Proceedings

1		Vancouver, B.C.
2		January 18, 2012
3		(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 9:15 A.M.)
4	THE	REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
5	MR.	VERTLIEB: One small point that, Mr. Commissioner, I
6		forgot to mention. Mr. DelBigio, when he makes
7		his comments to you, it's on behalf of Mr.
8		McGuinness, just so you know.
9	MR.	DELBIGIO: It's Greg DelBigio. Does Mr. Commissioner
10		require to hear anything further from me at this
11		stage? My client is Mr. McGuinness.
12	THE	COMMISSIONER: All right.
13	MR.	DELBIGIO: Thank you.
14	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	MR.	PECK: One of my associates, Tony Paisana, is with me
16		today.
17	THE	COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
18	MR.	PECK: I will absent myself at some
19	THE	COMMISSIONER: Yes.
20	MR.	PECK: part of this.
21	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Peck. Thank you. Ms.
22		Hoffman.
23	MS.	HOFFMAN: Mr. Commissioner, I gave you an estimate of two
24		hours yesterday, and I will be I will endeavour
25		to stick to that. However, I have a number of

1 documents that we may have to get into today which 2 may lengthen things a bit. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOFFMAN: 3 4 Good morning, DC Evans. 0 5 Α Good morning. Yesterday you had asked for the names of who --6 0 7 the CrOps officer and the commanding officer "E" Division at the time that Gary Bass was the OIC of 8 9 Serious Crime "E" Division or Major Crime. I'm 10 informed that Bob Swann, that's with two Ns on the 11 end, was the CrOps officer and Murray Johnston was the commanding officer. Does that assist at all 12 13 in --That assists with that I don't have any memory of 14 Α 15 those two names coming across in any of the 16 documents that I saw. Thank you. 17 So when we left off yesterday we were discussing Q 18 the cooperation that had been going on between VPD investigators and Constable Paul McCarl and other 19 members of the RCMP with respect to investigating 20 the Valley homicides or the Agassiz homicides. 21 22 Yes. Α 23 Q Do you recall that? 24 I do recall that, yes. А 25 0 And we looked at some documents which evidenced

1		that and also which showed that really the primary
2		theory that the VPD was operating under was that
3		the Agassiz homicides had a direct link to the
4		missing women from the Downtown Eastside?
5	A	Had the potential, I would say, as opposed to
6		had the potential that they could be connected.
7	Q	Right. But they were quite strong in that theory?
8		That was really their best lead?
9	A	I mean, I know they were liaising with Constable
10		McCarl and I know they were liaising with Staff
11		Sergeant Davidson with regards to that and because
12		they had no physical evidence of the missing
13		women. So they were relying on that. So, yes, I
14		would agree with that.
15	Q	So I went through all of that to provide a little
16		bit more context to the note that you have in your
17		report, which I'll take you to again. It's at
18		page 8-127. And this is the note it's the
19		second last paragraph that Gary Bass had in his
20		notes with respect to a meeting that occurred on
21		March 1st, 2000, and he indicated that he met with
22		Davidson, Filer, Paulson, "proposal on task force
23		- serial cases. Agree to star," and I think
24		that's an error, "start with an effort on the
25		Valley prostitute murders first - DNA to be

compared. Will add to group when manpower becomes available." So I'd suggest to you that the coordination that was going on and the focus on the Valley really provides some context to what was decided at this meeting, at least what's evidenced in the notes?

7 А I think it would assist me if I had the proposal that Staff Sergeant Davidson presented to Chief 8 9 Superintendent Bass at that time because I believe 10 from my memory the proposal also talked about 11 including a coordinated effort between Vancouver and the RCMP with regards to the missing women 12 13 investigations, whereas -- sorry, I just -- and with this note, I would look at this note and 14 15 think that Chief Superintendent Bass was going to 16 focus on the efforts on the Valley prostitute 17 homicides as he -- murders first, which is an RCMP 18 case.

19QRight. And I will accept -- we can definitely20pull out that proposal. I don't have it in my21binders, but we can pull it out. It is in the22materials previously before the commission, but I23accept that that -- that you have correctly set24out what was in that proposal, that it was for a25coordinated approach with respect to the missing

1 women.

2 A Thank you.

- But carrying on in your timeline, there was --3 0 4 there's reference in the documents, and I didn't 5 find this in your timeline, so I was curious as to б whether you were aware of it, that on June 14th 7 Sergeant Paulson met with Corporal McCarl on the Agassiz homicides, and he told Corporal McCarl at 8 9 that point that it was a good point to go back to 10 the Valley homicides and go and begin to collect 11 cast-off DNA to compare against the sample that they had. Do you recall that? 12
- 13 A June 14th what year?
- 14 Q Sorry, of 2000.
- 15 A Do you have that document that I would be able --
- 16 Q I can put it to you, yes.
- 17 A Thank you.
- 18 Q This is in Exhibit M-1, and it's tab 44.
- 19 A Sorry, tab 44?
- 20Q44. There's an entry at 14:00 hours at the top of21the page, "Sergeant Bob PAULSON..." Do you see22that?
- 23 A Yes, I see that.

Q

24

25

...met with Constable MCCARL and discussed

1		the progress of this file. Due to other more
2		pressing and/or urgent matters, members from
3		this Unit have been unable to proactively
4		investigate the persons of interest that have
5		been identified. It was determined that this
б		would be a good time for the investigators to
7		engage in the attempts to collect cast off
8		DNA from the list of persons that have
9		previously been identified as persons of
10		interest, possible suspects for this file.
11		Do you see that?
12	А	I do.
13	Q	Were you aware of that when you prepared your
14		report?
15	А	No, because I believe I would have included that
16		in my timeline.
17	Q	So certainly it does seem that some effort
18		followed this meeting to follow up with a possible
19		connection of suspects to the Valley homicides?
20	А	But I think from to be fair to my report, I was
21		suggesting that there was I mean, Keith
22		Davidson was suggesting a multi-jurisdictional
23		approach to connect the missing women
24		investigations to the Valley homicides, and when I
25		said that there was no effort made by Chief

б

Superintendent Bass to move forward with that, he 1 2 was -- and I acknowledge that he was saying, "Yes, we can work on the Valley homicides." What I was 3 4 getting at, that was an RCMP investigation. What I was hoping, that when Keith Davidson made a 5 6 proposal to him saying, "We have three serial 7 killers in the province and we have missing women in Vancouver," I would suspect that at that point 8 9 there would have been a move by Chief 10 Superintendent Bass to create a multi-11 jurisdictional approach to this issue. But to be fair to Gary Bass, he hadn't received 12 0 any formal request from the VPD to engage in such 13 a task force at that point? 14 15 Α I agree. 16 Okay. I'd like to move to a new area now. 0 I'd 17 like to move to the point where Inspector Adam is 18 first assigned to look into the missing women, and this is shortly after November 21st, 2000, when 19 Geramy Field meets with Inspector Henderson and 20 Inspector Henderson indicates that a task force 21 22 should be looked at or the proposal should be looked at, and he immediately gets Don Adam 23 involved, who begins to do work on the file in 24

25

7

December of 2000. Do you recall that?

1	A	Yes, I recall that.
2	Q	And when Inspector Adam came to the missing
3		women's investigation, you'll recall when you
4		interviewed him that he told you that he had
5		absolutely no background in the missing women
6		investigation?
7	A	I agree. I do recall that.
8	Q	And he indicated he had no prior partnerships with
9		the Vancouver Police Department, in particular on
10		missing women issues?
11	A	I believe I recall that, yes.
12	Q	So really the only practical option that he had at
13		that time was to meet with Geramy Field and to get
14		the background from her?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And I believe in your review you'll agree with me
17		that if we turn back to 1999 and through 2000 the
18		VPD had really was under the investigative
19		belief at that time that the serial killer was not
20		active, that there was a drop-off in the number of
21		missings and it appeared throughout, I would
22		suggest from May of 1999 that really the
23		disappearances had dropped off?
24	A	I would agree.
25	Q	They had stopped, in fact?

1	А	They had stopped being reported.
2	Q	Stopped being reported. And you recall that
3		between May of 1999 to May of 2000, in fact, 10
4		women were reported missing and that they were all
5		found within a period of time?
б	A	I believe I saw a document with regards to that,
7		yes, written by Sergeant Field.
8	Q	And you may recall that when Lori Shenher wrote
9		her wrap-up memo on November 21st, 2000, before
10		she left Project Amelia it was apparent to her
11		that there was some significance to that pattern,
12		that there was some reason why the majority of the
13		women went missing between 1995 and 1999?
14	А	I'm sorry, could you repeat that question?
15	Q	Sorry, that was rather long. I'm just referring
16		you to Lori Shenher's memo.
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And in that memo she referred to the belief that
19		the pattern that was the pattern of women
20		stopped being reported missing was significant and
21		that there was some reason why the majority of the
22		women went missing between 1995 and 1999?
23	А	I don't recall that. I'd like to see that memo
24		again just to refresh my memory.
25	Q	That is

1 I don't recall that. Α 2 That will be at Exhibit M-2, tab 114A. 0 THE COMMISSIONER: What tab is that? 3 4 MS. HOFFMAN: 114A. 5 And if you turn to page 2 of that memo, you'll see 0 б the sentence, "This is simply..." in the middle of 7 that paragraph, the first paragraph on that page. This is simply because these five went 8 9 missing prior to 1995 and it appears our 10 numbers increase drastically in the time 11 period of 1995 - 1999. We believe there is some reason the bulk of our victims have gone 12 13 missing between 1999 and --14 sorry, 15 -- 1995 and 1999. 16 And then there's reference to between May of 1999 17 and May of 2000 "ten women living similar 18 lifestyles were reported missing to us and all 19 were located within an average of three weeks". 20 Okay. Thank you. I agree. Α And do you recall in other documents seeing the 21 Q 22 speculation that the killer may have moved on, 23 stopped due to media attention or was perhaps incarcerated? 24 I don't recall -- I mean, I'm not suggesting 25 Α

1you're trying to mislead me. I just don't recall2those are the reasons why. I recall numerous3memos, or several memos, advising that they had4stopped being reported missing in 1990 -- they5thought the last reported person missing was in6January of 1999. I did see evidence of those7documents.

- 8 Q And you'll recall that when you interviewed 9 Inspector Adam he told you that Geramy Field told 10 him that -- when he first met with him that the 11 missings had stopped being reported, that women 12 were no longer going missing?
- 13AI would need to have a date when you're asking14that question with regard to because I also know15that when Geramy met with Sergeant Adam at that16time when he first commenced she also provided him17dates -- or names of missing women who had been18reported missing.
- 19QWe're going to get into that. Perhaps it would20help, I'll refer you to some notes of a meeting on21January 31st, which I think is the meeting you're22referring to, and that will be in Exhibit M, tab2322. My apologies, I need tab 119. I referred to24the wrong tab. Which is in Exhibit M-2. Okay.25There's a bit of an issue, which we will address,

because the first page of this continuation report 1 2 is not -- it's not in the binder, which we'll fix, but you'll have to take my word for this, that 3 4 this is a meeting on January 31st. We can perhaps confirm that with your timeline. Okay. So you'll 5 б see that in the document in the second paragraph, 7 second -- there is a sentence: Additionally there had been a belief that the 8 9 street trade workers had stopped disappearing 10 in approximate 1999 which...led to an 11 investigative theory that the perpetrator had moved away or been incarcerated. 12 Yes, I see that. That's written by Don Adam --13 Α 14 0 Yes. 15 -- at 16:24, yes. Α 16 And if you can confirm with your timeline that's a 0 17 meeting with Geramy Field? 18 Α Yes, I know -- I see that they had a meeting on 19 the 31st of January. 20 So it's reasonable to assume that he got that Q information from Sergeant Field? 21 22 But then I also see on my timeline Sergeant Field А 23 and Port, which I believe would be Sylvia Port, an RCMP person, identified five subsequent missing 24 25 sex trade workers, and that's in that same

1 document as well.

2	Q	Yes, and we're going to get to that a bit later
3		on, but I just want to confirm that indeed
4		Sergeant Adam was told about this belief that the
5		missings had stopped in around 1999?
б	А	But I I mean, I accept what you're saying, but
7		I can't accept it fully because he says that in
8		one line, but then he follows in the next
9		paragraph that he he sets out that Brenda Wolfe
10		disappeared February of 1999 out of Vancouver. So
11		that's contrary to what he's said in the paragraph
12		above.
13	Q	Well, and this gets into the issue of the fact

14 that women, of course, and people generally, 15 you'll agree, when they're reported missing you can't necessarily take at face value that there 16 17 was foul play involved in that disappearance. I mean, obviously an investigator has to assume that 18 that could be a possibility and investigate it 19 accordingly, but, nonetheless, VPD had developed 20 quite a long list of investigative checks to 21 22 ensure that women that were missing, reported missing were, in fact, actually missing because, 23 as we noted, a number of women had been found 24 alive in other -- in previous investigations? 25

But I disagree with that premise as you laid it 1 Α 2 out only because he has written up this continuation report and making comment that 3 4 there's been --5 Additionally there had been a belief that the 6 street trade workers had stopped disappearing 7 in...1999 which had led to an investigative theory that the perpetrator had moved away or 8 9 been incarcerated. 10 But then he follows up and he mentions Cindy 11 Feliks, which was 1995 when they miss -- missed her, so that's previous to 1999. But then he 12 mentions Brenda Wolfe, who disappeared in 13 14 February. Then he also mentions Wendy Crawford 15 disappeared December of 1999. So there's -- so 16 I'm saying that if he's made that note then he's 17 aware that the previous sentence he's written is 18 not necessarily accurate. 19 Okay. I am going to back up a bit because I think 0 it would be helpful if we deal with this a little 20 bit more chronologically. In fact, there was a 21 22 meeting that Don Adam had with Geramy Field on December 12th. Do you recall seeing the notes of 23 24 that meeting? 25 Α I do.

And Don asked at that meeting -- he had a number 1 0 2 of concerns at that meeting, and one of them was 3 do we have an accurate representation of potential 4 victims, and he asked Geramy to check to ensure that they did. Do you recall that? 5 I do recall that. 6 Α 7 So obviously Don Adam was very concerned with 0 ensuring that they had captured all of the missing 8 9 women? I think he was looking for an accurate picture of 10 Α 11 what the issue was because he was new to the -new to the investigation, so he was trying to 12 ensure from his meeting with Sergeant Field did he 13 have an accurate picture, and he was trying to get 14 15 that from her. But I'm also aware that in 16 December or November he was given documents to review, but he never -- like, I never had an 17 18 opportunity to review those because they were never maintained. Which started out his 19 20 investigation from the beginning. So he gets assigned by Acting Inspector Henderson, and he's 21 22 told he's a special projects coordinator, and he's told -- he's been asked to look at this as a -- as 23 a project, and from what I understand from the 24 25 notes, he was given a package of material to

1		review, but I was never able to review that
2		package myself to see what starting point. That
3		would have been beneficial, but I never saw that,
4		and Don Adam couldn't recall in my interview with
5		him what information was contained in that.
б	Q	And we're going to get into this a bit later on
7		today, but he may have had a package of material,
8		but he certainly did not have the files from the
9		VPD at that point to review? It took quite some
10		time, I am going to suggest it actually took until
11		April of 2001 for Jim McKnight to bring the
12		missing women files over to Project Evenhanded
13		when he relocated to the office in Surrey?
14	А	So, I'm sorry, what was your question? In
15		relation to Don Adam you were asking that?
16	Q	Well, I'm saying that he did not have the benefit
17		of the actual missing women files when he first
18		started off in his assessment?
19	A	But from what I understand, he never looked at the
20		missing women files. That came up during my
21		interview as well. I agree with you he didn't
22		have them from the beginning, but I also have to
23		say that I don't think he looked at them.
24	Q	Well, he had to rely, I would suggest, on the VPD,
25		who had made it its business to investigate these

1files, and he had to get information from them as2to what the accurate factual picture was with3respect to the missing women?

- A I agree, but all I was coming back to from the original -- anyway, the fact is that he gets information obviously from VPD saying maybe this perpetrator has stopped or moved away, but in the same report that he's written he's actually outlining missing women.
- 10QYes, and we'll get to that. If I can speak about11missing persons more generally. I mean, I presume12you will agree that the vast majority of people13who are reported missing are found with no foul14play involved?
- 15 A I agree.
- 16 And we can go to this document, if you wish, but 0 17 if you can accept my numbers, there's a document 18 which I think is helpful in the disclosure. It's an e-mail from Sandy Cameron to Sergeant Field 19 dated April 24th, 2001, and it sets out the 20 21 missing persons stats for the VPD, and in that document it says that in 1999, for example, 254 22 23 adult women were reported missing to the VPD and 247 of those were located. Do you recall that 24 25 document or can you accept that I'm --

- I would accept that. I mean, I recall seeing 1 Α 2 documents, and I am aware of the fact that the 3 Vancouver Police Department dealt with well over 4 2000 missing persons occurrences or investigations 5 a year, and for the most part most returned home б safely. 7 So then you will agree then that while foul play 0 in a missing person investigation should never be 8 9 ruled out from the outset, that it can't be taken 10 at face value that a woman reported missing has 11 been murdered? I would agree on missing person occurrences, but I 12 Α 13 would not necessary dis -- I would disagree at 14 this stage of the investigation if the woman met certain criteria, in that she was living in the 15 Downtown Eastside and she fit the other 16 17 similarities of all the other missing women. 18 0 But there were a number of those types of women
- 19 reported to VPD who fit that profile who were 20 actually found alive? You recall seeing that in 21 the documents?
- 22 A I recall seeing that --
- Q Well, in fact, we just went to a document whichsaid that.

25 A Yes. I recall seeing -- I was just going to

finish. I recall seeing that VPD did locate women 1 2 fitting that description, and they did locate 3 them, yes. 4 And you will recall that Lori Shenher and her 0 group developed quite a long list of checks that 5 6 they would do to try to confirm that someone was 7 missing? And I can take you to the document. I would agree, yes. 8 Α 9 0 But a number of different things to check. Welfare, all sorts of different databases. And it 10 11 was quite time-consuming for them to go through all of that in order to confirm someone missing? 12 I don't -- I don't recall seeing any documents 13 Α that talked about the time it would take to do all 14 15 those checks, but I do recall seeing documents to 16 indicate that there were many checks done. 17 But you would agree that significant work would Q have to be undertaken to confirm that a woman was 18 19 missing, that a woman that fit the profile was 20 missing? I would agree that you would have to do multiple 21 Α 22 checks to confirm. Significant work, it's hard to 23 tell because I think each case is unique. We're going to return to this issue later on, but 24 0 25 I'd like to move on to a bit different area. Now,

1 in your report you are, I would suggest, quite --2 you're critical of the strategy that Evenhanded 3 implemented, and I would suggest to you and ask 4 you to agree that in critiquing the investigation 5 that Evenhanded undertook you really need to put 6 yourself in the shoes of those investigators that 7 were faced with the investigative problem that they were asked to solve; do you agree with that? 8 9 А I would agree that I was trying to put myself in 10 the shoes of those investigators, and I believe I 11 was critical of the plan, yes. And so in putting yourself into the shoes of those 12 0 13 investigators I would suggest that you would have 14 to be aware that they were faced with many 15 challenges, and I am going to suggest to you some 16 of those challenges and ask you --17 I would agree. А 18 -- to agree with those particular challenges. So, 0 first of all, they have an investigation that was 19 done by the VPD into 27 missing women, and they 20 21 had no bodies, and that was a significant 22 challenge? 23 А I would agree with that, yes. 24 The investigation had generated 1300 tips and 0 25 hundreds of potential suspects?

1 A I understand that, yes.

- 2 Q And that presents a significant challenge?
- 3 A Yes, it does.
- 4 Q And as we pointed out yesterday, in the documents 5 of course there were no shortages of persons of 6 interest with a history of violence, quite severe 7 violence against sex trade workers?
- 8 A I would agree.
- 9 Q And Sergeant Field and Detective Constable Shenher 10 were of the view that they really -- there was no 11 solid evidence or leads linking any of those 12 hundreds of potential suspects to any of the 13 missing women? And that's a line taken from 14 Shenher's November 2001 memo. You recall that 15 line?
- 16AI would like to see that memo again because I also17believe in that memo dated November 21st, 2000,18not 2001 --
- 19 Q Sorry, I keep saying that.
- 20A-- that she talked about saying -- I believe she21also suggested there was more work to be done on22Pickton.
- 23 Q She did, and you'll recall that Ms. Tobias pointed 24 out to you that although she said in that memo 25 that three men stood out, that the reason they

1		stood out was simply because of their propensity
2		for violence against sex trade workers, although
3		she does I will I acknowledge that she does
4		say that further work could be done with respect
5		to Robert Pickton?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Now, with respect to all of these hundreds of
8		suspects, they had Amelia had collected a
9		massive amount of information with respect to
10		those suspects?
11	А	I would agree.
12	Q	And as you noted yesterday, that information was
13		not particularly well organized?
14	A	I would agree.
15	Q	And we referred you to the note that Detective
16		Shenher had that, in fact, they had so many
17		suspects they couldn't interview them all. Do you
18		recall that?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And they had had a plan to put the information
21		into SIUSS and hopefully that that would generate
22		some links and potentially some POIs that might
23		rise to the top in terms of how many times they
24		showed up in the database. Do you recall that?
25	A	Yeah. I wasn't sure I don't recall saying that

1 they were hoping SIUSS would create links. I 2 don't recall that. We can go to that note. That's at tab 114A, which 3 0 4 is in Exhibit M-2. Oh, sorry, my apologies, 5 that's tab 18 in Exhibit M. б Sorry, tab 18? А 7 18, yes. 0 Thank you. 8 Α 9 0 And it's near the back. It's the second last page 10 in that binder. So you'll see: 11 Field advised me we don't need to interview 12 all persons of interest who come to us as 13 tips...only enter in SIUSS because there are 14 so many. If they come up repeatedly, we'll 15 reassess. 16 So I would suggest that they're saying that 17 they're going to rely on SIUSS bringing up --18 revealing that particular persons of interest come 19 up more than once and then they'll perhaps -- can work on those suspects over others? 20 21 Yes, I would agree. Α 22 But I would suggest that they never really got to 0 the stage before Don Adam took over that they had 23 actually fully assessed all of the persons of 24 25 interest and evaluated them and put them into a

rank order. Did you see any evidence of that? 1 2 No, I didn't. I saw many lists, as Ms. Tobias Α showed me yesterday. I saw those. But I would 3 4 say the November 21st memo, 2000, by Detective 5 Constable Shenher, I'm told that was her exit б memo, she was saying those top three. So I would 7 say those were the top three in her mind. And I do recall when I interviewed Detective -- I'd have 8 9 to look at the transcript whether it was Little or 10 McKnight. One of them. Because, I mean, I had 11 said to them, "Did you speak to Lori Shenher, did she give you -- you know, who was her best pick 12 13 who she thought you should look at," and they --14 and she did mention Pickton. 15 Okay. So definitely, though, in that memo she 0 16 points to three suspects? 17 She does, yes. Α 18 Now, I want to turn to some information management 0 challenges and just speak a little bit about that 19 particular challenge, and I want to start off by 20 taking you to a book by Dr. Steven Egger. Are you 21 22 familiar with him? 23 Α No, I don't believe so. 24 Okay. It's in Exhibit M, tab 1. Q 25 Α Sorry, Exhibit M --

1 Q Yes.

2 A -- or M-1? M.

Yes, M, tab 1. So he's written a book The Killers 3 0 4 Among Us, An Examination of Serial Murder And Its 5 Investigation. He's an academic from the United б States, and he's written a lot about serial killer 7 investigations, and I want to refer to page 184 and 185. And I'll also note that he wrote this 8 9 book in 1989, so it provides a bit of a window 10 into what the computer world was like and 11 particularly how it was used by police agencies around the time that this -- during the terms of 12 13 reference.

14 A The book --

15 Q Or '89.

16ANow that I see the title, it does look familiar to17me, this book, yes. So 184 is the page you're18directing me to?

19 Q Sorry, 1998. I'm having problems with my dating.

20 A Okay.

21 Q So the book was written in 1998, so during the 22 terms of reference.

A Okay.

24 Q And 184 it talks about managing large amounts of 25 investigative information, and it says:

1		In a serial murder investigation the amount
2		of information and data that are generated is
3		almost always unmanageable without the aid of
4		a computer.
5		You'll agree with that?
6	А	I would agree, yes.
7	Q	And:
8		The most novice student reviewing the
9		literature of law enforcement and automated
10		data processing will quickly realize that
11		many police agencies operate from an almost
12		illiterate grasp of the modern computer. In
13		fact, most police departments simply use
14		their computing machines as fast-retrieval
15		file cabinets, not realizing the great
16		potential of the computers of the 1990s.
17		Casting yourself back in your own career, would
18		you agree that that was that was true for the
19		1998-2000 era?
20	A	I think it's difficult for me to agree with this
21		only because I'm very fortunate and blessed in
22		Peel Regional Police that we had automated systems
23		when I worked in Homicide as a detective in 1999,
24		and we were using cases that linked, so we had
25		that capability.

1	Q	You had that?
2	A	But I recognize that not all police agencies did.
3	Q	Yes. If I can move on to the next paragraph:
4		To fully exploit the computer and the
5		processing of information, the team of
б		investigators must be able to cross-reference
7		and retrieve aggregate data very rapidly.
8		Indeed, a speedy electronic file drawer will
9		almost certainly fail to provide timely and
10		accurate information with the speed necessary
11		for such an investigation. Command-level
12		personnel who understand the necessity for
13		such capability may not be available to the
14		agencies involved. Outside consultants may
15		be required, and this has not always worked
16		very smoothly in these sensitive and
17		stressed-filled investigations.
18		Do you see that?
19	A	I would agree, yeah.
20	Q	And would you agree that given the problems that
21		the VPD was having with SIUSS, and the you will
22		be familiar with the problems that they had in
23		getting that system transferred over to
24		Evenhanded, that these comments are quite apropos?
25	A	I would agree, and I saw evidence of that when Don

1		Adam struggled with this in Evenhanded as well.
2	Q	So that was obviously a large challenge facing Don
3		Adam when he came into this investigation?
4	A	Oh, I agree. Very big challenge for him.
5	Q	In fact, I think he put it that the computers were
б		broken?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	In fact, and I can take you to this document as
9		well, that the VPD communicated to their own or
10		Sergeant Field communicated to Inspector Spencer
11		that she couldn't be confident that all of the
12		information about the victims and the suspects
13		were actually entered into SIUSS?
14	A	I believe I recall that, yes.
15	Q	So if you aren't sure that all your suspects are
16		captured within the massive information that you
17		have, that presents a problem?
18	A	I would agree.
19	Q	It raises serious doubts about whether your killer
20		is going to be included in that massive
21		information?
22	А	I would agree.
23	Q	Now, just for context, and we can go to your
24		timeline or to the daily log, but I'm going to
25		suggest to you that the SIUSS computer system

1 wasn't operational for Evenhanded until December 2 of 2001. Do you recall that? Yeah, I believe I saw something that said they 3 Α 4 were trying to go for training in late November 5 2001, so December 2001 I would agree with you, if 6 you're saying that date, yes. 7 So that's a long period of time to be operating 0 without a computer system that works? 8 9 А I agree. 10 Okay. I'd like to turn now to page 8-32 of your Q 11 report. Now, at the very top of the page you are here speaking, if you look to the previous page, 12 about Staff Sergeant Henderson, and in the first 13 paragraph you -- in the second sentence of that 14 15 paragraph you say: He took ownership of the Missing Women Review 16 17 in November 2000 when VPD provided the 18 investigative files. 19 And I am going to suggest to you that that is -that's inaccurate, that he didn't get the 20 21 investigative files at that stage, that it took 22 quite a bit of time before the files could be transferred from VPD to the RCMP. 23 No, I believe I would disagree. I believe he 24 Α 25 actually met with Sergeant Field, I believe on May

1		10th. I can check my timeline. I believe so
2		he met with Sergeant Field in May, and between May
3		and November they were sending him they were
4		communicating with him saying they were still
5		struggling to get the files organized and ready,
6		but I believe in November is when Sergeant Field
7		met with him and said, "Okay, we're ready to to
8		work together on this investigation."
9	Q	Okay. It may assist if we actually look at the
10		notes of Detective Jim McKnight from the VPD. He
11		was the primary investigator on Project
12		Evenhanded?
13	A	Yes, I recall.
14	Q	Okay. If we can go to tab 68, which is in Exhibit
15		M-1.
16	A	What tab, pardon me?
17	Q	Sorry, tab 68. Okay. So there's a bit of a
18		dating problem with these notes. You'll see that
19		they commence on February 26th, 2001.
20	А	I see that.
21	Q	And that's the date that Jim McKnight was assigned
22		to Project Amelia?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And then you carry on and then there's a date
25		that's a typewritten date that's crossed out

and then it says 01-03-13, which I believe is March 13th, 2001.

3 A Yes.

1

2

- Q So the next entry is the 14th, and it says,
  "Initiated organization of the missing files." It
  says, "MP files will be identified as MP Binder
  and numbered..."
- 8 A I see that.
- 9 0 So he commences organizing the files. On March 10 31st (sic), on the next page, he meets Lori 11 Shenher and discussed the file and the location of the file notes. He commenced work on reviewing 12 those files. And I'd suggest to you that in this 13 14 time frame he's still working at the VPD office? 15 I would agree. But I think if we're talking about А ownership, as I've stated in my report, when I 16 17 talk about that Acting Inspector Henderson took 18 ownership in November of 2000, I was referring to 19 the fact that he met with Sergeant Field and then 20 he said he -- and he assigned Don Adam at that time, so then I -- that's what I meant by the fact 21 22 that he took -- he's taking ownership, because he's now taking an RCMP officer and assigning him 23 24 to work on the missing women issue, and then on 25 November 22nd there was evidence of a document

1		that he sent to Assistant Commissioner Bass
2		informing him that he has assigned Don Adam to
3		this task. That's what I meant by he took
4		ownership.
5	Q	I wanted to correct your report because it seemed
6		that you were suggesting that he actually took
7		possession of the files and that Don Adam might
8		have had access to those files, but you will agree
9		that that wasn't the case?
10	А	I yeah, when I say he took ownership of
11		the investigative of what I know there was a
12		transfer of information. Remember I spoke earlier
13		this morning that Don Adam was given a package to
14		review, and I would assume I'm probably not
15		I probably shouldn't assume, but I would think he
16		was given information on the missing women
17		investigation to look at to give him an update,
18		but I never saw those documents, so that's when I
19		said that Inspector Henderson took ownership, and
20		I think that's indicated by assigning somebody
21		from the RCMP and then notifying Assistant
22		Commissioner Bass that he's assigned. That's what
23		I meant by the ownership. I wasn't trying to
24		suggest by that line that he actually received all
25		the investigative files of the 27 missing women.

1		I know that didn't take place for until 2001.
2	Q	Okay. And if we can just continue on with Jim
3		McKnight's notes just to close the loop. If you
4		look at the entry for April 24th, which is three
5		pages over or two pages over from where we were,
6		the top of the page it says, "Relocated to Surrey
7		Satellite Office." Do you see that? It has at
8		the top of the page
9	A	Yes, I see that.
10	Q	April 24th.
11	A	April 24th, 2001, "Relocated to Surrey Satellite
12		Office," yes.
13	Q	And I'll suggest to you that at that point is when
14		Jim McKnight brought over the files from Amelia?
15	А	Yeah, I wouldn't disagree with you on that, so
16	Q	Okay. If we can go back. So you'll agree that
17		obviously not having the paper files is a
18		significant challenge as well in starting up a
19		major investigation?
20	A	Well, I mean, I think I still go back to I'm not
21		really sure what information Don Adam was given.
22		I think there's a note somewhere.
23	Q	Well, I'm talking about the actual files. I mean,
24		I presume that the package that Don Adam received
25		was likely a summary of what had gone on to date.

1 Would that be reasonable?

- 2 A I would agree.
- Q And I'm talking here about the actual files that
  detailed all the investigative steps that had been
  previously taken.
- 6 A But I think it's unfair to say or to suggest that 7 it would have made -- it complicated Don Adam's 8 job because he didn't receive the investigative 9 files until April when I understand from his 10 interview he never reviewed the investigative 11 files.
- 12 Q Well, I mean, Don Adam was the team commander. 13 Obviously he would assign people within his team 14 to carry out those -- his tasks for him, and 15 indeed Jim McKnight was reviewing the files?
- A I agree. I agree that he wouldn't necessarily review them. He would assign that to the primary. But you were suggesting that it complicated his role, and I'm saying no, it wouldn't, because he never did look at the files.
- 21 Q But Don Adam obviously has to get a handle on the 22 problem that he is dealing with?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q So yesterday you were asked about tunnel vision.
  A Yes.

You recall that. And you were also asked about 1 0 2 major case management. And I'd suggest to you that one of the investigative pitfalls that major 3 4 case management is designed to avoid is, in fact, tunnel vision? 5 6 I would agree. Α 7 And so Don Adam as the team commander of a serial 0 killer investigation, when considering his game 8 9 plan he has to keep it foremost in his mind to avoid tunnel vision, correct? 10 11 I would agree. А He has to be concerned that down the line a 12 0 13 criminal -- in a criminal prosecution that the suspect that is ultimately charged may, in fact, 14 15 raise tunnel vision as part of his or her defence? 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Why am I -- why am I hearing this all over 17 again? You know, a lot of what I've heard this 18 morning about -- you've now come on to tunnel vision. I've heard that before. It's dangerous 19 not to be -- to have a mindset of tunnel vision in 20 21 any investigation. There were no bodies. There 22 were no crime scenes. And I don't know how many times I've heard this. So I don't want to 23 interrupt your cross-examination, but, on the 24 25 other hand, I'm hearing so much this morning that

1 I've already heard over and over again. 2 MS. HOFFMAN: Okay. I'll try to speed it up, Mr. Commissioner. 3 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 4 MS. HOFFMAN: 5 So I do want to get into, though, the specifics of 0 б the operational plan that Don Adam put into place, 7 and what I'm trying to do is provide some context for how he came up with that plan. So you'll 8 9 agree that when he had to put his operational plan 10 into place he had two challenges. The first was 11 to build a suspect pool which had the best chance of containing the killer; would you agree with 12 13 that? 14 А Yes. 15 And, secondly, he had to figure out which of the 0 hundreds of suspects that they had in that pool to 16 17 target first? 18 Α I would agree. You'll agree that Don Adam consulted with 19 0 20 profilers in preparing his plan? Do you recall seeing evidence of that? 21 22 T do. А 23 Q And he was told that serial offenders generally perfect their ability to hide evidence and they 24 25 often commit lead-up crimes where they botch

1 things or leave potential evidence behind. Do you 2 recall him getting that advice? I do. 3 Α 4 And Inspector Adam, of course, realized that 0 getting a serial killer's DNA would be the key to 5 6 solving or could be the key to solving the case? 7 А Could be the key, yes. So I'd like now to go to the operational plan 8 Q 9 itself, which we can find in the daily log, which 10 is at tab 16 of Exhibit M. If you can turn to 11 page 5 of that tab. Now, this is the operational plan that Sergeant Adam actually sent to Geramy 12 Field to get her input on. Do you recall that? 13 14 Α I do recall that, yes. 15 So it's quite clear in the plan that it's premised 0 16 on the fact that there may be several -- one or 17 more serial killers operating? 18 Α Yes, I see that, "That there may be one or several serial killers who have done this." 19 So there's no question -- obviously you 20 Q 21 acknowledge this in your report -- that the RCMP 22 clearly acknowledged that there was a serial killer --23 24 Yes. Α 25 0 -- operating?

1 So the first phase, Phase 1, that is to do a 2 review of files for crime-scene DNA to ensure that 3 the DNA is in a bank and can be compared with --4 with cast-off DNA? That was ultimately the goal 5 of doing -- doing that review? б Yes, I see that. Α 7 So what they were doing is they were going to go 0 to files where women who fit the profile had been 8 9 either assaulted or murdered and their cases were unsolved to look for DNA? 10 Correct. 11 А And, of course, throughout the '90s DNA developed 12 0 13 quite rapidly? 14 Α I'm not convinced of that, but yes. 15 Okay. Well --0 16 It developed. Α 17 So older cases perhaps may have exhibits in them Q that had never been sent to the lab to be 18 profiled, have exhibits that could have suspect 19 DNA on them that had never been profiled? 20 21 I agree fully. Α 22 So the idea was that they would look at these old 0 files to see if they could find such exhibits and 23 build up a DNA bank of suspect or offender DNA? 24 25 Α Yes.

1	Q	So you'll see the second phase is review and
2		prioritization of current VPD and South West Major
3		Crime District suspect lists?
4	A	I see that, yes.
5	Q	So and I'll suggest to you that Bill McKnight
6		and Jim Little did a lot of that work? They told
7		you about that?
8	А	I understand that. Yes, they did.
9	Q	And they were doing that throughout the summer of
10		2001 and into the fall?
11	А	In the spring I would say yes, and throughout the
12		summer, yeah. I agree.
13	Q	And that work was going on simultaneously with the
14		file reviews that were going on, where members of
15		Evenhanded were going out to various RCMP
16		detachments to review these files for
17	A	I understand
18	Q	potential DNA?
19	A	Corporal Kingsbury was travelling out to the
20		RCMP detachments, yes.
21	Q	And you will acknowledge, I presume, that
22		prioritizing your suspects and assessing your
23		suspects, that's a very key part of the
24		investigation that you have to do before you can
25		figure out which suspect you are going to target?

1	А	I would agree with what you're saying with regards
2		to investigation, but I don't believe what
3		Evenhanded was doing was an investigation. I
4		believe they were doing an historical review.
5		Investigation to me would mean that they were
6		doing a proactive investigation looking for
7		suspects. I know I mean, I'm not trying to
8		split hairs with you on the word "investigation",
9		but if you were conducting an investigation do I
10		agree that you have to create a list and
11		prioritize your suspects? I agree fully, yes.
12	Q	Well, if you're doing an investigation, that's
13		exactly what they were doing. They were assessing
14		their suspects. They were prioritizing them.
15	A	That was Phase 2 is what they were going to do,
16		yes.
17	Q	Okay. But I thought you agreed with me that Bill
18		Little and Jim McKnight were reviewing the suspect
19		list throughout the summer and that was going on
20		simultaneously with the file review process?
21	A	They were doing that, yes.
22	Q	So it's not like they were doing the file reviews
23		first and then they were going to prioritize
24		suspects later? It was going on simultaneously?
25	A	Yes, but I think I was just disagreeing with the

1		word "investigation" just because I think I saw
2		something in September where there was an e-mail
3		or a fax from McKnight to some officer in the RCMP
4		saying, you know, "We're still in review mode,"
5		and that was in September. So that was the only
6		word that I was disagreeing with there.
7	Q	But obviously, and I think you'll agree, that when
8		you're presented with this investigational problem
9		which has so many suspects, part of that is to
10		review your suspects, to evaluate them to figure
11		out which one you want to focus your investigation
12		on?
13	A	I agree.
14	Q	So that's work that had to be done?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	So then Phase 3 of the operational plan is that
17		once you've figured out who you are going to go
18		after they're going to collect cast-off from those
19		suspects to see if they can match it up with any
20		of the crime-scene, unknown offender crime-scene
21		DNA they've already collected?
22	А	Yes, I agree.
23		
	Q	And so then Phase 4 is that if they get a hit on
24	Q	And so then Phase 4 is that if they get a hit on somebody they would go out and proactively

1		required to investigate, including doing
2		surveillance, wire-tap, undercover operations, and
3		the whole everything that goes along with those
4		steps?
5	A	I would agree.
6	Q	Now, this operational plan was sent to Sergeant
7		Field for her approval?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And she accepted it?
10	A	I believe so, yes.
11	Q	She presented it to VPD management?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And VPD management accepted it?
14	A	They did.
15	Q	And just backing up, when Sergeant Field was asked
16		for input on the plan, she did not say to Don
17		Adam, "I think that instead of doing this I
18		think you should go out on these particular
19		suspects"? She never said anything like that?
20	A	I agree. I don't I did not see any evidence
21		that suggested she did that.
22	Q	Now, I wanted to put to you a couple of examples
23		of when Phase 4 came into play during Evenhanded's
24		investigation, and Phase 4 is when they went out
25		and targeted a suspect based on a hit. You've

1		heard about the alley investigation, and we talked
2		about it a little bit yesterday?
3	А	I have, yes.
4	Q	And what happened there was that in December of
5		2001 they got a hit or they were advised that
б		there were two different crime-scene DNA samples
7		which matched?
8	А	I understand that. I recall that.
9	Q	So they realized that they had a serial another
10		serial killer?
11	А	Yes, they understood. So their DNA from the alley
12		homicides did not match those of the Agassiz
13		homicides.
14	Q	Sorry, say that again.
15	A	It was DNA it was different DNA samples from
16		two different crime scenes.
17	Q	So then you have another serial killer?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	So this plan actually succeeded in revealing that
20		serial killer that had killed in the 1980s, the
21		late '80s, correct?
22	А	I don't know if it revealed sorry, what was
23		your question?
24	Q	Sorry. It revealed that there was another serial
25		killer who had killed two women in the late '80s

1		in Vancouver?
2	А	Yes. The DNA
3	Q	Yes.
4	A	connected those.
5	Q	We didn't they didn't know who it was
б	A	Right.
7	Q	but it revealed that that person had
8	A	But I thought your question was you were saying
9		that this plan revealed that, but I didn't think
10		it was I didn't understand that to be the case.
11		I thought the DNA links were made by the DNA lab.
12		I didn't realize it was this plan that caused
13		those links to be made.
14	Q	Well, in fact, it was. It was DNA that was
15		collected through the file review process which
16		gave rise to that.
17	A	Okay.
18	Q	So and we can turn to the daily log. We have
19		it here if you need it. But I would suggest that
20		when they found out about this link they
21		immediately set to creating a list of suspects
22		that they should target both with respect to the
23		alley and to the Valley that they should get
24		cast-off DNA from, and that actually that work
25		started in December, and by January 28 they had a

1		list of 23 suspects that they were going to go out
2		and collect cast-off DNA from?
3	A	Can I sorry, I'm not trying to be problematic.
4	Q	Yeah. No.
5	A	Can you just direct me to that document just so I
6		can refresh my memory.
7	Q	Well, you have the daily log in front you, I
8		believe. We were just looking at it.
9	А	Oh, the Evenhanded daily log, yes. Just go to the
10		date.
11	Q	Turn to December 17th. It's on page 67.
12	А	Thank you.
13	Q	So a fax was received from the lab concerning a
14		DNA suspect match involving the VPD files. This
15		was the match that I was speaking of.
16	А	Yes, I recall seeing this, yes.
17	Q	And then if we turn flip to January 23rd or
18		28th, rather, which is on page 71 okay. And
19		it's on the next page, page 72.
20	А	I see that, yes.
21	Q	You see that, "A list of 23 suspects has been
22		compiled and is intended to," and then the next
23		thing is blacked out?
24	A	See, I think that's why I really wasn't sure what
25		was intended.

