and the RCMP, they had great knowledge about the status of aboriginal people in this country during the terms of reference.

The testimonies of the families of the 8 9 murdered women show that there is a strong evidence of -- pattern of evidence that many, if 10 11 not all, of the aboriginal women have suffered incredible social, physical, spiritual suffering 12 and abuse in every facet of society, largely as a 13 14 result of the oppressive colonial ideologies and 15 policies and laws enacted by both the Government of Canada and British Columbia. 16

17 The role of the independent counsel for the aboriginal interest, it is submitted, is to 18 19 present an aboriginal viewpoint on the issues 20 raised in this inquiry, relying on the common history of aboriginal people in BC and Canada. 21 22 This viewpoint is -- the aboriginal viewpoint of these issues and these submissions must be 23 24 qualified with a summary of the deficiencies of 25 this process. There's been much criticism of the

process and we think that there is value in outlining them as a stepping stone and preserving the things that could be of assistance to the children and the families and women and children that are still down on the streets.

1

2

3

4

5

6 It's further submitted that a summary of the 7 facts respecting the beneficial and the diligent activities of various organizations granted 8 9 participation status but not funding must be included to appreciate the context of the 10 11 investigations, what the police knew in addition 12 to what was going on with the actual paper pushing of the investigations, but what was the context of 13 14 society at that time.

15 We allege many things in our submissions, but we allege that there was racism. And when we talk 16 17 about racism, I think we need to put ourselves in the feet and the shoes of aboriginal people to see 18 19 whether they -- before police officers feel 20 discriminated. Do they feel like the colour of the skin has something to do with the way they are 21 22 being treated? Do they feel disrespected? Do 23 they feel like they're suspicious because they're brown? Do they feel like they're suspicious 24 25 because they're being devalued -- a devaluation

and dehumanized because of where they are in -located in the downtown Vancouver area?

There's also -- do you believe in the things that were said during this inquiry that these racial slurs weren't true, these nickname calling and rejections by people that gave evidence weren't true? We think they are true. We think that there was, in fact, racism experienced by aboriginal people in the Downtown Eastside.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

There's many -- many other violations we 10 11 believe committed by the police, the systemic oppression -- and we're going to talk about that 12 13 shortly -- with the Royal Commission, the violence 14 against women, the disrespectful and biased 15 treatment of family members, refusal to accept that the women were going missing, the failure to 16 17 accept the serial killer theory and continuing -serial killer theory when we have not exact 18 19 numbers of how many women have gone missing and 20 been murdered. We know up to 60. We know aboriginal maybe 26, but more. We have 21 22 inconclusive information or research that's been 23 done by the commission on who's actually even 24 status, so that goes to -- we hope will go to 25 further work that will be done to know exactly who

(

1

2

3

4

5

our aboriginal community is.

But we feel that the Government of British Columbia and Canada and the representatives failed towards their obligations to aboriginal people by breaching their duty and the honour of the Crown.

And, in conclusion, we present 6 7 recommendations to be considered by the commission and the participants and also the aboriginal 8 9 community as a whole. And we do know that, you know, this inquiry has experienced great 10 11 criticism, and much of it justified, it's submitted, but hopefully this will be a catalyst 12 13 of change as needed to address these issues raised 14 at this inquiry.

15 This inquiry is part of a journey to find justice that's demanded by the families of the 16 17 missing and murdered women, the aboriginal community, supporters domestically and 18 19 internationally, the general public -- the general 20 public from any party that was or is exercising jurisdiction or governmental authority in the 21 22 investigations of these women. And that's not 23 just limited to, but will include at least the 24 Vancouver Police, the police boards, the City of 25 Vancouver.

I want to go back and talk about the 1 2 aboriginal experience. And I'm just going to 3 bring it up to today's date to talk about prior to 4 contact. And I know these are things that have 5 been said over and over again, but we continue to 6 say them over and over again to find its rightful 7 accommodation within Canadian society. But aboriginal people have been living here and they 8 9 did have extensive networks and systems of governance and relations. 10

And I actually just can share with you that, 11 you know, from the aboriginal community, they 12 13 weren't included in this process, but I was at a 14 birthday party yesterday. And I'm from the Gwayok 15 Nation (phonetic) and I was down at Ambleside Park and my grandmother's sister was celebrating her 16 17 91st birthday and the family said, "Oh, you know, you're legal counsel for the aboriginal interest." 18 19 And she says, oh, well, she's been married into --20 she's 91. She's been married into the Baker 21 family down here for over 70 years. And she says, 22 "Oh, I know one of our relatives from the 23 Kwakwaquewak Nation and Kinkum (phonetic), who's Sandra Gagnon's relative, who Janet Henry, of 24 25 course, is her sister, and we haven't included the

aboriginal community, but it's been a disservice to not include them because the networks are so extensive. Here's a 91-year-old woman with important information about this woman, the whereabouts of the networking.

6 So through this, though, we have the 7 evolution of oppression, I guess you'd say, of aboriginal rights. And I know people don't like 8 9 to talk about this at these inquiries because it brings up a whole host, but it's not. We need to 10 11 look at it in the context of where aboriginal 12 women were at the time these investigations were 13 going on by the police.

14So we find ourselves within Section 91(24),15aboriginals becoming wards of the state. Then we16have the Charter of Rights and we move into17Section 35 and then we can talk just a few minutes18about the fiduciary obligation.

And we know from as early as 1906 in St. Ann's Island Shooting & Fishing Club where the Court said aboriginal peoples are wards of the state whose care and welfare are a political trust of the highest obligation.

24We talk again about Section 35 and Sparrow,25the first Charter case, and the Court said:

The "general guiding principle" for Section 1 2 35 is that "the Government has the 3 responsibility to act in a fiduciary capacity 4 with respect to aboriginal peoples. The 5 relationship between the Government and 6 aboriginals is trust-like, rather than 7 adversarial, and contemporary recognition and 8 affirmation of aboriginal rights must be 9 defined in light of this historic relationship. 10

11 I just want to make a point that during this 12 inquiry not once did Canada step forward to take responsibility for any of its fiduciary 13 obligations. As a matter of fact, I think in 14 15 Canada's submission I only saw aboriginal -- the word stated once. We do have a critical nexus 16 17 that should be observed or recognized at least that aboriginal affairs provides a host of 18 19 services. Even those aboriginal people from an 20 aboriginal status under the Indian Act that may be on a reserve and found their way down to the 21 22 Downtown Eastside, Department of Indian Affairs is 23 still providing critical health services on disease, health conditions, funding for noninsured 24 health benefits and substance use and treatment 25

1 for addictions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

So we submit that Aboriginal Affairs is tasked with addressing policies and regulations relating to aboriginal peoples in Canada that are compromised of Inuit -- Indians, Inuit and Metis. Among other services, the department administers services such as status and health benefits and we submit that this should be recognized as a fiduciary obligation, the safety and lives of aboriginal women.

11 And if that all by itself doesn't raise the 12 issue of fiduciary obligations, let's talk about 13 the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Affairs in 1996, one year prior to the terms of reference. 14 15 And there we have a very extensive -- I think it's over 4,000 pages and it examines the social and 16 17 economic situation of aboriginal Metis and Inuit people in Canada. It looks at the relationship of 18 19 aboriginal people and related to Canadian society 20 as a whole, and what that found, which shouldn't be any surprise to the government, is that by 21 22 comparison to non-aboriginal Canadians, aboriginal 23 people can expect the following: Higher rates in sickness and illness, lower life expectancy, 24 25 suicide rates five to seven times higher for

1

aboriginal youth than for other Canadian youth.

2 Suicide rates among Inuit are among the 3 highest in the world, at 11 times the national 4 average, high -- higher alcohol and drug abuse 5 rates, less -- less children graduating from 6 school, even less children going to university, 7 the housing conditions, the water. I'm sure these are all things we know about in our aboriginal 8 9 communities in this country.

From that we go to the Truth and 10 11 Reconciliation Commission of Canada, which was 12 dealing with the residential schools and, again, I 13 think it's important evidentiary evidence. It's 14 laid out in the history of this country that this 15 inquiry was carrying on. It did -- it's doing its work. But I think the Truth and Reconciliation 16 17 has pointed out that there was high numbers of missing and dead children while at residential 18 19 school. The information of their deaths was 20 sometimes not recorded or the child's death was not recorded back to the families. 21

In Canada there is a history of, amongst
other atrocities, marginalizing aboriginal
children and family. We're experiencing it again.
There's widespread social dysfunction that

Submissions by Ms. Hunt

results from over 150,000 aboriginal Metis and 1 2 Inuit children who were taken from their families 3 to attend more than 130 residential schools from 4 across Canada from 1870 to '96. The primary 5 function of the residential school was to convert 6 indigenous children into Christians and to 7 civilize them. It was a system of assimilation that prohibited and often punished children for 8 9 speaking their language. Again, I'm not going to go into details about that, but I think it should 10 11 be noted for this inquiry that the last school closed in 1996. If these aren't foundations of 12 racism, if these aren't institutions based on 13 14 racism, if we need to have reports that Vancouver 15 Police Department suggests to show racism, I don't think we really understand what racism is. 16

17 I think that the Government of Canada has actually apologized in 2008. The prime minister 18 19 in 2008 made an apology to all the individuals 20 that went to the residential school. We're almost up to the terms of reference now. So we're 21 getting up to the apology by the RCMP. It's set 22 out in the submissions where the RCMP apologized 23 for their part in the residential schools and the 24 25 prime minister of Canada.

Now, I just want to go -- there's other 1 2 things that were going on at the time. The 3 Assembly of First Nations was busy reporting on 4 the Royal Commission's efforts to implement the 5 Royal Commission on Aboriginal Affairs report and out of all of the -- they've prepared a report 6 7 card to just summarize it that way and say that they receive one A, and that was for establishing 8 National Aboriginal Day, and they received 37 F's. 9 And it's in the submissions there, but we can see 10 11 that at that time there's also other minority 12 interests in the Charter that are ramping up 13 beside the aboriginal interest of things that are 14 going wrong in our society. And then we have to 15 move towards the National Aboriginal Women's Association of Canada. 16

17 The important parts I would like to submit about the work that was done here was that they 18 19 had been recording the injustices and the 20 inequalities of aboriginal women for over 30 21 years. And, again, this is just another point 22 about what we need to see when we look at the 23 police departments and what we need to see when 24 we're talking about the old boys club. It's not 25 just the old boys club that we're talking about.

Submissions by Ms. Hunt

We're talking about a very perverse subculture of 1 2 oppression that has been experienced by aboriginal 3 people since the beginning of time. We look at 4 aboriginal women who marry non-aboriginal men who 5 became white women and lost their rights. We look at white -- I shouldn't say white, but 6 7 non-aboriginal women who married aboriginal men and became native, and that sort of is the 8 9 underlying basis upon which men and women were viewed in Canadian society, the evidence of Lila 10 11 Purcell's family where she talked about being a whole family with all seven children, a man and 12 13 wife living in their community, a traditional 14 lifestyle, and the father passed away and she 15 remarried and was dislocated from her community and had another seven children. All those 16 17 children ended up in care and, of course, one of those grandchildren was Tanya Holyk. 18

And I just have to say that getting -working through the National Aboriginal Women's Association, they have done extensive work on women missing in Canada. They have over 600 recorded aboriginal women who are missing or who have been murdered.

25

There is -- in the submissions on page 16

Submissions by Ms. Hunt

there's a long list from a to h about presently 1 2 how we know aboriginal women to be in society 3 right now. That's not taking in the history that 4 I was just talking about. But aboriginal women 5 experience much higher rates of violence. 15 years and older are three and a half times more 6 7 likely to experience violence. This all ties into this extra duty, fiduciary duty that Canada and 8 British Columbia should be observing when they're 9 facing vulnerable marginalized people in the 10 Downtown Eastside. 11

12 And I just finally have to say before I'll 13 turn it over to Ms. Narbonne that February 14th, the Memorial March, this has been going on since 14 15 1991. Its genesis was started on Powell Street here in Vancouver. The Downtown Eastside has been 16 17 holding this march and there's been people non-paid that are -- still even to this day are 18 19 advocating for missing and murdered women. 20 They've been working in conjunction with the National Women's Association, Aboriginal Women's 21 22 Association in collecting data and information 23 about these women.

24 And this turns me to the critiques or the 25 criticisms that have been put out there that the

Submissions by Ms. Hunt

time frames are very narrow given what we've 1 2 shared about the location of where all of these 3 aboriginal women come from, given the difficulty 4 in actually ascertaining each and every aboriginal 5 woman in the work up of how they went missing and 6 where they went and the status for the families. 7 There's many aboriginal women's families that despite all of the people that are here aren't 8 9 here because they're so marginalized. Their whole entire families are marginalized. When you spoke 10 11 to the Papin family, they said, "You know, I don't know" -- they weren't properly notified when 12 Georgina went missing, but they said, "I don't 13 know why you have a hard time finding us. There 14 15 was at one point a time when we were all in jail at the same time." This is a family of nine 16 17 children. So -- and Canada didn't phone them. The RCMP didn't phone them. They -- and there's 18 19 lots of aboriginal families that aren't here 20 because they are still marginalized. These are things that the National Aboriginal Women's 21 22 Association know of.

23 So we just -- I just want to end on this part 24 here that the criticisms have been set out. And 25 I'm just looking here to see if there's -- we know

the time frames that the -- of the inquiry, the 1 2 geographic location. There is an unprecedented 3 decision to give standing, but not financial 4 assistance to all the parties that were here. 5 And -- and we do submit that it is a serious 6 disservice to the inquiry not to have them here. 7 And I know that, Mr. Commissioner, you did have them, but we include this in the report and 8 9 consider how we are going to take steps forward to make beneficial use of the information that has 10 11 been found and to ensure that the children who haven't been talked about too much during this 12 13 inquiry, but it should form an important aspect of the recommendations and it should form -- it 14 15 should be a crucial part in police investigations that when someone has been murdered or is missing 16 17 that there's some sort of mechanism in place that is going to facilitate for these children. For 18 19 example, Georgina Papin had seven, but out of all 20 the women that were named, there's many, many women whose children we have no idea. And to have 21 22 a fulsome discussion about the issue of missing 23 and murdered aboriginal women -- and I know this 24 relates to the sub-issue that you're dealing with, 25 is missing and murdered women of the Downtown

Eastside, which takes us back to the bigger issue, 1 is it needs to be addressed, we respectfully 2 3 submit, in the recommendations and submissions. 4 I'm going to turn it over to Ms. Narbonne now 5 to speak to the specific police investigations and relations with the police. Thank you, Mr. 6 7 Commissioner. THE COMMISSIONER: Miss Narbonne. 8 9 MS. NARBONNE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Miss Hunt has given us the backdrop in which 10 11 we find ourselves for the terms of reference and I think --12 13 THE REGISTRAR: Your name, please. 14 MS. NARBONNE: Sorry. Narbonne, Suzette. 15 I think that that is an important thing to consider when you look at how these women came to 16 17 be in the Downtown Eastside. If we ignore the history of the aboriginal people, we do a 18 19 disservice to them and this inquiry, in my 20 submission, cannot move forward. The women, as my friend has said, weren't 21 22 born in the Downtown Eastside. They ended up there. They had families. They had people who 23 loved them. They suffered prior to ending up in 24 25 the Downtown Eastside from marginalization, from

abuse, from addiction, and ultimately far too many of them suffered at the hands of Robert Pickton.

Now, in our brief we have detailed numerous women and I intend to touch on only a few. It doesn't detract from any of the things we say about them in our brief, but just in the interests of time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 Mona Wilson's sister Lisa Bigjohn spoke to the commission about the story of her family. And 9 their story was really the story of far too many 10 11 aboriginal women. They grew up in a broken home. 12 Lisa Bigjohn said they were shattered by alcohol and whatever other kinds of abuses they suffered. 13 14 As young children, the siblings were separated out 15 into different foster homes, into residential school. Lisa and Mona reconciled ironically when 16 17 Mona was incarcerated in a correctional institution. Mona started phoning her sister 18 19 Lisa. They dreamt of better lives, of leaving 20 their addictions behind, changing things, escaping this dark place they had ended up in, as we 21 22 described it. But Miss Bigjohn testified that she 23 kept drinking and Mona returned to the streets. And we know that Mona never left the streets. She 24 25 never escaped.

Chris Joseph testified as well and told us 1 2 about the tragedy of his family experience. Like 3 Lisa Bigjohn, he described a childhood that was 4 absolutely fractured by drinking. The children 5 were all separated off and taken into care. He said he became a ward of the court and was bounced 6 7 from one group home to another until he was in his early teens when it sounds like he just left. The 8 last time he saw his sister Olivia she was 9 pregnant with her second child. Like Mr. Joseph, 10 11 who was very candid with us, Olivia suffered too from serious substance abuse. 12

Dawn Crey's brother Ernie Crey and her sister 13 Lorraine testified before this commission as well. 14 15 Their father attended residential school and all of the children ended up in foster homes. 16 The 17 welfare department -- as Mr. Crey described it, the welfare department did not encourage contact 18 19 between siblings and even less so once they were 20 adopted. Both Ernie and Lorraine testified about the indifference of the police towards the 21 disappearances of these women, many, many of whom 22 23 were aboriginal, Mr. Crey said.

24 Many other families came forward before this 25 commission, both aboriginal and non-aboriginal, to

Submissions by Ms. Narbonne

1speak about their loved ones and to speak about2what Mr. Ward described as the disrespect and3indifference of the police towards this terrible4tragedy of women going missing. And I5completely -- we concur with his submissions in6that regard. There was an attitude of disrespect7in my submission.

Dianne Rock's sister Lilliane Beaudoin heard 8 9 from the police because the police had two bags of her sister's clothing that had been left behind in 10 11 her room. The police didn't tell Lilliane about 12 the missing women. They didn't even tell her that her sister was missing. She thought they were 13 14 calling because her sister had skipped out on her 15 rent. Some of their family didn't find out what happened to Dianne until they heard it through the 16 media. 17

Elsie Sebastian was last seen in 1992. Her 18 19 family testified that they tried to report her 20 missing four different times before they could get 21 someone to actually take a report. When Donalee, 22 Elsie's daughter, tried to find her mother in 23 1999, that's when she met with Morris Bates of the 24 VPNLS and he told her that Elsie just wasn't a 25 priority for the police because she was a

40-year-old, drug addicted, native woman. There was certainly nothing in the attitude of Missing Women -- sorry -- Missing Persons to dispel this view.

1

2

3

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5 If I can just quickly flip to our 6 submissions. What Donalee testified to before 7 this commission was she called the Missing Persons and talked to them about her mother. The lady 8 9 that she spoke with said it was their own culture down there and they cover up for each other. She 10 11 told Donalee that if Elsie didn't want to be found, she wouldn't be found. She was probably on 12 a holiday. Donalee told you she was -- she was 13 shocked. Her mother lived on social assistance. 14 She didn't take holidays. 15

Lynn Frey had a very similar experience when she tried to report her daughter Marnie missing. Like Donalee Sebastian, she was told, "Wait a few weeks. Marnie's probably on holidays." Her experience that she told us about was that the police just didn't care; that to them Marnie was just a low life prostitute.

Angela Wolfe, Brenda Wolfe's daughter, grew up in foster care. She was eight years old when the police came to speak to her at her foster home

about her mother's death. She believed that the problem of the missing women was worsened by the police who, as she said, ignored the problem for almost two decades. She said these women were marginalized by society and ignored by the police.

1

2

3

4

5

6 In our submission, the common history of too 7 many of these aboriginal women who went missing was the legacy of the residential school, the 8 9 attitude of the government responding to this legacy by then separating children into care, as 10 11 we call it, separating siblings, taking children away from their families, taking these connections 12 13 away. The only thing they had was their family 14 connections.

15 The other thing these women have in common is cycles of addiction and cycles of abuse. You'll 16 recall the evidence of Ms. Ens, who told us in 17 really personal terms about her own experience. 18 19 She talked about how she was effectively sold for 20 a bottle of beer to her grandfather, taken to Haida Gwaii, raised as Haida. Her family had been 21 22 in residential school. She and her siblings all 23 suffered abuse as a result of her family's abuse that they had suffered. She said the families 24 25 didn't know better. She talked about how these

children were just ripped from families, leaving
 parents with no children, with no roles in a
 society that traditionally provides roles for
 every member of its society.

5 You heard too from Catherine Astin, who's a 6 nurse in the Downtown Eastside, and she spoke 7 about the involvement of the residential school 8 system and of the child welfare system and the 9 lives of the women that she worked with, and I believe quoted from that in our submissions. I'll 10 11 just flip to it. Miss Astin said this -- and I 12 think it's worth hearing the quote.

13 ... the women have lived fractured lives. 14 They've been abused. They've been mistreated 15 by people who were supposed to take care of them. Institutions haven't really met their 16 17 needs. They're afraid of people in authority. They haven't been treated well by 18 19 people in authority whether it's a teacher, 20 whether it's going into a hospital emergency.

21 She told us that approximately 80 percent of the 22 women she dealt with were aboriginal. Most of 23 them had children involved in the child welfare 24 system. And she again spoke of that common theme 25 that emerged, was the deep fear and distrust of

Submissions by Ms. Narbonne

the police that the aboriginal women had because 1 2 of their past experiences, because of their 3 experiences, either themselves through residential 4 school or through their family's experiences in 5 residential school and through the child welfare 6 system. The women are scared to report something. 7 They're scared they're going to lose their children. They're scared they're going to be 8 accused. And I think Mr. Gratl talked about that 9 in his recommendations. 10

The First Nations Summit sent a letter -- and 11 12 you've heard much of that letter -- expressing 13 their concerns about a number of missing women. 14 It was over a full year until Missing Persons Unit added Constable Shenher, Detective Constable 15 Shenher to their force and really started an 16 17 investigation. Shortly after they got the letter, Dickson went out. He investigated. He claimed 18 19 he'd found the women within a very short period of 20 time. However, he also said there's a whole lot 21 more people missing than we realized. 22 Notwithstanding that, a full year goes by. During 23 that time 10 more women went missing.

24Angela Wolfe described the history of25aboriginal people in Canada as genocide. We

submit that when we look at the history of the First Nations groups, we're not so sure that Angela Wolfe is that far off the mark.

1

2

3

4 Since colonization the lands were taken. The 5 culture was civilized out of people through 6 residential school. Security of the family was 7 destroyed by the child welfare system that sought to separate the siblings from siblings. It 8 9 shouldn't be a surprise to us that a hugely disproportionate number of aboriginal women ended 10 11 up in the Downtown Eastside.

12 When we look at the police investigation --13 and I know everyone's glossed over it and I fear I 14 will do the same simply because of the time issues 15 -- we need to look at, firstly, what Vancouver Police did and out of just a very high level, I 16 17 guess, Shenher takes the investigation. She gets this tip right away. She was confident from 18 19 beginning to end that she had her suspect, the 20 right person, but she didn't close her mind and she did an investigation, but she got no -- when 21 22 Rossmo says, look, there's a serial killer at work, he's shut up. There's not going to be a 23 message going out. We're not going to tell people 24 25 about this. The investigation really suffered.

Submissions by Ms. Narbonne

1When she gets Fell and Wolthers -- and I'm not2going to criticize their work. They were single3minded. They persevered -- the group was unable4to work together. So instead of this being5helpful, it was a fractious relationship. VPD6just -- in my submission they worked on this, but7nothing came of it.

The RCMP were no better. They had a view --8 9 I will never understand it. Although they admitted they knew lots of people were going 10 11 missing as early as '95 and that this was not just 12 women on holidays, their view was that we are 13 investigating Mr. Pickton for one thing and one 14 thing only. We have one source. We don't believe 15 that source. Therefore, this is -- this investigation is going to be short and sweet, and 16 17 it was short and sweet. Every time the officer -firstly, the officer they put in charge of it was 18 19 very senior in years, but certainly not senior in 20 terms of the chain of command at the RCMP. The more senior people didn't seem to share their work 21 22 with her. When she's doing an interview, it's interrupted. When she tries to interview Pickton, 23 she has no idea why she's interviewing him. I 24 25 submit that there just wasn't the kind of concern

1

put to these investigations that should have been.

2 We submit that as a society we have to do 3 better. We can't continue to justify our actions because they're well meaning actions. And I don't 4 5 for one second suggest that the police had any ulterior motives, not for one second. But we 6 7 can't justify their actions because they're well meaning. We have to recognize that there is this 8 9 paternalism that can cause more harm than it cures. The police in their evidence did 10 11 acknowledge and the government certainly 12 acknowledges that there is a fiduciary 13 relationship with the First Nations, but they 14 didn't act on it. When they realized there was 15 still more people going missing, there was no warning given. It's inexplicable. 16

17 We submit that there needs to be a real dialogue that involves aboriginal people and the 18 19 government. There needs to be far more treatment 20 programs that are developed by First Nations people for First Nations people. We need more 21 22 resources. We need to truly recognize that the 23 missing women were victims long before the ultimate indignity of the Pickton farm. 24 25 Ms. Ens and Mr. Bates told you about what

Submissions by Ms. Narbonne

their work was. A lot of their work was 1 2 completing criminal injuries compensation 3 applications. Well, any of us in this business 4 know that those are applications for someone who's 5 a victim of crime to compensate them, these 6 people, marginalized, vulnerable people in the 7 Downtown Eastside going to get some help to make a 8 claim so they can get a little bit of money from 9 the government to compensate them for being yet again marginalized and mistreated. 10

11Mr. Commissioner, I'll just get my notes. I12apologize. I am terrible for having papers flying13all over the place in my submissions.

14 In your opening you reminded us that this 15 inquiry is about these missing women and the terms of reference are framed in a way that they're 16 17 framed, but we can never lose sight of the fact that this is about missing women. And you talked 18 19 about the questions we should be asking ourselves 20 as a society. I submit that we need to challenge 21 ourselves. We need to recognize the 22 vulnerability. We need to use this inquiry as a 23 catalyst for change. As Miss Hunt said in her 24 submissions, this is part of a journey to find 25 justice. We can criticize this process and I

submit that criticisms are valid, but that
 shouldn't detract from the value of this inquiry.

3

4

5

6

7

8

Public inquiries always serve a useful purpose. They put it out there. It's public for a reason. It puts it out there. It forces us to acknowledge these things. It forces us to confront our own biases, our own conduct that allows the marginalization.

9 We hope that this inquiry does challenge all 10 of us and challenges the people who have watched 11 it, the people who have sat here, the people who 12 have participated in it, and it challenges us to 13 break down structures and build something better.

In the closing of our submissions -- and we 14 15 have a lot of recommendations that we've put forth. I know, Mr. Commissioner, you've read 16 them. I don't purport to repeat them all. But in 17 our closing we told you, and I want to repeat, the 18 19 murdered and missing aboriginal women from the 20 Downtown Eastside are amongst our most vulnerable in society and we hope that this inquiry is a step 21 22 along the journey towards righting the wrongs and towards creating a society that demonstrates its 23 belief in the value of each and every human being 24 25 regardless of their standing. Thank you.

Proceedings

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Miss Narbonne.

2 Before we -- before we take a break, I want 3 to thank both of you for dealing with an issue 4 that's extremely vital, extremely important to 5 this inquiry, and that is a disproportionate 6 number of aboriginal women who were murdered 7 and/or have been missing. And I know that you have both taken a global approach to the issue and 8 that is -- that is a relationship of aboriginal 9 people to the criminal justice system. Those of 10 11 us who have been involved in the system know full well that the -- that it's been a somewhat 12 13 dysfunctional relationship. And when I say that, 14 I mean there's a disproportionate number of 15 aboriginal people in our institutions, in our jails, and that shouldn't be the case. So we have 16 17 to look at issues -- the larger issues, and you've both pointed out to me the importance of looking 18 19 at this from a global perspective.

20 And we are, of course, examining here a 21 horrific tragedy, tragedies that took place, and 22 at the same time we shouldn't lose sight of the 23 fact of the history of aboriginal people in 24 Canada, not only as far as the justice system is 25 concerned, but the role of aboriginal people and

1	
1	where they fit in to our society. And they are
2	the First Nations people. They were here. And
3	I I don't think there's anything more shameful
4	than the fact that the aboriginal people weren't
5	allowed to vote here until 1962, and that's
6	something that all Canadians need to take note of.
7	So I want to thank both of you for for
8	your very thoughtful submissions. Thank you.
9	We'll take the break.
10	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 10 minutes.
11	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 2:56 P.M.)
12	(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 3:08 P.M.)
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Miss Livingston.
15	MS. LIVINGSTON: Shall I start?
16	THE REGISTRAR: Name, please.
17	MS. LIVINGSTON: My name is Ann Livingston and I'm representing
18	the Vancouver Area Network of Drug Users, who were
19	granted full standing and were not able to get a
20	lawyer.
21	It's a very emotional day for some reason to
22	do this today. And the narrow confines and the
23	what do you call them you know, to conduct the
24	hearings and find facts and be consistent with the
25	Attorney General and not screw up anything for any

prosecutors and to recommend changes considered 1 2 necessary respecting the initiation and conduct of 3 investigations in British Columbia of missing 4 women and suspected multiple homicides, to 5 recommend changes considered necessary respecting 6 homicide investigations in British Columbia by 7 more than one investigating organization, including the co-ordination of those 8 9 investigations are very narrow and it's concerning to me that, you know, how -- how is it that what I 10 11 might have to say would fit in any of those, so I hope you're very creative. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think -- no. I understand -- first 13 14 of all, I want to commend you for all the good 15 work you've done in the Downtown Eastside. MS. LIVINGSTON: Thank you. 16 17 THE COMMISSIONER: The terms of reference are confined, as you say, for good reason and we have to comply with 18 19 them. And I know that's been the concern of many 20 people who thought the terms of reference ought to have been more expanded to include -- well, when 21 22 Grand Chief Ed John was here, he thought that on behalf of the aboriginal communities we ought to 23 be dealing with the legacy of colonization, 24 25 residential schools, and to find out how we got to

where we are today. And the women, many of whom 1 were upset at the inquiry, were upset that 2 3 because -- that we were not dealing with the 4 issues regarding legalization of prostitution and 5 the systemic poverty of women in the Downtown Eastside. Again, those are very, very valid 6 7 issues to deal with, but we have to confine ourselves to the police investigation --8 9 MS. LIVINGSTON: Right. THE COMMISSIONER: -- and what happened in the police 10 11 investigation and as well the Crown's stay of 12 proceedings against Pickton in January, 1998. So 13 that's what the government wants answers on and we 14 have to deal with that. However, in your case, 15 you are representing VANDU. And it might be helpful for you to deal with the policing in the 16 17 Downtown Eastside, the area that you're particularly familiar with, and how the policing 18 19 impacts upon your clients, if you will, the 20 Vancouver Area Drug Users. And you've sat in on many of the hearings. You've been here for a lot 21 22 of the time, so you've heard a lot of the evidence, so it may be helpful if you told me what 23 24 you think is happening based on the evidence that 25 you've heard and what recommendations ought to be

1 made.

One of the concerns that we've heard here is 2 3 there's -- the relationship between people in the 4 Downtown Eastside and particularly the Vancouver 5 Area Drug Users and the police, and maybe you can remark on those areas that I know that you're 6 7 familiar with that because you've dealt with those issues in the past when you've appeared before me 8 at various hearings both here and in the community 9 forums. So you've been here many times. So if 10 11 you can deal with that and I'll hear you. 12 MS. LIVINGSTON: Thank you very much. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 14 MS. LIVINGSTON: I also want to acknowledge that many of the 15 members of the Vancouver Area Network of Drug Users came today and I'm --16 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you for coming. MS. LIVINGSTON: So VANDU was granted full standing to 18 19 participate in this inquiry and then denied 20 funding for a lawyer. The Vancouver Area Network of Drug Users is a group of some 2,000 people who 21 22 use illegal drugs who work to improve the lives of 23 people who use drugs through user -- drug user to user support and education. We are humbly funded 24 25 by the Vancouver Coastal Health Authority to

provide support, education and advocacy to our large membership and have one full-time staff and two part-time employees.

1

2

3

4 VANDU and its predecessor and hundreds and 5 hundreds of volunteers, VANDU and its predecessor 6 organizations, IV feed and the back alley drop-in 7 have been holding education and support meetings 8 for people who use drugs since 1995. Of the 60 9 murdered and missing women we estimate that over a dozen participated in our grassroots drug user 10 11 organizations. The fact that the missing and 12 murdered women were known to be addicted to 13 illegal drugs, to have lived in the Downtown Eastside of Vancouver and to have used heroin, 14 15 cocaine or both for many years has largely been ignored by this inquiry. Although they were 16 17 referred to as sex workers or more derogatory names, it is understood that almost universally 18 19 they sold sex to get money for illegal drugs and 20 that they were severely, desperately addicted.

They were known to be on welfare and to live wretched -- in wretched conditions, in squalid, single-room occupancy hotels. They were called druggies and junkies and junkie hos by hospital staff, by the media and the public. They were

relentlessly harassed, arrested and abused by police. They were turned away from hospitals and detox centres and drug treatment facilities and were excluded from community centre programs without even being able to use public toilets or telephones. Predators were often kinder to them than service providers and civil servants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Addiction is a diagnosable illness and is 8 9 recognized as a chronic recurrent disability. Once diagnosed, addiction can be treated by a 10 11 physician. Many, many of our beloved missing and 12 murdered women were not recognized as ill and were 13 instead arrested and charged numerous times by 14 police for crimes such as communicating for the 15 purpose of prostitution, for theft and for possession and possession -- for possession of 16 17 drugs and for possession of drugs for the purpose of trafficking. 18

19Many of our women had warrants for their20arrest, not just for crimes, but for missing court21dates, for violating bail conditions and for22missing probation appointments. Most Canadians23and most lawyers and most police do not understand24how unfairly the criminal justice system works for25poor people or they would act to end the injustice

inherent in it. When even a tiny offence such as
jaywalking or vending is ticketed by the police, a
crisis is created for a person too poor to pay and
they will end up wrestling a system that
efficiently and relentlessly pursues them for this
puddle of debt.

7 As we speak, our MLAs are passing new laws 8 that will ensure that women who have SkyTrain tickets are pursued for the debt they have 9 incurred for riding without fare on our publicly 10 11 funded system. They plan to collect such fines 12 going back 10 years. There is also \$100 million 13 being spent constructing turnstiles to prevent people from entering the public transit system 14 15 without a fare. These are the exact initiatives that put poor marginalized and drug-addicted women 16 17 at further risk. If women cannot ride the transit system, they will be forced to hitchhike to get 18 19 around the Lower Mainland. Ironically, women 20 criminalized because of their addiction issues will find themselves even more unable to get to 21 22 the more than 12 court dates on average for each 23 criminal -- that each criminal charge generates. These court dates are either preceded or followed 24 25 by jail time and then another probation order,

which is very difficult for people to follow and causes them to have to go to many, many more appointments.

If there is anything useful to come from this inquiry, let it be a thorough review and a critical analysis of the current mining of the poor and ill to fuel overtime hours for police, to fill our new and privately owned and operated prisons and to ensure their return to jail because of a violation of a conditional sentence.

1

2

3

11 To be clear, women are avoiding going to 12 cement cells where -- the description of being in 13 Surrey pretrial is you're locked down for most of 14 the time. There's no natural light. You're 15 allowed out for an hour a day and that's on to a cement court. You never touch grass or touch 16 17 anything green growing. You're served rotten food in a sick environment. The women come in there 18 19 from off the street and they're suffering 20 different stages of withdrawal. The ones who are 21 too sick to hold their heads up are dependent on 22 others who are coming along in the withdrawal 23 because there really isn't enough staff. And that's from Betty Krawczyk, who served a lot of 24 25 time in jail for protesting the cutting down of

trees, but documented her time in there in a very useful way.

1

2

3 This same criminal justice system will not 4 help women when they are needing help for serious 5 offences committed against them like the forcible 6 confinement, rape, and attempt murder. 7 Ironically, women who go to the police for help cannot be assured they will not be arrested for 8 9 warrants outstanding from their inability to pay fines for offences they should not have been 10 11 charged with in the first place.

12 It is my understanding that recent changes in 13 legal aid, which most vulnerable women rely when 14 they go to court, will reward lawyers with cash 15 incentives if they can convince their client to plead guilty to the charges against them without 16 17 going to trial. This will put vulnerable women at further risk as they will be incarcerated more 18 19 frequently without access to medical treatment for 20 addiction.

Addiction is not well understood as an illness, but seems to be more common amongst people who have suffered trauma. Because addiction is so poorly understood, the treatment offered to addicts range from surrendering their

lives to a higher power to ingesting methadone
 daily under strict guidelines, which include
 peeing in cups for drug testing under the watchful
 eye of medical personnel.

5 The failure rates of drug treatment programs 6 are very high with as many as 90 percent of those 7 entering some drug treatment programs using drugs within a few months of entering the treatment. 8 9 This drug treatment failure can be catastrophic for women resulting in the permanent removal of 10 11 their children who are lost to foster care system 12 and permanent adoption.

13 Incarceration acts the same way. We had a 14 program where women if they happened to get 15 pregnant -- I mean be arrested while they were pregnant and gave birth in jail were able to keep 16 17 their children, and two years ago this program was discontinued. And for these kinds of crimes to 18 19 lose custody of a child for the rest of your life 20 is a very serious kind of punishment. It's cruel, I think. 21

22 Anyway, ironically this family dislocation 23 results in increased drug use for women, 24 overcoming the loss of their apprehended children, 25 and for the children torn from their families and

placed in foster homes are at extreme risk of experiencing mental health and addiction issues themselves.

1

2

3

4 It is tempting for those in the legal system 5 to see drug courts as the middle path, 6 acknowledging people who use illegal drugs as both 7 criminals and as people in need of medical treatment for addictions. Drug courts are 8 9 expensive and ineffective and have poor outcomes for extremely marginalized and vulnerable women. 10 11 Our community courts at first glance appear to be 12 a good idea, but we have seen the same problems of 13 multiple delays and proceedings as regular courts 14 and failures at implementing social supports for 15 sentence participants.

So the community courts get clogged up because they have the ideal of saying I assign you to housing and then there is none. So it's a failure of the entire system. They could be a good idea if we had, you know, a social network that really worked.

22 We cannot in good conscience conclude this 23 inquiry without acknowledging that the current 24 drug laws of Canada did contribute to the failure 25 of the police in Vancouver and around the province

to take seriously the disappearance of these 60 1 2 women. We can go on to say that the majority of 3 Canadians support changing our drug laws. A 4 volume of research shows that drug-addicted 5 individuals go into extremely high risk 6 environment, i.e. alleys, under bridges, abandoned 7 buildings, et cetera in an effort to evade street-based drug law enforcement strategies which 8 9 are not subject to evaluation. They receive the lion's share of tax dollars. And this is 10 11 enforcement, police, as a strategy for dealing 12 with the drug problem.

13 For instance, when the auditor general last 14 reviewed Canada's drug strategy in 2001, its 15 report estimated that of the \$454 million spent annually on illicit drug control efforts in 16 Canada, 226 million, which is 93.8 percent, was 17 devoted to drug law enforcement. The rest at 7 18 19 percent is divided up amongst prevention, 20 education and -- prevention, education, treatment and something like harm reduction. 21 22 The report further concluded:

23Of particular concern is the almost complete24absence of basic management information on25spending of resources, on expectations and on

results of an activity that accounts for 1 2 almost \$500 million each year. 3 I'm sure it's more now. The terrible behaviour of 4 the police towards women who use illegal drugs and 5 sell sex is partially due to the entrenched police culture that rewards traditional performance 6 7 measures such as arrests and that drug-related 8 arrests are the very easiest with hundreds of 9 available obvious marginalized drug users on our 10 streets. 11 Commendations and promotions for police are 12 often the result of high arrest statistics and, 13 furthermore, court time earns officers large 14 amounts of publicly funded overtime pay. 15 We have heard and are certain that the police refer to people who use drugs as hypes, druggies, 16 17 junkies and women who use drugs as junkie hos, whores. To be fair, many other professionals are 18 19 guilty of this and particularly in the time period 20 where these women were disappearing. We've seen a change in people's language because of groups like 21 22 VANDU, I might add. 23 This, of course, leads to the compounding of 24 hopelessness and self-hatred that many people who

174

use illegal drugs experience and find they are

unable to find drug treatment other than the rigid, poorly run abstinence-only treatment. So I'm urging you to write something -- that something comes out about the drug treatment in British Columbia.

1

2

3

4

5

6 We need to oversee our police. They need to 7 be accountable to civilian oversight. They need 8 to be transparent with how they spend tax dollars, how spending priorities are set, and they need to 9 be stopped from mining certain neighborhoods for 10 11 crime to advance their already bloated budgets and 12 their personal incomes with huge overtime payments. They need to be integrity tested and 13 the police courts and jail system need to be 14 15 mystery shopped regularly.

Police need to be properly trained and to be 16 17 polite to the public and kind and considerate to people who are mentally ill and/or addicted. They 18 19 need to be fired if they are not. I am both the 20 relative of a missing woman who was native and who used illegal drugs and a resident of the Downtown 21 22 Eastside for 19 years. I have worked extensively with people who use illegal drugs and have 23 observed closely how the police investigate 24 missing women. I observed the changes made by 25

police as the enormity of the problem of missing women grew. I have concluded that police should only have a partial role in looking for people who go missing.

1

2

3

4

5 The people the police often look for are --6 the people police look for are often avoiding them 7 because despite the stupidity of arresting and re-arrestomg vulnerable, addicted women, the 8 9 police refuse to stop targeting them with sweep after sweep to remove them from the public eye in 10 11 order to help increase property values in the 12 Downtown Eastside.

13 A better approach to finding missing women 14 would include clauses in welfare and pension and 15 medical and court place to alert a trusted family member or friend when someone does not show up to 16 17 get their cheque or misses multiple medication pick-ups. This would pinpoint the time of the 18 19 disappearance and the police could get involved 20 after that. When the police are the only people who can get information about a person who may be 21 22 avoiding police, the families are stuck in a 23 sustained panic, not knowing what has happened to their loved one and are not able to get 24 25 information from police.

My family reported Elsie Sebastian missing 1 2 for eight years before we were able to have her 3 added to the missing women list. I urge this 4 commission -- I mean even though she didn't pick 5 up a welfare cheque in November of 1992, that's when she went missing. That's when she could have 6 7 been reported. She overdosed and was at Lions Gate Hospital. That was her last contact with the 8 9 health care system. Welfare sent a \$50 grocery voucher to a store on the North Shore. We don't 10 11 know if she spent it, but that was it. After that 12 there's silence. And we could have known that 13 earlier. We could have asked people. We could have gone to the North Shore and tried to find her 14 15 or find someone who had seen her.

16I urge this commission to examine the problem17of resource allocation in understanding how and18why so many people went missing and to prevent19such a horror from happening again.

The province we live in currently spends 40 percent of tax dollars collected on health care budget, over \$12 billion. Shockingly little is spent on ensuring people do not get sick in the first place, on adequate incomes for families who find themselves destitute, on safe, affordable

housing and on programs where people who have problems can regain dignity and inclusion in society.

1

2

3

4 What we have seen instead is no money for 5 housing and income programs for people living in 6 poverty, but a large amount of our tax dollars 7 going to build prisons, not just prisons, but remand centres. All evidence shows that going to 8 9 prison damages people with poor health outcomes, poor ability to cope in society when let out of 10 11 prison. And incarceration breaks up families, 12 creating predictable negative consequences for 13 foster care and for more imprisonment so that children in foster care often have children who 14 15 end up in foster care, as do children of prisoners end up in prison. 16

17 We know that our missing women and many of our vulnerable women on our streets today are not 18 19 helped with the increased arrests we have seen our 20 police engaged in and most of our tax dollars 21 squandered on. And I'm talking about the civic budget. It has -- the largest single item is 22 23 policing. They are often rejected by women's 24 programs and community centres and homeless 25 shelters. It is now indisputable that people

criminalized by their drug use can be welcome to 1 low threshold programs such as opiate and 2 3 stimulant substitution programs, drug consumption 4 rooms and member run drop-in facilities. These 5 well researched initiatives are extremely cost 6 effective, but there exists no funding for them as 7 it appears that billions are going for new prisons and increased policing. 8

9 We heard again and again in the sessions on keeping our vulnerable women safe, the public 10 11 sessions, that advocacy and support centres in the 12 community are facing cuts to already inadequate 13 funding while billions are spent on preventing --14 on treating preventable illnesses such as HIV and 15 hepatitis C. Repeated ambulance trips in the Downtown Eastside are \$24 million a year. There's 16 17 a hundred ambulance calls a day, repeated emergency room visits from these if only 60 18 19 percent go on those ambulance things. That's 20 another 24 million. Increased court costs and, worst of all, maximum security cells where ill 21 22 people are sent to await trial for being addicted 23 and poor and unable to avoid police.

24To state that the police, the courts in our25community have failed -- has failed the missing

women and their families is a huge understatement.
So it is important to move forward to deter such
failure in the future and we do. And we do not
have such reassurance with Sister Watch and the
new procedures for missing women or the police
policy of support for initiatives they have been
forced to accept such as Insite.

A brief visit to Hastings Street will expose 8 9 you to police who are aggressive, rude, unrelenting and unable to stop exploiting the very 10 11 people they are tasked to protect. On top of 12 this, you may see a film crew accompanying our special Downtown Eastside police force, making a 13 14 for profit reality TV series called The Beat 15 despite repeated requests and vigorous demands they stop filming. They have refused. 16

17So I urge you, commissioner, to not just take18police at their word when they say they work19closely with the community, but to create a legal20and enforceable way they can actually be held21accountable to the communities they serve.

22 One wonders where and how the families, 23 especially the children of the missing women, will 24 proceed with their lives, feeling that the 25 terrible suffering they have experienced can be

Submissions by Ms. Livingston

put behind them. I cannot help but think there needs to be an acknowledgment to each child and each grandchild from you, directly from you, Mr. Oppal, a letter, something. Are they sorry enough -- sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6 And we need a legacy fund. The police say 7 they are sorry and that we've heard that many times. And it's -- certainly I think we are 8 9 grateful to hear the apologies. Are they sorry enough to ensure that there is a college or 10 11 education fund for these children and their 12 children? We see the Odd Squad raise millions for 13 their foundation, which messages that needle exchange is a failed social experiment, that 14 15 InSite promotes drug use and that addicts are making bad decisions. This idealogical war with 16 17 police must end before more people die. BC has been a leader in the world for innovative, well 18 19 researched drug treatment and has taken a Charter 20 case to the Supreme Court of Canada challenging 21 the prostitution laws.

The idea that a rogue band of officers can challenge the medical research on addiction treatment and be given full power to terrorize the men and women who find themselves on Hastings

Submissions by Ms. Livingston

1Street homeless and addicted is unacceptable and2points to a terrible flaw in the accountability3and governance of police. The board and the4brass -- the board and the brass of the VPD5support leading addiction -- treating addiction as6a medical problem and embrace harm reduction. The7police on the street enact harm production.

8 In closing, the telling of the truth of these 9 women's lives rests with your report. I didn't 10 know what I was going to say, so I urge you to 11 tell the truth about whatever you can and to make 12 very strong enforceable recommendations. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Miss Livingston.

14 MR. VERTLIEB: That covers the schedule for today. We have two 15 housekeeping issues, one other matter. Perhaps, 16 Mr. Giles, we could mark the documents that you 17 have that were previously marked for ID as 18 exhibits proper. And you can just do that and 19 give the numbers later on. It doesn't need to be 20 done right this moment.

21THE REGISTRAR: Just to give you a quick idea, it will be 21822NR down to 229 NR and all counsel will be getting23a copy this evening.