Well, I don't think that it's any secret to say 1 0 2 that I believe that refers to collecting cast-off 3 DNA from those suspects, but I can see now why you 4 may have been --Yeah, I didn't understand that. 5 Α Sorry. б -- hindered in understanding that. Q 7 Other examples of when the investigation implemented or became -- or, sorry, where the 8 9 investigation targeted specific suspects, and we 10 touched on one yesterday so I'll just remind you 11 of it, but in the fall of 2001 there was an offender who had sexually assaulted a sex trade 12 13 worker and claimed responsibility for the missing 14 women? 15 I recall that. He was eliminated by the А 16 polygraph. 17 And he was identified in November, and Evenhanded Q 18 got involved in that investigation and assigned resources to assist the VPD in that investigation? 19 20 I understand that, yes. Α So obviously at that point they're not doing an 21 Q 22 historical review; they're plugged in and doing an 23 investigation of a suspect that rose to the top and had a potential link to the missing women? 24 25 А And I believe I said that in my report, that it

changed in November, the plan changed in November. 1 2 Well, prior to that, though, there hadn't been a Q suspect, though, that had risen to the top in that 3 4 manner? And I think you're assuming that they wouldn't have done that in the summer months, but 5 if a suspect had -- had arisen, the plan that they 6 7 had, the four-phase operational plan, I would suggest would have come into effect there. 8 Τf 9 they had someone, a suspect that in July had done 10 what this suspect did and claimed responsibility 11 for the missing women, I would suggest that they were poised to deal with that. 12 I would disagree with that because I -- from my 13 Α review of the documents in my -- I saw that Don 14 15 Adam didn't want to be lulled into tunnel vision 16 by selecting -- he felt that -- he was concerned that if they started targeting certain suspects 17 18 that may not help them, so he was committed to do the review and to do the DNA. It wasn't until 19 20 November his plan changed when they realized we potentially still have an active serial killer, 21 22 and that's when they started saying we're going to have to start actively going out and pursuing 23 24 suspects as they arise.

25 Q But my suggestion to you, though, is, is that if a

1		suspect had arisen in July and had done something
2		which or was alleged to have done something
3		which would, you know, put him to the top of the
4		pile, that Evenhanded would have gone proactively
5		against that suspect?
6	A	But I saw no evidence of that, to suggest that.
7	Q	Well, I mean, it's hypothetical, I suppose,
8		because that didn't happen. I would suggest that
9		until the suspect in November came to light that
10		there were no instances where a suspect came out
11		of the woodwork and had a potential link to the
12		missing women or had a stronger link to the
13		missing women.
14	A	Yeah. I mean, that's something I think is up for
15		a big debate. I think I would disagree with
16		you because I still think that Don Adam and his
17		plan was to continue with the his four phased
18		approach at that point, because it was in August
19		when the violent sexual assault of a sex trade
20		worker occurred in Vancouver, and when Dave
21		Dickson from Vancouver went to the Sex Assault
22		Squad and said, "Hey, is anyone looking at
		Pickton," and I understand that the officer from
23		
23 24		the Vancouver Sex Assault Squad made contact with

1 October. So I would disagree when you say that 2 there was no suspect -- if they -- if you hypothetically said a suspect came up in July that 3 4 they would have changed their plan. I don't believe Don Adam wanted to change the plan because 5 б I don't believe they had accepted that there was 7 an active serial killer at that point. MS. HOFFMAN: Mr. Commissioner, I note the time. I don't know 8 9 what we're doing for the break today. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: No, let's carry on. How much longer are you 11 going to be? MS. HOFFMAN: I still am going to be at least another hour, I 12 13 would think. THE COMMISSIONER: At least another hour? 14 MS. HOFFMAN: Or -- yes. I apologize, but --15 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I'd like to have accurate estimates --16 17 MS. HOFFMAN: Yes. THE COMMISSIONER: -- of time. Yesterday you said two hours. 18 19 MS. HOFFMAN: Well, I can endeavour to go another 45 minutes, 20 but I do have some material. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: If I give you a break now is that going to 22 help? 23 MS. HOFFMAN: It may, yes. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right. 25 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:17 A.M.)

1			(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 10:40 A.M.)
2	THE	REGISTRA	R: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
3	MS.	HOFFMAN:	
4		Q	DC Evans, I'd like to take you to page 1-2 of your
5			report.
6		A	Yes.
7		Q	Here you set out in the fourth paragraph what your
8			understanding of Project Evenhanded is, and I'll
9			just read. You indicate:
10			In early 2001, the review which eventually
11			became known as Project Evenhanded, began a
12			methodical review of historic crime files in
13			search of Persons of Interest, that could be
14			linked forensically to three unsolved
15			homicides from Agassiz.
16			Now, I'm going to suggest to you that that
17			actually is far too narrow a description of what
18			Evenhanded's plan was, and the first point is that
19			it was not just that they were looking to connect
20			offenders to the Agassiz homicides; would you
21			agree with that?
22		A	Well, I think it's important to note that this is
23			in my executive summary. It's not in my main
24			report. This is the executive summary.
25		Q	But I believe you actually stated in your evidence

1		wastanday, that the paint of Evenhanded was that
1		yesterday that the point of Evenhanded was that
2		they were trying to connect offenders to the
3		Agassiz homicides. Do you recall that?
4	A	But I also recognized from the plan that they were
5		hoping to identify an offender to the missing
6		women cases by looking for an offender that
7		potentially could be the same, one in the same
8		from the Agassiz homicides.
9	Q	And you'll recall when you spoke to Don Adam and
10		you interviewed him he talked to you about how he
11		thought it was dangerous to simply assume that the
12		Agassiz murderer was responsible for the missing
13		women, and he wanted to create a plan that would
14		be open to that possibility but also open to other
15		possibilities? Do you recall that?
16	A	I do recall he spoke of that.
17	Q	So I just suggest to you that that
18		characterization of Evenhanded as being focused on
19		the Agassiz homicides is inaccurate?
20	А	Well, I'm not going to agree with you that I'm
21		inaccurate. I just think "began a
22		methodical review of historic criminal files in
23		search of Persons of Interest, that could be
24		linked forensically" Because there was nothing
25		forensically that could be linked to the missing

1

women, so they were doing a --

- 2 But then you go on to say "to three unsolved Q homicides in Agassiz", and obviously because they 3 4 were reviewing files from across the province they 5 were reviewing all sorts of files where sex trade 6 workers had been sexually assaulted or murdered. 7 They were trying to find other killers that may not have been responsible for the Agassiz and just 8 9 -- and the example is that they found the alley 10 murderer, who killed in the late '80s. That was 11 an example of how broad the review was, and they were trying to cast a wide net in order to be 12 13 assured that they were going to include the killer 14 in their pool?
- 15 A I'm not sure -- I'm not sure I understand the
  16 question then.
- Q Well, I'm just suggesting to you that your
  characterization is too narrow. Would you accept
  that?
- A Well, I think that they were looking for forensic links. They could -- what I'm suggesting by this paragraph is they couldn't link it forensically to the missing women because there was no evidence of the missing women, so they were looking to link cases from historic files to the Agassiz

1 homicides.

2	Q	But they were looking for other offenders as well,
3		not just offenders that committed an offender
4		that committed the Agassiz homicides?
5	А	Well, they were looking for an offender who
б		would it was their belief that there was a
7		possibility that the missing women cases were
8		linked to the Agassiz homicides.
9	Q	Okay. I am going to put to you, and it may
10		assist and I apologize because this isn't in
11		the transcript reference binder.
12	A	Thank you.
13	Q	And just by way of background, I mean, certainly
14		at the Kelowna conference that happened in
15		November of 2000, that theory that the missing
16		women were connected to the Agassiz homicides was
17		the predominant theory that was discussed at that
18		conference?
19	A	They were potentially connected, yes.
20	Q	And you asked Don about that in his interview, and
21		I'm just going to read to you what he responded,
22		and it starts on page 29, line 32 of the
23		transcript of August 31st, 2011.
24	А	Sorry, line 32? There is no line 32.
25	Q	Sorry, line 23.

A 23.

1

2 Q 3 You know. So, would -- you know, when I get involved in it then, I'm thinking, "All 4 5 right, you know, that makes sense." It did 6 make sense to me, okay? You know, people are 7 telling me, "These are disappearing, yet there's these murders. It's the, the same 8 9 body of people." Who, you know, who am I to dis --10 11 sorry, "to know" -- excuse me, I'll start over. ... to disagree with that? Keith Davidson, in 12 13 the room, that's -- you know, they're pushing 14 this idea. I would say that I accepted that as very reasonable -- obviously, you are 15 going to have to look at everything -- up to 16 17 the point where in, I believe it was late 18 February, Paul McCarl took us up to the sites. 19 And he's talking there about going up to the sites 20 21 of the Agassiz homicides. 22 And we actually went up the logging roads, and, and here is where these bodies, fair 23 enough, on trails...but the fact that they 24 25 were laying there, and they had been -- if

1 they had been moved --2 sorry. 3 ... if they had moved them 10 feet and rolled 4 them down a bank, they, they would have just 5 been gone. 6 And yet, and yet this person had successfully 7 had women disappearing for all these years. To me, I am not a behaviour scientist, you 8 9 know, I'm not a criminal profiler, but that 10 was troubling to the extent that I thought it 11 would be dangerous, dangerous to ignore it and dangerous to accept it. 12 13 Right. And so that's why I created a task force that 14 15 would be willing to accept both of those. 16 To me, that was the safest approach. 17 Do you recall that? 18 А Yes, I recall that. 19 So, again, I would suggest to you that to 0 characterize the work of Evenhanded as being 20 21 focused on connecting suspects to the Agassiz 2.2 homicides is far too narrow a description. I think that this line in my executive summary 23 А where I say I characterize Project Evenhanded as a 24 review of historic criminal files, I still stand 25

1		by that line to say that they were linking they
2		were trying to link the historic criminal files to
3		the forensically to the three unsolved from
4		Agassiz. Like, I'm not saying that they weren't
5		looking in the efforts of finding the missing
б		women, but I don't see anything wrong with or
7		inaccurate from what I've said here, so I would
8		disagree.
9	Q	Okay. In doing your review in your report I see
10		that you devote about six pages to the Project
11		Evenhanded investigation?
12	А	I didn't count, so I don't know. I take your word
13		at that.
14	Q	And you devote about 96 pages to the Pickton
15		investigation, and you do a day-by-day chronology
16		of what happened with respect to that
17		investigation?
18	А	I'll accept those numbers. I haven't counted
19		numbers, page numbers, so
20	Q	So I take it that in doing your review you
21		probably spent the majority of your time reviewing
22		the Pickton investigation?
23	А	I would not agree with that because I think
24		Project Evenhanded, if I check in the 96 pages on
25		Pickton, I believe there are times in 2001 where

1		in "Pickton as a suspect" it's mentioned, but it's
2		referencing members from Evenhanded running
3		queries with regards to Pickton or doing police
4		checks. I have to go back and check here. So
5		there are times in my "Pickton as a suspect"
б		chapter, if I may call it that, that reference is
7		to officers from Project Evenhanded.
8	Q	Okay. When Pickton is involved you included work
9		of Evenhanded in your "Pickton as a suspect"
10		summary; that's what you're saying?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. But you did not in your report do a very
13		any sort of detailed review of all of the work
14		that Evenhanded did from January of 2001 up to
15		February of 2002? I would suggest to you that you
16		did not do a thorough review in your report of all
17		of that work.
18	A	I would challenge you to point out something that
19		I didn't speak to or address and then I might be
20		able to agree with that, but, I mean, I looked at
21		the Evenhanded file, so I and I spoke to
22		when I interviewed officers from Evenhanded I
23		learned a lot with regards to their review and
24		their work.
25	Q	Well, what I was pointing to, I guess, was your

1		fundamental misunderstanding as to the scope of
2		Evenhanded. You and you won't agree with me
3		here, but I suggest
4	А	I won't.
5	Q	to you that you have misunderstood the scope of
6		Project Evenhanded.
7	А	No, I don't think I misunderstood the scope of
8		Project Evenhanded at all, so I would totally
9		disagree with you on that.
10	Q	I want to go to just one document that you would
11		have received just to wrap up this area. You
12		recall receiving a document that described was
13		a summary of the file review process that
14		Evenhanded engaged in? Do you recall receiving
15		that? I can
16	A	Could you reference it to me? I saw so many
17		documents.
18	Q	It's in Exhibit M, tab 8.
19	A	Thank you.
20	Q	And it's on the last page that I want to refer to.
21		But this was a document that was prepared for the
22		purposes of the inquiry, and it was a document
23		that was intended to just summarize the work that
24		Evenhanded did in reviewing the files, and it sets
25		out sort of the operational plan and how they were

1 proceeding, but what I want to point to is the 2 very last paragraph at page 6. And it indicates 3 here that hundreds of files were reviewed for DNA 4 potential.

5 This would result in crime scene DNA profiles 6 being developed in 246 cases. Approximately 20% of these would lead to the identification 7 of offenders which in turn would further 8 9 unsolved homicide cases, assaults on sex trade workers, and some serial offender 10 11 cases.

So obviously the plan, if you accept that, 12 13 resulted in solving other cases, and the alley cases being one that we have discussed already? 14 Oh, I would agree the plan solved cases. Yes, I 15 А would agree to that.

17 I'd like to move to a new area, and this is with Q 18 respect to Evenhanded at the beginning and the agreement that was made that the VPD would 19 continue to search for any new missing women that 20 21 were reported. You acknowledge in your report 2.2 that that was the understanding at the beginning of Project Evenhanded? 23

24 That was the plan, yes. А

16

25 0 And I suggest to you that when Don Adam came into

1		the investigation the VPD had a Missing Persons
2		Unit that was staffed by one detective, and it had
3		a second position which was added or, sorry, it
4		had two detectives, I think, at that time?
5	А	I understand, or constables, yes.
6	Q	And presumably those officers had connections on
7		the Downtown Eastside, they had some
8	А	I would think so.
9	Q	experience looking for missing women?
10	A	I would think so, yes.
11	Q	More so than anyone on Evenhanded?
12	A	Unless you except for, I think, probably
13		Detective Little and Detective McKnight, but, yes,
14		I would agree. You're talking specifically RCMP
15		officers?
16	Q	Well, I'm not sure. We'd have to ask Detective
17		Little and McKnight, but I'm not sure they ever
18		worked in the Missing Persons Unit at VPD.
19	A	No, I don't recall them saying they did, but I was
20		talking about the reference to working in the
21		Downtown Eastside or being familiar with the
22		Downtown Eastside.
23	Q	Right. But VPD had developed some, well,
24		experience looking for these women in the Downtown
25		Eastside, and they had established connections?

1	A	Yes, I agree.
2	Q	And Detective Shenher had done a very admirable
3		job of trying to locate all of these women?
4	A	I agree.
5	Q	So if we can turn to the January 31st meeting,
б		2001, this is where Geramy Field mentions that
7		there's possibly four more women that could
8		potentially be missing who fit the profile. We
9		looked at that document?
10	A	Yes, we looked at that document this morning.
11	Q	And it was made clear in that meeting that the VPD
12		would continue to follow up with respect to these
13		women?
14	A	Yes, I understand that.
15	Q	And it was clear that obviously there was further
16		investigation that had to be done in order to
17		confirm that these women were, in fact, missing?
18	A	I agree.
19	Q	And I would suggest to you that it was agreed at
20		quite senior levels of the RCMP and the VPD that
21		the VPD would remain responsible to investigate
22		new missing women reports, and that message was
23		communicated to the public. Do you recall seeing
24		documents to that effect?
25	A	I believe so, yes.

1	Q	There was a media release I think that was sent
2		out that or a media plan, rather, that was
3		prepared that had that as one of its messages?
4	A	I believe so, yes.
5	Q	And as you pointed out, Jim McKnight is from the
6		VPD?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And he was the lead investigator, and he had
9		connections with Constable Dickhout, who was in
10		the VPD Missing Persons Unit at the time?
11	A	Yes, he did.
12	Q	And he communicated with Constable Dickhout about
13		the missing women?
14	A	I understand that, yes.
15	Q	You have in your Appendix C, page 145 at 1130,
16		which is May 24th, 2001
17	A	Sorry, what page again?
18	Q	Page 145 of Appendix C.
19	A	Thank you. Yes.
20	Q	It says it was discussed and agreed that any
21		incoming information concerning the missing women
22		or suspects would be handled by the VPD with a
23		copy to Project Evenhanded. A decision may then
24		be made for the investigators for inclusion in the
25		file?

1	A	Sorry, what date are you looking at? I'm sorry, I
2		didn't hear your date, the date there.
3	Q	May 24th, 2001.
4	A	Yes, I see that. There was a meeting.
5	Q	And Constable Dickhout was at that meeting?
6	A	Yes, he was.
7	Q	And from documents it appears that he was one of
8		the I think it was Constable Dickhout and
9		Constable Leggett at the time who were working in
10		the VPD unit?
11	A	I believe so, yes.
12	Q	And presumably it was their responsibility,
13		according to this meeting, to communicate with
14		Evenhanded about new missing women?
15	A	I would say so. I think to be fair, I think
16		Evenhanded should be communicating back to VPD on
17		this, yes.
18	Q	Well, and we'll get to that in a moment, but I
19		think it was pointed out yesterday that you did
20		not interview Constable Dickhout as part of your
21		investigation?
22	A	I did not.
23	Q	And as you note, you said that the communication
24		should be two-way, and if we can look at I
25		couldn't find in your Appendix C the

1		communications that happened between Constable
2		Dickhout and Jim McKnight on the missing women,
3		and were you familiar with that from his notes?
4		And we can go to his notes if you'd like.
5	A	Sure. That would be good.
6	Q	All right. If we can go to tab 68, which is in
7		M-1. If you look for the entry for April 30th.
8		On page 5 about a third of the way up from the
9		bottom of the page it says, "Telephoned Dickhout
10		(MP)," which I presume to be missing persons. He
11		was given the names of Debra Lynne Jones and Dawn
12		Crey.
13	A	Yes, I see that.
14	Q	On the July 4th at 11:15:
15		Contacted by Constable Dickhout VPD missing
16		persons. Still conducting follow-up on
17		McDonell, Crey, Wolfe and Jones files. All
18		of these files have been entered on SIUSS.
19		Also advised me that VPDhave three other
20		files that they are looking at.
21		Elsie Sebastian was mentioned. Patricia Johnson
22		was mentioned. Lee Allison Minor was mentioned.
23	A	I see that, yes.
24	Q	Then if we can turn to August 13th. And just to
25		pause there, I just I make the point that when

1		it was agreed that the VPD Missing Persons Unit
2		was to actively investigate new missing women
3		reports there was no suggestion in any of the
4		documents that VPD indicated that they were
5		unwilling to do that or that they didn't have the
6		resources to do that; you'll agree with that?
7	A	I would agree with that.
8	Q	August 14th 13th, rather. Sorry, my copies
9		aren't highlighted. An entry, "Telephoned missing
10		person" it's on page 10 of the notes.
11	A	This is August 14th you're saying?
12	Q	August 13th on page 10. It's about a third of the
13		way up.
14		Telephoned missing persons and ensured we had
15		names of all the missing that matched
16		profile.
17		And then that afternoon he meets with Sergeant
18		Adam?
19	A	I see that, yes.
20	Q	"We discussed the reward situation." And you'll
21		see in these notes that Jim McKnight was the one
22		that was responsible for putting the paperwork in
23		place to renew the reward the amount of the
24		reward
25	A	Yes, I saw that.

1 -- that was on the poster? 0 So he's doing a lot of these inquiries in 2 3 part for that reason, to ensure that the poster 4 has a complete list of women, but he meets with 5 Don Adam. 6 Plan of action. 7 Ensure agencies do or complete a check of missing persons matching our profile. 8 Determine if we can make additions to the 9 10 reward poster, then reissue the poster. 11 I agree I see this, yes. А Then on August 16th he says that: 12 0 13 Prepared overview...for Sergeant Adam. Ι need to meet with him --14 15 or, sorry, meet with VPD missing to determine the status 16 17 of any current or held files by them. Try to establish an accurate list of the missing 18 19 women. 20 And then a meeting is organized. 21 And then it says "Dickhout A/L", so he's on annual Α 22 leave. 23 Q So a meeting is held on August 28th with Dickhout 24 and Leggett, and they discuss the current files 25 held by them?

1	A	Yes, I see that.
2	Q	And you will recall, and I can take you there if
3		necessary, but that on August 30th Don Adam met
4		with senior VPD management and RCMP management
5		because he was concerned that they didn't have an
6		accurate list of all the missing women and he
7		wanted to request resources to ensure that they
8		did have an accurate list of all the missing
9		women?
10	А	I recall that they met on August 30th and he
11		provided a briefing. I wasn't sure I can't
12		recall whether that was an issue he raised. Are
13		you saying that was an issue he raised with the
14		executive saying, "I'm not sure I have an accurate
15		picture"?
16	Q	Yes. If we can turn to the daily log, which is
17		tab 16 of Exhibit M. We can start by looking at
18		page 14.
19	А	Tab 16, page 14.
20	Q	Page 14. Page 14, Mr. Commissioner. So this is a
21		report written by Jim McKnight following his
22		meeting with Constable Dickhout and Leggett,
23		Constables Dickhout and Leggett detailing all of
24		the files that they reviewed and the status of
25		them.

1 A Yes.

2	Q	And if you turn to page 18, you'll see reference
3		at the bottom of the page to McDonell. It
4		indicated that the files were with Constable
5		Dickhout and he's doing some further follow-up on
б		that file. And then with respect to Wolfe you'll
7		see that he's doing
8	А	Sorry, you're moving a little faster than I'm
9		trying to read along, so
10	Q	Okay. Sorry.
11	A	Page 18?
12	Q	The bottom of page 18 there's an entry for
13		Jacqueline McDonell.
14	A	Yes, I see that.
15	Q	It says this file is with VPD Missing Persons
16		Squad and Dickhout is doing some further
17		follow-up.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And similarly with Brenda Wolfe, who's the next
20		entry, Dickhout is currently investigating the

20 entry, Dickhout is currently investigating the
21 file, indicates that she fits the profile and some
22 further checks are being done.

A Yes.

24QAgain, the same with Debra Jones. They're25continuing to investigate. Patricia Johnson

1		they're continuing to investigate. Okay. If we
2		can turn over to page 20, we have the meeting that
3		happens on August 30th, 2001?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And this is where Sergeant Adam meets with the
6		senior executive of the VPD and the RCMP to ask
7		for more resources and suggests that he's asking
8		for more resources to advance the investigation on
9		a number of fronts, but one of them, if you turn
10		to page 21, at the bottom of the page there's a
11		heading "Newly identified missing sex trade
12		workers".
13	А	Yes, I see that.
14	Q	And there it's to he says:
15		An organized, detailed search has never been
16		undertaken to ascertain an accurate and
17		complete list of themissing sex trade
18		workers.
19		So Don Adam is concerned there that they don't
20		have an accurate picture of all of the missings;
21		do you agree with that?
22	А	No, not necessarily. I mean, I look at it like
23		he's saying an organized, detailed search has
24		never been undertaken. He's advising them that
25		they hadn't undertaken that. So I didn't so

what were you suggesting it was? 1 2 Well, that he's concerned that they need to have Q 3 an accurate list of all of the potential missing 4 women because --5 Α So he says: б Phase one has now surfaced 22 additional 7 missing sex trade workers, who may match the profile of the original 31. 8 9 An organized, detailed search has never been undertaken to ascertain an accurate and 10 11 complete list of the number of missing sex trade workers. 12 13 And you'll recall that on August 14th members of Q Evenhanded did a CPIC search, and I believe this 14 is in your timeline, and that revealed that there 15 were an additional 22 women that fit the profile 16 that were potentially missing that they had not 17 18 been previously aware of? 19 Yes, I would agree. Α 20 Okay. So they're trying to get a handle on that Q situation. Jim McKnight goes to the City to meet 21 22 with them to get a handle on who they have and are 23 investigating? 24 I would agree. А 25 0 And we -- I'll just touch on this briefly, but Ms.

Tobias took you to the Schouten report yesterday?
 A Yes.

- Q And you'll recall that there were issues raised in that report about the ability of the VPD unit, because of its lack of resources, to really do an in-depth investigation into missing persons? Do you recall that?
- 8 A I do recall that, yes.
- 9 Q So it's reasonable to assume that that problem may 10 have been plaguing Constables Dickhout and Leggett 11 when they were attempting to confirm these new 12 missing women that had been reported as actually 13 being missing and going through that long list of 14 checks that they had to do, that they may not have 15 had sufficient resources to do that?
- A I would agree with that. I believe he brings that to the attention of Don Adam later in October saying he needs -- and I think he used the term leqwork, needing assistance doing some leqwork.
- 20 Q And so Don Adam gets further resources at this 21 August 30th meeting. He had six new investigators 22 assigned to the file?
- A I can't recall the number, but I'm not doubting if
  you say to me he requested six. That it was four
  investigators and two civilian support staff?

1 Q You're correct, yes.

2 A Okay.

3 Q It was four investigators and two civilians. And 4 that request was granted?

```
5 A Yes, it was.
```

- б And then Don -- or, sorry, the Project Evenhanded 0 7 then engaged in over the next couple of months going about actually trying to confirm which of 8 9 these missing -- which of these women were, in 10 fact, missing? Before they could add them 11 officially to the list of missing women there were a number of things that had to be done before that 12 13 could occur?
- 14AI don't believe that started till November,15though. I don't think it started following the16August 30th meeting.
- 17QIf I could have the daily log again, please. And18you'll agree with me that obviously when you19request resources it does take some time20administratively before you can hire those21resources, get them assigned, get them a desk to22work at, and obviously you have to take that into23account?
- 24AI would agree.I see on page 23 of the log it25talks about the recommendations for the six

1		additional resources and what they were going to
2		do, but it doesn't say they're actually going to
3		go out and investigate. It says they're going to
4		complete a review of the files.
5	Q	Well, that's correct. They're going to confirm
6		whether or not the women are actually missing. I
7		guess your point is that they're reviewing the
8		files.
9	A	As opposed to going out and doing the legwork,
10		which I don't believe occurs till later on.
11	Q	I am going to just leave this point. I may come
12		back to it. I just have one other area that I
13		want to cover with you. In on page 9-2 of your
14		report you state and, sorry, you probably
15		recall this from memory, but you state that:
16		Throughout my review I heard a recurring
17		theme of no body, no evidence, no crime
18		which, in my opinion, created an excuse for
19		ignoring the problem which permeated both the
20		VPD and the RCMP, albeit at different times.
21		Do you recall that?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	And in the body of your report on page 8-36 you
24		actually explore this notion and
25	A	At 8-36?

8-36. You conclude there that the assumption of 1 0 2 no bodies, no evidence, no crime negatively impacted the decision making of officers from the 3 4 VPD and later the RCMP. And I'd just like to go 5 to what you put in your report to support that 6 assertion. In the third paragraph of page 8-37 7 you refer to a meeting with Sergeant Honeybourn on February 3rd, 1999, and I believe we've touched on 8 9 this, but just to clarify, Sergeant Honeybourn is 10 within the Provincial Unsolved Homicide Unit at 11 that point but is a VPD officer, correct? That's correct. 12 А And you point to his statement that he made at 13 0 14 that meeting that they weren't in a position to 15 assist until there was no doubt that this 16 individual was involved in a specific group of 17 homicides. Do you recall that? 18 А I do. 19 Okay. But there was no suggestion, I would put it 0 20 to you, at that meeting that -- and this was the meeting where they were discussing Robert Pickton 21

in particular, and the information that had come forward at that point, they were still dealing with just Hiscox's information, but there was no suggestion at that meeting that they shouldn't

1		investigate it because there was no body
2		available? Everyone was agreed that they should
3		continue to try to confirm that information?
4	A	Oh, I agree that they were going to continue to
5		confirm the information.
6	Q	Yes. So you were just using this as just an
7		example of the notion being expressed that if
8		there's no body there's no crime?
9	A	And that the Provincial Unsolved Homicide Unit
10		wouldn't become involved because there was no
11		evidence of a homicide.
12	Q	But, again, at this point the Provincial Unsolved
13		Homicide Unit has 600 homicides that they're
14		dealing with, and they have a particular
15		mandate
16	A	I agree.
17	Q	to solve those homicides, so they have to be
18		somewhat judicious in how they assign their
19		resources?
20	A	I agree.
21	Q	But certainly Corporal Connor didn't hold that
22		view that there was no body, no evidence, no
23		crime? He wouldn't be investigating the
24		information to the extent he was if he held that
25		view?

1 A I agree.

2	Q	And I suggest to you that you asked that question
3		of almost every RCMP officer that you interviewed
4		and that nobody said to you that was the way that
5		the RCMP operated. Do you accept that?
6	A	Sorry, could you rephrase the question or could
7		you ask it again? I just
8	Q	Well, maybe I'm actually, I'm probably being a
9		bit unfair because you did speak with Sergeant
10		Keith Davidson about the concept. Do you recall
11		that?
12	A	I do.
13	Q	And you actually include that example in your
14		report. It's at page 8-39. You say you quote
15		him as saying:
16		I have never fully understood why it's a
17		better thing to have 20 killers to find
18		versus one.
19		And there you were talking about the reluctance to
20		accept the serial killer theory; do you recall
21		that?
22	A	I do recall that, yes.
23	Q	And I'll suggest to you that Keith Davidson, of
24		course, was working quite closely with the VPD

25 throughout this period?

1	A Yes, he was.
2	Q And that you didn't make it clear when you asked
3	the question in your interview about this no body,
4	no evidence, no crime as to whether or not this
5	comment that he makes was in reference to the RCMP
6	or the VPD?
7	A I would have to look at the interview.
8	Q We can do that. That's at volume sorry,
9	Exhibit 4, M-4, tab 6. Okay. So if we can turn
10	to line 17 at page 42. Okay. So you ask:
11	So, was it simply then, no body, no evidence,
12	no crime? So, like, we're reading through
13	documents. People had to be convinced. You
14	know, find me a body, then I'll believe there
15	is a homicide.
16	Answer:
17	Right. I think there were I think there
18	was a lack of, of believe that this was the
19	explanation.
20	He continues:
21	Uhm, there's also, in my experience, I've
22	found that there's a reluctance to accept the
23	serial killer concept, and that and I have
24	never fully understood why it's a better
25	thing to have 20 killers to find versus one.

1		And I suggest to you that you never clarify
2		whether or not that was something he encountered
3		at the VPD or the RCMP?
4	A	Yeah, I'm still not sure I understand the
5		question. Sorry. I'm not trying to be difficult.
б		I just don't understand the question.
7	Q	Well, you don't clarify who held this reluctance
8		to accept the serial killer concept. He was
9		working with both the VPD and the RCMP?
10	A	He was.
11	Q	And I'm just suggesting to you that you didn't
12		clarify who it was that held that view.
13	A	But on page 43 of the transcript, and this is
14		without going through the entire transcript, but
15		even on page 43 we're furthering the conversation
16		about the serial killer theory, and he says on
17		line 17:
18		Because, again, there was this, this
19		reluctance to accept. And, again, to me, it
20		made absolutely no sense, that you could have
21		20 independent people killing women and be
22		not finding any of the bodies. That just
23		does not make any sense. And I can't
24		understand why any
25		And then I said, "Okay."

-- why any homicide investigator would think
 that...

- Q But my point is, is that you don't clarify who he
  says holds this view, if that was a view that he
  encountered within the RCMP.
- 6 A I wasn't suggesting that Keith Davidson held the 7 view that he didn't accept the serial killer 8 theory. I believe he accepted the serial killer 9 theory.
- 10 Okay. So I'm going to suggest to you again that Q 11 nobody else that you interviewed and asked that question of about was there this attitude out 12 13 there if there was no body there was no crime and that all of the RCMP people that you spoke to told 14 15 you that wasn't -- that wasn't a sentiment that 16 they agreed with or that they had encountered in 17 their work, and, in fact, many gave you examples 18 -- or I think it was Gary Bass gave you examples 19 of the fact that they had solved many crimes without having a body, and he offered to get those 20 statistics for you. 21
- A Oh, I'm -- yeah, I'm not going to disagree with you on that. I think it's just -- I think it's the question I'm struggling with, the whole blanket statement, and it's just -- I think at

1	different times people like, what I know, when
2	they were working on Project Evenhanded they
3	accepted the serial killer theory. They accepted
4	that there was a serial killer out there
5	responsible for the Agassiz homicides and
6	potentially for the missing women.
7	MS. HOFFMAN: Thank you, DC Evans. Those are my questions.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Ms. Hoffman.
9	MR. WARD: Yes, Mr. Commissioner, Cameron Ward, counsel for the
10	families of 25 murdered women, resuming my
11	cross-examination which we started Monday.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WARD:
14	Q And, Deputy Chief, you have your report at hand, I
15	trust?
16	
	A I do. Thank you.
17	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1.
17 18	
	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1.
18	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1. A 8-1.
18 19	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1. A 8-1. Q Under the main heading "Opinion on Specific Police
18 19 20	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1. A 8-1. Q Under the main heading "Opinion on Specific Police Conduct & Investigations" there's a subheading
18 19 20 21	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1. A 8-1. Q Under the main heading "Opinion on Specific Police Conduct & Investigations" there's a subheading beside 8.1 that says "Recognition & Ownership".
18 19 20 21 22	Q Could I ask you, please, to turn to page 8-1. A 8-1. Q Under the main heading "Opinion on Specific Police Conduct & Investigations" there's a subheading beside 8.1 that says "Recognition & Ownership". Do you see that?

1		In my opinion, the severity and totality of
2		the British Columbia Missing Women tragedy
3		went unrecognized by members of Senior
4		Management of both the VPD and the RCMP due
5		to the lack of ownership for this crisis.
6		Do you see that?
7	А	I do.
8	Q	And that echos what I perceive to be a common
9		thread in your report, this notion of lack of
10		ownership.
11	A	I agree.
12	Q	Right. And on Monday sorry. Let me start
13		again. In terms of the concept of recognition as
14		it relates to ownership, you would agree that if
15		there had been earlier recognition of the crisis,
16		and by earlier say by mid-1998, many women's lives
17		would probably have been saved?
18	А	May have been saved, yes.
19	Q	Similarly, if senior management of both the VPD
20		and RCMP had taken ownership earlier than they
21		did, say mid-1998, many women's lives may have
22		been saved?
23	А	Mid-1998 I would say the ownership rested at that
24		time with Vancouver. They were the ones
25		investigating the missing women. So I would agree

1

with Vancouver, yes.

- 2 Q All right. Let me move it forward then. So just 3 to -- so that I have this clearly, if the 4 Vancouver Police Department senior management had 5 taken ownership of the missing women problem or 6 crisis in mid-1998, many women's lives may have 7 been saved?
- 8 A They may have been saved, yes.
- 9 Q Skipping ahead a year to mid-1999, and, of course, 10 by this point the informants have come forward, 11 the Coquitlam RCMP in the person of Corporal 12 Connor are aware of the information pertaining to 13 Robert William Pickton?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15QSo I suggest that if senior management of the RCMP16had taken ownership of the problem or crisis by17mid-1999 many women's lives may have been saved?
- 18 A That's correct. May have been saved, yes.
- 19QAnd when you use the word "tragedy" in that20paragraph I just read you, the tragedy obviously21is that so many women, dozens of women, mostly22young women, met premature, early, violent deaths,23right?
- A Yes.

```
25
```

Q And those are deaths that in your opinion, your

1		professional opinion, given all of your
2		experience, could have been avoided had there been
3		recognition of the problem and if senior
4		management had taken ownership of the crisis at an
5		earlier stage, right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Now, in your career, which I understand goes back
8		to 1983?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	You've undoubtedly seen many, many instances in
11		your own police experience where young female drug
12		addicts and sex trade workers have turned their
13		lives around, have kicked their drug habits and
14		have gone on to live more conventional lives as
15		responsible citizens?
16	А	I would have to admit that I have not seen that or
17		had a lot of exposure to that throughout my
18		career.
19	Q	But you would agree it occurs?
20	А	I would agree that that occurs, yes.
21	Q	And another part of this tragedy, I suggest, is
22		that in the case of the as many as 49 women who
23		Robert William Pickton is presumed to have killed,
24		they didn't get the opportunity to change their
25		lives because their lives were snuffed out, right?

1	A	I would agree.
2	Q	Now, this phrase taking ownership that you use
3		repeatedly in your report is something you've
4		defined as being synonymous with, as I understood
5		your evidence Monday, taking responsibility for or
6		being accountable for, fair?
7	A	That's correct.
8	Q	And you would agree that typically a police
9		officer takes ownership of an investigation when
10		he or she is committed to solving the case?
11	А	I would agree.
12	Q	All right. To put it another way, I suggest you'd
13		agree that when a reasonably competent police
14		officer cares about a serious case he or she will
15		do everything within their reasonable powers and
16		abilities to solve that case; is that fair?
17	A	I would agree.
18	Q	All right. So given those two answers, I suggest
19		by definition there's a failure to take ownership
20		on the part of a police officer when there is a
21		lack of commitment to a case or to an
22		investigation, right?
23	A	Sorry, can you just repeat that again?
24	Q	Sure. You agreed with me that a police officer
25		will take ownership of an investigation when he or

she is committed to it, right? You just agreed
 with that a moment ago.

- A But I also think you added in another -- you said relatively competent officer. I think sometimes officers are assigned cases for investigation that they don't necessarily want to take on, so that's why I'm hesitating to answer -- so that's why I needed to hear that last question again.
- 9 Q Sure. The second question was when a competent, 10 reasonably competent police officer cares about a 11 serious case they'll do everything within their 12 abilities and powers to solve it, and you agreed 13 with that?

14 A I do.

- 15 Q All right. On the first one, though, it follows 16 then that if there's a lack of commitment to a 17 file then there may be a failure to take 18 ownership?
- 19AI would agree that they may not put the same20efforts into it.

21 Q All right.

A I think that's probably a safer way of saying it.
They would not put the same efforts into it. They
may still have ownership of the file because
they've been given the file.

1	Q	And would it be fair to say that if a police
2		officer is given a file and they don't care about
3		it or if they have conduct of a file and they
4		don't care about it they're not taking ownership
5		of it?
6	A	I agree.
7	Q	All right. So I suggest it follows that when you
8		say follows logically when you say in your
9		report in so many places that senior management
10		failed to take ownership of the missing women
11		crisis they didn't care enough about it to follow
12		through, fair?
13	А	I'm not sure I would characterize it that they
14		didn't care. I don't think they appreciated what
15		they had, and appreciating and when I say
16		appreciate, I don't think they understood it.
17	Q	Well, you did come to the conclusion and you
18		expressed the opinion that with respect to VPD
19		senior management at least, they were disengaged,
20		right?
21	A	I agree.
22	Q	And disengagement, I suggest, is another way of
23		saying lack of commitment, lack of caring,
24		indifference, fair?
25	A	I would agree with lack of commitment. I'm not

1		sure I could characterize it as a lack of caring.
2		Disengagement could also mean that they were just
3		not paying attention to it, not due to their lack
4		of care, it's just some may say they had other
5		issues going on.
б	Q	All right. Fair enough. I'll accept for the
7		moment that they weren't paying attention to the
8		crisis.
9	A	I agree.
10	MR. WARD: A	ll right. Perhaps this might be a good time to
11		show you one of the exhibits in this hearing that
12		you may not have seen before. Exhibit 57. If my
13		records are right, this should be, Mr.
14		Commissioner, a black binder.
15	THE REGISTRA	R: That's your map.
16	MR. WARD: OF	n, no. Pardon me. I'm sorry, I misspoke. Exhibit
17		35. Sorry, Mr. Registrar. Exhibit 35 ought to be
18		a black binder comprised of a series of media
19		articles.
20	A	Sorry, what tab number?
21	MR. WARD:	
22	Q	I'd like you to look at the index, please.
23	A	I see that, yes.
24	Q	This should be a long list of local print media
25		articles from mid-1997 through 1999 to 2000 that

1		appeared in the two dailies, The Vancouver Sun and
2		Province, respecting the missing women crisis.
3		Have you seen this before?
4	A	No, I have not.
5	Q	I'm not going to spend much time on it, but could
6		you just leaf through that and confirm that you
7		see that the missing women crisis was the subject
8		of front page and other local Vancouver media
9		stories throughout that two-and-a-half-year
10		period. I'm not asking you to read them now.
11	A	I would agree.
12	Q	You see it now. All right. So and that
13		doesn't include national coverage, like The Globe
14		and Mail, the National Post. It doesn't include
15		anything that appeared on radio or television.
16		But clearly there was a lot of public concern
17		expressed in the media just by virtue of looking
18		at that document that ought to have engaged the
19		attention of senior management in the Vancouver
20		Police Department, fair?
21	А	I agree.
22	Q	The disappearance of so many women, dozens of
23		them, from the City of Vancouver ought to have
24		been a big priority that should have attracted the
25		commitment and the engagement of senior management

1

of the Vancouver Police Department, right?

2 A I agree.

Now, you'll see in the media articles, I don't 3 0 need to turn them up, but you'll see that many in 4 the community who were quoted in those articles 5 6 expressed the view that -- I'm paraphrasing -- had 7 these been dozens of women from say UBC or had they been dozens of women going missing -- nurses 8 9 going missing from a hospital, there would have 10 been no question but that the Vancouver Police 11 Department senior management would have pulled out all the stops to try to solve the crisis. I'm 12 13 going to put it to you that the only logical 14 conclusion based on everything you've looked at, 15 everything you've reviewed, everything you understand about policing is that the reason 16 17 senior management in the Vancouver Police 18 Department lacked commitment, wasn't engaged was 19 because of who these women were, agreed? 20 I looked at that, and I didn't see anything Α No. in the documents that would suggest that. 21 22 Documents continually, and from the investigators themselves, spoke about the fact that it was 23 difficult because they were finding -- they had 24 25 this misconception that the women possibly were

1		transient and weren't necessarily missing with
2		foul play but were missing because they just
3		weren't being found.
4	Q	Well, you used this phrase, and you used it again
5		just now, "I didn't see any evidence of that."
6		That's a pretty firm statement, fair?
7	A	Evidence as in may have been evidence
8		because I know it's not evidence what I look at.
9		In the documents that I looked at.
10	Q	Oh, in the documents created back then?
11	А	That's correct.
12	Q	But you did see evidence in the interviews
13		suggesting a lack of caring because of who these
14		women were on the part of VPD senior management,
15		right?
16	A	I think I would have to be directed to the
17		interview you're speaking about
18	Q	Sure.
19	А	just to assist me.
20	Q	Yeah, I'll I have it here. I can pull it up
21		later. Deputy Chief LePard of the Vancouver
22		Police interviewed Don Adam and made a record of
23		that discussion I'll find it now so I have the
24		reference in which Don Adam reported that VPD
25		Deputy Chief Unger used the phrase, "They're only

1	hookers," apparently in characterizing why more
2	wasn't being done about the problem. Do you
3	remember seeing that?
4	A No, I don't. I think I'm not saying I didn't
5	see it. I just don't recall that. If you could
6	show that to me or direct me to that, that would
7	probably help.
8	MR. WARD: Sure. It's in Exhibit G, Mr. Registrar.
9	THE REGISTRAR: I'm sorry, which?
10	MR. WARD: Exhibit G, tab 5.
11	THE REGISTRAR: G?
12	MR. WARD: Yes, G For Identification, tab 5, page 2.
13	Q So just to we've heard evidence about this from
14	Doug LePard. Basically, as you can see at the top
15	of the first page, these are Deputy Chief LePard's
16	notes of his interview with Inspector Don Adam,
17	Sean Hern, and Jim McKnight back in March of 2004
18	at Evenhanded's offices. Do you see that
19	A Yes.
20	Q right in the top of the first page?
21	A Yes, I see that.
22	Q You've seen this before in the material you've
23	looked at?
24	A Yeah, I believe so, yes.
25	Q All right. Let's look over at the second page.