MR. VERTLIEB: Thank you very much. Secondly, we have
 affidavits from Mr. Fell and Mr. Wolthers that

1		have been distributed but should be marked as
2		exhibits proper.
3	THE	REGISTRAR: The one for Mr. Fell will be 230. Now, is that
4		to be NR?
5	MR.	VERTLIEB: No. That doesn't need to be.
6	THE	REGISTRAR: Okay. And the one for Mr. Wolthers will be
7		231.
8		(EXHIBIT 230: Affidavit of Mr. Fell)
9		(EXHIBIT 231: Affidavit of Mr. Wolthers)
10	MR.	VERTLIEB: Thank you. And there's one other matter, Mr.
11		Commissioner. More than one participant has
12		inquired about the late filing of written
13		argument. As you recall, you had given an
14		instruction that all closing arguments were to be
15		filed by Friday, June 1 and there are still a few
16		participants who have not filed and if they choose
17		to do so, perhaps you might have a firm date on
18		that so that the participants who wish to reply
19		can do so in short order and have this matter come
20		to a conclusion so you can move on with the
21		important work that you have to do.
22	THE	COMMISSIONER: All right. Well, I in light of the fact
23		that we said last Friday, I don't think it's
24		unreasonable that I'll give those people who
25		haven't had the who haven't filed written

1		arguments to file them by this Friday and there
2		will be a reply by the deadline of the following
3		Friday.
4	MR. VERTLIEB	: Thank you. And that concludes the matters for
5		this afternoon.
6	THE COMMISSI	DNER: Mr. Gratl?
7	MR. GRATL:	I can say, Mr. Commissioner, that I will not be
8		done my written submissions by this Friday. Given
9		the scope of my mandate and the interests that I'm
10		required to represent, I have to give
11		consideration to all of the testimony, all of the
12		issues that arise and make suggestions for fulsome
13		recommendations.
14	THE COMMISSI	ONER: You tell me what you think is reasonable.
15		Keep in mind that everybody here is dealing with a
16		broad range of issues. I recognize that you have
17		almost an amorphous
18	MR. GRATL:	It's a sweeping mandate and leaving things out is,
19		I think, not a good idea for me. I'd like to do a
20		fulsome job to satisfy my mandate in the
21		completest sense as possible and so I'd like the
22		end of the month if that's possible, Mr.
23		Commissioner.
24	MR. VERTLIEB	: Well, the practical problem is that you need to
25		start working on your report and I leave it to

you, but that certainly poses some technical 1 problems, I'm sure, for many of the participants, 2 3 including your own staff. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. I have to -- I have to start writing 5 this thing, and that's the difficulty with that. 6 And then people may want to respond to your 7 written argument, so -- Mr. Hern? MR. HERN: I just note that, you know, we all had to work 8 9 extremely hard to pull together the arguments and the submissions for the date that you did set and, 10 11 you know, sacrificed a couple of weekends to pull 12 that together. So necessarily you're going to 13 have to -- you're going to have to limit the --14 excuse me. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: You know, I would ask that if you're going to stay here to give counsel an opportunity and 16 17 the courtesy to be heard. You know, I've been fairly liberal by allowing the outbursts that have 18 19 taken place, but I think it's -- I think it's 20 basic politeness that we listen to one another. So, anyway, my point, Mr. Commissioner, is that, you 21 MR. HERN: 22 know, all the other participants had to narrow 23 down the scope of their arguments, so they didn't cover every single witness and so on. I mean that 24 25 is -- can be a duplicate of your own job. And we

all picked issues that we thought were important 1 2 for our clients and had to make some choices in 3 that regard. And so I would respectfully submit 4 that pushing it off to the end of the month 5 unnecessarily prolongs the issue because for all of our clients we have an opportunity to respond 6 7 to Mr. Gratl's submission, and so I would just ask for a more narrow and reasonable time frame for 8 9 that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Anybody else have any comments? 10 11 MR. GRATL: I just say, Mr. Commissioner, it's not a fair 12 comparison because, of course, the Vancouver 13 Police Department has had more than a decade to 14 prepare and they've also had the benefits of 15 having their -- they've also had the benefit of having the bulk of their position set out in a 16 17 500-page report, which they prepared over the course of a decade. Similarly, institutional 18 19 interests like the Government of Canada have an 20 enormous legal team, an enormous legal team, and their issues are even confined to the RCMP side of 21 22 things. As far as the individual police officers, 23 their interests that they're to serve are much 24 more narrow and can be reduced to just a small number of witnesses. 25

So the comparison really that my friend --1 2 and I'm sensitive to Mr. Hern's need to respond in 3 a way on behalf of his client, but -- Ms. Narbonne 4 suggests ask for two weeks. You'll get it. 5 MS. NARBONNE: Well, I'll support him. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: I didn't know you were the commissioner, Ms. 7 Narbonne. MS. NARBONNE: I just told him I'd support him. 8 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Maybe you'd like to come and sit up here and make the decision. Well, you know, look, I want 10 11 to be fair here to everybody and I want to be reasonable. I know how difficult this -- this 12 13 undertaking is to file written arguments and I 14 note that -- what Mr. Roberts has done and I see 15 some of the arguments that I had the opportunity to read yesterday, thorough arguments. And I 16 17 don't want to be unfair to you, but at the same time I have to be fair to everyone else here 18 19 because people will no doubt want to respond to 20 what you will say in your written argument. So I'm -- okay. What I'll do is I'll compromise and 21 22 as opposed to -- I'll give you until the middle of next week -- that's June 13th -- to file. I'm 23 24 sure you can -- you can do a more than adequate 25 job in that length of time. That's another 10

1		days. And then
2	MR.	GRATL: I'll see what I can do. That's all I can promise.
3	THE	COMMISSIONER: I'm sure you'll
4	MR.	GRATL: But I will make best efforts to comply with your
5		deadline, Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE	COMMISSIONER: So when do you need to respond to that?
7	MR.	HERN: Just a week.
8	THE	COMMISSIONER: A week? Is that appropriate and adequate
9		for everybody? All right. A week after that to
10		the 20th to respond. Thank you.
11	MR.	VERTLIEB: 9:30 tomorrow, Mr. Commissioner.
12	THE	COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
13	THE	REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until 9:30
14		tomorrow morning.
15		(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:48 P.M.)
16		I hereby certify the foregoing to be
17		a true and accurate transcript of the
18		proceedings transcribed herein to the
19		best of my skill and ability.
20		Kathie Tanaka, Official Reporter
21		UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.
22		
23		
24		
25		

## INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

## PAGE

Submissions	by	Mr.	Ward	1
Submissions	by	Mr.	Roberts	46
Submissions	by	Mr.	Gratl	100
Submissions	by	Ms.	Hunt	128
Submissions	by	Ms.	Narbonne	148
Submissions	by	Ms.	Livingston	162
Proceedings				182

## EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCF	RIPTION				PAGE
<i>,</i>			<b>C</b>			100
(EXHIBIT	230:	Affidavit	ot M:	r.	Fell)	183
(EXHIBIT	231:	Affidavit	of M	r.	Wolthers)	183

\$	<b>1906</b> [1] - 138:19	110:11, 112:19, 116:3	<b>39</b> [1] - 32:17	<b>82</b> [1] - 89:15
5 <b>100</b> [1] - 168:12	- <b>194</b> [1] - 32:17		<b>3:08</b> [1] - 162:12	<b>84</b> [1] - 90:15
5 <b>100,000</b> [2] - 54:25,	<b>1962</b> [1] - 162:5	<b>2001</b> [6] - 38:12,	<b>3:48</b> [1] - 188:15	<b>85</b> [1] - 90:23
68:19	<b>198</b> [1] - 32:17	95:24, 96:6, 112:22,		<b>86</b> [1] - 91:12
5 <b>12</b> [1] - 177:22	<b>1980</b> [1] - 88:9	116:20, 173:14	4	<b>89</b> [1] - 93:15
<b>24</b> [1] - 179:16	<b>1985</b> [1] - 90:17	<b>2002</b> [7] - 10:12,	<b>4</b> [3] - 1:1, 73:11,	_
<b>30,000</b> [1] - 54:25	<b>1990</b> [1] - 11:10	32:16, 32:18, 48:1,	104:5	9
<b>454</b> [1] - 173:15	<b>1991</b> [1] - 145:15	80:12, 103:25,	4(b [2] - 10:17, 20:18	<b>90</b> [1] - 171:6
<b>50</b> [1] - 177:9	<b>1992</b> [3] - 111:8,	112:24	<b>4(b)</b> [1] - 95:18	<b>91</b> [2] - 95:13, 137:20
<b>500</b> [1] - 174:2	151:18, 177:5	<b>2008</b> [3] - 8:13,	<b>4,000</b> [1] - 140:16	<b>91(24</b> [1] - 138:14
••	<b>1994</b> [2] - 11:13,	142:18, 142:19	<b>40</b> [4] - 47:24, 70:18,	91-year-old [1] - 138:
<b>70,000</b> [1] - 55:1	104:24	<b>2011</b> [1] - 25:16	72:21, 177:20	<b>911</b> [1] - 31:15
•	<b>1995</b> [1] - 166:8	<b>2012</b> [2] - 1:1, 25:16	<b>40-year-old</b> [1] - 152:1	<b>91st</b> [1] - 137:17
	<b>1996</b> [2] - 140:14,	<b>205</b> [1] - 89:17	<b>41</b> [1] - 74:22	<b>93.8</b> [1] - 173:17
<b>95</b> [1] - 157:11	142:12	20th [1] - 188:10	<b>42</b> [2] - 75:7, 75:9	<b>9:30</b> [3] - 1:3, 188:11,
<b>96</b> [1] - 142:4	<b>1997</b> [12] - 11:1, 11:8,	<b>213</b> [2] - 91:24, 124:4	<b>45</b> [1] - 9:13	
<b>98</b> [1] - 49:14	11:20, 12:15, 13:7,	<b>218</b> [2] - 92:12, 182:21		188:13
<b>99</b> [1] - 75:13	47:19, 48:12, 49:1,	<b>22</b> [2] - 57:2, 57:4	<b>46</b> [3] - 76:19, 76:22,	•
	65:21, 73:14, 80:9,	<b>226</b> [1] - 173:17	1:6 <b>47</b> (4) 76:10	Α
1	80:16	<b>229</b> [1] - 182:22	<b>47</b> [1] - 76:19 <b>487</b> [1] - 65:11	<b>A.M</b> [3] - 1:3, 64:3,
[4] - 39:9, 77:2,	<b>1998</b> [42] - 13:16,	22nd [2] - 13:16, 15:2		64:4
1[4] - 39.9, 77.2, 183:15, 1:5	15:16, 36:14, 47:13,	<b>23</b> [1] - 73:14	<b>487(1</b> [1] - 76:23	aback [1] - 1:14
183:15, 1:5 1 <b>0</b> [12] - 3:10, 23:19,	47:21, 47:22, 48:16,	<b>230</b> [3] - 183:3, 183:8,	<b>49</b> [1] - 9:10	abandoned [1] - 173:
	48:24, 56:6, 56:8,	2:6		ability [3] - 94:3,
36:2, 42:2, 47:21, 49:6, 51:15, 84:18,	60:24, 61:11, 61:23,	<b>231</b> [3] - 183:7, 183:9,	5	178:10, 188:19
155:23, 162:10,	63:6, 64:14, 68:5,	2:7	<b>50</b> [2] - 48:3, 79:13	able [7] - 54:16, 63:14
168:12, 187:25	72:25, 73:23, 74:5,	231(5 [1] - 56:12	500-page [1] - 186:17	162:19, 167:5,
	75:5, 75:11, 76:2,	<b>23rd</b> [3] - 11:20,	<b>53</b> [2] - 71:18, 71:23	171:16, 176:24,
100 [1] - 1:7	76:7, 83:16, 84:5,	12:15, 15:16	<b>54</b> [1] - 55:9	177:2
<b>11</b> [2] - 52:5, 141:3	86:15, 87:10, 88:16,	<b>24</b> [3] - 59:9, 124:10,	<b>55</b> [1] - 55:10	aboriginal [88] - 11:3,
<b>11:12</b> [1] - 64:3	88:21, 88:25, 90:1,	179:20	<b>56</b> [1] - 55:10	101:20, 120:18,
<b>11:30</b> [1] - 64:4	90:11, 94:7, 94:25,	24th [2] - 25:15, 29:8	<b>57</b> [1] - 56:5	127:7, 129:7,
<b>12</b> [2] - 39:11, 168:22	95:22, 96:14, 110:5,	<b>25</b> [1] - 60:5	<b>59</b> [2] - 11:24, 12:9	129:10, 129:21,
<b>128</b> [1] - 1:8	111:20, 114:24,	<b>26</b> [5] - 1:12, 2:11, 3:2,	5th [1] - 10:12	130:6, 131:10,
<b>12:15</b> [1] - 99:23	119:19, 164:12	60:12, 135:21		131:12, 131:13,
<b>12:19</b> [1] - 11:13	<b>1999</b> [26] - 36:17,	<b>26(s</b> [1] - 52:5	6	131:15, 131:20,
<b>13</b> [3] - 23:20, 41:16,	41:16, 47:10, 47:22,	<b>26th</b> [1] - 15:16		132:2, 132:3,
99:17	49:5, 56:8, 62:15,	<b>27</b> [3] - 47:21, 61:19,	<b>60</b> [4] - 135:20, 166:8,	132:16, 132:17,
<b>130</b> [1] - 142:3	68:5, 75:16, 89:4,	72:25	173:1, 179:18	133:6, 133:11,
13th [1] - 187:23	89:5, 89:11, 94:7,	<b>279(1</b> [1] - 53:13	<b>600</b> [1] - 144:22	133:18, 133:19,
<b>14</b> [1] - 39:11	95:11, 95:12, 95:20,	<b>296</b> [1] - 60:8	<b>64</b> [1] - 57:22	133:21, 133:22,
14-year-old [2] -	95:23, 103:25,	<b>299</b> [1] - 60:8		134:18, 135:9,
31:10, 31:20	112:9, 115:4,	<b>2:56</b> [1] - 162:11	7	135:21, 136:1,
<b>148</b> [1] - 1:9	115:16, 115:17,	<b>2nd</b> [2] - 11:13, 13:17	<b>7</b> [3] - 93:14, 124:10,	136:4, 136:8,
<b>4th</b> [3] - 36:25, 37:7,	116:2, 117:18,	<b>Ξημ</b> [2] - ττ.10, 10.17	173:18	136:17, 137:2,
145:13	118:20, 151:23	3	7-Eleven [2] - 31:4,	137:8, 137:12,
<b>5</b> [8] - 3:11, 34:4,	19th [1] - 36:17		31:14	137:18, 138:1,
35:16, 43:11, 53:9,	<b>1:30</b> [3] - 99:19, 99:22,	<b>3</b> [2] - 71:15, 72:21	<b>7.4</b> [1] - 104:2	138:8, 138:11,
61:23, 64:2, 145:5	99:24	<b>30</b> [3] - 36:21, 129:1,	<b>70</b> [1] - 137:21	138:21, 139:4,
<b>50,000</b> [1] - 142:1		143:20	<b>71</b> [1] - 86:25	139:8, 139:15,
5th [2] - 32:17, 90:7	2	<b>31</b> [1] - 47:24	<b>72</b> [2] - 86:19, 87:4	139:18, 139:19,
<b>6</b> [1] - 144:25	<b>2</b> [3] - 71:5, 71:14,	<b>32</b> [1] - 9:10	<b>74</b> [1] - 88:7	139:20, 140:4,
<b>62</b> [1] - 1:10	<b>2</b> [3] - 7 1.5, 7 1.14, 77:14	<b>33</b> [1] - 62:18	<b>78</b> [1] - 61:19	140:10, 140:17,
6th [1] - 25:16	<b>2,000</b> [1] - 165:21	<b>34</b> [2] - 9:12, 63:12		140:19, 140:22,
<b>71</b> [1] - 87:1		<b>35</b> [6] - 64:18, 65:17,	8	141:1, 141:8,
82 [1] - 1:11	<b>20</b> [5] - 8:15, 8:17,	82:25, 138:17,		141:23, 142:1,
<b>83</b> [2] - 2:6, 2:7	8:22, 36:21, 56:2	138:24, 139:2	<b>8</b> [4] - 49:20, 49:22,	143:13, 143:20,
<b>1870</b> [1] - 142:4	<b>200,000</b> [1] - 42:1	<b>36</b> [1] - 104:5	95:13, 130:15	144:2, 144:4, 144:7
<b>19</b> [3] - 55:13, 56:2,	<b>2000</b> [10] - 36:25, 37:7,	<b>37</b> [2] - 67:21, 143:9	<b>80</b> [1] - 154:21	144:23, 145:2,
175:22	37:24, 47:24, 76:3,	<b>38</b> [1] - 68:9	<b>800</b> [1] - 123:6	145:4, 146:3, 146:4,
	95:24, 109:7,		1	1

146:7, 146:19, 147:23, 148:18, 149:11, 150:23, 150:25, 153:7, 154:22, 155:1, 155:25, 156:10, 158:18, 160:19, 161:6. 161:9. 161:15, 161:23, 161:25, 162:4, 163:23 Aboriginal [8] - 140:2, 140:13, 143:5, 143:9, 143:15, 144:20, 145:21, 146.21 aboriginals [2] -138:15, 139:6 Abotsway [2] - 2:24, 33:10 absence [4] - 54:1, 96:19, 127:16, 173:24 absent [2] - 81:20, 102:2 absolutely [7] - 7:8, 38:12, 69:20, 70:23, 82:8, 111:4, 150:4 absolve [1] - 89:16 absorb [1] - 122:5 abstinence [1] - 175:2 abstinence-only [1] -175:2 abuse [7] - 133:13, 141:4, 149:1, 150:12, 153:16, 153:23 abused [2] - 154:14, 167:1 abuses [1] - 149:13 abusive [1] - 120:17 academic [1] - 104:12 accept [6] - 70:22, 83:22, 114:13, 135:15, 135:17, 180:7 acceptable [1] -131:24 acceptance [1] -124:25 accepted [2] - 70:4, 70:6 access [4] - 69:2, 108:22, 114:25, 170:19 accommodation [1] -137:7 accompanying [1] -180:12 accomplices [1] -

118:23 accomplished [1] -38:14 accord [1] - 100:16 accordance [4] - 5:13, 79:5, 79:6, 79:7 according [12] - 7:3, 23:4, 27:13, 28:10, 29:15, 30:3, 31:4, 33:4, 38:2, 40:5, 61:13, 62:8 accords [3] - 19:6, 82:1, 82:3 account [1] - 125:15 accountability [2] -120:6, 182:2 accountable [2] -175:7, 180:21 accounts [1] - 174:1 accurate [1] - 188:17 accused [2] - 8:19, 155:9 accused's [1] - 59:4 achieve [1] - 97:7 achieved [1] - 53:18 acknowledge [4] -118:6, 158:11, 160:6, 165:14 acknowledged [6] -50:19. 57:17. 84:9. 84:15, 84:19, 84:21 acknowledges [2] -30:6, 158:12 acknowledging [3] -57:23, 172:6, 172:23 acknowledgment [1] -181:2 act [8] - 10:7, 36:22, 48:1, 111:6, 114:23, 139:3, 158:14, 167:25 Act [7] - 52:3, 52:4, 52:5, 77:8, 103:15, 139:20 acted [1] - 27:18 acting [2] - 115:1, 127.11 action [5] - 9:21, 27:9, 33:16, 121:24, 125:18 actions [4] - 39:3, 158:3, 158:4, 158:7 active [8] - 76:2, 76:3, 114:3, 114:9, 114:18, 117:2, 117:3, 117:16 actively [3] - 110:2, 114:17, 115:18 activities [6] - 42:9, 42:11, 43:3, 43:5,

43:8, 134:8 activity [2] - 78:14, 174:1 acts [1] - 171:13 actual [2] - 64:8, 134:12 Adam [3] - 38:11, 113:19, 122:9 add [3] - 81:13, 99:9, 174:22 added [3] - 75:21, 155:15, 177:3 addict [1] - 15:20 addicted [14] - 11:3, 23:11, 24:10, 42:13, 47:5, 152:1, 166:12, 166:20, 168:16, 173:4, 175:18, 176:8, 179:22, 182:1 addiction [14] - 24:1, 26:2, 149:1, 153:16, 167:8, 167:10, 168:20, 170:20, 170:21, 170:24, 172:2, 181:23, 182:5 addictions [4] - 32:3, 140:1, 149:20, 172:8 addicts [3] - 119:11, 170:25, 181:15 addition [5] - 65:8, 83:3, 103:25, 110:22, 134:11 additional [2] - 7:21, 13:5 address [22] - 8:24, 10:13, 23:6, 28:25, 38:16, 68:8, 68:11, 74:24, 75:2, 78:25, 79:2, 82:19, 87:9, 87:15, 89:14, 92:8, 95:17, 95:18, 96:15, 108:7, 120:15, 136:13 addressed [4] - 57:23, 69:22, 77:22, 148:2 addressing [2] -10:14, 140:3 adduce [1] - 6:3 adduced [1] - 23:18 adequate [9] - 93:10, 93:12, 113:22, 113:24, 122:6, 123:19, 177:24, 187:24, 188:8 adjourned [2] - 99:22, 188:13 ADJOURNED [4] -64:3, 99:23, 162:11, 188:15 adjournment [2] -

-ii -

24:4, 99:18 administering [3] -5:3, 14:23, 105:23 administers [1] -140:6 administrative [2] -40:8, 104:7 admissions [2] - 14:7, 120:21 admitted [1] - 157:10 adopt [1] - 17:25 adopted [1] - 150:20 adoption [1] - 171:12 adopts [1] - 105:19 advance [2] - 38:13, 175:11 advanced [1] - 13:7 adversarial [1] - 139:7 adverse [1] - 119:10 adverting [1] - 22:9 advice [1] - 43:15 advise [1] - 7:15 advising [1] - 115:10 advocacy [6] - 100:19, 108:24, 126:9, 128:14, 166:1, 179:11 advocate [1] - 128:20 advocated [1] -110:11 advocates [3] -111:15, 127:19, 127:23 advocating [1] -145:19 affairs [1] - 139:18 Affairs [4] - 139:22, 140:2, 140:13, 143:5 affected [2] - 26:4, 100:5 affidavit [5] - 80:11, 82:22, 82:24, 84:7, 85:4 Affidavit [4] - 183:8, 183:9, 2:6, 2:7 affidavits [1] - 182:25 affirmation [1] - 139:8 afford [3] - 77:6, 77:10, 78:15 affordable [1] - 177:25 affording [1] - 7:20 afraid [1] - 154:17 afternoon [3] -128:13, 129:5, 184:5 afterwards [1] - 59:25 agencies [1] - 132:21 agenda [3] - 5:11, 41:6, 69:7 aggravated [3] -12:17, 15:10, 119:18

aggressive [2] -132:14, 180:9 agitated [1] - 103:9 ago [3] - 31:10, 35:16, 171:17 agree [5] - 55:15, 76:7, 84:13, 87:5, 132:8 agreed [5] - 68:1, 68:2, 69:8, 85:3, 86:22 agreement [1] - 7:3 ahead [3] - 22:23, 36:1, 36:8 aid [1] - 170:13 aide [4] - 84:4, 84:15, 85:9, 88:3 aide-memoire [4] -84:4, 84:15, 85:9, 88:3 alcohol [2] - 141:4, 149:12 alert [1] - 176:15 allegation [2] - 78:13, 80:15 allegations [1] - 45:18 allege [2] - 134:15, 134:16 alleviate [1] - 123:21 alley [1] - 166:6 alleys [1] - 173:6 allocation [1] - 177:17 allocations [1] - 106:4 allow [4] - 21:17, 24:4, 35:14, 100:25 allowed [11] - 4:25, 5:10, 9:21, 10:8, 17:1, 17:4, 41:4, 41:6, 116:15, 162:5, 169:15 allowing [1] - 185:18 allows [1] - 160:8 almost [11] - 3:20, 39:6, 48:6, 86:20, 142:20, 153:4, 166:18, 173:23, 174:2, 184:17 alone [3] - 19:11, 104:14, 116:17 alphabetical [1] -61:20 Ambleside [1] -137:15 ambulance [4] -31:16, 179:15, 179:17, 179:19 Amelia [4] - 96:8. 112:10, 112:14, 116:19 amorphous [1] -

184:17 amount [2] - 34:21, 178:6 amounts [5] - 30:9, 107:2, 120:8, 120:9, 174.14 ample [8] - 10:2, 18:20, 23:15, 50:15, 81:5, 96:11, 111:7 analysis [3] - 107:23, 121:10, 169:6 analysts [1] - 104:6 Anderson [34] - 11:5, 12:9, 13:11, 13:23, 15:15, 15:19, 23:20, 23:21, 23:25, 24:9, 24:15, 25:4, 38:10, 49:1, 65:15, 65:18, 65:20, 66:10, 67:10, 67:19, 68:1, 68:17, 73:12, 73:14, 80:6, 83:9, 84:21, 89:22, 90:5, 90:21, 91:3, 92:1, 92:3, 117:10 Anderson's [5] - 25:9, 81:16, 81:20, 82:4 Andrea [2] - 2:20, 2:21 Andrew [1] - 17:23 Angela [5] - 2:19, 2:22, 152:23, 155:24, 156:3 Angels [4] - 11:23, 42:13, 43:18, 45:19 anger [1] - 38:5 angry [1] - 8:3 animate [1] - 126:18 Ann [2] - 128:2, 162:17 Ann's [1] - 138:20 annually [1] - 173:16 anonymous [2] -79:22 another's [1] - 47:17 answer [5] - 55:21, 66:5, 91:22, 92:14, 95.24 answered [1] - 9:1 answers [4] - 56:2, 57:3, 100:7, 164:13 anthropology [1] -132:7 anticipate [1] - 105:2 anticipated [1] - 82:3 anyway [4] - 90:1, 98:15, 171:22, 185:21 apart [3] - 75:17, 108:16, 113:2 apologies [1] - 181:9 apologize [2] - 29:20,

159:12 apologized [2] -142:18, 142:23 apology [2] - 142:19, 142:22 apparent [2] - 30:1, 117:24 appeal [1] - 46:3 Appeal [1] - 22:8 appear [3] - 17:23, 69:6, 172:11 appearances [1] -102:21 appeared [2] - 116:23, 165:8 appearing [1] - 1:10 application [6] - 15:4, 82:12, 82:20, 84:16, 84:20, 84:22 applications [2] -159:3, 159:4 applied [7] - 5:23, 28:1, 66:24, 67:1, 73:16, 82:6 applies [2] - 53:25, 76:24 apply [1] - 24:3 appointments [2] -167:22, 169:3 appraisal [2] - 123:10, 123:17 appraised [1] - 123:15 appreciate [3] - 99:9, 119:20, 134:10 appreciated [2] - 25:1, 99:7 appreciation [1] -98:22 apprehended [2] -117:20, 171:24 approach [5] - 59:1, 104:15, 111:22, 161:8, 176:13 approached [1] -85.23 appropriate [6] - 16:7, 16:19, 40:23, 107:20, 107:21, 188.8 approval [2] - 16:1, 104:9 approved [2] - 12:13, 12:18 April [4] - 25:16, 112:9, 115:4, 115:17 Area [5] - 162:18, 164:20, 165:5, 165:15, 165:20 area [4] - 101:4, 101:5, 135:2, 164:17

areas [5] - 19:17, 102:9, 102:14, 103:4, 165:6 argue [2] - 21:20, 22:17 argued [1] - 110:12 argument [16] - 2:6, 15:22, 15:23, 16:3, 16:19, 17:7, 17:19, 20:4, 20:10, 34:14, 46:12, 107:1, 108:7, 183:13, 185:7, 187:20 arguments [13] - 1:6, 21:9, 21:11, 34:12, 34:15, 36:6, 183:14, 184:1, 185:9, 185:23, 187:13, 187:15, 187:16 arise [1] - 184:12 arranged [1] - 15:15 arrangements [1] -6.18 arrest [6] - 55:5, 68:21, 124:18, 124:22, 167:20, 174.12 arrested [4] - 167:1, 167:13, 170:8, 171:15 arresting [1] - 176:7 arrestomg [1] - 176:8 arrests [3] - 174:7, 174:8, 178:19 article [1] - 70:12 articled [1] - 127:13 articulating [1] - 105:5 ascertaining [1] -146:4 ashamed [1] - 33:23 aside [3] - 59:12, 107:16, 127:21 aspect [9] - 3:21, 28:5, 53:24, 64:18, 65:12, 69:21, 94:6, 126:9, 147:13 aspects [3] - 6:11, 68:6, 68:7 ass [1] - 29:16 assault [9] - 11:10, 12:17, 15:10, 15:12, 108:12, 110:14, 119:18 Assembly [1] - 143:3 assert [1] - 41:13 asserted [1] - 117:23 assertion [5] - 68:13, 69:23, 96:12, 107:3, 107:5 assessing [2] -

-iii·

107:24, 108:1 assessment [2] -37:22, 111:22 assign [2] - 122:3, 172:17 assigned [5] - 37:10, 74:8, 109:11, 113:13, 113:14 assigning [2] - 5:8, 39:25 assimilation [1] -142:7 assist [6] - 27:9, 49:3, 51:10, 93:11, 97:1, 99:4 assistance [4] - 124:2, 134:3, 147:4, 152:14 assisted [5] - 28:13, 50:25, 82:21, 82:25, 94:21 assisting [5] - 51:12, 60:9, 60:11, 61:1, 71:13 associate [2] - 11:21, 127:15 associates [3] - 9:9, 10:8, 28:2 Association [6] -110:3, 143:16, 144:21, 145:21, 145:22, 146:22 associations [3] -100:20, 100:21, 132:9 assume [1] - 23:21 assumed [2] - 47:10, 122:12 assure [1] - 61:18 assured [2] - 31:16, 170:8 Astin [2] - 154:5, 154:11 **AT** [8] - 1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15 atrocities [1] - 141:23 attached [1] - 72:13 attack [3] - 16:8, 16:14, 118:1 attacked [3] - 54:5, 97:25, 98:2 attempt [4] - 17:13, 118:9, 119:18, 170:6 attempted [13] - 12:8, 12:16, 12:23, 13:1, 14:19, 15:9, 15:13, 49:1, 65:21, 73:13, 91:16, 114:17, 117:10

attempting [1] -119:14 attempts [1] - 33:15 attend [5] - 31:20, 51:3, 118:24, 119:16, 142:3 attendance [1] - 32:25 attendances [1] - 43:1 attended [1] - 150:15 attendee [1] - 41:19 attention [3] - 13:2, 70:15, 116:11 attitude [9] - 8:6. 26:22, 29:12, 31:6. 31:24, 120:10, 151:6, 152:2, 153:9 attitudes [6] - 25:23, 29:18, 104:17, 105:6, 114:5, 120:20 Attorney [8] - 8:13, 27:10, 115:5, 115:9, 115:15, 118:11, 133:3, 162:25 audience [3] - 32:21, 35:15 audit [3] - 125:3, 125:8, 125:11 auditor [1] - 173:13 augmented [1] - 1:22 August [2] - 36:17, 118:20 author [2] - 40:5, 42.18 authored [1] - 115:22 authorities [3] - 8:9, 9:4, 79:6 authority [3] - 136:21, 154:18, 154:19 Authority [1] - 165:25 authorization [1] -37:11 authorizing [1] - 77:12 automatic [1] - 49:8 avail [1] - 27:12 available [11] - 6:23, 11:18, 23:8, 24:2, 46:8, 76:12, 97:4, 116:22, 119:22, 124:10, 174:9 Avenue [1] - 36:21 average [2] - 141:4, 168:22 avoid [2] - 106:16, 179:23 avoiding [4] - 26:15, 169:11, 176:6, 176:22 await [2] - 50:10, 179:22 aware [13] - 19:14,

19:15, 20:24, 21:7,	Beaudoin [1] - 151:8	43:5, 44:6, 49:7,	118:11, 182:3, 182:4	145:9, 163:3, 163:6,
22:5, 22:21, 106:22,	became [7] - 10:16,	56:8, 78:17, 80:20,	boards [2] - 133:4,	175:5
107:7, 107:8,	30:1, 76:3, 112:10,	81:8, 85:12, 108:3,	136:24	broach [1] - 19:19
108:20, 108:21,	144:5, 144:8, 150:6	108:4, 108:6,	Boas [1] - 132:6	broad [2] - 104:18,
110:24, 113:4	become [1] - 106:21	123:22, 124:3,	Boddie [6] - 39:17,	184:16
awareness [6] -	becomes [1] - 121:8	129:21, 139:5,	39:20, 39:22, 40:13,	broadcast [1] - 104:10
107:24, 109:14,	becoming [1] - 138:15	150:19, 165:3	40:14, 43:25	broke [1] - 85:20
111:5, 111:16,	bed [1] - 49:7	Bev [2] - 41:19, 43:7	bodies [3] - 32:4,	broken [1] - 149:11
112:3, 112:17	beer [1] - 153:20	beyond [3] - 78:24,	32:11, 49:13	Brongers [1] - 126:22
	beg [1] - 27:4	79:3, 79:8	bodily [2] - 54:2,	brothel [1] - 116:22
В	began [6] - 42:17,	bias [1] - 121:11	107:13	brother [4] - 11:6,
back-up [1] - 46:6	42:20, 56:24, 57:19,	biased [4] - 121:4,	body [5] - 48:21, 94:3,	11:19, 12:14, 150:13
backdrop [1] - 148:10	75:13, 114:23	121:8, 121:17,	94:9, 94:12, 94:16	brother's [1] - 12:8
bad [2] - 108:23,	begged [1] - 43:9	135:14	bolded [1] - 75:25	brothers [1] - 44:6
181:16	begin [1] - 51:24	biases [5] - 104:16,	Bond [1] - 35:11	brothers' [1] - 32:12
bags [1] - 151:9	beginning [7] - 10:21,	105:5, 114:5, 114:7,	<b>bono</b> [1] - 99:3	brought [3] - 31:7,
bail [1] - 167:21	44:4, 59:20, 61:18,	160:7	book [1] - 42:18	83:16, 116:8
Baker [1] - 137:20	129:16, 144:3,	Biddlecombe [1] -	Borhaven [1] - 2:21	brown [2] - 49:9,
balance [2] - 79:12,	156:19	115:2	born [1] - 148:22	134:24
88:23	begins [2] - 51:23,	<b>big</b> [1] - 9:22	bottle [1] - 153:20	Bruce [1] - 122:10
band [1] - 181:22	51:25	bigger [1] - 148:1	bottom [4] - 56:2,	brutally [1] - 131:10
<b>bar</b> [1] - 99:6	begun [1] - 13:19	Bigjohn [4] - 149:8,	87:3, 88:7, 91:12	buckley [1] - 46:10
<b>Baragar</b> [3] - 24:12,	behalf [9] - 1:8, 5:25,	149:12, 149:22,	Bottomley [1] - 2:21	budget [2] - 177:22,
24:15, 24:19	19:5, 24:6, 40:22,	150:3	bounced [1] - 150:6	178:22
bargain [3] - 58:25,	79:16, 127:2,	bigoted [2] - 121:5,	bound [1] - 91:20	budgets [1] - 175:11
• • • •	163:23, 187:3	121:9	boundary [1] - 19:10	Buff [1] - 80:22
97:15, 98:1	behaviour [3] - 47:18,	billion [1] - 177:22	<b>boyfriends</b> [2] - 28:1,	build [3] - 132:17,
bargains [3] - 47:7, 58:3, 63:16	121:5, 174:3	billions [2] - 179:7,	109:19	160:13, 178:7
<b>based</b> [10] - 23:8,	behest [1] - 102:9	179:13	<b>boys</b> [3] - 29:15,	building [1] - 77:4
• •	behind [4] - 70:16,	binder [1] - 46:6	143:24, 143:25	buildings [1] - 173:7
45:17, 64:23, 67:18, 84:4, 88:20, 116:10,	149:20, 151:10,	binging [1] - 119:13	Braidwood [1] - 38:23	<b>bulk</b> [1] - 186:16
142:13, 164:24,	181:1	birth [1] - 171:16	brainstorming [1] -	burden [1] - 79:2
173:8	belief [1] - 160:24	birthday [2] - 137:14,	41:15	Burnaby [1] - 123:13
<b>basic</b> [6] - 53:16,	believable [1] - 65:21	137:17	Branch [3] - 10:14,	business [2] - 13:17,
62:24, 63:4, 63:8,	Bell [1] - 70:13	bit [7] - 1:14, 37:23,	10:19, 23:2	159:3
173:24, 185:20	beloved [1] - 167:11	63:3, 95:23, 97:3,	brass [2] - 182:4	<b>busy</b> [3] - 15:2,
<b>basis</b> [16] - 16:23,	below [1] - 102:16	98:3, 159:8	breached [1] - 7:17	113:17, 143:3
17:7, 54:11, 64:19,	beneficial [2] - 134:7,	bits [1] - 32:10	breaching [1] - 136:5	by-product [2] -
77:19, 97:8, 99:3,	147:10	bizarrely [1] - 38:7	break [7] - 63:25,	118:8, 118:9
105:6, 110:16,	benefit [3] - 12:4,	blacked [2] - 7:2, 7:12	85:10, 99:17,	bylaw [1] - 103:15
114:19, 116:5,	30:18, 186:15	bleeding [2] - 13:23,	160:13, 161:2, 162:9	
116:6, 119:13,	benefits [3] - 139:25,	31:12	breaks [1] - 178:11	С
119:17, 119:25,	140:7, 186:14	blessed [1] - 129:19	Brenda [2] - 2:20,	
144:9	beset [1] - 26:2	blessing [2] - 101:10,	152:23	Caldwell [6] - 49:5,
Bass [5] - 42:21,	beside [1] - 143:13	104:9	Brian [1] - 122:9	89:5, 95:12, 117:9, 120:3
92:17, 92:18, 93:4,	best [10] - 75:5, 76:1,	bloated [1] - 175:11	bridges [1] - 173:6	Cameron [2] - 1:10,
122:8	76:6, 76:9, 88:8,	blond [1] - 49:9	brief (5) 50:10 61:6	
Bates [2] - 151:23,	, , ,		brief [5] - 59:18, 61:6,	120.10
	96:3, 96:13, 98:25,	<b>bloody</b> [3] - 48:19,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8	120:19
158:25				Cameron's [1] - 42:18
158:25 battling [1] - 118:4	96:3, 96:13, 98:25,	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8,
	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19	<b>bloody</b> [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 <b>briefly</b> [1] - 53:15	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20,
battling [1] - 118:4	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9	<b>bloody</b> [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 <b>Blythe</b> [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23,
battling [1] - 118:4 BC [7] - 1:2, 87:21,	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 Best [1] - 117:9 better [10] - 88:22,	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25
<b>battling</b> [1] - 118:4 <b>BC</b> [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10,	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 Best [1] - 117:9 better [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16,	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3 bringing [1] - 128:21	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] -
<b>battling</b> [1] - 118:4 <b>BC</b> [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10, 133:3, 133:21,	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9 <b>better</b> [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16, 128:18, 149:19, 153:25, 157:8, 158:3, 160:13,	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24, 56:21, 109:7, 112:7,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3 bringing [1] - 128:21 brings [2] - 113:21,	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] - 115:18
<b>battling</b> [1] - 118:4 <b>BC</b> [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10, 133:3, 133:21, 181:17	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9 <b>better</b> [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16, 128:18, 149:19, 153:25, 157:8, 158:3, 160:13, 176:13	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24, 56:21, 109:7, 112:7, 115:21	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3 bringing [1] - 128:21 brings [2] - 113:21, 138:10	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] - 115:18 Campbell [1] - 27:8
<b>battling</b> [1] - 118:4 <b>BC</b> [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10, 133:3, 133:21, 181:17 <b>Beach</b> [3] - 32:19,	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9 <b>better</b> [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16, 128:18, 149:19, 153:25, 157:8, 158:3, 160:13,	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24, 56:21, 109:7, 112:7, 115:21 board [10] - 32:22,	$149:3, 149:6, 180:8 \\ \textbf{briefly}[1] - 53:15 \\ \textbf{brighten}[1] - 128:5 \\ \textbf{bring}[1] - 137:3 \\ \textbf{bringing}[1] - 128:21 \\ \textbf{brings}[2] - 113:21, \\ 138:10 \\ \textbf{British}[17] - 11:21, \\ \end{cases}$	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] - 115:18 Campbell [1] - 27:8 Canada [34] - 19:15,
<b>battling</b> [1] - 118:4 <b>BC</b> [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10, 133:3, 133:21, 181:17 <b>Beach</b> [3] - 32:19, 33:5, 117:20	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9 <b>better</b> [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16, 128:18, 149:19, 153:25, 157:8, 158:3, 160:13, 176:13	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24, 56:21, 109:7, 112:7, 115:21 board [10] - 32:22, 104:9, 110:10,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3 bringing [1] - 128:21 brings [2] - 113:21, 138:10 British [17] - 11:21, 27:11, 52:3, 52:4,	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] - 115:18 Campbell [1] - 27:8 Canada [34] - 19:15, 22:7, 35:2, 46:17,
battling [1] - 118:4 BC [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10, 133:3, 133:21, 181:17 Beach [3] - 32:19, 33:5, 117:20 bear [1] - 31:7	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9 <b>better</b> [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16, 128:18, 149:19, 153:25, 157:8, 158:3, 160:13, 176:13 <b>Betty</b> [1] - 169:24	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24, 56:21, 109:7, 112:7, 115:21 board [10] - 32:22, 104:9, 110:10, 115:18, 115:24,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3 bringing [1] - 128:21 brings [2] - 113:21, 138:10 British [17] - 11:21, 27:11, 52:3, 52:4, 52:11, 74:16, 105:1,	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] - 115:18 Campbell [1] - 27:8 Canada [34] - 19:15, 22:7, 35:2, 46:17, 52:2, 81:23, 90:17,
battling [1] - 118:4 BC [7] - 1:2, 87:21, 110:2, 132:10, 133:3, 133:21, 181:17 Beach [3] - 32:19, 33:5, 117:20 bear [1] - 31:7 bears [2] - 101:11,	96:3, 96:13, 98:25, 188:4, 188:19 <b>Best</b> [1] - 117:9 <b>better</b> [10] - 88:22, 96:22, 126:16, 128:18, 149:19, 153:25, 157:8, 158:3, 160:13, 176:13 <b>Betty</b> [1] - 169:24 <b>between</b> [24] - 7:4,	bloody [3] - 48:19, 80:15, 80:19 Blythe [5] - 32:23, 54:22, 54:23, 55:11, 122:11 Board [8] - 32:20, 52:8, 52:9, 54:24, 56:21, 109:7, 112:7, 115:21 board [10] - 32:22, 104:9, 110:10,	149:3, 149:6, 180:8 briefly [1] - 53:15 brighten [1] - 128:5 bring [1] - 137:3 brings [2] - 113:21, 138:10 British [17] - 11:21, 27:11, 52:3, 52:4, 52:11, 74:16, 105:1, 125:3, 129:22,	Cameron's [1] - 42:18 campaign [6] - 115:8, 115:13, 115:20, 115:25, 117:23, 117:25 campaigned [1] - 115:18 Campbell [1] - 27:8 Canada [34] - 19:15, 22:7, 35:2, 46:17,

129:22, 132:5, 132:10, 132:20, 133:16, 133:21, 136:3, 139:12, 140:4, 140:18, 141:11, 141:22, 142:4, 142:17, 142:25. 143:16. 144:22, 145:8, 146:17, 155:25, 161:24, 172:24, 173:17, 181:20, 186:19 Canada's [3] - 51:2, 139:15, 173:14 Canadian [5] - 131:16, 137:7, 140:19, 141:1, 144:10 Canadians [4] -140:22, 162:6, 167:22, 173:3 candid [1] - 150:11 cannot [7] - 22:3, 59:3, 148:20, 168:17, 170:8, 172:22, 181:1 capable [1] - 15:1 capacities [1] - 119:16 capacity [2] - 76:4, 139:3 capture [1] - 83:16 captured [1] - 49:24 captures [2] - 97:21, 98:8 car [4] - 9:13, 39:24, 54:7, 54:12 Cara [1] - 2:18 card [1] - 143:7 care [14] - 81:9, 138:22, 144:17, 150:5, 152:21, 152:24, 153:10, 154:15, 171:11, 177:9, 177:21, 178:13, 178:14, 178:15 career [1] - 23:13 careers [1] - 116:13 careful [2] - 13:7, 131:20 carnage [1] - 9:21 carried [1] - 124:12 carry [2] - 35:6, 132:14 carrying [1] - 141:15 cars [4] - 58:2, 58:15, 63:17, 68:16 case [36] - 4:1, 5:4, 8:17, 9:19, 13:15, 15:13, 15:17, 23:14,

23:18, 27:3, 28:5, 30:4, 31:5, 31:9, 38:13, 41:14, 53:4, 54:13, 55:16, 56:3, 58:23, 65:2, 68:17, 79:10, 79:11, 79:24, 81:23, 83:20, 93:5, 95:2.97:9.113:16. 138:25, 161:16, 164:14, 181:20 cases [11] - 3:9, 27:3, 27:23, 28:21, 29:6, 87:22, 94:7, 111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:15 cash [1] - 170:14 catalyst [2] - 136:12, 159:23 catastrophic [1] -171:9 catch [6] - 36:22, 67:14, 75:6, 76:6, 94:24, 96:13 catching [6] - 73:23, 76:1, 76:5, 88:8, 88:25, 93:13 Cater [2] - 37:2, 37:16 Catherine [1] - 154:5 caught [12] - 47:2, 47:25, 49:15, 49:17, 74:25, 75:4, 86:24, 87:10, 88:15, 89:6, 96:5, 118:7 causation [1] - 95:1 caused [8] - 5:6, 5:14, 5:15, 8:11, 8:22, 56:11, 78:8, 84:17 causes [1] - 169:2 causing [1] - 92:24 cavalier [1] - 14:20 celebrating [1] -137:16 cells [2] - 169:12, 179:21 cement [2] - 169:12, 169:16 centre [2] - 10:24, 167:4 centres [5] - 123:14, 167:3, 178:8, 178:24, 179:11 centuries [1] - 76:15 certain [4] - 23:7, 111:4, 174:15, 175:10 certainly [8] - 1:19, 18:24, 125:19, 152:2, 157:19, 158:11, 181:8, 185:1 certainty [3] - 108:6,

108:8, 113:6 certified [1] - 14:9 certify [1] - 188:16 cetera [2] - 49:12, 173:7 ch [1] - 130:16 chain [1] - 157:20 chalked [1] - 30:14 challenge [4] - 18:8, 159:20, 160:9, 181.23 challenges [5] -16:25, 75:22, 126:15. 160:10. 160:12 challenging [1] -181:20 Chambers [1] - 122:10 chance [11] - 8:23, 25:10, 75:6, 76:1, 76:6, 76:9, 88:8, 88:22, 96:13, 98:20 chances [1] - 76:5 change [3] - 136:13, 159:23, 174:21 changed [2] - 56:8, 90.16 changes [4] - 163:1, 163:5, 170:12, 175:25 changing [2] - 149:20, 173:3 Chantler [2] - 1:11, 2:10 chapter [1] - 42:18 charge [9] - 12:23, 13:1, 16:1, 80:5, 83:10, 91:1, 157:18, 168:23 charged [6] - 5:2, 14:22, 24:13, 32:9, 167:13, 170:11 charges [13] - 8:18, 12:13, 12:18, 13:6, 14:19, 15:9, 23:3, 24:21, 83:13, 89:20, 119:19, 125:15, 170:16 charitable [1] - 114:15 Charter [5] - 132:22, 138:16, 138:25, 143:12, 181:19 checked [1] - 80:14 cheque [2] - 176:17, 177:5 Chernoff [2] - 113:19, 122:16 chief [3] - 71:22, 97:20, 116:11 Chief [27] - 12:3, 31:2,

-77 -

32:24, 39:21, 50:21, 51:20, 54:22, 55:11, 57:24, 75:3, 83:25, 88:13, 89:9, 93:4, 97:22, 111:17, 112:2, 114:11, 121:9. 122:8. 122:9. 122:10, 163:22 child [7] - 150:10, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 171:19, 181:2 child's [1] - 141:20 childhood [1] - 150:3 children [38] - 125:21, 125:24, 132:24, 134:4, 141:5, 141:6, 141:18, 141:24, 142:2, 142:6, 142:8, 144:12, 144:16, 144:17, 146:17, 147:11, 147:18, 147:21, 149:14, 150:4, 150:16, 153:10, 153:11, 154:1, 154:2, 154:23, 155:8, 171:11, 171:17, 171:24, 171:25, 178:14, 178:15, 180:23, 181:11, 181:12 Chilliwack [1] -130:25 choices [1] - 186:2 choose [1] - 183:16 Chris [2] - 32:19, 150.1Christians [1] - 142:6 chronic [1] - 167:9 circulated [4] - 109:3, 109:15, 110:6, 110:8 circumstances [10] -20:24, 21:25, 26:2, 40:2, 43:17, 66:9, 81:12, 84:23, 90:19, 91:24 citizens [2] - 26:7, 104:10 city [2] - 52:25, 74:18 City [5] - 70:24, 104:1, 104:10, 106:3, 136:24 civic [1] - 178:21 Civil [1] - 110:3 civil [4] - 79:10, 79:11, 95:1, 167:7 civilian [4] - 26:9, 104:6, 123:7, 175:7

31:4, 32:22, 32:23,

civilians [1] - 13:22 civilize [1] - 142:7 civilized [5] - 8:17, 46:18, 131:24, 131:25, 156:5 claim [1] - 159:8 claimed [1] - 155:18 clarification [1] -56:19 class [1] - 26:7 classes [1] - 5:21 clauses [1] - 176:14 clean [2] - 25:6, 103:24 clear [13] - 10:25, 15:16, 16:14, 19:25, 36:23, 44:2, 45:16, 60:14, 61:7, 70:8, 114:16, 124:15, 169:11 cleared [1] - 86:2 clearly [11] - 6:16, 16:1, 16:16, 17:4, 18:2, 21:21, 23:19, 26:4, 78:18, 99:5, 108:8 CLEU [1] - 62:14 clever [2] - 69:5, 69:13 client [3] - 96:6. 170:15. 187:3 clients [11] - 3:15, 3:18, 4:13, 8:2, 8:25, 28:21, 36:8, 40:22, 164:19, 186:2, 186:6 clients' [3] - 24:20, 25:8, 26:20 clip [1] - 49:8 clogged [1] - 172:16 close [2] - 132:9, 156:20 closed [1] - 142:12 closely [4] - 39:18, 129:8, 175:24, 180:19 closing [14] - 1:6, 1:17, 1:21, 18:13, 18:19, 18:23, 21:11, 36:5, 99:19, 130:23, 160:14, 160:18, 182:8, 183:14 clothing [5] - 48:20, 80:16, 80:19, 151:10 **Club** [2] - 11:23, 138:20 club [2] - 143:24, 143:25 **co** [4] - 83:14, 121:1, 129:6, 163:8 co-counsel [1] - 129:6 co-operation [1] -

83:14	7:8, 7:16, 8:5, 8:10,	20:9, 21:2, 21:13,	136:1, 136:9,	117:18
co-ordination [1] -	8:23, 15:4, 16:5,	22:10, 22:25, 23:10,	136:18, 137:12,	concede [1] - 19:10
163:8	24:18, 39:5, 39:7,	23:24, 24:8, 24:18,	138:1, 144:13,	conceded [1] - 41:24
co-workers [1] - 121:1	39:11, 40:7, 41:3,	26:13, 31:21, 31:23,	144:15, 165:9,	concedes [1] - 30:5
Coast [1] - 130:3	42:4, 43:20, 44:9,	33:23, 34:22, 35:7,	167:4, 172:11,	conceivably [2] -
Coastal [1] - 165:25	44:13, 46:14, 50:14,	37:25, 42:21, 43:23,	172:16, 178:24,	• • •
	51:5, 51:10, 51:12,	44:21, 46:1, 50:12,	179:12, 179:25,	66:24, 73:16
cocaine [1] - 166:15	53:10, 59:8, 59:11,	56:20, 59:8, 60:6,	180:19	concentrate [1] -
cock [1] - 38:8	59:15, 59:19, 64:7,	61:18, 64:7, 66:8,		100:6
<b>Code</b> [3] - 53:13,			comparison [3] -	concept [2] - 20:6,
65:11, 124:4	70:10, 73:8, 74:14,	70:9, 71:1, 71:18,	140:22, 186:12,	132:11
codes [1] - 125:6	74:24, 76:21, 77:7,	72:3, 74:2, 82:17,	187:1	concepts [1] - 40:16
coercion [1] - 97:23	77:11, 77:22, 87:8,	83:19, 87:2, 87:19,	compassion [1] -	concern [7] - 19:7,
coincides [1] - 59:1	88:18, 89:2, 89:3,	92:12, 92:18, 94:6,	122:17	102:21, 106:17,
colleague [2] - 1:11,	95:25, 96:14, 98:23,	94:25, 95:15, 98:21,	compel [2] - 4:18,	106:18, 157:25,
89:1	129:17, 135:23,	99:16, 100:2,	6:14	163:19, 173:23
colleagues [9] -	136:7, 149:9,	100:10, 104:24,	compelled [1] - 50:11	concerned [1] -
29:20, 29:22, 30:3,	150:14, 150:25,	105:14, 106:10,	compelling [11] -	161:25
33:5, 65:7, 126:19,	152:7, 177:4, 177:16	114:3, 114:8, 118:3,	37:25, 48:18, 60:25,	concerning [1] - 163:9
126:20, 127:11	Commission [6] -	119:3, 120:12,	65:22, 65:23, 67:8,	concerns [3] - 128:22,
collect [1] - 168:11	38:23, 38:25,	121:22, 122:1,	67:20, 67:22, 73:1,	155:13, 165:2
collected [1] - 177:21	135:13, 140:13,	122:8, 122:21,	73:15, 90:22	concession [1] - 30:9
collecting [1] - 145:22	141:11, 143:5	125:22, 126:4,	compensate [2] -	<b>conclude</b> [4] - 85:19,
-	commission's [6] -	126:14, 128:7,	159:5, 159:9	114:12, 126:7,
college [1] - 181:10	6:1, 8:4, 39:16, 40:3,	128:24, 147:7,	compensation [2] -	172:22
colonial [1] - 133:14	40:24, 41:2	148:7, 148:9,	125:21, 159:2	
colonization [2] -	Commission's [1] -	159:11, 160:16,	competence [1] -	<b>concluded</b> [8] - 18:4,
156:4, 163:24	143:4	183:11, 184:7,	127:14	39:1, 47:13, 84:1,
Colorado [1] - 131:2	commissioner [3] -	184:23, 185:21,		111:8, 112:23,
colour [1] - 134:20	180:17, 187:6, 188:5	186:11, 188:11	competent [1] - 73:23	173:22, 176:2
Columbia [16] - 27:11,	COMMISSIONER [64]	commissions [1] -	competently [2] -	concludes [1] - 184:4
52:3, 52:4, 52:12,		39:4	46:20, 46:22	conclusion [19] -
74:17, 105:1, 125:4,	- 1:5, 1:9, 2:1, 2:6,		complaint [2] - 21:5,	16:19, 17:12, 17:13,
129:22, 130:2,	2:9, 17:22, 18:15,	commitment [3] -	26:11	21:4, 21:7, 21:15,
132:20, 133:16,	19:14, 20:3, 20:12,	101:22, 102:1, 102:4	complaints [2] -	21:18, 21:21, 22:10,
136:3, 145:9, 163:3,	21:6, 21:22, 22:19,	<b>committed</b> [3] - 53:5,	28:24, 101:3	57:12, 58:8, 80:11,
163:6, 175:5	22:23, 34:5, 34:7,	135:11, 170:5	complete [9] - 3:20,	82:15, 83:21, 88:6,
Columbia's [1] - 11:21	34:10, 34:23, 35:9,	common [10] - 15:12,	5:13, 7:21, 7:25,	88:12, 88:15, 136:6,
coming [4] - 22:14,	35:18, 43:11, 43:14,	25:18, 52:22, 56:14,	35:12, 51:6, 97:17,	183:20
84:25, 165:17,	44:1, 44:15, 44:17,	69:4, 133:20, 153:6,	98:9, 173:23	conclusions [4] -
169:22	45:15, 46:11, 63:24,	153:15, 154:24,	completely [7] -	22:13, 22:16, 22:20,
	64:6, 71:20, 95:14,	170:22	18:17, 18:25, 28:7,	39:4
command [1] - 157:20	99:1, 99:15, 99:20,	communicated [1] -	29:7, 31:12, 40:11,	<b>concur</b> [1] - 151:5
commanded [1] -	100:1, 100:9, 101:1,	65:6	151:5	
113:17	101:9, 126:6,	communicates [1] -	completest [1] -	concurrence [3] -
commence [3] - 2:3,	128:12, 128:25,	90:2	184:21	102:10, 112:2,
67:17, 99:19	129:4, 130:10,	communicating [2] -		117:21
commencing [1] -	148:8, 161:1,	97:2, 167:14	completing [1] - 159:2	concurrent [1] - 103:5
25:15	162:14, 163:13,	communication [1] -	complex [1] - 113:16	condemn [1] - 119:9
commend [1] - 163:14	163:17, 164:10,	28:8	<b>comply</b> [2] - 163:18,	conditional [1] -
Commendations [1] -	165:13, 165:17,	communications [2] -	188:4	169:10
174:11	182:13, 183:22,		component [2] -	conditions [6] - 101:6
commensurate [3] -	184:6, 184:14,	33:1, 37:12	105:14, 105:15	103:18, 139:24,
105:20, 108:2,	185:4, 185:15,	communities [3] -	compounding [1] -	141:7, 166:22,
110:19		141:9, 163:23,	174:23	167:21
commentation [1] -	186:10, 187:6,	180:21	comprehensive [2] -	condoms [1] - 103:23
91:5	187:9, 188:3, 188:6,	community [28] -	111:22, 123:3	conduct [20] - 4:19,
	188:8, 188:12	100:21, 103:9,	comprised [1] - 32:22	7:11, 9:4, 10:13,
comments [4] - 20:19,	Commissioner [87] -	104:23, 104:25,	compromise [1] -	10:18, 10:20, 49:23,
26:8, 26:21, 186:10	1:10, 2:10, 3:3, 7:14,	105:2, 105:7,	187:21	50:1, 59:16, 64:8,
commission [63] -	8:2, 8:12, 9:7, 14:25,	105:15, 105:16,	compromised [2] -	96:1, 96:2, 113:24,
1:15, 1:18, 1:20,	15:21, 17:21, 17:23,	106:1, 124:8, 127:3,	40:3, 140:5	120:16, 121:17,
4:14, 4:23, 5:5, 5:16,	19:2, 19:3, 20:4,	130:25, 132:2,	40.3, 140.5 concealed [1] -	120:16, 121:17, 125:2, 125:11,
6:8, 6:13, 6:16, 7:4,				

160:7, 162:23, 163:2 conducted [4] - 28:14, 50:24, 86:12, 86:14 conducting [2] -87:21, 121:12 confessions [1] -124.22 confident [1] - 156:18 confine [2] - 43:21, 164.7 confined [6] - 19:24, 20:13, 22:1, 93:20, 163:17, 186:21 confinement [15] -12:16, 15:10, 53:16, 53:18, 55:2, 59:1, 59:13, 62:25, 63:7, 68:22, 71:8, 73:13, 83:13, 98:2, 170:6 confines [2] - 66:17, 162:22 confirm [1] - 44:12 confirmed [4] - 29:1, 32:13, 80:23, 81:3 confirms [2] - 56:14, 56:15 confiscated [1] -103:23 conflict [1] - 123:22 confront [1] - 160:7 confusion [1] - 45:13 conjunction [1] -145:20 connection [4] - 31:3, 78:16, 80:4, 124:3 connections [2] -153:12, 153:14 Connor [18] - 36:11, 36:14, 36:24, 60:11, 60:14, 61:2, 61:23, 62:6, 69:8, 80:1, 80:2, 85:4, 88:2, 88:13, 89:19, 92:19, 92:24, 122:15 Connor's [4] - 70:16, 82:22, 82:24, 84:1 conscience [1] -172:22 consent [3] - 83:6, 99:12, 105:22 consequences [1] -178:12 consider [5] - 7:15, 7:16, 63:20, 147:9, 148:16 considerate [1] -175:17 consideration [1] -184:11 considerations [1] -

108:17 considered [5] -36:11, 91:23, 136:7, 163:1, 163:5 considering [1] - 91:1 consistent [4] - 1:20, 97:17, 121:15, 162:24 consistently [2] -120:4, 125:14 constable [3] - 63:5, 73:11, 116:11 Constable [28] - 29:9, 30:2, 32:23, 33:20, 37:2, 37:16, 59:22, 60:10, 61:1, 61:10, 61:21, 62:16, 64:11, 68:2, 72:22, 73:19, 74:4, 82:5, 84:6, 85:2, 92:23, 109:10, 113:15, 115:6, 116:16, 122:19, 155.15Constables [2] -114:11. 122:10 constables [1] - 104:5 constitutionally [1] -17:15 constrained [1] - 22:2 constraints [2] - 21:8, 22:6 constructing [1] -168:13 constructive [1] -122:23 consultation [1] - 7:5 consulted [1] - 42:6 consulting [1] - 15:18 consumption [2] -24:1, 179:3 contact [5] - 26:15, 73:7, 137:4, 150:18, 177:8 contain [1] - 102:8 contained [1] - 5:22 containment [3] -102:12, 102:24, 103:6 contemporaneous [1] - 41.18 contemporary [1] -139:7 contempt [1] - 26:20 contest [1] - 56:9 context [11] - 90:4, 90:19, 90:20, 91:17, 92:6, 105:24, 119:21, 131:9, 134:10, 134:13, 138:11

continually [1] - 74:13 continue [6] - 10:8, 46:25, 116:7, 132:18, 137:5, 158:3 continued [1] - 85:16 continuing [1] -135.17 contrary [4] - 9:24, 23:5, 53:13, 54:11 contrast [1] - 41:17 contribute [1] -172:24 contributed [3] -94:24. 95:1. 95:8 contributes [1] - 95:6 contributors [1] -127:23 control [6] - 5:11, 41:5, 80:13, 118:5, 118:10, 173:16 convenience [1] -31:14 convert [1] - 142:5 convicted [2] - 24:25, 91:9 conviction [3] - 12:22, 55:5, 68:21 convince [1] - 170:15 convinced [2] - 36:17, 36:19 Cooper [1] - 127:14 cop [2] - 10:9, 80:25 cope [1] - 178:10 copies [2] - 14:9, 40:12 copy [2] - 46:2, 182:23 Coguitlam [21] - 9:12, 11:15. 12:25. 36:15. 37:1, 37:17, 48:14, 52:19, 53:5, 60:15, 60:20, 70:3, 70:7, 71:12, 83:12, 94:9, 94:14, 120:1 Coquitlam's [2] - 53:3, 94:18 core [1] - 107:1 Corporal [24] - 37:1, 37:2, 37:3, 37:10, 37:15, 37:16, 37:21, 60:11, 61:2, 61:23, 62:5, 68:1, 70:16, 80:1, 82:21, 82:24, 85:4, 88:2, 89:19, 92:19, 122:15 correct [3] - 66:4, 91:4, 129:1 correctional [1] -149:17 corrective [1] - 125:18 correctness [2] -

-vii-

57:24, 84:22 corresponding [1] -123:17 corroborate [2] -72:11, 72:18 corroborating [1] -72.2 corroboration [2] -65:1, 72:8 cost [1] - 179:5 costs [1] - 179:20 counsel [40] - 1:7, 1:11, 1:15, 1:18, 1:21, 1:23, 2:11, 6:23, 7:4, 7:9, 17:24, 19:2, 19:19, 20:7, 20:22, 24:18, 39:7, 39:12, 45:4, 45:14, 48:6, 48:8, 51:1, 51:3, 54:9, 70:10, 72:4, 85:24, 100:4, 103:17, 127:6, 127:9, 129:6, 131:19, 133:17, 137:18, 182:22, 185:16 counsel's [1] - 119:17 country [4] - 3:5, 133:7, 141:9, 141:14 counts [1] - 8:15 coup [1] - 81:15 couple [4] - 80:3, 89:12, 89:14, 185:11 coupled [1] - 97:1 courage [1] - 3:6 course [27] - 9:8, 12:15, 15:4, 18:20, 25:14, 27:7, 33:16, 38:9, 38:23, 51:8, 51:17, 51:23, 54:14, 56:6, 61:7, 61:24, 67:19, 77:14, 86:3, 98:5, 108:2, 137:25, 144:17, 161:20, 174:23, 186:12, 186:18 Court [14] - 19:15, 22:7, 35:2, 79:5, 81:22, 87:21, 95:3, 95:5, 103:10, 138:21, 138:25, 181:20 court [11] - 46:3, 119:16, 150:6, 167:20, 168:22, 168:24, 169:16, 170:14, 174:13, 176:15, 179:20 courtesy [1] - 185:17 courts [9] - 35:3,

103:12, 172:5, 172:8, 172:11, 172:13, 172:16, 175:14, 179:24 cover [5] - 41:7, 69:7, 69:18. 152:10. 185:24 coverage [1] - 124:8 covered [4] - 6:22, 7:2, 10:6, 42:5 covers [1] - 182:14 **CPIC** [3] - 11:11, 11:24, 12:1 crack [2] - 104:3, 106:1 crackdown [1] - 106:4 create [4] - 112:4, 112:19, 124:17, 180:19 created [3] - 103:19, 112:7, 168:3 creating [2] - 160:23, 178:12 creation [3] - 102:22, 103:5, 115:19 creative [1] - 163:12 credibility [3] - 41:12, 65:3, 72:13 credible [10] - 61:25, 62:5, 62:6, 67:22, 73:1, 78:19, 79:24, 79:25, 83:2, 90:9 credit [2] - 86:15, 99:13 Cree [1] - 130:19 Creek [1] - 130:20 Creison [1] - 2:25 crew [2] - 115:3, 180:12 Crey [5] - 2:19, 33:10, 150:13, 150:17, 150:23 Crey's [1] - 150:13 cries [1] - 106:25 crime [73] - 11:22, 42:16, 44:7, 45:22, 46:16, 47:17, 51:14, 51:18, 51:22, 51:23, 52:16, 52:18, 52:24, 53:2, 53:15, 53:16, 54:15, 54:18, 55:12, 55:13, 56:24, 57:5, 57:18, 57:19, 58:5, 58:11, 58:16, 59:3, 59:21, 60:19, 61:11, 61:14, 62:24, 63:6, 63:8, 63:15, 64:13, 64:15, 67:16, 70:2, 73:2, 76:18, 78:6, 78:7, 78:9, 78:11,

80:9, 83:10, 84:10,	crop [1] - 121:5	damning [1] - 114:22	39:1, 47:17, 56:11,	degree [5] - 8:16,
84:12, 86:9, 89:10,	cross [13] - 5:17, 14:5,	danger [1] - 110:4	66:21, 78:8, 84:17,	8:17, 41:7, 56:13,
90:13, 90:14, 90:15,	56:1, 57:2, 57:17,	dangerous [1] - 102:9	92:2, 133:1, 141:20,	78:10
90:17, 90:18, 91:16,	61:6, 84:9, 85:5,	dark [2] - 28:7, 149:21	153:1	degrees [2] - 34:24,
92:20, 93:16, 94:1,	86:12, 86:18, 86:21,	Darrell [2] - 34:19,	deaths [2] - 107:13,	108:6
94:14, 94:18, 97:18,	87:25, 92:16	53:19	141:19	dehumanized [1] -
98:9, 98:18, 124:25,	cross-examination	data [1] - 145:22	debating [1] - 15:24	135:1
125:1, 159:5, 175:11	[12] - 14:5, 56:1,	date [10] - 7:19, 11:16,	Debot [1] - 81:23	delay [2] - 59:3, 59:10
crimes [40] - 10:5,	57:2, 57:17, 61:6,	11:25, 87:6, 87:8,	<b>Debra</b> [1] - 2:23	delays [2] - 28:12,
10:12, 53:8, 55:2,	84:9, 85:5, 86:12,	108:23, 115:11,	debt [2] - 168:6, 168:9	172:13
55:7, 56:4, 56:6,	86:18, 86:21, 87:25,	137:3, 183:17,	<b>decade</b> [2] - 186:13,	delegated [1] - 39:12
56:13, 59:10, 59:13,	92:16	185:10	186:18	delegating [1] - 5:8
59:17, 60:4, 60:16,	cross-examine [1] -	dates [3] - 167:21,	decades [1] - 153:4	deleted [1] - 7:1
60:20, 60:22, 62:8, 62:17, 64:10, 71:7	5:17 <b>C</b> rown www. 0:10	168:22, 168:24	deceased [1] - 118:2	deliberate [1] - 118:1
62:17, 64:10, 71:7, 74:21, 76:11, 76:17,	<b>Crown</b> [33] - 9:19,	daughter [4] - 96:6,	deceit [2] - 54:8, 97:19	deliberately [1] -
83:15, 85:12, 86:7,	10:1, 10:14, 11:1,	151:22, 152:17,	deceitful [1] - 38:6	117:18
92:7, 92:16, 93:9,	12:13, 12:18, 13:3,	152:23	deceiving [2] - 115:9,	<b>deliver</b> [3] - 1:21,
93:11, 95:12, 95:20,	13:8, 13:15, 13:18, 13:20, 14:18, 15:14,	daughters [1] - 26:17	118:11	3:17, 8:1
96:25, 105:21,	16:12, 17:9, 17:14,	Dave [2] - 37:1, 122:20	<b>December</b> [4] - 98:13,	<b>delivered</b> [2] - 32:19,
105:22, 120:6,	19:19, 20:7, 20:22,	<b>David</b> [7] - 11:6, 11:7,	109:7, 110:10,	109:6
124:20, 124:21,	21:25, 22:4, 23:11,	11:19, 11:23, 12:7,	117:18	delivering [1] - 1:17
167:14, 167:20,	23:15, 23:19, 24:3,	128:3 David's [1] - 12:14	deception [3] -	demanded [2] - 13:7,
171:18	24:7, 24:10, 24:13,		115:21, 117:11,	136:16
criminal [26] - 3:24,	24:21, 103:17,	<b>Davidson</b> [4] - 37:4,	119:4 <b>decide</b> [2] - 22:19,	demands [3] - 47:2,
5:1, 9:17, 12:13,	119:17, 136:5	47:9, 69:12, 80:25 <b>Davies</b> [6] - 16:16,	45:16	106:1, 180:15
14:23, 42:24, 51:2,	Crown's [6] - 15:19,	18:3, 19:14, 19:16,	<b>decided</b> [2] - 15:17,	demeaning [1] - 33:8 demonstrate [1] -
52:11, 52:16, 61:5,	16:3, 16:9, 23:4,	20:1, 38:25	83:19	102:15
61:9, 78:14, 79:1,	23:14, 164:11	dawn [1] - 150:13	decision [22] - 8:22,	demonstrates [1] -
87:22, 92:2, 100:14,	crucial [1] - 147:15	<b>Dawn</b> [3] - 2:17, 2:18,	15:24, 16:9, 16:11,	160:23
100:15, 102:14,	cruel [1] - 171:20	33:9	16:23, 16:24, 17:3,	demonstrations [1] -
104:17, 120:5,	cruise [1] - 26:14	days [9] - 13:17,	17:14, 18:8, 20:17,	109:8
159:2, 161:10,	cruising [2] - 47:7,	23:20, 31:10, 39:11,	23:2, 95:4, 109:16,	denied [1] - 165:19
167:24, 168:23,	68:16	41:12, 49:6, 124:10,	115:2, 116:17,	Dennis [1] - 128:3
170:3	culprit [1] - 33:21	188:1	117:3, 119:10,	denoted [1] - 13:8
Criminal [6] - 10:13,	culture [4] - 30:14,	de [2] - 33:9, 81:15	119:13, 119:17,	denounce [6] - 114:8,
10:19, 23:2, 53:13,	152:9, 156:5, 174:6	dead [1] - 141:18	119:23, 147:3,	119:4, 119:6,
65:11, 124:4	cultures [3] - 131:22,	deadline [3] - 5:14,	187:10	120:12, 120:14,
criminalized [2] -	132:9, 132:13	184:2, 188:5	decisions [5] - 17:1,	121:23
168:20, 179:1	cups [1] - 171:3	deal [11] - 16:22,	19:20, 107:18,	denounced [2] -
criminals [1] - 172:7	cures [1] - 158:10	19:23, 20:13, 23:11,	181:16	114:2, 121:21
crisis [1] - 168:3 critical [9] - 4:17,	current [5] - 78:15,	40:10, 107:15,	decrease [2] - 112:1,	denunciation [1] -
4:18, 5:18, 42:14,	123:11, 123:16,	107:17, 164:7,	112:15	119:20
105:14, 123:1,	169:6, 172:23	164:14, 164:16,	decriminalize [1] -	denying [1] - 21:14
139:16, 139:23,	Currie [1] - 130:16	165:11	124:2	departed [2] - 3:2,
169:6	custody [1] - 171:19	dealing [17] - 20:14,	decriminalizing [1] -	36:24
criticism [6] - 17:3,	customers [3] -	40:1, 41:14, 45:20,	123:25	Department [62] -
20:8, 104:20,	103:17, 103:19,	55:18, 70:25, 72:3,	dedicated [1] - 28:4	9:20, 10:2, 11:12,
104:21, 133:25,	109:19	85:25, 100:12,	deemed [1] - 56:11	26:9, 28:23, 29:14,
136:11	cuts [1] - 179:12	108:17, 141:12,	deep [1] - 154:25	30:22, 31:18, 32:6,
criticisms [3] -	cutting [1] - 169:25	147:24, 161:3,	deeply [1] - 26:23	33:11, 33:22, 39:21,
145:25, 146:24,	cycles [2] - 153:16	163:24, 164:3,	defamatory [1] - 33:8	41:23, 50:18, 52:7,
160:1	Cynthia [1] - 2:17	173:11, 184:15	<b>defence</b> [2] - 14:6,	61:3, 64:9, 69:4,
criticize [3] - 21:16,		dealings [3] - 38:6,	14:8	69:24, 70:1, 71:6,
157:2, 159:25	D	65:15, 127:8 dealt [6] - 16:6, 16:17,	deficiencies [1] -	71:10, 71:13, 72:23,
criticizing [2] -	daily [1] - 171:2	26:22, 36:4, 154:22,	133:24	72:25, 74:10, 74:17,
106:18, 122:2	Daley [1] - 32:24	165:7	define [1] - 47:16	76:8, 79:17, 88:10, 88:19, 89:8, 96:25,
critics [1] - 117:23	Dalhousie [1] - 70:13	<b>Dear</b> [1] - 103:18	<b>defined</b> [3] - 47:20, 53:12, 139:9	101:17, 102:2,
critiques [1] - 145:24	damages [1] - 178:9	death [11] - 38:24,	definition [2] - 53:20	101:17, 102:2, 102:5, 102:23,
			<b>Gennion</b> [2] - 00.20	102.0, 102.20,
		viii —		1

103:8, 104:1, 105:17, 107:12, 108:10, 108:13, 108:19, 109:1, 109:3, 109:7, 109:17, 111:3, 112:6, 114:16, 115:23. 118:21. 121:16, 126:22, 126:25, 129:13, 129:24, 133:5, 139:22, 142:15, 186:13 department [5] - 31:7, 121:25, 140:6, 150:17, 150:18 department's [2] -30:11, 30:13 Department's [2] -29:12, 31:24 departments [2] -28:9, 143:23 dependent [2] - 66:14, 169.21deploy [6] - 107:12, 107:16, 110:18, 113:22, 113:24, 122:6 deployed [5] - 102:7, 105:17, 108:1, 112:25, 113:9 deploying [1] - 104:5 deployment [1] -118:10 depth [1] - 113:15 Deputy [23] - 12:3, 31:1, 31:4, 32:23, 32:24, 42:21, 50:21, 57:24, 75:3, 83:25, 88:13, 89:9, 92:18, 93:4, 97:22, 111:17, 112:2, 114:11, 121:9, 122:8, 122:9 deputy [1] - 39:21 derailed [1] - 120:4 deriding [1] - 33:14 derisive [1] - 120:22 derogatory [1] -166:17 descendants [1] -130:6 descendents [1] -131:4 described [7] - 29:13, 48:17, 149:22, 150:3, 150:17, 151:2, 155:24 describes [1] - 104:12 description [3] -114:15, 169:12, 2:4

descriptions [1] -101:21 deserve [3] - 35:14, 39:9. 110:7 deserving [1] - 46:19 designation [1] - 13:9 designed [1] - 17:11 desperate [1] - 31:14 desperately [1] -166:20 despite [5] - 39:4, 85:8, 146:8, 176:7, 180:15 destitute [1] - 177:25 destroyed [3] - 23:5, 40:3, 156:7 destructive [1] -132:12 detachment [1] -80:17 detail [3] - 101:7, 101:12, 101:13 detailed [3] - 78:12, 129:20, 149:3 details [2] - 81:24, 142:10 detective [1] - 29:1 Detective [12] - 29:9, 30:2, 33:20, 61:1, 109:10, 111:18, 113:15, 114:24, 115:6, 116:16, 122:19, 155:15 Detectives [3] -113:18, 116:3, 122:16 deter [1] - 180:2 determination [1] -96:3 determine [2] - 87:6, 87:7 determined [1] - 12:18 determining [1] - 87:2 detox [1] - 167:3 detract [2] - 149:5, 160:2 devaluation [1] -134:25 devalued [1] - 134:25 developed [1] -158:20 development [1] -132:15 device [1] - 76:22 devote [1] - 107:20 devoted [3] - 42:18, 103:6, 173:18 diagnosable [1] -167:8 diagnosed [1] -

167:10 dialogue [1] - 158:18 Dianne [4] - 2:16, 2:24, 151:8, 151:16 diatribe [1] - 86:6 Dicks [1] - 120:20 **Dickson** [3] - 122:20, 126:20, 155:18 dictionaries [1] -53:21 dictionary [2] - 47:16, 53:20 die [1] - 181:17 died [3] - 38:3, 49:15, 49:17 differ [1] - 18:5 different [6] - 2:2, 29:7, 97:8, 149:15, 151:20, 169:20 difficult [6] - 53:14, 54:8, 87:5, 127:4, 169:1, 187:12 difficulty [9] - 81:17, 83:4, 84:24, 85:6, 86:1, 86:25, 87:1, 146:3, 185:5 digested [1] - 107:19 dignity [1] - 178:2 diligence [1] - 14:21 diligent [1] - 134:7 diligently [1] - 92:5 directed [2] - 62:10, 68:3 direction [4] - 51:25, 52:7, 102:6, 122:5 directions [1] - 120:25 directly [1] - 181:3 director [4] - 6:17. 39:16. 40:1. 40:7 director's [1] - 40:4 dirt [2] - 32:11, 33:17 disability [1] - 167:9 disadvantaged [3] -30:17, 32:1, 105:10 disagree [1] - 126:10 disagreeable [2] -126:10, 126:11 disappearance [6] -28:4, 55:6, 58:17, 70:2, 173:1, 176:19 disappearances [9] -2:12, 26:6, 29:13, 29:19, 36:13, 75:14, 75:21, 111:1, 150:22 disappearing [2] -55:7, 174:20 disappointed [1] - 8:3 disappointment [1] -25:20 disbanded [1] -

-ix-

111:25 discern [1] - 29:2 disciplinary [1] -125:7 disclose [3] - 40:15, 40:19, 43:4 disclosed [5] - 5:25, 38:1, 42:3, 42:4, 43:10 discloses [1] - 15:14 disclosing [1] - 41:18 discontinued [1] -171:18 discount [2] - 34:13, 120:1 discouraged [1] - 8:3 discourse [1] - 104:12 discretion [4] - 16:1, 16:3, 100:11, 100:18 discriminated [1] -134:20 discrimination [5] -114:7, 120:9, 121:11, 121:25, 125:5 discrimination-free [1] - 125:5 discriminatory [6] -104:16, 105:5, 114:5, 120:16, 121:5. 121:8 discussed [1] - 41:20 discussing [2] - 37:5, 64:8 discussion [3] - 53:8, 129:10, 147:22 disdain [1] - 32:7 disease [1] - 139:24 disgusting [1] - 30:4 dislocated [1] -144:15 dislocation [1] -171:22 disorder [1] - 104:4 disorganized [1] -112:11 disparaging [1] - 33:7 dispel [1] - 152:3 displacement [1] -102:12 displayed [2] - 25:23, 26:23 disposal [1] - 104:13 dispose [1] - 94:3 disposed [1] - 13:14 disproportionate [4] -130:5, 156:10, 161:5, 161:14 disrespect [4] - 8:6, 25:24, 151:2, 151:6

disrespected [1] -134:22 disrespectful [1] -135:14 disrupt [1] - 103:13 disservice [3] - 138:1, 147:6, 148:19 distance [1] - 50:12 distinct [1] - 96:18 distinctions [2] -108:3, 108:7 distracted [2] -113:18, 113:20 distraction [1] - 69:10 distributed [3] - 1:7, 108:24, 183:1 distributing [1] -118:25 districts [1] - 102:25 distrust [1] - 154:25 divided [1] - 173:19 Division [1] - 42:22 divorced [1] - 94:17 doctors [1] - 13:24 document [6] - 37:24, 41:9, 41:18, 85:7, 85:9, 85:25 documented [2] -109:15, 170:1 documents [17] -4:18, 5:21, 6:15, 6:19, 6:21, 7:3, 14:9, 14:12, 41:22, 41:23, 41:25, 46:6, 46:7, 102:24, 182:16 doggedly [1] - 3:11 dollars [5] - 173:10, 175:8, 177:21, 178:6, 178:20 domestically [1] -136:18 dominate [1] - 131:25 **Dominion** [1] - 36:20 **Don** [4] - 38:11, 113:19, 122:9, 128:3 Donalee [5] - 151:21, 152:6, 152:11, 152:13, 152:18 done [38] - 3:7, 14:12, 20:14, 20:15, 20:19, 20:23, 21:1, 21:3, 21:24, 22:5, 22:12, 28:14, 53:11, 84:23, 85:19, 86:20, 87:11, 91:11, 92:15, 92:19, 92:22, 93:9, 95:15, 98:24, 99:3, 100:21, 117:14, 122:14, 123:17, 123:24, 135:23, 135:25,

143:18, 144:21,	drawn [1] - 59:7	duplicate [1] - 185:25	160:20, 163:15,	emergency [2] -
163:15, 182:20,	dreamt [1] - 149:19	during [22] - 32:9,	164:6, 164:17,	154:20, 179:18
184:8, 187:14	Drew [1] - 2:21	34:14, 56:11, 60:17,	165:4, 166:14,	emerging [3] - 106:11,
doubt [5] - 14:25,	Driemel [2] - 32:25,	61:24, 71:5, 78:9,	175:22, 176:12,	106:13, 106:14
79:3, 79:9, 113:3,	33:5	84:17, 86:21,	179:16, 180:13	emotional [2] - 3:9,
187:19	drinking [2] - 149:23,	101:17, 102:3,	easy [1] - 69:2	162:21
DOUST [6] - 15:21,	150:4	105:17, 113:12,	Eclipse [1] - 111:7	emphasized [1] -
20:4, 21:2, 21:12,	dripping [1] - 26:19	117:24, 120:7,	economic [2] -	100:11
22:9, 22:22	drive [2] - 58:1, 100:23	124:11, 126:12,	132:15, 140:17	employed [1] - 75:23
Doust [3] - 18:6,	driven [1] - 106:24	133:7, 135:4,	Ed [1] - 163:22	employees [2] - 26:9,
18:18, 20:3	drop [5] - 15:17, 63:3,	139:11, 147:12,	education [6] -	166:3
Doust's [3] - 17:25,	88:17, 166:6, 179:4	155:22	165:24, 166:1,	enable [1] - 24:5
19:16, 34:3	<b>drop-in</b> [2] - 166:6,	duties [1] - 52:6	166:7, 173:20,	enabled [4] - 6:4, 6:9,
down [19] - 4:16,	179:4	duty [18] - 19:3, 22:25,	181:11	41:5, 41:6
13:20, 63:3, 88:17,	dropped [1] - 8:18	51:14, 51:17, 51:22,	Edward [1] - 51:3	enables [1] - 10:17
97:9, 97:20, 104:4,	drove [1] - 39:22	51:24, 52:14, 52:15,	effect [5] - 6:3, 10:23,	enact [1] - 182:7
106:1, 116:4, 134:5,	<b>Drug</b> [5] - 162:18,	58:10, 58:12, 59:2,	21:19, 110:6, 111:14	enacted [1] - 133:15
137:15, 137:21,	164:20, 165:5,	74:20, 91:20, 95:25,	effective [2] - 121:24,	enactment [1] -
139:21, 152:10,	165:15, 165:21	118:12, 136:5, 145:8	179:6	100:14
160:13, 169:13,	drug [50] - 11:3, 15:20,	dying [1] - 13:23	effectively [3] - 103:3,	encountered [1] - 8:8
169:25, 182:22,	23:11, 24:1, 24:9,	dysfunction [1] -	115:25, 153:19	encourage [2] -
185:23	26:2, 32:3, 38:5,	141:25	efficiently [1] - 168:5	100:22, 150:18
downtown [3] - 11:15,	42:13, 66:14,	dysfunctional [2] -	effort [2] - 87:11,	encouraged [3] - 50:9,
49:10, 135:2	100:15, 101:20,	29:14, 161:13	173:7	103:17, 104:11
Downtown [62] - 9:11,	104:4, 105:10,	Dziekanski [1] - 38:24	efforts [5] - 14:15,	end [21] - 19:23,
11:4, 25:2, 29:4,	106:2, 114:6,		16:20, 143:4,	20:22, 21:7, 22:1,
30:24, 31:8, 32:2,	119:11, 119:13,	E	173:16, 188:4	22:22, 22:19, 47:19,
38:9, 42:14, 44:8,	119:16, 120:4,		egos [1] - 93:3	47:24, 59:20, 71:1,
47:6, 47:23, 48:13,	124:23, 141:4,	e-mails [4] - 40:12,	eight [2] - 152:24,	109:12, 122:22,
55:20, 57:13, 58:9,	152:1, 165:23,	40:16, 40:19, 40:24	177:2	146:23, 156:19,
60:22, 62:20, 63:16,	166:10, 167:3,	Earl [1] - 122:7	eighth [1] - 125:11	167:25, 168:4,
66:11, 66:15, 66:17,	168:16, 171:3,	early [9] - 11:16,	either [9] - 15:15,	178:15, 178:16,
75:10, 100:4,	171:5, 171:7, 171:9,	31:13, 38:12, 47:25,	17:8, 44:10, 44:11,	181:17, 184:22,
100:13, 104:2,	171:23, 172:5,	99:18, 115:4,	53:18, 53:23,	186:4
106:5, 107:14,	172:8, 172:24,	138:19, 150:8,	102:13, 155:3,	endeavour [1] - 99:8
108:11, 108:16,	173:3, 173:4, 173:8,	157:11	168:24	ended [7] - 36:16,
111:2, 111:15,	173:12, 173:14,	earns [1] - 174:13	elaborated [1] - 123:1	66:21, 144:17,
113:6, 117:2, 127:2,	173:16, 173:18,	easiest [1] - 174:8	elephant [1] - 98:13	148:22, 149:21,
127:20, 127:24,	174:7, 174:9, 175:1,	east [1] - 29:25	elevated [1] - 39:7	150:16, 156:10
128:18, 130:1,	175:4, 179:1, 179:3,	Eastside [62] - 9:11,		ending [1] - 148:24
130:7, 131:7, 135:9,	181:15, 181:19	11:4, 25:2, 29:5,	eleven [1] - 31:19	endorsed [1] - 77:18
139:22, 145:11,	drug-addicted [5] -	30:24, 31:8, 32:2,	Eli [1] - 33:1	enforce [2] - 52:10,
145:16, 147:25,	11:3, 23:11, 42:13,	38:9, 42:14, 44:8,	eliminated [1] - 116:17	52:16
148:17, 148:22,	168:16, 173:4	47:6, 47:23, 48:13,		enforceable [2] -
148:25, 154:6,	drug-related [1] -	55:20, 57:13, 58:9,	Elizabeth [1] - 129:5 Ellingsen [2] - 49:11,	180:20, 182:12
156:11, 159:7,	174:7	60:22, 62:20, 63:16,	•	
160:20, 163:15,	druggies [2] - 166:24,	66:11, 66:15, 66:18,	120:3	enforced [1] - 102:18 enforcement [5] -
164:5, 164:17,	174:16	75:11, 100:5,	Ellis [1] - 2:18	
165:4, 166:13,	drugs [19] - 47:5, 47:8,	100:13, 104:3,	elsewhere [1] - 58:3	124:4, 124:21,
175:21, 176:12,	69:2, 80:14, 165:22,	106:5, 107:14,	Elsie [5] - 2:20,	173:8, 173:11, 173:18
179:16, 180:13	165:23, 166:8,	108:11, 108:16,	151:18, 151:24,	enforcing [2] - 14:23,
dozen [4] - 13:13,	166:13, 166:19,	111:2, 111:15,	152:11, 177:1	123:25
24:20, 112:25,	167:17, 171:7,	113:6, 117:2, 127:2,	Elsie's [1] - 151:22	
166:10	172:6, 174:4,	127:20, 127:24,	embark [1] - 73:21	engaged [2] - 117:22,
dozens [1] - 29:24	174:16, 174:17,	128:18, 130:2,	embarked [2] - 61:4,	178:20
<b>Dr</b> [1] - 101:1	174:25, 175:21,	130:8, 131:7, 135:9,	61:5	Engineering [1] -
draft [2] - 14:6, 84:4	175:23	139:22, 145:11,	embarrassing [1] -	103:12
drafted [1] - 14:14	due [3] - 23:25, 57:9,	145:16, 148:1,	6:12	enhancement [1] -
draw [4] - 20:20, 21:3,	174:5	148:17, 148:22,	embrace [1] - 182:6	101:25
21:6, 21:9	dumped [1] - 103:22	148:25, 154:6,	emerged [2] - 25:18,	enormity [2] - 29:23,
	aampoa [i] = 100.22	156:11, 159:7,	154:25	176:1