1 And this is a quotation attributed to Don Adam. 2 John Unger wanted them to be missings, not 3 murders. "They're just a bunch of hookers." "They're just a bunch of hookers" is the quotation 4 5 attributed to Unger by Adam. Do you see that? б So Adam is saying that Unger said this to him? Α 7 Yes. Ο And he says this to LePard in their interview? 8 Α 9 0 Correct. 10 Α Okay. 11 Now, if that's true, and I expect we'll be hearing 0 from Unger in due course, but if that's true, that 12 13 statement is true that's attributed to Unger, then 14 it suggests that senior management, at least part 15 of senior management, Unger, of the VPD was 16 disdainful of these missing people because of who 17 they were? "They're just hookers." Right? 18 Α If it's true. I interviewed Unger and Adam, and I don't recall -- I don't recall asking this 19 question to confirm or -- I don't believe this 20 came up in my interviews with either one of them. 21 22 It's a pretty important point, isn't it? 0 23 А It is. And just on your interviews of all the police 24 0 25 officers you sat down with, they were -- well, let

1		me put it this way. You accepted what the police
2		officers told you without any sort of critical
3		analysis or probing, didn't you?
4	A	I agree. I didn't interrogate them. I just
5		interviewed them.
6	Q	All right. I want to turn to another subject,
7		please, Deputy Chief, and the starting point for
8		this is your part of the report that deals with
9		methodology. 4-1 is the page number. And just
10		before I draw you to this passage, you've
11		throughout your report when you talk about these
12		concepts of failing to take ownership and the like
13		you've been fairly careful not to name names,
14		fair?
15	А	I would suggest that I broke out when I talked
16		about certain officers I did paragraphs in the
17		report.
18	Q	And you haven't been when you've named names,
19		when you've referred to specific people, police
20		officers, it's fair to say you haven't been overly
21		critical of their actions, right?
22	А	I would say some would say I was and some would
23		say I'm not, so
24	Q	All right. Anyway, if I could take you to page
25		4-1.

1 Α Yes. 2 Under the heading "Methodology" you've written Q 3 this: 4 On November 4th, 2010, I was engaged by the 5 MWCI, 6 Missing Women Commission of Inquiry, 7 as an external advisor, to provide an 8 independent opinion on the Investigations, 9 as defined in the balance of that sentence. Do 10 you see that? 11 А T do. All right. Now, the first word I want to ask you 12 0 13 about is this concept of engagement. You were engaged when you received two initial letters from 14 15 the commission itself, correct, one dated November 4th and a follow-up dated January 31st? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 0 I'll show these two documents to you now and I'll ask you to confirm that these are the engagement 19 20 letters. 21 Thank you. Yes, I see those. Α 22 All right. And you agree that they were 0 considered by you to be engagement letters? 23 24 Α I would agree. 25 MR. WARD: Could we mark those as the next two exhibits,

1 please. 2 The one dated January -- letter dated January THE REGISTRAR: 3 31st, 2011, will be marked as Exhibit number 60. 4 The letter dated 4th of November, 2010, will be 5 61. б (EXHIBIT 60: Document entitled - Letter to Chief 7 H.M. (Mike) Metcalf dated January 31, 2011 from the Missing Women Commission of Inquiry) 8 9 (EXHIBIT 61: Document entitled - Letter to Deputy 10 Chief Jennifer Evans dated November 4, 2010 from 11 the Missing Women Commission of Inquiry) Thank you. 12 MR. WARD: 13 Now, you used your police investigative experience 0 14 to fulfil your task at least insofar as you sought 15 out documents and conducted interviews of people, 16 right? 17 Α Yes. 18 0 And so you would agree that your role or your task was investigative, at least in part? 19 20 Conducting interviews would be investigative. I Α guess you could say that, yes. 21 22 All right. I want to zero in now on another word 0 23 you used in the first paragraph on page 4-1, and 24 that's the word "independent". What did you mean 25 by that?

1	A	Independent?
2	Q	Yes.
3	A	As in I would be providing my opinion.
4	Q	Now, you're aware, I imagine, that this is the
5		third provincial commission of inquiry into
6		policing related issues conducted within the last
7		few years here in BC?
8	A	I'm not sure I was aware of the third.
9	Q	Well, there was Mr. William Davies' commission of
10		inquiry into the death of Frank Paul that issued
11		its final report in February of 2009. You are
12		familiar with that?
13	A	I do.
14	Q	And then there was Mr. Braidwood's commission of
15		inquiry into the death of Robert Dziekanski that
16		issued its final report in May of 2010?
17	A	I recall that, yes.
18	Q	All right. And that latter report came out well
19		before your engagement as I'm going to quote
20		again an external advisor to provide an
21		independent opinion, correct?
22	A	I'll take your word for that on the dates, yes.
23	Q	All right. Now, both the commissioners in those
24		two previous commissions of inquiry stated in the
25		clearest terms that it was their view and

1		recommendation that police should not be
2		investigating police conduct in cases of serious
3		injury or death due to the public perception that
4		police officers could be biased or be in a
5		conflict of interest vis-a-vis other police
6		officers. Are you aware of those conclusions?
7	A	I have heard of those conclusions, yes.
8	Q	This is obviously a case involving many deaths,
9		right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Very serious case?
12	A	Very serious.
13	Q	Can you, based on your dealings with the
14		commission, shed any light on why you, an active
15		police officer, were engaged to do the work you
16		did instead of someone who is truly independent of
17		police?
18	A	I would say that would be a question you would
19		have to ask the commission.
20	Q	You don't know?
21	A	No.
22	Q	All right. You said on Tuesday, yesterday I guess
23		it was I'm losing track of days yesterday
24		morning in response to a question from Ms. Tobias,
25		according to my note, you said this: commission

1 counsel's direction governed what you asked for 2 and who you interviewed. Do you recall saying 3 that? 4 Α No. All right. That's the way I noted it. But do you 5 0 б accept that the direction from commission counsel 7 that you received to do your work governed what documents you requested from the police and who 8 9 you conducted interviews of? Is that --10 No. А 11 -- fair? 0 No, I would disagree. What -- and if I said that, 12 А what I was trying to -- the message I was trying 13 to deliver to Ms. Tobias was that if I formed an 14 15 opinion that I wanted to see a document, I made 16 the request, but I was always told to go through 17 the commission to get the documents. 18 0 I see. You told me on Monday in my brief time 19 with you that -- sorry, just -- oh, that you were directed at some stage in your work not to report 20 21 on the 1998 decision to stay the attempted murder 22 charge and other charges against Robert William 23 Pickton, right? 24 That's correct, and I believe I mentioned that in Α 25 my report.

1	Q	That was after you had reviewed that file in some
2		considerable detail, right?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	How did that direction how was that direction
5		conveyed to you?
6	А	I believe it was a I'm not sure if it was a
7		phone call or if I was here at the time.
8	Q	What about an e-mail?
9	А	No.
10	Q	Might have been an e-mail?
11	A	I don't believe so. I think we had those
12		conversations.
13	MR. VERTLIEB	: Mr. Commissioner, I want to just interject
14		because Mr. Ward I think wrong incorrectly
15		stated, and not intentionally, of course, in his
16		discussion last time that this commission was
17		looking at the Crown and police conduct relating
18		to the to that 1997 charge, and, of course, we
19		are not looking at the Crown and police conduct.
20		The direction is that you are to look at the Crown
21		conduct. And so it would be self-evident to
22		anyone thinking about the terms of reference, I
23		would think, that the police officer doesn't need
24		to look at what the Crown did because the
25		analysis, as has been said a number of times, is

1 going to be given to you by Mr. Celle, who is a 2 lawyer and experienced Crown. So I just wanted to 3 correct that comment. Mr. Ward may have 4 misunderstood that term of reference, and maybe I misheard him, but I think I did hear him 5 6 correctly, and I just wanted it to be clear why 7 she would not be asked to comment on that. THE COMMISSIONER: What does that term of reference read? Why 8 9 don't you read it for us now for Mr. Ward's --10 I can read it. I've got it here. MR. WARD: 11 The terms of reference of the inquiry to be conducted by the commission are as follows, 12 13 this is item 4, item 4(b), consistent with the, 14 15 and there's a typo, British Columbia (Attorney General) v. 16 17 Davies, 2009 BCCA 337, to inquire into and 18 make findings of fact respecting the decision 19 of the Criminal Justice Branch on January 27, 20 1998, to enter a stay of proceedings on 21 charges against Robert William Pickton of 2.2 attempted murder, assault with a weapon, forcible confinement and aggravated assault. 23 And I've read this many times, and carefully, but 24 25 that's what it says.

- 1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. That's exactly what Mr. Vertlieb 2 says.
- 3 MR. WARD: Well, with respect, and I'd like to ask some more 4 questions on this point later, but with respect, looking at why the Crown made a decision to stay a 5 prosecution necessarily involves looking at the б 7 police investigator's role in the investigation, their role as prospective witnesses, their roles 8 9 in so many different ways that it can logically be 10 assumed that a police officer can have some 11 evidence to offer on that point. That's my submission. 12
- 13 MR. VERTLIEB: Well, Mr. Ward may have his own view, but, 14 unfortunately, we took our view and read the terms 15 of reference as we did, and we've asked Mr. Celle 16 to assist, and that's been clear for some months, 17 so I just don't agree that this is an area that 18 need be canvassed as part of your terms of 19 reference.
- THE COMMISSIONER: I agree with Mr. Vertlieb. It's clear to me that term number 4 on the terms of reference refers to the conduct of the Crown to enter a stay of proceedings, and it's unfair for you to ask the deputy chief about police conduct when she was specifically told not to look at the police

1 conduct because the term of reference stands by itself. 2 Thank you. Just a few -- I appreciate --3 MR. WARD: 4 THE COMMISSIONER: I mean, I appreciate -- I think I know what 5 you're saying. I think that you can cross-examine б the Crown about the police evidence that they had 7 that led to the stay of proceedings. That's something that is within your ambit and authority 8 9 to do. 10 MR. WARD: Thank you. I'd just like to leave one or two 11 questions on the point or ask one or two questions on the point before moving on in terms of the 12 13 scope of the engagement, that's all, so that it's on the record just in case it's needed later. 14 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead. What's the next question? 16 MR. WARD: 17 After you got the engagement letters you presumed Q 18 for quite some time, months, that part of your task was to review the '97 file and ultimately 19 20 report on your findings, didn't you? I did look at the files, and it did take 21 Α 22 considerable time, yes. 23 Q And it was only after you did that that you were 24 directed by the commission not to report on it, 25 correct?

A I believe so, yes.

1

- Q Thank you. Now, you started your work -- and I'm still on methodology, and I'm still on this question of independence. You started your work effectively in December of 2010, and you completed it in November of 2011, a little less than a year later, right?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And you came to Vancouver for the purpose of this 10 task for the first time on December 6th, 2010, and 11 met with the commission's executive director, a 12 man named John Boddie and with other commission 13 staff, right?
- 14AI came on that date.I believe I came earlier as15well.
- Q Okay. But, in any event, Mr. Boddie, the executive director, was a principal source of your direction from the commission as you proceeded with your work; is that fair?

20 A That's fair.

- 21 Q You and he had many, many meetings, and you and he 22 exchanged many e-mails about your assignment?
- A I would say we had many conversations. I'm not
  sure about meetings, but conversations and phone
  calls, yes.

1	Q	And you know from your dealings with Mr. Boddie
2		over the year that his prior experience included
3		working for 16 years with the Vancouver Police
4		Department, where he rose to the rank of sergeant?
5	A	I understand that as well, yes.
6	Q	And you understand that he was a colleague of
7		Deputy Chief LePard's for many years?
8	A	No, I don't think I would recall that.
9	Q	All right. Just speaking of Doug LePard, on
10		January the 6th, 2011, early in your work, you
11		received an e-mail from him, LePard, saying that
12		all requests for Vancouver Police Department
13		documents had to go through him, right?
14	А	I trust you on that date, yes.
15	Q	All right.
16	A	I know that changed later on.
17	Q	Fair enough. In the year or so you worked on your
18		assignment you had literally dozens of face-to-
19		face meetings with the RCMP's lawyers and the
20		VPD's lawyers, didn't you?
21	А	I would agree, yes.
22	Q	And you exchanged many e-mails with those lawyers
23		and with members of the Vancouver Police
24		Department and RCMP, right?
25	А	Seeking documents.

## 1 Q Correct?

2 A Correct.

3QAll right. In contrast, you and I never met or4communicated at all prior to Monday, did we?

5 A That's correct.

- 6 Q I, you would agree, had no opportunity at all to 7 influence your report in any way, did I?
- 8 A I'm not sure anyone had an opportunity to
  9 influence my report.
- 10QI'm just talking about opportunity, a window of11opportunity. In your dozens and dozens of12meetings with RCMP and police lawyers, sitting13down with them, talking to them, there were14opportunities for them to make comments or15suggestions to you about your work and how to go16about it, right?
- 17 A No, I would disagree.
- Q All right. I'm not saying they used those
  opportunities. I'm just saying they had
  opportunities.
- A I would agree with the fact that over the months I met with the Department of Justice lawyers as well as lawyers from the -- who represent the Vancouver Police Department.

25 Q All right. I want to turn next, please, to the

1		interviews that you conducted while you were
2		working on the preparation of your report.
3		They're at Appendix B-1 and 2 of it. The list,
4		rather.
5	A	Sorry. My report? Okay. Sorry.
6	Q	Yes.
7	A	I didn't understand that.
8	Q	Yes. I'm sorry, your report. Appendix B, pages 1
9		and 2.
10	A	Yes, I see that.
11	Q	This is a list of 56 people. Do you see that?
12	A	I do.
13	Q	And it looks like all but two of them are employed
14		by one police department or another, right?
15	A	As well as the New Westminster Police, so three
16		police departments.
17	Q	That's what I meant by one yeah, one of three
18		police departments.
19	A	I agree.
20	Q	All right. The exceptions are Stephen Fonseca of
21		the coroner's office and number 24? I believe it
22		should actually read Freda Ens.
23	A	Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, you're right.
24	Q	Freda Ens, correct?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Those are the two non-police people you
2		interviewed?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	You didn't interview any of my clients?
5	A	No, I did not.
6	Q	By that I mean you didn't interview any of the
7		family members of the missing to get their
8		knowledge of the quality of the police
9		investigations that you were reviewing, correct?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	You didn't interview Wayne Leng, who was you
12		know to be the man who received the Hiscox tip,
13		the man who created a website and a 1-800 number
14		trying to draw attention to the missing women, the
15		man who worked tirelessly, tirelessly to try to
16		get the Vancouver Police Department to respond to
17		his concerns that Sarah de Vries and others were
18		going missing from the streets? You didn't
19		interview that man, correct?
20	A	I did not. No, I did not.
21	Q	And the way I've described him is accurate, isn't
22		it? Friend of Sarah de Vries
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	worked tirelessly
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	set up a website, a 1-800 number, tried his
2		darnedest to get the VPD to take notice of his
3		friend's disappearance and that of the other
4		women, fair?
5	А	I saw evidence of that, yes.
6	Q	You didn't interview him?
7	A	No, I did not.
8	Q	All right. You didn't interview Bonnie Fournier,
9		whose close connection to the missing women and to
10		the VPD investigators who were supposed to be
11		looking into the disappearances is well documented
12		in Stevie Cameron's book <i>On the Farm</i> ? You didn't
13		interview her, right?
14	A	No, I did not.
15	Q	Again, my brief description of her involvement in
16		the matter is accurate, right?
17	A	I wouldn't be able to speak to the accuracy of
18		those comments.
19	Q	All right. You didn't interview David Pickton
20		about his and his brother's interactions with the
21		RCMP over the decades prior to the search of the
22		farm in February of 2002, did you?
23	А	No, I did not.
24	Q	You didn't interview Pat Casanova or Bill Hiscox
25		or the other civilian informants?

1	А	I believe Pat Casanova is deceased now, but, no, I
2		did not interview those two either.
3	Q	Caldwell, Best, and Menard. Similarly, you didn't
4		interview them?
5	А	No.
б	Q	You know based on your experience as a police
7		investigator that those people would likely have
8		something to say about the way in which police
9		investigators responded to their information,
10		their dealings with them, right?
11	A	I would agree.
12	Q	You didn't interview Bev Hyacinthe, did you?
13	А	No, I did not.
14	Q	And you, based on your review of the files, are
15		well aware that she was a civilian employee of the
16		Coquitlam's RCMP Detachment who lived near the
17		Pickton brothers, who knew them for decades and
18		who was aware of their activities, right?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And she, in fact, was considered by some police
21		officers to be a conduit of information from the
22		RCMP to the Picktons about what, if anything, the
23		RCMP were doing in their investigation, right?
24	A	I'm not sure I would be able to agree with
25		conduit. I saw at one point that Corporal Connor

1		was speaking to her at some point and she was
2		advising him that Pickton was aware that he was
3		under surveillance.
4	Q	Right. But you didn't interview that woman?
5	A	No, I did not.
б	Q	All right. You didn't interview any of the
7		Vancouver sex trade workers who survived their
8		trips to the Pickton brothers' properties?
9	А	No, I did not.
10	Q	You would agree with me that there are two sides
11		to every story?
12	A	I would agree.
13	Q	All right. And you would agree with me that what
14		civilians would have to say about their dealings
15		with police investigators would be an important
16		side to hear if you wanted to get the full story
17		of how those investigations were being conducted?
18		That's fair, isn't it?
19	A	That's fair.
20	Q	You chose to consider only one side of this story,
21		the story set out in police files and set out by
22		police comments to you, right?
23	A	I was given direction from the commission that
24		they would be interviewing the civilian members
25		and I would be restricting my interviews to the

1 police.

2	Q	I see. And how did that direction come to you?
3	A	I'm not sure if it was verbally.
4	Q	From whom?
5	A	From commission counsel.
6	Q	Now, I'm still on methodology.
7	A	Sorry, that
8	Q	Sorry.
9	A	Sorry, commission counsel probably through Mr.
10		Boddie.
11	Q	All right. Now, at the beginning of your task, of
12		your assignment you appreciated that you were
13		reviewing events that took place quite a long time
14		ago, 10 to 15 years ago, right?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And you know, of course, based on all your police
17		experience that after the passage of so much time
18		memories can become unreliable?
19	A	I agree.
20	Q	So one of your first priorities was to set about
21		gathering up all the available documents related
22		to the events of 10 to 15 years ago?
23	A	I agree.
24	Q	And fortunately, because you were dealing with
25		police, who are professional record keepers, you

1		expected that all the documents you needed would
2		be available, right?
3	A	I am not convinced I would agree with professional
4		records keepers, but I expected some documents
5		would be available, and they were.
6	Q	All right. In particular, you expected that
7		police officers would still have their notes?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Just on that point, that's because in your
10		experience police officers have a duty to keep
11		notes, that it's their invariable practice to keep
12		notes and it's their invariable practice to
13		preserve their notes by filing away their
14		notebooks once they fill them so that they are
15		available for use later on?
16	A	I would agree.
17	Q	All right. And you expected that you would find
18		e-mails between police officers because from 1997
19		onwards e-mails were a very, very common form of
20		business communication, right?
21	A	I saw evidence of certain e-mails, yes.
22	Q	Well, I'm not I am going to get to that in a
23		moment. You say you saw evidence of certain
24		e-mails?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Now, my question was slightly different. At the
2		outset of your task, your assignment, you expected
3		to find lots and lots of inter-police
4		communication in e-mails from 1997 through to
5		2002, didn't you?
б	A	No, I'm not sure I would have expected that
7		because I having worked in one of my previous
8		jobs within the police department being in charge
9		of information management, I think police are
10		still trying to get a handle on the best way to
11		manage all the information within the police
12		departments, so e-mails in particular.
13	Q	Let me just touch on e-mails for a moment. You
14		would agree, just speaking very generally now, I'm
15		not talking about police, that e-mails can be a
16		very fertile source of evidence, right?
17	А	Evidence of what?
18	Q	Of the facts set out in the correspondence.
19	А	I believe e-mails often provide insight into
20		conversations because people often send e-mails
21		like they are talking, so
22	Q	Right.
23	А	I would agree with that.
24	Q	So people are that's another way of saying
25		people are more candid when they communicate via

1 e-mail than they might be in writing a formal 2 letter? 3 Α I would agree. Now, tell me about your problems getting RCMP 4 0 e-mails? You couldn't -- you couldn't find or 5 б receive very much at all in the way of RCMP e-mail 7 communication, could you? No, I could not. 8 Α 9 0 And that wasn't because the RCMP didn't use 10 e-mail, that's because they wouldn't be produced 11 to you for some reason, right? I don't think I can speak to the reasons why I 12 Α 13 didn't see them. I just know I didn't see a lot 14 of them. 15 All right. And that concerned you? 0 16 Yes. Α 17 All right. And the adequacy of document Q 18 disclosure from the two police forces really 19 concerned and frustrated you as you tried to work on this project, didn't it? 20 Certain times I was very frustrated, yes. 21 Α 22 Let me ask you about one of those times. You 0 23 became so frustrated at the police apparent refusal to provide you with the documents you were 24 25 seeking that you've noted that you were going to

1		include in your report a description of the
2		problems you were having in that regard, right?
3	А	Can you direct me to
4	Q	Something?
5	A	I'm not surprised by I wouldn't disagree with
6		your comments. I was very frustrated about the
7		disclosure and the type of disclosure I was
8		getting in the format they were I was receiving
9		it in.
10	Q	Sure. I will be more specific. The problem is I
11		lost my sticky. It fell off the page. But I'll
12		come back to that in a moment. Let me ask you
13		this. Did you turn your mind to this question
14		with respect to the lack of e-mails between to
15		and from RCMP members relating to the missing
16		women cases in '97 and 2002, to how it could be
17		that e-mail communications made about Canada's
18		worst serial killing by Canada's members of
19		Canada's national police force could not be
20		available?
21	A	I can only put my mind to what I observed and what
22		I read, and I couldn't say that there was
23		documents out there that were not being disclosed
24		to me.
25	Q	Well, you can't prove a negative is what you're

saying?
5

- 2 A Yes.
- Q But from all your police experience going back to V83, your knowledge of how police communicated with each other, you believed that there would be a -- there would be a body of e-mail communication between RCMP members and perhaps between RCMP and VPD members relating to the issue of the missing women investigations?
- 10 I believe I commented on -- in my report А 11 somewhere, and I wouldn't know what page it was either, that I found that a lot of the 12 communications that I observed were one-13 directional, meaning I saw a lot of documentation 14 15 from the Corporal Connors and the Detective 16 Constable Shenhers, but I didn't see a lot of 17 communication from senior management.
- 18 Q I found the little reference I was looking for.
  19 Do you have your notebooks with you?
- 20AActually, I have my notebooks back in the -- there21they are. They are being brought up to me now.
- 22 Q Just as they arrive, you as a diligent and 23 conscientious police investigator trained to take 24 notes, you kept notes of your work on this file, 25 didn't you?

1 I kept notes on my -- on my dealings with this Α 2 file, yes. And they form part of your working papers, as 3 0 4 lawyers like to call them? 5 Α I understand that now, yes. б All right. And I'd like to ask you about what you 0 7 recorded on August the 17th, 2011, about your frustration with respect to getting relevant 8 9 documents. Just before I ask you what you wrote 10 let me ask you just another question about this. 11 Sorry, Witness, can I --Sorry, I'm listening. 12 Α 13 -- ask you an introductory question? Sorry. Let 0 14 me just ask you this. When you took the 15 assignment from the commission, it's fair to say, 16 I suggest, that you assumed, since there had been 17 this very long criminal trial with Robert William 18 Pickton, there had been appeals, the appeals had been exhausted, you assumed that the files would 19 20 be available and organized and ready to review, fair? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 Q All right. And you found that wasn't the case at 24 all? 25 Α That's correct.

1 Now, on your frustrations, by August -- now, you'd 0 2 been working on this since, what, December of the 3 previous year? 4 That's correct. Α August 17, 2011, middle of the page in your 5 0 б notebook you start an entry that says this, if I'm 7 reading your writing --My handwriting. 8 Α 9 0 Better than a doctor's. 10 Thank you. Α 11 It says this. Star, meaning important, correct? 0 12 Α Yes. "Address issue of late disclosure in report." 13 0 And then you refer to just receiving some things. 14 And 15 then in capital letters you've written this, 16 again, if I can read it, just above the entry for 17 19:30, "RIDICULOUS" in capital letters, correct? 18 А Yes. 19 And what you're referring to there is you were 0 getting some material after you had conducted 20 21 interviews in which the material would have been 22 very, very helpful? 23 А Yes. And you perceived that the disclosure of 24 0 25 documents, especially from the RCMP, was being

1		handled in a way that, to use your word in
2		capitals, was ridiculous?
3	A	Yes, it would appear I was very frustrated that
4		day.
5	Q	And so as you sit here today, having written the
6		report, you have, I suggest, little confidence
7		that all of the relevant documents that would be
8		necessary to conduct a proper and thorough review
9		of the missing women investigations were, in fact,
10		made available, agree?
11	А	I would say that I reviewed literally thousands
12		and thousands of documents over the months. Am I
13		convinced I reviewed every single document? No.
14	Q	Well, the question was a little bit different.
15		There's a very, very strong possibility, indeed
16		probability, that relevant documents, like RCMP
17		e-mails, for example, simply weren't made
18		available, correct?
19	MS. HOFFMAN:	Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to stand and object
20		at this point. I don't have the correspondence
21		available to me at this moment I'm getting some
22		feedback here but it has been explained to the
23		commission, that was a question that was raised
24		early on, with respect to the disclosure of RCMP
25		e-mails, and we did correspond with commission

counsel on that point, and we explained that all 1 2 e-mails that were relevant were retained in the paper files and that it would be disclosed along 3 4 with all the relevant documents that would be disclosed. The RCMP has an e-mail retention 5 6 policy, and had at the time, that most e-mails, 7 unless they were printed out and put on the file, would be destroyed after 90 days. That was the 8 9 situation that was explained to commission 10 counsel, and I think it's a bit unfair that my 11 friend is not referring to that. I understand that he would have had access to that 12 13 correspondence. At one point he asked for 14 correspondence that was between the commission and the Department of Justice on the issue of document 15 16 disclosure, so I'm sure he is aware of that 17 correspondence. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Yes, Mr. Vertlieb. MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Boddie confirms the accuracy of what you 19 just heard from Ms. Hoffman. 20 21 MR. WARD: 2.2 Given that explanation, does it make any sense to 0 you that in the days after February 5, 2002, when 23 the RCMP has learned and is starting to learn that 24

120

they're investigating what may well be Canada's

worst ever serial killing, that relevant e-mails 1 2 from say the three months prior would be expunged? I don't think I could answer that question, sir. 3 Α 4 Let me put it another way. 0 THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute. 5 б MR. VERTLIEB: Just one concern. I know Mr. Brongers, if he 7 was here, would be saying, as I've heard him say on other occasions --8 THE COMMISSIONER: 9 Sorry. 10 MR. VERTLIEB: Mr. Brongers, if he was here, would be saying 11 you can't inquire into RCMP policies. I just want to put that -- I just want to restate that. 12 Ι know you are aware of it, but I'm not sure that 13 everyone else would be, because you're a 14 15 provincial commission of inquiry. So whatever Mr. 16 Ward thinks of the RCMP policies is not something, 17 Mr. Commissioner, that you can really deal with as 18 I understand the jurisdictional law. THE COMMISSIONER: But even more so, it's unfair to ask this 19 witness about that RCMP policy. 20 21 I certainly didn't mean to be unfair, and if I was, MR. WARD: 2.2 I apologize. 23 Q You've heard Ms. Hoffman's statement. As I understood it, the RCMP, according to Ms. Hoffman, 24 25 RCMP counsel, would have preserved paper copies of

1		relevant e-mails pertaining to the investigations.
2		I think I understood her statement to that effect.
3	A	I would agree that's what she said.
4	Q	You didn't see e-mails, paper or otherwise, of
5		any let me put it this way. You felt after
6		reviewing the files that there was a complete
7		deficiency, lack of e-mail communications, paper
8		or otherwise, for you to review, right?
9	A	I think I wouldn't characterize it as complete
10		deficiency. I recall that I didn't see a lot of
11		e-mails with regards to the RCMP.
12	Q	And that was certainly something that as you did
13		your review you were concerned about?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Now, on this question of sufficiency of document
16		production, I want to put this in the next few
17		questions in further context. I showed you
18		earlier all those media accounts.
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	So you agree that by early 1999 the subject of the
21		women going missing from the streets of Vancouver
22		was attracting significant media and public
23		attention, right?
24	A	I would agree.
25	Q	Now, you've seen and you've commented on already

1		the fact there was a meeting in April of 1999 of
2		senior RCMP and senior Vancouver Police Department
3		members with the Attorney General of this province
4		and other cabinet ministers, right?
5	A	I don't I believe that I was unable to locate
6		the exact date when that occurred. I think
7		somebody was trying to direct me yesterday as to
8		the date it occurred, but I am just looking at my
9		timeline to refresh my memory.
10	Q	I can assist.
11	A	Thank you.
12	Q	If you could be shown, please, Deputy Chief
13		LePard's report, Exhibit 1.
14	A	Thank you.
15	Q	And please, once you have it, turn to page 90. So
16		let me try to put this in further context, and
17		you'll probably remember this, but I can help you
18		with references to the documents if you need them.
19	A	Thank you.
20	Q	Okay. There's all this media attention about the
21		missing women issue?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Sarah de Vries's sister Maggie, who ultimately
24		wrote a whole book about her experience with the
25		case of her sister's disappearance, was writing

1		letters to senior government officials, the
2		Attorney General, the premier, the mayor,
3		demanding attention?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And her sister was Wayne Leng's friend, and
6		likewise, since back in 1998, the time of her
7		disappearance, he'd been clamoring for the police
8		to do something about these cases, right?
9	A	I would agree, yeah.
10	Q	So there's this public clamor about government
11		addressing the problem, and it is in this context
12		that according to Deputy Chief LePard all these
13		senior people get together on April the 9th, 1999,
14		and he describes the meeting at page 90 of his
15		report, first full paragraph. Do you see that?
16	A	I do, yes.
17	Q	Let me just read that quickly, if I may.
18		On April 9th, 1999, Deputy Chief Constable
19		McGuinness, Detective Constable Shenher, and
20		Acting Inspector Boyd met with Superintendent
21		Gary Bass from E Division Serious Crime,
22		Attorney General Ujjal Dosanjh, Deputy
23		Attorney General Steven Stackhouse, several
24		other cabinet ministers and their aides,
25		and then there's a portion in parenthesis I won't

read. So you see the list of attendees? 1 2 Yes. Α In the course of your review you considered this 3 0 4 to be a pretty important meeting in the context of 5 the progress of the investigations, right? б Α Yes. 7 And you found absolutely no written record created 0 by anyone of what was discussed there, didn't you? 8 9 Α That's correct. 10 Now, you've dealt over the course of your career, Q 11 especially more recently, as a very senior police officer, deputy chief, with government officials? 12 13 I have. Α 14 Ο And your counterparts in other police forces? 15 Α Yes. And you know, based on your own experience in 16 0 17 Ontario at least, that when there are important 18 meetings involving senior police, less senior police, cabinet ministers, their aides, someone is 19 20 going to make a record of it? I would think so, yes. 21 Α 22 I suggest that when you addressed this -- tried to 0 address what happened at this meeting you found it 23 unbelievable that there were no notes or records 24 25 of what was said, didn't you?

1	А	I was surprised that I was unable to locate any
2		documents with regards to this meeting.
3	Q	All right. Do you know if anybody well, you
4		would expect that one or more of the RCMP
5		personnel in attendance, one or more of the VPD
6		personnel in attendance would have made notes?
7	A	I would have expected that, yes.
8	Q	And given what you know about government, you
9		would expect that one or more of the cabinet
10		ministers, or more likely their aides
11	A	Their aides.
12	Q	would have made notes?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Do you know whether attempts were made to get at
15		least the government records of the meeting?
16	А	I know I did not make any attempts to get any
17		notes from the government aides.
18	Q	And, again, since this happened back in 1999, some
19		12 years ago, you can't rely on people's memories
20		of what happened there? It would be better to
21		have records that were made at the time, wouldn't
22		it?
23	A	I would have relied with a stronger weight based
24		on the documents as opposed to someone's memory,
25		yes, I agree with that.

Let me ask you about what my clients at least 1 0 2 consider to be another important meeting around that time, a meeting of May 19th, 1999, at VPD 3 4 headquarters that has been characterized as a brainstorming session. 5 б Α Yes. 7 Do you remember that? Ο I do recall reading documents on that, yes. 8 Α 9 Q And the document -- there's one -- my -- my 10 efforts have revealed that there's one document 11 relating to this meeting. I'm sorry, it's May 13th at the 312 Main Street boardroom of the 12 Vancouver Police Department. It's found here, Mr. 13 Commissioner and counsel, Exhibit 45, Volume 1, 14 15 Phase 3, tab 26. It's a document that's a page 16 and a bit in length. Mr. Registrar, do you have 17 it at hand? 18 THE REGISTRAR: I'm just --19 THE COMMISSIONER: That's all right. Go ahead. I can follow 20 along. Sorry, what was the exhibit number? 21 Α 22 MR. WARD: Mr. Registrar is going to get it for you. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh. MR. WARD: And if I may, Mr. Commissioner, perhaps I could just 24 25 show the witness my copy.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 2 MR. WARD: It will move things along quickly. 3 А Thank you. Yes, I recall seeing this document. 4 MR. WARD: And --5 THE REGISTRAR: Excuse me, Mr. Ward. Would you clarify which б document that was again? 45. 7 MR. WARD: Yes. It's --THE REGISTRAR: That's the LePard's documents. 8 9 MR. WARD: It's in the LePard binders, as they are known. 10 Exhibit 45, Volume 1, Phase 3, tab 26. 11 And this you've seen before? 0 I have. 12 Α 13 And it's described as a brainstorming session 0 attended by 19 police officers from -- mostly from 14 15 the Vancouver Police Department but including two RCMP members and an individual from the National 16 17 Crime Faculty of the UK? 18 Α Yes, I see that. 19 And do you agree that this seems to be the only 0 20 available record of this brainstorming session, 21 which was about the issue of the missing women, 2.2 that's in existence or that you've seen? I saw a reference to a document. Let me just --23 А I'm referring to my timeline, and I am unable to 24 25 provide a concordance number, but I'm sure someone

1 would be able to assist. I saw a document from an 2 RCMP officer that talked about that meeting as well, and I have it on my timeline as line item 3 4 number 467 from a constable from the RCMP Burnaby -- I am probably going to butcher his 5 б name -- Zaporozan. 7 Bev Zaporozan? 0 Yes. And I have -- there was a continuation 8 А 9 report submitted that made reference to that 10 meeting as well, so that was the other document I 11 saw. Thank you. Do you have the concordance number? 12 0 13 I'll get it later. 14 А I can get it for you. THE COMMISSIONER: I think we'll stop there. 15 16 MR. WARD: Okay. Thank you. 17 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now adjourn until 2:00 p.m. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:30 P.M.) 18 (PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 2:00 P.M.) 19 20 THE REGISTRAR: Order. This hearing is now resumed. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Ward. 2.2 MR. WARD: Thank you. 23 Q Just before the lunch break, Deputy Chief, I had drawn your attention to one of our exhibits, which 24 25 was a record of this May 13th brainstorming

1		session at the VPD headquarters, and I have a few
2		more questions to ask you about that. Just to
3		summarize what you said just before lunch, you
4		said that in addition to this brief typewritten
5		record you had located a I think it was a
6		handwritten note or notes of the Burnaby RCMP
7		member Bev Zaporozan in the files?
8	A	It was a continuation report by that officer.
9	Q	I see. So part of a continuation report?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	All right. And you've given us the database
12		reference for that?
13	A	I believe it was you received it.
14	Q	Now, you can see and again putting this in
15		context, May of 1999, this is, of course, a
16		considerable period of time after the informant
17		Hiscox has come forward and provided his
18		information to Detective Constable Shenher of the
19		VPD and in turn Corporal Mike Connor of the
20		Coquitlam RCMP, correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	All right. Pickton has been considered by those
23		two individuals at least to be a prime suspect in
24		the disappearances of the women; is that fair?
25	А	That's fair.

1	Q	There's been lots and lots of media coverage of
2		the issue or problem of the women going missing
3		from the Downtown Eastside?
4	A	Yes, I understand that.
5	Q	And so a brainstorming session is convened, as
6		evidenced by this document?
7	A	That's correct.
8	Q	And a brainstorming session is a good idea
9		whenever police are having difficulty with a case
10		because getting more and more points of view can
11		be of assistance?
12	A	Great idea, yes.
13	Q	And there are several familiar names or names that
14		recur in your and Deputy Chief LePard's report in
15		this list of attendees, correct?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	For instance, there's Sergeant Geramy Field?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And I don't mean to minimize the involvement of
20		others, but just picking some out, there's Al
21		Howlett, Brock Giles, correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	There's Kim Rossmo, the Dr. Kim Rossmo, the
24		acclaimed
25	A	Geographic profiler.

1	Q	geographic profiler?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	There's Lori Shenher herself?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Keith Davidson, an RCMP profiler?
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	There's Dan Dickhout, Dave Dickson, and Doug
8		LePard?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	And at this point in time Doug LePard was one of
11		two members of the Vancouver Police Department
12		with major case management training and
13		experience?
14	A	I understand that, yes.
15	Q	He was then a sergeant?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And according to the note that appears after the
18		indication that there's representation from these
19		various units, it looks like at this brainstorming
20		session there was an introduction by Sergeant
21		Field followed by an overview by Lori Shenher. Do
22		you see that?
23	А	I do.
24	Q	And then some suggestions. I just want to ask you
25		about the last one, "Acc I file re hooker run over

1 in Burnaby." Do you know what that is a reference 2 to?

- 3 A No, I don't, actually.
- 4 Q Were you able to determine who created this 5 record?
- A No, I was not. I don't recall. I'll just check my timeline to see if I sourced it. No. I notice that it was -- it was contained within three different sources, but I was unable to say -- it doesn't say on the bottom that you would see or I would see that says who typed this up.
- Q Wouldn't you expect, given all your experience in policing, that more of these 17 attendees, all of whom are police officers coming from various units or departments or forces, would have made notes in their notebooks of what was going on at this brainstorming session?
- 18 A Yes, I would have.
- 19QBut, in any event, you weren't able to obtain20anything more than the ones you've referenced, the21records you've indicated, right?
- A Yeah. I would just -- I would have to look at the three source documents that I have indicated in my timeline to be sure, but I don't recall seeing notes.

1	Q	Now, I want to ask you about Doug LePard. With
2		his major case management experience, he's brought
3		into this brainstorming session, obviously, right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And obviously, I suggest, the issue of the missing
6		women is so important it's attracting the
7		attention of all these police officers, most of
8		whom are within the VPD, correct?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Were you able to find in your review of the files
11		anything to suggest that Sergeant LePard, as he
12		then was, did anything at all in furtherance of
13		the missing women investigations after May 13th,
14		1999?
15	A	No, I did not.
16	Q	Wouldn't it be incumbent on all police officers in
17		a department like the Vancouver Police Department
18		dealing with an issue of this significance to take
19		some ownership, to use your phrase, of the issue
20		and do as much as they could to assist in solving
21		the problem?
22	A	I think that's why they attended the meeting, to
23		assist. I'm not necessarily sure it would be fair
24		for me to say that they should have taken
25		ownership because I would suspect that when they

1attended this meeting they recognized that Geramy2Field and Lori Shenher had ownership of the3investigation.

- Q And you would agree that given the scope and size
  of the problem it really wasn't sufficient for
  those two women and those two women alone to have,
  as you put it, ownership of the investigation of
  all of these missing women, correct?
- 9 A I would agree.
- 10 Now, still on the subject of your reviewing Q 11 documents in the course of your work, I want to give you one more example of what I'm going to 12 13 suggest is another deficiency in document availability, all right, and this one -- this will 14 15 be the last of the examples. This one is a 16 document that's in evidence. It's Exhibit 45A, 17 Volume 2, Phase 4, tab 12. And I will again --18 oh, you've got it at hand. Thank you. I'll give 19 you that again. Exhibit 45A, Volume 2, Phase 4, 20 tab 12.

```
21 A Thank you.
```

```
22 THE COMMISSIONER: Tab?
```

- 23MR. WARD:12.Phase 4, tab 12.They're divided in phases and24then there's a tab.
- 25 Q You've seen this before?

1	A	Yes, I have.
2	Q	Just to put this in context, my understanding is
3		that this is a memorandum that Lori Shenher,
4		Detective Constable Lori Shenher of the VPD
5		prepared essentially summarizing her work as she
6		was about to leave her assignment as the missing
7		women's investigator?
8	A	That's my understanding, yes.
9	Q	And just for background, she started that work in?
10	А	July 1998.
11	Q	July 1998. And towards the end of 2000 she left,
12		and there's an indication that she was burned out?
13	А	I understand that, yes.
14	Q	And so this is the memo that she prepared and sent
15		to Inspector Spencer and Sergeant Field providing
16		an overview of her work to that point?
17	A	That's correct.
18	Q	I want to ask you about something I asked
19		Detective sorry, Deputy Chief LePard about, and
20		that's a passage that appears on page 6. And here
21		Detective Constable Shenher lists the various
22		avenues she's pursued in order to further the
23		investigation. Do you see that?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And under the heading "VPD" she's written this:

1		I have made regular submissions to the VPD
2		Patrol Bulletin Board, all sworn members'
3		email and the Patrol briefing boards in each
4		District advising them of updates in the case
5		and asking members to forward any information
6		they may gather on these files to us.
7		She goes on to say:
8		This has been moderately successful, however
9		despite our best efforts at making members
10		aware of this investigation, many seem to
11		have little knowledge of it. We continue to
12		ask for members to pass on any information
13		they feel we should be aware of.
14		Do you see that?
15	A	I do.
16	Q	And she's addressing essentially, at least in
17		part, a concept you've defined as recognition,
18		right?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	She's saying in effect, "Look, I've been regularly
21		telling everyone in the department via e-mail of
22		our of the status of this case. I've asked for
23		their input, but," and I'm paraphrasing, "there
24		doesn't seem to be any recognition of the issue."
25		That's essentially what she's saying? Many

1 members don't seem to be aware of it? 2 Yes, I would agree. Α And that's despite all that media attention. 3 0 4 That's a problem, isn't it? 5 Α I would say yes. 6 But let me ask you this. In terms of the records 0 you were reviewing, did you find regular e-mail 7 submissions, which according to Shenher were sent 8 9 to each and every member, regular member, of the 10 VPD, regular status reports from July of '98 to 11 the end of 2000?I would have to look over my timeline. 12 А I would 13 have -- I would have put that information in my 14 timeline. 15 Whatever you found you put in the timeline? 0 16 I would say yes. А 17 All right. All right. Can you respond in a Q 18 general way saying whether you found say monthly updates or anything of the sort? 19 Not that I recall. I recall seeing correspondence 20 Α 21 from Detective Constable Shenher and actually 22 inviting patrol officers to come up and, "Hey, we're on the third floor. Come and visit us." I 23 recall seeing some correspondence by Detective 24 25 Constable Shenher to the uniform patrol inviting

them to come up and see her and discuss regarding
 the missing women issues.

- Q When she comes I'll ask her what she meant by regular and find out how frequent those were, but if say they were being sent on a monthly basis to the computer of every regular member, you would expect to find those records and to be able to review them and get status reports that way, right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11QI am going to leave this area of document12disclosure with this last question. Is it fair to13say then that you were frustrated by the nature of14document disclosure to you, that you had concerns15that documents weren't being made available, but16you worked with what you got and you did your best17with it? Is that a fair summary of --
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q -- that issue?
- 20 Thank you. I'm going to move next, Deputy 21 Chief, to the part of your report that you entitle 22 "Pickton as a suspect".
- A Yes.
- Q And that's found at page 8-46.
- 25 A Thank you.

1QJust before I start asking you questions I'd like2to give you some geographic context for this part3of my questioning.

4 A Thank you.

5 Q Thank you, Mr. Registrar. I'm showing you, Deputy 6 Chief, Exhibit 57, which is a map in aerial 7 photographic form of the Lower Mainland and 8 indicating the respective positions or respective 9 locations, rather, of the Coquitlam RCMP 10 Detachment, the Pickton property in Port 11 Coquitlam, and the Maple Ridge RCMP Detachment.