exomous[ii] - 36, estimate [i] - 168 - 9 estimate [i] - 173 - 19 estimate [i] - 173 - 19 esti					
enormously (j) - 4:1 ensign - 153:7, fss:25         estimation (j) - 99:16 introduction (j) - 173:7         101:14 . 108:17, 127.7 118:17, serial constraints (j) - 127.7 118:17, serial constraints (j) - 127.1         100:11 serial constraints (j) - 127.1         centers (j) - 100:11 serial constraints (j) - 127.1         centers (j) - 100:11 serial constraints (j) - 127.1           128.5, 168.9, 181:10 ensuring (j) - 127.2         evaluation (j) - 173.2         129.20, 392.1, 401.4         evaluation (j) - 173.2         evaluation (j) - 173.1	enormous [4] - 3:6,	estimate [1] - 166:9	97:8, 97:12, 97:21,		extend [1] - 127:10
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	4:25, 186:20	estimated [1] - 173:15	98:8, 101:11,	exercise [2] - 16:2,	extended [1] - 115:9
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	enormously [1] - 4:1	estimation [1] - 99:16	101:14, 108:17,	100:11	extensive [4] - 137:9,
$ \begin{array}{c} 185 25 \\ ensued [n] - 301 \\ ensued [n] - 401 \\ 122.7 \\ 124.7 \\ 147.1 \\ 147.1 \\$	-		117:7, 118:17,	exercised [2] - 10:10.	138:3, 140:15,
ensure (p): -20:19         132:12         125:7, 125:8, 125:7, 136:20         exercising (p): -136:20         exercising (p): -136:20           124:7, 147:11, evade (p): -177:7         evaluation (p): -173:9         evaluation (p): -173:9         EXHBIT (p): -183:8, 136:20         evaluation (p): -173:9           177:7, 173: 3         124: 39:15, 39:19, 99:19         124: 41:41:41:40         136:20         ExhBIT (p): -183:8, 136:20         evaluation (p): -173:9           entering (p): -123:14         Evans (p): -56:18, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:18, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 56:19, 57:19, 57:19:59         evaluation (p): -131:19, 73:19, 73:19:19, 73:19, 73:19, 73:19, 73:19, 73:19:19, 72:20         evaluation (p): -131:19, 73:1	••	• •	120:17, 121:14,	•••	
ensure $p_1 - 231.6$ , $p_1 - 231.6$ , $p_2 - 131.7$ , $p_1 - 224.7$ , $p_1 - 133.10$ , $p_1 - 231.5$ , $p_2 - 231.5$ , $p_1 - 234.5$ , $p_1 - 234.5$ , $p_1 - 234.5$ , $p_2 - 244.5$	ensued [1] - 30:19		125:7, 125:9,	exercising [1] -	extensively [1] -
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	••		125:16, 125:17,	•	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	••	• • • •			
ensuring pp - 124:14, 177:23         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 16:13         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 16:13         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 16:13         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 16:13         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 124, 99:15, 39:19, 174, 171, 171, 171, 171, 171, 171, 18         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 177, 415, 141:13, 39:19, 171, 171, 171, 18         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 16:15, 69:17, 131, 14:12, 86:12, 131, 121, 138, 7         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 16:15, 69:17, 131, 121, 138, 7         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 16:15, 69:17, 131, 121, 138, 7         Example pp - 510, 6:3, 131, 121, 132, 121, 122, 121, 121, 122, 121, 121					
$ \begin{array}{cccc} 177.23 \\ 124, 39, 15, 39, 10, \\ 124, 39, 15, 39, 10, \\ 124, 39, 15, 39, 10, \\ 124, 39, 15, 39, 10, \\ 132, 132, 132, 124, 132, 15, 31, 141, 13, \\ 161, 13, 161, 161, 161, 171, 171, 171, 171, 171$					
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{entre} [2] - 17.3, 23.2 \\ \mbox{entre} [2] - 17.4, 141.4, 43.25, 50.21, \\ \mbox{entre} [2] - 17.4, 15.4, 141.13, 141.1 \\ \mbox{entre} [2] - 17.4, 15.4, 141.2 \\ \mbox{entre} [2] - 17.4, 15.4, 141.2 \\ \mbox{entre} [3] - 15.5, \\ \mbox{entre} [3] - 12.4, \\ \mbox$	-				••
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				••	
entering [b] - 168:14, 1717, 1718         753, 86:18, 86:22, 88:13, 114:12         777, 415, 141:13, evil [z] - 46:16, 69:7, evil [z] - 42:1, evil [z] - 42:1, 179:6, evil [z] - 122:1, evil [z] - 122:1, evil [z] - 122:1, evil [z] - 122:1, evil [z] - 142:1, evil [z] - 142:1, evil [z] - 142:1, event [z] - 122:1, event [z]	,				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-	•••	• • •
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				••	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	••				
entirely in - 50:13 entirely in - 16:23 entirely in - 16:23 entrenched (in - 14:21, 18:25, 20:20, 112:22, 117:15 entrenched (in - 14:21, 12:21, 12:12, 12:12;14, 12:16, 12:21, 12:21, 12:12, 12:12;14, 12:16, 12:21, 12:21, 12:22, 12:12, 12:12, 12:13, 12:22, 12:12, 12:12, 12:13, 12:22, 12:12, 12:12, 12:13, 12:14, 16:61, 61:7, 12:11, 17:41, 16:61, 61:7, 12:11, 17:41, 16:61, 61:7, 12:11, 17:41, 16:61, 61:7, 12:23, 12:12, 12:12, 12:12, 12:32, 12:12, 12:12, 12:12, 13:16, 13:16, 13:21, 15:6, 13:16, 13:21, 15:6, 13:16, 13:21, 15:6, 13:16, 13:21, 15:6, 13:16, 13:21, 15:6, 13:23, 23:12, 23:13, 13:23, 23:12, 23:13, 13:23, 23:12, 23:13, 13:23, 23:24, 24:8, erroreus (in - 16:15; 13:23, 23:12, 23:13, 15:10, 13:21, 12:2, 11:7; 16; equilps (in - 16:15; 13:23, 23:24, 24:8, erroreus (in - 16:15; 13:23, 23:12, 23:13, 14:16, 13:218 error [in - 15:12, 15:16, 13:218 error [in - 15:12, 15:16, 13:218 error [in - 15:12, 15:16, 13:218 error [in - 15:10, 15:23, 15:10, 13:24, 15:10, 13:21, 17:25, 15:10, 13:25, 15:10, 15:20, 15:20, 15:20, 15:20, 15:20, 15:21, 15:10, 15:22, 15:3, 15:44, 15:21, 15:12, 15:10, 13:23, 17:44, 12:12, 13:31, 17:32, 14:14, 14:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:12, 13:31, 17:32, 14:14, 14:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:22, 14:14; 12:14, 17:21, 14:14, 17:21, 14:14, 17:21, 14:14, 14:14, 17:14, 14:					
entirety (): -16:23         38:11, 41:25, 96:7, 112:19, 112:21, 117:15         28:1         42:23, 121:4, 140:23         extremely (): -12:24, 42:20, 120:10           entirety (): -16:23, 12:22, 117:15         87.6, 87.8, 135:18, 168:15         expectations (): - 9xact (): -31:19, 87:3, 160:15         expectations (): - 9xact (): -32:24, 169:34:20, 61:20, 23:16, 173:25         extremely (): - 9xact					
	-		-		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					•••
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	••		••	expectancy [1] -	
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{trown} trow$	18:25, 20:20,	112:22, 117:15		140:24	173:5, 179:5, 185:9
entry [2] - 162, 17:14         evidence [30] - 3:24, environment[2] - formal [2] - 173.6         evidence [30] - 3:24, environment[2] - formal [2] - 173.6         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [2] - 102.5, 12:12, 12:19, evaggeration [1] - formal [2] - 102.1, 120:11         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 3:24, exaggeration [1] - formal [3] - 173.25         evidence [30] - 120, formal [3] - 115:1, formal [3] - 57.5, 71.9, formal [4] - 131.9, formal [4] - 149.25         evidence [3] - formal [3] - 149.25           escaped [1] - 149.25         formal [3] - 417.36         formal [3]	105:20, 120:10	evening [1] - 182:23		expectation [1] - 7:22	
	entrenched [1] - 174:5	event [1] - 14:5	• • •	expectations [2] -	171:4, 176:10
environment [2] $4:21, 6:3, 9:2, 9:25, 10:25, 12:12, 12:19, 10:25, 12:12, 12:19, 10:25, 12:12, 12:19, 10:25, 12:12, 12:19, 12:11, 13:18, 13:21, 15:6, 15:15, 11:16, 16:17, 12:12, 12:19, 11:12, 13:18, 13:21, 15:6, 15:15, 11:12, 12:11, 13:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:11, 11:12, 13:11, 11:$	entry [2] - 16:2, 17:14	evidence [130] - 3:24,		3:16, 173:25	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	4:21, 6:3, 9:2, 9:25,		expected [1] - 1:19	58:14, 74:15
equal [s] - 7:6, 69:12, 10:123, 106:7, 1223, 106:7, 1223, 106:7, 1221, 11         13:18, 13:21, 15:6, 15:14, 16:6, 16:7, 15:14, 16:6, 16:7, 15:14, 16:6, 16:7, 122, 122, 122, 17; 14:5, 56:1, 56:25, 55:1, 56:25, 57:2, 57:17, 61:6, 122, 22, 27.7, 44:22, 57:19, 63:9, 122, 22, 27.7, 44:22, 57:19, 63:9, 101:24, 102:14, 105:21, 109:23, 101:24, 102:14, 105:24, 102:14, 105:24, 109:23, 101:24, 102:14, 101:24, 102:14, 105:21, 109:23, 101:24, 102:14, 105:24, 109:24, 101:24, 102:14, 105:21, 109:23, 101:24, 102:14, 101:24, 102:14, 125:24, 15:31, 15:4, 125:24, 15:31, 15:4, 125:24, 15:31, 15:4, 125:24, 15:31, 15:4, 125:24, 15:31, 15:4, 125:24, 127:14, 128:24, 124:14, 43:16, 126:26, 11-16:14, 126:26, 11-16:14, 126:27, 126:14, 127:14, 128:14, 126:27, 126:14, 126:16, 127:16, 126:22, 127:14, 126:11, 127:14, 128:14,	169:18, 173:6	10:25, 12:12, 12:19,	exaggeration [1] -		
			118:25		F
$ \begin{array}{c} 120:11 \\ \mbox{equally} [12] - 102:1, \\ 125:3 \\ \mbox{equally} [11] - 74:9 \\ \mbox{equally} [12] - 150:13 \\ \mbox{equally} [12] - 567, 5112 \\ \mbox{error} [12] - 557, 5512 \\ \mbox{error} [13] - 556, 7, 159 \\ \mbox{d} 12, 43:16, 43:24, 44:10, \\ 44:16, 132:18 \\ \mbox{error} [12] - 556, 7, 159 \\ \mbox{escaped} [11] - 149:25 \\ \mbox{escaped} [11] - 413:3 \\ $			examination [14] -	-	_
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			14:5, 56:1, 56:25,		
$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\\ 19:24, 20:6, 20:8,\\ equily (n) - 74:9\\ error (a) - 57.5, 12\\ error (a) - 56.7, 19, 43:18, 43:24, 44:10, 44:16, 43:18, 43:24, 44:10, 44:16, 10:14.2\\ error (a) - 56.7, 719, 63:9, 74.23\\ error (a) - 57.5, 12\\ error (a) - 57.5, 12\\ error (a) - 56.7, 19, 43:18, 43:24, 44:10, 44:16, 10:120\\ error (a) - 56.7, 19, 43:18, 43:24, 44:10, 44:16, 10:120\\ error (a) - 56.7, 19, 43:18, 43:24, 44:10, 45:16, 65:16, 65:22, 54:21, 56:15, 50:15, 50:15, 50:19, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 54:24, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 54:24, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 73:7, $			57:2, 57:17, 61:6,	•	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			84:9, 85:5, 86:12,		
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{equipped} [\eta] - 115:12 \\ \mbox{Ernie}[2] - 150:13, \\ 150:20 \\ \mbox{erred}[2] - 5:7, 5:12 \\ \mbox{erred}[2] - 5:7, 5:12 \\ \mbox{errod}[3] - 5:10, 40:2, \\ 44:16, 132:18 \\ \mbox{errod}[1] - 58:20 \\ \mbox{errod}[1] - 149:25 \\ \mbox{escaped}[1] - 149:25 \\ \mbox{escaped}[1] - 149:25 \\ \mbox{escaped}[1] - 149:25 \\ \mbox{escaped}[1] - 149:25 \\ \mbox{essential}[2] - 41:23 \\ \mbox{rdd}[2] - 30:15 \\ \mbox{18,} 61:13, 62:4 \\ \mbox{essential}[2] - 41:23 \\ \mbox{rdd}[2] - 41:25 \\$			86:18, 86:21, 87:25,		
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Errie}[2] - 150:13, \\ 150:20 \\ \mbox{erred}[2] - 57, 5:12 \\ \mbox{errod}[2] - 56;14, 66;16, 6 \\ \mbox{errod}[2] - 140:16 \\ \mbox{errod}[2] - 140:16 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[1] - 140:16 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[1] - 142:11 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[1] - 147:18 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[1] - 114:21 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[1] - 114:21 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[1] - 114:21 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[2] - 114, 5:8 \\ \mbox{atlef}[2] - 114, 5:8 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 30:25 \\ \mbox{atlef}[2] - 114, 5:8 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atlef}[2] - 114, 5:8 \\ \mbox{erample}[5] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atlef}[2] - 114, 5:8 \\ \mbox{erample}[3] - 47:7 \\ \mbox{atlef}[3] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atlef}[3] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atlef}[3] - 17:4 \\ \mbox{atlef}[3] - 114:24 \\ \mbox{erample}[3] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atlef}[3] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atlef}[3] - 50:19 \\ \mbox{atle}$	• • • • •		88:11, 92:16		
$ \begin{array}{c} 130:20 \\ 150:$			examine [3] - 5:17,		
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	••				
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	••		examines [1] - 140:16		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					facilitate [1] - 147:18
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{iscaped} (1) - 149:25 \\ \textbf{escaping} (1) - 149:20 \\ \textbf{escaping} (1) - 149:20 \\ \textbf{especially} (2) - 30:15, \\ 180:23 \\ \textbf{essential} (2) - 41:23, \\ 74:23 \\ \textbf{essential} (2) - 41:23, \\ 74:23 \\ \textbf{essentially} (1) - 4:3 \\ \textbf{established} (8) - 11:16, \\ 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, \\ 102:10, 104:18, \\ 104:22, 105:4 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 42:12 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, \\ 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, \\ 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, \\ 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, \\ 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, \\ 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, \\ 60:11, 60:12, \\ 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, \\ 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, \\ 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, \\ 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, \\ 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, \\ 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, \\ 71:16, 76:20, 77:6, \\ 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, \\ 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, \\ 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, \\ 59:19 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishment} (1) - \\ 42:12 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 42:12 \\ \textbf{45:17, 50:15, 50:19, \\ 60:21, 70:17, 71:22, \\ 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, \\ 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, \\ 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, \\ 83:12, 88:14, 90:22, \\ 91:2, 93:5, 93:18, \\ 94:10, 96:11, 96:19, \\ 42:12 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{31:6, 32:5, 97:24, \\ 147:19 \\ \textbf{excellent} (1) - 114:21 \\ \textbf{excellent} (1) - 127:7 \\ \textbf{excellent} (1) - 127:7 \\ \textbf{except} (1) - 61:14 \\ \textbf{exceptions} (3) - \\ \textbf{except} (3) - 50:19, \\ 50:20, 79:3 \\ \textbf{expose} (1) - 180:8 \\ \textbf{exposse} (1) - 80:8 \\ \textbf{exposse} (1) - 80:8 \\ \textbf{exposse} (1) - 48:1 \\ \textbf{expressing} (1) - 32:3 \\ \textbf{extentive} (5) - 6:17, \\ 39:16, 40:1, 40:4, \\ \textbf{extentive} (5) - 6:17, \\ 39:16, 40:1, 40:4, \\ \textbf{extentive} (5) - 6:17, \\ 39:16, 40:1, 40:4, \\ $	<b>ອກປາສ</b> [ວ] - 0.0, 7.19,			136.10 144.2	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					facilitated [1] - 103:9
$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	125:15	45:2, 45:6, 45:14,	example [5] - 30:25,	180:25	facilitated [1] - 103:9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19,	<b>example</b> [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24,	180:25 experiences [3] -	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4
$160.23$ $60:18, 61:13, 62:4, \\ 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, \\ 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, \\ essentially [1] - 4:3$ $60:18, 61:13, 62:4, \\ 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, \\ 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, \\ 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, \\ 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, \\ 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, \\ 102:10, 104:18, \\ 104:22, 105:4 \\ establishes [1] - \\ 59:19 \\ establishing [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ establishing [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ establishment [1] - \\ 42:12 \\ \hline \end{aligned}$ $except [1] - 61:14 \\ exceptions [3] - \\ 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 \\ except [1] - 61:6 \\ exchange [3] - 47:7, \\ 70:8, 181:14 \\ excluded [1] - 167:4 \\ excuse [4] - 15:19, \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14 \\ excuted [1] - 167:4 \\ excuse [4] - 15:19, \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14 \\ excuted [1] - 37:8 \\ excuted [1] - 37:8 \\ excuted [1] - 48:1 \\ expressing [1] - \\ 42:12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ $fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, \\ 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, \\ 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, \\ 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, \\ 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, \\ 50:20, 79:3 \\ expost [1] - 50:23 \\ expost [1] - 50:23 \\ expost [1] - 50:23 \\ expost [1] - 180:8 \\ expost [1] - 32:3 \\ express [2] - 98:22 \\ express [$	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22,	<b>example</b> [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19	180:25 <b>experiences</b> [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4
$\begin{array}{c} \text{ecsential} [2] - 41.23, \\ 74:23 \\ \text{essential} [2] - 41.23, \\ 74:23 \\ \text{essential} [2] - 41.23, \\ \text{essential} [2] - 41.23, \\ 74:23 \\ \text{essential} [2] - 41.23, \\ \text{essential} [2] - 50:23, \\ \text{essential} [1] - 167:4, \\ \text{essense} [2] - 98:22, \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14, \\ \text{essense} [2] - 98:22, \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14, \\ \text{essense} [2] - 98:22, \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14, \\ \text{essense} [2] - 98:22, \\ 11:4, 75:20, 84:2, \\ 88:17, 93:8, 93:14, \\ 95:13, 97:17, \\ 107:10, 118:14, \\ \text{essense} [1] - 48:1, \\$	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15,	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] -	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10,
74.23 $65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 65:3, 67:22, 67:5, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 70:8, 181:14expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 50:23, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 70:8, 181:14, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 59:19, 59:19, 59:19, 59:19, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16, 59:19, 59:19, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16, 59:10, 143:8, 68:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19, 42:1225:22, 117:6, 125:13, 6xpert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 50:23, 60:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 6xchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 70:8, 181:14, 8xi 16, 87:16, 88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19, 94:10, 96:10, 96:10, 96:10, 96:10, 96:10, $	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7	180:25 <b>experiences</b> [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 <b>experiencing</b> [2] - 141:24, 172:2	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{exsentially} [1] - 4.3 \\ \textbf{established} [8] - 1:16, \\ 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, \\ 102:10, 104:18, \\ 104:22, 105:4 \\ \textbf{establishes} [1] - \\ 59:19 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishment} [1] - \\ 42:12 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, \\ 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, \\ 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, \\ 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, \\ 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, \\ 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, \\ 59:19 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishment} [1] - \\ 42:12 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, \\ 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, \\ 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, \\ 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, \\ 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, \\ 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, \\ 59:19 \\ \textbf{establishing} [3] - \\ 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 \\ \textbf{establishment} [1] - \\ 42:12 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, \\ 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, \\ 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, \\ 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, \\ 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, \\ 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, \\ 59:19 \\ \textbf{excluded} [1] - 167:4 \\ \textbf{excluded} [1] - 137:8 \\ \textbf{exccuted} [1] - 37:8 \\ \textbf{exccuted} [1] - 37:8 \\ \textbf{exccuted} [1] - 37:8 \\ \textbf{exccuted} [1] - 48:1 \\ \textbf{expressing} [1] - \\ 155:12 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 74:1, 75:20, 84:2, \\ 88:17, 93:8, 93:14, \\ 95:13, 97:17, \\ 107:10, 118:14, \\ \end{array}$	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23,	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] -	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8,
established [8] - 1:16,       69:21, 70:17, 71:22,         54:21, 59:12, 76:15,       102:10, 104:18,         102:10, 104:18,       77:10, 78:15, 79:4,         104:22, 105:4       79:7, 79:18, 82:3,         establishes [1] -       59:19         establishing [3] -       83:20, 83:23, 83:25,         54:24, 59:10, 143:8       88:12, 88:14, 90:22,         91:2, 93:5, 93:18,       94:10, 96:11, 96:19,         94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       94:10, 96:11, 96:19,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] -	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7,
54.21, 59.12, 70.15, $102:10, 104:18,$ $104:22, 105:4$ $73:7, 76:20, 77:6,$ $77:10, 78:15, 79:4,$ $79:7, 79:18, 82:3,$ $59:19$ $70:8, 181:14$ $exploiting [1] - 180:10$ $excluded [1] - 167:4$ $excuse [4] - 15:19,$ $104:19, 130:21,$ $185:14$ $cxploiting [1] - 180:10$ $exposure [1] - 3:23$ $exposure [1] - 3:23$ $68:7, 71:2, 71:4,$ $71:4, 72:20, 73:11,$ $71:4, 72:20, 73:11,$ 	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19,	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8,
102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4       17:17, 70:20, 77:3, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 99:7, 79:18, 82:3, 59:19       70:8, 181:14       exploiting [1] - 180:10 excluded [1] - 167:4 excluded [1] - 167:4 excluse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21, 54:24, 59:10, 143:8       54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, excluded [1] - 180:40         establishing [3] - 54:24, 59:10, 143:8       88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       104:19, 130:21, 185:14       express [2] - 98:22, 104:19, 130:21, 185:14       59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 128:2         establishing [3] - 54:24, 59:10, 143:8       88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       104:19, 130:21, 185:14       128:2       74:1, 75:20, 84:2, 88:17, 93:8, 93:14, 95:13, 97:17, 195:12         expressing [1] - 42:12       91:1, 96:19, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       91:1, 96:19, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       107:10, 118:14,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16,	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16,
104:22, 105:4       77.10, 76.15, 79.4, 9:7, 79:18, 82:3, 59:19       excluded [1] - 167:4 excuse [4] - 15:19, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 54:24, 59:10, 143:8       expose [1] - 180:8 excuse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21, 185:14       expose [1] - 180:8 excuse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21, 185:14       59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 71:14, 72:20, 73:11, 185:14         establishing [3] - 54:24, 59:10, 143:8       88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       104:19, 130:21, 185:14       express [2] - 98:22, 128:2       74:1, 75:20, 84:2, 88:17, 93:8, 93:14, 95:13, 97:17, 195:12         establishment [1] - 42:12       94:10, 96:11, 96:19, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       93:16, 40:1, 40:4, 95:13, 97:17, 107:10, 118:14,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15,	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7,	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6,
establishes [1] -       79.7, 79.16, 62.3,       excuse [4] - 15:19,       exposure [1] - 3:23       68:7, 71:2, 71:4,         59:19       83:20, 83:23, 83:25,       104:19, 130:21,       express [2] - 98:22,       71:14, 72:20, 73:11,         establishing [3] -       54:24, 59:10, 143:8       88:12, 88:14, 90:22,       91:2, 93:5, 93:18,       94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       128:2       74:1, 75:20, 84:2,         establishment [1] -       42:12       94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       39:16, 40:1, 40:4,       155:12       107:10, 118:14,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18,	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13,
59:19       63.20, 63.23,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14 excluded [1] - 167:4	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6,
establishing [3] -       54:1, 50:10, 07:10, 11       185:14       128:2       74:1, 75:20, 84:2,         54:24, 59:10, 143:8       88:12, 88:14, 90:22,       91:2, 93:5, 93:18,       executed [1] - 37:8       expressed [1] - 48:1       88:17, 93:8, 93:14,         establishment [1] -       42:12       94:10, 96:11, 96:19,       93:16, 40:1, 40:4,       155:12       74:1, 75:20, 84:2,         expressing [1] -       107:10, 118:14,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14 excluded [1] - 167:4 excuse [4] - 15:19,	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18,
54:24, 59:10, 143:8       91:2, 93:5, 93:18, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19, 96:11, 96:19, 96:11, 96:19, 96:10, 9	125:15escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4 establishes [1] -	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14 excluded [1] - 167:4 excuse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21,	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4,
establishment [1] -         91.2, 93.5, 93.16, 94:10, 96:11, 96:19, 42:12         executive [5] - 6:17, 39:16, 40:1, 40:4,         expressing [1] -         95:13, 97:17, 107:10, 118:14,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4 establishes [1] - 59:19	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14 excluded [1] - 167:4 excuse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21, 185:14	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23 express [2] - 98:22,	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 71:14, 72:20, 73:11,
42:12 39:16, 40:1, 40:4, 155:12 107:10, 118:14,	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4 establishes [1] - 59:19 establishing [3] -	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16, 88:12, 88:14, 90:22,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14 excluded [1] - 167:4 excuse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21, 185:14 executed [1] - 37:8	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23 express [2] - 98:22, 128:2	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 71:14, 72:20, 73:11, 74:1, 75:20, 84:2,
	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4 establishes [1] - 59:19 establishing [3] - 54:24, 59:10, 143:8	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16, 88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{example [5] - 30:25,} \\ 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, \\ 147:19 \\ \textbf{examples [1] - 114:21} \\ \textbf{excellent [1] - 127:7} \\ \textbf{except [1] - 61:14} \\ \textbf{exceptions [3] -} \\ 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 \\ \textbf{excerpt [1] - 61:6} \\ \textbf{exchange [3] - 47:7,} \\ 70:8, 181:14 \\ \textbf{excluded [1] - 167:4} \\ \textbf{excuse [4] - 15:19,} \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14 \\ \textbf{exccuted [1] - 37:8} \\ \textbf{executive [5] - 6:17,} \end{array}$	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23 express [2] - 98:22, 128:2 expressed [1] - 48:1	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 71:14, 72:20, 73:11, 74:1, 75:20, 84:2, 88:17, 93:8, 93:14,
×⊥ × ×	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4 establishes [1] - 59:19 establishing [3] - 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 establishment [1] -	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16, 88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{example [5] - 30:25,} \\ 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, \\ 147:19 \\ \textbf{examples [1] - 114:21} \\ \textbf{excellent [1] - 127:7} \\ \textbf{except [1] - 61:14} \\ \textbf{exceptions [3] -} \\ 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 \\ \textbf{excerpt [1] - 61:6} \\ \textbf{exchange [3] - 47:7,} \\ 70:8, 181:14 \\ \textbf{excluded [1] - 167:4} \\ \textbf{excuse [4] - 15:19,} \\ 104:19, 130:21, \\ 185:14 \\ \textbf{exccuted [1] - 37:8} \\ \textbf{executive [5] - 6:17,} \end{array}$	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23 express [2] - 98:22, 128:2 expressed [1] - 48:1 expressing [1] -	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 71:14, 72:20, 73:11, 74:1, 75:20, 84:2, 88:17, 93:8, 93:14, 95:13, 97:17,
	125:15 escaped [1] - 149:25 escaping [1] - 149:20 especially [2] - 30:15, 180:23 essential [2] - 41:23, 74:23 essentially [1] - 4:3 established [8] - 1:16, 54:21, 59:12, 76:15, 102:10, 104:18, 104:22, 105:4 establishes [1] - 59:19 establishing [3] - 54:24, 59:10, 143:8 establishment [1] -	45:2, 45:6, 45:14, 45:18, 50:15, 50:19, 50:22, 54:21, 54:22, 54:23, 55:10, 56:16, 59:19, 60:10, 60:12, 60:18, 61:13, 62:4, 62:9, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 65:23, 67:22, 67:25, 68:8, 68:10, 69:21, 70:17, 71:22, 73:7, 76:20, 77:6, 77:10, 78:15, 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, 82:3, 83:20, 83:23, 83:25, 84:1, 85:16, 87:16, 88:12, 88:14, 90:22, 91:2, 93:5, 93:18,	example [5] - 30:25, 31:6, 32:5, 97:24, 147:19 examples [1] - 114:21 excellent [1] - 127:7 except [1] - 61:14 exceptions [3] - 25:22, 117:6, 125:13 excerpt [1] - 61:6 exchange [3] - 47:7, 70:8, 181:14 excluded [1] - 167:4 excuse [4] - 15:19, 104:19, 130:21, 185:14 executed [1] - 37:8 executive [5] - 6:17, 39:16, 40:1, 40:4,	180:25 experiences [3] - 155:2, 155:3, 155:4 experiencing [2] - 141:24, 172:2 experiment [1] - 181:14 expert [3] - 50:19, 50:20, 79:3 experts [1] - 50:23 exploiting [1] - 180:10 expose [1] - 180:8 exposure [1] - 3:23 express [2] - 98:22, 128:2 expressed [1] - 48:1 expressing [1] -	facilitated [1] - 103:9 facilities [2] - 167:3, 179:4 facing [2] - 145:10, 179:12 fact [52] - 1:14, 5:8, 6:13, 8:25, 14:7, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 35:21, 42:14, 43:16, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 54:20, 55:22, 59:6, 59:8, 59:15, 59:18, 68:7, 71:2, 71:4, 71:14, 72:20, 73:11, 74:1, 75:20, 84:2, 88:17, 93:8, 93:14, 95:13, 97:17,

119:22, 122:25, 124:3, 125:25, 128:10, 135:8, 139:14, 159:17, 161:23, 162:4, 166:11, 183:22 factors [1] - 50:7 facts [11] - 7:1, 7:12, 20:13, 20:14, 22:9, 45:9, 58:13, 114:15, 122:13, 134:7, 162.24 factual [2] - 37:18, 119:17 fail [4] - 74:16, 109:25, 113:22, 113:24 failed [19] - 4:3, 4:16, 4:17, 4:24, 5:3, 8:11, 180:22 13:15, 30:6, 50:15, 58:14, 71:7, 74:18, 35:13 107:18, 118:12, 125:14, 136:3, 179:25, 181:14 failing [3] - 5:18, 96:25, 106:19 fails [1] - 121:7 failure [31] - 3:20, 30:11, 40:15, 40:19, 74:20, 93:15, 93:24, 93:25, 94:23, 94:24, 95:11, 96:20, 96:24, 97:1, 98:17, 107:11, 107:16, 107:20, 110:18, 110:20, 114:7, 120:14, 121:20, 121:23, 122:6, 135:16, 177:1 171:5, 171:9, 172:19, 172:24, 180:3 failures [2] - 4:22, 172:14 fair [7] - 4:12, 86:1, 106:17, 174:18, 186:11, 187:11, 187:18 fairly [2] - 26:19, 185:18 186:22 fairness [1] - 7:18 fall [4] - 87:10, 88:8, 168:15 88:16, 88:21 falls [2] - 15:25, 16:16 false [3] - 45:10, 86:10, 117:8 familiar [4] - 12:19, 46:5, 164:18, 165:7 familiarizing [1] -150:15 69:17 families [53] - 1:8, 1:12, 2:11, 2:16, 3:2,

3:3, 3:22, 4:14, 5:4, 5:25, 7:6, 7:10, 7:16, 8:22, 10:20, 13:13, 19:5, 25:12, 27:4, 28:12, 33:24, 35:7, 35:19, 35:24, 38:18, 46:17, 50:23, 103:19, 120:18. 122:18, 127:9, 131:8, 133:8, 134:4, 136:16, 141:21, 142:2, 146:6, 146:7, 146:10, 146:19, 148:23, 150:24, 153:12, 153:24, 154:1, 171:25, 176:22, 177:24, 178:11, 180:1, families' [2] - 32:12, family [37] - 3:8, 25:13, 25:16, 25:22, 25:25, 26:10, 26:12, 26:16, 26:20, 26:25, 27:14, 27:17, 27:23, 28:4, 28:6, 33:9, 34:20, 118:2, 132:25, 135:15, 137:17, 137:21, 141:24, 144:11, 144:12, 146:11, 146:16, 149:9, 150:2, 151:15, 151:19, 153:13, 153:21, 156:6, 171:22, 176:15, family's [3] - 25:21, 153:23, 155:4 famous [1] - 132:6 fancy [1] - 96:7 far [15] - 12:2, 20:15, 21:8, 26:10, 34:12, 35:8, 48:9, 52:18, 100:23, 149:1, 149:10, 156:3, 158:19, 161:24, fare [2] - 168:10, farm [3] - 94:10, 118:24, 158:24 farmer [1] - 11:15 Farris [1] - 126:19 fashion [1] - 14:20 father [2] - 144:14. faulty [1] - 132:17 favour [1] - 119:7

favourable [1] - 6:10 fear [2] - 154:25, 156:13 fears [1] - 32:12 February [7] - 10:12, 13:17, 36:25, 37:7, 47:25, 48:1, 145:13 feed [2] - 94:16, 166:6 feeding [2] - 48:22, 94:16 feet [1] - 134:18 Feliks [1] - 2:17 Fell [7] - 116:3. 116:11. 116:14. 122:16, 157:1, 183:8, 2:6 fell [3] - 5:10, 182:25, 183:3 fellow [1] - 89:21 felt [6] - 26:6, 57:9, 66:22, 80:17, 83:1, 110:17 female [3] - 118:23, 120:25, 121:1 few [14] - 25:22, 31:10, 38:15, 113:13, 114:21, 117:6, 122:22, 125:13, 126:2, 138:17, 149:4, 152:18, 171:8, 183:15 fiance [2] - 127:16. 127:18 fiduciary [7] - 138:18, 139:3, 139:13, 140:9, 140:12, 145:8, 158:12 Field [7] - 62:10, 62:13, 67:25, 68:2, 113:20, 115:1, 115:22 fields [1] - 103:1 fifthly [1] - 124:13 fights [1] - 38:8 figure [1] - 58:19 file [10] - 13:9, 14:18, 23:4, 23:5, 26:11, 36:16, 36:20, 184:1, 187:13, 187:23 filed [4] - 2:6, 183:15, 183:16, 183:25 Filer [3] - 37:4, 37:15, 37:21 files [5] - 40:24, 43:3, 44:11, 112:24, 115:1 filing [1] - 183:12 fill [1] - 169:8 film [1] - 180:12 filming [1] - 180:16 final [1] - 8:1

-xii

finally [5] - 10:10, 15:14, 35:13, 112:25, 145:12 finances [1] - 40:9 financial [1] - 147:3 findings [22] - 17:6, 17:20, 22:3, 23:1, 23:8, 45:17, 50:1, 50:6, 50:10, 51:7, 51:13, 68:7, 71:2, 71:3, 72:20, 107:10, 122:1, 122:13, 122:25, 124:3, 125:24, 128:10 fine [4] - 59:12, 99:20, 101:12, 129:4 fines [2] - 168:11, 170:10 finest [1] - 99:5 finger [1] - 71:21 finish [7] - 18:24, 37:20, 49:2, 90:5, 90:21, 92:1, 92:9 finished [1] - 4:15 finishing [1] - 90:4 fire [1] - 29:23 fired [1] - 175:19 firm [3] - 99:12, 99:13, 183.17 First [16] - 129:13, 129:18, 130:13. 130:18. 130:20. 130:24, 131:2. 131:3, 131:6, 143:3, 155:11, 156:2, 158:13, 158:20, 158:21, 162:2 first [24] - 1:7, 1:17, 1:19, 1:21, 8:7, 8:16, 8:17, 10:15, 23:23, 49:25, 56:13, 57:25, 59:6, 60:13, 78:3, 78:10, 84:8, 114:8, 132:15, 138:25, 163:13, 170:11, 172:11, 177:24 first-degree [4] - 8:16, 8:17, 56:13, 78:10 firstly [9] - 107:24, 108:10, 108:19, 114:3, 119:3, 123:4, 124:7, 156:15, 157:18 Fishing [1] - 138:20 fit [3] - 66:12, 162:1, 163:11 five [10] - 7:24, 9:8, 10:5, 13:16, 15:8, 34:5, 34:6, 35:11, 140.25

five-day [2] - 13:16, 15:8 five-year [1] - 10:5 fixed [1] - 80:25 flaw [1] - 182:2 flip [2] - 152:5, 154:11 flying [1] - 159:12 focus [9] - 33:20, 51:11, 52:13, 52:14, 66:23, 77:10, 107:11, 107:22, 116:18 focused [4] - 75:12, 95:22. 95:23. 112:5 focusing [1] - 96:7 follow [4] - 37:18, 95:11, 129:8, 169:1 followed [3] - 1:15, 3:13, 168:24 following [3] - 11:11, 140:23, 184:2 follows [1] - 22:15 food [1] - 169:17 foray [1] - 45:22 Force [4] - 50:22, 59:24, 93:1, 98:18 force [18] - 30:16, 53:18, 53:23, 84:19, 92:11, 92:15, 96:18, 96:23, 97:7, 97:23, 114:20, 115:14, 115:19, 116:2, 121:3, 125:13, 155:16, 180:13 force's [1] - 107:24 forced [5] - 32:2, 54:2, 102:13, 168:18, 180:7 forces [15] - 50:17, 55:23, 82:23, 83:15, 93:1, 100:12, 112:20, 113:22, 114:11, 114:13, 122:2, 125:3, 125:4, 160:5, 160:6 forcible [3] - 12:16, 15:9, 170:5 foregoing [1] - 188:16 forgotten [1] - 11:17 Form [1] - 77:2 form [2] - 147:13, 147:14 formal [6] - 26:11, 124:17, 125:4, 125:5, 125:6, 125:7 formation [1] - 111:19 formed [2] - 38:12, 119:16 former [4] - 6:16, 39:16, 39:17, 42:22

Fort [1] - 130:15	21:17, 22:16, 34:3,	generally [2] - 45:3,	116:21	138:7, 156:17
fort [1] - 127:16	34:19, 79:16,	108:21	grandmother's [1] -	guessing [2] - 15:24,
forth [1] - 160:16	148:21, 176:16,	generates [1] - 168:23	137:16	16:15
fortiori [1] - 52:13	187:1	generation [1] -	granted [4] - 103:3,	guidance [1] - 127:25
fortunately [1] -	friendliness [1] -	132:24	134:8, 162:19,	guidelines [1] - 171:2
105:11	69:15	genesis [1] - 145:15	165:18	guiding [1] - 139:1
forums [2] - 50:24,	friendly [1] - 69:6	genocide [1] - 155:25	granting [1] - 124:18	guilty [2] - 170:16,
165:10	friends [7] - 18:2,	gentlemen [1] - 98:8	graphic [1] - 29:21	174:19
forward [7] - 84:25,	18:18, 19:7, 28:2,	Geoff [1] - 24:12	grass [1] - 169:16	gutted [1] - 11:2
89:7, 139:12, 147:9,	33:9, 81:3, 118:2	geographic [1] - 147:2	grassroots [1] -	<b>Gwaii</b> [1] - 153:21
148:20, 150:24,	friends' [1] - 18:12	geography [1] -	166:10	Gwayok [1] - 137:14
180:2	frisk [1] - 124:24	100:24	grateful [2] - 128:1,	
foster [10] - 81:8,	front [2] - 10:24, 103:13	<b>Georgina</b> [3] - 2:17,	181:9	Н
149:15, 150:16,		146:13, 147:19	gratitude [2] - 127:10,	habits [1] - 27:25
152:24, 152:25,	frustration [1] - 25:19	<b>Giles</b> [2] - 46:1,	128:2	Haida [2] - 153:21
171:11, 172:1, 178:13, 178:14,	fuel [1] - 169:7 fulfils [1] - 40:8	182:16	Gratl [7] - 99:15, 100:1, 100:3,	half [2] - 11:3, 145:6
178:15		<b>girl</b> [3] - 31:3, 31:11,	128:12, 155:9,	Hall [3] - 104:1,
<b>foul</b> [5] - 47:15, 54:10,	<b>full</b> [12] - 18:8, 18:20, 43:13, 104:7,	31:20 airle (4) - 32:1	184:6, 1:7	104:10, 106:3
58:4, 58:25, 84:19	111:23, 155:14,	girls [1] - 32:1	<b>GRATL</b> [13] - 99:16,	Hallmark [2] - 2:18,
foundation [4] -	155:22, 161:11,	<b>given</b> [25] - 3:14, 3:15, 7:23, 7:24, 13:5,	99:21, 100:2,	33:10
54:14, 120:9,	162:19, 165:18,	18:10, 30:12, 34:3,	100:10, 101:7,	hallmarks [1] - 40:18
132:18, 181:13	166:2, 181:24	34:25, 35:10, 44:3,	101:10, 126:7,	Hamilton [3] - 103:1,
foundations [1] -	full-time [1] - 166:2	45:7, 51:25, 73:5,	128:24, 184:7,	109:9, 128:4
142:12	fully [1] - 20:16	86:15, 99:4, 120:19,	184:18, 186:11,	Hamilton's [2] -
founded [1] - 132:10	fulsome [3] - 147:22,	124:15, 146:1,	188:2, 188:4	116:21, 116:24
founders [1] - 132:6	184:12, 184:20	146:3, 148:10,	Gratl's [1] - 186:7	hampered [1] - 22:25
four [7] - 12:13, 14:18,	function [2] - 40:8,	158:16, 181:24,	great [6] - 3:7, 74:11,	hand [3] - 46:1, 74:5,
33:19, 39:23, 47:1,	142:5	183:13, 184:8	107:15, 107:17,	77:12
87:18, 151:20	functions [1] - 52:6	Gladys [1] - 128:3	133:5, 136:10	handcuffed [2] - 7:10,
Fourteen [1] - 25:13	fund [3] - 106:4,	glance [1] - 172:11	greater [2] - 34:16,	11:2
fourthly [1] - 124:6	181:6, 181:11	global [2] - 161:8,	131:14	handcuffs [1] - 49:7
fractious [1] - 157:5	fundamental [1] -	161:19	greatest [3] - 3:17,	handled [2] - 6:2,
fractured [2] - 150:4,	96:24	glossed [1] - 156:13	39:25, 132:22	25:20
154:13	funded [4] - 104:1,	Gordon [1] - 128:3	green [1] - 169:17	handling [4] - 3:25,
frailties [1] - 126:18	165:24, 168:11,	governance [2] -	Green [1] - 130:22	14:18, 31:5, 40:9
frame [1] - 186:8	174:14	137:10, 182:3	Greenspan [2] - 51:3,	hands [1] - 149:2
framed [2] - 159:16,	funding [5] - 134:9,	government [9] - 5:14,	55:11	harassed [1] - 167:1
159:17	139:24, 165:20,	6:4, 7:24, 140:21,	Greer [3] - 32:24,	hard [6] - 74:7, 74:9,
frames [2] - 146:1,	179:6, 179:13	153:9, 158:11,	110:6, 111:17	89:25, 102:5,
147:1	furtherance [1] - 42:6	158:19, 159:9,	<b>grew</b> [3] - 149:11,	146:14, 185:9 hardest [1] - 76:21
framework [1] -	furthermore [1] -	164:13	152:23, 176:2	Harley [1] - 80:25
100:22	174:13	Government [9] -	grind [1] - 49:13	Harley-Davidson [1] -
Francis [2] - 11:19,	future [1] - 180:3	126:24, 129:21,	grinding [3] - 48:21,	80:25
12:7		133:2, 133:15,	94:3, 94:15	harm [8] - 8:11,
Frank [1] - 39:1	G	136:2, 139:2, 139:5,	grocery [1] - 177:9	107:13, 109:13,
frankly [1] - 56:23	Gagnon's [1] - 137:24	142:17, 186:19	ground [3] - 29:17,	124:5, 158:9,
Franz [1] - 132:6	gap [1] - 37:19	governmental [1] -	94:9, 103:23	173:21, 182:6, 182:7
fraud [8] - 53:19,	garden [1] - 76:14	136:21	<b>grounds</b> [3] - 77:3,	Harry [1] - 129:17
53:23, 54:3, 54:13,	Gary [2] - 42:21, 122:8	grace [1] - 81:15	77:6, 77:17	harsh [1] - 103:11
58:24, 84:10, 97:19,	Gate [1] - 177:8	graced [1] - 51:4	group [6] - 3:4,	harshest [1] - 46:19
98:19	general [7] - 61:14,	graduating [1] - 141:5	111:20, 111:25,	hasten [1] - 53:21
free [1] - 125:5	78:13, 109:25,	Graham [1] - 30:19	150:7, 157:3, 165:21	Hastings [3] - 101:5,
frequently [1] - 170:19	136:19, 139:1,	Grand [1] - 163:22	groups [5] - 5:15,	180:8, 181:25
Frey [2] - 2:17, 152:16	173:13	grandchild [1] - 181:3	100:4, 104:10,	hater [1] - 80:25
Freys [1] - 27:8	General [8] - 8:13,	grandchildren [1] -	156:2, 174:21	hatred [1] - 174:24
Friday [5] - 183:15,	27:11, 115:5, 115:9,	144:18	growing [1] - 169:17	Hazel [1] - 2:19
183:23, 184:1,	115:16, 118:11,	grandfather [1] -	gruesome [2] - 9:15, 94:11	head [2] - 38:7, 42:22
184:3, 184:8	133:3, 162:25	153:20 Grandma's (4)	<b>guess</b> [4] - 22:4, 98:4,	Headquarters [1] -
friend [9] - 16:8,		Grandma's [1] -	yucos [4] - 22.4, 90.4,	

39:21	170:7, 176:11, 181:1	holding [2] - 145:17,	hugely [1] - 156:9	illness [3] - 140:24,
heads [1] - 169:21	helped [1] - 178:19	166:7	human [3] - 46:15,	167:8, 170:22
Health [1] - 165:25	helpful [3] - 157:5,	holds [1] - 104:22	121:19, 160:24	illnesses [2] - 32:3,
health [9] - 3:9,	164:16, 164:23	hole [1] - 29:16	humble [1] - 11:15	179:14
139:23, 139:24,	Henry [2] - 2:23,	holiday [1] - 152:13	humbly [1] - 165:24	imaginable [1] - 9:16
139:25, 140:7,	137:24	holidays [3] - 152:15,	humour [1] - 71:17	imagination [1] -
172:2, 177:9,	hepatitis [1] - 179:15	152:19, 157:12	hundred [1] - 179:17	94:11
177:21, 178:9	hereby [1] - 188:16			
		Holland [1] - 33:2	hundreds [3] - 166:4,	imagine [3] - 8:21,
hear [11] - 4:17, 17:18,	herein [1] - 188:18	Holyk [2] - 2:22,	166:5, 174:8	74:7, 74:9
17:19, 19:4, 20:10,	HERN [4] - 44:20,	144:18	Hunt [5] - 128:25,	immediately [1] -
23:9, 43:13, 128:22,	185:8, 185:21, 188:7	home [4] - 33:17,	129:5, 148:10,	31:17
165:11, 181:9	Hern [4] - 44:21,	149:11, 150:7,	159:23, 1:8	immense [1] - 75:21
heard [38] - 2:15,	85:24, 126:20, 185:7	152:25	HUNT [3] - 129:3,	immensely [2] - 81:13,
16:20, 23:10, 23:13,	Hern's [1] - 187:2	homeless [2] -	129:5, 130:11	83:1
23:24, 24:8, 24:12,	heroin [1] - 166:14	178:24, 182:1	hurtful [1] - 26:24	immunity [2] - 103:3,
25:12, 27:7, 31:1,	herself [2] - 14:3, 25:4	homes [3] - 149:15,	Hyacinthe [2] - 43:7,	124:18
31:17, 42:8, 42:21,	hierarchical [2] -	150:16, 172:1	80:22	immunized [1] - 120:5
44:22, 45:6, 45:7,	131:23, 132:11	homicide [5] - 61:15,	hypes [1] - 174:16	impact [2] - 34:16,
50:13, 79:15, 90:25,	hierarchy [1] - 122:4	78:5, 114:25,	hypothetical [2] -	132:19
91:8, 92:16, 93:21,	high [10] - 79:14,	115:12, 163:6	85:7, 85:9	impacts [1] - 164:19
93:23, 120:17,	116:8, 116:25,	homicides [1] - 163:4		implement [2] - 110:1,
125:8, 125:10,	117:1, 141:4,	homophobia [1] -	I I	143:4
128:15, 151:8,	141:17, 156:16,	121:2		implementation [1] -
151:16, 154:5,	171:6, 173:5, 174:12	honour [3] - 3:1,	<b>i.e</b> [2] - 79:13, 173:6	104:25
155:12, 164:22,	higher [6] - 79:11,	128:7, 136:5	<b>ID</b> [1] - 182:17	implementing [1] -
164:25, 165:2,	140:23, 140:25,	hope [4] - 135:24,	idea [11] - 85:18,	172:14
174:15, 179:9,	140.23, 140.23, 141.23, 141.24, 145:5, 171:1	160:9, 160:21,	97:14, 131:21,	
181:7, 185:17			131:22, 147:21,	implicit [1] - 122:24
hearing [18] - 1:4,	highest [3] - 122:3,	163:12	157:24, 172:12,	importance [2] -
19:12, 20:4, 20:5,	138:23, 141:3	hopefully [1] - 136:12	172:20, 181:22,	10:20, 161:18
25:5, 54:20, 60:2,	highlight [1] - 114:1	hopelessness [1] -	182:21, 184:19	important [18] - 23:14,
64:2, 64:5, 97:20,	highlights [3] - 9:3,	174:24	ideal [1] - 172:17	41:1, 43:20, 70:14,
98:12, 98:21, 99:22,	9:6, 36:10	hopes [1] - 3:15	idealogical [1] -	72:7, 96:5, 113:2,
99:25, 154:12,	himself [3] - 11:23,	horrific [2] - 9:16,	181:16	113:21, 125:20,
162:10, 162:13,	69:17, 76:20	161:21	identical [1] - 46:9	138:4, 141:13,
188:13	hindsight [5] - 106:15,	horror [1] - 177:19	identification [3] -	143:17, 147:13,
	106:17, 106:25,	horse's [1] - 38:7	48:19, 49:12, 91:19	148:15, 161:4,
hearings [11] - 4:16,	107:2	hos [2] - 166:24,	identified [3] - 48:15,	180:2, 183:21, 186:1
5:13, 7:20, 41:7,	Hira [1] - 92:14	174:17	49:4, 60:7	importantly [1] - 5:18
42:17, 42:20, 44:4,	hiring [1] - 125:5	hospital [4] - 13:25,		imposed [1] - 20:16
92:13, 162:24,	Hiscox [21] - 48:16,	65:19, 154:20,	identifies [2] - 70:14, 81:23	impoverished [3] -
164:21, 165:9	60:25, 61:4, 61:22,	166:24		9:10, 30:23, 32:1
hearsay [2] - 64:23,	61:25, 67:22, 72:4,	Hospital [1] - 177:8	identify [5] - 60:6,	impressive [1] - 3:4
79:23	72:24, 73:1, 73:7,	hospitals [2] - 28:16,	63:14, 94:5, 94:13	imprisonment [1] -
heart [1] - 41:1	74:6, 76:14, 80:21,	167:2	identifying [3] - 37:25,	178:13
Heather [1] - 2:21	81:8, 83:1, 84:24,	host [2] - 138:10,	54:17, 58:5	improper [1] - 16:21
heavily [2] - 39:5, 42:4	90:7, 90:8, 91:18,	139:18	ideologies [1] -	impropriety [1] - 21:4
heavy [1] - 14:22	93:21, 117:9	hotels [1] - 166:23	133:14	improve [3] - 105:12,
hefty [1] - 126:23	historic [1] - 139:9	hour [4] - 18:24,	ignorance [1] - 120:8	126:1, 165:22
Hehey [1] - 95:3	history [11] - 7:23,		ignore [1] - 148:17	
HEHEY [1] - 95:4	132:4, 133:21,	34:21, 34:25, 169:15	ignored [5] - 110:5,	impunity [1] - 25:2 In-SHUCK-ch [1] -
heinous [1] - 10:4	132.4, 133.21, 141:14, 141:22,	hour's [1] - 34:2	120:25, 153:3,	
held [4] - 36:14, 38:8,	141.14, 141.22, 145:3, 148:18,	hours [7] - 11:16,	153:5, 166:16	130:16
127:16, 180:20		31:13, 31:19, 39:23,	ill [4] - 167:12, 169:7,	inability [3] - 10:7,
Helen [2] - 2:18, 33:10	153:6, 155:24,	124:10, 169:7	175:18, 179:21	21:15, 170:9
Hells [4] - 11:22,	156:1, 161:23	House [1] - 116:21	illegal [8] - 165:22,	inaccurate [2] - 107:6,
42:12, 43:18, 45:19	hitchhike [1] - 168:18	housekeeping [1] -	166:13, 166:19,	118:1
	<b>HIV</b> [1] - 179:14	182:15	172:6, 174:4,	inaction [1] - 10:7
help [14] - 28:19,	Hobbema [1] - 130:17	housing [4] - 141:7,	174:25, 175:21,	inadequate [2] -
28:21, 31:9, 31:15,	Hoffman [1] - 126:21	172:18, 178:1, 178:5	175:23	113:10, 179:12
36:1, 94:13, 96:8,	hold [2] - 125:15,	huge [3] - 6:21,	illicit [1] - 173:16	inappropriate [9] -
98:25, 159:7, 170:4,	169:21	175:12, 180:1		16:5, 16:11, 17:17,
		-XT A		

18:18, 18:25, 21:16, 21:21, 120:22, 120:24 inappropriately [1] -107:19 incarcerated [2] -149:17, 170:18 incarceration [2] -171:13, 178:11 incentives [1] - 170:15 incident [1] - 66:9 include [6] - 136:23, 138:2, 147:8, 163:21, 171:2, 176:14 included [4] - 32:21, 134:10, 137:13, 137:25 including [20] - 11:11, 14:19, 19:4, 23:15, 33:3, 42:25, 57:1, 84:24, 89:8, 104:5, 105:10, 122:15, 122:19, 123:25, 124:20, 133:3, 133:4, 163:8, 185:3 inclusion [1] - 178:2 income [1] - 178:5 incomes [2] - 175:12, 177:24 incompetence [2] -74:10, 107:17 incomplete [1] - 38:20 inconclusive [1] -135:22 incorporates [1] -105:3 increase [1] - 176:11 increased [4] -171:23, 178:19, 179:8, 179:20 incredible [1] - 133:12 incumbent [1] - 44:9 incurred [1] - 168:10 indeed [6] - 32:6, 32:14, 54:18, 56:5, 93:3, 126:23 independence [5] -17:16, 19:19, 38:19, 40:4.40:20 independent [4] - 4:6, 100:3, 131:19, 133:17 INDEX [1] - 1:2 Indian [2] - 139:20, 139:22 Indians [1] - 140:5 indicate [1] - 66:10 indications [1] - 111:7 indifference [6] - 8:6,

8:9, 25:23, 32:6, 150:21, 151:3 indifferent [1] - 30:16 indigenous [2] -131:19, 142:6 indignity [1] - 158:24 indirect [1] - 37:22 indisputable [1] -178:25 individual [6] - 17:2, 90:17, 106:11, 108:4, 127:11, 186:22 individually [1] -90:11 individuals [4] -55:18, 100:4, 142:19, 173:5 induced [1] - 54:3 indulgence [1] - 95:16 industrial [2] - 102:9, 102.14ineffective [1] - 172:9 inequalities [1] -143:20 inexperienced [2] -113:14, 113:20 inexplicable [1] -158:16 inextricably [2] - 39:5, 131.14 inferences [1] - 21:9 inferior [1] - 132:2 influenced [4] -100:13, 100:16, 100:19, 132:8 inform [1] - 67:13 informant [7] - 65:3, 72:2, 73:4, 78:19, 79:23, 79:24, 80:20 informant's [1] - 72:10 informants [1] -118:20 information [49] - 6:5, 10:2, 28:10, 30:7, 33:7, 48:16, 48:17, 55:4, 60:25, 61:4, 61:22, 68:20, 71:25, 72:3, 72:6, 72:9, 72:11, 72:23, 73:9, 74:6, 76:14, 77:2, 78:19, 79:21, 80:1, 80:21, 81:19, 89:5, 90:2, 91:18, 94:2, 94:15, 95:12, 107:19, 111:21, 112:8, 115:7, 117:16, 118:19, 119:1, 120:2, 135:22, 138:4,

141:19, 145:22, 147:10, 173:24, 176:21, 176:25 informed [3] - 27:15, 67:12, 119:23 ingesting [1] - 171:1 inherent [1] - 168:1 initiate [1] - 111:23 initiation [1] - 163:2 initiatives [3] -168:15, 179:5, 180:6 injured [1] - 31:12 injuries [1] - 159:2 injustice [1] - 167:25 injustices [1] - 143:19 inner [1] - 128:6 innovation [1] - 102:7 innovative [1] -181:18 inquire [4] - 10:18, 22:25, 49:22, 49:25 inquired [2] - 12:10, 183:12 inquiries [3] - 38:21, 138:9, 160:3 inquiry [81] - 3:3, 3:19, 3:21, 4:2, 4:6, 4:7, 4:9, 4:11, 4:21, 6:5, 7:22, 8:25, 9:24, 24:11, 37:19, 38:16, 38:24, 40:7, 40:18, 42:1, 43:20, 44:10, 45:17, 45:20, 45:23, 46:14, 47:3, 48:4, 49:18, 49:21, 49:22. 50:5, 51:6, 59:15, 60:15, 62:1, 77:22, 88:23, 88:25, 96:1, 96:2, 98:23, 99:5, 100:7, 104:20, 105:11, 106:13, 106:16, 106:22, 107:10, 107:22, 120:17, 121:14, 121:18, 128:21, 129:8, 129:11, 133:2, 133:20, 135:4, 136:10, 136:14, 136:15, 139:12, 141:15, 142:11, 147:1, 147:6, 147:13, 148:19, 159:15, 159:22, 160:2, 160:9, 160:21, 161:5, 164:2, 165:19, 166:16, 169:5, 172:23 Inquiry [1] - 38:25 inquiry's [2] - 4:3, -XV -