- 12 A Yes, I see those.
- 13 That's Exhibit 57. And then I'm also showing you 0 14 another map that's actually behind you, which is a 15 close-up showing that part of Port Coquitlam with 16 three locations identified as firstly the Pickton 17 brothers' property at 953 Dominion Ave. Across 18 the street and slightly to the east is 930 Dominion Avenue. And over on Burns Road further 19 east is 2252 Burns Road, the location of what was 20 known as Piggy's Palace. 21

22 A I see that. Thank you.

23QAnd you're somewhat familiar with those places?24AYes.

25 Q All right. As you embarked on your assignment you

1		reviewed documents in connection with the police
2		knowledge of Robert William Pickton with a view to
3		ascertaining what they knew about him and when
4		they knew it; is that a fair summary?
5	A	Yes.
б	Q	And you learned that he was the suspect in a 1985
7		murder; is that right?
8	A	1985 murder?
9	Q	1985.
10	A	No.
11	Q	Let me come back let me come back to that. You
12		found a 1990 file in which he was a suspect in a
13		sexual assault?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you reference some CPIC checks at page 8-46 of
16		your report relating to that that matter,
17		correct?
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	Now, in looking at your notes, your handwritten
20		notes that you had made in the course of your
21		review, it seemed that you reviewed the 1990 file
22		over two days, March 20th and 21st of last year.
23		You can go ahead and confirm that with me
24	A	Thank you. Okay.
25	Q	for me, if you will.

1	А	Yes, I see that.
2	Q	What was in that file? What do you recall of the
3		contents of that file?
4	A	That file was disclosed to everyone. I don't have
5		written down what I recall with regards to the
б		1990 file was that there was a request for
7		assistance made by the Surrey RCMP Detachment that
8		an officer from Coquitlam RCMP Detachment would do
9		a drive-by at the Pickton residence and to
10		determine if a vehicle was located at the
11		residence, and the CPIC checks revealed that the
12		officer, Wilson, had conducted the residence
13		check, and then I observed that he updated from
14		what I recall it was a computer-automated
15		dispatch, CAD report I think is what we call it,
16		and that he updated to say that:
17		Assist Surrey detachment re sexual assault.
18		Requested an address to be checked for
19		suspect vehicle. Vehicle was not located.
20	Q	Right. And did you also find that Don Adam, who
21		was then at Surrey, had some involvement in the
22		investigation relating to that?
23	А	Yes. I think the information came to Corporal
24		Connor in 1998, I believe. I'm just trying to get
25		through my head here my memory on this one.

I'm not trying to test your memory. 1 0 2 No, I know that. I think it first came to my Α attention when Corporal Connor, after he 3 4 investigated the incident from 1997, was 5 submitting his ViCLAS submission and entered 6 information with regards to this incident on the fax, on the front page of a fax which would have 7 been attached to his submission to the ViCLAS 8 9 Unit. 10 All right. Q 11 And then I saw reference to this incident again in Α I believe it was November of 1998 after he had 12 13 been receiving information from Lori Shenher about 14 Pickton, that he made inquiries with Don Adam at 15 that time. And just on Don Adam on this point of when the 16 0 17 police knew something about the Picktons and what 18 they knew about them, Don Adam prior to being with the Surrey RCMP was, in fact, with the Coquitlam 19 Detachment of the RCMP? 20 I believe you're correct. I believe he said that 21 Α 2.2 in his interview. 23 Q And you've seen his interview statement to the effect that he knew the Picktons when he was there 24 25 and described them, and I'm paraphrasing, but like

1		the hillbillies in <i>Deliverance</i> ?
2	A	I understand he said something similar to that,
3		yes.
4	Q	So Don Adam, who later went on to head up the JFO,
5		had had some prior experience with Robert William
6		Pickton and his brother David dating all the way
7		back to when he was at Coquitlam continuing to
8		when he was posted at Surrey and was involved in
9		that alleged investigating that alleged sexual
10		assault?
11	А	It would appear that way, yes.
12	Q	All right. Now, you reviewed the available
13		records about Robert William Pickton, and you
14		arranged to do your own offline CPIC search,
15		correct?
16	А	Yes, that's correct.
17	Q	And in particular, last August, August 28th, you
18		spent much of the morning going over that material
19		in anticipation of attending at Kent Institution
20		and interviewing Robert William Pickton, correct?
21	A	I believe so, yes.
22	Q	I am going to ask you about your notes made August
23		28th, 2011, and in particular on the second page
24		of your entries for that or, pardon me, first
25		page of your entries you made an entry, if I read

you writing, saying "lure to Coquitlam", right?
 Second line from the bottom.

3 A Yes.

4 What were you finding or recording with that note? 0 This was in -- this was my notes that I was making 5 Α because I was aware that I would not be able to 6 7 record an interview with Mr. Pickton, so I was reviewing documents in my hotel room and then 8 9 making notes in my notebook because I knew I could 10 bring my notebook in to interview him with, so 11 this was one of the questions I was going to ask him, is how was he able to lure women to 12 Coquitlam, so that's what that is in reference to. 13 You considered it obviously a necessary part of 14 0 15 your work to conduct this interview of Mr. 16 Pickton?

17 A Yes.

Q And over on the next page you make a number of notes about, if I understand your notes, things that were seized from the Pickton properties. Can you, starting on the third line, just tell us what you recorded and what the source of this information was?

24AI'm not sure I can remember the source. I know it25was in documents I was reviewing at the time. My

1		notes indicate "boots seized in '97 incident had
2		DNA of Borhaven", which is one of the victims.
3		And then I have the notes "4 sets of handcuffs",
4		"leg irons" and then I have "/cuffs", "firearms",
5		"women's clothing", and I have a hyphen saying
б		"450 pieces".
7	Q	Just if I can stop you there. 450 pieces of
8		women's clothing were found on the property in
9		February of '02?
10	A	That's from the document I received, yes.
11	Q	All right.
12	A	"Jewelry - 176 pieces", "women's shoes - 231", and
13		115 of them were cut in half.
14	Q	If I could stop you there. Cut in half. Did you
15		see anything that
16	A	I think they were dissected or cut in half with
17		with a saw or a machine is what I was inferring
18		from that.
19	Q	All right.
20	A	"25 human bones & 1 tooth recovered". "119 pairs
21		of underwear".
22	Q	Stop you there. Women's underwear, or do you
23		remember?
24	A	It doesn't say. I don't believe it said.
25	Q	All right.

I would think it would be women's underwear. "87 1 А 2 whole and part tampons", "57 items of make-up", and "53 hypodermic needles". 3 4 Now, just using your investigative experience, 0 particularly in the area of sexual assaults, this 5 б list, which you gleaned from the records, would 7 suggest to you that this man had been accumulating trophies or keepsakes over a considerable period 8 9 of time? 10 I would say so, yes. Α 11 Perhaps years? 0 12 А Yes. 13 And if indeed he had accumulated all these things 0 over a period of years, then it would follow that 14 15 had someone attended and searched the property years earlier some of these things would have been 16 17 found there? 18 Α That's possible. 19 Just on this first entry, "boots seized in '97 had 0 the DNA of Borhaven, " that's Andrea Borhaven? 20 Yes, it is. 21 Α 22 But that fact wasn't known until after February of 0 23 2002, correct? That's correct. 24 Α 25 Because the boots themselves, although they 0

1		remained in an evidence locker, had never been
2		tested?
3	А	That's correct.
4	Q	And the boots, of course, were seized on or about
5		March 23rd, 1997?
б	A	Yes.
7	Q	And sat untested in an evidence locker in the
8		possession of the RCMP for almost five years?
9	А	I believe so, yes.
10	Q	All right. And so then according to your note at
11		11:45 you and the commission's executive director,
12		John Boddie, make a trip to Kent Institution, and
13		you conduct an interview of some hour and a half
14		with Robert William Pickton there; is that right?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	Just the two of you in the room with Mr. Pickton?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Why are you doing this? What is your purpose in
19		conducting this interview?
20	A	My purpose was to determine if Mr. Pickton would
21		disclose to me why or how he was able to avoid
22		police detection for the length of time he was.
23	Q	And his answer essentially was, "Because I never
24		did anything wrong"?
25	А	Because he didn't do anything.

1	Q	He maintained his innocence?
2	A	Yes, he did.
3	Q	And did you make an assessment of his credibility?
4		Did you think he was telling the truth?
5	А	No, I did not.
6	Q	Did he express a desire to testify at the
7		commission's proceedings that you were there
8		discussing with him?
9	A	No, he did not.
10	Q	Did he strike you as someone capable of murdering
11		49 people by himself given your police experience?
12	A	Of doing it by himself? Yes.
13	Q	He said or he conceded or acknowledged that he had
14		picked up girls in Vancouver, right?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And then just in your notes you've got an entry
17		that I can't read, something about working in
18		Vancouver. What was
19	A	"Worked in auction."
20	Q	Oh. With the Vancouver Police?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Or of the right. And that was a reference to
23		his business of collecting cars from them?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Now, leaving that and returning to the part of

1 your report called "Pickton as a suspect" --2 Yes. Α -- one of the things you considered it important 3 0 4 to do as part of your investigation was to look at 5 the records of offline CPIC searches in respect of б this man, right? 7 А Yes. And you were able by doing that to determine 8 Q 9 whether he was, to use a colloquialism, known to 10 police prior to February of 2002? 11 Yes. Α And you learned through the offline CPIC searches 12 0 13 that he was? 14 А Yes. 15 And you detailed what you found in your report? 0 16 Yes, I did. А 17 Starting with January of 1990? Q That's correct. 18 А 19 Did you look further in the past than that, or 0 20 were there no records available earlier than that, 21 or do you remember? 22 I remember contacting CPIC in Ottawa and being А advised that the offline CPIC search would only go 23 as far back as October 31st, 1988. So that was as 24 25 far back as I could ask, for it to start on

1 October 31st, 1988. 2 All right. And just to be clear, this may be Q 3 obvious, but if you go to page 8-55 of your report 4 and to your entry of August 18, 1998, at the 5 bottom of that page -б Yes. Α 7 0 And I've pinpointed that because that's indicated as a date that Detective Constable Shenher met 8 9 with Corporal Connor to discuss the, in effect, 10 the Hiscox information provided by Wayne Leng, right? 11 That's correct. 12 Α 13 All right. So if we just take a snapshot at this 0 point in time, everything that you found on your 14 15 offline CPIC search would have been available to 16 any police officer conducting an offline CPIC 17 search on August 18, 1998? 18 Α Prior to that date, yes. Yes. So if, for example, Shenher or Connor did 19 0 what you did as a first step --20 21 Yes. Α 22 -- in assessing what was known about Robert 0 William Pickton, they would have found the same 23 information you did? 24 25 Α Yes.

1 Now, one thing you found as you explored the tips 0 2 and tried to figure out what they were about was 3 that in several instances the officers who had 4 conducted the earlier searches either didn't have 5 their notes of the searches or didn't provide them б to you, right? 7 А That's correct. Now, back in '98 these events would have been 8 Q 9 closer in time, obviously, than they are today here in 2002 (sic)? 10 11 I agree. Α And so a search done in '98 may well have yielded 12 0 13 more useful information than your search? I would agree in that if Constable Shenher or 14 Α Corporal Connor made contact with the officers 15 they would have a better recollection. I would 16 17 agree with that. 18 0 And, again, I don't want to belabour this point unduly, but police officers, in Ontario at least, 19 20 I suggest, where your experience is, are in the 21 habit of filing away their notebooks --22 Yes. А 23 Q -- by date, keeping them forever in case they're ever called upon for a future court proceeding or 24 25 investigation of anything that they may have

encountered in their line of duty, correct? 1 2 Yes. Α 3 0 And they even are preserved past death so that 4 they can be available, if necessary, through 5 making appropriate inquiries? 6 Well, past death I would not necessarily agree Α 7 with. I know that police agencies are starting to come up with retention schedules, so I think it 8 9 would be -- every police department have their own 10 unique retention schedules with regards to 11 notebooks. And based on the work you've done on this file you 12 0 13 understand there to be similar practices with the 14 RCMP and with the Vancouver Police Department in 15 this province of notebook retention? 16 I believe so. А 17 So if you could tell me, and I don't want to 0 18 necessarily dwell on these one by one, but there were several police officers who had searched 19 Pickton's name between '92 and '96, I'll just give 20 you some names quickly, Corporal Bresch of the 21 22 RCMP, Constable Wardrop of the VPD, Sergeant Bandurka of the RCMP, Constable Howard of the 23 RCMP, who weren't able in response to your queries 24 25 provide any indication to you of why they had done

1		so, right?
2	A	That's correct.
3	Q	Were you able to actually look at their notebooks
4		and determine if they had notes of the reason for
5		the search of Pickton's name?
б	A	No.
7	Q	And then, of course, there's the incident of March
8		23, 1997, which you've referred to on page 8-48.
9		So just to summarize the preceding part of your
10		report before I get to that, your queries revealed
11		that Pickton or Robert William Pickton was the
12		subject of a 1990 sexual assault allegation which
13		resulted in at least two CPIC queries, maybe
14		three, January 11th, '90, right?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	And then further CPIC queries on May 1st, '92,
17		March 2nd, '94, February 6, '96, February 14th,
18		1996, and September 26, 1996?
19	А	That's correct.
20	Q	And you were unable to get any meaningful
21		information from the police officers who searched
22		his name as to why they were doing it?
23	А	No, not for those items, no.
24	Q	All right. So then we get to March 23rd, 1997,
25		and you had access to the whole of the Coquitlam

1		file relating to the investigation of Robert
2		William Pickton's alleged attempted murder of
3		Victim 97 or Anderson, correct?
4	А	I did.
5	Q	Anderson being, you know, the name we've used in
6		this hearing, the fictitious name we've used to
7		identify that person?
8	А	Yes. And I've referred to her as Victim 97, yes.
9	Q	And that file, which was voluminous, would have
10		been available in say July and August 1998 as a
11		source of information about that incident,
12		including the statements from various witnesses,
13		like Dave Pickton, that were contained in the
14		file?
15	A	I would agree.
16	Q	And the file included photographs of the location
17		of the attack
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	and videotape of the scene of the attack and
20		the place where the victim ran to for help?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	If I can pause here, were you able to tell from
23		the work that you did whether either of Shenher,
24		Lori Shenher, VPD, or Mike Connor, Coquitlam RCMP,
25		firstly, did an offline CPIC search when the

1		information from Hiscox came to their attention?
2	A	I would have to check the documents again. I know
3		one or both of them put him on a special interest
4		to police category, and I'm sure at some point
5		my memory is that Constable Shenher conducted an
б		offline CPIC search, but I would have to look for
7		that.
8	Q	Okay. I'll leave it for now. I believe it's in
9		your report, actually.
10	A	Yeah, I believe she did do that, so I just can't
11		remember right now when she did that.
12	Q	8-55, it's pointed out to me.
13	A	Thank you.
14	Q	And same question, and again this may be in your
15		report, I forget right now, but in fact, I
16		think you found they did go to the file, the '97
17		file.
18	A	I believe Constable Shenher discussed it with
19		Corporal Connor when she went out.
20	Q	That would have been the physical file would
21		have been located in the Coquitlam RCMP
22		Detachment?
23	A	My belief it would have been, yes. Here it is
24		here. On the 18th of August, 1998 Corporal Connor
25		provided Detective Constable Shenher with a copy

1		of the file from the March 1997 stabbing incident.
2		On page 8-55 under the 18th of August, 1998 on the
3		third sentence down I made note of that.
4	Q	Thank you. Now, that file, I should say, is in
5		evidence in electronic form as one of the
б		appendices. I think it's Appendix G to the
7		Williams report, but it's in disk form, Mr.
8		Commissioner and counsel. But your recollection
9		of it is it's a very thick file with lots of
10		evidence, medical records, statements and the like
11		in it?
12	А	That's my recollection, yes.
13	Q	Now, I want to ask you a few general questions
14		about the period from August 18th, 1998, to the
15		middle of the next year, 1999. We'll say July
16		31st, 1999.
17	А	Okay.
18	Q	And these are general questions based on your
19		review. Bill Hiscox is the first informant who
20		called Wayne Leng's tip line and then provides
21		information directly to Lori Shenher and works
22		with her over a period of some time endeavouring
23		from his point of view, Hiscox's point of view, to
24		help the police address the disappearances?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And subsequently three more informants come
2		forward, Caldwell, Best, and Menard?
3	A	Yes. I'm not sure if Menard came forward, but I
4		know the officers spoke to him.
5	Q	Emerged?
б	A	Yes.
7	Q	All right. And so by July of 1999 the police
8		investigators have information from independent
9		sources, four of them, all corroborative and
10		suggesting that Pickton is responsible for killing
11		sex trade workers from the Downtown Eastside of
12		Vancouver and disposing of their bodies?
13	A	I believe it would be safer to say August of 1999.
14	Q	Fair enough. So in that year period the evidence
15		mounts such that by August of 1999 there is that
16		body of evidence from four independent informants
17		pointing to Pickton as the prime suspect in the
18		disappearances?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Now, I suggest well, let me rephrase the
21		question. With your expertise and experience as
22		an investigator and your knowledge gleaned from
23		your work on the Bernardo review, what
24		investigative steps would have been available to
25		investigators in August of 1999 to attempt to rule

1		Mr. Robert William Pickton out as a suspect?
2	А	What investigative steps or strategies?
3	Q	Techniques.
4	А	Well, I think they were RCMP in Coquitlam were
5		trying some of them. They were conducting
б		interviews with witnesses who could potentially
7		provide information that would give them more
8		direct information or evidence with regard to
9		Pickton as a suspect. They were conducting
10		surveillance. And I mean, it's such a big
11		question.
12	Q	All right. Let me narrow it down.
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Those are a couple?
15	А	Mm-hmm.
16	Q	Surveillance and interviewing associates?
17	A	Right.
18	Q	I suggest that another possibility would have been
19		the use of not human surveillance but video
20		surveillance of the property, fair?
21	А	If they were able to get a judicial authorization,
22		they could have done that, yes.
23	Q	Similarly, if they could get judicial
24		authorization, a wire-tap could be arranged on the
25		phone?

1 A Yes.

2	Q	Another possibility is the use of an undercover
3		operative, UCO, to be introduced to Pickton and
4		try to glean information from him surreptitiously?
5	A	Yes, that could have been a strategy.
б	Q	Another strategy would be to use a police agent,
7		and I note Bill Hiscox volunteered to be one, to
8		report to the police more information obtained
9		from the subject of the investigation, right?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	All right. And it is correct to say that Bill
12		Hiscox volunteered to be such an agent?
13	A	He volunteered to introduce Lori Shenher or an
14		undercover officer to Lisa Yelds to try to get
15		more direct information.
16	Q	And I believe Deputy Chief LePard alluded to
17		another possibility, if I understood his evidence
18		correctly, but I'll just put it to you. Another
19		possibility would be to arrest Robert William
20		Pickton on reasonable and probable grounds that he
21		had committed some offence and hold him for 24
22		hours in cells and introduce what is known as a
23		cell plant to try to get information from him,
24		correct?
25	A	I didn't hear the evidence of DC LePard with

1		respect to that. I'm not sure what offence was he
2		suggesting they would arrest him for.
3	Q	Let me suggest one based on your review of the
4		file. By July 31st, 1999, the Coquitlam RCMP, and
5		in particular Corporal Connor, had informants'
б		evidence that cockfights, fights between roosters,
7		were being staged every weekend on the Pickton
8		brothers' property and that they were being
9		attended by a number of people. That's an
10		offence?
11	A	I saw that. Yes, it is.
12	Q	And that information was sufficient to
13		investigate, and indeed the allegations were solid
14		enough they would form reasonable and probable
15		grounds for an arrest, right?
16	А	I wasn't sure the information was solid enough,
17		and I think I would worry about arresting
18		potentially a homicide culprit for cockfights.
19	Q	Can you explain? Sorry.
20	A	It's a strategy that if an offender or a suspect
21		is committing a crime unrelated to the crime
22		you're looking at, if you're suggesting that some
23		sort of investigative strategy could be used to
24		arrest him, as long as there was grounds to arrest
25		him for the offence. I understood from reading

1		the documents there was indications that there
2		were cockfights going on at the property.
3	Q	Well, let me give you another example of a
4		possible offence. The search warrant that was
5		eventually executed that resulted in the murder
6		charges and subsequent prosecution of Mr. Pickton
7		was based on an allegation that he had illegal
8		firearms on his premises?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Similar allegations had surfaced by the end of
11		July 1999 from Caldwell, correct?
12	A	I recall the Caldwell. I'd have to look at the
13		timelines to find out when. I don't recall how
14		recent. Caldwell was interviewed in July of 1999,
15		and I believe during that time he was advising he
16		had been living with or staying at the Pickton
17		residence in April, so I there's a bit of a
18		time delay there that I'm not convinced that you
19		would be able to, in my opinion, whether you'd be
20		able to satisfy a judge or justice of the peace to
21		get a warrant based on the timing of the
22		information.
23	Q	Fair enough. Let me just make another suggestion
24		on the firearms point. Do you recall seeing in
25		the March 1997 report admissions by David Pickton

1 in his interview that they had guns on the 2 property? I don't think that's something that I can draw 3 А 4 quickly into my head as a memory, but it wouldn't 5 surprise me. 6 But, in any event, given what Caldwell was saying 0 7 in July of 1999, there were pieces of information, I suggest, that deserved some consideration as 8 9 forming the basis for investigative strategies to follow through on Willie Pickton? 10 11 Yes. Α And that follow-through was simply not done, was 12 0 13 it? 14 А Not that I saw, no. 15 Now, I want to ask you about another area, and 0 16 this is an area of your report that has direct and 17 real significance for my clients. Again, they are the families of 25 of the murdered women. 18 19 Yes. А 20 It's the part of your report that you've called --Q 21 it appears at the end. It starts -- I think it's 22 Appendix D, starting with the missing women list and then your studies of the circumstances of 23 their disappearances and the investigations done 24 25 in respect of them.

1 А Yes. 2 All right. You know what I'm speaking of? Q 3 А I do. And by my count on your missing women list at 4 0 5 Appendix D, page 1, you have included the names of б 20 of my clients' relatives, and then in the case 7 studies you've analyzed the cases of 14 of those, and I now wish to ask you about those 14. 8 9 Α Okay. 10 MR. WARD: All right. Mr. Commissioner, I'm in your hands. 11 It's a new area, but I'm content to go till three 12 o'clock. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Let's go to 3:00. Let's push forward. 14 MR. WARD: Thank you. 15 All right. If I could ask you, they're in 0 16 alphabetical order, correct? 17 They are. Α 18 In Appendix D? 0 19 Yes. А 20 Sereena Abotsway is the first one. And just if Q 21 you could in a general way before I ask you about 22 Ms. Abotsway, could you in a general way explain how you compiled this information? And I'm asking 23 you these questions because you're the first and I 24 think only expert reviewer that has considered 25

1 this aspect of the case. Can you explain how you 2 compiled this information in a general way? Well, as part of my review I felt it was so 3 Α 4 important to look at the missing women 5 investigations, and how I selected the cases to 6 review was I selected the 27 -- the names from the original 27 on the poster, and then I looked at 7 the 18 additional names that were added in I 8 9 believe November 2001 and then five more names in 10 January 2002 that were added, which was a total of 11 50 names, and it was my intent to review all 50 for my report. Unfortunately, I was unable to 12 13 complete that task, so I believe I was successful in 34 of the cases. 14 15 Okay. And I'm going to ask you specific questions 0 16 about the 14 of that number that are my clients' 17 relatives. All right? You understand? I do. 18 А 19 All right. So the first one of those, as I say, 0 20 is Sereena Abotsway, and she was 30 years old and 21 was reported missing on August 22nd, 2001. Do you 22 see that? 23 А I do. And so that is late in terms of the time frame 24 0 25 that we're looking at, correct?

1	A	Yes, it is.
2	Q	And it's while Project JFO or Project
3		Evenhanded, the JFO, is underway?
4	А	That's correct.
5	Q	I'll take you you list the various steps taken
6		by investigators. I want to take you right to
7		your assessment of those steps on page 3. It's
8		under the heading "Evans Assessment". Do you see
9		that?
10	А	I do.
11	Q	And these, I suggest, are your conclusions with
12		respect to the quality of the investigation of
13		each disappearance?
14	А	It's a summary of my assessment.
15	Q	Summary.
16	А	Yes. Yes.
17	Q	Your assessment on Sereena Abotsway's case is
18		there was no evidence of interviews of family,
19		friends, associates or neighbours?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Isn't that basic and fundamental in the
22		investigation of the disappearance of anyone?
23	A	It would assist, I believe, if to provide
24		information with regards to the whereabouts and to
25		their habits.

1	Q	Second one. No evidence that VPD identified last
2		known address, attended, search, and canvassed.
3		Do you see that?
4	A	I do.
5	Q	Now, I suggest it's a pretty fundamental
б		investigative step in investigating someone's
7		disappearance to go to their address, their
8		residential address, and look for clues that might
9		lead to where they went?
10	A	I would agree.
11	Q	It wasn't done?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Evenhanded officers this is from the JFO
14		attended at last known address in October. That's
15		some about two months later?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	It's important in any missing women case or,
18		sorry, I am going to restate that. It's important
19		in the investigation of any missing person case to
20		act quickly?
21	A	I would agree.
22	Q	And my last point, followed up on tips needed.
23		What does that mean?
24	А	There were tips under the tip section, and I
25		didn't see any follow-up on the tips that came

1	forward. So one of the tips was she Sereena
2	worked for two Spanish guys. I'm summarizing
3	here, obviously, from the information from the
4	documents. So I suggested that tips needed to be
5	followed up.
6	Q The next woman I wish to ask you about is Heather
7	Bottomley.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Is it convenient now to break?
9	MR. WARD: Yes, it is. Thank you.
10	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing will now recess for 15 minutes.
11	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:00 P.M.)
12	(PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 3:17 P.M.)
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order. The hearing is now resumed.
14	MR. WARD: I appreciate, Mr. Commissioner, the early start
15	makes it a longer day for all of us.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?
17	MR. WARD: I say I appreciate that the early start makes it a
18	longer day for all of us, but I just thought I
19	might take this moment, because my friends have
20	asked, to give you an updated time estimate for my
21	cross-examination. I expect that I'll need
22	somewhere about a half an hour to an hour tomorrow
23	morning
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
25	MR. WARD: and then I'll be finished, and I think that keeps

1 us nicely on schedule. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Fair enough. Does everybody agree 3 with that? All right. Thank you. 4 MR. WARD: 5 0 Deputy Chief, I was just about to ask you about 6 Heather Bottomley's case. 7 А Yes, sir. This is again part of your Appendix D. Heather 8 Q 9 Bottomley was 25 years old when she disappeared in 10 2001. According to the records you reviewed, she 11 was reported -- her disappearance was reported to police November 29th, 2001, correct? 12 13 That's correct. Α 14 0 And again turning to your assessment of the 15 handling of the case on the next page, you note that Heather's father and two of her associates 16 17 were interviewed. So that was a good thing, 18 correct? 19 Yes, it was. А 20 MR. WARD: By the way, Mr. Commissioner, Heather's father has 21 been in attendance I think for every moment that 2.2 this hearing has been in progress. He's the gentleman about three rows from the back of the 23 room with the black sweater. 24 25 THE COMMISSIONER: I see him. Okay. Thank you for attending,

1		sir. I'm sure it may be difficult for you to hear
2		a lot of this, but I can tell you that we very
3		much appreciate you attending here.
4	MR. WARD:	
5	Q	You do say in the next point in your assessment
6		that her last known address should have been
7		attended, searched and canvassed, and neighbours
8		should have been canvassed, if I read that
9		correctly?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	For the same reasons. That exercise can produce
12		clues on what may have happened to the person?
13	A	Yes, I believe so.
14	Q	You've noted that there is evidence of numerous
15		database searches. Again, that's a positive
16		thing?
17	A	That is.
18	Q	And that Evenhanded investigators took carriage of
19		the investigation. Again, Ms. Bottomley
20		disappeared late or she was reported missing
21		late in the time frame and a few months before the
22		search warrant was issued on the Pickton property?
23	А	That's correct.
24	Q	The next woman I'd like to ask you about is Dawn
25		Crey.

1 A Yes, sir.

2	Q	She vanished earlier, in 2000, last seen in
3		November, reported missing December 11th, 2000.
4		Do you see that?
5	A	I do.
б	Q	And then again moving to the assessment and
7		just as I do that, I should have covered this wit
8		you earlier, but what you've done is you've

just as I do that, I should have covered this with you earlier, but what you've done is you've gleaned certain activities from the documentary records you reviewed, and then in many, if not all, cases you've footnoted with references to where those can be found?

13 A I did.

14QAll right. So again skipping to the assessment at15the end, you found that in this case there was no16indication that investigators went to the Balmoral17Hotel to conduct interviews or any investigation?18AAThat's correct.

## 19 Q And that was her last known residential address?20 A Yes.

## 21QThe next point, identify and interview family,22associates and friends for background information.23Are you saying that that was inadequate?

24 A Yes.

25 Q All right. You point out that another sister of

1		Dawn's in the Downtown Eastside was not found out
2		about until almost a year later?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	That there was minimal contact between
5		investigators and family. Is that what you're
б		referring to?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And family in her case included Ernie Crey, who
9		testified at this commission, and you may not know
10		this, but he is a prominent aboriginal leader and
11		activist.
12	A	I was not aware of that.
13	Q	I think that's a fair description. Point 5 you've
14		said:
15		Dawn was discussed with Evenhanded members on
16		April 5, 2001 and yet no indication to change
17		Review to Task Force.
18		Can you explain what that means?
19	A	I believe it came up in a document this morning
20		when I was being asked questions by Ms. Hoffman
21		that I noted that on April 5th, 2001, in a
22		continuation report Dawn's name was mentioned as a
23		recent missing person. So that's what I was
24		alluding to, that comment.
25	Q	All right. Thank you. The next member of my

1 clients' families is Cynthia Feliks --2 Yes. Α -- who was 46 years old. Her disappearance was 3 0 4 reported, according to the records, on January 5 8th, 2001. б Yes, I see that. А 7 And then skipping to the assessment, your first 0 point is that she was apparently reported missing 8 9 to the VPD in 1999, but no indication of 10 follow-ups or documents. 11 Yes. Α That's what you found? 12 0 13 Yes. Α And then you found that her disappearance was 14 0 15 later reported to the New Westminster Police 16 Service, and they did do some follow-ups? 17 Yes. Α And their notes, the NWPS, New Westminster Police 18 0 notes, indicate that the Vancouver Police 19 20 Department won't take over the investigation 21 although Ms. Feliks was last seen in Vancouver. 22 Do I have that correct? 23 А That's correct. And you point out that in your view the New 24 0 25 Westminster Service did a good job in conducting

1	follow-ups?
	±

- 2 A Yes.
- Q And I take it that you contrasted that with the VPD -- well, my inference, the inference I draw from what you've written is that on the other hand the VPD wouldn't do anything about this case. Is that fair?
- 8 A Well, I'm giving credit that I saw evidence that 9 New Westminster were doing follow-ups that I would 10 -- in my opinion should have been done for a 11 missing person case.
- 12 Q All right. What's this point 2 about? I won't 13 read it all, but Shenher getting a tip August 26, 14 '99.
- 15 Yes. There is a -- I have to find the document. Α 16 That was the only tip I saw, if my memory serves 17 me right, in reviewing all of these cases where 18 the information provided in this tip that was of 19 an unusual nature that you -- made me look up where this tip was thinking it was -- I was seeing 20 21 if this was connected to Pickton, and this was a 22 tip that came in on the 26th of August, 1999 with 23 regards to Cynthia.
- 24 Q So it was a report that -- linking Cynthia Feliks' 25 disappearance to a trailer and dead bodies

1		somewhere?
2	A	Yes. You can see it under my tip section.
3	Q	Mm-hmm.
4	A	It says here:
5		TipConfidential informant provided
6		information regarding a male who had held a
7		female associate in a trailer for 3 weeks.
8		Male said he was going to kill her. Male
9		told female captive that there were seven
10		bodies buried on his property.
11	Q	And if I understand your point 2 correctly, you
12		couldn't tell whether that tip in August 26, '99,
13		was followed through on or whether it, in fact,
14		related to Robert William Pickton because of a
15		vetting that was done to the documents?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Did you try to look behind the vetting to see if,
18		in fact, police were in possession of a tip in
19		August of 1999 that added to the evidence that was
20		mounting suggesting that Robert William Pickton
21		was the perpetrator?
22	A	I was not given permission to look beyond the
23		vetting of the documents.
24	Q	Was it explained to you why you couldn't do that?
25		Police-informer privilege, apparently? Is that

the only reason?

2	A	I believe it was because I was only allowed to
3		report make comments in my report with regards
4		to documents that could be disclosable to
5		everyone. So as a police officer normally I would
6		see unredacted, but because of the my capacity
7		in doing this review there were a lot of
8		redactions in the documents I observed.
9	Q	Well, let me see if I've got this straight. We've
10		all heard about and read about four identified
11		informants who were pointing to Robert William
12		Pickton as the likely perpetrator. Once again
13		those four are Bill Hiscox, Ross Caldwell, Leah
14		Best, and Ron Menard?
15	A	Yes.
15 16	A Q	Yes. Did the document you reviewed suggest that this
16		Did the document you reviewed suggest that this
16 17		Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not
16 17 18		Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not have pointed to Pickton and his trailer on his pig
16 17 18 19	Q	Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not have pointed to Pickton and his trailer on his pig farm as the perpetrator?
16 17 18 19 20	Q	Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not have pointed to Pickton and his trailer on his pig farm as the perpetrator? No, it wasn't in relation to Pickton. It was the
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not have pointed to Pickton and his trailer on his pig farm as the perpetrator? No, it wasn't in relation to Pickton. It was the information that looked in my opinion, when I
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not have pointed to Pickton and his trailer on his pig farm as the perpetrator? No, it wasn't in relation to Pickton. It was the information that looked in my opinion, when I looked at the document, it made me think is to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	Did the document you reviewed suggest that this was yet another, a fifth tip that may or may not have pointed to Pickton and his trailer on his pig farm as the perpetrator? No, it wasn't in relation to Pickton. It was the information that looked in my opinion, when I looked at the document, it made me think is to look wonder if it was connected to Pickton.

1	Q	Because of the vetting?
2	A	Well, and the description of where this trailer
3		was allegedly located was not I mean, I'd have
4		to look at the exact tip again to see, but it
5		wasn't saying Coquitlam, so there were differences
6		that would indicate that it might not have been
7		Pickton as well.
8	Q	All right. You found in point 3 of the assessment
9		of Cynthia Feliks' case that there was no
10		indication that VPD investigators identified and
11		interviewed family, associates or friends?
12	A	That's correct.
13	Q	And that the last known address of Ms. Feliks was
14		not attended until January 2001?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	And then the jurisdictional issues you make
17		reference to are this exchange between New
18		Westminster and Vancouver?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Could we turn next, please, to Elsie Sebastian,
21		who was 49 years of age. Her disappearance was
22		reported, according to the records, May 16th,
23		2001.
24	A	Sorry, I'm just trying to find it.
25	Q	Sorry.

1	A	I think I said earlier it was in alphabetical
2		order. I apologize, it's not in alphabetical.
3		That would have made sense. I have Elsie
4		Sebastian here now.
5	Q	All right. So reported missing May 16th, 2001.
б		Going to your assessment on the next page,
7		according to the records the family had advised
8		they tried to report her missing for years with no
9		success. Was that reported attempts to report
10		her to Vancouver?
11	A	No, I do not believe so. I just have to check
12		the
13	Q	Her last known location was on Granville Street in
14		Vancouver.
15	A	Sorry, I believe, yeah, it was Vancouver.
16	Q	She was reported missing to the Port Alberni RCMP
17		in 1993, and they apparently closed the file?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And then are these further points deficiencies you
20		identified in the investigation: again, a failure
21		to locate and interview family members and
22		associates?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Failure to locate and interview her ex-boyfriend?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Who had been charged with assaulting her with a
2		knife?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Just stopping there, a police investigator
5		reviewing the disappearance of someone and
6		learning that their ex-boyfriend had been charged
7		with assaulting her with a knife would consider
8		that ex-boyfriend to be a person of significant
9		interest, I suggest?
10	А	In my opinion, yes.
11	Q	And the last point here:
12		Elsie Sebastian was on Constable Dickson's
13		list from 1997,
14		and you said in quotes,
15		"re-located to Victoria, checked recently".
16		That was what Dave Dickson reported?
17	А	Yes, that's what he reported in 1997, so that's
18		why it's in quotes.
19	Q	And simply that was simply wrong?
20	А	It would appear so, yes.
21	Q	The next woman is Helen Hallmark.
22	A	Yes, I have that.
23	Q	Reported missing in September of 1998, right,
24		September 23rd, 1998?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	And without reading them, your assessment of the
2		steps, investigative steps taken is similar.
3		Failure to contact associates, exes, failure to
4		attend the last known address?
5	A	I agree.
б	Q	Failure to canvass the neighbours, failure to make
7		contact with her family?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Tanya Holyk went missing in January of
10		1997.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Same assessment in respect of failure to go to the
13		last address, to conduct a search, canvass the
14		neighbours, right?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Failure to make appropriate contact with the
17		family, correct?
18	A	Yes, that's correct.
19	Q	And indeed the handling of this generated a
20		complaint from the family about Ms. Sandy Cameron?
21	A	Yes, it did.
22	Q	Andrea Joesbury, reported missing June of 2001?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And turning to the assessment, number 1:
25		Slow start before investigation begins

1		(almost six weeks)			
2		You note that Andrea kept regular appointments.			
3		Do you see that?			
4	A	Yes, I do.			
5	Q	Interview of family did not occur until November			
6		25th, 2001, when should have occurred earlier. Do			
7		you see that?			
8	A	Yes, I do.			
9	Q	Again, those are deficiencies you identified in			
10		the VPD's handling of the reporting?			
11	A	Yes.			
12	Q	Dianne Rock. And Dianne Rock I think may have			
13		been the last woman to go missing before the			
14		Pickton farm was searched in February of 2002.			
15		Does that sound right?			
16	A	I believe so, yes. I'm just trying to find the			
17		file here. I have it now. Thank you. The 13th			
18		of December, '01.			
19	Q	13 December '01. By then Project Evenhanded is in			
20		full gear?			
21	A	Yes.			
22	Q	Doing what they're doing and what you've			
23		described, right? And in your assessment on page			
24		3 of this document you commend Constable			
25		Vanoverbeek for going through Dianne Rock's			

1		belongings and say that's a good investigative
2		strategy?
3	A	Yes, it is.
4	Q	All right. And you also acknowledge or say that
5		Project Evenhanded accepted responsibility at an
6		early stage?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	However, the first point, VPD report, missing
9		women's report taken on December 13th, 2001, yet
10		it wasn't on CPIC until four days later, December
11		17th, right?
12	А	Yes, I noted that.
13	Q	And that's important because time is of the
14		essence when investigating the disappearance of a
15		person in suspicious circumstances, isn't it?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	There's no reason that you could discern why the
18		CPIC entry couldn't have been made the very day of
19		the missing person's report, is there?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Should have been?
22	A	Should have been.
23	MR. WARD:	And, again, Mr. Commissioner, I would just point out
24		that Dianne Rock's stepsister, Lilliane Beaudoin,
25		testified at this commission.

J. Evans (for the Commission) Cross-exam by Mr. Ward

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

2	MR. WARD:	: Ai	nd she is here from Ontario and has been here				
3		regularly.					
4	THE COMMI	ISSI	ONER: Yes.				
5	MR. WARD:	:					
6		Q	Brenda Wolfe.				
7		A	Yes.				
8		Q	Reported missing April 25th, 2000.				
9		А	Yes, I have that.				
10		Q	And I'm going to shorten these questions. In your				
11			assessment you found the same litany of				
12			deficiencies that we've covered in many of the				
13	13 earlier files?						
14	A I would agree.						
15		Q	Olivia William is the next one of my clients'				
16			relatives. Have you got her				
17		А	I do.				
18		Q	report?				
19			March 27, 1997, she's reported missing to				
20			police?				
21		А	Yes.				
22		Q	And in your assessment you've noted the same or				
23			similar deficiencies in the investigation that was				
24			conducted of her disappearance?				
25		A	That's correct.				

1	Q	No interviews of family, friends and associates,
2		no indication police went to her last known
3		address, minimal family contact?
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	Angela Jardine. December 6th, 1998, was the date
6		of her disappearance at the age of 26?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And in your assessment, point 1 you again note
9		that there were limited interviews of associates
10		and friends?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	There were several initial sightings of her, but
13		they weren't followed up on, is that am I
14		reading that correctly?
15	А	No, there were several sightings, and I from
16		reading the documents I recall that there was a
17		people were confusing her for Sereena Abotsway.
18	Q	All right. The next point in your records
19		suggests that well, let me just zero in on this
20		for a moment. One of the ways of determining that
21		many of these women were disappearing or indeed
22		disappearing was that they weren't picking up
23		their welfare cheques?
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	And a good investigative first step in dealing

1		with a missing persons report in respect of many			
2		of these women was to make inquiries of the			
3		welfare office's records?			
4	A	That's what I understand, yes.			
5	Q	And in this case what you're saying in point 3 was			
6		that although she was reported missing in December			
7		of 1998 investigators did not check the welfare			
8		records until January of 2001?			
9	A	That's what my review would reveal, yes.			
10	Q	And there's no real excuse for that, is there?			
11	A	I didn't see one.			
12	Q	And it looks in the next point, point 4, that the			
13		police the VPD Missing Women's Unit received			
14		quite a few phone calls or had quite a few phone			
15		calls with Portland Hotel staff, where she had			
16		resided, that there was no attendance there to			
17		check the room and ask neighbours?			
18	A	That's correct.			
19	Q	And a lack of follow-up on tips?			
20	A	Yes.			
21	Q	Just a couple more. Debra Jones is the next. She			
22		was reported missing on Christmas Day 2000?			
23	A	Yes.			
24	Q	She was 42 years old. And in your assessment,			
25		again, contact between police investigators and			

1 family was minimal?

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And they weren't interviewed. Oh, with the 4 exception of a sister, who was interviewed nine 5 months -- pardon me, 21 months after Debra was 6 reported missing?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Stephanie Lane is the last I'd like to ask you
  9 about. Do you have her report?
- 10ANot yet.Sorry about that.I ask for your11indulgence here.
- 12 Q They're not exactly in alphabetical order, I13 think.

14 A No, they're not actually at all.

- Q In my copy it's just after Jennifer -- or, sorry,
  Debra Jones.
- 17 A I have it now. Thank you. I have it.
- 18 Q Okay. She was reported missing March 11th, 1997.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And you've given your assessment at the end again. 21 You find as a positive in this case that Constable 22 Dickhout did lots of follow-up, right?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q But you again find that there was no indication 25 that family, friends, and associates were

1 interviewed in the early stages of the 2 investigation? 3 Α That's correct. 4 After reviewing these with you it's fair to say 0 that some common elements in the handling of these 5 6 missing person reports by the Vancouver Police 7 Department were, firstly, a failure in many cases, if not all, to take the step of talking to the 8 9 missing person's family, friends, and neighbours, 10 right? 11 Yes. А And another common thread in terms of a deficiency 12 0 13 in the investigation was a failure to go to the person's last known address, seek clues there, 14 15 talk to neighbours there with a view to obtaining 16 information that might be of assistance, right? 17 Α Yes. 18 It's also a common thread that there was slow 0 reaction to the missing -- the initial missing 19 20 women report? I saw evidence of that, yes. 21 Α 22 Now, today, and I mean literally today, within the 0 last few weeks, you may know that Vancouver Police 23 Department will issue a bulletin about missing 24 25 persons, and that's what you do in Peel, right?