35:12 insights [1] - 127:25 insistence [1] -116:25 Insite [2] - 180:7, 181:15 Inspector [12] - 32:19, 33:2, 33:3, 33:5, 92:13, 110:6, 111:17, 111:18, 114:24, 115:2, 117:20, 122:7 instance [1] - 173:13 instances [1] - 120:15 instead [7] - 20:23, 29:4, 102:4, 157:4, 167:13, 178:4 institution [7] - 28:25, 30:16, 30:23, 31:25, 33:12, 38:3, 149:18 institutional [8] - 4:19, 6:9, 6:18, 9:4, 29:12, 106:12, 108:3, 186:18 institutions [13] -6:15, 7:5, 10:1, 102:11, 106:23, 107:3, 107:6, 109:21, 127:12, 129:24, 142:13, 154:16, 161:15 instruction [1] -183:14 insufficiently [1] -112:11 intake [1] - 123:5 integrity [1] - 175:13 intend [4] - 2:7, 46:7, 100:6, 149:4 intended [1] - 51:10 intensively [1] - 46:22 intent [1] - 58:22 intention [2] - 58:21, 58:23 inter [2] - 123:8, 123:9 inter-jurisdictional [2] - 123:8, 123:9 interaction [4] - 62:12, 66:16, 66:19, 92:23 intercede [1] - 15:22 intercept [1] - 37:11 interconnection [1] -44:5 interest [9] - 8:14, 8:19, 12:21, 129:7, 129:10, 131:20, 133:18, 137:18, 143:13 interested [1] - 12:7 interesting [1] - 66:7

interests [10] - 6:11, 57:16, 106:12, 127:7, 128:21, 143:12, 149:6, 184:9, 186:19, 186:23 internal [1] - 69:24 internationally [1] -136:19 interrupt [2] - 18:12, 18:19 interrupted [1] -157:23 intersection [1] - 43:5 intervene [1] - 9:22 interventions [1] -34:3 interview [4] - 15:15, 113:1, 157:22, 157:23 interviewed [7] -13:20, 13:22, 13:24, 14:1, 14:3, 27:24, 73:12 interviewing [1] -157:24 intimidated [1] - 117:4 Inuit [5] - 140:5, 140:17, 141:2, 142:2 investigate [35] - 39:2, 51:14, 51:18, 51:22, 52:15, 53:3, 55:2, 58:11, 58:16, 59:2, 59:11, 60:23, 61:21, 70:6, 71:7, 72:23, 74:20, 76:11, 84:11, 86:9, 89:10, 93:16, 96:22, 96:25, 97:4, 98:10, 112:22, 113:10, 113:23, 115:14, 116:2, 116:7, 123:18, 175:24 investigated [6] -46:21, 46:23, 59:21, 70:1, 113:16, 155:18 investigating [16] -59:17, 60:16, 60:19, 61:11, 62:7, 62:17, 64:13, 74:5, 83:8, 92:4, 96:1, 97:3, 116:17, 118:16, 157:13, 163:7 investigation [52] -11:10, 14:2, 27:20, 28:3, 29:19, 45:21, 59:3, 59:23, 61:5, 61:8, 61:9, 61:17, 64:10, 66:12, 67:12, 67:13, 67:18, 70:5,

71:11, 73:24, 74:12,	147:24, 148:1,	johns [1] - 47:7	Keith [1] - 37:4	kinds [3] - 22:13,
75:13, 76:13, 82:2,	161:3, 161:8, 186:5	joint [3] - 55:23,	kept [8] - 43:24, 49:7,	149:13, 171:18
82:24, 86:14, 88:9,	issued [2] - 77:17,	82:23, 112:20	49:11, 71:3, 94:19,	Kingsbury [2] - 37:3,
88:21, 90:12, 91:14,	103:15	jokes [3] - 30:4,	97:22, 127:20,	37:15
91:22, 93:20, 93:22,	issues [25] - 5:22,	120:20, 120:24	149:23	Kinkum [1] - 137:23
94:18, 94:22, 96:4,	14:13, 17:18, 19:22,	Jones [1] - 2:23	Kessler [1] - 127:17	knowing [6] - 73:17,
97:2, 111:24,	38:22, 43:21, 78:25,	Joseph [2] - 150:1,	<b>key</b> [1] - 58:5	76:11, 76:12, 117:7,
113:25, 116:10,	101:13, 132:16,	150:10	kidnapping [49] -	117:8, 176:23
117:13, 119:8,	133:19, 133:23,	Journal [1] - 70:13	53:11, 53:23, 54:13,	knowledge [23] -
121:13, 123:6,	136:13, 156:14,	<b>journey</b> [3] - 136:15,	54:18, 55:3, 56:10,	27:25, 32:13, 63:14,
155:17, 156:12,	161:17, 164:4,	159:24, 160:22	56:11, 57:6, 57:20,	64:11, 64:15, 64:19,
156:17, 156:21,	164:7, 165:8,		58:5, 58:16, 58:20,	65:8, 65:13, 67:15,
156:25, 157:16,	168:20, 172:2,	journeyed [1] - 35:7	58:23, 59:14, 59:21,	68:3, 76:18, 90:23,
164:8, 164:11	182:15, 184:12,	judge [2] - 106:23,	62:23, 62:24, 63:2,	93:10, 93:12, 97:17,
investigations [19] -	184:16, 186:1,	107:2		
7:11, 26:5, 29:11,	186:21	judges [1] - 87:18	63:7, 63:10, 63:11,	98:9, 108:4, 109:23,
39:6, 49:24, 50:2,		judicial [1] - 100:16	63:15, 63:19, 63:20,	110:22, 133:5
96:2, 115:11,	italicized [1] - 57:22	<b>July</b> [4] - 47:13, 47:21,	64:15, 65:9, 67:16,	knowledgeable [1] -
	italics [1] - 72:15	48:16, 72:25	68:23, 70:2, 71:8,	82:10
115:13, 134:11, 134:13, 136:22	item [1] - 178:22	jump [1] - 18:23	73:2, 73:18, 76:19,	<b>known</b> [16] - 2:4, 11:7,
134:13, 136:22,	items [1] - 78:13	<b>June</b> [3] - 1:1, 183:15,	78:8, 78:9, 82:10,	11:19, 11:20, 27:20,
138:12, 147:15,	itself [6] - 12:23, 13:2,	187:23	83:8, 83:10, 84:10,	68:11, 68:14, 69:3,
148:5, 158:1, 163:3,	24:23, 33:23, 100:8,	junkie [2] - 166:24,	84:17, 86:8, 89:10,	98:19, 108:5,
163:6, 163:9	140:11	174:17	91:17, 94:8, 97:18,	109:22, 111:10,
investigative [8] -	<b>IV</b> [1] - 166:6	junkies [2] - 166:24,	98:18, 112:8	166:12, 166:21,
6:25, 27:16, 27:17,		174:17	killed [8] - 11:3, 24:21,	177:12
37:6, 39:13, 76:4,	J	junkyard [1] - 11:5	29:25, 32:15, 38:9,	knows [2] - 15:7, 86:2
112:5, 124:8	Jacqueline [1] - 2:19	junkyard-like [1] -	62:19, 115:3, 118:14	Krawczyk [1] - 169:24
investigators [8] -	jail [7] - 39:24, 146:15,	11:5	killer [40] - 30:8, 47:2,	Kwakwaquewak [2] -
15:18, 57:11,	168:25, 169:9,	jurisdiction [8] -	69:14, 76:1, 88:25,	130:22, 137:23
112:13, 112:25,	169:25, 171:16,	52:21, 55:19, 70:6,	107:9, 108:15,	
113:13, 118:21,	175:14	70:16, 70:22, 77:16,	111:4, 111:5, 111:9,	L
120:1, 122:15	jailhouse [1] - 48:2	77:18, 136:21	111:12, 111:16,	laboriously [1] - 89:20
involuntary [1] -		jurisdictional [3] -	111:24, 112:3,	lack [14] - 28:4, 28:8,
103:21	<b>jails</b> [2] - 28:17,	70:4, 123:8, 123:9	112:16, 112:17,	•••
involved [19] - 10:4,	161:16	jurisdictions [1] -	113:5, 113:11,	35:22, 64:11, 64:14,
10:16, 14:2, 15:18,	Jamie [4] - 103:1,	52:17	113:25, 114:4,	64:18, 65:8, 65:12,
25:3, 31:10, 52:18,	109:9, 116:20, 128:4	Justice [10] - 10:14,	114:10, 114:13,	65:13, 67:15, 74:2,
55:24, 66:14, 79:1,	Janet [2] - 2:23,	10:19, 23:2, 35:11,	114:18, 114:24,	74:3, 97:17, 98:9
98:23, 99:2, 115:20,	137:24	41:24, 51:20, 79:17,	116:6, 116:9, 117:1,	lacked [1] - 38:19
117:25, 128:17,	January [7] - 13:16,	95:5, 109:2, 126:23	117:2, 117:7,	lacking [1] - 101:16
132:19, 154:23,	15:2, 15:16, 29:8,	justice [16] - 3:25, 5:1,	117:13, 117:16,	lacks [1] - 41:12
161:11, 176:19	112:24, 119:19,	-	117:24, 118:6,	lacuna [1] - 96:19
involvement [1] -	164:12	7:17, 9:17, 14:23,	118:7, 118:18,	lady [1] - 152:8
154:7	Jardine [1] - 2:22	76:25, 77:1, 81:18,	119:5, 119:7,	laid [1] - 141:14
involves [1] - 158:18	Jason [1] - 100:2	104:17, 120:5,	135:17, 135:18,	Lake [2] - 130:17,
involving [1] - 42:12	Jason's [1] - 130:23	136:16, 159:25,	156:22	130:22
iota [1] - 96:9	jaywalking [1] - 168:2	161:10, 161:24,	killers [2] - 110:25,	Laliberte [1] - 2:24
ironically [4] - 149:16,	Jennifer [3] - 39:15,	167:24, 170:3	111:9	lands [1] - 156:4
168:19, 170:7,	50:20, 56:16	justified [1] - 136:11	killing [4] - 46:15,	Lane [1] - 2:22
171:22				
1/1.44	<b>jewelry</b> [2] - 48:19,	justifies [1] - 125:8	46:23, 69:16, 103:1	language [3] - 68:19,
	<b>jewelry</b> [2] - 48:19, 49:12	justify [4] - 111:21,	46:23, 69:16, 103:1 <b>killings</b> [1] - 69:18	language [3] - 68:19, 142:9, 174:21
irrelevant [2] - 16:4,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11,		killings [1] - 69:18	
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25	49:12	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12	142:9, 174:21
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11,	justify [4] - 111:21,	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1	142:9, 174:21 large [8] - 44:3, 99:11,
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6,	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 K	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24	142:9, 174:21 large [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6,
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25,	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 K Kathie [1] - 188:20	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15,	142:9, 174:21 <b>large</b> [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14, 10:23, 16:5, 16:17,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25, 187:25	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 K Kathie [1] - 188:20 keep [5] - 6:11, 19:21,	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15, 54:4, 62:21, 67:1,	142:9, 174:21 <b>large</b> [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6 <b>largely</b> [2] - 133:13, 166:15
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14, 10:23, 16:5, 16:17, 20:6, 23:9, 30:12,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25, 187:25 <b>jobs</b> [2] - 3:9, 109:20	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 K Kathie [1] - 188:20	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15, 54:4, 62:21, 67:1, 80:24, 91:14,	142:9, 174:21 <b>large</b> [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6 <b>largely</b> [2] - 133:13,
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14, 10:23, 16:5, 16:17, 20:6, 23:9, 30:12, 30:14, 38:18, 41:1,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25, 187:25 <b>jobs</b> [2] - 3:9, 109:20 <b>Joesbury</b> [1] - 2:20	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 K Kathie [1] - 188:20 keep [5] - 6:11, 19:21, 71:21, 171:16, 184:15	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15, 54:4, 62:21, 67:1, 80:24, 91:14, 125:18, 157:25,	142:9, 174:21 <b>large</b> [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6 <b>largely</b> [2] - 133:13, 166:15 <b>larger</b> [2] - 131:12, 161:17
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14, 10:23, 16:5, 16:17, 20:6, 23:9, 30:12, 30:14, 38:18, 41:1, 77:12, 79:2, 95:17,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25, 187:25 <b>jobs</b> [2] - 3:9, 109:20 <b>Joesbury</b> [1] - 2:20 <b>John</b> [6] - 32:23, 37:2,	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 <b>K</b> Kathie [1] - 188:20 keep [5] - 6:11, 19:21, 71:21, 171:16, 184:15 keeping [2] - 75:18,	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15, 54:4, 62:21, 67:1, 80:24, 91:14, 125:18, 157:25, 171:20, 175:17	142:9, 174:21 large [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6 largely [2] - 133:13, 166:15 larger [2] - 131:12, 161:17 largest [1] - 178:22
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14, 10:23, 16:5, 16:17, 20:6, 23:9, 30:12, 30:14, 38:18, 41:1,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25, 187:25 <b>jobs</b> [2] - 3:9, 109:20 <b>Joesbury</b> [1] - 2:20 <b>John</b> [6] - 32:23, 37:2, 39:17, 95:5, 103:18,	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 K Kathie [1] - 188:20 keep [5] - 6:11, 19:21, 71:21, 171:16, 184:15	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15, 54:4, 62:21, 67:1, 80:24, 91:14, 125:18, 157:25,	142:9, 174:21 <b>large</b> [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6 <b>largely</b> [2] - 133:13, 166:15 <b>larger</b> [2] - 131:12, 161:17
irrelevant [2] - 16:4, 55:25 Island [1] - 138:20 isolated [1] - 102:20 issue [20] - 6:14, 10:23, 16:5, 16:17, 20:6, 23:9, 30:12, 30:14, 38:18, 41:1, 77:12, 79:2, 95:17,	49:12 <b>job</b> [8] - 16:12, 19:11, 37:11, 37:20, 51:6, 184:20, 185:25, 187:25 <b>jobs</b> [2] - 3:9, 109:20 <b>Joesbury</b> [1] - 2:20 <b>John</b> [6] - 32:23, 37:2, 39:17, 95:5, 103:18,	justify [4] - 111:21, 131:18, 158:3, 158:7 <b>K</b> Kathie [1] - 188:20 keep [5] - 6:11, 19:21, 71:21, 171:16, 184:15 keeping [2] - 75:18,	killings [1] - 69:18 kilometres [1] - 9:12 Kim [1] - 29:1 kin [1] - 27:24 kind [11] - 20:7, 35:15, 54:4, 62:21, 67:1, 80:24, 91:14, 125:18, 157:25, 171:20, 175:17	142:9, 174:21 large [8] - 44:3, 99:11, 101:1, 107:5, 121:6, 166:2, 174:13, 178:6 largely [2] - 133:13, 166:15 larger [2] - 131:12, 161:17 largest [1] - 178:22

last [16] - 2:14, 15:11,	47:14, 65:20, 68:15,	130:19	127:22, 145:1, 177:3	looks [2] - 94:9,
27:20, 39:19, 42:22,	74:15, 80:2, 87:11,	letter [4] - 155:11,	listen [3] - 35:13,	140:18
55:21, 75:15, 98:13,	109:21, 125:19,	155:12, 155:17,	45:10, 185:20	Lori [2] - 29:9, 109:10
116:18, 125:19,	136:23, 139:17	181:4	listened [2] - 27:13,	Lorna [1] - 2:24
142:11, 150:9,	leave [6] - 15:8, 17:24,	letters [1] - 103:19	93:6	Lorraine [2] - 150:14,
151:18, 173:13,	72:19, 102:20,	level [8] - 88:22,	literally [1] - 32:10	150:20
177:8, 183:23	126:14, 184:25	103:3, 104:15,	literature [1] - 104:12	lose [5] - 5:7, 155:7,
lastly [1] - 127:19	leaving [3] - 149:19,	115:18, 117:22,	live [3] - 128:18,	159:17, 161:22,
late [11] - 7:19, 12:2,	154:1, 184:18	122:4, 125:13,	166:21, 177:20	171:19
12:5, 31:1, 36:21,	led [7] - 7:8, 23:22,	156:16	lived [5] - 38:3, 49:5,	losing [1] - 7:9
92:12, 111:10,	75:23, 82:17, 83:7,	levels [2] - 78:25,	152:14, 154:13,	loss [2] - 132:23,
112:9, 115:17,	88:9, 102:22	107:20	166:13	171:24
126:8, 183:12	Lee [4] - 103:1, 109:9,	liaised [1] - 103:12	lives [14] - 25:9, 30:10,	lost [6] - 25:8, 25:21,
laterally [1] - 92:20	116:21, 128:4	Liaison [1] - 124:14	102:15, 119:2,	27:2, 73:6, 144:5,
latter [1] - 50:24	leeway [1] - 89:13	liaison [6] - 28:5,	126:1, 127:24,	171:11
laudable [1] - 50:24	left [8] - 25:25, 28:6,	110:12, 110:13,	140:9, 149:19,	loved [15] - 8:8, 13:13,
Law [1] - 70:13	46:4, 62:13, 68:4,	124:7, 124:9	154:9, 154:13,	24:20, 25:8, 25:21,
law [28] - 15:23, 19:18,	149:24, 150:8,	liberal [1] - 185:18	165:22, 171:1,	26:1, 26:6, 26:14,
19:25, 20:17, 21:19,	151:10	Liberties [1] - 110:3	180:24, 182:9	26:20, 28:18, 32:14,
51:3, 52:11, 52:16,	legacy [4] - 153:8,	lies [1] - 70:16	living [7] - 25:6, 25:9,	33:14, 148:24,
53:11, 53:22, 65:2,	153:10, 163:24,	lieu [1] - 108:25	38:4, 81:1, 137:8,	151:1, 176:24
67:16, 73:2, 73:3,	181:6	life [7] - 3:8, 14:1,	144:13, 178:5	low [2] - 152:22, 179:2
73:17, 73:18, 79:2,	legal [12] - 14:12,	25:6, 127:23,	living-room [1] - 81:1	lower [1] - 140:24
81:22, 82:9, 95:1,	58:22, 65:1, 95:7,	140:24, 152:22,	LIVINGSTON [7] -	Lower [4] - 12:6,
99:11, 101:23,	95:8, 95:9, 137:18,	171:19	162:15, 162:17,	42:25, 123:12,
106:8, 120:11,	170:13, 172:4,	lifestyle [1] - 144:14	163:16, 164:9,	168:19
121:19, 173:8,	180:19, 186:20	lift [2] - 92:6, 125:23	165:12, 165:14,	lowman [1] - 101:2
173:18	legalization [1] -	lifted [1] - 91:15	165:18	Lowman [3] - 102:25,
laws [9] - 52:11,	164:4	light [6] - 83:24,	Livingston [5] - 128:2,	109:2, 111:13
100:17, 124:1,	legally [1] - 70:3	102:25, 128:6,	162:14, 162:17,	LTD [1] - 188:21
133:15, 168:7,	legitimizes [1] -	139:9, 169:14,	182:13, 1:10	lunch [1] - 99:17
172:24, 173:3,	131:22		lobbied [1] - 115:5	
172:24, 173:3, 181:21	-	183:22		lured [1] - 69:1
	131:22	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22,	lobbied [1] - 115:5	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2,
181:21	131:22 <b>Leng</b> [2] - 128:4, 128:16	183:22 likelihood [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8	lured [1] - 69:1
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4,	183:22 likelihood [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 likely [11] - 2:14, 10:3,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10,	183:22 likelihood [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 likely [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16
181:21 <b>Jawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25	183:22 likelihood [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 likely [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16 M mails [4] - 40:12,
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16 M mails [4] - 40:12, 40:16, 40:19, 40:24
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18,	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16 M mails [4] - 40:12, 40:16, 40:19, 40:24 main [1] - 50:3
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24,	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16 M mails [4] - 40:12, 40:16, 40:19, 40:24 main [1] - 50:3 Mainland [4] - 12:6,
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leona-ti [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13	lured [1] - 69:1 Lynn [2] - 120:2, 152:16 M mails [4] - 40:12, 40:16, 40:19, 40:24 main [1] - 50:3 Mainland [4] - 12:6, 42:25, 123:12,
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leon-a-t-i [1] - 95:4	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13	$\begin{array}{c} 131:22\\ \textbf{Leng} [2] - 128:4,\\ 128:16\\ \textbf{length} [2] - 41:10,\\ 187:25\\ \textbf{lengthy} [2] - 28:12,\\ 90:6\\ \textbf{Leon} [1] - 95:4\\ \textbf{Leona-t-i} [1] - 95:4\\ \textbf{Leonati} [1] - 95:3\\ \textbf{LePard} [26] - 5:9, 6:2, \end{array}$	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leona-t-i [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leona-t-i [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25	$\begin{tabular}{ l } $$ Lynn [2] - 69:1 \\ Lynn [2] - 120:2, \\ $$ 152:16 \\ \hline $$ M$ \\ \hline $$ mails [4] - 40:12, \\ $$ 40:16, 40:19, 40:24 \\ $$ main [1] - 50:3 \\ \hline $$ Mainland [4] - 12:6, \\ $$ 42:25, 123:12, \\ $$ 168:19 \\ \hline $$ maintain [3] - 5:20, \\ $$ 5:25, 127:3 \\ \hline $$ maintained [1] - 10:15 \\ \hline $$ \end{tabular}$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12 <b>leader</b> [1] - 181:18	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23,	$\begin{tabular}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12 <b>leader</b> [1] - 181:18 <b>leaders</b> [1] - 129:18	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12 <b>leader</b> [1] - 181:18 <b>leaders</b> [1] - 129:18 <b>leadership</b> [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5,	$\begin{tabular}{ l } \mbox{Iured [1] - 69:1} \\ \mbox{Lynn [2] - 120:2,} \\ \mbox{152:16} \\ \end{tabular} \\ \e$
181:21         lawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1,         15:7, 78:24, 99:7,         162:20, 165:20         lawyers [11] - 13:3,         23:11, 23:15, 24:3,         35:6, 45:3, 79:1,         87:17, 126:16,         167:23, 170:14         lazy [1] - 58:13         lead [4] - 17:4, 17:12,         17:13, 129:12         leader [1] - 181:18         leaders [1] - 129:18         leadership [9] -         101:18, 102:1,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:25 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12 <b>leader</b> [1] - 181:18 <b>leaders</b> [1] - 129:18 <b>leadership</b> [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5,	$\begin{array}{c} 131:22\\ \textbf{Leng} [2] - 128:4,\\ 128:16\\ \textbf{length} [2] - 41:10,\\ 187:25\\ \textbf{lengthy} [2] - 28:12,\\ 90:6\\ \textbf{Leon} [1] - 95:4\\ \textbf{Leon-a-t-i} [1] - 95:4\\ \textbf{Leon-a-t-i} [1] - 95:3\\ \textbf{LePard} [26] - 5:9, 6:2,\\ 12:2, 31:2, 31:4,\\ 33:3, 39:8, 39:18,\\ 39:19, 40:14, 41:6,\\ 43:25, 60:3, 68:8,\\ 71:23, 75:5, 75:7,\\ 76:20, 84:4, 84:8,\\ 86:12, 86:16, 88:13,\\ \end{array}$	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21 <b>line</b> [3] - 15:23, 20:20,	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:25 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22,	$\begin{tabular}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$
181:21         lawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1,         15:7, 78:24, 99:7,         162:20, 165:20         lawyers [11] - 13:3,         23:11, 23:15, 24:3,         35:6, 45:3, 79:1,         87:17, 126:16,         167:23, 170:14         lazy [1] - 58:13         lead [4] - 17:4, 17:12,         17:13, 129:12         leader [1] - 181:18         leaders [1] - 129:18         leadership [9] -         101:18, 102:1,         102:3, 102:5,         105:18, 105:25,         106:3, 106:6, 110:21         leading [5] - 16:10,	131:22 Leng $[2] - 128:4$ , 128:16 length $[2] - 41:10$ , 187:25 lengthy $[2] - 28:12$ , 90:6 Leon $[1] - 95:4$ Leonati $[1] - 95:4$ Leonati $[1] - 95:3$ LePard $[26] - 5:9, 6:2,$ 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21 <b>line</b> [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:25 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12 <b>leader</b> [1] - 181:18 <b>leaders [1]</b> - 129:18 <b>leadership</b> [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21 <b>line</b> [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25 <b>lined</b> [1] - 34:7	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21         lawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1,         15:7, 78:24, 99:7,         162:20, 165:20         lawyers [11] - 13:3,         23:11, 23:15, 24:3,         35:6, 45:3, 79:1,         87:17, 126:16,         167:23, 170:14         lazy [1] - 58:13         lead [4] - 17:4, 17:12,         17:13, 129:12         leader [1] - 181:18         leaders [1] - 129:18         leadership [9] -         101:18, 102:1,         102:3, 102:5,         105:18, 105:25,         106:3, 106:6, 110:21         leading [5] - 16:10,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's [6] - 41:8,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21 <b>line</b> [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25 <b>lined</b> [1] - 34:7 <b>lines</b> [1] - 16:4	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:25 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 <b>lawyer</b> [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20 <b>lawyers</b> [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14 <b>lazy</b> [1] - 58:13 <b>lead</b> [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12 <b>leader</b> [1] - 181:18 <b>leadership</b> [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21 <b>leading</b> [5] - 16:10, 17:9, 55:5, 68:20,	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's [6] - 41:8, 41:21, 69:21, 73:10,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21 <b>line</b> [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25 <b>lined</b> [1] - 34:7 <b>lines</b> [1] - 16:4 <b>link</b> [1] - 78:17	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:25 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 $Iawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20$ $Iawyers [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14$ $Iazy [1] - 58:13$ $Iead [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12$ $Ieader [1] - 181:18$ $Ieadership [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21$ $Ieading [5] - 16:10, 17:9, 55:5, 68:20, 182:5$	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's [6] - 41:8, 41:21, 69:21, 73:10, 75:8, 83:24	$\begin{array}{c} 183:22\\ \textbf{likelihood} [3] - 12:22,\\ 68:18, 82:19\\ \textbf{likely} [11] - 2:14, 10:3,\\ 33:20, 43:3, 52:23,\\ 52:24, 53:1, 66:20,\\ 82:13, 118:8, 145:7\\ \textbf{Lila} [1] - 144:10\\ \textbf{Lilliane} [2] - 151:8,\\ 151:11\\ \textbf{limit} [3] - 5:16, 117:12,\\ 185:13\\ \textbf{limitation} [1] - 21:12\\ \textbf{limitations} [1] - 20:16\\ \textbf{limited} [3] - 9:5, 34:1,\\ 136:23\\ \textbf{limits} [3] - 34:25, 35:3,\\ 35:21\\ \textbf{line} [3] - 15:23, 20:20,\\ 87:25\\ \textbf{lined} [1] - 34:7\\ \textbf{lines} [1] - 16:4\\ \textbf{link} [1] - 78:17\\ \textbf{lion's} [1] - 173:10\\ \end{array}$	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17, 176:5, 176:6, 187:10	$eq:linear_line$
181:21 $Iawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20$ $Iawyers [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14$ $Iazy [1] - 58:13$ $Iead [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12$ $Ieader [1] - 181:18$ $Ieadership [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21$ $Ieading [5] - 16:10, 17:9, 55:5, 68:20, 182:5$ $Ieads [2] - 27:17,$	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's [6] - 41:8, 41:21, 69:21, 73:10, 75:8, 83:24 Lepine [2] - 113:18,	$\begin{array}{c} 183:22\\ \textbf{likelihood} [3] - 12:22,\\ 68:18, 82:19\\ \textbf{likely} [11] - 2:14, 10:3,\\ 33:20, 43:3, 52:23,\\ 52:24, 53:1, 66:20,\\ 82:13, 118:8, 145:7\\ \textbf{Lila} [1] - 144:10\\ \textbf{Lilliane} [2] - 151:8,\\ 151:11\\ \textbf{limit} [3] - 5:16, 117:12,\\ 185:13\\ \textbf{limitation} [1] - 21:12\\ \textbf{limitations} [1] - 20:16\\ \textbf{limited} [3] - 9:5, 34:1,\\ 136:23\\ \textbf{limits} [3] - 34:25, 35:3,\\ 35:21\\ \textbf{line} [3] - 15:23, 20:20,\\ 87:25\\ \textbf{lined} [1] - 34:7\\ \textbf{lines} [1] - 16:4\\ \textbf{link} [1] - 78:17\\ \textbf{lion's} [1] - 173:10\\ \textbf{Lions} [1] - 177:7\\ \end{array}$	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:25 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17, 176:5, 176:6, 187:10 looked [1] - 80:10	$eq:linear_line$
181:21 $Iawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20$ $Iawyers [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14$ $Iazy [1] - 58:13$ $Iead [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12$ $Ieader [1] - 181:18$ $Ieadership [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21$ $Ieading [5] - 16:10, 17:9, 55:5, 68:20, 182:5$ $Ieads [2] - 27:17, 174:23$	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's [6] - 41:8, 41:21, 69:21, 73:10, 75:8, 83:24 Lepine [2] - 113:18, 122:16	$\begin{array}{c} 183:22\\ \textbf{likelihood} [3] - 12:22, \\ 68:18, 82:19\\ \textbf{likely} [11] - 2:14, 10:3, \\ 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, \\ 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, \\ 82:13, 118:8, 145:7\\ \textbf{Lila} [1] - 144:10\\ \textbf{Lilliane} [2] - 151:8, \\ 151:11\\ \textbf{limit} [3] - 5:16, 117:12, \\ 185:13\\ \textbf{limitation} [1] - 21:12\\ \textbf{limitations} [1] - 20:16\\ \textbf{limited} [3] - 9:5, 34:1, \\ 136:23\\ \textbf{limits} [3] - 34:25, 35:3, \\ 35:21\\ \textbf{line} [3] - 15:23, 20:20, \\ 87:25\\ \textbf{lined} [1] - 34:7\\ \textbf{lines} [1] - 16:4\\ \textbf{link} [1] - 78:17\\ \textbf{lions} [1] - 173:10\\ \textbf{Lions} [1] - 177:7\\ \textbf{Lisa} [5] - 149:8, \\ \end{array}$	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17, 176:5, 176:6, 187:10 looked [1] - 80:10 looking [13] - 51:8,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 $Iawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20$ $Iawyers [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14$ $Iazy [1] - 58:13$ $Iead [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12$ $Ieader [1] - 181:18$ $Ieadership [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21$ $Ieading [5] - 16:10, 17:9, 55:5, 68:20, 182:5$ $Ieads [2] - 27:17, 174:23$ $Iearned [3] - 21:17, 120.20000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\begin{array}{c} 131:22\\ \textbf{Leng} [2] - 128:4,\\ 128:16\\ \textbf{length} [2] - 41:10,\\ 187:25\\ \textbf{lengthy} [2] - 28:12,\\ 90:6\\ \textbf{Leon} [1] - 95:4\\ \textbf{Leon-a-t-i} [1] - 95:4\\ \textbf{Leon-a-t-i} [1] - 95:3\\ \textbf{LePard} [26] - 5:9, 6:2,\\ 12:2, 31:2, 31:4,\\ 33:3, 39:8, 39:18,\\ 39:19, 40:14, 41:6,\\ 43:25, 60:3, 68:8,\\ 71:23, 75:5, 75:7,\\ 76:20, 84:4, 84:8,\\ 86:12, 86:16, 88:13,\\ 96:12, 114:11,\\ 121:10\\ \textbf{LePard's} [6] - 41:8,\\ 41:21, 69:21, 73:10,\\ 75:8, 83:24\\ \textbf{Lepine} [2] - 113:18,\\ 122:16\\ \textbf{less} [6] - 113:17,\\ \end{array}$	183:22 $Iikelihood [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19$ $Iikely [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7$ $Lila [1] - 144:10$ $Lilliane [2] - 151:8, 151:11$ $Iimit [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13$ $Iimitation [1] - 21:12$ $Iimitations [1] - 20:16$ $Iimite [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23$ $Iimits [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21$ $Iine [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25$ $Iined [1] - 34:7$ $Iines [1] - 16:4$ $Iink [1] - 78:17$ $Iion's [1] - 173:10$ $Lions [1] - 177:7$ $Lisa [5] - 149:8, 149:12, 149:16,$	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17, 176:5, 176:6, 187:10 looked [1] - 80:10 looking [13] - 51:8, 57:14, 58:12, 65:25,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
181:21 $Iawyer [7] - 14:8, 15:1, 15:7, 78:24, 99:7, 162:20, 165:20$ $Iawyers [11] - 13:3, 23:11, 23:15, 24:3, 35:6, 45:3, 79:1, 87:17, 126:16, 167:23, 170:14$ $Iazy [1] - 58:13$ $Iead [4] - 17:4, 17:12, 17:13, 129:12$ $Ieader [1] - 181:18$ $Ieadership [9] - 101:18, 102:1, 102:3, 102:5, 105:18, 105:25, 106:3, 106:6, 110:21$ $Ieading [5] - 16:10, 17:9, 55:5, 68:20, 182:5$ $Ieads [2] - 27:17, 174:23$ $Iearned [3] - 21:17, 40:5, 70:10$	131:22 Leng [2] - 128:4, 128:16 length [2] - 41:10, 187:25 lengthy [2] - 28:12, 90:6 Leon [1] - 95:4 Leonati [1] - 95:3 LePard [26] - 5:9, 6:2, 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's [6] - 41:8, 41:21, 69:21, 73:10, 75:8, 83:24 Lepine [2] - 113:18, 122:16 less [6] - 113:17, 126:16, 141:5,	183:22 <b>likelihood</b> [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19 <b>likely</b> [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7 <b>Lila</b> [1] - 144:10 <b>Lilliane</b> [2] - 151:8, 151:11 <b>limit</b> [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13 <b>limitation</b> [1] - 21:12 <b>limitations</b> [1] - 20:16 <b>limited</b> [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23 <b>limits</b> [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21 <b>line</b> [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25 <b>lined</b> [1] - 34:7 <b>lines</b> [1] - 16:4 <b>link</b> [1] - 78:17 <b>lion's</b> [1] - 173:10 <b>Lions</b> [1] - 177:7 <b>Lisa</b> [5] - 149:8, 149:12, 149:16, 149:19, 150:3	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17, 176:5, 176:6, 187:10 looked [1] - 80:10 looking [13] - 51:8, 57:14, 58:12, 65:25, 66:2, 82:4, 82:8,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$\begin{array}{c} 181:21\\ \textbf{lawyer}[7]-14:8, 15:1,\\ 15:7, 78:24, 99:7,\\ 162:20, 165:20\\ \textbf{lawyers}[11]-13:3,\\ 23:11, 23:15, 24:3,\\ 35:6, 45:3, 79:1,\\ 87:17, 126:16,\\ 167:23, 170:14\\ \textbf{lazy}[1]-58:13\\ \textbf{lead}[4]-17:4, 17:12,\\ 17:13, 129:12\\ \textbf{leader}[1]-181:18\\ \textbf{leadership}[9]-\\ 101:18, 102:1,\\ 102:3, 102:5,\\ 105:18, 105:25,\\ 106:3, 106:6, 110:21\\ \textbf{leading}[5]-16:10,\\ 17:9, 55:5, 68:20,\\ 182:5\\ \textbf{leads}[2]-27:17,\\ 174:23\\ \textbf{learned}[3]-21:17,\\ 40:5, 70:10\\ \textbf{least}[15]-9:8, 9:9,\\ \end{array}$	131:22 Leng $[2] - 128:4,$ 128:16 length $[2] - 41:10,$ 187:25 lengthy $[2] - 28:12,$ 90:6 Leon $[1] - 95:4$ Leonati $[1] - 95:3$ LePard $[26] - 5:9, 6:2,$ 12:2, 31:2, 31:4, 33:3, 39:8, 39:18, 39:19, 40:14, 41:6, 43:25, 60:3, 68:8, 71:23, 75:5, 75:7, 76:20, 84:4, 84:8, 86:12, 86:16, 88:13, 96:12, 114:11, 121:10 LePard's $[6] - 41:8,$ 41:21, 69:21, 73:10, 75:8, 83:24 Lepine $[2] - 113:18,$ 122:16 less $[6] - 113:17,$ 126:16, 141:5, 141:6, 150:19	183:22 $Iikelihood [3] - 12:22, 68:18, 82:19$ $Iikely [11] - 2:14, 10:3, 33:20, 43:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 66:20, 82:13, 118:8, 145:7$ $Lila [1] - 144:10$ $Lilliane [2] - 151:8, 151:11$ $Iimit [3] - 5:16, 117:12, 185:13$ $Iimitation [1] - 21:12$ $Iimitations [1] - 20:16$ $Iimite [3] - 9:5, 34:1, 136:23$ $Iimits [3] - 34:25, 35:3, 35:21$ $Iine [3] - 15:23, 20:20, 87:25$ $Iined [1] - 34:7$ $Iines [1] - 16:4$ $Iink [1] - 78:17$ $Iion's [1] - 173:10$ $Lions [1] - 177:7$ $Lisa [5] - 149:8, 149:12, 149:16,$	lobbied [1] - 115:5 local [1] - 105:8 locate [2] - 109:11, 119:14 located [1] - 135:2 location [3] - 69:18, 146:2, 147:2 locations [2] - 55:24, 103:22 locked [1] - 169:13 locker [1] - 80:17 logical [1] - 53:5 logically [1] - 53:25 look [24] - 12:3, 34:23, 52:14, 83:8, 85:24, 87:12, 88:5, 91:5, 93:5, 94:8, 130:8, 138:11, 143:22, 144:3, 144:5, 148:16, 156:1, 156:12, 156:15, 156:22, 161:17, 176:5, 176:6, 187:10 looked [1] - 80:10 looking [13] - 51:8, 57:14, 58:12, 65:25, 66:2, 82:4, 82:8, 83:23, 85:7, 146:25,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	managed [1] - 127:3	marshalled [1] - 82:11	182:6	mid-1990s [1] - 75:11
	management [18] -	Mary [2] - 127:15,	medication [1] -	middle [4] - 57:3,
	28:6, 105:25,	127:16	176:17	90:24, 172:5, 187:22
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	109:17, 110:10,	material [8] - 46:9,	meet [3] - 12:19,	might [19] - 8:20,
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	114:6, 115:4,	•• •	39:24, 78:20	• • • •
	115:17, 115:23,		meeting [3] - 41:13,	
			• • •	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
			• • •	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	, ,			· · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
			• • •	••
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				••
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			• •	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•••			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-			
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		••••		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			••	
$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				•••
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• • • •		-
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			••	••
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		-		•••
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	•••	• • •		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	•			
119:6means $[14] - 52:17$ , marginalized $[10] -$ means $[14] - 52:17$ , $52:19, 53:24, 54:10$ , $54:11, 58:24, 69:1$ , $146:10, 146:20$ , $153:5, 159:6$ , $159:10, 168:16$ , $124:22, 124:23$ mention $[3] - 60:4$ , $114:21, 127:22$ mentioned $[2] - 42:3$ , $128:15$ 138:17, 162:10 mirror $[1] - 104:22$ misconduct $[3] - 17:2$ , $114:1, 122:2$ 153:5, 159:6, $159:10, 168:16$ , $124:22, 124:23$ $124:22, 124:23$ 98:19, 124:21, $100:20, 102:11,$ $100:20, 102:11,$ $100:20, 102:11,$ $119:5$ misconduct $[3] - 17:2,$ $114:1, 122:2$ marginalizing $[1] -$ $141:23$ measure $[2] - 107:5,$ measure $[2] - 107:5,$ $121:4$ merely $[1] - 71:13$ merit $[1] - 3:22$ $119:15$ Marie $[1] - 2:23$ mark $[3] - 128:8,$ measures $[1] - 174:7$ mechanism $[1] -$ $156:3, 182:16$ measures $[1] - 174:7$ measures $[1] - 174:7$ methad $11 - 181:13$ $101:20, 151:23,$ $161:1, 162:14,$ $182:13$ Marnie $[3] - 2:17,$ $147:17$ $147:17$ methad $19 - 109:5, 151:17,$ methad $19 - 171:1$ $140:17, 142:1$ $160:25,$ $140:17, 142:1$ Marnie $[3] - 137:19,$ $137:20, 144:7$ marry $[1] - 144:4$ $171:4, 172:7,$ $176:15, 181:23,$ $110:20,$ $140:17, 142:1$ Mars $[2] - 37:3, 37:16$ $176:15, 181:23,$ $140:1, - 116:20$			• •	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	_			
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				,
146:10, 146:20, 153:5, 159:6, marginalizing [1] - 141:2377:1, 97:18, 97:19, 98:19, 124:21, meant [3] - 56:3, 57:7, 57:8128:15114:1, 122:2marginalizing [1] - 141:2357:8merchants [3] - 105:4119:5marginalizing [1] - 141:2357:8merely [1] - 71:13misinformation [1] - 105:4mark [3] - 128:8, marked [2] - 182:17, 155:3, 182:16121:4message [3] - 3:18, measures [1] - 174:7Miss [9] - 49:1, 80:20, 119:15marked [2] - 182:17, 152:17, 152:21127:4met [5] - 37:4, 39:20, 109:5, 151:17, 166:25161:1, 162:14, 183:1Marnie [3] - 2:17, 152:17, 152:21109:5, 151:17, medical [6] - 170:19, 137:20, 144:7109:5, 151:17, medical [6] - 170:19, 176:15, 181:23,metic [1] - 131:5 140:17, 142:1married [3] - 137:19, Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16176:15, 181:23,Mexico [1] - 131:5 mid [1] - 116:20116:4, 152:2, 152:3, mid [1] - 116:20				
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
159:10, 168:16, 172:10, 174:9124:22, 124:23 meant [3] - 56:3, 57:7, 57:8100:20, 102:11, 105:4misinformation [1] - 119:5marginalizing [1] - 141:2357:8 measure [2] - 107:5, 121:4merely [1] - 71:13 merely [1] - 3:22misinformed [1] - 119:15Marie [1] - 2:23121:4 121:45message [3] - 3:18, message [3] - 3:18,Miss [9] - 49:1, 80:20, 16:24, 128:16, 116:24, 128:16, 116:24, 128:16, 117:6, 156:24Miss [9] - 49:1, 80:20, 16:24, 128:16, 149:22, 159:23, 149:22, 159:23, 161:1, 162:14, 183:1Marnie [3] - 2:17, 152:17, 152:21147:17 media [4] - 104:11, 109:5, 151:17, 137:20, 144:7medical [6] - 170:19, 166:25methadone [1] - 171:1 140:17, 142:1missing [6] - 115:3, missing [6] - 115:3, missing [6] - 115:3, mid [1] - 116:20				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
141:23measure $[2] - 107:5$ , 121:4merit $[1] - 3:22$ 119:15Marie $[1] - 2:23$ 121:4message $[3] - 3:18$ , message $[3] - 128:8$ , 156:3, 182:16measured $[1] - 121:15$ Miss $[9] - 49:1$ , 80:20, 16:24, 128:16, 116:24, 128:16, 149:22, 159:23, 161:1, 162:14, 183:1marked $[2] - 182:17$ , 183:1mechanism $[1] -$ mechanism $[1] -$ 152:17, 152:21methanism $[1] -$ 109:5, 151:17, 166:25101:20, 151:23, 154:16161:1, 162:14, 182:13Marnie $[3] - 2:17$ , 152:19147:17 109:5, 151:17, 166:25154:16 methadone $[1] - 171:1$ methadone $[1] - 171:1$ 148:8, 148:10, methadone $[1] - 171:1$ 148:8, 148:10, misses $[1] - 176:17$ Missing $[6] - 115:3$ , marry $[1] - 144:4$ Mars $[2] - 37:3, 37:16$ medical $[6] - 170:19$ , 176:15, 181:23,Mexico $[1] - 131:5$ mid $[1] - 116:20$	,			
Marie $[1] - 2:23$ 121:4message $[3] - 3:18$ ,Miss $[9] - 49:1$ , 80:20,mark $[3] - 128:8$ ,measured $[1] - 121:15$ message $[3] - 3:18$ ,116:24, 128:16,156:3, 182:16measures $[1] - 174:7$ message $[1] - 181:13$ 149:22, 159:23,marked $[2] - 182:17$ ,meat $[2] - 81:4$ met $[5] - 37:4$ , 39:20,161:1, 162:14,183:1mechanism $[1] -$ 101:20, 151:23,182:13Marnie $[3] - 2:17$ ,147:17154:16miss $[4] - 128:25$ ,152:17, 152:21media $[4] - 104:11$ ,methadone $[1] - 171:1$ 148:8, 148:10,Marnie $[3] - 137:19$ ,166:25metis $[3] - 140:5$ ,154:11married $[3] - 137:19$ ,166:25Metis $[3] - 140:5$ ,misses $[1] - 176:17$ 137:20, 144:7medical $[6] - 170:19$ ,140:17, 142:1Missing $[6] - 115:3$ ,marry $[1] - 144:4$ 171:4, 172:7,Mexico $[1] - 131:5$ 116:4, 152:2, 152:3,Mars $[2] - 37:3, 37:16$ 176:15, 181:23,mid $[1] - 116:20$ 152:7, 155:14			• • • •	
mark [3] - 128:8,       measured [1] - 121:15       116:24, 128:16,         156:3, 182:16       measures [1] - 174:7       messages [1] - 181:13       149:22, 159:23,         marked [2] - 182:17,       meat [2] - 81:4       met [5] - 37:4, 39:20,       161:1, 162:14,         183:1       mechanism [1] -       101:20, 151:23,       182:13         Marnie [3] - 2:17,       147:17       154:16       miss [4] - 128:25,         152:17, 152:21       media [4] - 104:11,       methadone [1] - 171:1       148:8, 148:10,         Marnie [3] - 137:19,       166:25       metica [6] - 170:19,       140:17, 142:1       misses [1] - 176:17         137:20, 144:7       medical [6] - 170:19,       140:17, 142:1       Missing [6] - 115:3,       miss[6] - 115:3,         marry [1] - 144:4       171:4, 172:7,       Mexico [1] - 131:5       116:4, 152:2, 152:3,       mid [1] - 116:20				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			• • • •	••
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
Marnie [3] - 2:17,       147:17       154:16       miss [4] - 128:25,         152:17, 152:21       media [4] - 104:11,       154:16       miss [4] - 128:25,         Marnie's [1] - 152:19       109:5, 151:17,       methadone [1] - 171:1       148:8, 148:10,         married [3] - 137:19,       166:25       Metis [3] - 140:5,       154:11         marrieg [3] - 137:19,       166:25       Metis [3] - 140:5,       154:17         marrieg [3] - 137:19,       166:25       Metis [3] - 140:5,       misses [1] - 176:17         137:20, 144:7       medical [6] - 170:19,       140:17, 142:1       Missing [6] - 115:3,         marry [1] - 144:4       171:4, 172:7,       Mexico [1] - 131:5       116:4, 152:2, 152:3,         Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16       176:15, 181:23,       mid [1] - 116:20       152:7, 155:14				
152:17, 152:21       media [4] - 104:11,       methadone [1] - 171:1       148:8, 148:10,         Marnie's [1] - 152:19       109:5, 151:17,       method [1] - 118:22       154:11         married [3] - 137:19,       166:25       Metis [3] - 140:5,       misses [1] - 176:17         137:20, 144:7       medical [6] - 170:19,       140:17, 142:1       Missing [6] - 115:3,         marry [1] - 144:4       171:4, 172:7,       Mexico [1] - 131:5       116:4, 152:2, 152:3,         Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16       176:15, 181:23,       mid [1] - 116:20       152:7, 155:14				
Marnie's [1] - 152:19         109:5, 151:17, 166:25         method [1] - 118:22         154:11           married [3] - 137:19, 137:20, 144:7         166:25         Metis [3] - 140:5, 140:17, 142:1         154:11           marry [1] - 144:4         171:4, 172:7, Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16         176:15, 181:23,         Mexico [1] - 131:5         116:4, 152:2, 152:3, 152:7, 155:14	•• •			
married [3] - 137:19,         166:25         Metis [3] - 140:5,         misses [1] - 176:17           137:20, 144:7         medical [6] - 170:19,         140:17, 142:1         Missing [6] - 115:3,           marry [1] - 144:4         171:4, 172:7,         Mexico [1] - 131:5         116:4, 152:2, 152:3,           Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16         176:15, 181:23,         mid [1] - 116:20         152:7, 155:14				
137:20, 144:7         medical [6] - 170:19,         140:17, 142:1         Missing [6] - 115:3,           marry [1] - 144:4         171:4, 172:7,         Mexico [1] - 131:5         116:4, 152:2, 152:3,           Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16         176:15, 181:23,         mid [1] - 116:20         152:7, 155:14				
marry [1] - 144:4         171:4, 172:7,         Mexico [1] - 131:5         116:4, 152:2, 152:3,           Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16         176:15, 181:23,         mid [1] - 116:20         152:7, 155:14	••		••	
Mars [2] - 37:3, 37:16         176:15, 181:23,         mid [1] - 116:20         152:7, 155:14				• • •
	• • •			
xviii		.,,		,
	L		⊥	1