J. Evans (for the Commission) Cross-exam by Mr. Ward

1 Α That's correct. 2 And today, 2002 (sic), but this was -- well, let Q me say in 2002 (sic), certainly in Peel's case, 3 4 you can post on the police website a picture, some details about the missing person report? 5 б That's correct. Α 7 The purpose of doing that is to publicize the Ο disappearance and seek tips or information from 8 9 associates of the missing person or mere 10 acquaintances or people who may have seen the 11 person? 12 Α That's correct. 13 We recently, and when I say "we", we in Q 14 Vancouver -- you may be aware of a case in the 15 news right now where in the Downtown Eastside, 16 Gastown area, a young man went missing one 17 evening, and I'm just going to show you -- I 18 happen to know because my office is there, but it appears that the Vancouver Police Department has 19 20 created missing person posters, and they've been 21 prominently displayed throughout the 22 neighbourhood. I am going to show you one of 23 those. You've seen posters or website postings 24 like this, I'm sure? 25 Α I have.

1	Q	And you've probably seen on signposts or telephone			
2		poles posters with pictures of missing dogs and			
3		cats where people are seeking help?			
4	A	I have.			
5	Q	When you look through all your reports of the			
6		missing women handling of the missing women's			
7		cases, there are very few, I suggest, where the			
8		Vancouver Police Department prepared a poster or a			
9		bulletin like this, right?			
10	А	I saw documents that they had a form they used			
11		to check off when it was one of their checks.			
12		That seemed to be one of their steps, that they			
13		would create a poster. So I did see that they			
14		were creating posters.			
15	Q	Sometimes?			
16	A	Yes.			
17	Q	I think I've seen a few references in the			
18		documents, like the ones we've just reviewed, but			
19		certainly that wasn't a uniform practice in the			
20		cases of these women whose faces now appear on the			
21		big board, right? Didn't happen all the time?			
22	A	No. Yeah. And I can't confirm whether it			
23		happened all the time or not. I didn't see			
24		evidence of that.			
25	Q	Certainly in 1997 through 2002 it was a step that			

could have been taken by the Vancouver Police
 Department?

- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q They could have either created posters and 5 circulated them in the neighbourhood where the 6 person was last seen and/or they could have posted 7 bulletins on their website?
- Yes. I was under the understanding that posters 8 А 9 were being created because I recall when I 10 interviewed Sandy Cameron, because I was surprised 11 that she was the one who was actually then going into the Downtown Eastside to deliver them to 12 13 different centres. I thought that was an unusual 14 duty for a civilian member. So I know at some 15 point there was posters being created.
- I accept that, and we'll, I think, have the 16 0 17 opportunity to ask her and Detective Constable 18 Shenher and perhaps Dave Dickson about that issue, but I was just relying on your summaries of the 19 handling of these files and my note that 20 21 occasionally I saw a reference to a missing 2.2 person's poster. That was the basis for my 23 question.

A Okay.

25 Q All right. But you would agree based on your work

J. Evans (for the Commission) Cross-exam by Mr. Ward

1		that it was not the uniform practice of the
2		Missing Persons Unit of the VPD to produce these
3		types of posters or bulletins; is that fair?
4	A	I'm re-thinking my forms here to say whether I
5		would have because I recall seeing the form so
6		many times. I'm trying to remember if it was I
7		got the impression it was a it was almost like
8		a check box thing that Lori Shenher seemed to be
9		doing when she was taking these reports and her
10		and Sandy Cameron were creating posters, so
11	Q	Well, wouldn't they keep one copy of the poster on
12		the file itself?
13	A	Yeah. And that's a good point. I don't recall
14		seeing posters. I just recall seeing the check
15		box, the form.
16	Q	So all right. All right. We'll ask them about
17		that, or I plan to ask
18	A	Thank you.
19	Q	some of them about that later.
20	A	Okay.
21	Q	But your best evidence is that when you looked at
22		the files themselves you didn't see copies of the
23		posters in it, but in some cases you saw a check
24		mark on a form that suggested posters had been
25		made?

1 Α Yes. 2 All right. Q And I recall seeing pictures too, so --3 А 4 Some pictures of the missing person within the 0 5 file? б А Yes. 7 MR. WARD: Mr. Commissioner, I was just considering whether it would be of assistance to mark that poster I 8 9 passed up. I don't have a view one way or the 10 other, but I have --11 THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. All right. 12 MR. WARD: -- referred to it. THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. We'll mark it. 13 MR. WARD: Can we mark that, please, as the next exhibit? 14 15 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit number -- I'm sorry. It will be 16 Exhibit number 62. 17 (EXHIBIT 62: Document entitled - Missing Person 18 poster for Matthew Huszar) 19 MR. WARD: Thank you. And on the business of marking exhibits, 20 could we also mark the second aerial photograph as 21 an exhibit? 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. 23 THE REGISTRAR: That will be 63. 24 (EXHIBIT 63: Document entitled - Large, Aerial 25 View, Map Board of a region within the City of

1 Port Coquitlam) 2 MR. WARD: Mr. Commissioner, it's my intention to move to 3 another area. 4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 5 MR. WARD: And I suggest this may be a convenient time, б especially in light of the --7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll adjourn until the morning. 8 MR. VERTLIEB: Since the witness is on Eastern Time, perhaps 9 9:00 a.m. Again, I know it's unusual, but I think 10 it might be better for her, if you don't mind, Mr. 11 Commissioner. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that more agreeable to you? 13 I seem to be getting up very early these days, Mr. Α Commissioner. That would help. 14 15 THE COMMISSIONER: You don't enjoy the beautiful scenery of 16 Vancouver? 17 No, I haven't been doing that. I've been working А 18 a lot, so -- thank you. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until nine o'clock 20 21 tomorrow morning. 2.2 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 3:53 P.M.) 23 24 25

J. Evans (for the Commission) Cross-exam by Mr. Ward

1	
2	
3	
4	I hereby certify the foregoing to
5	be a true and accurate transcript
б	of the proceedings transcribed to
7	the best of my skill and ability.
8	
9	Leanna Smith
10	Official Reporter
11	UNITED REPORTING SERVICE LTD.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

	PAGE
JENNIFER EVANS (for the Commission)	
Cross-exam by Ms. Hoffman	2
Cross-exam by Mr. Ward	80

#### EXHIBITS

#### NO. DESCRIPTION

PAGE

(EXHIBIT 60: Document entitled - Letter to Chief 95
H.M. (Mike) Metcalf dated January 31, 2011 from the
Missing Women Commission of Inquiry)
(EXHIBIT 61: Document entitled - Letter to Deputy 95
Chief Jennifer Evans dated November 4, 2010 from the
Missing Women Commission of Inquiry)
(EXHIBIT 62: Document entitled - Missing Person 192
poster for Matthew Huszar)
(EXHIBIT 63: Document entitled - Large, Aerial 192
View, Map Board of a region within the City of Port
Coquitlam)

	135:17, 135:20,	157:1, 162:25,	169:10, 169:12,	<b>28th</b> [4] - 45:18,
	135:23	179:13, 179:17,	172:16, 172:21,	66:23, 144:17, 144:23
104	<b>12:30</b> [1] - 129:18	180:10, 183:19,	173:5, 177:14,	
<b>'01</b> [2] - 181:18,				<b>29</b> [1] - 53:22
181:19	<b>12th</b> [1] - 14:23	186:18, 189:25	177:23, 178:5,	<b>29th</b> [1] - 169:12
<b>'02</b> [1] - 146:9	<b>13</b> [1] - 181:19	<b>1998</b> [19] - 25:19,	180:22, 181:6, 182:9,	<b>2:00</b> [2] - 129:17,
<b>'80s</b> [3] - 43:21,	<b>1300</b> [1] - 20:24	25:21, 98:21, 100:20,	185:8	129:19
43:25, 52:10	<b>13th</b> [9] - 31:2,	124:6, 136:10,	<b>2002</b> [13] - 57:15,	<b>2nd</b> [1] - 154:17
<b>'83</b> [1] - 116:4	64:24, 65:8, 65:12,	136:11, 142:24,	108:22, 113:5,	
<b>'89</b> [1] - 25:15	127:12, 129:25,	143:12, 151:4,	115:16, 120:23,	3
<b>'90</b> [1] - 154:14	134:13, 181:17, 182:9	151:17, 155:10,	147:23, 150:10,	3
<b>'90s</b> [1] - 38:12	<b>14</b> [7] - 67:18, 67:19,	156:24, 157:2,	152:10, 165:10,	<b>3</b> [8] - 41:16, 127:15,
<b>'92</b> [2] - 153:20,	67:20, 164:7, 164:8,	157:14, 179:23,	181:14, 188:2, 188:3,	
	165:16	179:24, 184:5, 185:7	189:25	128:10, 166:7, 175:7,
154:16		<b>1998-2000</b> [1] - 26:19	<b>2004</b> [1] - 91:17	177:8, 181:24, 185:5
<b>'94</b> [1] - 154:17	<b>145</b> [2] - 62:15, 62:18			<b>30</b> [1] - 165:20
<b>'96</b> [2] - 153:20,	<b>14:00</b> [1] - 5:20	<b>1999</b> [40] - 8:17,	<b>2009</b> [2] - 96:11,	<b>30th</b> [6] - 64:7, 67:3,
154:17	<b>14th</b> [7] - 5:6, 5:13,	8:22, 9:3, 9:13, 9:22,	100:17	67:10, 69:3, 71:21,
<b>'97</b> [5] - 102:19,	31:4, 65:8, 65:11,	10:11, 10:13, 10:15,	<b>2010</b> [7] - 94:4, 95:4,	72:16
115:16, 146:1,	70:13, 154:17	10:16, 11:6, 12:10,	95:10, 96:16, 103:5,	<b>31</b> [3] - 70:8, 95:7,
147:19, 156:16	<b>15</b> [3] - 111:14,	13:5, 13:10, 14:12,	103:10, 2:9	2:6
<b>'98</b> [3] - 138:10,	111:22, 168:10	14:15, 17:22, 26:23,	<b>2011</b> [9] - 53:23,	<b>312</b> [1] - 127:12
152:8, 152:12	<b>16</b> [4] - 37:10, 67:17,	74:8, 87:25, 122:20,	95:3, 95:7, 103:6,	<b>31st</b> [12] - 11:21,
<b>'99</b> [2] - 174:14,	67:19, 104:3	123:1, 124:13,	104:10, 117:7, 118:5,	12:4, 12:19, 31:10,
<b>99</b> [2] - 174.14, 175:12	<b>16:24</b> [1] - 12:15	124:18, 126:18,	144:23, 2:6	12:4, 12:19, 31:10, 53:23, 61:5, 94:16,
175.12	<b>16th</b> [3] - 66:12,	127:3, 130:15,	<b>2012</b> [1] - 1:2	
_	,	134:14, 157:15,	<b>20th</b> [1] - 141:22	95:3, 150:24, 151:1,
/	177:22, 178:5	157:16, 158:7,	<b>21</b> [2] - 69:10, 186:5	157:16, 161:4
	<b>17</b> [4] - 77:10, 78:17,	158:13, 158:15,		<b>32</b> [3] - 53:22, 53:24
/cuffs [1] - 146:4	118:5, 133:13	158:25, 161:4,	<b>21st</b> [5] - 7:19, 9:9,	<b>337</b> [1] - 100:17
	<b>176</b> [1] - 146:12	162:11, 162:14,	21:17, 24:4, 141:22	<b>34</b> [1] - 165:14
0	<b>17th</b> [3] - 45:11,		<b>22</b> [3] - 11:23, 70:6,	<b>35</b> [2] - 87:17
0	117:7, 182:11	163:7, 173:9, 174:22,	70:16	<b>3:00</b> [2] - 164:13,
<b>01-03-13</b> [1] - 31:1	<b>18</b> [10] - 1:2, 23:5,	175:19	<b>2252</b> [1] - 140:20	168:11
01-03-13[1] - 51.1	23:6, 23:7, 68:2,	<b>19:30</b> [1] - 118:17	<b>22nd</b> [2] - 31:25,	<b>3:17</b> [1] - 168:12
	68:11, 68:12, 151:4,	<b>19th</b> [1] - 127:3	165:21	<b>3:53</b> [1] - 193:22
1	151:17, 165:8	<b>1st</b> [2] - 3:21, 154:16	<b>23</b> [6] - 45:1, 45:21,	<b>3rd</b> [1] - 74:8
	<b>184</b> [3] - 25:7, 25:17,		53:25, 54:1, 72:24,	<b>310</b> [1] - 74.8
<b>1</b> [11] - 24:24, 25:3,	25:24	2	154:8	_
38:1, 106:8, 123:13,	<b>185</b> [1] - 25:8	Ľ	<b>231</b> [1] - 146:12	4
127:14, 128:10,		<b>2</b> [10] - 10:5, 40:15,	<b>23rd</b> [4] - 45:17,	
146:20, 164:5,	<b>18th</b> [3] - 156:24,	91:12, 106:3, 106:9,		<b>4</b> [13] - 41:23, 42:23,
180:24, 184:8	157:2, 157:14	135:17, 135:19,	148:5, 154:24, 179:24	42:24, 77:9, 95:10,
<b>1-2</b> [1] - 50:4	<b>19</b> [1] - 128:14		<b>24</b> [2] - 106:21,	100:13, 101:21,
<b>1-800</b> [2] - 107:13,	<b>192</b> [2] - 2:11, 2:13	174:12, 175:11, 1:4	160:21	135:17, 135:19,
108:1	1980s [1] - 43:20	<b>20</b> [5] - 69:2, 76:17,	<b>246</b> [1] - 59:6	135:23, 146:3,
<b>10</b> [6] - 9:3, 55:3,	<b>1983</b> [1] - 83:8	77:25, 78:21, 164:6	<b>247</b> [1] - 17:24	185:12, 2:9
65:10, 65:12, 111:14,	<b>1985</b> [3] - 141:6,	<b>20%</b> [1] - 59:7	<b>24th</b> [6] - 17:20,	<b>4(b</b> [1] - 100:13
	141:8, 141:9	<b>2000</b> [21] - 3:21,	33:4, 33:10, 33:11,	<b>4-1</b> [3] - 93:9, 93:25,
111:22	<b>1988</b> [2] - 150:24,	5:14, 7:19, 7:25, 8:17,	62:16, 63:3	95:23
<b>10:17</b> [1] - 49:25	151:1	9:3, 9:9, 10:17, 18:4,	<b>25</b> [4] - 80:10,	
<b>10:40</b> [1] - 50:1	<b>1989</b> [1] - 25:9	21:17, 24:4, 29:17,	146:20, 163:18, 169:9	<b>42</b> [2] - 77:10, 185:24
<b>10th</b> [1] - 30:1		31:18, 53:15, 87:25,	<b>254</b> [1] - 17:22	<b>43</b> [2] - 78:13, 78:15
<b>1130</b> [1] - 62:15	<b>1990</b> [6] - 11:4,	136:11, 138:11,	<b>25th</b> [2] - 181:6,	<b>44</b> [3] - 5:18, 5:19,
<b>114a</b> [3] - 10:2, 10:4,	141:12, 141:21,	171:2, 171:3, 183:8,		5:20
23:3	142:6, 150:17, 154:12	185:22	183:8	<b>45</b> [4] - 49:19,
<b>115</b> [1] - 146:13	<b>1990s</b> [1] - 26:16	<b>2001</b> [35] - 16:11,	<b>26</b> [6] - 127:15,	127:14, 128:6, 128:10
<b>119</b> [2] - 11:23,	<b>1993</b> [1] - 178:17		128:10, 154:18,	<b>450</b> [2] - 146:6, 146:7
146:20	<b>1995</b> [6] - 9:13, 9:22,	17:20, 21:14, 21:18,	174:13, 175:12, 184:6	<b>45a</b> [2] - 135:16,
<b>11:15</b> [1] - 64:14	10:9, 10:11, 10:15,	29:2, 29:5, 30:19,	<b>26th</b> [2] - 30:19,	135:19
	14:11	31:2, 33:1, 33:11,	174:22	<b>46</b> [1] - 173:3
<b>11:45</b> [1] - 148:11	<b>1996</b> [2] - 154:18	39:10, 43:5, 46:11,	<b>27</b> [6] - 20:20, 32:25,	<b>467</b> [1] - 129:4
<b>11th</b> [3] - 154:14,	<b>1997</b> [15] - 99:18,	50:10, 56:25, 57:14,	100:19, 165:6, 165:7,	
171:3, 186:18	112:18, 113:4, 143:4,	61:6, 62:16, 63:3,	183:19	<b>49</b> [3] - 83:22,
<b>12</b> [5] - 126:19,	148:5, 154:8, 154:24,	69:3, 165:9, 165:21,	<b>28</b> [1] - 44:25	149:11, 177:21
	170.0, 107.0, 107.24,	_		
		i		

<b>4th</b> [4] - 64:14, 94:4,	9	63:13, 97:25, 121:24,	69:19, 71:17, 71:20,	192:20
94:16, 95:4	5	124:12, 132:17,	90:22, 90:24, 91:16,	afternoon [1] - 65:17
	<b>9-2</b> [1] - 73:13	138:8, 148:10, 173:4,	92:1, 92:5, 92:6,	Agassiz[20] - 2:21,
5	<b>90</b> [3] - 120:8,	177:22, 178:7	92:18, 142:20,	3:3, 5:8, 43:12, 50:15,
J	123:15, 124:14	According[1] -	143:14, 143:16,	50:20, 51:3, 51:8,
<b>5</b> [7] - 37:11, 64:8,	<b>930</b> [1] - 140:18	169:10	143:18, 144:4	51:12, 51:19, 52:3,
91:10, 91:12, 120:23,	<b>95</b> [2] - 2:5, 2:8	accordingly [1] -	Adam's [1] - 34:7	52:8, 52:25, 53:4,
172:13, 172:16	<b>953</b> [1] - 140:17	13:20	add [2] - 4:1, 72:10	53:8, 53:16, 54:21,
<b>50</b> [2] - 165:11	<b>96</b> [2] - 56:14, 56:24	account [1] - 72:23	added [5] - 60:3,	55:21, 56:4, 80:5
<b>53</b> [1] - 147:3	<b>97</b> [2] - 155:3, 155:8	accountable [1] -	85:3, 165:8, 165:10,	age [2] - 177:21,
<b>56</b> [1] - 106:11	<b>9:00</b> [1] - 193:9	84:6	175:19	184:6
<b>57</b> [4] - 87:12, 140:6,	<b>9:15</b> [1] - 1:3	accounts [1] -	addicts [1] - 83:12	agencies [6] - 25:11,
140:13, 147:2	<b>9th</b> [2] - 124:13,	122:18	addition [1] - 130:4	26:11, 27:2, 27:14,
5th [1] - 172:21	124:18	accumulated [1] -	additional [4] - 70:6,	66:7, 153:7
	-	147:13	70:16, 73:1, 165:8	agent [2] - 160:6,
6	٨	accumulating [1] -	Additionally [2] -	160:12
6	A	147:7	12:8, 14:5	aggravated [1] -
<b>6</b> [4] - 59:2, 77:9,	<b>Al</b> [1] - 66:21	accuracy [2] -	additions [1] - 66:9	100:23
136:20, 154:17	abilities [2] - 84:16,	108:17, 120:19	Address[1] - 118:13	aggregate [1] - 27:7
<b>60</b> [3] - 95:3, 95:6,	85:12	accurate [18] - 14:18,	address [16] - 11:25,	<b>ago</b> [5] - 85:2,
2:5	ability [3] - 36:24,	15:3, 15:10, 15:14,	57:19, 125:23,	111:14, 111:22,
<b>600</b> [1] - 75:13	71:4, 194:7	17:2, 27:10, 49:16,	142:18, 157:24,	126:19
<b>61</b> [3] - 95:5, 95:9,	<b>able</b> [21] - 5:15, 16:1,	66:18, 67:6, 67:8,	167:2, 167:7, 167:8,	Agree [1] - 3:23
2:8	27:6, 57:20, 108:17,	67:14, 69:16, 69:20,	167:14, 170:6,	agree [145] - 3:14,
<b>62</b> [3] - 192:16,	109:24, 129:1, 133:4,	70:3, 70:10, 107:21,	171:19, 177:13,	7:15, 8:7, 8:16, 8:24,
192:17, 2:11	133:19, 134:10,	108:16, 194:5	180:4, 180:13, 184:3,	10:20, 13:15, 16:21,
<b>63</b> [3] - 192:23,	139:7, 145:6, 145:12,	acknowledge [6] -	187:14	17:4, 17:12, 17:15,
192:24, 2:13	148:21, 150:8,	7:2, 22:3, 37:21,	addressed [1] -	18:7, 18:12, 19:8,
<b>67</b> [1] - 45:11	153:24, 154:3,	39:21, 59:21, 182:4	125:22	19:17, 19:21, 20:4,
<b>68</b> [3] - 30:14, 30:17,	155:22, 159:21,	acknowledged [2] -	addressing [2] -	20:8, 20:9, 20:17,
64:6	162:19, 162:20	37:22, 149:13	124:11, 137:16	20:18, 20:23, 21:8,
<b>6th</b> [3] - 103:10,	aboriginal [1] -	acquaintances [1] -	adequacy [1] -	22:11, 22:14, 23:21,
104:10, 184:5	172:10	188:10	114:17	26:5, 26:6, 26:18,
-,	Abotsway[4] -	act [1] - 167:20	adjourn [2] - 129:17,	26:20, 27:19, 27:20,
7	164:20, 164:22,	Acting[3] - 15:21,	193:7	27:25, 28:4, 28:18,
1	165:20, 184:17	31:17, 124:20	Adjourned [4] -	28:22, 29:5, 29:9,
<b>71</b> [1] - 45:18	Abotsway's [1] -	action [1] - 66:6	49:25, 129:18,	31:15, 32:8, 33:16,
<b>72</b> [1] - 45:19	166:17	actions [1] - 93:21	168:11, 193:22	34:2, 34:16, 35:6,
.,	absent [1] - 1:18	active [4] - 8:20,	adjourned [1] -	35:11, 36:9, 36:12,
0	absolutely [3] - 8:5,	47:21, 49:7, 97:14	193:20	36:18, 36:19, 38:21,
0	78:20, 125:7	actively [2] - 47:23,	administratively [1] -	39:12, 40:1, 40:10, 40:11, 41:7, 41:13
<b>8</b> [1] - 58:18	academic [1] - 25:5	65:2	72:20	40:11, 41:7, 41:13, 41:22, 42:5, 42:20
<b>8-1</b> [2] - 80:17, 80:18	Acc[1] - 132:25	activist [1] - 172:11	admirable [1] - 61:2	41:22, 42:5, 42:20, 50:21, 51:20, 56:23,
<b>8-127</b> [1] - 3:18	accept [21] - 4:19,	activities [2] -	admissions [1] -	50:21, 51:20, 56:23, 57:20, 58:2, 59:15,
<b>8-32</b> [1] - 29:10	4:23, 13:6, 13:7,	109:18, 171:9	162:25	57:20, 58:2, 59:15, 59:16, 60:14, 61:1,
<b>8-36</b> [3] - 73:23,	17:17, 17:25, 18:1,	actual [3] - 16:17,	admit [1] - 83:16	61:4, 61:18, 65:6,
73:25, 74:1	52:18, 55:12, 55:15,	33:23, 34:3	adult [1] - 17:23	65:7, 66:11, 69:21,
<b>8-37</b> [1] - 74:6	56:18, 59:12, 76:5,	Adam[53] - 7:17,	advance [1] - 69:8	70:19, 70:24, 71:16,
<b>8-39</b> [1] - 76:14	76:20, 77:22, 78:8,	7:23, 8:2, 11:9, 11:15,	advice [1] - 37:2	72:18, 72:24, 75:4,
<b>8-46</b> [2] - 139:24,	78:19, 79:7, 87:6,	12:13, 13:4, 14:22, 15:7, 16:4, 16:15	advised [5] - 23:11,	75:16, 75:20, 76:1,
141:15	98:6, 190:16	15:7, 16:4, 16:15,	43:5, 64:19, 150:23,	81:11, 81:14, 81:25,
<b>8-48</b> [1] - 154:8	accepted [9] - 42:9,	23:23, 28:1, 28:3,	178:7	83:19, 83:20, 84:1,
<b>8-55</b> [3] - 151:3,	42:13, 49:6, 54:14,	31:20, 32:2, 32:7, 32:13, 33:21, 33:24	advising [5] - 11:3,	84:8, 84:11, 84:13,
156:12, 157:2	79:8, 80:3, 93:1,	32:13, 33:21, 33:24, 34:12, 34:21, 35:7	69:24, 110:2, 137:4,	84:17, 85:19, 86:6,
<b>8.1</b> [1] - 80:21	182:5	34:12, 34:21, 35:7, 36:6, 36:19, 37:4	162:15	86:21, 86:25, 87:9,
<b>80</b> [1] - 1:5	access [3] - 32:8,	36:6, 36:19, 37:4, 37:12, 42:17, 47:15	advisor [2] - 94:7,	88:11, 88:21, 89:2,
<b>87</b> [1] - 147:1	120:12, 154:25	37:12, 42:17, 47:15, 48:16, 49:5, 51:9	96:20	93:4, 94:22, 94:24,
8th [1] - 173:5	acclaimed [1] -	48:16, 49:5, 51:9, 59:25, 65:18, 66:5	<b>Aerial</b> <sup>[2]</sup> - 192:24,	95:18, 101:17,
	131:24	59:25, 65:18, 66:5, 66:13, 67:3, 69:5,	2:13	101:20, 104:21,
	according [10] -	00.10,07.0,09.0,	<b>aerial</b> [2] - 140:6,	
		ii		

105:6, 105:21,	Amelia[4] - 9:10,	17:20, 33:4, 33:10,	32:2, 32:22, 46:18,	144:19, 169:25, 170:3
106:19, 109:11,	22:8, 30:22, 33:14	33:11, 34:9, 64:7,		
	, ,		71:22, 72:21, 85:5	attention [14] -
109:24, 110:10,	amount [3] - 22:9,	123:1, 124:13,	assigning [2] -	10:23, 71:17, 87:3,
110:12, 110:13,	26:1, 65:23	124:18, 162:17,	31:23, 32:20	87:7, 88:19, 107:14,
111:19, 111:23,	amounts [1] - 25:24	172:16, 172:21, 183:8	assignment [7] -	122:23, 123:20,
112:3, 112:16,	analysis [2] - 93:3,	apropos [1] - 27:24	103:22, 104:18,	124:3, 129:24, 134:7,
113:14, 113:23,	99:25	<b>area</b> [13] - 7:16,	111:12, 113:2,	138:3, 143:3, 156:1
114:3, 119:10, 122:3,	analyzed [1] - 164:7	19:25, 58:11, 59:17,	117:15, 136:6, 140:25	attitude [1] - 79:12
122:20, 122:24,	Anderson [2] - 155:3,	73:12, 101:17,	assist [13] - 2:12,	Attorney[5] - 100:16,
124:9, 126:25,	155:5	139:11, 147:5,	4:7, 30:9, 46:19,	123:3, 124:2, 124:22,
128:19, 135:4, 135:9,	Andrea[3] - 147:20,	163:15, 163:16,	53:10, 74:15, 90:19,	124:23
138:2, 152:11,	180:22, 181:2	164:11, 188:16, 193:3	101:16, 123:10,	attracted [1] - 88:24
152:14, 152:17,	Angela[1] - 184:5	arise [1] - 47:24	129:1, 134:20,	attracting [2] -
153:6, 155:15,		arisen [2] - 47:6,	134:23, 166:23	-
167:10, 167:21,	annual [1] - 66:21			122:22, 134:6
	<b>answer</b> [3] - 85:7,	48:1	Assist[1] - 142:17	attributed [3] - 92:1,
169:2, 180:5, 183:14,	121:3, 148:23	arranged [2] -	assistance [5] -	92:5, 92:13
190:25	Answer [1] - 77:16	144:14, 159:24	71:19, 131:11, 142:7,	auction [1] - 149:19
agreeable [1] -	answers [1] - 84:18	arrest [5] - 160:19,	187:16, 192:8	August [34] - 48:18,
193:12	anticipation [1] -	161:2, 161:15, 161:24	Assistant[2] - 32:1,	53:23, 64:24, 65:8,
agreed [10] - 40:17,		arresting [1] -	32:21	65:11, 65:12, 66:12,
61:19, 62:20, 65:1,	144:19	• • •	assists [1] - 2:14	66:23, 67:3, 67:10,
75:2, 79:16, 84:24,	Anyway[1] - 93:24	161:17		
	anyway [1] - 17:5	arrive [1] - 116:22	associate [1] - 175:7	69:3, 70:13, 71:21,
85:1, 85:12, 89:19	apologies [2] -	articles [4] - 87:19,	associates [12] -	72:16, 117:7, 118:1,
agreement [1] -	11:23, 23:4	87:25, 89:3, 89:5	1:15, 159:16, 166:19,	118:5, 144:17,
59:19	apologize [4] -	ascertain [2] - 69:16,	169:16, 171:22,	144:22, 151:4,
ahead [4] - 82:9,		70:10	177:11, 178:22,	151:17, 155:10,
102:15, 127:19,	49:15, 53:10, 121:22,	ascertaining [1] -	180:3, 184:1, 184:9,	156:24, 157:2,
141:23	178:2	• • •		
<b>aid</b> [1] - 26:3	apparent [2] - 9:10,	141:3	186:25, 188:9	157:14, 158:13,
	114:23	aspect [1] - 165:1	<b>assume</b> [6] - 12:20,	158:15, 158:25,
aides [5] - 124:24,	appeals [2] - 117:18	assault [7] - 48:19,	13:18, 32:14, 32:15,	165:21, 174:13,
125:19, 126:10,	appear [4] - 119:3,	100:22, 100:23,	51:11, 71:9	174:22, 175:12,
126:11, 126:17	144:11, 179:20,	141:13, 142:17,	assumed [3] -	175:19
<b>AI</b> [1] - 131:20	189:20	144:10, 154:12	101:10, 117:16,	authority [1] - 102:8
albeit [1] - 73:20		Assault <sub>[2]</sub> - 48:21,	117:19	authorization [2] -
Alberni [1] - 178:16	appeared [3] - 8:21,	48:24	assuming [1] - 47:4	159:21, 159:24
alive [2] - 13:25,	88:1, 88:15		• • •	
.,	appendices [1] -	assaulted [3] - 38:9,	assumption [1] -	automated [3] - 26:9,
18:20	157:6	46:12, 52:6	74:1	26:22, 142:14
allegation [2] -	Appendix [10] -	assaulting [2] -	assured [1] - 52:13	availability [1] -
154:12, 162:7	62:15, 62:18, 63:25,	179:1, 179:7	attached [1] - 143:8	135:14
allegations [2] -	106:3, 106:8, 157:6,	assaults [2] - 59:9,	attack [2] - 155:17,	available [20] - 4:2,
161:13, 162:10		147:5	155:19	27:13, 75:2, 111:21,
alleged [4] - 48:2,	163:22, 164:5,			112:2, 112:5, 112:15,
144:9, 155:2	164:18, 169:8	assertion [1] - 74:6	attempt [1] - 158:25	115:20, 117:20,
	appointments [1] -	assessed [1] - 23:24	attempted [3] -	
allegedly [1] - 177:3	181:2	assessing [3] -	98:21, 100:22, 155:2	119:10, 119:18,
<b>alley</b> [5] - 43:1,	appreciate [6] -	39:22, 40:13, 151:22	attempting [1] -	119:21, 128:20,
43:11, 44:23, 52:9,	86:16, 102:3, 102:4,	assessment [21] -	71:11	139:15, 144:12,
59:13	168:14, 168:17, 170:3	16:18, 149:3, 166:7,	attempts [4] - 6:7,	150:20, 151:15,
Allison[1] - 64:22		166:14, 166:17,	126:14, 126:16, 178:9	153:4, 155:10, 158:24
allowed [1] - 176:2	appreciated [2] -	169:14, 170:5, 171:6,	attend [1] - 180:4	Ave[1] - 140:17
	86:14, 111:12			Avenue[1] - 140:19
alluded [1] - 160:16	appreciating [1] -	171:14, 173:7, 177:8,	attendance [4] -	
alluding [1] - 172:24	86:15	178:6, 180:1, 180:12,	126:5, 126:6, 169:21,	avenues [1] - 136:22
almost [8] - 26:3,	approach [5] - 4:25,	180:24, 181:23,	185:16	average [1] - 10:19
26:11, 27:9, 76:3,	6:23, 7:11, 48:18,	183:11, 183:22,	attended [9] -	avoid [3] - 35:4,
148:8, 172:2, 181:1,	55:16	184:8, 185:24, 186:20	128:14, 134:22,	35:10, 148:21
191:7	appropriate [2] -	Assessment [1] -	135:1, 147:15, 161:9,	avoided [1] - 83:2
alone [1] - 135:6		166:8	167:2, 167:14, 170:7,	aware [22] - 5:6,
alphabetical [4] -	153:5, 180:16	assign [3] - 34:13,	177:14	6:13, 14:17, 15:15,
	approval [1] - 42:7			18:2, 20:14, 70:18,
164:16, 178:1, 178:2,	approximate [1] -	34:17, 75:18	attendees [3] -	
186:12	12:10	assigned [10] - 7:18,	125:1, 131:15, 133:13	82:12, 96:4, 96:8,
ambit [1] - 102:8	<b>April</b> [14] - 16:11,	15:21, 30:21, 31:20,	attending [3] -	97:6, 109:15, 109:18,
		iii		

110:2, 120:16,	beneficial [1] - 16:3	35:21, 54:23, 74:2,	121:10	capitals [1] - 119:2
121:13, 137:10,	benefit [1] - 16:16	78:22, 158:12,	brother [1] - 144:6	captive [1] - 175:9
137:13, 138:1, 145:6,	Bernardo [1] -	174:25, 175:10	brother's [1] -	captured [2] - 15:8,
172:12, 188:14	158:23	<b>body</b> [13] - 54:9,	108:20	28:16
	beside [1] - 80:21	73:17, 73:23, 75:1,	brothers [1] - 109:17	care [5] - 86:2, 86:4,
в	<b>Best</b> [3] - 109:3,	75:8, 75:22, 77:3,	brothers' [3] - 110:8,	86:11, 86:14, 87:4
В	158:2, 176:14	77:11, 77:14, 79:13,	140:17, 161:8	<b>career</b> [4] - 26:17,
<b>B-1</b> [1] - 106:3	<b>best</b> [8] - 3:8, 24:12,	79:20, 116:6, 158:16	brought [3] - 33:14,	83:7, 83:18, 125:10
	36:11, 113:10, 137:9,	bones [1] - 146:20	116:21, 134:2	careful [1] - 93:13
<b>background</b> [5] - 8:5, 8:14, 53:13,		Bonnie [1] - 108:8	,	
	139:16, 191:21, 194:7	<b>book</b> [8] - 24:21,	<b>build</b> [2] - 36:11, 38:24	carefully [1] - 100:24
136:9, 171:22	Better [1] - 118:9	25:3, 25:9, 25:14,		<b>cares</b> [2] - 84:14,
backing [1] - 42:15	<b>better</b> [5] - 76:17,		<b>bulk</b> [1] - 10:12	85:10
balance [1] - 94:9	77:24, 126:20,	25:17, 25:21, 108:12,	Bulletin [1] - 137:2	<b>caring</b> [3] - 86:23,
Balmoral [1] - 171:16	152:16, 193:10	123:24	<b>bulletin</b> [2] - 187:24,	87:1, 90:13
Bandurka [1] -	between [19] - 2:18,	<b>boots</b> [4] - 146:1,	189:9	carriage [1] - 170:18
153:23	4:11, 9:3, 9:13, 9:22,	147:19, 147:25, 148:4	bulletins [2] - 190:7,	<b>carry</b> [3] - 30:24,
<b>bank</b> [3] - 38:3,	10:13, 10:16, 30:2,	Borhaven [3] - 146:2,	191:3	34:14, 49:10
38:24, 55:4	64:1, 112:18, 115:14,	147:20	<b>bunch</b> [2] - 92:3,	carrying [1] - 5:3
based [14] - 42:25,	116:7, 120:14,	botch [1] - 36:25	92:4	cars [1] - 149:23
89:14, 97:13, 109:6,	153:20, 161:6, 172:4,	<b>bottom</b> [7] - 64:9,	buried [1] - 175:10	Casanova[2] -
109:14, 111:16,	177:17, 185:25	68:3, 68:12, 69:10,	Burnaby [3] - 129:5,	108:24, 109:1
125:16, 126:23,	<b>Bev</b> [3] - 109:12,	133:10, 145:2, 151:5	130:6, 133:1	<b>case</b> [40] - 4:18,
153:12, 157:18,	129:7, 130:7	Bottomley [3] -	burned [1] - 136:12	19:23, 32:9, 35:2,
161:3, 162:7, 162:21,	beyond [1] - 175:22	168:7, 169:9, 170:19	Burns [2] - 140:19,	35:4, 37:6, 44:10,
190:25	biased [1] - 97:4	Bottomley's [1] -	140:20	83:22, 84:10, 84:14,
basic [1] - 166:21	<b>big</b> [5] - 28:4, 48:15,	169:6	business [4] - 16:25,	84:16, 84:21, 85:11,
basis [3] - 139:5,	88:24, 159:10, 189:21	<b>box</b> [2] - 191:8,	112:20, 149:23,	97:8, 97:11, 102:14,
163:9, 190:22	<b>Bill</b> [7] - 39:5, 40:17,	191:15	192:19	117:23, 123:25,
Bass [11] - 2:8, 3:19,	108:24, 157:19,	Boyd [1] - 124:20	butcher [1] - 129:5	131:9, 132:12, 134:2,
4:9, 4:15, 7:1, 7:10,	160:7, 160:11, 176:13	boyfriend [3] -		137:4, 137:22,
7:12, 32:1, 32:22,	Binder [1] - 31:6	178:24, 179:6, 179:8	C	152:23, 164:6, 165:1,
79:18, 124:21	<b>binder</b> [5] - 12:2,	Braidwood's [1] -	C	166:17, 167:17,
Bc[2] - 1:1, 96:7	23:10, 53:11, 87:14,	96:14	achinet (n. 102)1	167:19, 169:6,
Bcca [1] - 100:17	87:18	brainstorming [9] -	<b>cabinet</b> [4] - 123:4,	169:15, 171:15,
Beaudoin [1] -	binders [2] - 4:21,	127:5, 128:13,	124:24, 125:19, 126:9	172:8, 174:6, 174:11,
182:24	128:9	128:20, 129:25,	cabinets [1] - 26:15	177:9, 185:5, 186:21,
beautiful [1] - 193:15	<b>bit</b> [18] - 2:2, 3:16,	131:5, 131:8, 132:19,	Cad[1] - 142:15	188:3, 188:14
	11:25, 13:2, 14:19,	133:17, 134:3	Caldwell <sup>[7]</sup> - 109:3,	cases [26] - 3:23,
<b>became</b> [3] - 46:8,	14:21, 16:6, 19:25,	Branch [1] - 100:19	158:2, 162:11,	26:24, 38:9, 38:17,
50:11, 114:23			162:12, 162:14,	
<b>become</b> [2] - 75:10,	24:19, 25:9, 29:22, 20:17, 43:2, 76:0	<b>break</b> [4] - 49:9, 49:21, 129:23, 168:8	163:6, 176:13	51:6, 52:25, 53:7,
111:18	30:17, 43:2, 76:9,		<b>Cameron</b> [5] - 17:19,	59:6, 59:9, 59:11, 59:12, 59:14, 59:15
becomes [1] - 4:1	119:14, 120:10,	Brenda [4] - 13:9,	80:9, 180:20, 190:10,	59:13, 59:14, 59:15, 85:5, 07:2, 115:16
<b>began</b> [2] - 50:11,	127:16, 162:17	14:13, 68:19, 183:6	191:10	85:5, 97:2, 115:16, 124:8, 164:7, 165:5,
51:21	black [3] - 87:14,	Bresch [1] - 153:21	Cameron's [1] -	
<b>begin</b> [1] - 5:10	87:18, 169:24	<b>brief</b> [3] - 98:18,	108:12	165:14, 171:11,
beginning [5] -	blacked [1] - 45:23	108:15, 130:4	Canada's [4] -	174:17, 187:7, 189:7,
15:20, 16:22, 59:18,	blanket [1] - 79:25	briefing [2] - 67:11,	115:17, 115:18,	189:20, 191:23
59:22, 111:11	blessed [1] - 26:21	137:3	115:19, 120:25	<b>cast</b> [8] - 5:11, 6:7,
begins [2] - 7:24,	board [1] - 189:21	briefly [1] - 70:25	candid [1] - 113:25	38:4, 41:18, 44:24,
180:25	<b>Board</b> [3] - 137:2,	<b>bring</b> [2] - 16:11,	canvass [2] - 180:6,	45:2, 46:2, 52:12
behalf [1] - 1:7	192:25, 2:14	145:10	180:13	<b>cast-off</b> [6] - 5:11,
behaviour [1] - 55:8	boardroom [1] -	bringing [1] - 23:17	canvassed [4] -	38:4, 41:18, 44:24,
behind [3] - 37:1,	127:12	brings [1] - 71:16	101:18, 167:2, 170:7,	45:2, 46:2
140:14, 175:17	boards [1] - 137:3	British [2] - 81:2,	170:8	Casting[1] - 26:17
belabour [1] - 152:18	<b>Bob</b> [2] - 2:10, 5:21	100:16	capability [2] -	category [1] - 156:4
belief [7] - 8:19,	Boddie [6] - 103:12,	broad [1] - 52:11	26:25, 27:13	cats [1] - 189:3
9:18, 12:8, 13:4, 14:5,	103:16, 104:1,	Brock [1] - 131:21	capable [1] - 149:10	caused [1] - 44:12
53:6, 156:23	111:10, 120:19,	broke [1] - 93:15	capacity [1] - 176:6	cell [1] - 160:23
belongings [1] -	148:12	broken [1] - 28:6	capital [2] - 118:15,	Celle <sub>[2]</sub> - 100:1,
182:1	bodies [8] - 20:21,	Brongers [2] - 121:6,	118:17	101:15
		iv	110.17	

cells [1] - 160:22 centres [1] - 190:13 certain [6] - 18:15, 47:17, 93:16, 112:21, 112:23, 171:9 Certain[1] - 114:21 certainly [9] - 6:17, 16:8, 27:9, 53:13, 75:21, 121:21, 122:12, 188:3, 189:19 Certainly[1] - 189:25 certify [1] - 194:4 challenge [7] -20:22. 21:2. 24:20. 28:2, 28:4, 33:18, 57:18 challenges [5] -20:15, 20:16, 20:18, 24:19, 36:10 chance [1] - 36:11 change [3] - 49:5, 83:24, 172:16 changed [5] - 47:1, 47:20, 49:4, 104:16 chapter [1] - 57:6 characterization [2] - 51:18, 52:18 characterize [5] -55:20, 55:24, 86:13, 87:1, 122:9 characterized [1] -127:4 characterizing [1] -91:1 charge [3] - 98:22, 99:18, 113:8 charged [3] - 35:14, 179:1, 179:6 charges [3] - 98:22, 100:21, 162:6 check [16] - 15:4, 19:9, 30:1, 56:24, 57:4, 66:7, 133:6, 142:13, 156:2, 178:11, 185:7, 185:17, 189:11, 191:8, 191:14, 191:23 checked [2] -142:18, 179:15 checks [11] - 13:21, 19:5, 19:15, 19:16, 19:22, 57:4, 68:22, 71:14, 141:15, 142:11, 189:11 cheques [1] - 184:23 **Chief**[24] - 4:8, 4:15, 6:25, 7:9, 80:14, 90:21, 90:25, 91:15, 93:7, 95:6, 95:10, 104:7, 123:12,

124:12, 124:18, 129:23, 131:14, 136:19, 139:21, 140:6, 160:16, 169:5, 2:5, 2:9 chief [2] - 101:24, 125:12 chose [1] - 110:20 Christmas[1] -185:22 chronologically [1] -14:21 chronology [1] -56:15 Cindy[1] - 14:10 circulated [1] - 190:5 circumstances [2] -163:23, 182:15 citizens [1] - 83:15 **City**[4] - 70:21, 88:23, 192:25, 2:14 civilian [5] - 71:25, 108:25, 109:15, 110:24, 190:14 civilians [2] - 72:3, 110:14 claimed [2] - 46:13, 47:10 clamor [1] - 124:10 clamoring [1] - 124:7 clarify [6] - 74:9, 78:1, 78:7, 78:12, 79:3, 128:5 clear [8] - 37:15, 61:11, 61:15, 77:2, 100:6, 101:16, 101:20, 151:2 clearest [1] - 96:25 clearly [3] - 37:22, 82:3, 88:16 client [1] - 1:11 clients [3] - 107:4, 127:1, 163:17 clients' [4] - 164:6, 165:16, 173:1, 183:15 close [3] - 33:3, 108:9, 140:15 close-up [1] - 140:15 closed [1] - 178:17 closely [1] - 76:24 closer [1] - 152:9 clothing [2] - 146:5, 146:8 clues [3] - 167:8, 170:12, 187:14 cockfights [3] -161:6, 161:18, 162:2 colleague [1] - 104:6 collect [4] - 5:10, 6:7, 41:18, 45:2

collected [3] - 22:8, 41:21, 44:15 collecting [2] - 46:2, 149:23 colloquialism [1] -150:9 Columbia[2] - 81:2, 100:16 coming [3] - 2:15, 17:4, 133:14 Command[1] - 27:11 Command-level [1] -27:11 commander [2] -34:12, 35:7 commanding [2] -2:7, 2:12 commence [1] -30:19 commenced [2] -11:16, 31:12 commences [1] -31:9 commend [1] -181:24 comment [5] - 14:3, 77:5, 100:3, 100:7, 172:24 commented [2] -116:10, 122:25 comments [7] - 1:7, 27:24, 105:14, 108:18, 110:22, 115:6, 176:3 commission [26] -4:22, 94:15, 96:5, 96:9, 96:14, 97:14, 97:19, 97:25, 98:6, 98:17, 99:16, 100:12, 102:24, 103:12, 103:18, 110:23, 111:5, 111:9, 117:15, 119:23, 119:25, 120:9, 120:14, 121:15, 172:9, 182:25 Commission[6] -94:6, 95:8, 95:11, 1:3, 2:7, 2:10 commission's [3] -103:11, 148:11, 149:7 Commissioner [71] -1:5, 1:9, 1:12, 1:14, 1:17, 1:19, 1:21, 1:23, 10:3, 32:1, 32:22, 35:16, 36:2, 36:3, 49:8, 49:10, 49:14, 49:16, 49:18, 49:21, 49:24, 67:20, 80:8, 80:9. 80:12. 87:14. 99:13, 100:8, 101:1,

101:20, 102:4, 102:15, 119:19, 120:18, 121:5, 121:9, 121:17, 121:19, 127:14, 127:19, 127:23, 127:24, 128:1, 129:15, 129:21. 135:22. 157:8, 164:10, 164:13, 168:8, 168:14, 168:16, 168:24, 169:2, 169:20, 169:25, 182:23, 183:1, 183:4, 192:7, 192:11, 192:13, 192:22, 193:2, 193:4, 193:7, 193:11, 193:12, 193:14, 193:15, 193:19 commissioners [1] -96:23 commissions [1] -96:24 commit [1] - 36:25 commitment [6] -84:21, 85:16, 86:23, 86:25, 88:25, 89:18 committed [6] -47:18, 53:3, 53:4, 84:10, 85:1, 160:21 committing [1] -161:21 **common** [5] - 81:8, 112:19, 187:5, 187:12, 187:18 communicate [2] -63:13, 113:25 communicated [6] -28:9, 28:10, 61:23, 62:12, 105:4, 116:4 communicating [2] -30:4, 63:16 communication [6] -63:23, 112:20, 113:4, 114:7, 116:6, 116:17 communications [4] - 64:1, 115:17, 116:13, 122:7 **community** [1] - 89:5 compare [1] - 5:11 compared [2] - 4:1, 38:3 competent [4] -84:13, 85:4, 85:9, 85:10 compiled [3] - 45:22, 164:23, 165:2 complaint [1] -180:20

complete [8] - 66:4, 66:7, 69:17, 70:11, 73:4, 122:6, 122:9, 165:13 completed [1] -103.5 complicated [2] -34:7, 34:18 comprised [1] -87:18 computer [8] -25:10, 26:4, 26:12, 27:4, 28:25, 29:8, 139:6, 142:14 computerautomated [1] -142:14 computers [2] -26:16, 28:5 computing [1] -26:14 conceded [1] -149:13 concept [6] - 76:10, 77:23, 78:8, 81:13, 94:13, 137:17 concepts [1] - 93:12 concern [2] - 88:16, 121:6 concerned [9] - 15:7, 35:12, 47:16, 67:5, 69:19, 70:2, 114:15, 114:19, 122:13 concerning [2] -45:13, 62:21 concerns [3] - 15:2, 107:17, 139:14 conclude [1] - 74:1 conclusion [2] -86:17, 89:14 conclusions [3] -97:6, 97:7, 166:11 concordance [2] -128:25, 129:12 conduct [13] - 86:3, 97:2, 99:17, 99:19, 99:21, 101:22, 101:24, 102:1, 119:8, 145:15, 148:13, 171:17, 180:13 Conduct[1] - 80:20 conducted [11] -95:15, 96:6, 98:9, 100:12, 106:1, 110:17, 118:20, 142:12, 152:4, 156:5, 183:24 conducting [7] -40:9, 64:16, 148:19, 151:16, 159:5, 159:9,

173:25 Conducting[1] -95:20 conduit [2] - 109:21, 109:25 conference [2] -53:14, 53:18 confidence [1] -119:6 confident [1] - 28:11 confinement [1] -100:23 confirm [18] - 12:5, 12:16, 13:3, 19:6, 19:12, 19:18, 19:22, 61:17, 71:11, 72:8, 73:5, 75:3, 75:5, 88:6, 92:20, 94:19, 141:23, 189:22 confirms [1] - 120:19 conflict [1] - 97:5 confusing [1] -184:17 connect [3] - 6:23, 50:19, 51:2 connected [6] - 3:6, 44:4, 53:16, 53:19, 174:21, 176:23 connecting [1] -55:21 connection [3] -6:19. 108:9. 141:1 connections [3] -60:6, 60:25, 62:9 Connor [13] - 75:21, 82:12, 109:25, 130:19, 142:24, 143:3, 151:9, 151:19, 152:15, 155:24, 156:19, 156:24, 161:5 **Connors**[1] - 116:15 conscientious [1] -116:23 consider [3] -110:20, 127:2, 179:7 considerable [4] -99:2, 102:22, 130:16, 147:8 consideration [1] -163:8 considered [7] -94:23, 109:20, 125:3, 130:22, 145:14, 150:3, 164:25 considering [2] -35:8, 192:7 consistent [1] -100:14 Constable [34] -2:19, 3:9, 5:25, 21:9,

24:5, 62:9, 62:12, 63:5, 63:8, 63:9, 63:20, 64:1, 64:15, 67:22, 68:4, 116:16, 124:18, 124:19, 130:18, 136:4, 136:21, 138:21, 138:25. 151:8. 152:14, 153:22, 153:23, 156:5, 156:18, 156:25, 179:12, 181:24, 186:21, 190:17 constable [1] - 129:4 constables [1] - 60:5 Constables [2] -67:23, 71:10 consultants [1] -27:14 consulted [1] - 36:19 consuming [1] -19:11 contact [8] - 48:24, 152:15, 172:4, 180:3, 180:7, 180:16, 184:3, 185:25 Contacted[1] - 64:15 contacting [1] -150:22 contained [3] - 16:5, 133:8, 155:13 containing [1] -36:12 content [1] - 164:11 contents [1] - 142:3 context [11] - 3:16, 4:4, 28:23, 36:7, 122:17, 123:16, 124:11, 125:4, 130:15, 136:2, 140:2 continually [1] -89.22 continuation [6] -12:1, 14:3, 129:8, 130:8, 130:9, 172:22 continue [7] - 33:2, 48:17, 59:20, 61:12, 75:3, 75:4, 137:11 continues [1] - 77:20 continuing [3] -68:25, 69:1, 144:7 contrary [1] - 13:11 contrast [1] - 105:3 contrasted [1] -174:3 convened [1] - 131:5 convenient [2] -168:8, 193:5 conventional [1] -83:14

conversation [1] -78:15 conversations [4] -99:12.103:23. 103:24, 113:20 conveyed [1] - 99:5 convinced [5] -38:14, 77:13, 112:3, 119:13, 162:18 cooperation [1] -2:18 coordinated [2] -4:11, 4:25 coordination [1] -4:3 coordinator [1] -15:22 copies [3] - 65:8, 121:25, 191:22 copy [5] - 62:23, 127:25, 156:25, 186:15, 191:11 Coquitlam [18] -82:11, 130:20, 140:9, 140:11, 140:15, 142:8, 143:19, 144:7, 145:1, 145:13, 154:25, 155:24, 156:21, 159:4, 161:4, 177:5, 193:1, 2:15 Coquitlam's [1] -109:16 coroner's [1] -106:21 **Corporal**<sup>[16]</sup> - 5:7, 5:8, 39:19, 75:21, 82:11, 109:25, 116:15, 130:19, 142:23, 143:3, 151:9, 152:15, 153:21, 156:19, 156:24, 161:5 Correct<sup>[5]</sup> - 38:11, 92:9, 105:1, 105:2, 166:20 correct [87] - 32:5, 35:10, 43:21, 72:1, 73:5, 73:22, 74:11, 74:12, 82:18, 83:9, 84:7, 90:11, 94:15, 96:21, 98:24, 100:3, 102:25, 103:8, 105:5, 106:24, 107:9, 107:10, 107:19, 117:25, 118:4, 118:11, 118:17, 119:18, 125:9, 130:20, 131:7, 131:15, 131:16, 131:21, 132:6, 132:9, 134:8, 134:9, 135:8,

vi

136:17, 141:17, 141:18, 143:21, 144:15, 144:16, 144:20, 147:23, 147:24, 148:3, 148:15, 150:18, 151:12, 152:7, 153:1, 154:2. 154:15. 154:19, 155:3, 160:11, 160:24, 162:9, 162:11, 164:16, 165:25, 166:4, 167:16, 169:12, 169:13, 169:18, 170:10, 170:23, 171:18, 173:22, 173:23, 175:16, 177:12, 177:15, 180:17, 180:18, 183:25, 184:4, 184:24, 185:18, 187:3, 188:1, 188:6, 188:12 correctly [6] - 4:23, 100:6, 160:18, 170:9, 175:11, 184:14 correspond [1] -119:25 correspondence [7] - 113:18, 119:20, 120:13, 120:14, 120:17, 138:20, 138:24 corroborative [1] -158:9 counsel [9] - 80:9, 98:6, 111:5, 111:9, 120:1, 120:10, 121:25, 127:14, 157:8 counsel's [1] - 98:1 count [2] - 56:12, 164:4 counted [1] - 56:18 counterparts [1] -125:14 couple [4] - 42:22, 72:7, 159:14, 185:21 course [17] - 13:14, 21:5, 37:4, 38:12, 76:24, 82:9, 92:12, 99:15, 99:18, 111:16, 125:3, 125:10, 130:15, 135:11, 141:20, 148:4, 154:7 court [1] - 152:24 cover [1] - 73:13 coverage [2] - 88:13, 131:1 covered [2] - 171:7, 183:12

**Cpic**<sup>[16]</sup> - 70:14, 141:15, 142:11, 144:14, 150:5, 150:12. 150:22. 150:23. 151:15. 151:16. 154:13. 154:16. 155:25. 156:6, 182:10, 182:18 Crawford[1] - 14:14 create [5] - 7:10, 23:1, 40:10, 51:13, 189:13 created [10] - 55:14, 73:18, 90:10, 107:13, 125:7, 133:4, 188:20, 190:4, 190:9, 190:15 creating [3] - 44:21, 189:14, 191:10 credibility [1] - 149:3 credit [1] - 174:8 **Crey**[4] - 64:12, 64:17, 170:25, 172:8 crime [17] - 35:22, 38:2, 41:20, 43:6, 43:16, 50:12, 59:5, 73:17, 74:2, 75:8, 75:23, 77:4, 77:12, 79:13, 161:21 Crime<sup>[5]</sup> - 2:9, 39:3, 124:21, 128:17 crime-scene [4] -38:2, 41:20, 43:6 crimes [2] - 36:25, 79:19 Criminal [1] - 100:19 criminal [7] - 35:13, 51:22, 55:9, 55:25, 56:2, 117:17 crisis [10] - 81:5, 81:15, 82:6, 82:16, 83:4, 86:11, 87:8, 88:2, 88:7, 89:12 criteria [1] - 18:15 critical [4] - 20:2, 20:11, 93:2, 93:21 critiquing [1] - 20:4 Crops[2] - 2:7, 2:11 cross [5] - 27:6, 35:24, 80:11, 102:5, 168:21 Cross[4] - 2:3. 80:13, 1:4, 1:5 Cross-exam [2] - 1:4, 1:5cross-examination [3] - 35:24, 80:11, 168:21 **Cross-examination** [2] - 2:3, 80:13 cross-examine [1] -

102:5 cross-reference [1] -27:6 crossed [1] - 30:25 Crown<sup>[8]</sup> - 99:17, 99:19, 99:20, 99:24, 100:2, 101:5, 101:22, 102:6 culprit [1] - 161:18 curious [1] - 5:5 current [3] - 39:2, 66:17, 66:24 cut [2] - 146:13, 146:16 Cut[1] - 146:14 Cynthia[4] - 173:1, 174:23, 174:24, 177:9

# D

dailies [1] - 88:1 daily [7] - 28:24, 37:9, 44:18, 45:7, 45:9, 67:16, 72:17 Dan [1] - 132:7 dangerous [5] -35:19, 51:11, 55:11, 55:12 darnedest [1] - 108:2 data [3] - 26:2, 26:10, 27:7 database [3] - 22:24, 130:11, 170:15 databases [1] -19:10 date [18] - 11:13, 29:6. 30:21. 30:24. 30:25. 33:25. 45:10. 63:1. 63:2. 103:14. 104:14, 123:6, 123:8, 151:8, 151:18, 152:23, 184:5 dated [11] - 17:20, 21:17, 94:15, 94:16, 95:2, 95:4, 95:7, 95:10, 2:6, 2:9 dates [2] - 11:17, 96:22 dating [3] - 25:19, 30:18, 144:6 Dave [5] - 48:20, 132:7, 155:13, 179:16, 190:18 David [3] - 108:19, 144:6, 162:25 Davidson [10] - 3:11, 3:22, 4:8, 6:22, 7:5, 54:12, 76:10, 76:23, 79:6. 132:5 Davies[1] - 100:17

Davies' [1] - 96:9 Dawn [3] - 64:11, 170:24, 172:15 Dawn's [2] - 172:1, 172:22 day-by-day [1] -56:15 days [6] - 97:23, 120:8, 120:23, 141:22, 182:10, 193:13 **Dc**[4] - 2:4, 50:4, 80:7, 160:25 **de** [3] - 107:17, 107:22, 123:23 dead [1] - 174:25 **deal** [3] - 14:20, 47:12, 121:17 dealing [6] - 34:22, 74:23, 75:14, 111:24, 134:18, 184:25 dealings [5] - 97:13, 104:1, 109:10, 110:14, 117:1 deals [1] - 93:8 dealt [2] - 18:3, 125:10 death [5] - 96:10, 96:15, 97:3, 153:3, 153:6 deaths [3] - 82:22, 82:25.97:8 debate [1] - 48:15 **Debra**<sup>[5]</sup> - 64:11, 68:24, 185:21, 186:5, 186:16 decades [2] -108:21, 109:17 deceased [1] - 109:1 December [19] -7:25, 14:15, 14:23, 15:16, 29:1, 29:5, 43:4, 44:25, 45:11, 103:5, 103:10, 118:2, 171:3, 181:18, 181:19, 182:9, 182:10, 184:5, 185:6 decided [1] - 4:5 decision [5] - 62:23, 74:3, 98:21, 100:18, 101:5 defence [1] - 35:15 deficiencies [4] -178:19, 181:9, 183:12, 183:23 deficiency [4] -122:7, 122:10, 135:13, 187:12 defined [3] - 84:4, 94:9, 137:17

definitely [2] - 4:19, 24:15 definition [1] - 84:19 delay [1] - 162:18 Delbigio [4] - 1:6, 1:9, 1:13 deliver [2] - 98:14, 190:12 Deliverance [1] -144:1 demanding [1] -124:3 Department [26] -8:9. 18:3. 82:4. 88:20. 89:1, 89:11, 89:18, 104:4, 104:12, 104:24, 105:22, 105:24, 107:16, 120:15, 123:2, 127:13, 128:15, 132:11, 134:17, 153:14, 173:20, 187:7, 187:24, 188:19, 189:8, 190:2 department [5] -106:14, 113:8, 134:17, 137:21, 153:9 departments [5] -26:13, 106:16, 106:18, 113:12, 133:15 depth [1] - 71:6 deputy [2] - 101:24, 125:12 **Deputy** [19] - 80:14, 90:21, 90:25, 91:15, 93:7, 95:9, 104:7, 123:12, 124:12, 124:18, 124:22, 129:23, 131:14, 136:19, 139:20, 140:5, 160:16, 169:5, 2:8 described [5] -58:12, 107:21, 128:13, 143:25, 181:23 describes [1] -124:14 description [7] -19:2, 50:17, 55:22, 108:15, 115:1, 172:13, 177:2 Description [1] - 2:3 deserved [1] - 163:8 designed [1] - 35:4 desire [1] - 149:6 desk [1] - 72:21 despite [2] - 137:9, 138:3

vii

destroyed [1] - 120:8 Detachment [7] -109:16, 140:10, 140:11, 142:7, 142:8, 143:20, 156:22 detachment [1] -142:17 detachments [2] -39:16, 39:20 detail [1] - 99:2 detailed [6] - 34:4, 57:13, 69:15, 69:23, 70:9, 150:15 detailing [1] - 67:23 details [1] - 188:5 detection [1] -148:22 Detective [20] - 21:9, 22:15, 24:4, 24:8, 30:10, 60:13, 60:16, 61:2, 116:15, 124:19, 130:18, 136:4, 136:19, 136:21, 138:21, 138:24, 151:8, 156:25, 190:17 detective [2] - 26:23, 60:2 detectives [1] - 60:4 Determine [1] - 66:9 determine [6] -66:16, 133:4, 142:10, 148:20, 150:8, 154:4 determined [1] - 6:5 determining [1] -184:20 developed [6] -13:20, 19:5, 38:12, 38:16, 59:6, 60:23 devote [2] - 56:10, 56:14 Dianne [4] - 181:12, 181:25, 182:24 **Dickhout** [18] - 62:9, 62:12, 63:5, 63:8, 63:20, 64:2, 64:9, 64:15, 66:21, 66:23, 67:22, 67:23, 68:5, 68:16, 68:20, 71:10, 132:7, 186:22 **Dickson** [4] - 48:21, 132:7. 179:16. 190:18 Dickson's [1] -179:12 differences [1] -177:5 different [13] - 19:9, 19:10, 19:25, 43:6, 43:15, 43:16, 73:20, 80:1, 101:9, 113:1, 119:14, 133:9, 190:13

difficult [4] - 26:20, 78:5, 89:24, 170:1 difficulty [1] - 131:9 diligent [1] - 116:22 direct [8] - 3:3, 45:5, 91:6, 115:3, 123:7, 159:8, 160:15, 163:16 directed [3] - 90:16, 98:20, 102:24 directing [1] - 25:18 direction [8] - 98:1, 98:6, 99:4, 99:20, 103:18, 110:23, 111:2 directional [1] -116:14 directly [1] - 157:21 director [3] - 103:11, 103:17, 148:11 dis [2] - 18:13, 54:10 disagree [14] - 14:1, 18:13, 29:24, 33:15, 47:13, 48:15, 49:1, 54:12, 56:8, 58:9, 79:22, 98:12, 105:17, 115:5 disagreeing [2] -40:25, 41:6 disappearance [18] -13:17, 88:22, 108:3, 123:25, 124:7, 166:13, 166:22, 167:7. 169:11. 173:3. 173:14. 174:25. 177:21, 179:5, 182:14, 183:24, 184:6, 188:8 disappearances [6] -8:23, 108:11, 130:24, 157:24, 158:18, 163:24 disappeared [5] -13:10, 14:13, 14:15, 169:9, 170:20 disappearing [6] -12:9, 14:6, 54:7, 55:7, 184:21, 184:22 discern [1] - 182:17 disclosable [1] -176:4 disclose [1] - 148:21 disclosed [4] -115:23, 120:3, 120:5, 142:4 disclosure [10] -17:18, 114:18, 115:7, 118:13, 118:24, 119:24, 120:16, 139:12, 139:14 discuss [3] - 66:24, 139:1, 151:9

discussed [9] - 5:25, 31:11, 53:17, 59:14, 171:9 62:20, 65:20, 125:8, 116:14 156:18, 172:15 discussing [3] -2:17, 74:21, 149:8 108:11 discussion [2] -90:23, 99:16 89:22 disdainful [1] - 92:16 disengaged [1] -86:19 disengagement [1] -86:22 Disengagement [1] -87:2 disk [1] - 157:7 dispatch [1] - 142:15 displayed [1] -188:21 disposing [1] -158:12 dissected [1] -146:16 District [2] - 39:3, 137:4 divided [1] - 135:23 Division [3] - 2:8, 2:9, 124:21 **Dna**[31] - 3:25, 5:11, 6:8, 37:5, 38:2, 38:3, 38:4, 38:10, 38:12, 38:20, 38:24, 39:18, 41:21, 43:6, 43:11, 43:15, 44:2, 44:11, 44:14, 44:24, 45:2, 45:14, 46:3, 47:19, 59:3, 59:5, 146:2, 147:20 doctor's [1] - 118:9 document [44] -5:15, 9:6, 12:6, 13:1, 17:16, 17:17, 17:22, 17:25, 18:23, 19:7, 28:8, 31:25, 45:5, 58:10, 58:12, 58:21, 58:22, 61:9, 61:10, 88:18, 98:15, 114:17, 119:13, 120:15, 122:15, 127:9, 127:10, 127:15, 128:3, 128:6, 128:23, 129:1, 129:10, 131:6, 135:13, 135:16, 139:11, 139:14, 146:10, 172:19, 174:15, 176:16, 176:22, 181:24 Document [8] - 95:6, 95:9, 192:17, 192:24, 2:5, 2:8, 2:11, 2:13

documentary [1] documentation [1] documented [1] -Documents [1] documents [61] -2:1, 2:16, 2:25, 5:4, 10:21, 11:7, 15:16, 18:2, 18:21, 19:13, 19:15, 21:4, 32:18, 47:14, 58:17, 61:24, 63:7, 65:4, 77:13, 89:21, 90:9, 90:10, 94:18, 95:15, 98:8, 98:17, 104:13, 104:25, 111:21, 112:1, 112:4, 114:24, 115:23, 117:9, 118:25, 119:7, 119:12, 119:16, 120:4, 123:18, 126:2, 126:24, 127:8, 128:8, 133:23, 135:11, 139:15, 141:1, 145:8, 145:25, 156:2, 162:1, 168:4, 173:10, 175:15, 175:23, 176:4, 176:8, 184:16, 189:10, 189:18 dogs [1] - 189:2 Dominion [2] -140:17, 140:19 Don [44] - 7:23, 12:13, 14:22, 15:1, 15:7, 16:4, 16:15, 23:23, 27:25, 28:2, 31:20, 32:2, 32:7, 32:13, 33:21, 33:24, 34:7, 34:12, 34:21, 35:7, 36:6, 36:19, 42:16, 47:14, 48:16, 49:5, 51:9, 53:20, 59:25, 66:5, 67:3, 69:19, 71:17, 71:20, 72:6, 90:22, 90:24, 91:16, 92:1, 142:20, 143:14, 143:16, 143:18, 144:4 done [25] - 19:16, 20:20, 21:21, 22:4, 37:19, 41:14, 47:5, 47:9, 48:1, 48:2, 61:2, 61:16, 68:22, 72:12, 91:2, 152:12, 153:12, 153:25, 159:22, 163:12, 163:24, 167:11, 171:8,

174:10, 175:15 Dosanjh [1] - 124:22 doubt [1] - 74:15 doubting [1] - 71:23 doubts [1] - 28:19 **Doug**<sup>[5]</sup> - 91:14, 104:9, 132:7, 132:10, 134:1 down [7] - 35:12, 55:4, 92:25, 105:13, 142:5, 157:3, 159:12 **Downtown** [11] - 3:4, 18:16, 60:7, 60:21, 60:22, 60:24, 131:3, 158:11, 172:1, 188:15, 190:12 dozens [7] - 82:21, 88:22, 89:7, 89:8, 104:18, 105:11 Dr [2] - 24:21, 131:23 drastically [1] -10:10 draw [4] - 93:10, 107:14, 163:3, 174:4 drawer [1] - 27:8 drawn [1] - 129:24 drive [1] - 142:9 drive-by [1] - 142:9 drop [1] - 8:20 drop-off [1] - 8:20 dropped [1] - 8:23 drug [2] - 83:11, 83:13 Due [1] - 6:1 due [5] - 10:23, 81:4, 87:3, 92:12, 97:3 during [5] - 16:20, 25:12, 25:21, 42:23, 162:15 duty [3] - 112:10, 153:1, 190:14 dwell [1] - 153:18 Dziekanski [1] -96:15 Ε e-mail [14] - 17:19, 41:2, 99:8, 99:10, 104:11, 114:1, 114:6, 114:10, 115:17, 116:6, 120:5, 122:7, 137:21, 138:7 e-mails [22] - 103:22, 104:22, 112:18, 112:19, 112:21, 112:24, 113:4, 113:12, 113:13, 113:15, 113:19, 113:20, 114:5, ∎viii≀

115:14, 119:17, 119:25, 120:2, 120:6, 121:1, 122:1, 122:4, 122:11 early [10] - 50:10, 82:22.104:10. 119:24, 122:20, 168:14, 168:17. 182:6, 187:1, 193:13 east [2] - 140:18, 140:20 Eastern [1] - 193:8 Eastside [11] - 3:4, 18:16, 60:7, 60:21, 60:22, 60:25, 131:3, 158:11, 172:1, 188:15, 190:12 echos [1] - 81:8 effect [6] - 47:8, 61:24, 122:2, 137:20, 143:24, 151:9 effectively [1] -103:5 effort [4] - 3:24, 4:11, 6:17, 6:25 efforts [6] - 4:16, 56:5, 85:20, 85:23, 127:10, 137:9 Egger [1] - 24:21 either [7] - 38:9, 92:21, 109:2, 116:12, 152:4, 155:23, 190:4 electronic [2] - 27:8, 157:5 elements [1] - 187:5 eliminated [1] -46:15 **Elsie**[4] - 64:21, 177:20, 178:3, 179:12 email [1] - 137:3 embarked [1] -140:25 Emerged [1] - 158:5 employed [1] -106:13 employee [1] -109:15 encountered [4] -78:2, 79:5, 79:16, 153:1 end [7] - 2:11, 136:11, 138:11, 162:10, 163:21, 171:15, 186:20 endeavour [2] - 1:24, 49:19 endeavouring [1] -157:22 enforcement [1] -26:9

engage [2] - 6:7, 7:13 engaged [7] - 58:14, 72:7, 88:18, 89:18, 94:4, 94:14, 97:15 engagement [7] -88:25, 94:13, 94:19, 94:23, 96:19, 102:13, 102:17 enjoy [1] - 193:15 **Ens**[2] - 106:22, 106:24 Ensure[1] - 66:7 ensure [6] - 13:22, 15:4, 15:13, 38:2, 66:3, 67:7 ensured [1] - 65:14 ensuring [1] - 15:8 enter [3] - 23:13, 100:20, 101:22 entered [3] - 28:13, 64:18, 143:5 entire [1] - 78:14 entitle [1] - 139:21 entitled [8] - 95:6, 95:9, 192:17, 192:24, 2:5, 2:8, 2:11, 2:13 entries [2] - 144:24, 144:25 entry [14] - 5:20, 31:4, 33:4, 64:7, 65:9, 68:12, 68:20, 118:6, 118:16, 144:25, 147:19, 149:16, 151:4, 182:18 era [1] - 26:19 Ernie [1] - 172:8 error [1] - 3:24 especially [3] -118:25, 125:11, 193:6 essence [1] - 182:14 essentially [4] -136:5, 137:16, 137:25, 148:23 establish [1] - 66:18 established [1] -60:25 estimate [2] - 1:23, 168:20 estimates [1] - 49:16 evaluate [1] - 41:10 evaluated [1] - 23:25 Evans [7] - 2:4, 50:4, 80:7, 95:10, 166:8, 1:3, 2:9 Evenhanded [47] -16:12, 20:2, 20:5, 27:24, 28:1, 29:1, 30:12, 39:15, 40:3, 45:9, 46:17, 48:4,

48:25, 50:8, 50:11,	examine [1] - 102:5	104:2, 109:6, 111:17,	Failure[4] - 178:24,	feet [1] - 55:3
51:1, 51:18, 55:20,	example [9] - 17:22,	112:10, 116:3,	180:3, 180:6, 180:16	Feliks[4] - 14:11,
55:24, 56:11, 56:24,	52:9, 52:11, 75:7,	123:24, 125:16,	failure [8] - 84:19,	173:1, 173:21, 177:13
57:2, 57:7, 57:9,	76:13, 119:17,	132:13, 133:12,	85:17, 178:20, 180:3,	<b>Feliks</b> [2] - 174:24,
57:14, 57:21, 57:22,	135:12, 151:19, 162:3	134:2, 144:5, 147:4,	180:6, 180:12, 187:7,	177:9
58:2, 58:6, 58:8,	examples [5] -	149:11, 152:20,	187:13	fell [1] - 115:11
58:14, 58:24, 59:18,	42:22, 46:7, 79:17,	158:21	fair [32] - 6:20, 7:12,	
59:23, 60:11, 62:23,		experienced [1] -	54:23, 63:15, 84:6,	<b>felt</b> [3] - 47:16,
63:14, 63:16, 70:14,	79:18, 135:15	100:2	84:16, 86:1, 86:12,	122:5, 165:3
72:6, 80:2, 166:3,	except [1] - 60:12			<b>female</b> [3] - 83:11,
167:13, 170:18,	exception [1] - 186:4	expert [1] - 164:25	86:24, 88:20, 90:6,	175:7, 175:9
172:15, 181:19, 182:5	exceptions [1] -	expertise [1] -	93:14, 93:20, 98:11,	fertile [1] - 113:16
Evenhanded's [3] -	106:20	158:21	103:19, 103:20,	<b>few</b> [11] - 96:7,
	exchange [1] -	explain [4] - 161:19,	108:4, 110:18,	102:3, 122:16, 130:1,
42:23, 50:18, 91:18	177:17	164:22, 165:1, 172:18	110:19, 117:15,	157:13, 170:21,
evening [1] - 188:17	exchanged [2] -	explained [4] -	117:21, 130:24,	185:14, 187:23,
event [3] - 103:16,	103:22, 104:22	119:22, 120:1, 120:9,	130:25, 134:23,	189:7, 189:17
133:19, 163:6	Excuse [1] - 128:5	175:24	139:12, 139:17,	fictitious [1] - 155:6
<b>events</b> [3] - 111:13,	excuse [3] - 54:11,	explanation [2] -	141:4, 159:20,	Field[25] - 7:20, 8:13,
111:22, 152:8	73:18, 185:10	77:19, 120:22	172:13, 174:7, 187:4,	9:7, 11:9, 12:17,
eventually [2] -	executed [1] - 162:5	exploit [1] - 27:4	191:3	12:21, 12:22, 14:22,
50:10, 162:5	executive [8] -	explore [1] - 73:24	<b>Fair</b> [5] - 87:6,	15:13, 17:19, 21:9,
Evidence[2] - 90:7,	50:23, 50:24, 55:23,	explored [1] - 152:1	104:17, 158:14,	23:11, 28:10, 29:25,
113:17	67:14, 69:6, 103:11,	exposure [1] - 83:17	162:23, 169:2	30:2, 30:6, 31:19,
evidence [50] - 3:12,	103:17, 148:11	express [1] - 149:6	fairly [1] - 93:13	37:13, 42:7, 42:15,
11:6, 21:11, 24:1,	exercise [1] - 170:11	expressed [4] - 75:7,	fall [2] - 39:10, 46:11	61:6, 131:17, 132:21,
27:25, 31:25, 36:21,	exes [1] - 180:3	86:18, 88:17, 89:6	familiar [8] - 24:22,	135:2, 136:15
36:24, 37:1, 42:20,	exhausted [1] -	expunged [1] - 121:2	25:16, 27:22, 60:21,	fifth [1] - 176:17
48:6, 50:25, 52:23,	117:19	extent [2] - 55:10,	64:3, 96:12, 131:13,	fights [1] - 161:6
73:17, 74:2, 75:11,	Exhibit [36] - 5:18,	75:24	140:23	figure [4] - 36:15,
75:22, 77:4, 77:11,	10:2, 11:22, 11:24,	external [2] - 94:7,	families [3] - 80:10,	39:25, 41:10, 152:2
84:5, 90:5, 90:7, 90:8,	23:4, 23:5, 24:24,	96:20	163:18, 173:1	figured [1] - 41:17
90:12, 91:13, 101:11,	24:25, 30:14, 37:10,		family [17] - 107:7,	file [51] - 6:1, 6:10,
102:6, 108:5, 112:21,	58:18, 67:17, 77:9,	F	166:18, 171:21,	7:24, 26:15, 27:8,
112:23, 113:16,	87:12, 87:16, 87:17,		172:5, 172:8, 177:11,	31:11, 31:12, 39:14,
135:16, 148:1, 148:7,	91:8, 91:10, 95:3,	face [4] - 13:16,	178:7, 178:21, 180:7,	40:20, 40:22, 44:15,
157:5, 157:10,	95:6, 95:9, 123:13,	18:10, 104:18, 104:19	180:17, 180:20,	57:21, 58:13, 62:25,
158:14, 158:16,	127:14, 128:10,	face-to [1] - 104:18	181:5, 184:1, 184:3,	68:6, 68:15, 68:21,
159:8, 160:17,	135:16, 135:19,	faced [2] - 20:7,	186:1, 186:25, 187:9	71:22, 85:17, 85:24,
160:25, 161:6,	140:6, 140:13,	20:14	far [4] - 50:17, 55:22,	85:25, 86:2, 86:3,
166:18, 167:1,	192:15, 192:16,	faces [1] - 189:20	150:24, 150:25	99:1, 102:19, 116:24,
170:14, 174:8,	192:17, 192:24, 2:5,	facing [1] - 28:2	Farm[1] - 108:12	117:2, 120:7, 132:25,
175:19, 187:21,	2:8, 2:11, 2:13	fact [34] - 8:25, 9:3,	farm [3] - 108:22,	141:12, 141:21,
189:24, 191:21	exhibit [3] - 127:21,	13:13, 13:23, 14:21,	176:19, 181:14	142:2, 142:3, 142:4,
evidenced [3] - 2:25,	192:14, 192:21	17:5, 18:2, 18:23,	fast [1] - 26:14	142:6, 153:12, 155:1,
4:6, 131:6	exhibits [7] - 38:17,	22:16, 26:13, 28:5,	fast-retrieval [1] -	155:9, 155:14,
evident [1] - 99:21	38:19, 38:23, 87:11,	28:8, 31:19, 31:21,	26:14	155:16, 156:16,
<b>ex</b> [3] - 178:24,	94:25, 129:24, 192:19	35:4, 35:14, 37:16,	faster [1] - 68:8	156:17, 156:20,
179:6, 179:8	Exhibits[1] - 2:1	44:14, 54:24, 61:17,	father [2] - 169:16,	157:1, 157:4, 157:9,
ex-boyfriend [3] -	existence [1] -	72:10, 79:17, 79:19,	169:20	161:4, 178:17,
178:24, 179:6, 179:8	128:22	89:23, 100:18,	fax [4] - 41:3, 45:13,	181:17, 191:12, 192:5
exact [2] - 123:6,	exit [1] - 24:5	105:21, 109:20,	143:7	Filer[1] - 3:22
177:4	expect [6] - 92:11,	105.21, 109.20, 119:9, 123:1, 143:19,	February[15] - 13:10,	files [59] - 16:8,
exactly [3] - 40:13,	126:4, 126:9, 133:12,	147:22, 156:15,	14:14, 30:19, 54:18,	16:12, 16:17, 16:20,
101:1, 186:12	139:7, 168:21	175:13, 175:18	57:15, 74:8, 96:11,	17:1, 29:18, 29:21,
exam [2] - 1:4, 1:5	expected [7] - 112:1,		108:22, 120:23,	29:22, 30:5, 31:5,
examination [5] -	112:4, 112:6, 112:17,	facts [1] - 113:18	146:9, 147:22,	31:6, 31:9, 31:13,
2:3, 35:24, 80:11,	113:2, 113:6, 126:7	factual [1] - 17:2	150:10, 154:17,	32:7, 32:8, 32:25,
80:13, 168:21	experience [21] -	Faculty[1] - 128:17	181:14	33:14, 33:17, 33:23,
Examination[1] -	60:9, 60:24, 77:21,	fail [1] - 27:9	feedback [1] -	34:3, 34:9, 34:11,
25:4	00.0, 00.27, 11.21,	failed [1] - 86:10		
20.4	83.2 83.11 05.13		119:22	34:15, 34:20, 38:2,
20.4	83:2, 83:11, 95:13,	failing [1] - 93:12	119:22	34:15, 34:20, 38:2,

ix.