**missing** [128] - 1:12, 4:20, 8:8, 27:1, 27:5,

27:19, 27:25, 28:2, 29:3, 29:10, 32:11,

33:12, 34:20, 41:23, 42:8, 43:6, 43:19,

44:7, 45:21, 47:4,

47:10, 47:15, 47:19,

49:23, 50:2, 52:23, 52:25, 53:2, 53:7, 55:3, 55:18, 56:8, 57:10, 57:25, 58:2,

58:17, 60:17, 60:21, 66:13, 68:12, 68:15, 68:16, 68:18, 68:23, 70:3, 70:19, 70:20, 71:6, 73:17, 74:12, 75:10, 82:7, 84:18, 89:17, 91:19, 96:6, 98:11, 98:17, 101:21, 105:16, 109:12, 111:19,

111:23, 112:23, 113:11, 113:23, 114:25, 115:8, 115:14, 116:2, 117:17, 119:15, 121:12, 123:5, 123:7, 125:22, 125:23, 128:8,

130:1, 130:5, 135:16, 135:19, 136:17, 141:18, 144:22, 144:23, 145:19, 146:5, 146:13, 147:16,

147:22, 147:25,

151:4, 151:12,

151:13, 151:20, 152:17, 153:2, 153:7, 155:13, 155:21, 155:23,

157:11, 158:15, 158:23, 159:15,

159:18, 160:19,

176:1, 176:4, 176:13, 177:1, 177:3, 177:6, 177:18, 178:17, 179:25, 180:5, 180:23 **Mission** [1] - 39:23 **mistake** [3] - 17:17, 92:3, 92:5 **mistakes** [2] - 30:5,

96:9

161:7, 163:3, 166:9, 166:11, 167:11, 167:20, 167:22, 175:20, 175:25,

mistreated [2] -	mounting [1] - 18:7	132:5, 133:9,	145:21, 146:21	negative [2] - 6:7,
154:14, 159:10	move [6] - 54:17,	135:20, 136:17,	national [1] - 141:3	178:12
misunderstood [1] -	138:16, 143:15,	144:24, 145:19,	nations [2] - 130:7,	negligence [1] - 30:9
90:14	148:20, 180:2,	147:16, 147:23,	131:9	negotiate [1] - 14:15
mixed [1] - 101:10	183:20	147:25, 160:19,	Nations [9] - 129:13,	neighborhoods [1] -
MLAs [1] - 168:7	<b>MR</b> [57] - 1:6, 1:10,	161:6, 166:9,	130:13, 143:3,	175:10
mobilization [1] -	2:2, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10,	166:12, 167:12	155:11, 156:2,	neighbourhood [1] -
104:8	15:21, 17:23, 18:17,	murders [6] - 2:13,	158:13, 158:20,	30:20
mobilize [1] - 113:8	20:2, 20:4, 21:2,	9:22, 10:8, 32:9,	158:21, 162:2	neighbouring [1] -
model [3] - 105:7,	21:12, 22:9, 22:22,	36:13, 46:25	native [3] - 144:8,	132:9
105:13, 105:15	22:24, 34:6, 34:9,	Murdock [1] - 2:19	152:1, 175:20	Neil [1] - 1:11
moment [8] - 44:21,	34:18, 35:7, 35:10,	Murray [2] - 18:4,	Native [1] - 124:14	<b>Nelson</b> [1] - 130:15
56:17, 60:6, 71:18,	36:9, 43:12, 43:23,	128:4	natural [2] - 7:17,	<b>Network</b> [3] - 162:18,
77:25, 78:22, 95:16,	44:2, 44:16, 44:20,	must [18] - 3:17,	169:14	165:15, 165:20
182:20	46:1, 46:13, 64:1,	46:20, 46:22, 50:10,	nature [5] - 29:21,	network [1] - 172:20
momentous [1] -	64:7, 71:21, 95:15,	51:21, 52:13, 68:11,	56:7, 71:25, 72:6,	networking [1] - 138:5
78:23	99:9, 99:16, 99:21,	70:15, 78:15, 79:2,	89:24	networking [1] - 138.5 networks [2] - 137:9,
moments [1] - 38:15	100:2, 100:10,	79:13, 87:11,	near [1] - 10:21	138:2
Mona [7] - 2:18, 149:8,	101:7, 101:10,	117:20, 133:23,	nearly [4] - 4:15, 11:2,	neutral [1] - 94:7
149:16, 149:17,	126:7, 128:24,	134:9, 139:8, 181:17	13:12, 38:9	
149:18, 149:23,	182:14, 182:24,	mystery [1] - 175:15	necessarily [3] - 45:8,	<b>never</b> [17] - 5:24,
149:24	183:5, 183:10,		57:25, 185:12	27:24, 30:18, 35:15, 41:14, 59:20, 62:1,
money [7] - 38:13,	184:4, 184:7,	Ν	necessary [5] - 7:21,	
47:8, 55:1, 159:8,	184:18, 184:24,		15:6, 40:25, 163:2,	63:1, 67:23, 80:12,
166:19, 178:4	185:8, 185:21,	name [7] - 12:9, 41:14,	163:5	98:5, 113:16, 149:24, 149:25,
monitoring [1] - 42:23	186:11, 188:2,	100:2, 129:5,	<b>need</b> [51] - 14:10,	, ,
month [2] - 184:22,	188:4, 188:7, 188:11	148:13, 162:16,	31:23, 36:7, 43:23,	157:9, 159:17, 169:16
186:4	<b>MS</b> [15] - 129:2, 129:3,	162:17	54:19, 57:23, 60:5,	nevertheless [5] -
months [6] - 7:24,	129:5, 130:11,	named [6] - 9:8, 10:9,	80:13, 111:3, 123:4,	
27:14, 27:22, 35:11,	148:9, 148:14,	39:15, 39:17, 77:13,	123:10, 123:21,	45:10, 62:7, 75:18, 85:15, 97:16
93:7, 171:8	162:15, 162:17,	147:20	124:6, 124:13,	<b>New</b> [3] - 43:2, 83:11,
<b>Moody</b> [1] - 123:14	163:16, 164:9,	names [6] - 2:15, 6:25,	124:17, 125:2,	123:13
• • • •	165:12, 165:14,	96:7, 117:17, 166:18	125:4, 125:11,	
morgue [1] - 28:16	165:18, 187:5, 187:8	NARBONNE [5] -	125:20, 127:13,	<b>new</b> [6] - 75:20, 122:4,
morning [6] - 11:13,	multiple [3] - 163:4,	129:2, 148:9,	128:15, 128:19,	168:7, 169:8, 179:7, 180:5
31:13, 50:14, 51:12, 63:25, 188:14	172:13, 176:17	148:14, 187:5, 187:8	128:22, 131:11,	next [7] - 9:2, 27:24,
	multiples [1] - 110:15	Narbonne [9] - 129:6,	134:17, 138:10,	•••
Morris [1] - 151:23	Municipal [2] - 52:6,	145:13, 148:4,	142:14, 143:22,	28:25, 59:16, 73:22,
<b>most</b> [28] - 5:17, 6:10,	52:8	148:8, 148:14,	143:23, 156:15,	130:21, 187:23 nexus [7] - 42:15,
6:20, 8:3, 9:15,	municipality [1] -	161:1, 187:3, 187:7,	158:21, 158:22,	42:19, 44:5, 78:16,
11:21, 27:19, 29:2,	52:10	1:9	159:20, 159:21,	
33:7, 39:12, 46:16,	murder [38] - 8:16,	narrow [6] - 146:1,	159:22, 162:6,	85:11, 85:15, 139:16
51:2, 53:24, 82:13, 96:5, 114:22,	8:18, 12:8, 12:16,	162:22, 163:9,	172:7, 175:6, 175:7,	nickname [1] - 135:5 Nicole [1] - 37:3
125:20, 127:1,	12:23, 13:1, 14:20,	185:22, 186:8,	175:9, 175:13,	
125.20, 127.1, 127:7, 132:6,	15:9, 15:13, 25:1,	186:24	175:14, 175:16,	night [4] - 22:15,
154:22, 160:20,	46:15, 46:16, 46:19,	Nathan [1] - 10:9	175:19, 181:6,	31:14, 36:21, 102:14
167:22, 167:23,	46:20, 46:22, 48:13,	NATHANSON [1] -	182:19, 183:5,	nine [2] - 47:19, 146:16
169:13, 170:13,	49:2, 53:4, 55:3,	17:23	184:24, 187:2, 188:6	nineties [2] - 95:5,
178:20	56:10, 56:13, 59:14,	Nathanson [4] -	needed [4] - 31:8,	111:11
mother [3] - 151:22,	60:19, 62:21, 65:21,	17:23, 18:15, 18:19,	78:18, 101:15,	Nisga'a [2] - 130:20,
152:8, 152:14	68:23, 70:6, 70:7,	89:2	136:13	• • • •
mother's [1] - 153:1	71:8, 73:13, 78:10,	nation [1] - 132:17	needing [1] - 170:4	131:1 NO 41 - 2:4
motives [2] - 105:6,	89:11, 91:16, 94:14,	Nation [15] - 129:18,	needle [1] - 181:13	NO [1] - 2:4
158:6	112:9, 117:10,	130:14, 130:18,	needles [1] - 103:24	nobody [1] - 11:16
Motor [1] - 103:15	119:18, 170:6	130:19, 130:20,	needs [12] - 45:1,	<b>non</b> [7] - 90:10,
Motorcycle [1] - 11:23	murdered [24] - 1:12,	130:22, 130:24,	56:18, 69:22, 94:11,	116:22, 140:22,
Moulton [2] - 92:13,	2:11, 4:14, 8:22,	131:1, 131:2, 131:4,	96:14, 123:6, 123:7,	144:4, 144:7,
122:7	13:14, 74:13,	131:7, 137:15,	148:2, 154:17,	145:18, 150:25
Mount [1] - 130:16	129:15, 130:1,	137:23	158:17, 158:19,	non-aboriginal [4] -
mounted [1] - 38:7	130:6, 131:11,	National [5] - 143:9,	181:2	140:22, 144:4, 144:7, 150:25
		143:15, 144:20,		1.7, 100.20

non-paid [1] - 145:18	nurses [1] - 13:25	offence" [1] - 77:11	71:16, 71:23, 75:15,	168:25, 176:11,
non-profit [1] - 116:22		offences [4] - 97:3,	81:10, 81:11, 83:1,	183:19
non-reaction [1] -	0	105:23, 170:5,	85:8, 89:23, 92:8,	orders [1] - 115:2
90:10	-	170:10	93:1, 94:6, 94:11,	ordinary [1] - 76:14
none [6] - 8:20, 14:20,	<b>O'Chiese</b> [1] - 130:18	offends [1] - 18:2	95:16, 96:8, 98:5,	ordination [1] - 163:8
65:6, 101:21, 113:3,	oath [1] - 77:2	offer [2] - 24:6, 106:3	99:9, 110:25,	organization [8] -
172:18	object [3] - 18:23,	offered [2] - 39:9,	113:17, 117:3,	11:22, 29:15, 29:17,
nonetheless [1] - 23:6	45:3, 45:11	170:25	130:21, 132:6,	42:25, 108:25,
noninsured [1] -	objected [1] - 19:3	offering [1] - 55:4	137:22, 140:14,	121:6, 121:8, 163:7
139:24	objecting [1] - 22:9	offers [1] - 68:20	143:8, 144:17,	organizations [3] -
nonsense [1] - 26:17	objection [2] - 15:23,	office [5] - 7:4, 13:9,	146:15, 150:7,	134:8, 166:6, 166:11
north [1] - 101:5	18:14	28:9, 80:23, 115:15	157:13, 157:14,	organized [5] - 11:22,
North [2] - 177:10,	objective [1] - 91:7	officer [14] - 39:14,	158:5, 158:6, 163:7,	42:15, 42:24, 44:7,
177:14	obligation [6] - 52:15,	47:8, 54:9, 62:12,	165:2, 166:2,	45:22
Northwest [1] -	71:11, 106:21,	72:23, 73:6, 74:3,	176:24, 180:22,	origin [1] - 131:3
111:11	138:18, 138:23,	74:8, 76:10, 77:13,	182:15, 183:3,	originating [1] - 130:7
note [12] - 2:6, 18:14,	140:9	92:10, 92:11,	183:6, 183:10,	ought [28] - 17:18,
34:9, 34:18, 51:19,	obligations [3] -	157:17, 157:18	183:11, 185:20	17:19, 19:24, 20:22,
64:17, 107:15,	136:4, 139:14,	officers [24] - 14:2,	one's [1] - 26:1	22:4, 22:20, 59:9,
122:23, 126:7,	140:12	28:5, 47:14, 50:16,	ones [11] - 8:8, 13:13,	59:14, 71:3, 75:4,
162:6, 185:8, 187:14	obliged [3] - 27:4,	69:4, 70:15, 76:10,	24:20, 25:8, 26:6,	76:13, 82:23, 83:7,
noted [4] - 41:19,	28:17	79:20, 89:21, 97:13,	26:20, 32:14, 33:14,	83:15, 85:18, 86:14,
42:17, 45:1, 142:11	oblique [1] - 18:7	106:19, 106:23,	34:17, 151:1, 169:20	88:15, 90:12, 93:23,
notes [2] - 77:24,	obliquely [1] - 16:8	107:2, 107:3, 107:7,	ongoing [3] - 109:7,	96:4, 107:22, 108:5,
159:11	observations [4] -	109:20, 109:21,	111:13, 114:19	109:22, 113:8,
nothing [8] - 22:3,	22:17, 25:11, 73:25,	122:19, 125:16,	Ontario [1] - 39:14	163:20, 163:23,
34:10, 35:19, 38:12,	96:17	127:11, 134:19,	<b>open</b> [1] - 4:10	164:25
38:14, 97:21, 152:2,	observed [5] - 45:8,	174:13, 181:22,	opened [1] - 129:17	ourselves [7] - 43:21,
157:7	82:1, 139:17,	186:22	opening [7] - 1:19,	134:17, 138:14,
notice [1] - 19:2	175:24, 175:25	Officers [1] - 5:9	14:14, 39:10,	148:11, 159:19,
notified [1] - 146:12	observing [1] - 145:9	Official [1] - 188:20	100:10, 101:8,	159:21, 164:8
notion [2] - 58:20,	obtain [2] - 76:22,	offline [3] - 11:10,	101:11, 159:14	outbursts [1] - 185:18
131:17	83:6	11:24, 12:1	openness [1] - 40:17	outcomes [2] - 172:9,
notions [1] - 101:24	obtained [2] - 14:9,	often [7] - 142:8,	operated [1] - 169:8	178:9
notorious [2] - 11:22,	118:19	167:6, 174:12,	operating [1] - 111:10	outcry [1] - 117:12
109:5	obtaining [1] - 77:19	176:5, 176:6,	operation [2] - 83:14,	outline [1] - 129:9
notwithstanding [2] -	obvious [4] - 25:7,	178:14, 178:23	112:20	outlining [1] - 134:2
121:18, 155:22	27:19, 29:3, 174:9	old [3] - 143:24,	opiate [1] - 179:2	outreach [1] - 110:1
November [3] -	obviously [3] - 17:5,	143:25, 152:24	opinion [5] - 57:10,	outright [1] - 25:24
112:19, 112:22,	71:25, 72:7	older [1] - 145:6	57:19, 57:24, 58:1,	outset [1] - 1:13
177:5	occupancy [1] -	<b>Olivia</b> [3] - 2:23,	75:2	outside [3] - 43:13,
<b>NR</b> [3] - 182:22, 183:4	166:23	150:9, 150:11	<b>Oppal</b> [1] - 181:4	114:10, 114:20
Nuchatlaht [1] -	occupations [1] - 26:3	once [7] - 7:18, 29:23,	opportunity [9] -	outstanding [1] -
130:23	occur [2] - 9:21,	97:25, 139:12,	16:22, 18:21, 18:22,	170:9
number [20] - 5:5,	132:22	139:16, 150:19,	21:14, 45:4, 105:12,	overall [2] - 101:14,
57:9, 60:8, 71:5,	occurred [2] - 10:20,	167:10	185:16, 186:6,	118:3
71:14, 71:15, 72:21,	42:11	one [88] - 3:21, 4:5,	187:15	overcoming [1] -
73:11, 83:13, 85:8,	occurring [2] - 44:8,	4:7, 4:9, 4:10, 4:12,	opposed [1] - 187:22	171:24
93:14, 95:13,	75:15	9:14, 11:11, 19:8,	opposite [1] - 118:15	overdosed [1] - 177:7
109:12, 123:7,	occurs [2] - 53:23,	20:5, 21:2, 21:6,	oppression [4] -	oversee [1] - 175:6
130:5, 155:13,	54:3	25:18, 25:21, 26:10,	131:18, 135:12,	oversight [1] - 175:7
156:10, 161:6,	October [3] - 25:15,	26:14, 26:25, 29:15,	138:7, 144:2	overtime [3] - 169:7,
161:14, 186:25	61:23, 90:7	30:19, 30:24, 34:20,	oppressive [1] -	174:14, 175:12
numbers [4] - 57:25,	Odd [1] - 181:12	34:21, 35:23, 38:22,	133:14	Owen [1] - 32:21
135:19, 141:17,	odds [1] - 127:1	40:8, 40:18, 41:13,	optics [1] - 102:16	own [17] - 3:8, 7:11,
182:19	<b>OF</b> [1] - 1:2	47:8, 48:8, 48:10,	<b>oral</b> [4] - 34:13, 34:16,	14:6, 28:15, 70:15,
numerous [4] - 109:5,	offence [8] - 26:8,	48:11, 48:15, 48:23,	61:16, 69:25	71:17, 73:10, 81:19,
111:14, 149:3,	57:14, 58:20, 77:7,	49:4, 49:9, 49:21,	orange [1] - 102:25	89:24, 95:12,
167:13	78:4, 78:5, 124:20,	52:24, 54:6, 57:3,	order [8] - 1:4, 49:22,	102:24, 152:9,
nurse [1] - 154:6	168:1	62:7, 63:12, 71:5,	64:5, 99:25, 162:13,	153:18, 160:7,

185:3, 185:25	part [19] - 8:9, 12:20,	pattern [1] - 133:10	percent [8] - 42:2,	pertaining [1] - 27:16
owned [1] - 169:8	13:3, 30:5, 30:10,	Paul [1] - 39:1	79:14, 154:21,	pertains [1] - 27.16
	45:1, 45:11, 57:2,	<b>pause</b> [4] - 50:11,	171:6, 173:17,	perverse [1] - 144:1
Р	73:22, 86:21, 90:3,	93:18, 107:15	173:19, 177:21,	Peter [1] - 14:8
	106:17, 126:13,	pay [4] - 70:15, 168:3,	179:19	Philip [1] - 32:21
<b>P.M</b> [5] - 99:23, 99:24,	136:15, 142:24,	170:9, 174:14	perceptions [1] -	phone [2] - 146:17,
162:11, 162:12,	146:23, 147:15,	payments [1] - 175:13	119:15	146:18
188:15	159:24, 166:3	peace [3] - 77:1,	perfectly [1] - 108:9	phonetic [3] - 127:15,
PACE [2] - 109:6,	part-time [1] - 166:3	77:13, 81:18	perform [1] - 74:20	137:15, 137:23
110:8 Pacheedaht [1] -	partial [1] - 176:3	peeing [1] - 171:3	performance [1] -	phoning [1] - 149:18
130:24	partially [1] - 174:5	pension [1] - 176:14	174:6	photocopies [1] -
Pacific [1] - 111:11	participant [3] - 7:6,	people [97] - 3:5,	perhaps [8] - 9:10,	14:11
<b>PAGE</b> [1] - 2:4	106:12, 183:11	13:22, 18:22, 28:21,	15:6, 24:16, 26:15,	photographs [1] -
page [44] - 49:20,	participants [15] -	29:2, 34:7, 44:24,	89:23, 125:19,	80:9
49:22, 51:15, 52:4,	1:23, 4:19, 5:16, 6:4,	52:25, 82:11,	182:15, 183:17	photos [1] - 103:20
53:8, 55:13, 57:2,	6:9, 6:18, 6:23,	101:19, 101:20,	period [15] - 10:5,	phrased [1] - 70:21
57:4, 57:16, 59:9,	18:11, 34:23, 136:8,	101:22, 120:19,	25:15, 60:17, 71:5,	physical [1] - 133:12
60:5, 60:7, 60:12,	172:15, 183:16,	128:14, 128:16,	101:17, 102:3,	physician [1] - 167:11
61:19, 62:18, 63:12,	183:18, 185:2,	128:17, 130:4,	105:18, 112:13,	<b>pick</b> [3] - 48:25,
64:17, 65:17, 66:5,	185:22	131:15, 131:19,	113:12, 117:5,	176:18, 177:4
67:21, 68:9, 70:18,	participate [1] -	132:3, 132:12,	117:25, 120:7,	pick-ups [1] - 176:18
71:18, 71:23, 72:21,	165:19	132:17, 133:6,	127:5, 155:19,	picked [9] - 13:23,
74:22, 75:7, 75:8,	participated [3] - 3:13,	133:21, 134:18,	174:19	48:12, 54:2, 58:2,
75:9, 76:19, 76:22,	160:12, 166:10	135:6, 135:9, 136:4,	permanent [3] - 8:21,	68:19, 90:5, 92:1,
86:19, 86:25, 87:3,	participating [1] - 3:6	137:8, 138:8,	171:10, 171:12	92:20, 186:1
88:7, 89:15, 90:15,	participation [2] -	139:19, 140:18,	permeated [1] - 29:17	Pickering [1] - 92:24
90:23, 90:24, 91:12,	34:24, 134:9 particles [1] - 94:12	140:19, 140:23, 144:3, 145:10,	permitted [3] - 18:11, 19:18, 20:13	Pickton [81] - 8:15,
93:15, 95:13,	particular [7] - 19:20,	145:17, 146:8,	perpetration [1] - 10:4	9:9, 9:19, 10:3, 11:2,
144:25, 1:3	36:11, 54:22, 76:24,	148:18, 148:23,	perpetuated [1] - 8:5	11:6, 11:14, 11:20,
pages [3] - 41:24,	122:6, 128:1, 173:23	154:15, 154:17,	perseverance [1] -	11:24, 12:8, 12:14,
42:2, 140:16	particularly [7] -	154:19, 155:21,	127:14	12:20, 13:6, 14:19,
paid [1] - 145:18	61:14, 62:9, 70:8,	155:25, 156:5,	persevered [1] - 157:3	24:22, 24:24, 32:8, 32:11, 33:18, 36:12,
pain [2] - 8:21, 8:24	91:17, 164:18,	156:24, 157:10,	person [19] - 8:2, 27:5,	36:18, 36:22, 37:7,
pains [1] - 41:13	165:4, 174:19	157:21, 158:15,	27:20, 64:12, 68:21,	37:22, 38:1, 39:24,
Palace [6] - 42:10, 42:16, 43:1, 44:9,	parties [3] - 43:2,	158:18, 158:21,	77:12, 77:21, 80:24,	41:19, 44:6, 47:25,
45:19, 69:9	54:21, 147:4	159:6, 160:10,	81:10, 91:8, 97:25,	48:15, 48:23, 49:3,
<b>panic</b> [1] - 176:23	parts [4] - 42:5, 94:12,	160:11, 161:10,	98:14, 105:22,	49:14, 57:12, 58:9,
paper [1] - 134:12	100:19, 143:17	161:15, 161:23,	124:19, 124:20,	64:14, 66:16, 67:14,
papers [3] - 48:19,	party [3] - 42:12,	161:25, 162:2,	125:1, 156:20,	69:5, 70:5, 71:9,
49:12, 159:12	136:20, 137:14	162:4, 163:20,	168:3, 176:21	71:11, 73:23, 74:7,
<b>Papin</b> [3] - 2:17,	pass [1] - 70:12	165:3, 165:21,	Person's [1] - 115:3	74:25, 75:4, 75:6,
146:11, 147:19	passage [2] - 51:19,	165:23, 166:8,	person's [1] - 27:25	80:3, 80:5, 80:13,
paradoxical [1] - 60:2	71:22	167:25, 168:14, 169:1, 170:23	personal [5] - 3:8,	80:22, 81:5, 83:16,
paragraph [12] - 55:9,	passed [4] - 28:11,	169:1, 170:23, 172:6, 172:7	26:1, 126:7, 153:18,	86:23, 87:9, 88:8,
56:5, 56:12, 57:22,	40:13, 98:7, 144:14	172:6, 172:7, 174:16, 174:24,	175:12	88:15, 88:25, 90:4,
61:19, 87:1, 89:17,	passing [1] - 168:7	175:18, 175:23,	personality [1] - 37:22	91:22, 91:25, 93:13,
89:18, 91:13, 91:24,	passionate [1] -	176:3, 176:5, 176:6,	personally [1] - 116:8	93:17, 93:23, 94:25,
92:12	130:23	176:20, 177:13,	personnel [3] - 26:22,	96:5, 96:13, 96:22,
paragraphs [1] - 61:20	passions [1] - 126:18	177:18, 177:23,	26:23, 171:4	108:18, 117:9, 117:11, 117:19,
parameters [1] - 17:15	passive [2] - 89:19, 89:25	178:1, 178:5, 178:9,	persons [7] - 68:21, 105:19, 112:23,	119:19, 120:2,
parenthetically [1] -	<b>past</b> [5] - 1:20, 7:23,	178:25, 179:22,	105.19, 112.23, 114:25, 123:5,	120:6, 149:2,
62:6	58:14, 155:2, 165:8	180:11, 181:17,	123:8, 124:24	157:13, 157:23,
parents [2] - 38:2,	patently [2] - 41:9,	183:24, 185:6,	Persons [3] - 152:3,	158:24, 164:12
154:2	41:11	187:19	152:7, 155:14	<b>Pickton's</b> [18] - 13:14,
Park [1] - 137:15	paternalism [1] -	people's [3] - 6:24,	perspective [3] - 3:19,	14:4, 24:11, 30:1,
parked [2] - 36:20,	158:9	53:14, 174:21	4:13, 161:19	33:13, 37:12, 41:14,
103:13 Parliament in 77:0	path [1] - 172:5	<b>peoples</b> [4] - 129:21,	persuade [1] - 118:23	42:11, 58:19, 60:20,
Parliament [2] - 77:9, 90:16	patrols [1] - 103:9	138:21, 139:4, 140:4	persuading [1] - 81:17	68:13, 69:1, 73:12,
30.10				
	ı	xxi	<u>u</u>	<u> </u>

80:8, 91:20, 94:3, 94:10, 118:22	42:23, 45:21, 46:21, 46:23, 48:4, 50:16,	<b>Police</b> [86] - 5:9, 9:20, 10:1, 11:12, 26:9,	170:24, 175:2 population [1] -	prematurely [1] - 4:16 preparation [5] - 13:3,
<b>Picktons</b> [2] - 11:7,	40.23, 40.4, 50.10, 50:17, 51:14, 51:17,	28:23, 29:5, 29:11,	110:16	13:7, 13:19, 14:4,
42:16	51:21, 52:1, 52:15,	29:14, 30:22, 31:18,		
	53:6, 54:9, 54:15,		<b>Port</b> [5] - 9:12, 11:15,	15:8
picture [1] - 118:3		31:24, 32:6, 32:20,	12:25, 120:1, 123:14	prepare [3] - 13:15,
piece [1] - 33:25	58:7, 58:18, 59:2,	33:11, 33:22, 39:20,	portions [1] - 6:21	86:4, 186:14
pieces [1] - 32:10	70:14, 72:14, 72:16,	47:14, 50:18, 50:21,	poses [1] - 185:1	prepared [20] - 13:21,
<b>pig</b> [3] - 11:15, 30:4,	74:3, 79:20, 81:2,	52:3, 52:4, 52:5,	position [7] - 36:8,	14:6, 23:17, 33:6,
43:2	81:17, 83:15, 92:10,	52:7, 52:8, 52:9,	86:7, 110:12,	46:3, 46:9, 49:20,
<b>Piggy's</b> [6] - 42:10,	92:11, 92:15, 93:1,	54:24, 56:21, 59:17,	110:14, 127:1,	51:19, 53:9, 57:4,
42:16, 43:1, 44:8,	96:4, 96:18, 96:21,	59:24, 61:3, 64:9,	131:21, 186:16	71:19, 74:22, 82:18,
45:18, 69:9	96:23, 97:6, 97:12,	69:4, 69:24, 70:1,	positions [2] - 126:23,	83:18, 84:5, 86:16,
pigs [2] - 48:22, 94:16	97:20, 100:12,	70:24, 71:6, 71:10,	126:24	109:1, 109:13,
Pince [1] - 130:20	100:18, 100:22,	71:12, 72:22, 72:24,	positive [1] - 92:23	143:6, 186:17
pinpoint [1] - 176:18	100:24, 102:18,	74:10, 74:17, 76:8,	possession [4] -	preparing [2] - 15:2,
pissed [1] - 29:22	104:9, 104:21,	88:10, 88:19, 89:8,	167:16, 167:17	37:21
place [14] - 27:21,	105:20, 106:6,	92:25, 96:24, 97:14,	possible [7] - 50:6,	presence [1] - 51:4
53:5, 77:4, 78:2,	106:12, 106:19,	98:17, 101:16,	69:19, 119:8, 122:4,	present [2] - 133:19,
78:12, 128:18,	107:24, 108:21,	102:2, 102:5, 102:8,	122:23, 184:21,	136:6
147:17, 149:21,	109:1, 110:10,	102:23, 103:6,	184:22	presentation [3] -
159:13, 161:21,	113:4, 113:8,	103:8, 104:1,	possibly [1] - 66:21	32:20, 33:4, 33:6
	113:22, 114:11,	105:17, 107:11,		presently [1] - 145:1
170:11, 176:15,	114:12, 115:18,	108:10, 108:13,	poster [1] - 47:23	
177:24, 185:19	115:24, 116:1,	108:19, 109:3,	posters [1] - 28:15	preserved [1] - 40:24
placed [2] - 22:6,	117:22, 118:4,	109:6, 109:17,	potential [3] - 58:23,	preserving [1] - 134:2
172:1	118:11, 118:14,	111:3, 112:6, 112:7,	112:8, 113:1	press [1] - 44:24
plainly [1] - 92:2	118:15, 122:2,	114:16, 115:21,	poverty [3] - 26:2,	prestigious [1] - 51:2
<b>plan</b> [1] - 168:11	122:18, 123:18,		164:5, 178:6	presumably [1] - 9:14
<b>play</b> [5] - 47:15, 54:10,		115:23, 116:20,	Powell [1] - 145:15	presumed [1] - 58:25
58:4, 58:25, 84:19	123:22, 125:3,	118:21, 121:16,	power [3] - 100:24,	presumption [1] -
<b>plea</b> [1] - 14:16	125:4, 127:11,	125:12, 126:25,	171:1, 181:24	58:22
plead [2] - 27:4,	133:4, 134:11,	129:13, 129:23,	powers [1] - 39:13	pretend [1] - 123:2
170:16	134:19, 135:11,	133:4, 136:24,	practical [1] - 184:24	pretext [1] - 119:25
pleased [1] - 101:10	136:24, 138:13,	142:15, 156:16,	practically [2] - 27:4,	pretrial [1] - 169:13
plenty [1] - 9:25	143:23, 147:15,	186:13	43:9	pretty [1] - 62:11
plight [2] - 30:17,	148:5, 148:6,	policies [3] - 125:5,	practice [1] - 1:16	prevailed [1] - 121:19
31:25	150:21, 151:3,	133:15, 140:3	praised [1] - 125:16	prevent [4] - 117:12,
	151:9, 151:11,	policing [17] - 38:22,		
<b>plus</b> [1] - 62:25	151:25, 152:21,	50:20, 100:22,	preceded [1] - 168:24	123:19, 168:13,
point [16] - 6:13,	152:25, 153:3,	104:25, 105:3,	precise [1] - 63:13	177:18
19:16, 21:10, 21:22,	153:5, 155:1,	105:7, 105:8,	precluded [2] - 22:14,	preventable [1] -
34:18, 36:13, 44:2,	156:12, 158:5,	105:12, 105:15,	29:18	179:14
64:8, 68:17, 83:5,	158:10, 164:8,	105:16, 105:18,	precludes [2] - 15:24,	preventing [1] -
99:10, 139:11,	164:10, 165:5,	107:6, 124:15,	34:10	179:13
143:21, 146:15,	167:2, 167:14,	164:16, 164:18,	preconceptions [3] -	prevention [2] -
185:21	167:23, 168:2,	178:23, 179:8	119:11, 119:21,	173:19, 173:20
pointed [3] - 69:13,	169:7, 170:7,	<b>Policing</b> [1] - 104:3	119:23	previous [1] - 38:21
141:17, 161:18	172:25, 173:11,	• • •	predator [2] - 32:15,	previously [1] -
pointing [1] - 10:3	174:4, 174:5,	<b>policy</b> [3] - 23:6,	97:16	182:17
points [1] - 182:2	174:11, 174:15,	124:18, 180:6	predators [4] - 9:19,	preying [7] - 30:8,
police [173] - 6:4, 6:25,		polite [1] - 175:17	32:15, 103:2, 167:6	107:9, 108:15,
11:8, 11:19, 12:5,	175:6, 175:14,	politeness [1] -	predatory [1] - 69:7	112:4, 112:16,
14:2, 21:25, 23:16,	175:16, 175:24,	185:20	predecessor [2] -	112:18, 113:5
25:20, 25:23, 26:5,	176:1, 176:2, 176:5,	political [2] - 102:6,	166:4, 166:5	primarily [1] - 55:15
26:13, 26:21, 27:5,	176:6, 176:9,	138:22		
27:13, 27:18, 27:21,	176:19, 176:20,	politically [1] - 105:9	predictable [1] -	primary [1] - 142:4
28:9, 28:13, 28:20,	176:22, 176:25,	politics [1] - 105:8	178:12	prime [2] - 142:18,
	178:20, 179:23,	poor [8] - 167:25,	preface [1] - 84:8	142:25
30:14, 31:6, 31:16,	179:24, 180:5,	168:3, 168:16,	preferred [1] - 41:21	primitive [1] - 131:23
32:10, 33:15, 35:16,	180:9, 180:13,	169:7, 172:9, 178:9,	pregnant [3] - 150:10,	principle [1] - 139:1
20.0 20.0 20.0	180:18, 181:6,		171:15, 171:16	principles [2] - 28:6,
38:6, 39:2, 39:3,		1/0.10.1/9.23		
38:6, 39:2, 39:3, 39:6, 39:7, 39:14, 39:17, 41:4, 41:8,	181:17, 182:3,	178:10, 179:23 poorly [3] - 70:21,	prejudice [1] - 25:24	105:19 print [1] - 104:11

<b>priorities</b> [2] - 105:8, 175:9	159:25 produce [1] - 6:15	60:20, 68:13, 69:1, 101:25, 102:22,	provincial [2] - 38:21, 103:11	<b>push</b> [1] - 102:18 <b>pushing</b> [2] - 134:12,
prioritize [2] - 106:7,	product [2] - 118:8,	106:9, 176:11	psychiatric [1] - 38:3	186:4
121:10	118:9	proportion [3] -	public [52] - 3:2, 3:19,	<b>put</b> [31] - 5:14, 8:14,
prioritized [1] - 101:18	production [3] - 4:18,	101:23, 106:8,	3:21, 3:23, 4:2, 4:3,	10:11, 21:8, 28:15,
priority [3] - 101:24,	6:19, 182:7	107:12	4:4, 4:6, 4:7, 4:9,	29:19, 30:10, 39:10,
105:21, 151:25	productive [1] - 25:6	propose [3] - 28:25,	4:11, 6:5, 8:14, 8:19,	59:11, 62:21, 64:21,
<b>prison</b> [3] - 178:9,	professional [1] - 31:6	67:9, 122:21	8:25, 12:21, 13:12,	73:25, 82:5, 84:11,
178:11, 178:16	professionals [2] -	proposition [2] -	14:21, 30:7, 33:6,	84:16, 87:19, 87:24,
prisoners [1] - 178:15	32:22, 174:18	56:10, 96:12	38:21, 40:18, 43:12,	89:7, 89:20, 89:23,
<b>prisons</b> [4] - 169:9,	Professor [4] - 40:5,	propriety [4] - 16:25,	44:11, 44:25, 45:24,	94:20, 97:24,
178:7, 179:7	102:24, 109:2,	18:8, 101:25, 106:9	46:24, 47:2, 74:16,	134:17, 145:25,
privately [1] - 169:8	111:13	prosectorial [2] -	74:18, 88:24, 99:8,	157:18, 158:1,
privilege [1] - 73:4	profile [1] - 66:12	15:25, 17:16	100:24, 102:17,	160:4, 160:15,
<b>pro</b> [1] - 99:3	profit [2] - 116:22,	prosecute [1] - 123:22	102:19, 102:21,	168:16, 170:17,
proactive [1] - 75:22	180:14	prosecuted [2] -	111:14, 116:25,	181:1
probabilities [2] -	program [7] - 103:11,	24:24, 24:25	117:12, 117:19,	<b>puts</b> [2] - 81:21, 160:5
79:13, 88:24	110:1, 110:2, 124:7,	prosecution [7] -	118:12, 136:19, 136:20, 160:3,	<b>putting</b> [4] - 34:10,
probability [8] - 78:21,	132:14, 171:14,	12:20, 13:6, 91:16,	160:4, 166:25,	84:20, 84:22, 85:19
78:23, 79:15, 81:19,	171:17	102:15, 103:4,	167:5, 168:14,	<b>^</b>
87:17, 116:8,	Program [1] - 104:3	104:21, 124:19	175:17, 176:10,	Q
116:25, 117:1	programs [9] - 158:20,	prosecutor [1] - 24:13	179:10	<b>QC</b> [3] - 34:19, 51:3,
probation [2] -	167:4, 171:5, 171:7,	prosecutors [3] -	publicized [3] - 42:17,	126:8
167:22, 168:25	178:1, 178:5,	13:8, 13:18, 163:1	43:1, 111:12	qualified [1] - 133:24
problem [11] - 75:17,	178:24, 179:2, 179:3	prostitute [1] - 152:22	<b>publicly</b> [4] - 8:13,	quality [1] - 107:21
90:25, 110:5, 126:3,	progressive [1] -	prostitutes [2] - 25:1,	118:5, 168:10,	queried [1] - 12:9
153:2, 153:3,	125:4	38:5	174:14	queries [2] - 11:11,
173:12, 176:1,	prohibited [1] - 142:8	prostitution [3] -	published [2] - 42:19,	11:25
177:16, 182:6,	prohibition [2] -	164:4, 167:15,	44:3	questions [6] - 9:1,
184:24	100:14, 100:15	181:21	<b>puddle</b> [1] - 168:6	40:20, 70:9, 72:5,
problems [3] - 172:12,	<b>Project</b> [6] - 38:11,	protect [4] - 20:7,	pull [2] - 185:9, 185:11	100:8, 159:19
178:2, 185:2	41:25, 112:10,	46:24, 123:23,	pulled [1] - 29:13	quick [3] - 38:5, 86:20,
procedural [2] - 5:6,	112:19, 116:18,	180:11	punches [1] - 29:13	182:21
7:18	117:15	protected [1] - 17:16	punished [1] - 142:8	quicker [2] - 75:4,
procedures [2] -	project [3] - 55:22,	protection [5] -	punishment [2] -	93:13
76:12, 180:5	104:2, 111:7	101:23, 105:20,	46:19, 171:20	quickly [4] - 51:16,
proceed [4] - 1:24,	prolongs [1] - 186:5	106:7, 110:8, 120:11		94:4, 109:11, 152:5
20:1, 36:1, 180:24	prominent [1] - 11:21	protective [1] - 110:18	Purcell's [1] - 144:11 purchasers [2] -	quintessentially [1] -
proceeding [2] - 2:13,	promise [1] - 188:2	protesting [1] -	58:15, 68:17	84:12
23:3	promote [1] - 103:11	169:25		quite [8] - 19:25,
proceedings [8] -	promotes [1] - 181:15	protocol [1] - 42:6	purport [1] - 160:17	20:12, 21:3, 21:23,
10:24, 12:2, 16:14,	promotions [1] -	proud [1] - 31:5	purpose [13] - 17:11,	65:9, 73:16, 75:17,
83:11, 100:5,	174:11	proven [1] - 121:17	37:5, 49:18, 49:20,	108:16
164:12, 172:13,	pronouncements [1] -	provide [4] - 100:7,	49:21, 50:2, 50:3,	quote [4] - 29:15,
188:18	100:16	127:25, 128:9, 166:1	50:4, 50:24, 117:11,	29:21, 73:16, 154:12
Proceedings [1] -	<b>proof</b> [5] - 58:21,	provided [4] - 27:18,	160:4, 167:15,	quoted [1] - 154:10
1:11	78:25, 79:2, 88:23,	81:25, 120:2, 129:20	167:17	
	95:2	providers [1] - 167:7	purposes [2] - 56:22,	R
1:3, 64:3, 64:4,	propagated [1] -	provides [3] - 105:11,	64:17	races (2) - 131.22
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24,	propagated [1] - 117:6	139:18, 154:3	<b>purses</b> [4] - 48:19,	races [3] - 131:22,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22,		<b>purses</b> [4] - 48:19, 49:12, 91:19, 103:22	131:25, 132:1
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11	<b>purses</b> [4] - 48:19, 49:12, 91:19, 103:22 <b>pursue</b> [8] - 67:18,	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 process [21] - 3:7,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24,	purses [4] - 48:19,           49:12, 91:19, 103:22           pursue [8] - 67:18,           76:12, 91:15, 91:21,	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24, 135:5
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 process [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16,	purses         [4]         48:19,           49:12,         91:19,         103:22           pursue         [8]         - 67:18,           76:12,         91:15,         91:21,           93:25,         94:23,         113:11	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24, 135:5 <b>racism</b> [10] - 25:24,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 <b>process</b> [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4, 16:15, 17:9, 35:25,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22, 76:10, 113:10,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16, 74:19, 121:20,	purses [4] - 48:19,           49:12, 91:19, 103:22           pursue [8] - 67:18,           76:12, 91:15, 91:21,           93:25, 94:23, 113:11           pursued [5] - 76:13,	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24, 135:5 <b>racism</b> [10] - 25:24, 121:24, 132:4,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 process [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4, 16:15, 17:9, 35:25, 38:17, 38:18, 42:15,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22, 76:10, 113:10, 124:15, 146:12,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16, 74:19, 121:20, 123:4, 125:8,	purses [4] - 48:19,           49:12, 91:19, 103:22           pursue [8] - 67:18,           76:12, 91:15, 91:21,           93:25, 94:23, 113:11           pursued [5] - 76:13,           87:24, 88:19, 90:12,	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24, 135:5 <b>racism</b> [10] - 25:24, 121:24, 132:4, 134:16, 134:17,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 process [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4, 16:15, 17:9, 35:25, 38:17, 38:18, 42:15, 45:1, 45:12, 69:11,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22, 76:10, 113:10, 124:15, 146:12, 175:16	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16, 74:19, 121:20, 123:4, 125:8, 172:25, 177:20	purses [4] - 48:19,           49:12, 91:19, 103:22           pursue [8] - 67:18,           76:12, 91:15, 91:21,           93:25, 94:23, 113:11           pursued [5] - 76:13,           87:24, 88:19, 90:12,           168:9	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24, 135:5 <b>racism</b> [10] - 25:24, 121:24, 132:4, 134:16, 134:17, 135:8, 142:13,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 <b>process</b> [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4, 16:15, 17:9, 35:25, 38:17, 38:18, 42:15, 45:1, 45:12, 69:11, 100:8, 126:12,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22, 76:10, 113:10, 124:15, 146:12, 175:16 property [14] - 11:6,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16, 74:19, 121:20, 123:4, 125:8, 172:25, 177:20 Province's [1] - 55:1	purses [4] - 48:19,           49:12, 91:19, 103:22           pursue [8] - 67:18,           76:12, 91:15, 91:21,           93:25, 94:23, 113:11           pursued [5] - 76:13,           87:24, 88:19, 90:12,           168:9           pursues [1] - 168:5	131:25, 132:1 racial [2] - 120:24, 135:5 racism [10] - 25:24, 121:24, 132:4, 134:16, 134:17, 135:8, 142:13, 142:14, 142:15,
1:3, 64:3, 64:4, 99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 <b>process</b> [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4, 16:15, 17:9, 35:25, 38:17, 38:18, 42:15, 45:1, 45:12, 69:11, 100:8, 126:12, 129:19, 133:25,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22, 76:10, 113:10, 124:15, 146:12, 175:16 property [14] - 11:6, 13:14, 30:1, 32:12,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16, 74:19, 121:20, 123:4, 125:8, 172:25, 177:20 Province's [1] - 55:1 province-wide [2] -	purses [4] - 48:19,         49:12, 91:19, 103:22         pursue [8] - 67:18,         76:12, 91:15, 91:21,         93:25, 94:23, 113:11         pursued [5] - 76:13,         87:24, 88:19, 90:12,         168:9         pursues [1] - 168:5         pursuing [1] - 91:14	131:25, 132:1 racial [2] - 120:24, 135:5 racism [10] - 25:24, 121:24, 132:4, 134:16, 134:17, 135:8, 142:13, 142:14, 142:15, 142:16
99:23, 99:24, 162:11, 162:12, 188:15, 1:2 process [21] - 3:7, 3:13, 3:16, 6:1, 8:4, 16:15, 17:9, 35:25, 38:17, 38:18, 42:15, 45:1, 45:12, 69:11, 100:8, 126:12,	propagated [1] - 117:6 proper [7] - 16:22, 26:5, 29:18, 77:17, 96:4, 182:18, 183:2 properly [6] - 7:22, 76:10, 113:10, 124:15, 146:12, 175:16 property [14] - 11:6,	139:18, 154:3 providing [1] - 139:23 Province [1] - 27:11 province [10] - 14:24, 22:8, 52:1, 74:16, 74:19, 121:20, 123:4, 125:8, 172:25, 177:20 Province's [1] - 55:1	purses [4] - 48:19,           49:12, 91:19, 103:22           pursue [8] - 67:18,           76:12, 91:15, 91:21,           93:25, 94:23, 113:11           pursued [5] - 76:13,           87:24, 88:19, 90:12,           168:9           pursues [1] - 168:5	131:25, 132:1 <b>racial</b> [2] - 120:24, 135:5 <b>racism</b> [10] - 25:24, 121:24, 132:4, 134:16, 134:17, 135:8, 142:13, 142:14, 142:15,

## R

Radyk [2] - 128:3, ready [4] - 13:4, 23:21, 140:8, 167:9, 167:12 103:1, 166:17 128:16 24:14, 24:15 recommend [2] reflected [3] - 6:6, 6:7, raid [1] - 116:23 real [6] - 85:7, 98:12, 163:1, 163:5 19:8 106:18. 115:14. recommendation [5] reform [1] - 123:5 raided [1] - 116:20 raise [2] - 140:11, 116:1, 158:17 97:10, 124:1, refrain [2] - 68:10, 125:23, 125:25, 181:12 reality [2] - 116:9, 106:18 raised [5] - 9:1, 14:13, 180.14128.10 refusal [1] - 135:15 recommendations 133:20, 136:13, realize [2] - 20:16, refuse [2] - 105:25, [13] - 50:8, 95:17, 153:21 86:3 176.9 96:16, 122:22, realized [4] - 32:13, raises [2] - 40:19, refused [5] - 15:4, 109:11, 155:21, 126:3, 136:7, 100:8 24:18, 44:13, 106:3, 147:14, 148:3, ramping [1] - 143:12 158:14 180:16 realizes [1] - 45:24 155:10, 160:15, refusing [1] - 118:5 range [4] - 50:16, 164:25, 182:12, 50:25. 170:25. really [19] - 7:11, 20:5, regain [1] - 178:2 184:13 184:16 20:12, 55:24, 62:2, regard [3] - 94:20, recommended [1] ranked [1] - 102:16 62:11, 65:4, 65:9, 151:6, 186:3 104:24 68:3, 99:13, 142:16, regarded [1] - 71:10 rape [5] - 108:12, reconciled [1] -149:10, 153:18, 110:14, 110:20, regarding [3] - 115:8, 154:16, 155:16, 149:16 110:24, 170:6 120:20, 164:4 Reconciliation [2] -156:25, 169:23, raped [1] - 31:11 regardless [1] -172:21, 187:1 141:11, 141:16 rare [1] - 12:25 160:25 reason [11] - 3:12, 4:2, RECONVENED [1] rates [10] - 110:14, Regional [1] - 50:21 21:14, 79:6, 79:19, 1:3 110:15, 123:11, regional [3] - 96:18, 81:5, 97:5, 125:18, record [3] - 33:4, 123:16, 140:23, 96:23, 97:6 160:5. 162:21. 44:25, 75:18 140:25, 141:2, REGISTRAR [13] recorded [3] - 141:20, 163:18 141:5, 145:5, 171:5 1:4, 64:2, 64:5, rather [3] - 85:14, reasonable [13] - 29:2, 141:21, 144:23 99:22, 99:25, 77:3, 77:5, 78:20, 100:7, 139:6 recording [1] - 143:19 148:13, 162:10, 78:22, 79:3, 79:8, rational [1] - 121:15 records [1] - 11:18 162:13, 162:16, 79:15, 81:18, 87:16, recover [1] - 24:5 rationale [1] - 100:18 182:21, 183:3, 184:14, 186:8, recruits [1] - 122:4 183:6. 188:13 Ratushny [1] - 40:6 187:12 recurrent [1] - 167:9 registry [2] - 102:17, RCMP [38] - 9:20, reasons [2] - 4:24, red [2] - 13:9, 49:9 103:20 10:2, 10:25, 15:18, 18:10 redacted [4] - 6:22, regret [3] - 3:17, 48:2, 27:1, 33:1, 34:2, reassigned [1] -6:24, 7:13, 42:5 126:13 36:9, 36:10, 37:1, 112:14 37:17, 38:2, 43:8, redaction [1] - 6:21 regular [1] - 172:13 reassurance [1] reduced [2] - 116:16, 47:8, 50:18, 52:19, regularly [1] - 175:15 180:4 60:9, 60:15, 61:2, 186:24 regulations [1] - 140:3 reassured [1] - 118:16 70:3, 71:12, 71:13, reduction [2] - 173:21, rejected [1] - 178:23 recalling [1] - 126:8 89:14, 91:21, 92:9, 182:6 rejection [1] - 133:1 receive [4] - 24:4, 92:13, 93:16, 97:1, refer [3] - 45:5, rejections [1] - 135:6 44:10, 143:8, 173:9 117:15, 118:10, 120:22, 174:16 related [3] - 76:16, received [11] - 9:25, 129:24, 133:5, reference [34] - 5:23, 140:19, 174:7 28:24, 30:18, 34:20, 142:22, 142:23, 10:6, 10:16, 10:17, relates [3] - 78:6, 36:25, 50:15, 50:19, 146:18, 157:8, 10:21, 19:7, 19:9, 129:25, 147:24 50:22, 56:20, 72:24, 157:20, 186:21 20:18, 45:20, 47:20, relating [2] - 3:24, re [4] - 83:21, 83:24, 143:9 49:25, 51:9, 69:9, 140:4 88:11, 176:8 receives [1] - 90:1 77:24, 86:19, 88:12, relation [12] - 52:23, re-arrestomg [1] recent [1] - 170:12 95:18, 101:17, 55:3, 61:12, 63:15, receptacle [1] - 77:4 176:8 102:3, 105:18, 64:14, 65:13, 74:6, re-examination [1] recess [2] - 64:2, 113:12. 114:20. 76:13, 79:8, 93:17, 88:11 162:10 117:5, 117:25, 94:1, 94:2 recognition [3] -120:7, 129:12, re-examine [1] - 83:21 relations [3] - 127:4, 114:9, 114:18, 139:7 129:25, 133:7, re-examined [1] -137:10, 148:6 140:14, 142:21, 83:24 recognize [6] relationship [14] -148:11, 159:16, reached [1] - 7:3 122:14, 122:24, 44:5, 80:20, 80:22, 158:8, 158:22, 163:17, 163:20 reaching [1] - 19:20 81:2. 81:7. 129:20. 159:21, 184:16 references [2] - 32:16, reaction [2] - 90:10 139:5, 139:10, recognized [6] - 51:2, 50:9 read [3] - 46:11, 140:18, 157:5, 111:12, 139:17, referred [3] - 40:13, 160:16, 187:16 158:13, 161:9,

-xxiv-

161:13, 165:3 relative [2] - 137:24, 175:20 relatively [1] - 12:25 relatives [3] - 28:18, 128:9, 137:22 relentlessly [2] -167:1, 168:5 relevance [2] - 17:12, 43.22 relevant [8] - 5:22, 19:23, 42:1, 43:14, 43:17, 43:21, 50:15, 109:16 reliable [3] - 78:19, 80:2, 118:22 reliance [5] - 6:2, 114:4, 119:9, 120:7, 120:12 relied [1] - 39:5 reluctant [1] - 15:21 rely [5] - 9:18, 81:22, 89:7, 95:19, 170:13 relying [2] - 101:1, 133:20 remain [1] - 79:22 remained [1] - 54:15 remaining [2] - 38:15, 89:13 remains [3] - 33:12, 33:16.88:10 remand [1] - 178:8 remark [1] - 165:6 remarks [3] - 34:1, 50:13, 60:2 remarried [1] - 144:15 remedies [1] - 125:7 remedy [2] - 7:19, 24:2 remember [1] - 56:24 remind [2] - 2:15, 22.24 reminded [1] - 159:14 remiss [1] - 99:10 remote [1] - 103:22 removal [1] - 171:10 remove [1] - 176:10 removed [2] - 20:5, 116:12 rent [1] - 151:15 repeat [3] - 106:6, 160:17, 160:18 repeated [6] - 68:10, 69:23, 120:15, 179:15, 179:17, 180:15 replaced [1] - 112:14 reply [3] - 18:21, 183:18, 184:2 report [29] - 8:1, 18:5,

196.2521.275.         104.6         125.20.1274         return g. 9918,         River (n. 778           372.3.38.4.175.         reserve (n. 13821         reserve (n. 13821         respecting (n. 2714)         188.9         roads (n. 142, 1413)           023.4.755.768.         9613.1038.         residential (n. 142, 1413)         respecting (n. 231, 1422, 1432, 1432, 1423, 1423, 1424,	[		1	1	
4923.803.607, 6924.755.75.8         6618         7324.77.23.801, 7315.477.23.801, 6924.755.75.8         7324.77.23.801, 7315.477.85.8         74225.742.27         7324.77.23.801, 7315.477.85.8         74225.742.27         7324.77.23.801, 7315.477.85.8         74225.742.27         7324.77.23.801, 7315.477.85.8         74225.742.27         7324.77.23.801, 7315.477.82.2         742.25.742.27         851.1347.7.87.22         841.1.361.8.322.2.40.3.         811.1         751.13.77.33.18, 731.13.77.22         7324.77.23.801, 731.13.72.2         7324.77.23.801, 731.13.72.2         811.1.37.167.73.31.8         811.1         751.13.77.33.18, 731.13.73.22         811.13.73.16.13.22.2         801.1347.167.2         811.13.81.83.22.2         801.1347.167.2         811.13.81.83.22.2         801.1347.167.2         771.71.91.77.17.19         771.71.91.77.17.19         771.71.91.77.17.19         771.71.91.77.17.17.17.17.19         771.71.95.3.156.5.         721.16.15.12.2.2.17.2.14         702.2.2.17.2.14         702.2.2.17.2.14         702.2.2.17.2.14         702.2.17.14.47.14.9.2.2.4.9.2.14.9	19:6, 25:21, 27:5,	104:6	125:20, 127:4	return [2] - 99:18,	<b>River</b> [1] - 27:8
69:24, 755, 758, 96:13, 109         66:18         93:15, 1482, 166:3         142:23         146:23         Reporting = 32:1, respecting  = 23:1, 153:15, 151:19, 151:12, 114:12, 141:18, 151:19, 151:21, 114:12, 141:18, 151:19, 151:21, 114:12, 141:18, 151:19, 151:22, 142:24, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 152:11, 155; 152:12, 265:26, 268, 1566, 163:25         161:12, 116:15, 122:11, 165; 123:10, 152:66, 137:2, 136:13, 122:11, 155; 123:10, 152:66, 137:2, 137:10, 122:10, 265; 124:10, 143:2, 142:2, 145:6, 147:2, 145:6, 152:2, 153:10, 152:1, 155; 124:10, 143:2, 142:1, 144:20, 145:26, 152:2, 152:1, 155; 123:10, 156:6, 137:2, 137:10, 152:2, 154:2, 155:6, 157:1, 137:10, 151:20, 155:26, 156:6, 137:2, 137:10, 152:2, 156:6, 137:2, 137:10, 151:20, 155:26, 156:26; 177:1, 137:10, 151:10, 171:17, 172:10, 151:10, 171:10, 171:24, 157:10, 151:20, 155:26, 156:26; 170:14, 156; 170:140; 170:14, 156; 170:14, 156;	37:23, 39:8, 41:8,	reserve [1] - 139:21	respectfully [7] - 71:4,	169:9	road [1] - 13:24
69:24, 755, 758,         66:18         93:15, 1482, 186:3         142:23         115:13, 317, 33:18,           124:25, 1435,         175:21         111:13, 317, 33:18,         111:13, 317, 33:18,         111:13, 317, 33:18,           124:25, 1435,         142:12, 141:18,         respecting [-23:1,         revelow []-115:5         84:14, 4822, 49:3,           151:19, 157:22,         142:20, 142:24,         64:16, 00:3,         112:21, 115:5,         117:17,           128:17, 1556,         144:17, 1551, 1555,         121:18, 1556,         106:19, 1147,         121:21, 105:6,         108:22, 172:14,           126:17, 17:17,         103:3, 102:11, 1054,         123:19, 1566,         107:22, 172:14,         118:22, 112:9,         65:24, 64:6, 65:22,           26:13, 26:25, 177:1,         107:17,         101:3, 102:11, 1054,         187:19, 188:6,         142:25, 154:6, 61:72,         172:14, 155, 159:99,           124:19, 4432,         resporting []-122:3, 115:7,         164:4, 122:8, 115:7, 121:5, 159:99,         170:14, 122:8, 115:7, 121:95:15, 159:99,         170:14, 122:8, 115:7, 121:95:15, 129:99,           199:25, 102:1, 111:10, 117:17, 113:25, 113:10, 117:17, 113:25, 113:10, 117:17, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:27, 113:31, 102:37, 110:22, 115:3, 103:31, 1	49:23, 60:3, 60:7,	residence [2] - 27:21,		returned [2] - 31:2,	roasts [1] - 43:2
96:13, 109:6.         residentip: 11:4, 124:25, 1425, 136:5.         residentip: 11:4, 124:25, 1425, 136:5.         residentip: 11:4, 124:25, 1425, 136:5.         residentip: 11:4, 124:25, 1425, 136:5.         residentip: 11:4, 124:5.         resi	69:24, 75:5, 75:8,	66:18	93:15, 148:2, 186:3	149:23	
12425, 1435, 175,21       50,1,136,7,103,2,       81,11       31,11       31,13,13,113,21,13,21,32,13,22,4,33,         151:19,151:21, 1552,173,22,       142,20,142,24,       142,3,142,5       163,5       respond (p) - 52,17       Review (p) - 105,6       111,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11,11		resident [2] - 11:14.		reveal [2] - 81:10.	
1436, 147.8.         residential rg - fish 19, 1512, 1512, 1412, 14118, 142, 1412,	124:25, 143:5,			••	
161:10, 151:21, 162:17, 1565, 172:15, 173:22, 172:15, 173:22, 173:15, 173:22, 173:15, 173:22, 173:15, 173:22, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:22, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:22, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:15, 173:12, 173:15, 1					
173:15.173:22.       142:20, 142:24.       146:16:33       112:22, 116:15.       112:22, 116:15.         182:0.184:25.       149:15, 150:15.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       156:17.       157:17.       107:13, 102:11, 106:18.       166:18.25.       123:18.19.56.       123:18.19.56.       123:18.19.56.       106:19.147.       162:22, 173:14.       153:29.2, 115:20.       115:20.115:20.       115:20.115:20.115:20.       115:20.115:20.115:20.       115:20.115:20.115:20.115:20.       115:20.116:20.100.116:16:16:11.10:11.10:18.116:11.10:11.10:11.10:18.116:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10:18.116:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10:11.10			-		
182:2. 194:25.       149:15, 150:15, 153:3, 153:52, 155:5, 156:6, 163:25       169:19, 114:7, 152:3, 155:5, 123:19, 155:6, 163:25       121:11, 169:5, 102:2, 120:2, 120:2, 120:2, 120:4, 120; 120:2, 120:4, 120; 120; 120:4, 120; 120:4, 120; 120; 120; 120; 120; 120; 120; 120;			-	••	, ,
		, ,			
reported [n] - 8.7, 2522, 2525, 256, 8, 156, 16325         121:16, 12124, 123:19, 185.6, 123:19, 185.6, 123:19, 185.6, 123:19, 185.6, 108:22, 177.1, 109:22, 177.14         reviewed [n] -90.7, 101:3, 102:11, 106:4         44:19, 44:20, 48:25, 102:21, 177.14           177.7         residents [n] - 100:20, 101:3, 102:11, 106:4         187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.7, 187:19, 188.7, 189:21         resolutions [n] - 46:1, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.7, 189:21         ROBERTS [n] - 46:1, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.6, 187:19, 188.7, 189:21         ROBERTS [n] - 46:1, 187:19, 188.6, 170:14         ROBERTS [n] - 46:1, 180:20, 112:24, 170:14         ROBERTS [n] - 46:1, 180:30, 112:25, 171:19, 188.6, 170:14         ROBERTS [n] - 46:1, 180:30, 112:25, 171:19, 188.6, 170:14         ROBERTS [n] - 46:1, 170:14, 199:16, 170:14, 199:17, 170:16, 122:11, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:17, 171:19, 173:16, 171:19, 173:17, 171:19, 173:17, 171:19, 173:17, 171:19, 173:17, 171:19, 173:17, 171:10, 192,17, 172,174.11, 182:9, 171:10, 192,17, 172,174.11, 182:9, 172:14, 110:19,17,194,174,114,144,119,24,114,114,114,114,114,114,114,114,114,					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					,
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	•		, ,	••	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{reported} y (1 - 25.5 \\ \mbox{Report} (1) - 188.20 \\ \mbox{resisted} [1 - 10.2, 116.7, 116.20, 1$					
$ \begin{aligned} \hline \textbf{Reporting} [n] - 188:20 \\ \textbf{reporting} [n] - 27:2, \\ 116:4 \\ \textbf{Reporting} [n] - 32:3 \\ \textbf{Reporting} [n] - 33:3 \\ \textbf{Reporting} [n] - 33:4 \\ \textbf{resolutions} [n] - 54:23 \\ \textbf{resolutions} [n] - 54:23 \\ \textbf{resolutions} [n] - 54:23 \\ \textbf{resolutions} [n] - 102:7, \\ 108:21 \\ \textbf{resolutions} [n] - 102:7, \\ 108:25, 109:1, \\ 109:5, 142:14 \\ \textbf{resource} [n] - 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ 109:5, 142:14 \\ \textbf{resources} [n] - 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ 109:5, 142:14 \\ \textbf{resources} [n] - 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ \textbf{resources} [n] - 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ 109:5, 142:14 \\ \textbf{resources} [n] - 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ \textbf{represent} [n] - 21:6, \\ 107:11, 100:1, \\ 107:12, 108:1, \\ 107:12, 107:16, \\ 107:13, 107:16, \\ 107:14, 107:16, \\ 107:14, 107:16, \\ 107:14, 107:16, \\ 107:14, 107:16, \\ 107:14, 107:16, \\ 109:14, 110:19, \\ 100:14, $					••
	• • • • •				
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		•••	•		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{reports} [s] = 108:22, \\ 108:22, 109:1, \\ 109:5, 142:14 \\ \mbox{regressent} [s] = 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ 199:5, 142:14 \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ 199:5, 142:14 \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 102:7, \\ 118:10, 177:17 \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 102:7, \\ 118:10, 172:1, 108:1, \\ 110:21, 100:1, \\ 110:21, 108:1, \\ 110:21, 108:1, \\ 110:21, 108:1, \\ 110:21, 108:1, \\ 110:21, 108:1, \\ 111:10, 113:24, \\ 113:24, 113:24, \\ 113:24, 113:24, \\ 113:23, 113:24, \\ 113:24, 113:24, \\ 113:24, 113:24, \\ 113:27, 113:24, \\ 113:24, 113:24, \\ 113:24, 113:24, \\ 113:27, 172:18, \\ 111:11, 132:21 \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 127:1, \\ 122:7, 123:18, \\ 111:11, 132:21 \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 111:11, 132:21 \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 108:14, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:4, 109:4, \\ 109:21, 108:12, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 108:14, 109:4, \\ 109:21, 108:12, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 108:14, 109:4, \\ 109:21, 108:12, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 108:14, 109:4, \\ 109:21, 108:12, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 108:14, 109:4, \\ 109:21, 101:4, 109:4, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 110:9, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 109:4, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 109:4, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 109:4, \\ 109:24, 108:12, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 109:4, \\ 109:24, 102:2, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 109:4, \\ 109:24, 102:2, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 111:11, 111:16, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 111:111, 111:16, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 114:25, \\ \mbox{reports} [s] = 122:7, \\ 109:14, 101:9, \\ \mbox$		_			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					• • • •
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				rid [1] - 48:21	<b>role</b> [7] - 40:1, 40:5,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		118:10, 177:17		ride [1] - 168:17	100:3, 126:15,
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		resources [20] -		riding [1] - 168:10	133:17, 161:25,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•	23:15, 72:16, 103:7,	responsibility [12] -	rifle [1] - 49:8	176:3
54:6110:11, 110:18, representatives [1] - 136:389:16, 122:3, 133:3, 113:24,rights [6] - 20:25, 121:19, 138:8, 139:3, 113:24,Romano [1] - 17:24 rookie [1] - 10:9136:3113:23, 113:24, 113:24,139:13121:11, 139:3, 121:11, 139:3,121:19, 138:8, room [1] - 17:24Romano [1] - 17:24 rookie [1] - 10:9129:77, 162:17, 129:77, 162:17,122:7, 123:18, 158:22, 173:2536:18, 38:1, 68:22, respocts[1] - 55, respoct_13] - 55, respoct_13] - 55, respoct_13] - 55,responsible [6] - respoct_21] - 44:18, respoct_10] - 16:66Rights [1] - 138:16 rights [1] - 127:21 respoct_13] - 55, rest[6] - 44:18, rights [1] - 177:2 respoct_21] - 16:67, respoct_21] - 524Romano [1] - 17:24 rookie [1] - 152; respoct_21] - 16:23, respoct_21] - 171:19, 173:18Romano [1] - 17:24 rookie [1] - 152; respoct_21] - 171:10, rest [6] - 44:18, rest [6] - 122:7, rest [6] - 44:18, rest [6] - 122:7, rest [6] - 122:7, rest [6] - 42:2, rest [6] - 122:7, rest [6] - 42:2, rest [6] - 122:7, rest [6] - 122:11, rest [6] -	3:1, 25:13, 184:10	107:12, 107:16,		rightful [1] - 137:6	roles [2] - 154:2,
54:6110:11, 110:18, 113:0, 113:10, 136:389:16, 122:3, 122:11, 139:3, 139:3, 132:4,rights $[g] - 20:25,$ 121:19, 138:8, 139:8, 144:5Romano $[1] - 17:24$ rookie $[1] - 10:9$ rookie $[1] - 10:9$ rookie $[1] - 10:9$ 139:8, 144:5129:7, 162:17, 129:7, 162:17, 129:7, 162:17, 124:17, 129:18, represents $[1] - 34:19$ reputations $[1] -$ 23:18, 35:14, 35:19, 16:19, 6:20, 9:3, 20:9, 23:18, 35:14, 35:19, 16:19, 6:20, 9:3, 20:9, 110:15, 122:11, reputations $[1] -$ 23:18, 35:14, 35:19, 16:19, 6:20, 9:3, 20:9, 16:19, 6:20, 9:3, 20:9, 17:19, 173:18responsible $[6] -$ rest $[6] - 44:18,$ rest $[6] - 42:13,$ rest $[6] - 44:18,$ rest $[6] - 42:13,$ rest $[6] - 44:18,$ result $[1] - 42:2,$ request $[2] - 40:22,$ 80:7, 77, 77:11, 80:6, request $[2] - 40:22,$ 80:7, 77, 77:11, 80:6, request $[2] - 40:22,$ 80:7, 77, 77:11, 80:6, request $[2] - 40:22,$ 80:15, 73:44, 73:18, result $[1] - 42:2,$ result $[2] - 41:11,$ 111:18, 156:22 result $[2] - 10:23,$ result $[2] - 41:12,$ result $[2] - 10:24,$ result $[2] - 10:24,$ respectable $[1] -$ result $[2] - 10:24,$ respectable $[1] -$ respectable $[1] -$	•	107:21, 108:1,	19:12, 70:4, 85:23,	righting [1] - 160:22	154:3
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	54:6	110:11, 110:18,	89:16, 122:3,		Romano [1] - 17:24
$  \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	representatives [1] -	113:9, 113:10,	122:11, 139:3,	-	rookie [1] - 10:9
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	136:3	113:23, 113:24,	139:13		room [13] - 2:15, 6:17,
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	representing [3] -	118:5, 119:14,	responsible [5] -		8:20, 15:7, 43:24,
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	129:7, 162:17,	122:7, 123:18,	36:18, 38:1, 68:22,		44:24, 78:24, 81:1,
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	164:15	158:22, 173:25	111:1, 132:21	-	98:13, 126:14,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	represents [1] - 34:19	respect [31] - 5:5,	rest [5] - 44:18,	-	151:11, 166:23,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	reproduced [1] - 66:6	6:19, 6:20, 9:3, 20:9,	110:15, 122:11,	•• ••	179:18
118:2 $35:23, 35:24, 39:25,$ request [2] - 40:22, 80:7 $35:23, 35:24, 39:25,$ ( $1-122:13$ )restore [1] - 124:13 rests [3] - 122:7, 122:11, 182:9 $108:2, 108:12,$ 108:14, 109:4, 109:14, 110:19, 110:23, 110:25, roturn [1] - 169:17Ross [1] - 120:3 Rossmo [3] - 29:1, 111:18, 156:22requested [1] - 5:24 requests [2] - 24:19, 180:15 $77:7, 77:11, 80:6,$ $89:4, 90:23, 92:20,$ $95:11, 96:17, 125:9,$ $58:21$ result [7] - 4:22, 23:3, $67:21, 73:6, 133:14,$ $153:23, 174:12$ result [1] - 132:23 result [1] - 132:23 result [1] - 132:23 result [1] - 132:3, 111:11, 111:16, $111:11, 111:16,$ $111:11, 111:16,$ $111:11, 111:16,$ $111:12, 111:16,$ $111:12, 111:16,$ $111:11, 111:16,$ $111:12, 111:16,$ $111:11, 111:16,$ $111:12, 111:16,$ $111:11, 111:11,$ $111:11, 111:11,$ 	reputations [1] -	23:18, 35:14, 35:19,	171:19, 173:18	, , ,	rooms [1] - 179:4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	118:2	35:23, 35:24, 39:25,	restore [1] - 124:13		Ross [1] - 120:3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	request [2] - 40:22,	60:24, 64:18, 71:8,	rests [3] - 122:7,		<b>Rossmo</b> [3] - 29:1,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	80:7	73:3, 73:4, 73:18,	122:11, 182:9		•• •
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	requested [1] - 5:24	77:7, 77:11, 80:6,	result [7] - 4:22, 23:3,		
180:1589:4, 90:23, 92:20, 95:11, 96:17, 125:9, 126:15, 139:4153:23, 174:12111:11, 11:16, 111:11, 11:16, 111:11, 11:16, 111:11, 11:16, 112:1, 112:3, 112:15, 112:17, 113:4, 113:7, 112:15, 112:17, 113:4, 113:7, 112:15, 112:17, 113:4, 113:7, 114:10, 114:18, 114:13, 113:7, 1106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 116:16, 105:24, 106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 116:16, 105:24, 106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 116:16, 105:24, 116:11, 111:16, 114:10, 114:18, 117:123, 174:1111:11, 11:16, 111:11, 11:16, 113:13, 112:17, 113:4, 113:7, 114:10, 114:18, 114:13, 113:7, 114:14, 119:24,153:23, 174:12 results [3] - 142:1, 111:10, 114:18, 114:10, 114:18, 114:13, 113:7, 114:14, 119:24,round [2] - 39:23, 127:17180:12, 106:24, 116:12, 112:13,195:11, 11:16, 114:14, 119:24,153:23, 174:12 results [3] - 142:1, 111:11, 111:16, 114:14, 119:24,153:23, 174:12 results [3] - 142:1, 114:14, 119:24,153:23, 174:12, results [3] - 142:1, 114:14, 119:24,171:23, 174:12, 114:14, 114:14, 119:24,180:12, 106:24, results [2] - 10:13, results [2] - 10:12, retried [1] - 98:8 retribution [1] - 116:24111:11, 111:16, results [3] - 14:13, retried [1] - 98:8 retribution [1] - 108:20, 109:22, retribution [1] - 116:24109:24 retrospect [2] - result [1] - 114:14, 119:24,180:20, 109:24, result [1] - 114:14, 119:24,196:24, 126:12106:24, 126:12106:24, 126:12191:13, ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15, 98:11 98:1191:13 ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15, 98:11, 98:11			67:21, 73:6, 133:14,		
require $[2] - 13:2$ , $58:21$ 95:11, 96:17, 125:9, $126:15, 139:4$ resulted $[1] - 132:23$ resulting $[2] - 47:17$ , $171:10$ 112:1, 112:3, $112:15, 112:17$ , $113:4, 113:7$ , $113:4, 113:7$ , $114:10, 114:18,$ $114:23, 117:24,$ 127:17required $[8] - 24:5,$ $52:13, 91:6, 105:24,$ $106:2, 106:5, 113:7,$ $106:2, 106:5, 113:7,$ requires $[2] - 10:18,$ $6:8, 7:7, 13:10,$ $6:8, 7:7, 13:10,$ $19:24, 162:12$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $171:23, 174:1$ 112:15, 112:17, $113:4, 113:7,$ $114:10, 114:18,$ $114:23, 117:24,$ 127:17Royal $[4] - 135:13,$ $114:10, 114:18,$ $114:23, 117:24,$ rule $[3] - 18:3, 20:11,$ $114:23, 117:24,$ Results $[3] - 142:1,$ $114:23, 117:24,$ 127:17Royal $[4] - 136:19, 106:21,$ $114:17,$ respected $[1] - 121:1$ $171:23, 174:1$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $171:23, 174:1$ 112:15, 112:17, $114:13,$ $114:23, 117:24,$ 127:17Royal $[4] - 136:19, 105:24,$ $114:17,$ respected $[1] - 121:1$ $171:23, 174:1$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $114:14, 119:24,$ 127:17Royal $[4] - 136:19, 106:21,$ $114:14, 119:24,$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $171:23, 174:1$ 112:15, 112:17, $114:14, 119:24,$ 127:17Royal $[4] - 136:19, 106:21,$ $171:23, 173:4,$ respected $[1] - 121:1,$ $171:23, 174:1,$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $106:24, 126:12,$ 112:1, 112:3, $106:24, 126:12,$ 112:1, 112:3, $114:16, 15:1,$ 127:17Royal $[4] - 132:9,$ $114:14, 119:24,$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $114:14, 119:24,$ results $[3] - 142:1,$ $106:24, 126:12,$ 112:1, 112:3, $106:24, 126:12,$ 112:1, 112:3, $106:24, 126:12,$ Ritc	180:15	89:4, 90:23, 92:20,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	require [2] - 13:2,	95:11, 96:17, 125:9,	resulted [1] - 132:23		
required $[8] - 24:5$ , $52:13, 91:6, 105:24$ , $106:2, 106:5, 113:7$ , $184:10$ respectable $[1] -$ $104:19$ 171:10111:10, 114:11, 114:11, 113:11, 114:13, 113:11, 114:13, 113:17, 114:13, 113:14, 113:17, 114:13, 113:14, 113:17, 114:13, 113:14, 113:17, 114:13, 113:14, 113:17, 114:13, 114:13, 113:14, 113:17, 114:13, 114:14, 115:1, 114:14, 114, 114, 114, 114, 114, 114	•	126:15, 139:4	resulting [2] - 47:17,		
$\begin{array}{c} 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$		respectable [1] -	••••		
106:2, 106:5, 113:7, 184:10respected [1] - 121:1 respectful [34] - 4:23, 6:8, 7:7, 13:10, 58:22171:23, 174:1 requiring [1] - 123:9 38:17, 40:11, 45:7, 51:13171:23, 174:1 requisite [2] - 51:7, 51:13171:23, 174:1 requisite [2] - 51:7, 51:13171:23, 174:1 requisite [2] - 51:7, 59:7, 71:2, 74:11, 59:7, 71:2, 74:11, 74:13, 74:23, 81:15, 135:22, 173:4, 135:22, 173:4, 179:5, 181:19171:10, 114:10,	•	104:19	results [3] - 142:1,		
184:10       respectful [34] - 4:23,       RESUMED [3] - 64:4,       118:6, 118:13,       44:11         requires [2] - 10:18,       6:8, 7:7, 13:10,       14:17, 18:9, 19:13,       14:17, 18:9, 19:13,       118:6, 118:13,       44:11         requiring [1] - 123:9       38:17, 40:11, 45:7,       64:5, 99:25, 162:13       170:18, 172:1, 173:5       16:16, 16:18, 35:22         requisite [2] - 51:7,       59:7, 71:2, 74:11,       retained [1] - 40:25       105:21, 106:8,       run [3] - 15:12, 175:2,         51:13       59:7, 71:2, 74:11,       retained [1] - 98:8       107:4, 107:7,       179:4         respearch [4] - 14:13,       74:13, 74:23, 81:15,       retreated [1] - 86:6       107:13, 107:25,       15:12         research [2] -       89:15, 90:11, 96:10,       97:6, 101:15,       116:24       109:24       run [3] - 51:13         research [2] -       114:14, 119:24,       97:6, 101:15,       116:24       109:24       ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15,         retrospect [2] -       114:14, 119:24,       106:24, 126:12       14:16, 15:1       rushed [1] - 5:12		respected [1] - 121:1			
requires $[2] - 10:18$ , $58:22$ 6:8, 7:7, 13:10, $14:17, 18:9, 19:13$ , $38:17, 40:11, 45:7,$ $51:13$ 99:24, 162:12110:5, 110:10, $119:5, 168:17,$ $170:18, 172:1, 173:5$ rules $[5] - 7:17, 16:7,$ $16:16, 16:18, 35:22requisite [2] - 51:7,51:1338:17, 40:11, 45:7,49:18, 51:5, 52:21,59:7, 71:2, 74:11,99:24, 162:12119:5, 168:17,170:18, 172:1, 173:516:16, 16:18, 35:22ruling [1] - 18:4research [4] - 14:13,135:22, 173:4,181:2374:13, 74:23, 81:15,80:5, 86:11, 87:15,89:15, 90:11, 96:10,179:5, 181:1974:13, 74:23, 81:15,89:15, 90:11, 96:10,97:6, 101:15,114:14, 119:24,99:24, 162:12110:3, 107:25,106:24, 126:12107:4, 107:7,109:24run (3] - 15:12, 175:2,106:24, 126:12researchers [1]  97:6, 101:15,114:14, 119:24,116:24, 126:12109:24ruse (3] - 54:8, 97:15,98:114:16, 15:1$		•			
58:22       14:17, 18:9, 19:13, requiring [1] - 123:9       resumed [4] - 1:4, 38:17, 40:11, 45:7, 49:18, 51:5, 52:21, 51:13       resumed [4] - 1:4, 64:5, 99:25, 162:13       170:18, 172:1, 173:5       16:16, 16:18, 35:22         requiring [1] - 123:9       38:17, 40:11, 45:7, 49:18, 51:5, 52:21, 59:7, 71:2, 74:11, 135:22, 173:4, 181:23       49:18, 51:5, 52:21, 59:7, 71:2, 74:11, 135:22, 173:4, 181:23       retained [1] - 40:25       105:21, 106:8, 106:19, 106:21, 179:4       run [3] - 15:12, 175:2, 106:19, 106:21, 179:4         research [4] - 14:13, 135:22, 173:4, 181:23       74:13, 74:23, 81:15, 82:13, 83:17, 84:2, 181:23       retired [1] - 98:8 107:4, 107:7, 181:29       107:4, 107:7, 15:12       run of-the-mill [1] - 15:12         researched [2] - 179:5, 181:19       89:15, 90:11, 96:10, 97:6, 101:15, 114:14, 119:24,       97:6, 101:15, 114:14, 119:24,       retrospect [2] - 106:24, 126:12       Ritchie [3] - 14:8, 14:16, 15:1       98:1 rushed [1] - 5:12					
requiring [1] - 123:9       38:17, 40:11, 45:7, 49:18, 51:5, 52:21, 51:13       64:5, 99:25, 162:13 retained [1] - 40:25       risks [12] - 101:24, 105:21, 106:8, 105:21, 106:8, 106:19, 106:21,       ruling [1] - 18:4         requisite [2] - 51:7, 51:13       59:7, 71:2, 74:11, 74:13, 74:23, 81:15, 135:22, 173:4, 181:23       74:13, 74:23, 81:15, 82:13, 83:17, 84:2, 181:23       retained [1] - 40:25 86:5, 86:11, 87:15, 181:23       rotic, 10:16, 10:1	• • •				
requisite [2] - 51:7, 51:13       49:18, 51:5, 52:21, 59:7, 71:2, 74:11, research [4] - 14:13, 135:22, 173:4, 135:22, 173:4, 135:22, 173:4, 135:22, 173:4, 179:5, 181:19       retained [1] - 40:25 99:7, 71:2, 74:11, 74:13, 74:23, 81:15, 82:13, 83:17, 84:2, 181:23       retained [1] - 40:25 retention [1] - 23:5 reteried [1] - 98:8       105:21, 106:8, 106:19, 106:21, 179:4       run [3] - 15:12, 175:2, 179:4         research [4] - 14:13, 135:22, 173:4, 181:23       74:13, 74:23, 81:15, 82:13, 83:17, 84:2, 181:23       retired [1] - 98:8 107:4, 107:7, 15:12       106:19, 106:21, 179:4       179:4         researched [2] - 179:5, 181:19       89:15, 90:11, 96:10, 97:6, 101:15, 114:14, 119:24,       retrisbution [1] - 106:24, 126:12       108:20, 109:22, 109:24       running [1] - 91:13 ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15, 98:1					
51:13       59:7, 71:2, 74:11,       retention [1] - 23:5       106:19, 106:21,       179:4         research [4] - 14:13,       74:13, 74:23, 81:15,       retired [1] - 98:8       107:4, 107:7,       179:4         135:22, 173:4,       82:13, 83:17, 84:2,       retreated [1] - 86:6       107:13, 107:25,       15:12         181:23       86:5, 86:11, 87:15,       retribution [1] -       108:20, 109:22,       running [1] - 91:13         researched [2] -       89:15, 90:11, 96:10,       116:24       109:24       ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15,         retrospect [2] -       114:14, 119:24,       106:24, 126:12       14:16, 15:1       98:1	• • • • •				• • •
research [4] - 14:13,       74:13, 74:23, 81:15,       retired [1] - 98:8       107:4, 107:7,       run-of-the-mill [1] -         135:22, 173:4,       82:13, 83:17, 84:2,       retreated [1] - 86:6       107:13, 107:25,       15:12         181:23       86:5, 86:11, 87:15,       retribution [1] -       108:20, 109:22,       running [1] - 91:13         179:5, 181:19       97:6, 101:15,       116:24       109:24       ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15,         researchers [1] -       114:14, 119:24,       106:24, 126:12       14:16, 15:1       98:1					••
135:22, 173:4,       82:13, 83:17, 84:2,       retreated [1] - 86:6       107:13, 107:25,       15:12         181:23       86:5, 86:11, 87:15,       retribution [1] -       108:20, 109:22,       running [1] - 91:13         researched [2] -       89:15, 90:11, 96:10,       116:24       109:24       ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15,         179:5, 181:19       97:6, 101:15,       retrospect [2] -       Ritchie [3] - 14:8,       98:1         researchers [1] -       114:14, 119:24,       106:24, 126:12       14:16, 15:1       rushed [1] - 5:12					
181:23       86:5, 86:11, 87:15,       retribution [1] -       108:20, 109:22,       running [1] - 91:13         179:5, 181:19       97:6, 101:15,       retrospect [2] -       116:24       109:24       ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15,         researchers [1] -       114:14, 119:24,       106:24, 126:12       14:16, 15:1       rushed [1] - 5:12					
researched [2] -     89:15, 90:11, 96:10, 179:5, 181:19     116:24     109:24     ruse [3] - 54:8, 97:15, 98:1       researchers [1] -     114:14, 119:24,     106:24, 126:12     14:16, 15:1     98:1					
179:5, 181:19       97:6, 101:15,       retrospect [2] -       Ritchie [3] - 14:8,       98:1         researchers [1] -       114:14, 119:24,       106:24, 126:12       14:16, 15:1       rushed [1] - 5:12					
researchers [1] - 114:14, 119:24, 106:24, 126:12 14:16, 15:1 rushed [1] - 5:12					
rusneu [1] - 5.12			• • •		
XXV	1836ai 611813 [1] -	., ,	100.24, 120.12	14.10, 13.1	rusned [1] - 5:12
			xxv		

		I	1	1
S	76:23, 77:15, 77:19,	seek [1] - 103:18	serial [41] - 30:8,	38:10, 42:13, 47:5,
	79:12, 81:12, 81:14,	seeking [2] - 3:11,	46:22, 46:25, 103:2,	47:7, 48:12, 54:1,
sacrifice [1] - 3:8	82:12, 82:20, 83:4,	14:7	107:9, 108:15,	58:2, 58:9, 58:15,
sacrificed [1] - 185:11	83:6, 84:4, 86:13,	seem [3] - 27:3, 92:21,	110:25, 111:4,	58:24, 58:25, 63:16,
sacrifices [2] - 3:10,	88:9, 88:20, 93:25,	157:21	111:5, 111:8, 111:9,	66:11, 68:16, 68:25,
3:14	94:20	segments [1] - 126:17	111:12, 111:16,	69:6, 69:15, 80:4,
<b>safe</b> [2] - 177:25,	searched [3] - 28:19,	self [3] - 62:10, 68:3,	111:24, 112:3,	97:14, 100:12,
179:10	30:2, 85:13	174:24	112:16, 112:17,	100:14, 100:23,
safety [8] - 9:18,	searches [4] - 12:1,	self-directed [2] -	113:5, 113:11,	101:4, 101:19,
102:15, 108:21,	28:15, 124:23,	62:10, 68:3	113:25, 114:4,	102:8, 102:13,
109:4, 109:19,	124:24	self-hatred [1] -	114:10, 114:13,	102:16, 102:19,
109:24, 110:1, 140:9	searching [2] - 78:7,	174:24	114:18, 114:23,	103:7, 103:8,
Salish [1] - 130:4	124:24	sell [1] - 174:5	116:6, 116:9, 117:1,	103:10, 103:13,
Sandra [1] - 137:24	Sebastian [4] - 2:20,	selling [1] - 32:4	117:2, 117:7,	103:16, 103:20,
Sandy [1] - 120:19	151:18, 152:18,	Semiahmoo [1] -	117:13, 117:16,	103:22, 104:5,
<b>Sarah</b> [1] - 33:9	177:1	131:1	117:24, 118:6,	104:8, 104:15,
<b>sat</b> [6] - 13:20, 87:20,	second [11] - 16:15,	sending [1] - 127:20	118:7, 118:17,	105:11, 106:1,
93:6, 98:2, 160:11,	22:4, 26:7, 58:7,	senior [15] - 105:25,	119:5, 119:7,	107:4, 107:8,
164:20	60:24, 69:21, 78:11,	109:17, 110:10,	135:17, 135:18,	107:14, 107:25,
satisfied [2] - 44:12,	86:17, 150:10,	115:4, 115:17,	156:22	108:11, 108:15,
76:25	158:5, 158:6	116:14, 117:21,	series [1] - 180:14	108:20, 108:24,
satisfy [1] - 184:20	second-class [1] -	118:4, 120:15,	serious [20] - 12:13,	109:4, 109:13,
saved [2] - 13:25,	26:7	121:6, 121:15,	12:24, 13:1, 13:6,	109:18, 109:24,
119:1	second-guess [1] -	121:23, 157:19,	14:18, 15:3, 40:20,	110:4, 110:7, 110:9,
saw [5] - 42:5, 81:6,	22:4	157:21	88:5, 107:13,	110:12, 110:13,
139:15, 150:9	second-guessing [1]	sense [12] - 26:1,	108:12, 110:20,	110:19, 110:23,
scale [2] - 111:24,	- 16:15	52:22, 56:14, 65:1,	110:23, 126:5,	111:1, 111:10,
131:23	secondary [1] - 50:5	67:8, 69:5, 95:2,	132:16, 132:19,	112:1, 112:4, 112:9,
scared [3] - 155:6,	secondly [10] - 38:20,	95:8, 95:9, 96:20,	147:5, 150:12,	112:16, 112:18,
155:7, 155:8	107:25, 108:13,	96:21, 184:21	170:4, 171:20	113:1, 113:5, 114:5,
scenario [2] - 66:22,	110:22, 114:4,	senselessly [2] -	seriously [6] - 27:2,	116:22, 118:13,
67:1	119:9, 120:12,	129:15, 131:11	27:6, 31:11, 97:14,	118:23, 118:24,
schedule [2] - 34:18,	123:10, 124:8,	sensitive [1] - 187:2	131:17, 173:1	119:1, 119:11,
182:14	182:24	sent [5] - 101:5,	servants [1] - 167:7	119:12, 120:3,
scheduled [1] - 2:3	section [4] - 76:23,	103:18, 155:11,	<b>serve</b> [4] - 100:3,	120:10, 120:18, 120:23, 121:11,
school [13] - 141:6,	91:6, 96:16, 104:18	177:9, 179:22	160:3, 180:21,	120:23, 121:11, 122:17, 123:11,
141:19, 142:5,	<b>Section</b> [9] - 52:5,	sentence [3] - 57:18,	186:23	123:16, 123:23,
142:11, 142:20,	53:12, 56:12, 65:10,	169:10, 172:15	served [2] - 169:17,	123:10, 123:23, 123:23, 123:25, 124:5,
149:16, 150:15, 153:8, 153:22,	124:4, 138:14,	sentences [1] - 103:11	169:24	124:6, 124:9,
154:7, 155:4, 155:5,	138:17, 138:24,	separate [3] - 25:15,	service [1] - 167:7	124:10, 124:12,
156:6	139:1	41:12, 156:8	SERVICE [1] - 188:21	124:21, 124:23,
schools [4] - 141:12,	sectors [2] - 104:22,	separated [2] -	<b>services</b> [4] - 139:19,	125:1, 166:17,
142:3, 142:24,	105:10	149:14, 150:5	139:23, 140:6, 140:7	166:19, 174:5
163:25	security [2] - 156:6,	separating [2] -	session [1] - 41:15	sexist [1] - 29:18
science [1] - 50:23	179:21	153:10, 153:11	sessions [2] - 179:9, 179:11	sexual [1] - 11:9
scope [3] - 15:25,	sedimented [1] -	September [7] - 47:21,		shall [1] - 162:15
184:9, 185:23	121:19 Sedeminika (4)	48:24, 62:14, 68:5,	<b>set</b> [14] - 1:17, 35:3, 35:21, 53:12, 57:2,	shameful [1] - 162:3
scorn [1] - 32:7	Sedominika [1] -	110:4, 111:20,	76:23, 90:15, 97:23,	shapes [1] - 79:7
Scott [2] - 32:25, 37:4	127:15	114:24	108:23, 142:22,	share [4] - 132:13,
screw [1] - 162:25	<b>see</b> [26] - 7:2, 22:9, 36:3, 36:22, 54:16	Sereena [2] - 2:24,	146:24, 175:9,	137:11, 157:21,
scrutiny [2] - 4:20,	36:3, 36:22, 54:16, 55:13, 56:2, 72:12,	33:10	185:10, 186:16	173:10
40:25	80:15, 83:9, 85:13,	sergeant [2] - 38:11,	Seth [1] - 127:14	shared [5] - 11:6,
Sean [1] - 44:21	85:17, 86:23, 130:9,	39:17	sets [1] - 61:20	28:11, 104:18, 146:2
search [33] - 4:4,	130:11, 130:12,	Sergeant [14] - 37:4,	seven [4] - 140:25,	sharing [1] - 127:8
	134:18, 143:10,	47:9, 60:13, 62:10,	144:12, 144:16,	shattered [1] - 149:12
10:10.27.16 37.8	104.10, 140.10,	62:13, 67:25, 69:8,	147:19	sheer [2] - 8:8, 25:19
10:10, 27:16, 37:8, 37:12, 64:20, 64:22.	143.22 143.23			
37:12, 64:20, 64:22,	143:22, 143:23, 146:25, 172:5	69:12, 88:13,		sheets [1] - 108:23
37:12, 64:20, 64:22, 65:10, 65:14, 67:18,	146:25, 172:5,	113:19, 113:20,	seventh [1] - 125:2	sheets [1] - 108:23 shelter [1] - 85:1
37:12, 64:20, 64:22,				