38:8, 38:23, 39:16,	163:10, 163:12,	48:17, 61:7, 71:24,	164:21, 164:22, 165:2	166:25
45:14, 50:12, 51:22,	167:25, 173:10,	72:3, 158:9, 158:16,	generally [4] - 13:14,	hairs [1] - 40:8
52:4, 52:5, 52:25,	173:16, 174:1, 174:9,	176:10, 176:13,	17:11, 36:23, 113:14	half [6] - 88:9,
55:25, 56:2, 58:24,	185:19, 186:22	182:10	generate [1] - 22:21	146:13, 146:14,
59:3, 64:17, 64:18,	follow-through [1] -	four-phase [1] - 47:7	generated [3] -	146:16, 148:13,
64:20, 66:17, 66:24,	163:12	Fournier[1] - 108:8	•	148:10, 148:13, 168:22
67:24, 68:4, 73:4,			20:24, 26:2, 180:19	
	<b>follow-up</b> [7] - 64:16,	fourth [1] - 50:7	gentleman [1] -	Hallmark [1] - 179:21
73:8, 102:21, 109:14,	68:5, 68:17, 94:16,	frame [3] - 31:14,	169:23	hand [5] - 35:25,
110:21, 117:19,	167:25, 185:19,	165:24, 170:21	Geographic[1] -	80:14, 127:17,
120:3, 122:6, 130:7,	186:22	Frank[1] - 96:10	131:25	135:18, 174:5
134:10, 137:6,	follow-ups [4] -	<b>Freda</b> [2] - 106:22,	geographic [2] -	handcuffs [1] - 146:3
183:13, 190:20,	173:10, 173:16,	106:24	132:1, 140:2	handle [4] - 34:21,
191:22	174:1, 174:9	frequent [1] - 139:4	Geramy[11] - 7:20,	70:20, 70:22, 113:10
filing [2] - 112:13,	followed [6] - 6:18,	friend [2] - 120:11,	8:13, 11:9, 11:15,	handled [2] - 62:22,
152:21	132:21, 167:22,	124:5	12:17, 14:22, 15:4,	119:1
fill [1] - 112:14	168:5, 175:13, 184:13	Friend[1] - 107:22	37:12, 61:6, 131:17,	handling [6] -
filled [1] - 27:17	following [2] - 67:21,	friend's [1] - 108:3	135:1	169:15, 180:19,
final [2] - 96:11,	72:15	friends [8] - 166:19,	Giles[1] - 131:21	181:10, 187:5, 189:6,
96:16	follows [6] - 13:8,	168:19, 171:22,	girls [1] - 149:14	190:20
findings [2] - 100:18,	14:10, 85:15, 86:7,	177:11, 184:1,	Given[1] - 120:22	hands [1] - 164:10
102:20	86:8, 100:12	184:10, 186:25, 187:9	given [21] - 15:16,	handwriting [1] -
finish [1] - 19:1	Fonseca[1] - 106:20	front [3] - 45:7, 88:8,	15:25, 27:20, 32:13,	118:8
finished [1] - 168:25	footnoted [1] -	143:7	32:16, 33:21, 64:11,	handwritten [2] -
firearms [3] - 146:4,	171:11	fronts [1] - 69:9	83:1, 84:18, 85:25,	130:6, 141:19
162:8, 162:24	force [5] - 3:22, 7:14,	frustrated [6] -	86:2, 100:1, 110:23,	hard [1] - 19:22
firm [1] - 90:6	7:21, 55:14, 115:19	114:19, 114:21,	126:8, 130:11,	head [3] - 142:25,
first [35] - 3:25, 4:17,	Force[1] - 172:17	114:23, 115:6, 119:3,	133:12, 135:4,	144:4, 163:4
7:18, 10:7, 11:10,	forces [3] - 114:18,	139:13	149:11, 163:6,	heading [5] - 69:11,
11:16, 12:1, 16:17,	125:14, 133:15	frustration [1] -	175:22, 186:20	80:19, 94:2, 136:25,
20:19, 29:13, 36:10,	forcible [1] - 100:23	117:8	glean [1] - 160:4	166:8
36:17, 38:1, 40:23,	foregoing [1] - 194:4		gleaned [3] - 147:6,	headquarters [2] -
50:18, 80:24, 85:15,	foremost [1] - 35:9	frustrations [1] - 118:1	158:22, 171:9	127:4, 130:1
91:15, 91:20, 94:12,	forensic [1] - 52:20		<b>Globe</b> [1] - 88:13	
95:23, 103:10,	forensically [5] -	fulfil [1] - 95:14	goal [1] - 38:4	hear [7] - 1:10, 63:2,
111:20, 124:15,		<b>full</b> [3] - 110:16,		85:8, 100:5, 110:16,
143:2, 144:24,	50:14, 51:24, 51:25,	124:15, 181:20	<b>governed</b> [2] - 98:1,	160:25, 170:1
147:19, 151:20,	52:22, 56:3	<b>fully</b> [7] - 13:7,	98:7	heard [12] - 35:17,
157:19, 164:20,	forever [1] - 152:23	23:24, 27:4, 38:21,	government [6] -	35:19, 35:23, 36:1,
164:24, 165:19,	forget [1] - 156:15	40:11, 76:16, 77:24	124:1, 124:10,	43:1, 73:16, 91:13,
173:7, 182:8, 184:25	forgot [1] - 1:6	fundamental [3] -	125:12, 126:8,	97:7, 120:20, 121:7,
firstly [3] - 140:16,	form [10] - 112:19,	58:1, 166:21, 167:5	126:15, 126:17	121:23, 176:10
155:25, 187:7	117:3, 140:7, 157:5,	furtherance [1] -	granted [1] - 72:4	hearing [13] - 1:4,
<b>fit</b> [6] - 18:16, 18:19,	157:7, 161:14,	134:12	Granville[1] - 178:13	35:16, 35:25, 50:2,
19:19, 38:8, 61:8,	189:10, 191:5,	furthering [1] - 78:15	grasp [1] - 26:12	87:11, 92:11, 129:17,
70:16	191:15, 191:24	future [1] - 152:24	Great[1] - 131:12	129:20, 155:6,
fits [1] - 68:21	formal [2] - 7:13,		great [1] - 26:15	168:10, 168:13,
	114:1	G	<b>Greg</b> [1] - 1:9	169:22, 193:20
fitting [1] - 19:2	format [1] - 115:8	-	grounds [3] -	Heather [3] - 168:6,
<b>five</b> [4] - 10:8, 12:24,	formed [1] - 98:14	game [1] - 35:8	160:20, 161:15,	169:6, 169:8
148:8, 165:9	forming [1] - 163:9	Gary[5] - 2:8, 3:19,	161:24	Heather's [2] -
fix [1] - 12:2	forms [1] - 191:4	7:12, 79:18, 124:21	<b>group</b> [3] - 4:1, 19:5,	169:16, 169:20
flip [1] - 45:17	fortunate [1] - 26:21	Gastown[1] - 188:16	74:16	<b>held</b> [8] - 66:17,
floor [1] - 138:23	fortunately [1] -	gather [1] - 137:6	guess [4] - 57:25,	66:23, 66:25, 75:24,
<b>focus</b> [3] - 4:3, 4:16,	111:24	gathering [1] -	73:7, 95:21, 97:22	78:7, 78:12, 79:6,
41:11	forward [10] - 7:1,	111:21	guns [1] - 163:1	175:6
focused [2] - 51:18,	74:23, 82:2, 82:10,	gear [1] - 181:20	guys [1] - 168:2	Helen [1] - 179:21
55:21	130:17, 137:5, 158:2,	<b>General</b> <sup>[5]</sup> - 100:16,		<b>help</b> [9] - 11:20,
follow [18] - 6:18,	158:3, 164:13, 168:1	123:3, 124:2, 124:22,	н	47:18, 49:22, 91:7,
61:12, 64:16, 68:5,	foul [4] - 13:17,	124:23		123:17, 155:20,
68:17, 86:11, 94:16,	17:13, 18:7, 90:2	general [6] - 138:18,	habit [1] - 152:21	157:24, 189:3, 193:14
127:19, 147:14,	four [10] - 47:7,	157:13, 157:18,	habits [2] - 83:13,	helpful [3] - 14:20,
		,,,		

17:18, 118:22 Henderson [6] -7:20, 7:21, 15:21, 29:13, 31:17, 32:19 hereby [1] - 194:4 Hern[1] - 91:17 herself [1] - 132:3 hesitating [1] - 85:7 hide [1] - 36:24 highlighted [1] -65:9 hillbillies [1] - 144:1 himself [2] - 149:11, 149:12 hindered [1] - 46:6 hire [1] - 72:20 Hiscox [9] - 107:12, 108:24, 130:17, 151:10, 156:1, 157:19, 160:7, 160:12, 176:13 Hiscox's [2] - 74:24, 157:23 historic [5] - 50:12, 51:22, 52:25, 55:25, 56:2 historical [2] - 40:4, 46:22 history [1] - 21:6 hit [3] - 41:23, 42:25, 43.5 Hm[2] - 95:7, 2:6 hmm [2] - 159:15, 175:3 Hoffman [20] - 1:22, 1:23, 2:3, 10:4, 36:2, 36:4, 49:8, 49:12, 49:15, 49:17, 49:19, 49:23, 50:3, 80:7, 80:8, 119:19, 120:20, 121:24, 172:20, 1:4 Hoffman's [1] -121:23 hold [2] - 75:21, 160:21 holds [1] - 79:4 Holyk [1] - 180:9 home [1] - 18:5 homicide [5] - 59:9, 75:11, 77:15, 79:1, 161:18 Homicide [4] - 26:23, 74:10, 75:9, 75:13 homicides [27] -2:21, 3:3, 4:17, 5:8, 5:10, 6:19, 6:24, 7:3, 43:12, 43:13, 50:15, 50:20. 51:3. 51:8. 51:19, 52:3, 53:1, 53:4, 53:8, 53:16,

54:21, 55:22, 74:17, 75:13, 75:17, 80:5 Honeybourn [2] -74:7.74:9 hooker [1] - 132:25 hookers [4] - 91:1, 92:3, 92:4, 92:17 hopefully [1] - 22:21 hoping [3] - 7:5, 23:1, 51:5 hospital [1] - 89:9 Hotel [2] - 171:17, 185:15 hotel [1] - 145:8 hour [5] - 49:12, 49:14, 148:13, 168:22 hours [4] - 1:24, 5:20, 49:18, 160:22 Howard [1] - 153:23 Howlett [1] - 131:21 human [2] - 146:20, 159:19 hundreds [5] -20:25, 21:12, 22:7, 36:16, 59:3 Huszar [2] - 192:18, 2:12 Hyacinthe [1] -109:12 hyphen [1] - 146:5 hypodermic [1] -147:3 hypothetical [1] -48:7 hypothetically [1] -49:3 L idea [4] - 38:22, 54:14, 131:8, 131:12 identification [1] -59:7

Identification [1] -91:12 identified [12] - 6:5, 6:9, 12:24, 31:6, 46:17, 69:11, 140:16, 167:1, 176:10, 177:10, 178:20, 181:9 identify [3] - 51:5, 155:7, 171:21 ignore [1] - 55:11 ignoring [1] - 73:19 illegal [1] - 162:7 illiterate [1] - 26:12 imagine [1] - 96:4 immediately [2] -7:23, 44:21 impacted [1] - 74:3

20:3, 46:8 important [13] -50:22, 92:22, 110:15, 118:11, 125:4, 125:17, 127:2, 134:6, 150:3, 165:4, 167:17, 167:18, 182:13 impression [1] -191:7 in-depth [1] - 71:6 in...1999 [1] - 14:7 inaccurate [4] -29:20, 51:19, 51:21, 56:7 inadequate [1] -171:23 incarcerated [3] -10:24, 12:12, 14:9 incident [7] - 143:4, 143:6, 143:11, 146:1, 154:7, 155:11, 157:1 include [5] - 52:13, 76:13, 88:13, 88:14, 115:1 included [7] - 6:15, 28:20, 57:8, 104:2, 155:16, 164:5, 172:8 including [4] - 4:11, 42:1, 128:15, 155:12 inclusion [1] - 62:24 incoming [1] - 62:21 incorrectly [1] -99:14 increase [1] - 10:10 incumbent [1] -134:16 indeed [7] - 13:3, 34:15, 119:15, 147:13, 161:13, 180:19, 184:21 Indeed [1] - 27:8 independence [1] -103:4 independent [7] -78:21, 94:8, 95:24, 96:21, 97:16, 158:8, 158:16 Independent [1] -96.1 index [1] - 87:22 Index [1] - 1:1 indicate [5] - 19:16, 50:9, 146:1, 173:19, 177:6 indicated [8] - 3:21, 8:8, 32:20, 65:4, 68:4, 133:21, 133:23, 151:7 indicates [3] - 7:21, 59:2, 68:21

∎xi ∎

implemented [2] -

indicating [1] - 140:8 indication [9] -132:18, 136:12, 153:25, 171:16, 172:16, 173:9, 177:10, 184:2, 186:24 indications [1] -162:1 indifference [1] -86:24 individual [2] -74:16, 128:16 individuals [1] -130:23 indulgence [1] -186:11 inference [2] - 174:4 inferring [1] - 146:17 influence [2] - 105:7, 105:9 informant [3] -130:16, 157:19, 175:5 informants [5] -82:10, 108:25, 158:1, 158:16, 176:11 informants' [1] -161:5 information [65] -12:21, 16:5, 17:1, 17:6, 22:9, 22:12, 22:20, 24:18, 25:25, 26:2. 27:5. 27:10. 28:12.28:16.28:21. 32:12, 32:16, 33:21, 62:21, 74:22, 74:24, 75:3, 75:5, 75:24, 82:12, 109:9, 109:21, 113:9, 113:11, 130:18, 137:5, 137:12, 138:13, 142:23, 143:6, 143:13, 145:23, 151:10, 151:24, 152:13, 154:21, 155:11, 156:1, 157:21, 158:8, 159:7, 159:8, 160:4, 160:8, 160:15, 160:23, 161:12, 161:16, 162:22, 163:7, 164:23, 165:2, 166:24, 168:3, 171:22, 174:18, 175:6, 176:21, 187:16, 188:8 informed [1] - 2:10 informer [1] - 175:25 informing [1] - 32:2 initial [3] - 94:14, 184:12, 187:19

Initiated [1] - 31:5 injury [1] - 97:3 innocence [1] -149:1 input [3] - 37:13, 42:16, 137:23 inquire [2] - 100:17, 121:11 inquiries [4] - 66:2, 143:14, 153:5, 185:2 Inquiry [5] - 94:6, 95:8, 95:11, 2:7, 2:10 inquiry [7] - 58:22, 96:5. 96:10. 96:15. 96:24, 100:11, 121:15 insight [1] - 113:19 insofar [1] - 95:14 Inspector [13] - 7:17, 7:20, 7:21, 8:2, 11:9, 15:21, 28:10, 31:17, 32:19, 37:4, 91:16, 124:20, 136:15 instance [1] - 131:17 instances [3] -48:10, 83:10, 152:3 instead [2] - 42:17, 97:16 Institution [2] -144:19, 148:12 intended [3] - 45:22, 45:25, 58:23 intent [1] - 165:11 intention [1] - 193:2 intentionally [1] -99:15 inter [1] - 113:3 inter-police [1] -113:3 interactions [1] -108:20 interest [9] - 6:4, 6:10, 21:6, 23:12, 23:18, 23:25, 97:5, 156:3, 179:9 Interest [2] - 50:13, 51:23 interject [1] - 99:13 interrogate [1] - 93:4 interrupt [1] - 35:24 interview [37] - 16:4, 16:21, 22:17, 23:11, 34:10, 53:20, 63:20, 77:3, 77:7, 90:17, 91:16, 92:8, 107:4, 107:6, 107:11, 107:19, 108:6, 108:8, 108:13, 108:19, 108:24, 109:2, 109:4. 109:12, 110:4, 110:6, 143:22, 143:23,

145:7, 145:10,	56:15, 56:17, 56:22,	involving [3] - 45:14,	186:16	Kim[2] - 131:23
145:15, 148:13,	60:1, 61:16, 63:21,	97:8, 125:18	judge [1] - 162:20	Kingsbury[1] - 39:19
148:19, 163:1,	69:8, 71:6, 84:9,			
		irons [1] - 146:4	judicial [2] - 159:21,	<b>knife</b> [2] - 179:2,
171:21, 178:21,	84:22, 84:25, 85:5,	issue [21] - 7:11,	159:23	179:7
178:24	101:7, 109:23, 135:3,	11:25, 13:13, 15:11,	judicious [1] - 75:18	knowledge [5] -
Interview [1] - 181:5	135:7, 136:23,	19:24, 31:24, 67:12,	<b>July</b> [14] - 47:9, 48:1,	107:8, 116:4, 137:11,
interviewed [19] -	137:10, 142:22,	67:13, 116:8, 118:13,	49:3, 64:14, 136:10,	141:2, 158:22
8:4, 11:8, 24:8, 51:10,	150:4, 152:25, 155:1,	120:15, 123:21,	136:11, 138:10,	<b>known</b> [16] - 50:11,
57:22, 76:3, 79:11,	160:9, 166:12,	128:21, 131:2, 134:5,	155:10, 157:15,	128:9, 140:21,
90:22, 92:18, 93:5,	166:22, 167:19,	134:18, 134:19,	158:7, 161:4, 162:11,	147:22, 150:9,
98:2, 107:2, 162:14,	170:19, 171:17,	137:24, 139:19,	162:14, 163:7	151:22, 160:22,
169:17, 177:11,	173:20, 178:20,			
	180:25, 183:23,	187:24, 190:18	<b>June</b> [3] - 5:6, 5:13,	167:2, 167:14, 170:6,
186:3, 186:4, 187:1,		<b>issued</b> [3] - 96:10,	180:22	171:19, 177:13,
190:10	187:2, 187:13	96:16, 170:22	jurisdictional [4] -	178:13, 180:4, 184:2,
interviewing [3] -	investigational [1] -	issues [6] - 8:10,	6:22, 7:11, 121:18,	187:14
110:24, 144:20,	41:8	71:3, 87:5, 96:6,	177:16	
159:16	investigations [15] -	139:2, 177:16	Justice[3] - 100:19,	L
interviews [14] -	4:13, 6:24, 13:25,	item [3] - 100:13,	105:22, 120:15	<b>L</b>
90:12, 92:21, 92:24,	18:4, 25:7, 27:17,	129:3	justice [1] - 162:20	lab [3] - 38:18, 44:11,
95:15, 95:20, 98:9,	107:9, 110:17, 116:9,	items [2] - 147:2,	Juonoo [1] 102.20	
106:1, 110:25,	119:9, 122:1, 125:5,			45:13
	134:13, 163:24, 165:5	154:23	K	lack [15] - 71:5,
118:21, 159:6,		itself [4] - 37:9,		77:18, 81:5, 81:9,
166:18, 171:17,	Investigations [2] -	94:15, 102:2, 191:12	keep [5] - 21:19,	84:21, 85:16, 86:23,
184:1, 184:9	80:20, 94:8		35:9, 112:10, 112:11,	86:25, 87:1, 87:3,
introduce [2] -	investigative [26] -	J	191:11	90:13, 115:14, 122:7,
160:13, 160:22	8:18, 12:11, 13:21,	9	keepers [2] - 111:25,	185:19
introduced [1] -	14:7, 20:7, 25:25,	Jacqueline[1] -	112:4	lacked [1] - 89:18
160:3	29:18, 29:21, 32:11,	68:13		laid [1] - 14:1
introduction [1] -	32:25, 34:4, 34:8,		keeping [1] - 152:23	
132:20	34:10, 35:3, 95:13,	January[23] - 1:2,	keeps [1] - 168:25	Lane[1] - 186:8
	95:19, 95:20, 147:4,	11:6, 11:21, 12:4,	keepsakes [1] -	large [2] - 25:24,
introductory [1] -		12:19, 44:25, 45:17,	147:8	28:2
117:13	158:24, 159:2,	57:14, 61:5, 94:16,	Keith[7] - 6:21, 7:5,	Large[2] - 192:24,
invariable [2] -	161:23, 163:9, 167:6,	95:2, 95:7, 100:19,	54:12, 76:10, 76:23,	2:13
112:11, 112:12	180:2, 182:1, 184:25	104:10, 150:17,	79:6, 132:5	last [31] - 3:19, 11:5,
investigate [12] - 6:4,	investigator [9] -	154:14, 165:10,	Kelowna[1] - 53:14	23:9, 58:20, 59:2,
13:19, 16:25, 41:25,	13:18, 30:11, 62:8,	173:4, 177:14, 180:9,	<b>Kent</b> [2] - 144:19,	85:8, 96:6, 99:16,
42:1, 61:21, 65:2,	79:1, 109:7, 116:23,	185:8, 2:6	•••	132:25, 135:15,
68:25, 69:1, 73:3,	136:7, 158:22, 179:4		148:12	
75:1, 161:13	investigator's [1] -	Jardine[1] - 184:5	<b>kept</b> [3] - 116:24,	139:12, 141:22,
,	101:7	<b>Jennifer</b> [4] - 95:10,	117:1, 181:2	144:17, 167:1,
investigated [1] -		186:15, 1:3, 2:9	<b>key</b> [4] - 37:5, 37:6,	167:14, 167:22,
143:4	investigators [23] -	Jewelry[1] - 146:12	37:7, 39:23	170:6, 171:2, 171:19,
investigating [10] -	2:19, 6:6, 20:6, 20:10,	<b>Jfo</b> [4] - 144:4, 166:2,	kicked [1] - 83:13	173:21, 177:13,
2:20, 68:20, 70:23,	20:13, 27:6, 62:24,	166:3, 167:13	<b>kill</b> [1] - 175:8	178:13, 179:11,
75:23, 81:25, 97:2,	71:21, 71:25, 72:3,	<b>Jim</b> [14] - 16:11,	<b>killed</b> [4] - 43:20,	180:4, 180:13,
120:25, 144:9, 167:6,	89:22, 108:10, 109:9,	30:10, 30:21, 33:2,	43:25, 52:10, 83:23	181:13, 184:2, 186:8,
182:14	110:15, 158:8,	33:14, 34:15, 39:6,		187:14, 187:23, 190:6
Investigation [2] -	158:25, 166:6,	40:18, 62:5, 64:2,	<b>killer</b> [22] - 8:19,	late [9] - 29:4, 43:21,
25:5, 40:5	170:18, 171:16,		10:22, 25:6, 28:19,	
investigation [69] -	172:5, 177:10, 185:7,	65:21, 67:21, 70:21,	35:8, 36:12, 37:23,	43:25, 52:10, 54:17,
• • • •	185:25	91:17	43:10, 43:17, 43:20,	118:13, 165:24,
7:4, 8:3, 8:6, 15:12,	inviting [2] - 138:22,	<b>job</b> [3] - 34:8, 61:3,	43:25, 47:21, 49:7,	170:20, 170:21
15:20, 18:8, 18:14,		173:25	52:13, 76:20, 77:23,	latter [1] - 96:18
20:4, 20:19, 20:24,	138:25	<b>jobs</b> [1] - 113:8	78:8, 78:16, 79:7,	law [2] - 26:9, 121:18
26:1, 27:11, 28:3,	involved [10] - 7:24,	Joesbury[1] - 180:22	79:8, 80:3, 80:4	lawyer [1] - 100:2
30:8, 32:17, 33:19,	13:17, 17:14, 27:14,	<b>John</b> [3] - 92:2,	killer's [1] - 37:5	lawyers [7] - 104:19,
35:8, 35:21, 39:24,	46:18, 54:4, 57:8,	103:12, 148:12		104:20, 104:22,
40:2, 40:3, 40:6, 40:8,	74:16, 75:10, 144:8		Killers[1] - 25:3	
40:9, 40:12, 41:1,	involvement [3] -	<b>Johnson</b> [2] - 64:21,	killers [6] - 7:7,	105:12, 105:22,
41:11, 42:24, 43:1,	108:15, 131:19,	68:25	37:17, 37:19, 52:7,	105:23, 117:4
	142:21	Johnston[1] - 2:11	76:17, 77:25	laying [1] - 54:25
46:7, 46:9, 46:18,		Jones <sub>[5]</sub> - 64:11,	killing [4] - 78:21,	lead [5] - 3:8, 36:25,
46:19, 46:23, 56:11,	involves [1] - 101:6	64:17, 68:24, 185:21,	115:18, 121:1, 158:10	59:7, 62:8, 167:9
		xii		

lead-up [1] - 36:25	3:10	location [4] - 31:11,	Ltd[1] - 194:11	83:4, 86:9, 86:19,
leader [1] - 172:10	lifestyles [1] - 10:18	140:20, 155:16,	lulled [1] - 47:15	88:19, 88:25, 89:11,
leads [1] - 21:11	light [3] - 48:9,	178:13	lunch [2] - 129:23,	89:17, 90:14, 92:14,
leaf [1] - 88:6	97:14, 193:6	locations [2] - 140:9,	130:3	92:15, 113:9, 116:17,
Leah[1] - 176:13	likely [4] - 33:25,	140:16	lure [2] - 145:1,	132:12, 134:2
Leanna[1] - 194:9	109:7, 126:10, 176:12	locker [2] - 148:1,	145:12	Management [1] -
learn [1] - 120:24	likewise [1] - 124:6	148:7	Lynne[1] - 64:11	81:4
learned [4] - 57:23,	Lilliane[1] - 182:24	log [8] - 28:24, 37:9,	<b></b>	managing [1] - 25:24
120:24, 141:6, 150:12	limited [1] - 184:9	44:18, 45:7, 45:9,	М	mandate [1] - 75:15
learning [1] - 179:6	line [18] - 13:8,	67:16, 72:17, 72:24	Μ	manner [1] - 47:4
least [14] - 4:5,	21:13, 21:15, 32:24,	logging [1] - 54:22	<b>M-1</b> [4] - 5:18, 25:2,	manpower [1] - 4:1
49:12, 49:14, 86:19,	35:12, 53:22, 53:24,	logical [1] - 89:13	30:15, 64:7	map [3] - 87:15,
92:14, 95:14, 95:19,	53:25, 55:23, 56:1,	logically [2] - 86:8,	<b>M-2</b> [3] - 10:2, 11:24,	140:6, 140:14
125:17, 126:15,	77:10, 78:17, 129:3,	101:9	23:4	<b>Map</b> [2] - 192:25,
127:1, 130:23,	145:2, 145:21, 153:1,	Look[1] - 137:20	<b>M-4</b> [1] - 77:9	2:14
137:16, 152:19,	157:20	look [40] - 4:14, 7:18,	machine [1] - 146:17	Maple [1] - 140:11
154:13	link [8] - 3:3, 44:20,	15:23, 24:9, 24:13,	machines [1] - 26:14	March [13] - 3:21,
leave [7] - 37:1,	46:24, 48:11, 48:12,	25:16, 29:12, 30:9,	Maggie [1] - 123:23	31:2, 31:9, 91:17,
66:22, 73:11, 102:10,	52:22, 52:24, 56:2	32:17, 33:4, 34:20,	Mail [1] - 88:14	141:22, 148:5, 154:7,
136:6, 139:11, 156:8	linked [5] - 26:24,	38:10, 38:22, 54:16,	mail [14] - 17:19,	154:17, 154:24,
leaving [1] - 149:25	50:14, 51:24, 51:25,	63:24, 64:7, 69:22,	41:2, 99:8, 99:10,	157:1, 162:25,
led [2] - 14:7, 102:7	53:8	77:7, 87:22, 90:8,	104:11, 114:1, 114:6,	183:19, 186:18
Lee[1] - 64:22	linking [3] - 21:11,	91:25, 99:20, 99:24,	114:10, 115:17,	mark [6] - 94:25,
left [3] - 2:17, 9:10,	56:1, 174:24	101:25, 102:21,	116:6, 120:5, 122:7,	191:24, 192:8,
136:11	links [5] - 22:22,	133:22, 138:12,	137:21, 138:7	192:13, 192:14,
leg [1] - 146:4	23:1, 44:11, 44:13,	150:4, 150:19, 154:3,	mails [22] - 103:22,	192:20
Leggett[5] - 63:9,	52:21	156:6, 162:12, 165:4,	104:22, 112:18,	marked [1] - 95:3
66:24, 67:22, 67:23,	Lisa[1] - 160:14	167:8, 174:19,	112:19, 112:21,	marking [1] - 192:19
71:10	list [27] - 6:8, 13:21,	175:17, 175:22,	112:24, 113:4,	massive [3] - 22:9,
legwork [3] - 71:19,	19:5, 40:10, 40:19,	176:23, 177:4, 189:5	113:12, 113:13,	28:16, 28:20
73:9	44:21, 45:1, 45:21,	looked [16] - 2:25,	113:15, 113:19,	match [5] - 41:19,
Leng[2] - 107:11,	66:4, 66:18, 67:6,	7:22, 7:23, 16:19,	113:20, 114:5,	43:12, 45:14, 45:15,
151:10	67:8, 69:17, 70:3,	16:23, 57:20, 61:9,	115:14, 119:17,	70:7
Lends [2] - 124:5,	70:11, 71:13, 72:11,	61:10, 89:14, 89:20,	119:25, 120:2, 120:6,	matched [2] - 43:7,
157:20	87:24, 106:3, 106:11,	90:9, 91:23, 165:7,	121:1, 122:1, 122:4,	65:15
length [2] - 127:16,	125:1, 131:15, 147:6,	176:21, 176:22,	122:11	matching [1] - 66:8
148:22	163:22, 164:4, 166:5,	191:21	main [2] - 50:23,	material [7] - 15:25,
lengthen [1] - 2:2	179:13	looking [27] - 15:10,	80:19	16:7, 49:20, 91:22,
Lepard[14] - 90:21,	listening [1] - 117:12	40:6, 45:8, 48:22,	Main [1] - 127:12	118:20, 118:21,
91:14, 92:8, 104:9,	lists [3] - 24:2, 39:3,	50:19, 51:6, 52:20,	Mainland [1] - 140:7	144:18
104:11, 124:12,	136:21	52:24, 53:2, 53:5,	maintained [2] -	materials [1] - 4:22
128:9, 132:8, 132:10,	litany [1] - 183:11	56:5, 60:9, 60:24,	15:19, 149:1	matter [2] - 108:16,
134:1, 134:11,	literally [3] - 104:18,	63:1, 64:20, 67:17, 88:17, 00:17, 00:10	major [5] - 33:19,	141:16
136:19, 160:16,	119:11, 187:22	88:17, 99:17, 99:19,	35:2, 35:3, 132:12,	matters [1] - 6:2
160:25	literature [1] - 26:9	101:5, 101:6, 108:11, 116:18, 123:8,	134:2	Matthew [2] -
Lepards [5] - 91:15,	live [1] - 83:14	141:19, 161:22,	Major [2] - 2:9, 39:2	192:18, 2:12
104:7, 123:13, 128:8,	lived [1] - 109:16	165:25	majority [4] - 9:12,	mayor [1] - 124:2
131:14	<b>lives</b> [8] - 81:16,	looks [3] - 106:13,	9:21, 17:12, 56:21	<b>Mccarl</b> [6] - 2:19,
<b>less</b> [2] - 103:6,	81:21, 82:6, 82:17,	132:19, 185:12	make-up [1] - 147:2	3:10, 5:7, 5:8, 5:25,
125:18	83:13, 83:14, 83:25	loop [1] - 33:3	male [1] - 175:6	54:18
<b>letter</b> [3] - 95:2, 95:4,	living [3] - 10:17,	<b>Lori</b> [15] - 9:8, 9:16,	Male [2] - 175:8	<b>Mcdonell</b> [3] - 64:17,
114:2	18:15, 162:16	<b>Lon</b> [15] - 9.8, 9.16, 19:4, 24:11, 31:10,	man [8] - 103:12,	68:3, 68:13
<b>Letter</b> [4] - 95:6, 95:9,	<b>local</b> [2] - 87:24, 88:8	132:3, 132:21, 135:2,	107:12, 107:13,	Mcguinness [3] -
2:5, 2:8	locate [7] - 19:1,	136:3, 136:4, 143:13,	107:15, 107:19,	1:8, 1:11, 124:19
letters [7] - 94:14,	19:2, 61:3, 123:5,	155:24, 157:21,	147:7, 150:6, 188:16	Mcknight [17] -
94:20, 94:23, 102:17,	126:1, 178:21, 178:24	160:13, 191:8	manage [1] - 113:11	16:11, 24:10, 30:10,
118:15, 118:17, 124:1	located [8] - 10:19,	losing [1] - 97:23	management [24] -	30:21, 33:14, 34:15,
level [1] - 27:11	17:24, 130:5, 142:10,	lost [1] - 115:11	24:18, 35:2, 35:4,	39:5, 40:18, 41:3,
levels [1] - 61:20	142:19, 156:21,	Lower[1] - 140:7	42:11, 42:13, 67:4,	60:13, 60:17, 62:5,
liaising [2] - 3:9,	177:3, 179:15		81:19, 82:4, 82:15,	64:2, 65:21, 67:21,
		xiii		

70:21, 91:17	128:16, 132:11,	177:6, 187:16, 193:10	70:17, 71:6, 71:12,	172:19, 193:7, 193:21
Mcknight's [1] - 33:3	137:5, 137:9, 137:12,	<b>Mike</b> [4] - 95:7,	71:13, 72:9, 72:10,	<b>most</b> [6] - 18:5, 26:8,
mean [28] - 3:9, 6:21,	138:1, 172:15, 178:21	130:19, 155:24, 2:6	72:11, 73:6, 80:6,	26:13, 120:6, 134:7
10:25, 13:6, 13:18,	members' [1] - 137:2	mind [5] - 24:7, 35:9,	81:25, 82:5, 86:10,	mostly [2] - 82:21,
17:11, 18:1, 24:10,	memo [13] - 9:9,	115:13, 115:21,	88:2, 88:7, 89:8, 89:9,	128:14
33:20, 33:23, 34:12,	9:16, 9:18, 9:23, 10:5,	193:10	90:1, 90:2, 92:16,	mounting [1] -
40:5, 40:7, 48:7,	21:14, 21:16, 21:17,	mindset [1] - 35:20	107:7, 107:14,	175:20
48:14, 53:13, 57:20,	21:24, 24:4, 24:6,	minimal [3] - 172:4,	107:18, 108:9,	
69:22, 87:2, 95:24,	24:15, 136:14		115:15, 116:8, 119:9,	mounts [1] - 158:15
	memorandum [1] -	184:3, 186:1	122:21, 123:21,	<b>move</b> [11] - 7:1, 7:9,
102:4, 107:6, 121:21,		minimize [1] -	128:21, 131:2, 134:5,	7:16, 7:17, 19:25,
131:19, 159:10, 167:22, 177:2, 187:22	136:3	131:19	134:13, 135:8, 136:6,	27:3, 59:17, 82:2,
167:23, 177:3, 187:22 meaning [2] -	memories [2] -	ministers [4] - 123:4,	139:2, 163:22, 164:4,	128:2, 139:20, 193:2
-	111:18, 126:19	124:24, 125:19,	165:4, 165:21,	<b>moved</b> [6] - 10:22,
116:14, 118:11	<b>memory</b> [12] - 2:14,	126:10	167:17, 167:19,	12:12, 14:8, 17:7,
meaningful [1] -	4:10, 9:24, 45:6,	Minor [1] - 64:22		55:1, 55:3
154:20	73:15, 123:9, 126:24,	minute [1] - 121:5	170:20, 171:3,	<b>moving</b> [3] - 68:8,
means [1] - 172:18	142:25, 143:1, 156:5,	minutes [2] - 49:19,	172:23, 173:8,	102:12, 171:6
meant [5] - 31:21,	163:4, 174:16	168:10	174:11, 178:5, 178:8, 178:16, 170:22	<b>Mp</b> [3] - 31:6, 64:10
32:3, 32:23, 106:17,	memos [2] - 11:3	misconception [1] -	178:16, 179:23,	<b>multi</b> [2] - 6:22, 7:10
139:3	men [1] - 21:25	89:25	180:9, 180:22,	multi-jurisdictional
media [13] - 10:23,	<b>Menard</b> [4] - 109:3,	misheard [1] - 100:5	181:13, 182:8,	[1] - 6:22
62:1, 62:2, 87:18,	158:2, 158:3, 176:14	mislead [1] - 11:1	182:19, 183:8, 182:10, 185:1, 185:6	multiple [1] - 19:21
87:24, 88:8, 88:17,	mention [2] - 1:6,	miss [1] - 14:11	183:19, 185:1, 185:6,	Murder [1] - 25:4
89:3, 122:18, 122:22,	24:14	missed [1] - 14:11	185:22, 186:6,	murder [7] - 26:1,
123:20, 131:1, 138:3	mentioned [6] - 57:1,	Missing [16] - 29:16,	186:18, 187:6, 187:9,	98:21, 100:22, 141:7,
medical [1] - 157:10	64:21, 64:22, 98:24,	60:1, 60:18, 62:10,	187:19, 187:24,	141:8, 155:2, 162:5
meet [4] - 8:13,	172:22	65:1, 68:15, 81:2,	188:5, 188:9, 188:16,	murdered [5] -
66:14, 66:16, 70:21	mentions [4] - 14:10,	94:6, 95:8, 95:11,	188:20, 189:2, 189:6,	18:11, 38:9, 52:6,
meeting [43] - 3:20,	14:13, 14:14, 61:6	185:13, 191:2,	190:21, 192:4	80:10, 163:18
4:5, 6:18, 11:20,	mere [1] - 188:9	192:17, 2:7, 2:10,	missings [5] - 8:21,	murderer [2] - 51:12,
11:21, 12:4, 12:17,	message [2] - 61:22,	2:11	11:11, 13:5, 69:20,	52:10
		2.11		02.10
12:18, 14:22, 14:24,	98:13	missing [165] - 3:4,	92:2	murdering [1] -
			misspoke [1] - 87:16	
12:18, 14:22, 14:24,	98:13	missing [165] - 3:4,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding	murdering [1] -
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25,
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] -	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] -	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] -	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5 <b>N</b>
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5 <b>N</b> name [8] - 93:13,
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18,	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5 <b>N</b> name [8] - 93:13, 129:6, 153:20, 154:5,
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] -	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23,	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5 <b>N</b> name [8] - 93:13, 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, 154:22, 155:5, 155:6,
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] -	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12,	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5 <b>N</b> name [8] - 93:13, 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, 172:22
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> [5] -	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:20, 20:20,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> [5] - 103:21, 103:24,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] -	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, 21:13, 31:5, 31:24,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> [5] - 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, 32:16, 32:25, 46:13,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> [5] - 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, 46:24, 47:11, 48:12,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20$ ,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16,	<b>missing</b> [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, 48:13, 51:5, 51:12,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6	missing [165] - 3:4, 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, 51:25, 52:23, 52:24,	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{murdering [1] -} \\ 149:10 \\ \textbf{murders [4] - 3:25,} \\ 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 \\ \textbf{Murray [1] - 2:11} \\ \textbf{must [1] - 27:6} \\ \textbf{Mwci [1] - 94:5} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{N} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{name [8] - 93:13,} \\ 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, \\ 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, \\ 172:22 \\ \textbf{named [2] - 93:18,} \\ 103:12 \\ \textbf{names [15] - 2:6,} \\ 2:15, 11:17, 64:11, \\ 65:15, 93:13, 93:18, \\ 131:13, 153:21, \\ \hline \end{array}$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{murdering [1] -} \\ 149:10 \\ \textbf{murders [4] - 3:25,} \\ 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 \\ \textbf{Murray [1] - 2:11} \\ \textbf{must [1] - 27:6} \\ \textbf{Mwci [1] - 94:5} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{N} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{name [8] - 93:13,} \\ 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, \\ 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, \\ 172:22 \\ \textbf{named [2] - 93:18,} \\ 103:12 \\ \textbf{names [15] - 2:6,} \\ 2:15, 11:17, 64:11, \\ 65:15, 93:13, 93:18, \\ 131:13, 153:21, \\ 164:5, 165:6, 165:8, \\ \end{array}$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> [5] - 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> [5] - 7:20, 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> [6] - 130:7,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{murdering [1] -} \\ 149:10 \\ \textbf{murders [4] - 3:25,} \\ 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 \\ \textbf{Murray [1] - 2:11} \\ \textbf{must [1] - 27:6} \\ \textbf{Mwci [1] - 94:5} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{N} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{name [8] - 93:13,} \\ 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, \\ 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, \\ 172:22 \\ \textbf{named [2] - 93:18,} \\ 103:12 \\ \textbf{names [15] - 2:6,} \\ 2:15, 11:17, 64:11, \\ 65:15, 93:13, 93:18, \\ 131:13, 153:21, \\ 164:5, 165:6, 165:8, \\ 165:9, 165:11 \\ \hline \end{array}$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18, 105:21, 119:12,	murdering [1] - 149:10 murders [4] - 3:25, 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 Murray [1] - 2:11 must [1] - 27:6 Mwci [1] - 94:5 <b>N</b> <b>name</b> [8] - 93:13, 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, 172:22 <b>named</b> [2] - 93:18, 103:12 <b>names</b> [15] - 2:6, 2:15, 11:17, 64:11, 65:15, 93:13, 93:18, 131:13, 153:21, 164:5, 165:6, 165:8, 165:9, 165:11 <b>narrow</b> [4] - 50:17,
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14 <b>members</b> $[22] - 2:20,$	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17 middle [3] - 10:6,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ 62:21, 63:14, 64:2, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14 <b>members</b> $[22] - 2:20,$ 6:2, 39:14, 57:2,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17 middle [3] - 10:6, 118:5, 157:15	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ 62:21, 63:14, 64:2, \\ 64:10, 64:15, 65:2, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18, 105:21, 119:12, 121:2, 167:15, 170:21, 186:5	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14 <b>members</b> $[22] - 2:20,$ 6:2, 39:14, 57:2, 70:13, 81:3, 104:23,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17 middle [3] - 10:6, 118:5, 157:15 Might [1] - 99:10	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ 62:21, 63:14, 64:2, \\ 64:10, 64:15, 65:2, \\ 65:9, 65:14, 65:15, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18, 105:21, 119:12, 121:2, 167:15,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14 <b>members</b> $[22] - 2:20,$ 6:2, 39:14, 57:2, 70:13, 81:3, 104:23, 107:7, 110:24,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17 middle [3] - 10:6, 118:5, 157:15 Might [1] - 99:10 might [10] - 22:22,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ 62:21, 63:14, 64:2, \\ 64:10, 64:15, 65:2, \\ 65:9, 65:14, 65:15, \\ 66:8, 66:16, 66:18, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18, 105:21, 119:12, 121:2, 167:15, 170:21, 186:5 morning [12] - 2:4, 2:5, 32:13, 35:18,	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{murdering} [1] - \\ 149:10 \\ \textbf{murders} [4] - 3:25, \\ 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 \\ \textbf{Murray} [1] - 2:11 \\ \textbf{must} [1] - 27:6 \\ \textbf{Mwci} [1] - 94:5 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{N} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{name} [8] - 93:13, \\ 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, \\ 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, \\ 172:22 \\ \textbf{named} [2] - 93:18, \\ 103:12 \\ \textbf{names} [15] - 2:6, \\ 2:15, 11:17, 64:11, \\ 65:15, 93:13, 93:18, \\ 131:13, 153:21, \\ 164:5, 165:6, 165:8, \\ 165:9, 165:11 \\ \textbf{narrow} [4] - 50:17, \\ 52:18, 55:22, 159:12 \\ \textbf{National} [2] - 88:14, \\ 128:16 \\ \textbf{national} [2] - 88:13, \\ \end{array}$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14 <b>members</b> $[22] - 2:20,$ 6:2, 39:14, 57:2, 70:13, 81:3, 104:23, 107:7, 110:24, 115:15, 115:18,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17 middle [3] - 10:6, 118:5, 157:15 Might [1] - 99:10 might [10] - 22:22, 32:7, 57:19, 87:10,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ 62:21, 63:14, 64:2, \\ 64:10, 64:15, 65:2, \\ 65:9, 65:14, 65:15, \\ 66:8, 66:16, 66:18, \\ 67:6, 67:8, 69:11, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18, 105:21, 119:12, 121:2, 167:15, 170:21, 186:5 morning [12] - 2:4, 2:5, 32:13, 35:18, 35:25, 61:10, 97:24,	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{murdering} [1] - \\ 149:10 \\ \textbf{murders} [4] - 3:25, \\ 4:17, 54:8, 92:3 \\ \textbf{Murray} [1] - 2:11 \\ \textbf{must} [1] - 27:6 \\ \textbf{Mwci} [1] - 94:5 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{N} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{name} [8] - 93:13, \\ 129:6, 153:20, 154:5, \\ 154:22, 155:5, 155:6, \\ 172:22 \\ \textbf{named} [2] - 93:18, \\ 103:12 \\ \textbf{names} [15] - 2:6, \\ 2:15, 11:17, 64:11, \\ 65:15, 93:13, 93:18, \\ 131:13, 153:21, \\ 164:5, 165:6, 165:8, \\ 165:9, 165:11 \\ \textbf{narrow} [4] - 50:17, \\ 52:18, 55:22, 159:12 \\ \textbf{National} [2] - 88:14, \\ 128:16 \\ \textbf{national} [2] - 88:13, \\ 115:19 \\ \hline \end{array}$
12:18, 14:22, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:13, 48:25, 61:5, 61:11, 63:4, 63:5, 63:13, 66:20, 66:23, 67:22, 69:2, 71:21, 72:16, 74:7, 74:14, 74:20, 74:21, 74:25, 123:1, 124:14, 125:4, 125:23, 126:2, 126:15, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 129:2, 129:10, 134:22, 135:1 <b>meetings</b> $[5] -$ 103:21, 103:24, 104:19, 105:12, 125:18 <b>meets</b> $[5] - 7:20,$ 31:10, 65:17, 66:4, 69:5 <b>member</b> $[6] - 130:7,$ 138:9, 139:6, 172:25, 190:14 <b>members</b> $[22] - 2:20,$ 6:2, 39:14, 57:2, 70:13, 81:3, 104:23, 107:7, 110:24,	98:13 messages [1] - 62:3 met [18] - 3:21, 5:7, 5:25, 11:10, 11:15, 18:14, 29:25, 30:2, 30:7, 31:19, 67:3, 67:10, 82:22, 103:11, 105:3, 105:22, 124:20, 151:8 Metcalf [2] - 95:7, 2:6 methodical [2] - 50:12, 51:22 methodology [3] - 93:9, 103:3, 111:6 Methodology [1] - 94:2 mid-1997 [1] - 87:25 mid-1998 [3] - 81:16, 81:21, 82:6 Mid-1998 [1] - 81:23 mid-1999 [2] - 82:9, 82:17 middle [3] - 10:6, 118:5, 157:15 Might [1] - 99:10 might [10] - 22:22,	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{missing} [165] - 3:4, \\ 3:12, 4:12, 4:25, 6:23, \\ 7:7, 7:18, 8:2, 8:5, \\ 8:10, 9:4, 9:13, 9:20, \\ 9:22, 10:9, 10:13, \\ 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, \\ 11:12, 11:17, 11:18, \\ 12:24, 13:15, 13:22, \\ 13:23, 15:8, 16:12, \\ 16:17, 16:20, 17:3, \\ 17:9, 17:11, 17:13, \\ 17:21, 17:23, 18:4, \\ 18:8, 18:10, 18:12, \\ 18:17, 19:7, 19:12, \\ 19:19, 19:20, 20:20, \\ 21:13, 31:5, 31:24, \\ 32:16, 32:25, 46:13, \\ 46:24, 47:11, 48:12, \\ 48:13, 51:5, 51:12, \\ 51:25, 52:23, 52:24, \\ 53:7, 53:15, 56:5, \\ 59:20, 60:9, 61:8, \\ 61:17, 61:22, 62:13, \\ 62:21, 63:14, 64:2, \\ 64:10, 64:15, 65:2, \\ 65:9, 65:14, 65:15, \\ 66:8, 66:16, 66:18, \\ \end{array}$	misspoke [1] - 87:16 misunderstanding [1] - 58:1 misunderstood [3] - 58:5, 58:7, 100:4 mode [1] - 41:4 moderately [1] - 137:8 modern [1] - 26:12 moment [10] - 63:18, 85:2, 87:7, 112:23, 113:13, 115:12, 119:21, 168:19, 169:21, 184:20 Monday [5] - 80:11, 81:12, 84:5, 98:18, 105:4 monthly [2] - 138:18, 139:5 months [11] - 47:5, 72:7, 101:16, 102:18, 105:21, 119:12, 121:2, 167:15, 170:21, 186:5 morning [12] - 2:4, 2:5, 32:13, 35:18,	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

xiv.