Shenher [28] - 29:9,	108:14, 110:25,	152:14, 172:14,	142:9	51:13, 53:6, 57:15,
59:22, 60:10, 61:1,	113:4, 113:7	172:20, 181:14	speaks [2] - 31:22,	60:1, 68:1, 129:16,
61:10, 61:21, 62:10,	significantly [1] - 6:20	societies [1] - 46:18	44:20	162:15, 184:25,
62:16, 64:12, 68:2,	silence [2] - 92:10,	society [21] - 9:18,	special [3] - 104:2,	185:4
72:22, 73:11, 73:19,	177:12	46:17, 104:19,	118:13, 180:13	started [5] - 14:4,
74:4, 79:25, 82:5,	similar [5] - 25:9,	126:17, 133:13,	specific [8] - 58:21,	60:2, 145:15,
84:6, 85:2, 90:2,	52:2, 89:4, 115:21,	134:14, 137:7,	78:2, 78:5, 78:11,	149:18, 155:16
92:23, 109:10,	152:16	140:19, 143:14,	78:12, 107:7,	state [3] - 138:15,
113:15, 115:6,	similarly [3] - 63:23,	144:10, 145:2,	118:19, 148:5	138:22, 179:24
116:16, 122:19,	127:9, 186:18	153:5, 154:3, 154:4,	specifically [3] -	statement [15] - 14:15,
155:15, 155:16,	simple [1] - 95:25	158:2, 159:20,	55:19, 57:5, 108:18	48:2, 49:14, 67:9,
156:17	simply [15] - 18:13,	160:21, 160:23,	spectrum [1] - 50:17	67:10, 67:19, 73:15,
Shenher's [3] - 30:2,	21:17, 27:18, 39:8,	162:1, 178:3, 178:10	speculative [2] -	81:16, 81:20, 81:21,
33:20, 41:17	44:13, 53:16, 60:8,	Society [2] - 124:14,	87:14, 87:17	82:4, 84:20, 99:19,
shifted [1] - 110:11	62:24, 77:16, 86:13,	131:16	spend [2] - 36:2,	100:10, 101:8
shining [1] - 31:5	87:2, 90:18, 98:1,	soft [1] - 81:9	175:8	statements [2] - 33:8,
Shirley [1] - 35:11	104:15, 156:14	solace [1] - 128:9	spending [2] - 173:25,	111:14
shocked [1] - 152:14	single [6] - 27:15,	<b>sold</b> [2] - 153:19,	175:9	States [1] - 35:2
shockingly [1] -	123:6, 157:2,	166:19	spends [1] - 177:20	statistics [1] - 174:12
177:22	166:23, 178:22,	<b>sole</b> [1] - 37:5	spent [6] - 38:13,	stature [1] - 39:8
shoes [1] - 134:18	185:24	solely [1] - 39:6	168:13, 173:15,	status [8] - 26:3,
Shooting [1] - 138:20	single-room [1] -	<b>solution</b> [1] - 123:9	177:11, 177:23,	106:13, 133:6,
shoplifting [1] - 15:12	166:23	someone [9] - 10:3,	179:13	134:9, 135:24,
shopped [1] - 175:15	Sister [1] - 180:4	25:3, 79:15, 95:21,	spiritual [1] - 133:12	139:20, 140:7, 146:6
Shore [2] - 177:10,	sister [10] - 27:1,	147:16, 151:21,	spokesperson [1] -	statute [1] - 56:12
177:14	137:16, 137:25,	159:4, 176:16,	32:25	statutes [1] - 52:2
short [9] - 14:17,	149:8, 149:18,	177:15	spot [2] - 71:21, 81:9	statutorily [1] - 51:25
33:23, 104:14,	150:9, 150:13,	sometimes [5] -	spun [1] - 112:24	stay [17] - 16:2, 16:11,
112:12, 124:1,	151:8, 151:13,	27:14, 27:21, 79:17,	Squad [1] - 181:12	16:14, 17:3, 17:14,
155:19, 157:16,	151:14	84:25, 141:20	squalid [1] - 166:22	18:9, 23:3, 83:11,
157:17, 183:19	sister's [1] - 151:10	somewhat [1] -	squander [1] - 119:14	89:19, 89:23, 90:9,
shortcomings [1] -	sisters [1] - 26:17	161:12	squandered [1] -	90:10, 91:15, 92:6,
38:16	sit [2] - 45:10, 187:9	somewhere [4] -	178:21	119:18, 164:11,
shortly [2] - 135:13,	sitting [1] - 59:4	18:11, 47:12, 54:5,	square [1] - 87:18	185:16
155:17	situation [1] - 140:17	85:1	<b>St</b> [3] - 37:3, 37:16,	stayed [1] - 24:21
show [3] - 133:9,	<b>six</b> [4] - 11:10, 13:17,	soon [1] - 54:9	138:19	stealth [2] - 69:14,
142:15, 176:16	36:25, 37:17	<b>Sopow</b> [2] - 33:1, 33:6	staff [6] - 39:12, 69:7,	69:18
showed [2] - 31:8,	sixthly [1] - 124:17	sorry [12] - 47:22,	166:2, 166:25,	step [5] - 20:5, 27:16,
31:13	skill [1] - 188:19	66:1, 76:19, 87:3,	169:23, 185:3	27:19, 139:12,
<b>shown</b> [1] - 3:6	skin [1] - 134:21	98:15, 130:9,	<b>Staff</b> [4] - 37:4, 47:9,	160:21
shows [3] - 23:19,	<b>skip</b> [4] - 60:1, 67:25,	148:14, 152:3,	60:13, 69:12	Stephanie [1] - 2:22
173:4, 178:8	76:18, 77:14	181:4, 181:5, 181:7,	staffed [1] - 124:15	stepped [2] - 10:10,
SHUCK [1] - 130:16	skipped [1] - 151:14	181:9	staffing [1] - 123:7	19:9
shut [2] - 4:15, 156:23	SkyTrain [1] - 168:8	sort [3] - 82:20, 144:8,	stage [3] - 5:20, 51:19,	stepping [1] - 134:2
shy [2] - 77:20, 104:20	slaughtered [1] - 9:14	147:17	113:12	steps [3] - 37:6, 40:23,
siblings [6] - 149:14,	slow [1] - 114:13	sought [2] - 5:23,	stages [2] - 107:23,	147:9
150:19, 153:11,	slurs [1] - 135:5	156:7	169:20	stereotypes [5] -
153:22, 156:8	small [2] - 125:13,	sound [2] - 84:16,	stand [11] - 5:15, 14:5,	119:10, 119:12,
sic [1] - 57:14	186:24	88:10	21:19, 22:16, 23:21,	119:25, 120:8,
<b>sick</b> [3] - 169:18,	smaller [1] - 123:14	sounds [1] - 150:8	24:2, 24:14, 25:14,	120:13
169:21, 177:23	smear [2] - 117:23,	source [7] - 61:4,	31:2, 38:20, 39:10	stereotyping [1] -
sickness [1] - 140:24	117:25	61:7, 61:9, 61:12,	standard [1] - 79:11	120:3
side [7] - 29:4, 29:25,	snatched [1] - 30:19	62:5, 157:14, 157:15	standing [5] - 7:7,	Stevie [1] - 42:18
30:20, 46:4, 46:5,	<b>so-called</b> [3] - 13:8,	source's [2] - 72:2,	147:3, 160:25,	still [12] - 24:9, 42:2,
89:23, 186:21	41:15, 131:25	81:19	162:19, 165:18	80:17, 84:1, 91:8,
sidelined [2] - 116:13,	<b>sober</b> [1] - 25:6	sources [1] - 50:16	stands [2] - 30:25,	127:18, 134:5,
116:14	social [11] - 26:3,	<b>space</b> [1] - 46:4	41:16	139:23, 145:18,
sight [2] - 159:17,	50:22, 131:18,	Sparrow [1] - 138:24	stark [2] - 30:25, 41:16	146:20, 158:15,
161:22	131:21, 133:12,	sparse [1] - 112:13	starlight [1] - 103:21	183:15
significant [5] - 5:6,	140:16, 141:25,	speaking [2] - 77:24,	start [11] - 13:17, 36:2,	stimulant [1] - 179:3
- J[0] 0.0,	. 10.10, 111.20,		••••••[1] 10.17, 00.2,	-
	1	xxvii —	1	

stings [1] - 103:16	<b>style</b> [1] - 46:3	1:10	summarize [1] - 143:7	156:9
stitching [1] - 127:17	sub [1] - 147:24	submit [28] - 15:7,	summary [3] - 129:20,	surprised [1] - 1:14
Sto:lo [2] - 130:14,	sub-issue [1] - 147:24	20:8, 23:23, 25:7,	133:24, 134:6	surrendering [1] -
131:1	subculture [1] - 144:1	40:15, 41:3, 41:11,	summation [1] - 35:13	170:25
stone [1] - 134:2	subject [8] - 2:13,	41:22, 42:10, 58:13,	summer [2] - 34:15,	Surrey [2] - 123:13,
stood [1] - 98:20	11:9, 11:24, 33:19,	59:14, 71:4, 92:4,	36:14	169:13
stop [12] - 9:22, 10:7,	43:10, 65:10,	93:15, 114:2,	Summit [2] - 129:19,	survival [8] - 100:12,
10:11, 25:3, 35:20,	126:17, 173:9	132:20, 140:2,	155:11	100:23, 102:13,
46:23, 63:24, 78:22,	submission [98] - 3:4,	140:8, 143:17,	Superintendent [1] -	102:16, 102:19,
115:24, 176:9,	4:5, 4:7, 4:8, 4:10,	147:5, 148:3, 156:1,	92:17	108:11, 116:22,
180:10, 180:16	4:12, 4:23, 5:7, 5:12,	157:25, 158:2,	supervision [1] - 73:5	124:5
stopped [6] - 69:11,	6:8, 7:7, 10:22,	158:17, 159:20,	supervisor [1] - 65:7	suspect [16] - 36:12,
75:15, 83:11, 86:21,	13:10, 14:17, 16:4,	160:1, 186:3	supervisors [1] -	48:11, 48:15, 48:18,
87:13, 175:10	16:15, 16:21, 17:5,	submitted [5] - 68:13,	120:25	48:23, 48:25, 49:4,
store [2] - 31:14,	18:1, 18:9, 18:17,	72:21, 133:18, 134:6, 136:12	supoenas [1] - 6:14	49:7, 49:14, 56:22,
177:10	18:19, 19:13, 21:17,		supplemented [1] -	71:9, 75:23, 112:5,
story [6] - 6:10, 6:12,	30:13, 30:25, 31:21,	subsection [2] - 76:24, 77:14	1:22	116:10, 116:18,
25:17, 149:9, 149:10	36:2, 38:17, 40:11,	subsequent [1] -	support [18] - 17:1,	156:19
strange [1] - 109:13	43:13, 45:8, 46:2, 49:19, 50:3, 50:5,	90:10	96:11, 96:20,	suspect's [1] - 49:6 suspect-based [1] -
stratagem [4] - 54:4,	49:19, 50:3, 50:5, 50:20, 51:5, 51:11,	substance [2] -	100:17, 104:7,	116:10
54:7, 58:25, 97:19	51:15, 51:20, 51:24,	139:25, 150:12	111:19, 112:7,	suspect-focused [1] -
strategies [4] - 75:22,	52:21, 55:9, 55:14,	substantial [1] - 12:22	115:13, 116:1, 165:24, 166:1,	112:5
82:16, 102:12, 173:8	56:9, 56:16, 56:22,	substitution [1] -	166:7, 173:3,	suspected [15] -
strategist [1] - 33:1	57:4, 58:6, 59:7,	179:3	179:11, 180:6,	46:20, 46:21, 46:25,
strategy [6] - 88:19,	61:17, 69:3, 69:22,	suburb [1] - 9:11	182:5, 187:5, 187:8	51:19, 54:10, 54:13,
105:1, 105:3, 105:16, 173:11,	71:2, 71:15, 71:17,	suburbs [1] - 12:7	supported [3] - 85:4,	58:4, 58:24, 59:2,
173:14	71:19, 73:22, 74:11,	succeeded [2] -	97:11, 103:10	60:19, 61:11, 70:7,
street [8] - 63:5,	74:14, 74:22, 74:23,	75:24, 82:14	supporters [1] -	75:12, 84:18, 163:4
66:14, 102:16,	75:2, 81:16, 82:13,	successful [1] -	136:18	suspected-focused
104:4, 104:15,	82:18, 83:17, 83:18,	105:15	supporting [2] -	[1] - 75:12
169:19, 173:8, 182:7	84:2, 85:16, 85:17,	suffered [9] - 133:11,	115:7, 115:25	suspects [6] - 48:5,
Street [4] - 101:5,	86:6, 86:11, 87:10,	148:24, 149:2,	supportive [1] - 85:17	48:8, 48:10, 113:1,
145:15, 180:8, 182:1	87:15, 87:23, 88:7,	149:13, 150:11,	supports [3] - 86:13,	115:10, 116:7
street-based [1] -	88:18, 89:15, 90:11,	153:23, 153:24,	88:14, 172:14	suspicion [1] - 51:23
173:8	94:24, 95:10, 95:19,	156:25, 170:23	suppose [2] - 67:24,	suspicious [4] -
streets [7] - 52:25,	96:10, 97:24,	suffering [3] - 133:12,	101:10	75:14, 75:20,
62:19, 134:5,	101:15, 114:14,	169:19, 180:25	supposed [9] - 4:4,	134:23, 134:24
149:23, 149:24,	119:24, 125:21,	sufficient [11] - 12:19,	4:6, 4:8, 4:9, 4:11,	sustained [2] - 13:2,
174:10, 178:18	128:13, 139:15,	60:18, 79:18, 111:6,	28:20, 40:17, 45:4,	176:23
strenuously [1] -	148:20, 151:7,	111:17, 111:21,	154:15	Suzette [2] - 129:6,
110:13	153:6, 157:6, 186:7	112:4, 112:18,	supposedly [1] -	148:14
strewn [1] - 80:8	submissions [37] -	112:21, 113:7, 113:8	112:5	swam [1] - 102:5
strict [1] - 171:2	1:17, 9:3, 17:25,	sufficiently [1] - 24:6	suppress [2] - 102:8,	<b>sweep</b> [2] - 176:9,
string [1] - 111:9	18:13, 18:21, 18:24,	Sugden [1] - 126:8	114:17	176:10
strolls [4] - 123:12,	19:1, 19:4, 19:5, 19:12, 20:25, 36:7,	suggest [3] - 8:21,	suppressed [5] -	sweeping [1] - 184:18
123:13, 123:14,		98:1, 158:5	115:13, 116:1,	sweet [2] - 157:16,
123:15	41:10, 44:23, 45:2, 45:14, 79:4, 89:4,	suggested [2] - 68:7,	116:14, 117:3,	157:17
strong [2] - 133:9,	100:6, 101:11,	72:20	117:15	<b>sworn</b> [2] - 29:1,
182:12	106:14, 123:2,	suggesting [2] - 30:8,	suppressing [2] -	41:17
strongest [1] - 119:8	133:23, 134:15,	96:9	103:7, 105:9	sympathy [1] - 31:7
struck [3] - 38:22,	142:23, 143:10,	suggestion [2] - 62:3,	suppression [2] -	syringes [6] - 49:3,
93:7, 97:16	144:25, 148:3,	87:14	114:3, 114:9	80:7, 80:8, 80:13,
structures [1] - 160:13	151:5, 152:6,	suggestions [3] -	Supreme [8] - 19:15,	90:3, 94:1
struggle [3] - 131:12,	154:10, 159:13,	122:25, 126:2,	22:7, 35:1, 35:2,	system [25] - 5:1,
		184:12	81:22, 87:21, 95:3,	9:17, 104:17, 120:5, 123:6, 142:7, 154:8,
131:13, 131:15	159:24, 160:14,		181:20	1/3 n 14/1/154'8
131:13, 131:15 stuck [1] - 176:22	159:24, 160:14, 162:8, 184:8, 185:10	suggests [2] - 142:15,		
131:13, 131:15 stuck [1] - 176:22 student [1] - 127:13		187:4	surely [2] - 22:14,	154:24, 155:6,
131:13, 131:15 stuck [1] - 176:22	162:8, 184:8, 185:10			

167:24, 168:4, 168:11, 168:14, 168:18, 170:3, 171:11, 172:4, 172:19, 175:14, 177:9 system's [1] - 3:25 systemic [3] - 50:7, 135:11, 164:5 systems [3] - 125:6, 125:12, 137:9 т Tab [1] - 32:17 table [1] - 91:18 Takla [1] - 130:17 Tanaka [1] - 188:20 Tanya [2] - 2:22, 144:18 targeting [3] - 57:12, 58:9, 176:9 task [10] - 24:13, 53:3, 58:18, 74:5, 74:8, 78:23. 78:24. 115:14, 115:19, 116:1 tasked [4] - 37:10, 37:21, 140:3, 180:11 tax [5] - 173:10, 175:8, 177:21, 178:6, 178:20 teacher [1] - 154:19 Team [1] - 116:5 team [9] - 109:17, 112:5, 112:10, 116:9, 116:12, 116:15, 126:23, 186:20 tears [1] - 46:16 technical [1] - 185:1 techniques [1] - 7:1 teens [1] - 150:8 telephones [1] - 167:6 temporarily [1] - 10:11 tempting [1] - 172:4 tender [1] - 14:10 term [7] - 10:16, 10:17, 20:18, 47:16, 49:25, 50:9, 95:18 terms [24] - 5:22, 10:6, 10:21, 19:6, 19:8, 20:18, 45:20, 47:20, 51:8, 66:13, 114:19, 119:8, 120:22, 129:12, 129:25, 133:7, 140:14, 142:21, 148:11, 153:18, 157:20, 159:15, 163:17, 163:20

terrible [5] - 151:3, 159:12, 174:3, 180:25, 182:2 territories [1] - 130:12 territory [1] - 130:3 terrorize [1] - 181:24 Terry [2] - 32:23, 122:10 test [5] - 12:20, 78:20, 79:8, 88:24, 91:7 tested [1] - 175:13 testified [12] - 25:17, 25:19, 27:17, 39:19, 39:22. 66:8. 149:22. 150:1. 150:14. 150:20, 151:19, 152:6 testify [5] - 23:17, 23:25, 24:11, 24:16, 25:5 testimonies [1] -133:8 testimony [14] - 23:22, 24:6, 29:2, 31:1, 41:16, 41:17, 60:14, 69:25, 71:23, 120:19, 120:23, 120:24, 121:2, 184:11 testing [1] - 171:3 text [1] - 46:3 THE [77] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:9, 2:1, 2:6, 2:9, 17:22, 18:15, 19:14, 20:3, 20:12, 21:6, 21:22, 22:19, 22:23, 34:5, 34:7, 34:10, 34:23, 35:9, 35:18, 43:11, 43:14, 44:1, 44:15, 44:17, 45:15, 46:11, 63:24, 64:2, 64:5, 64:6, 71:20, 95:14, 99:1, 99:15, 99:20, 99:22, 99:25, 100:1, 100:9, 101:1, 101:9, 126:6, 128:12, 128:25, 129:4, 130:10, 148:8, 148:13, 161:1, 162:10, 162:13, 162:14, 162:16, 163:13, 163:17, 164:10, 165:13, 165:17, 182:13, 182:21, 183:3, 183:6, 183:22, 184:6, 184:14, 185:4, 185:15, 186:10, 187:6, 187:9, 188:3,

188:6, 188:8, 188:12, 188:13 theft [1] - 167:15 theme [1] - 154:24 themes [1] - 25:18 themselves [11] - 8:7, 28:14, 39:14, 46:7, 48:7, 129:14, 155:3, 168:21, 172:3, 177:25, 181:25 **theory** [4] - 114:4, 114:14, 135:17, 135:18 therefore [6] - 16:4, 53:6, 58:11, 79:23, 131:24, 157:15 therein [1] - 77:13 they've [9] - 3:5, 3:10, 53:2, 143:6, 145:20, 154:14, 186:14, 186:15 third [3] - 58:18, 78:12, 88:2 thirdly [4] - 114:6, 120:14, 121:22, 123.21 Thomson [1] - 99:13 thorough [5] - 4:8, 41:4, 115:12, 169:5, 187:16 thoughtful [1] - 162:8 thoughts [1] - 127:9 threat [5] - 90:13. 90:18, 90:20, 92:2, 97:18 threatening [3] - 91:9, 93:16, 94:1 threats [1] - 109:18 three [10] - 13:5, 24:16, 31:18, 31:19, 38:10, 47:1, 71:2, 104:7, 114:1, 145:6 threshold [1] - 179:2 throttled [1] - 115:1 throughout [12] -10:5, 33:24, 35:21, 36:15, 54:1, 75:11, 85:5, 114:19, 117:5, 117:14, 127:1, 127:4 ticketed [1] - 168:2 tickets [2] - 103:15, 168:9 tide [1] - 102:6 ties [1] - 145:7 Tiffany [1] - 2:21 timeline [1] - 61:17 timely [2] - 6:14, 85:2 tiny [1] - 168:1 tip [7] - 74:6, 90:2, 90:3, 94:2, 94:6,

-xxix-

94:15, 156:18 tips [2] - 115:10, 117:8 tipster [1] - 48:16 Tobias [1] - 126:21 today [12] - 1:10, 25:6, 25:9, 35:10, 38:20, 40:22, 97:13, 162:22, 164:1, 165:16, 178:18, 182:14 today's [1] - 137:3 together [8] - 81:9, 89:20, 93:10, 97:5, 104:1, 157:4, 185:9, 185:12 toilets [1] - 167:5 tomorrow [2] -188:11, 188:14 took [12] - 9:9, 14:5, 25:13, 27:21, 31:18, 41:13, 66:17, 84:6, 84:14, 103:20, 127:24, 161:21 tools [1] - 96:21 top [7] - 70:18, 75:9, 76:22, 81:21, 83:6, 90:15, 180:11 torn [1] - 171:25 total [1] - 54:1 touch [5] - 9:6, 36:9, 149:4, 169:16 tough [1] - 83:22 tours [1] - 103:21 towards [8] - 91:12, 136:4, 143:15, 150:21, 151:3, 160:22, 160:23, 174:4 town [1] - 53:1 trace [1] - 48:21 trade [16] - 11:3, 26:3, 38:10, 42:13, 47:5, 48:12, 54:1, 58:3, 58:9, 58:24, 68:25, 69:6, 69:15, 80:4, 97:15, 101:4 traditional [3] - 130:3, 144:13, 174:6 traditionally [1] -154:3 traditions [1] - 99:6 traffic [1] - 103:13 trafficking [1] - 167:18 tragedies [1] - 161:21 tragedy [8] - 4:25, 29:24, 74:11, 98:12, 98:16, 150:2, 151:4, 161:21 tragic [4] - 3:25, 4:1, 98:10, 98:11

trailed [2] - 112:12, 112:15 trailer [6] - 48:14, 48:20, 49:6, 80:8, 81:6, 91:20 trained [6] - 58:13, 74:3, 76:10, 87:18, 87:22, 175:16 training [2] - 74:4, 125:6 transaction [1] - 83:9 transactions [2] -104:4, 106:2 transcribed [1] -188:18 transcript [2] - 66:6, 188:17 transfer [1] - 36:17 transferred [1] - 36:20 transgress [1] - 20:11 transient [1] - 119:12 transit [2] - 168:14, 168:17 translates [1] - 35:22 transparency [2] -38:19, 40:17 transparent [2] - 4:10, 175:8 transportation [3] -53:17, 62:25, 63:8 transported [3] -47:11, 54:5, 58:3 trauma [1] - 170:23 traumatized [1] -31:12 treacherous [1] -47:18 treachery [1] - 54:10 treated [6] - 13:25, 26:7, 30:23, 134:22, 154:18, 167:10 treating [3] - 33:24, 179:14, 182:5 treatment [20] - 24:5, 30:13, 120:18, 135:15, 139:25, 158:19, 167:3, 170:19, 170:24, 171:5, 171:7, 171:8, 171:9, 172:8, 173:20, 175:1, 175:2, 175:4, 181:19, 181:24 Treaty [1] - 130:15 trees [1] - 170:1 tremendous [1] -103:7 trial [11] - 8:15, 8:18, 13:4, 13:15, 13:16, 14:14, 15:3, 15:9,

23:23, 170:17,	type [1] - 66:22	Unger [1] - 32:23	146:5, 148:22,	30:22, 31:18, 31:24,
179:22	typed [1] - 74:1	unintended [2] -	148:24, 149:11,	32:5, 32:20, 33:11,
trials [1] - 87:21	types [1] - 114:1	118:8, 118:9	149:21, 150:16,	33:22, 39:20, 47:6,
tribal [1] - 130:7		unique [6] - 25:17,	152:10, 152:24,	47:14, 48:13, 49:10,
tribunal [1] - 40:21	U	48:17, 52:1, 60:24,	156:11, 156:23,	50:18, 52:9, 52:17,
tried [6] - 48:13,		81:2, 81:7	162:25, 168:4,	52:23, 53:25, 54:24,
93:24, 151:19,	<b>UBC</b> [1] - 103:21	Unit [1] - 155:14	169:21, 172:16,	56:21, 56:24, 57:15,
151:22, 152:17,	ulterior [1] - 158:6	UNITED [1] - 188:21	173:19, 176:16,	57:20, 59:16, 59:20,
177:14	ultimate [2] - 85:20,	United [1] - 35:2	177:5, 178:11,	59:21, 59:24, 60:8,
	158:24		178:15, 178:16,	61:3, 61:12, 62:20,
tries [1] - 157:23	ultimately [1] - 149:1	universally [1] -	187:9	64:9, 64:12, 64:13,
trigger [1] - 63:17	unabated [1] - 10:9	166:18	ups [1] - 176:18	69:3, 69:23, 69:25,
trip [2] - 39:23, 48:6	unable [7] - 7:10,	university [1] - 141:6		
trips [1] - 179:15	115:24, 157:3,	unlawful [9] - 42:11,	upset [2] - 164:2	70:19, 70:24, 71:6,
troublesome [1] -	168:21, 175:1,	53:16, 53:17, 55:2,	<b>urge</b> [9] - 7:18, 23:7,	71:10, 71:12, 72:22,
75:1	179:23, 180:10	59:13, 68:22, 71:7,	122:2, 122:13,	72:24, 74:10, 74:17,
<b>true</b> [10] - 4:24, 44:13,	unacceptable [1] -	73:13, 83:13	128:7, 177:3,	76:8, 77:15, 77:20,
70:5, 74:7, 74:9,	182:1	unless [1] - 53:1	177:16, 180:17,	78:7, 78:17, 83:7,
117:12, 135:5,	unaddressed [1] -	unnecessarily [1] -	182:10	86:8, 88:10, 88:19,
135:7, 188:17		186:5	urging [1] - 175:3	89:8, 92:25, 94:8,
truly [2] - 98:16,	37:19	unnecessary [2] -	useful [5] - 70:12,	94:21, 96:24, 97:13,
158:22	unanimous [1] - 3:18	30:11, 126:13	118:19, 160:3,	98:17, 101:16,
trust [2] - 138:22,	unaware [5] - 8:16,	unprecedented [1] -	169:4, 170:2	102:2, 102:5, 102:7,
139:6	9:24, 106:20, 107:4	147:2	user [4] - 165:23,	102:10, 102:23,
trust-like [1] - 139:6	uncertain [1] - 69:2	unprotected [1] -	165:24, 166:10	103:6, 103:8,
trusted [1] - 176:15	uncivilized [1] - 132:1	102:20	users [8] - 38:5,	103:12, 103:25,
	uncompromising [1] -		101:20, 105:10,	104:10, 105:17,
truth [4] - 3:12, 4:5,	4:4	unreasonable [1] -	114:6, 119:13,	107:11, 108:10,
182:8, 182:11	uncover [1] - 4:24	183:24	119:16, 120:4, 174:9	108:13, 108:19,
<b>Truth</b> [2] - 141:10,	under [11] - 4:20, 49:7,	unrelenting [1] -	<b>Users</b> [5] - 162:18,	109:3, 109:6,
141:16	52:7, 55:6, 65:10,	180:10	164:20, 165:5,	109:17, 110:9,
truthful [1] - 89:22	77:12, 115:1,	unreliable [2] - 41:9,		111:3, 112:6, 112:7,
<b>try</b> [8] - 8:23, 25:3,	139:20, 171:2,	41:11	165:16, 165:21	114:16, 115:21,
67:14, 72:11, 75:1,	171:3, 173:6	unsafer [1] - 101:6	usual [1] - 99:17	115:23, 116:20,
86:23, 91:20, 92:5	undercover [1] -	unspeakable [1] -	utmost [1] - 10:19	118:21, 121:16,
trying [3] - 27:9,	103:16	31:21	utter [1] - 90:20	124:12, 125:12,
79:21, 83:6	underlying [2] - 21:14,	unsuccessful [1] -	utterance [2] - 92:4,	
turn [22] - 9:2, 25:11,	144:9	40:15	93:17	126:25, 128:5,
26:18, 26:21, 49:19,		untrained [1] - 65:9	uttered [1] - 91:25	129:13, 129:23,
51:15, 52:20, 55:9,	underresourced [1] -	unusual [3] - 1:24,	uttering [3] - 90:13,	130:2, 133:4, 135:2,
56:17, 57:16, 57:22,	112:11	35:1, 35:4	90:18, 92:21	136:24, 136:25,
59:6, 59:16, 61:8,	understatement [1] -	<b>up</b> [74] - 13:23, 16:10,	utterly [1] - 5:3	142:14, 145:16,
62:18, 71:18, 75:7,	180:1	17:9, 18:23, 19:22,		156:15, 162:18,
86:17, 89:12, 132:4,	understood [4] -	26:18, 26:21, 28:15,	V	164:20, 165:4,
145:13, 148:4	121:18, 166:18,	30:14, 31:13, 34:3,		165:15, 165:20,
turned [1] - 167:2	170:21, 170:24	34:7, 41:7, 41:15,	vacation [1] - 26:15	165:25, 166:14,
turns [2] - 62:8,	undertaking [1] -	42:5, 43:11, 46:1,	<b>valid</b> [3] - 68:14,	172:25, 186:12
••	187:13		160:1, 164:6	Vancouver's [28] -
145:24	undesirable [1] - 39:2	46:6, 47:23, 48:12,	validity [1] - 86:13	9:11, 11:4, 52:20,
turnstiles [1] - 168:13	undiminished [1] -	48:22, 48:25, 49:13,	valuable [3] - 34:12,	53:8, 54:14, 54:18,
<b>TV</b> [1] - 180:14	88:11	54:2, 58:2, 68:19,	50:7, 72:16	54:25, 55:12, 55:16,
<b>two</b> [24] - 12:20,	undo [1] - 8:11	71:1, 74:1, 78:3,	value [5] - 34:13,	56:3, 56:4, 56:6,
13:12, 13:22, 24:16,	unfair [2] - 16:21,	79:7, 89:9, 90:5,	80:18, 134:1, 160:2,	56:13, 58:16, 59:10,
24:20, 25:14, 37:8,	187:17	92:1, 94:4, 94:9,	160:24	60:4, 60:16, 60:21,
38:21, 41:12, 41:24,	unfairly [1] - 167:24	94:15, 95:11,	values [7] - 101:25,	60:22, 62:8, 76:9,
47:1, 54:21, 60:13,	unfit [1] - 23:25	104:22, 121:6,	102:17, 102:22,	76:16, 84:10, 84:12,
68:6, 68:7, 83:14,		125:24, 127:17,	106:9, 122:5,	85:12, 86:8, 89:10,
107:23, 108:9,	unfolded [1] - 8:4	129:12, 129:18,	132:11, 176:11	92:7
151:9, 153:4, 166:3,	unfortunate [3] -	130:8, 130:11,	Vancouver [127] - 1:2,	<b>VANDU</b> [5] - 164:15,
171:17, 182:14,	13:11, 13:12	130:15, 135:20,	9:20, 10:1, 11:12,	165:18, 166:4,
187:4	unfortunately [6] -	137:3, 138:10,	11:16, 12:6, 26:9,	166:5, 174:22
two-part [1] - 12:20	13:10, 24:17, 25:4,	142:21, 142:22,	27:10, 28:23, 29:4,	
two-week [1] - 25:14				
	47:4, 67:12, 76:7	143:12, 144:17,		variety [2] - 76:15,
1WO-WEEK [1] - 23.14	47:4, 67:12, 76:7		29:5, 29:11, 29:14,	123:24

various [6] - 34:24, 38:4, 128:16,	voice [1] - 117:3 volume [1] - 173:4	warning [3] - 30:12, 118:15, 158:16	87:20 wheels [1] - 112:24	186:25 <b>Wolfe</b> [4] - 2:20,
				152:23, 155:24,
132:21, 134:8, 165:9	volumes [1] - 31:22	warrant [26] - 10:10,	whereabouts [2] -	
vast [1] - 28:24	voluntarily [1] - 99:4	37:9, 37:13, 64:20,	28:1, 138:5	156:3
vehement [1] - 100:19	volunteers [2] - 99:7,	64:23, 67:18, 69:11,	white [3] - 144:5,	Wolfe's [1] - 152:23
Vehicle [1] - 103:15	166:5	76:15, 76:23, 77:12,	144:6	Wolthers [9] - 116:4,
vehicle [2] - 54:3, 54:4	vote [1] - 162:5	77:15, 77:16, 77:20,	whole [9] - 17:9, 20:6,	116:12, 116:15,
vehicles [1] - 47:11	voucher [1] - 177:10	79:12, 81:13, 81:14,	109:22, 136:9,	122:17, 157:1,
vending [1] - 168:2	<b>VPD</b> [26] - 30:5, 30:10,	82:12, 82:20, 83:4,	138:10, 140:20,	182:25, 183:6,
verification [1] - 65:2	30:15, 32:25, 39:17,	83:6, 84:5, 86:13,	144:12, 146:9,	183:9, 2:7
verify [4] - 65:3, 79:21,	44:21, 104:14,	88:9, 88:20, 93:25,	155:20	woman [10] - 11:4,
81:24, 81:25	105:25, 108:9,	94:20	whores [1] - 174:18	34:20, 39:15, 54:12,
Vertlieb [4] - 1:5, 2:1,	109:24, 109:25,	warrant-based [1] -	wide [5] - 35:8, 50:16,	131:10, 138:3,
70:11, 72:5	110:1, 110:5, 110:9,	88:20	50:25, 123:4, 125:8	138:4, 146:5, 152:1,
VERTLIEB [9] - 1:6,	110:12, 110:24,	warrants [8] - 65:10,	widely [1] - 109:15	175:20
2:2, 182:14, 182:24,	115:4, 115:17,	65:14, 73:3, 73:19,	widespread [5] -	woman's [2] - 28:3,
183:5, 183:10,	116:14, 117:6,	76:21, 124:22,	114:17, 119:4,	106:7
184:4, 184:24,	117:15, 118:4,	167:19, 170:9	120:21, 131:14,	women [182] - 1:12,
188:11	118:9, 121:23,	waste [2] - 72:17,	141:25	2:12, 3:2, 4:14, 5:3,
vestiges [1] - 116:18	157:5, 182:4	72:19	wife [1] - 144:13	8:23, 9:10, 9:13,
viable [1] - 85:18	VPD's [1] - 31:5	wasted [3] - 73:9,	wigs [1] - 49:9	28:2, 29:3, 29:10,
victim [5] - 14:3, 49:1,	<b>VPNLS</b> [1] - 151:24	73:10, 73:20	William [11] - 8:15,	29:22, 29:24, 30:9,
65:20, 73:12, 159:5	Vries [1] - 33:9	Watch [2] - 103:10,	9:9, 11:1, 33:18,	30:17, 30:24, 31:8,
victims [6] - 47:15,	vulnerability [1] -	180:4	36:12, 36:18,	32:1, 32:7, 33:13,
•• /	159:22	watched [1] - 160:10	108:18, 117:19,	36:14, 43:6, 44:7,
53:7, 58:4, 80:14,	vulnerable [10] -	watchful [1] - 171:3	118:22, 120:6	45:21, 47:4, 47:11,
84:19, 158:23	30:24, 145:10,	water [1] - 141:7	Williams [4] - 2:19,	47:15, 47:20, 49:15,
video [1] - 80:9	159:6, 160:20,	Wayne [2] - 33:2,	2:23, 5:9, 6:2	49:16, 49:24, 50:2,
<b>view</b> [15] - 36:13,	170:13, 170:17,	128:4	<b>Willie</b> [6] - 11:7, 11:9,	52:22, 55:4, 55:6,
36:15, 66:20, 69:19,		ways [5] - 9:16, 68:25,	11:14, 12:14, 24:22,	56:7, 57:9, 57:12,
70:24, 76:8, 81:13,	172:10, 176:8, 178:18, 179:10	72:18, 83:14, 123:24	39:24	58:1, 58:15, 58:17,
97:6, 97:8, 100:24,	170.10, 179.10	weapon [2] - 12:17,	willingly [1] - 54:7	60:17, 60:21, 62:19,
117:19, 128:5,	14/	15:11	••••	63:15, 66:13, 66:25,
152:4, 157:8, 157:12	W	week [8] - 25:14,	willingness [2] - 26:4, 58:14	68:12, 68:15, 68:18,
viewed [1] - 144:10	wade [1] - 40:9	39:19, 42:22,		68:24, 70:3, 70:19,
viewpoint [3] -	Wait [1] - 152:18		Wilson [1] - 2:18	71:6, 73:17, 74:12,
133:19, 133:22	wall [1] - 38:8	124:11, 187:23,	Wilson's [1] - 149:8	74:19, 75:10, 82:7,
<b>views</b> [2] - 44:17,	wallet [1] - 27:2	188:7, 188:8, 188:9	winding [1] - 116:4	84:18, 89:17, 98:11,
132:13	wanton [1] - 46:15	weekend [3] - 85:21,	<b>wish</b> [8] - 1:25, 17:25,	98:17, 98:20,
vigorous [2] - 89:24,	wants [6] - 20:19,	85:22, 86:4	18:12, 114:1,	00.11, 00.20,
180:15				101.20 109.12
	43:13, 90:4, 90:5,	weekends [1] - 185:11	126:19, 127:19,	101:20, 109:12, 111:23, 113:11
vigorously [1] - 87:25		weeks [5] - 25:15,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18	111:23, 113:11,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1	90:21, 164:13	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8,
vigorously [1] - 87:25	90:21, 164:13 <b>war</b> [1] - 181:16	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] -	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violation [1] - 169:10	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] -	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violation [1] - 169:10 violations [3] -	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violation [1] - 169:10 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violation [1] - 169:10 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violation [1] - 169:10 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violation [1] - 169:10 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14,	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [1] - 169:10 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14, 177:5, 177:9	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24, 132:25, 133:9,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [1] - 169:10 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14, 177:5, 177:9 Wells [2] - 10:9, 37:8	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24, 132:25, 133:9, 133:11, 134:4,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14, 177:5, 177:9 Wells [2] - 10:9, 37:8 West [1] - 123:13	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24, 132:25, 133:9, 133:11, 134:4, 135:14, 135:16,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14, 177:5, 177:9 Wells [2] - 10:9, 37:8 West [1] - 123:13 west [2] - 29:4, 30:20	126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 183:18 wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24, 132:25, 133:9, 133:11, 134:4, 135:14, 135:16, 135:19, 136:17,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7 violent [3] - 47:16,	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16 Ward [1] - 1:10	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14, 177:5, 177:9 Wells [2] - 10:9, 37:8 West [1] - 123:13 west [2] - 29:4, 30:20 western [1] - 53:22	$126:19, 127:19, \\128:2, 183:18$ wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24 witnesses [20] - 4:17, 5:15, 5:17, 5:18,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24, 132:25, 133:9, 133:11, 134:4, 135:14, 135:16, 135:19, 136:17, 136:22, 138:12,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7 violent [3] - 47:16, 66:19, 109:13	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16 Ward [1] - 1:10 wards [2] - 138:15,	weeks [5] - 25:15, 27:14, 27:22, 152:19, 187:4 welcome [1] - 179:1 welfare [11] - 138:22, 150:17, 150:18, 154:8, 154:23, 155:5, 156:7, 166:21, 176:14, 177:5, 177:9 Wells [2] - 10:9, 37:8 West [1] - 123:13 west [2] - 29:4, 30:20 western [1] - 53:22 Westminster [1] -	$126:19, 127:19, \\128:2, 183:18$ wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24 witnesses [20] - 4:17, 5:15, 5:17, 5:18, 6:25, 7:21, 13:20,	111:23, 113:11, 113:23, 115:8, 116:2, 117:17, 118:15, 119:15, 121:12, 125:22, 128:8, 129:14, 130:1, 130:6, 130:14, 131:2, 131:7, 131:13, 132:5, 132:16, 132:23, 132:24, 132:25, 133:9, 133:11, 134:4, 135:14, 135:16, 135:19, 136:17, 136:22, 138:12, 140:10, 143:20,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7 violent [3] - 47:16, 66:19, 109:13 virtually [1] - 42:9	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16 Ward [1] - 1:10 wards [2] - 138:15, 138:21	$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{weeks} [5] - 25:15, \\ 27:14, 27:22, \\ 152:19, 187:4 \\ \textbf{welcome} [1] - 179:1 \\ \textbf{welfare} [11] - 138:22, \\ 150:17, 150:18, \\ 154:8, 154:23, \\ 155:5, 156:7, \\ 166:21, 176:14, \\ 177:5, 177:9 \\ \textbf{Wells} [2] - 10:9, 37:8 \\ \textbf{West} [1] - 123:13 \\ \textbf{west} [2] - 29:4, 30:20 \\ \textbf{western} [1] - 53:22 \\ \textbf{Westminster} [1] - \\ 83:12 \\ \textbf{Wet'suwe'ten} [1] - \\ \end{array}$	$126:19, 127:19, \\128:2, 183:18$ wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24 witnesses [20] - 4:17, 5:15, 5:17, 5:18, 6:25, 7:21, 13:20, 23:11, 23:17, 36:3, [3]	111:23, 113:11,         113:23, 115:8,         116:2, 117:17,         118:15, 119:15,         121:12, 125:22,         128:8, 129:14,         130:1, 130:6,         130:14, 131:2,         131:7, 131:13,         132:5, 132:16,         132:25, 132:24,         135:14, 135:16,         135:19, 136:17,         136:22, 138:12,         140:10, 143:20,         144:4, 144:5, 144:7,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7 violent [3] - 47:16, 66:19, 109:13 virtually [1] - 42:9 visit [2] - 65:18, 180:8	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16 Ward [1] - 1:10 wards [2] - 138:15, 138:21 warn [2] - 30:6, 118:13	$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{weeks} [5] - 25:15, \\ 27:14, 27:22, \\ 152:19, 187:4 \\ \textbf{welcome} [1] - 179:1 \\ \textbf{welfare} [11] - 138:22, \\ 150:17, 150:18, \\ 154:8, 154:23, \\ 155:5, 156:7, \\ 166:21, 176:14, \\ 177:5, 177:9 \\ \textbf{Wells} [2] - 10:9, 37:8 \\ \textbf{West} [1] - 123:13 \\ \textbf{west} [2] - 29:4, 30:20 \\ \textbf{western} [1] - 53:22 \\ \textbf{Westminster} [1] - \\ 83:12 \\ \textbf{Wet'suwe'ten} [1] - \\ 130:25 \\ \end{array}$	$126:19, 127:19, \\128:2, 183:18$ wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24 witnesses [20] - 4:17, 5:15, 5:17, 5:18, 6:25, 7:21, 13:20, 23:11, 23:17, 36:3, 39:18, 40:2, 40:6, \\	111:23, 113:11,         113:23, 115:8,         116:2, 117:17,         118:15, 119:15,         121:12, 125:22,         128:8, 129:14,         130:1, 130:6,         130:14, 131:2,         131:7, 131:13,         132:5, 132:16,         132:25, 132:24,         135:14, 135:16,         135:19, 136:17,         136:22, 138:12,         140:10, 143:20,         144:4, 144:5, 144:7,         144:9, 144:22,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7 violent [3] - 47:16, 66:19, 109:13 virtually [1] - 42:9 visit [2] - 65:18, 180:8 visits [1] - 179:18	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16 Ward [1] - 1:10 wards [2] - 138:15, 138:21	$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{weeks} [5] - 25:15, \\ 27:14, 27:22, \\ 152:19, 187:4 \\ \textbf{welcome} [1] - 179:1 \\ \textbf{welfare} [11] - 138:22, \\ 150:17, 150:18, \\ 154:8, 154:23, \\ 155:5, 156:7, \\ 166:21, 176:14, \\ 177:5, 177:9 \\ \textbf{Wells} [2] - 10:9, 37:8 \\ \textbf{West} [1] - 123:13 \\ \textbf{west} [2] - 29:4, 30:20 \\ \textbf{western} [1] - 53:22 \\ \textbf{Westminster} [1] - \\ 83:12 \\ \textbf{Wet'suwe'ten} [1] - \\ 130:25 \\ \textbf{whatsoever} [1] - 7:5 \\ \end{array}$	$126:19, 127:19, \\128:2, 183:18$ wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24 witnesses [20] - 4:17, 5:15, 5:17, 5:18, 6:25, 7:21, 13:20, 23:11, 23:17, 36:3, 39:18, 40:2, 40:6, 40:10, 42:8, 42:9, $32:10, 22:10, $	111:23, 113:11,         113:23, 115:8,         116:2, 117:17,         118:15, 119:15,         121:12, 125:22,         128:8, 129:14,         130:1, 130:6,         130:14, 131:2,         131:7, 131:13,         132:5, 132:16,         132:25, 132:24,         135:14, 135:16,         135:19, 136:17,         136:22, 138:12,         140:10, 143:20,         144:4, 144:5, 144:7,
vigorously [1] - 87:25 village [1] - 53:1 violating [1] - 167:21 violations [3] - 132:22, 132:23, 135:10 violence [14] - 40:16, 108:23, 110:9, 110:20, 110:24, 123:11, 123:16, 123:19, 123:20, 124:21, 124:25, 135:13, 145:5, 145:7 violent [3] - 47:16, 66:19, 109:13 virtually [1] - 42:9 visit [2] - 65:18, 180:8	90:21, 164:13 war [1] - 181:16 ward [16] - 1:8, 2:2, 2:3, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 22:23, 34:8, 35:18, 44:17, 45:9, 45:16, 50:14, 150:6, 151:2, 1:5 WARD [17] - 1:10, 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 18:17, 20:2, 22:24, 34:6, 34:9, 34:18, 35:7, 35:10, 36:9, 43:12, 43:23, 44:2, 44:16 Ward [1] - 1:10 wards [2] - 138:15, 138:21 warn [2] - 30:6, 118:13	$\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{weeks} [5] - 25:15, \\ 27:14, 27:22, \\ 152:19, 187:4 \\ \textbf{welcome} [1] - 179:1 \\ \textbf{welfare} [11] - 138:22, \\ 150:17, 150:18, \\ 154:8, 154:23, \\ 155:5, 156:7, \\ 166:21, 176:14, \\ 177:5, 177:9 \\ \textbf{Wells} [2] - 10:9, 37:8 \\ \textbf{West} [1] - 123:13 \\ \textbf{west} [2] - 29:4, 30:20 \\ \textbf{western} [1] - 53:22 \\ \textbf{Westminster} [1] - \\ 83:12 \\ \textbf{Wet'suwe'ten} [1] - \\ 130:25 \\ \end{array}$	$126:19, 127:19, \\128:2, 183:18$ wished [1] - 116:6 wishes [1] - 105:3 withdrawal [2] - 169:20, 169:22 withheld [1] - 118:24 withhold [1] - 6:5 witness [16] - 15:5, 23:14, 23:20, 24:9, 24:19, 25:14, 27:14, 37:14, 41:11, 43:9, 65:15, 73:15, 86:17, 88:2, 89:22, 185:24 witnesses [20] - 4:17, 5:15, 5:17, 5:18, 6:25, 7:21, 13:20, 23:11, 23:17, 36:3, 39:18, 40:2, 40:6, \\	111:23, 113:11,         113:23, 115:8,         116:2, 117:17,         118:15, 119:15,         121:12, 125:22,         128:8, 129:14,         130:1, 130:6,         130:14, 131:2,         131:7, 131:13,         132:5, 132:16,         132:25, 132:24,         135:14, 135:16,         135:19, 136:17,         136:22, 138:12,         140:10, 143:20,         144:4, 144:5, 144:7,         144:9, 144:22,

145:4, 145:19,	108:24, 110:12,	183:12, 183:25,
145:23, 146:3,	110:13, 124:7, 124:9	184:8, 185:7,
147:20, 147:21,	worker's [1] - 109:24	187:13, 187:20
147:23, 147:25,	workers [68] - 26:4,	wrongs [1] - 160:22
148:16, 148:21,	42:13, 47:5, 54:2,	wrote [3] - 57:18,
149:4, 149:11,	58:10, 68:25, 69:6,	75:25, 126:9
150:22, 151:4,	69:15, 80:4, 100:12,	10.20, 120.0
151:12, 153:2,	100:23, 101:4,	V
153:4, 153:7,	101:19, 102:8,	Y
153:15, 154:9,	102:13, 102:16,	year [9] - 10:5, 29:8,
154:13, 154:22,		37:23, 46:25,
155:1, 155:6,	102:19, 103:7, 103:10, 103:13,	140:14, 155:14,
		155:22, 174:2,
155:13, 155:19,	103:16, 103:20,	179:16
155:23, 156:10,	103:21, 104:8,	Years [1] - 43:2
157:12, 158:23,	105:11, 106:1,	years [28] - 3:11, 9:8,
159:15, 159:18,	107:4, 107:8,	11:11, 24:10, 27:22,
160:19, 161:6,	107:14, 107:25,	33:15, 33:19, 35:16,
163:4, 164:1, 164:5,	108:11, 108:15,	37:8, 38:10, 47:1,
166:9, 166:12,	109:14, 110:4,	82:25, 87:20, 91:11,
167:12, 167:19,	110:7, 110:9,	98:7, 104:7, 137:21,
168:8, 168:16,	110:19, 110:23,	143:21, 145:6,
168:17, 168:19,	111:2, 111:10,	152:24, 157:19,
169:11, 169:18,	112:1, 112:4, 112:9,	166:15, 168:12,
170:4, 170:7,	112:16, 112:18,	171:17, 175:22,
170:13, 170:17,	113:1, 113:5, 114:6,	177:2
171:10, 171:14,	116:23, 118:13,	Yelds [5] - 80:20,
171:23, 172:10,	118:23, 118:24,	,
173:2, 174:4,	119:1, 119:11,	81:4, 81:8, 93:19,
174:17, 174:20,	119:12, 120:4,	93:21
175:25, 176:2,	120:10, 120:23,	yesterday [2] -
176:8, 176:13,	121:1, 121:11,	137:14, 187:16
177:3, 178:17,	122:18, 123:11,	<b>young</b> [1] - 149:14
178:18, 179:10,	123:16, 123:23,	yourself [3] - 8:12,
180:1, 180:5,	123:24, 124:5,	23:12, 70:9
180:23, 181:25	124:10, 166:17	<b>youth</b> [2] - 141:1
Women [2] - 116:5,	workers' [5] - 103:22,	
152:3	108:20, 109:4,	Z
women's [13] - 30:10,	109:19, 120:18	Zaporozan [1] - 41:19
32:11, 33:13, 43:19,	workplace [1] - 125:6	<b>zones</b> [2] - 102:24,
48:18, 49:11, 91:19,	works [1] - 167:24	103:6
111:19, 115:15,	world [6] - 8:17, 44:3,	105.0
125:24, 146:7,	46:18, 53:22, 141:3,	
178:23, 182:9	181:18	
Women's [5] - 143:15,	worsened [1] - 153:2	
144:20, 145:21,	worst [2] - 32:12,	
146:21	179:21	
wondering [1] - 59:4		
wonders [1] - 180:22	worth [1] - 154:12	
wonders [1] - 160.22 word [11] - 26:19,	worthwhile [1] - 86:22	
•••	woven [1] - 131:14	
51:21, 73:10, 76:6,	wrestling [1] - 168:4	
95:1, 95:7, 96:19,	wretched [2] - 166:22	
97:23, 128:9,	write [2] - 19:6, 175:3	
139:16, 180:18	writing [5] - 27:10,	
words [6] - 57:22,	34:11, 34:14, 46:4,	
66:23, 77:10, 91:25,	185:4	
114:12, 126:8	written [17] - 2:6,	
wore [1] - 49:10	34:12, 34:15, 36:6,	
worker [11] - 11:4,	41:10, 46:11, 95:19,	
38:10, 48:12, 58:24,	106:14, 108:7,	
66:11, 97:15,	115:6, 123:2,	
		xxxii