174:19	178:6, 179:21,	30:6, 31:18, 31:25,	48:20, 123:6, 123:8,	<b>old</b> [5] - 38:22,
near [2] - 23:9,	183:15, 184:18,	46:17, 47:1, 47:20,	181:6	165:20, 169:9, 173:3,
109:16	185:12, 185:21,	48:9, 53:15, 72:14,	occurrences [2] -	185:24
necessarily [10] -	192:14	94:4, 94:15, 95:4,	18:4, 18:12	older [1] - 38:17
13:16, 14:18, 34:16,	nicely [1] - 169:1	95:10, 103:6, 143:12,	occurs [3] - 73:10,	Olivia[1] - 183:15
69:22, 85:6, 90:1,	nine [2] - 186:4,	165:9, 169:12, 171:3,	83:19, 83:20	<b>Once</b> [1] - 176:12
101:6, 134:23, 153:6,	193:20	181:5, 2:9	<b>October</b> [5] - 49:1,	once [4] - 23:19,
153:18	nobody [2] - 76:4,	novice [1] - 26:8	71:17, 150:24, 151:1,	41:17, 112:14, 123:15
necessary [6] -	79:11	<b>Ns</b> [1] - 2:10	167:14	<b>One</b> [4] - 1:5, 1:15,
18:13, 27:10, 67:3,	non [1] - 107:1	number [28] - 1:25,	offence [5] - 160:21,	24:10, 184:20
119:8, 145:14, 153:4	non-police [1] -	8:20, 13:24, 15:1,	161:1, 161:10,	one [72] - 13:8, 15:2,
necessity [1] - 27:12	107:1	18:18, 19:9, 69:9,	161:25, 162:4	35:3, 37:16, 37:18,
need [12] - 11:13,	nonetheless [1] -	70:11, 71:23, 72:12,	offender [9] - 38:24,	41:11, 46:10, 51:7,
11:23, 20:5, 23:11,	13:20	87:20, 93:9, 95:3,	41:20, 46:12, 51:5,	58:10, 59:14, 60:2,
44:19, 66:14, 70:2,	normally [1] - 176:5	99:25, 101:21,	51:6, 53:3, 53:5,	62:3, 63:7, 65:21,
89:4, 99:23, 101:18,	note [23] - 3:16, 3:18,	106:21, 107:13,	59:10, 161:20	69:9, 70:6, 73:12,
123:18, 168:21	4:14, 14:16, 22:15,	108:1, 127:21,	offenders [6] -	76:18, 77:25, 85:15,
needed [5] - 85:8,	23:3, 25:8, 33:22,	128:25, 129:4,	36:23, 50:20, 51:2,	87:11, 92:21, 94:15,
102:14, 112:1,	49:8, 50:22, 63:23,	129:12, 145:18,	53:2, 53:3, 59:8	95:2, 102:10, 102:11,
167:22, 168:4	97:25, 130:6, 132:17,	161:9, 165:16,	offer [1] - 101:11	106:14, 106:17,
needing [1] - 71:19	145:4, 148:10, 157:3,	180:24, 192:15,	offered [1] - 79:20	109:25, 110:20,
needles [1] - 147:3	160:7, 169:15, 181:2,	192:16	office [4] - 16:13,	111:20, 113:7,
needs [1] - 71:18	184:8, 190:20	numbered [1] - 31:7	31:14, 106:21, 188:18	114:22, 116:13,
negative [1] - 115:25	notebook [4] - 118:6,	numbers [5] - 10:10,	Office[2] - 33:7,	120:13, 121:6, 126:4,
negatively [1] - 74:2	145:9, 145:10, 153:15	17:17, 56:18, 56:19	33:12	126:5, 126:9, 127:9,
neighbourhood [2] -	notebooks [7] -	numerous [2] - 11:2,	office's [1] - 185:3	127:10, 129:24,
188:22, 190:5	112:14, 116:19,	170:14	officer [27] - 2:7,	132:10, 132:25,
neighbours [7] -	116:20, 133:16,	nurses [1] - 89:8	2:11, 2:12, 31:23,	135:12, 135:14,
166:19, 170:7, 180:6,	152:21, 153:11, 154:3	Nwps[1] - 173:18	41:3, 48:23, 74:11,	135:15, 142:25,
180:14, 185:17,	noted [8] - 13:24,		76:3, 84:9, 84:14,	145:11, 146:2, 150:3,
187:9, 187:15	22:12, 98:5, 114:25,	0	84:20, 84:24, 85:4,	152:1, 153:18, 156:3,
10110, 101110	,,			
net [1] - 52:12	170:14, 172:21,	Ŭ		157:5, 160:7, 161:3,
net [1] - 52:12	170:14, 172:21, 182:12, 183:22		85:10, 86:2, 97:15,	157:5, 160:7, 161:3, 164:20, 165:19,
never [23] - 15:17,	182:12, 183:22	<b>o'clock</b> [2] - 164:12,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10,	
<b>never</b> [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6,	<b>o'clock</b> [2] - 164:12, 193:20	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8,	164:20, 165:19,
<b>never</b> [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] -	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 officers [28] - 57:7,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11,
<b>never</b> [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] -	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] -	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 <b>onwards</b> [1] - 112:19
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 <b>onwards</b> [1] - 112:19 <b>open</b> [2] - 51:14
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 Ontario[3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 onwards [1] - 112:19 open [2] - 51:14 operate [1] - 26:11
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 Ontario[3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 onwards [1] - 112:19 open [2] - 51:14 operate [1] - 26:11 operated [1] - 76:5
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 Ontario[3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 onwards [1] - 112:19 open [2] - 51:14 operate [1] - 26:11 operated [1] - 76:5 operating [4] - 3:2,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 Ontario[3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 onwards [1] - 112:19 open [2] - 51:14 operate [1] - 26:11 operated [1] - 76:5 operating [4] - 3:2, 29:7, 37:17, 37:25
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obvious [1] - 151:3 obvious [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 Ontario[3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 onwards [1] - 112:19 open [2] - 51:14 operate [1] - 26:11 operated [1] - 76:5 operating [4] - 3:2, 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 operational [9] -
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly [1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10	$164:20, 165:19, \\167:1, 168:1, 183:15, \\185:11, 188:16, \\188:22, 189:11, \\189:12, 190:11, \\191:11, 192:9 \\ ones [3] - 81:24, \\133:20, 189:18 \\ Ontario[3] - 125:17, \\152:19, 183:2 \\ onwards [1] - 112:19 \\ open [2] - 51:14 \\ operate [1] - 26:11 \\ operated [1] - 76:5 \\ operating [4] - 3:2, \\29:7, 37:17, 37:25 \\ operational [9] - \\29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, \\ \end{cases}$
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obvious [1] - 151:3 obvious [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [1] - 72:11	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones $[3] - 81:24$ , 133:20, 189:18 Ontario $[3] - 125:17$ , 152:19, 183:2 onwards $[1] - 112:19$ open $[2] - 51:14$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operate $[1] - 76:5$ operating $[4] - 3:2$ , 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 operational $[9] -$ 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3, 31:4, 31:10, 45:19,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously [1] - 34:13	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [1] - 72:11 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones $[3] - 81:24$ , 133:20, 189:18 Ontario $[3] - 125:17$ , 152:19, 183:2 onwards $[1] - 112:19$ open $[2] - 51:14$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operate $[1] - 76:5$ operating $[4] - 3:2$ , 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 operational $[9] -$ 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3, 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, 45:22, 68:19, 72:7,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2, 133:7	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously [1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] -	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones $[3] - 81:24$ , 133:20, 189:18 Ontario $[3] - 125:17$ , 152:19, 183:2 onwards $[1] - 112:19$ open $[2] - 51:14$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operated $[1] - 76:5$ operationg $[4] - 3:2$ , 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 operational $[9] -$ 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25 operations $[1] - 42:2$
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3, 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, 45:22, 68:19, 72:7, 94:25, 102:15,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2, 133:7 <b>notifying</b> [1] - 32:21	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously [1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] - 190:21	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12 <b>offline</b> [8] - 144:14,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones $[3] - 81:24$ , 133:20, 189:18 Ontario $[3] - 125:17$ , 152:19, 183:2 onwards $[1] - 112:19$ open $[2] - 51:14$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operated $[1] - 76:5$ operational $[4] - 3:2$ , 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 operational $[9] - 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25$ operations $[1] - 42:2$ operative $[1] - 160:3$
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{never} [23] - 15:17, \\ 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, \\ 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, \\ 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, \\ 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, \\ 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, \\ 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, \\ 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 \\ \textbf{New}[6] - 106:15, \\ 173:15, 173:18, \\ 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 \\ \textbf{new} [11] - 7:16, \\ 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, \\ 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, \\ 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, \\ 164:11 \\ \textbf{Newly}[1] - 69:11 \\ \textbf{news} [1] - 188:15 \\ \textbf{next} [29] - 13:8, 27:3, \\ 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, \\ 45:22, 68:19, 72:7, \\ 94:25, 102:15, \\ 105:25, 122:16, \\ \end{array}$	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2, 133:7 <b>notifying</b> [1] - 32:21 <b>notion</b> [3] - 73:24,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously [1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] - 190:21 occasions [1] -	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12 <b>offline</b> [8] - 144:14, 150:5, 150:12,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 ones $[3] - 81:24$ , 133:20, 189:18 Ontario $[3] - 125:17$ , 152:19, 183:2 onwards $[1] - 112:19$ open $[2] - 51:14$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operate $[1] - 26:11$ operating $[4] - 3:2$ , 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 operational $[9] - 29:1$ , 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25 operative $[1] - 160:3$ opinion $[13] - 73:18$ ,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New[6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly[1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3, 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, 45:22, 68:19, 72:7, 94:25, 102:15, 105:25, 122:16, 139:20, 145:18,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2, 133:7 <b>notifying</b> [1] - 32:21 <b>notion</b> [3] - 73:24, 75:7, 81:9	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously [1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] - 190:21 occasions [1] - 121:8	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12 <b>offline</b> [8] - 144:14, 150:5, 150:12, 150:23, 151:15,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 <b>onwards</b> [1] - 112:19 <b>open</b> [2] - 51:14 <b>operate</b> [1] - 26:11 <b>operate</b> [1] - 76:5 <b>operating</b> [4] - 3:2, 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 <b>operational</b> [9] - 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25 <b>operations</b> [1] - 42:2 <b>operative</b> [1] - 160:3 <b>opinion</b> [13] - 73:18, 81:1, 82:25, 83:1,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly [1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3, 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, 45:22, 68:19, 72:7, 94:25, 102:15, 105:25, 122:16, 139:20, 145:18, 157:15, 168:6,	182:12, 183:22 notes [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 nothing [1] - 51:24 notice [2] - 108:2, 133:7 notifying [1] - 32:21 notion [3] - 73:24, 75:7, 81:9 November [30] -	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously [1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] - 190:21 occasions [1] -	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12 <b>offline</b> [8] - 144:14, 150:5, 150:12, 150:23, 151:15, 151:16, 155:25, 156:6	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 <b>onwards</b> [1] - 112:19 <b>open</b> [2] - 51:14 <b>operate</b> [1] - 26:11 <b>operate</b> [1] - 76:5 <b>operating</b> [4] - 3:2, 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 <b>operational</b> [9] - 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25 <b>operations</b> [1] - 42:2 <b>operative</b> [1] - 160:3 <b>opinion</b> [13] - 73:18, 81:1, 82:25, 83:1, 86:18, 94:8, 96:3,
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{never} [23] - 15:17, \\ 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, \\ 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, \\ 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, \\ 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, \\ 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, \\ 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, \\ 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 \\ \textbf{New}[6] - 106:15, \\ 173:15, 173:18, \\ 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 \\ \textbf{new} [11] - 7:16, \\ 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, \\ 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, \\ 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, \\ 164:11 \\ \textbf{Newly}[1] - 69:11 \\ \textbf{news} [1] - 188:15 \\ \textbf{next} [29] - 13:8, 27:3, \\ 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, \\ 45:22, 68:19, 72:7, \\ 94:25, 102:15, \\ 105:25, 122:16, \\ 139:20, 145:18, \\ 157:15, 168:6, \\ 169:15, 170:5, \\ \end{array}$	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [42] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2, 133:7 <b>notifying</b> [1] - 32:21 <b>notion</b> [3] - 73:24, 75:7, 81:9 <b>November</b> [30] - 7:19, 9:9, 15:16,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously[1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] - 190:21 occasions [1] - 121:8 occur [2] - 72:13, 181:5	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12 <b>offline</b> [8] - 144:14, 150:5, 150:12, 150:23, 151:15, 151:16, 155:25, 156:6 <b>often</b> [3] - 36:25,	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 <b>onwards</b> [1] - 112:19 <b>open</b> [2] - 51:14 <b>operate</b> [1] - 26:11 <b>operate</b> [1] - 76:5 <b>operating</b> [4] - 3:2, 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 <b>operational</b> [9] - 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25 <b>operations</b> [1] - 42:2 <b>operative</b> [1] - 160:3 <b>opinion</b> [13] - 73:18, 81:1, 82:25, 83:1, 86:18, 94:8, 96:3, 96:21, 98:15, 162:19,
never [23] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:1, 16:3, 16:19, 18:8, 23:22, 32:18, 34:10, 34:20, 38:18, 38:20, 42:19, 69:15, 69:24, 70:9, 76:16, 77:24, 78:1, 105:3, 148:1, 148:23 New [6] - 106:15, 173:15, 173:18, 173:24, 174:9, 177:17 new [11] - 7:16, 15:11, 15:12, 59:17, 59:20, 61:22, 63:14, 65:2, 71:11, 71:21, 164:11 Newly [1] - 69:11 news [1] - 188:15 next [29] - 13:8, 27:3, 31:4, 31:10, 45:19, 45:22, 68:19, 72:7, 94:25, 102:15, 105:25, 122:16, 139:20, 145:18, 157:15, 168:6, 169:15, 170:5, 170:24, 171:21,	182:12, 183:22 <b>notes</b> [ $42$ ] - 3:20, 4:6, 11:20, 14:23, 15:25, 30:10, 30:18, 31:12, 33:3, 64:3, 64:4, 65:10, 65:21, 91:16, 112:7, 112:11, 112:12, 112:13, 116:24, 117:1, 125:24, 126:6, 126:12, 126:17, 130:6, 133:15, 133:25, 141:19, 141:20, 144:22, 145:5, 145:9, 145:19, 146:1, 146:3, 149:16, 152:5, 154:4, 173:18, 173:19 <b>nothing</b> [1] - 51:24 <b>notice</b> [2] - 108:2, 133:7 <b>notifying</b> [1] - 32:21 <b>notion</b> [3] - 73:24, 75:7, 81:9 <b>November</b> [30] - 7:19, 9:9, 15:16, 21:14, 21:17, 24:4,	o'clock [2] - 164:12, 193:20 object [1] - 119:19 observed [4] - 115:21, 116:13, 142:13, 176:8 obtain [1] - 133:19 obtained [1] - 160:8 obtaining [1] - 187:15 obvious [1] - 151:3 obviously [22] - 13:18, 15:7, 17:6, 28:2, 33:17, 34:21, 37:20, 41:7, 46:21, 52:3, 54:15, 59:12, 61:15, 72:18, 72:22, 82:20, 97:8, 134:3, 134:5, 145:14, 152:9, 168:3 Obviously[1] - 34:13 occasionally [1] - 190:21 occasions [1] - 121:8 occur [2] - 72:13,	85:10, 86:2, 97:15, 99:23, 101:10, 125:12, 129:2, 130:8, 142:8, 142:12, 151:16, 160:14, 176:5 <b>officers</b> [28] - 57:7, 57:22, 60:6, 60:15, 74:3, 85:5, 92:25, 93:2, 93:16, 93:20, 97:4, 97:6, 109:21, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 128:14, 133:14, 134:7, 134:16, 138:22, 152:3, 152:15, 152:19, 153:19, 154:21, 158:4, 167:13 <b>offices</b> [1] - 91:18 <b>Official</b> [1] - 194:10 <b>official</b> [2] - 124:1, 125:12 <b>offline</b> [8] - 144:14, 150:5, 150:12, 150:23, 151:15, 151:16, 155:25, 156:6 <b>often</b> [3] - 36:25, 113:19, 113:20	164:20, 165:19, 167:1, 168:1, 183:15, 185:11, 188:16, 188:22, 189:11, 189:12, 190:11, 191:11, 192:9 <b>ones</b> [3] - 81:24, 133:20, 189:18 <b>Ontario</b> [3] - 125:17, 152:19, 183:2 <b>onwards</b> [1] - 112:19 <b>open</b> [2] - 51:14 <b>operate</b> [1] - 26:11 <b>operate</b> [1] - 76:5 <b>operating</b> [4] - 3:2, 29:7, 37:17, 37:25 <b>operational</b> [9] - 29:1, 36:6, 36:9, 37:8, 37:11, 41:16, 42:6, 47:7, 58:25 <b>operations</b> [1] - 42:2 <b>operative</b> [1] - 160:3 <b>opinion</b> [13] - 73:18, 81:1, 82:25, 83:1, 86:18, 94:8, 96:3, 96:21, 98:15, 162:19, 174:10, 176:21,

<b>Opinion</b> [1] - 80:19	16:2, 16:7, 32:13,	35:15, 39:23, 41:9,	people's [1] - 126:19	135:23
opportunities [3] -	33:24	63:20, 66:3, 83:21,	perceive [1] - 81:8	phased [1] - 48:17
105:14, 105:19,	<b>page</b> [77] - 3:18,	84:20, 90:14, 92:14,	perceived [1] -	phases [1] - 135:23
105:20	5:21, 10:5, 10:7, 12:1,	93:8, 95:19, 101:18,	118:24	<b>phone</b> [5] - 99:7,
opportunity [7] -	23:9, 25:7, 25:17,	102:18, 117:3, 130:9,	perception [1] - 97:3	103:24, 159:25,
15:18, 83:24, 105:6,	29:10, 29:11, 29:12,	137:17, 139:21,	perfect [1] - 36:24	185:14
105:8, 105:10,	31:10, 33:6, 33:8,	140:2, 140:15,	perhaps [8] - 10:23,	photograph [1] -
105:11, 190:17	37:11, 45:11, 45:18,	145:14, 147:2,	12:4, 23:19, 38:17,	192:20
opposed [3] - 3:5,	45:19, 50:4, 53:22,	149:25, 150:4, 154:9,	116:7, 127:24,	photographic [1] -
73:9, 126:24	56:19, 58:20, 59:2,	163:20, 165:3, 169:8	190:18, 193:8	140:7
option [1] - 8:12	62:15, 62:17, 64:8,	particular [12] - 8:9,	Perhaps [3] - 11:19,	photographs [1] -
order [8] - 19:12,	64:9, 65:10, 65:12,	20:18, 23:18, 24:20,	87:10, 147:11	155:16
24:1, 52:12, 61:16,	67:18, 67:19, 68:2,	42:18, 74:22, 75:14,	period [11] - 9:5,	phrase [4] - 84:2,
136:22, 164:16,	68:3, 68:12, 69:2,	112:6, 113:12,	10:11, 29:7, 76:25,	90:4, 90:25, 134:19
178:2, 186:12	69:10, 72:24, 73:13,	144:17, 144:23, 161:5	88:10, 130:16, 147:8,	physical [2] - 3:12,
<b>Order</b> [4] - 1:4, 50:2,	73:23, 74:6, 76:14,	particularly [3] -	147:14, 157:14,	156:20
129:20, 168:13	77:10, 78:13, 78:15, 80:17, 88:8, 91:12,	22:13, 25:11, 147:5	157:22, 158:14	pick [1] - 24:12
organization [1] -	91:15, 91:20, 91:25,	partnerships [1] -	permeated [1] -	picked [1] - 149:14
31:5	93:9, 93:24, 95:23,	8:8	73:19	<b>picking</b> [2] - 131:20,
organized [7] -	95.9, 95.24, 95.25, 115:11, 116:11,	pass [1] - 137:12	permission [1] -	184:22
22:13, 30:5, 66:20,	118:5, 123:15,	<b>passage</b> [3] - 93:10, 111:17, 136:20	175:22	<b>Pickton</b> [64] - 21:22,
69:15, 69:23, 70:9,	124:14, 127:15,		perpetrator [6] -	22:5, 24:14, 48:23,
117:20	136:20, 139:24,	passed [1] - 192:9 past [3] - 150:19,	12:11, 14:8, 17:7,	56:14, 56:22, 56:25,
organizing [1] - 31:9	141:15, 143:7,	• • • •	175:21, 176:12,	57:1, 57:3, 57:5, 57:8,
original [3] - 17:5,	144:23, 144:25,	153:3, 153:6 <b>Pat</b> [2] - 108:24,	176:19	57:9, 74:21, 82:13,
70:8, 165:7	145:18, 151:3, 151:5,	109:1	<b>Person</b> [2] - 192:17,	83:23, 98:23, 100:21,
otherwise [2] -	154:8, 157:2, 164:5,	Patricia [2] - 64:21,	2:11	108:19, 109:17,
122:4, 122:8	166:7, 169:15, 178:6,	68:25	person [23] - 11:5,	110:2, 110:8, 117:18,
Ottawa[1] - 150:22	181:23	Patrol [2] - 137:2,	12:24, 18:8, 18:12, 41:25, 44:7, 55:6,	130:22, 139:22, 140:10, 140:16,
ought [3] - 87:17,	Page [6] - 62:18,	137:3	65:10, 82:11, 155:7,	140.10, 140.10, 141:2, 142:9, 143:14,
88:18, 88:23	67:20, 68:11, 1:2, 2:3	patrol [2] - 138:22,	167:19, 170:12,	144:6, 144:13,
outlining [1] - 17:9	pages [6] - 33:5,	138:25	172:23, 174:11,	144:20, 145:7,
outset [2] - 18:9, 113:2	56:10, 56:14, 56:24,	pattern [3] - 9:11,	172:23, 174:11, 179:8, 182:15, 187:6,	145:16, 145:20,
Outside[1] - 27:14	106:8	9:19	188:5, 188:9, 188:11,	148:14, 148:16,
overly [1] - 93:20	pairs [1] - 146:20	Paul [3] - 2:19,	188:20, 190:6, 192:4	148:20, 150:1,
overview [2] -	Paisana [1] - 1:15	54:18, 96:10	person's [4] -	151:23, 154:11,
132:21, 136:16	Palace [1] - 140:21	<b>Paulson</b> [3] - 3:22,	182:19, 187:9,	155:13, 158:10,
overviewfor [1] -	paper [5] - 33:17,	5:7, 5:21	187:14, 190:22	158:17, 159:1, 159:9,
66:13	120:3, 121:25, 122:4,	pause [2] - 64:25,	personnel [3] -	160:3, 160:20, 161:7,
own [7] - 26:17, 28:9,	122:7	155:22	27:12, 126:5, 126:6	162:6, 162:16,
83:11, 101:13,	papers [1] - 117:3	paying [2] - 87:3,	persons [17] - 6:4,	162:25, 163:10,
125:16, 144:14, 153:9	paperwork [1] -	87:7	6:8, 6:9, 17:11, 17:21,	170:22, 174:21,
ownership [29] -	65:22	peace [1] - 162:20	18:4, 21:5, 23:12,	175:14, 175:20,
29:16, 31:16, 31:18,	paragraph [17] -	<b>Peck</b> [4] - 1:15, 1:18,	23:18, 23:24, 64:10,	176:12, 176:18,
31:22, 32:4, 32:10,	3:19, 10:7, 12:6, 13:9,	1:20, 1:21	64:16, 65:14, 66:8,	176:20, 176:23,
32:19, 32:23, 81:5,	13:11, 27:3, 29:14,	Peel [2] - 26:22,	71:6, 185:1, 187:25	177:7, 181:14
81:10, 81:14, 81:20,	29:15, 50:7, 52:22,	187:25	Persons [8] - 50:13,	Pickton's [3] -
81:23, 82:5, 82:16,	59:2, 74:6, 80:24,	Peel's [1] - 188:3	51:23, 60:1, 60:18,	153:20, 154:5, 155:2
83:4, 84:2, 84:9,	82:20, 95:23, 124:15	people [23] - 13:14,	62:10, 65:1, 68:15,	Picktons [3] -
84:19, 84:25, 85:18,	paragraphs [1] -	17:12, 34:13, 54:6,	191:2	109:22, 143:17,
85:24, 86:4, 86:10,	93:16	54:9, 78:21, 79:14,	pertaining [2] -	143:24
93:12, 134:19,	paraphrasing [3] -	80:1, 92:16, 93:19,	82:12, 122:1	<b>picture</b> [6] - 15:10,
134:25, 135:2, 135:7	89:6, 137:23, 143:25	95:15, 106:11, 107:1,	<b>phase</b> [3] - 38:1,	15:14, 17:2, 67:15,
Ownership[1] -	Pardon [1] - 87:16	109:7, 113:20,	39:1, 47:7	69:20, 188:4
80:21	pardon [3] - 30:16,	113:24, 113:25,	<b>Phase</b> [12] - 38:1,	pictures [3] - 189:2,
	144:24, 186:5	124:13, 149:11,	40:15, 41:16, 41:23,	192:3, 192:4
Р	parenthesis [1] -	161:9, 184:17,	42:23, 42:24, 70:6,	<b>pieces</b> [4] - 146:6,
	124:25 part [29] - 1:20, 18:5,	188:10, 189:3	127:15, 128:10,	146:7, 146:12, 163:7
package [5] - 15:25,	<b>μαιι</b> [29] - 1.20, 10.0,	<b>People</b> [1] - 77:13	135:17, 135:19,	<b>pig</b> [1] - 176:18

xvi 🛛

Piggy's [1] - 140:21	191:13	157:24, 158:7, 160:6,	potentially [9] -	40:23
<b>pile</b> [1] - 48:4	pointed [6] - 21:4,	160:8, 169:12,	22:22, 47:21, 51:7,	prioritizing [2] -
pinpointed [1] -	21:23, 62:5, 63:19,	175:18, 176:5, 179:4,	53:19, 61:8, 70:17,	39:22, 40:14
151:7	156:12, 176:18	183:20, 184:2,	80:6, 159:6, 161:18	priority [1] - 88:24
pitfalls [1] - 35:3	<b>pointing</b> [3] - 57:25,	185:13, 185:25, 188:4	<b>powers</b> [2] - 84:15,	privilege [1] - 175:25
<b>place</b> [6] - 33:1, 36:6,	158:17, 176:11	Police-informer [1] -	85:12	proactive [1] - 40:6
36:10, 65:23, 111:13,	<b>points</b> [3] - 24:16,	175:25	practical [1] - 8:12	proactively [3] - 6:3,
155:20	131:10, 178:19	policies [2] - 121:11,	practice [4] - 112:11,	41:24, 48:4
places [2] - 86:9,	Pois[1] - 22:22	121:16	112:12, 189:19, 191:1	probability [1] -
140:23	poised [1] - 47:12	<b>policing</b> [3] - 89:16,	practices [1] -	119:16
plaguing [1] - 71:10	poles [1] - 189:2	96:6, 133:13	153:13	probable [2] -
Plan [1] - 66:6	<b>Police</b> [32] - 8:9,	<b>policy</b> [2] - 120:6, 121:20	preceding [1] - 154:9	160:20, 161:14
<b>plan</b> [32] - 20:11,	18:3, 26:22, 80:19,		predominant [1] -	probing [1] - 93:3
22:20, 35:9, 36:6,	82:4, 88:20, 89:1,	polygraph [1] - 46:16	53:17	problem [17] - 20:7,
36:8, 36:9, 36:20,	89:10, 89:17, 90:22,		premature [1] -	28:17, 30:18, 34:22,
37:8, 37:12, 37:15,	104:3, 104:12, 104:23, 105:24,	<b>pool</b> [3] - 36:11, 36:16, 52:14	82:22	41:8, 71:9, 73:19,
41:16, 42:6, 42:16,	104:23, 105:24, 106:15, 107:16,	<b>Port</b> [7] - 12:23,	premier [1] - 124:2	82:5, 82:16, 83:3, 91:2, 115:10, 124:11,
43:19, 44:9, 44:12, 47:1, 47:6, 47:7,	123:2, 127:13,	140:10, 140:15,	premise [1] - 14:1 premised [1] - 37:15	131:2, 134:21, 135:5,
47:1, 47:0, 47:7, 47:4, 47:20, 48:17, 49:4,	128:15, 132:11,	178:16, 193:1, 2:14	premised [1] - 37:15 premises [1] - 162:8	131.2, 134.21, 135.5, 138:4
49:5, 50:18, 51:4,	134:17, 149:20,	portion [1] - 124:25	preparation [1] - 162.8	problematic [1] -
51:13, 58:25, 59:12,	153:14, 173:15,	Portland [1] - 185:15	106:2	45:3
59:15, 59:24, 62:2,	173:18, 173:19,	<b>position</b> [2] - 60:3,	prepared [6] - 6:13,	problems [5] - 25:19,
191:17	175:25, 187:6,	74:14	58:21, 62:3, 136:5,	27:20, 27:22, 114:4,
plant [1] - 160:23	187:23, 188:19,	positions [1] - 140:8	136:14, 189:8	115:2
play [5] - 13:17,	189:8, 190:1	positive [2] - 170:15,	Prepared [1] - 66:13	proceeded [1] -
17:14, 18:7, 42:23,	police [95] - 25:11,	186:21	preparing [1] - 36:20	103:18
90:2	26:11, 26:13, 27:2,	possession [3] -	presented [3] - 4:8,	proceeding [2] -
plugged [1] - 46:22	57:3, 83:11, 84:8,	32:7, 148:8, 175:18	41:8, 42:11	59:1, 152:24
<b>Pm</b> [6] - 129:17,	84:13, 84:20, 84:24,	possibilities [1] -	presents [2] - 21:2,	proceedings [5] -
129:18, 129:19,	85:10, 86:1, 92:24,	51:15	28:17	100:20, 101:23,
168:11, 168:12,	93:1, 93:19, 95:13,	possibility [8] -	preserve [1] - 112:13	102:7, 149:7, 194:6
193:22	97:1, 97:2, 97:4, 97:5,	13:19, 51:14, 53:7,	preserved [2] -	Proceedings [9] -
Point [1] - 172:13	97:15, 97:17, 98:8,	119:15, 159:18,	121:25, 153:3	1:3, 49:25, 50:1,
point [66] - 1:5, 5:9,	99:17, 99:19, 99:23,	160:2, 160:17, 160:19	pressing [1] - 6:2	129:18, 129:19,
7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 16:2,	101:7, 101:10,	possible [4] - 6:10,	presumably [2] -	168:11, 168:12,
16:9, 33:13, 46:21,	101:24, 101:25,	6:18, 147:18, 162:4	60:6, 63:12	193:22, 1:1
48:18, 49:7, 50:18,	102:6, 105:12,	possibly [2] - 61:7,	presume [4] - 17:11,	process [3] - 40:20,
51:1, 54:17, 57:18,	106:14, 106:16,	89:25	33:24, 39:21, 64:10	44:15, 58:13
59:1, 64:25, 73:7,	106:18, 107:1, 107:8,	Post [1] - 88:14	presumed [2] -	processing [2] -
73:11, 74:11, 74:13,	109:6, 109:8, 109:20,	<b>post</b> [1] - 188:4	83:23, 102:17	26:10, 27:5
74:23, 75:12, 79:3,	110:15, 110:21,	posted [2] - 144:8,	pretty [4] - 90:6,	produce [2] - 170:11,
82:10, 92:22, 93:7,	110:22, 111:1,	190:6	92:22, 125:4, 167:5	191:2
101:4, 101:11,	111:16, 111:25, 112:7, 112:10	poster [12] - 66:1,	previous [7] - 13:25,	produced [1] -
102:11, 102:12,	112:7, 112:10, 112:18 113:3 113:8	66:3, 66:10, 165:7,	14:12, 14:17, 29:12,	114:10
109:25, 110:1, 112:9,	112:18, 113:3, 113:8, 113:9, 113:11,	189:8, 189:13,	96:24, 113:7, 118:3	production [1] -
119:20, 120:1,	113:15, 114:18,	190:22, 191:11,	previously [4] - 4:22,	122:16
120:13, 132:10, 126:16, 142:16	114:23, 115:19,	192:8, 192:18, 2:12	6:9, 34:5, 70:18	professional [3] -
136:16, 143:16,	116:3, 116:4, 116:23,	posters [12] -	primary [3] - 3:1,	83:1, 111:25, 112:3
151:14, 152:18, 156:4, 157:23,	124:7, 125:11,	188:20, 188:23,	30:11, 34:17	<b>profile</b> [9] - 18:19,
162:24, 167:22,	125:14, 125:18,	189:2, 189:14, 190:4,	prime [2] - 130:23,	19:19, 38:8, 61:8,
170:5, 171:21,	125:19, 128:14,	190:8, 190:15, 191:3,	158:17	65:16, 66:8, 68:21,
171:25, 173:8,	131:9, 133:14, 134:7,	191:10, 191:14, 191:23, 191:24	principal [1] - 103:17	70:8, 70:16
173:24, 174:12,	134:16, 141:1,	191:23, 191:24	print [1] - 87:24	profiled [2] - 38:19,
175:11, 177:8,	143:17, 148:22,	postings [1] - 188:23	printed [1] - 120:7	38:20
179:11, 182:8,	149:11, 150:10,	<b>potential</b> [12] - 3:5, 3:6, 15:3, 20:25,	priorities [1] -	profiler [4] - 55:9, 131:25, 132:1, 132:5
182:23, 184:8,	151:16, 152:19,	21:12, 26:16, 37:1,	111:20	131:25, 132:1, 132:5
184:18, 185:5,	153:7, 153:9, 153:19,	39:18, 46:24, 48:11,	prioritization [1] -	profilers [1] - 36:20 profiles [1] - 59:5
185:12, 190:15,	154:21, 156:4,	59:4, 70:3	39:2	progress [3] - 6:1,
		оо.т, то.о	prioritize [2] - 40:11,	progress [3] - 0.1,
		xvii		

125:5, 169:22	<b>pull</b> [3] - 4:20, 4:21,	R	174:13, 176:10	[1] - 72:25
Project [21] - 9:10,	90:20	N	reading [7] - 77:12,	Reconvened[1] - 1:3
16:12, 30:11, 30:22,	pulled [1] - 89:11	radio [1] - 88:15	118:7, 127:8, 161:25,	record [10] - 90:22,
48:25, 50:8, 50:11,	purpose [4] - 103:9,	raise [1] - 35:15	180:1, 184:14, 184:16	102:14, 111:25,
55:24, 56:10, 56:24,	148:18, 148:20, 188:7	raised [4] - 67:12,	ready [3] - 30:5,	125:7, 125:20,
57:7, 58:6, 58:8,	purposes [1] - 58:22	67:13, 71:3, 119:23	30:7, 117:20	128:20, 129:25,
59:23, 62:23, 72:6,	pursued [1] - 136:22	raises [1] - 28:19	real [2] - 163:17,	130:5, 133:5, 145:7
80:2, 166:2, 181:19,	pursuing [1] - 47:23	ran [1] - 155:20	185:10	recorded [2] - 117:7,
182:5	<b>push</b> [1] - 164:13	rank [2] - 24:1, 104:4	realize [2] - 26:10,	145:22
project [2] - 15:24,	pushing [1] - 54:13	rapidly [2] - 27:7,	44:12	recording [1] - 145:4
114:20	put [31] - 5:16, 20:5,	38:13	realized [3] - 37:4,	records [21] - 87:13,
projects [1] - 15:22	20:9, 22:20, 23:25,	rather [6] - 9:15,	43:9, 47:20	112:4, 125:24,
prominent [1] -	28:5, 36:6, 36:9,	45:18, 62:2, 65:8,	realizing [1] - 26:15	126:15, 126:21,
172:10	42:22, 48:3, 53:9,	106:4, 140:9	really [15] - 3:1, 3:8,	133:21, 138:6, 139:7,
prominently [1] -	74:5, 74:19, 84:12,	Rcmp[76] - 2:20,	4:4, 8:12, 8:18, 8:22,	144:13, 147:6, 150:5,
188:21	85:19, 85:23, 89:13,	4:12, 4:17, 7:4, 12:24,	20:5, 21:10, 23:22,	150:20, 157:10,
propensity [1] - 22:1	93:1, 115:21, 120:7,	29:23, 31:23, 32:21,	33:21, 45:24, 71:5,	169:10, 171:10,
proper [1] - 119:8	121:4, 121:12, 122:5,	37:21, 39:15, 39:20,	114:18, 121:17, 135:5	173:4, 177:22, 178:7,
properties [2] -	122:16, 123:16,	41:3, 60:14, 61:20,	reason [10] - 9:12,	184:18, 185:3, 185:8
110:8, 145:20	135:7, 136:2, 138:13,	67:4, 69:6, 73:20,	9:21, 10:12, 21:25,	recovered [1] -
property [10] -	138:15, 156:3, 160:18	74:4, 76:3, 76:5, 77:5,	66:3, 89:16, 114:11,	146:20
140:10, 140:17,	<b>putting</b> [3] - 20:12,	78:3, 78:9, 79:5,	154:4, 176:1, 182:17	<b>recur</b> [1] - 131:14
146:8, 147:15,	65:22, 130:14	79:14, 81:4, 81:20,	reasonable [7] -	recurring [1] - 73:16
159:20, 161:8, 162:2,		82:11, 82:15, 104:24,	12:20, 34:1, 54:15,	redactions [1] -
163:2, 170:22, 175:10	Q	105:12, 108:21,	71:9, 84:15, 160:20,	176:8
proposal [7] - 3:22,		109:16, 109:22,	161:14	refer [5] - 11:20,
4:7, 4:10, 4:20, 4:24, 7:6, 7:22	quality [2] - 107:8,	109:23, 114:4, 114:6,	reasonably [2] -	25:7, 58:20, 74:7,
7:6, 7:22 prosecution [3] -	166:12	114:9, 115:15, 116:7,	84:13, 85:10	118:14
35:13, 101:6, 162:6	queries [5] - 57:3,	118:25, 119:16, 119:24, 120:5,	reasons [3] - 11:2, 114:12, 170:11	reference [31] - 5:4, 10:16, 25:13, 25:22,
prospective [1] -	153:24, 154:10,	120:24, 121:11,	reassess [1] - 23:15	27:6, 53:11, 57:6,
101:8	154:13, 154:16	121:16, 121:20,	receive [2] - 34:8,	58:16, 60:20, 68:2,
prostitute [2] - 3:25,	questioning [1] - 140:3	121:24, 121:25,	114:6	77:5, 90:24, 99:22,
4:16	questions [14] -	122:11, 123:2, 126:4,	received [12] - 7:12,	100:4, 100:8, 100:11,
prove [1] - 115:25	80:7, 101:4, 102:11,	128:16, 129:2, 129:4,	32:24, 33:24, 45:13,	101:15, 101:19,
provide [12] - 3:15,	122:17, 130:2, 140:1,	130:6, 130:20, 132:5,	58:11, 94:14, 98:7,	101:21, 102:1,
27:9, 36:7, 94:7,	145:11, 157:13,	140:9, 140:11, 142:7,	104:11, 107:12,	116:18, 128:23,
96:20, 113:19,	157:18, 164:24,	142:8, 143:19,	130:13, 146:10,	129:9, 130:12, 133:1,
114:24, 128:25,	165:15, 172:20,	143:20, 148:8,	185:13	141:15, 143:11,
152:5, 153:25, 159:7,	183:10	153:14, 153:22,	receiving [5] - 58:12,	145:13, 149:22,
166:23	quickly [6] - 26:10,	153:23, 153:24,	58:14, 115:8, 118:14,	177:17, 190:21
provided [8] - 11:16,	124:17, 128:2,	155:24, 156:21,	143:13	referenced [1] -
29:17, 67:11, 130:17,	153:21, 163:4, 167:20	159:4, 161:4, 178:16	recent [2] - 162:14,	133:20
151:10, 156:25,	quite [17] - 3:7,	Rcmps [1] - 104:19	172:23	references [3] -
174:18, 175:5	13:21, 16:9, 19:5,	<b>re</b> [4] - 132:25,	recently [3] - 125:11,	123:18, 171:11,
provides [3] - 4:4,	19:11, 20:1, 21:6,	142:17, 179:15, 191:4	179:15, 188:13	189:17
25:9, 157:20	27:24, 29:22, 37:15,	re-located [1] - 179:15	recess [1] - 168:10	referencing [1] - 57:2
providing [2] - 96:3,	38:13, 61:20, 76:24,	re-thinking [1] -	Recognition[1] -	referred [7] - 9:18,
136:15	102:18, 111:13,	191:4	80:21	11:23, 22:15, 93:19,
<b>province</b> [4] - 7:7, 52:4, 123:3, 153:15	185:14 quotation [2] - 92:1,	reaction [1] - 187:19	recognition [5] - 81:13, 81:15, 83:3,	154:8, 155:8, 192:12
<b>Province</b> [1] - 88:2	<b>quotation</b> [2] - 92:1, 92:4	read [21] - 50:9,	137:17, 137:24	referring [7] - 9:15,
Provincial [3] -	92.4 <b>guote</b> [2] - 76:14,	53:21, 68:9, 80:24,	recognize [1] - 27:2	11:22, 31:18, 118:19,
74:10, 75:9, 75:12	96:19	82:20, 88:10, 100:8,	recognized [2] -	120:11, 128:24, 172:6
provincial [2] - 96:5,	<b>quoted</b> [1] - 89:5	100:9, 100:10,	51:4, 135:1	<b>refers</b> [2] - 46:2,
121:15	quotes [2] - 179:14,	100:24, 101:14,	recollection [3] -	101:22
public [5] - 61:23,	179:18	106:22, 115:22,	152:16, 157:8, 157:12	refresh [3] - 9:24,
88:16, 97:3, 122:22,		118:16, 124:17,	recommendation [1]	45:6, 123:9
124:10		125:1, 144:25,	- 97:1	refusal [1] - 114:24
publicize [1] - 188:7		149:17, 170:8,	recommendations	regard [3] - 11:14,
		xviii		

115:2, 159:8 regarding [2] -139:1, 175:6 regards [14] - 3:11, 4:12, 9:6, 40:1, 57:3, 57:23, 122:11, 126:2, 142:5, 143:6, 153:10, 166:24, 174:23, 176:3 region [2] - 192:25, 2:14 Regional[1] - 26:22 Registrar[21] - 1:4, 50:2, 87:15, 87:17, 91:8, 91:9, 91:11, 95:2, 127:16, 127:18, 127:22, 128:5, 128:8, 129:17, 129:20, 140:5, 168:10, 168:13, 192:15, 192:23, 193:20 regular [7] - 137:1, 138:7, 138:9, 138:10, 139:4, 139:6, 181:2 regularly [2] -137:20. 183:3 reissue [1] - 66:10 related [3] - 96:6, 111:21, 175:14 relates [1] - 81:14 relating [7] - 99:17, 115:15, 116:8, 127:11, 141:16, 142:22, 155:1 relation [2] - 16:15, 176:20 relatively [1] - 85:4 relatives [3] - 164:6, 165:17, 183:16 release [1] - 62:1 relevant [7] - 117:8, 119:7, 119:16, 120:2, 120:4, 121:1, 122:1 relied [1] - 126:23 Relocated[2] - 33:6, 33:11 relocated [1] - 16:13 reluctance [4] -76:19, 77:22, 78:7, 78:19 rely [3] - 16:24, 23:17.126:19 relying [2] - 3:13, 190:19 remain [1] - 61:21 remained [1] - 148:1 remember [9] - 91:3, 123:17, 127:7, 145:24, 146:23, 150:21, 150:22, 156:11, 191:6

**Remember**[1] - 32:12 remind [1] - 46:10 renew [1] - 65:23 repeat [2] - 9:14, 84:23 repeatedly [2] -23:14, 84:3 rephrase [2] - 76:6, 158:20 report [83] - 3:17, 6:14, 6:20, 12:1, 14:3, 17:8, 20:1, 29:11, 31:16, 32:5, 37:21, 46:25, 50:5, 50:24, 56:9, 57:12, 57:16, 59:21, 67:21, 71:1, 71:4, 73:14, 73:23, 74:5, 76:14, 80:14, 81:9, 84:3, 86:9, 93:8, 93:11, 93:17, 96:11, 96:16, 96:18, 98:20, 98:25, 102:20, 102:24, 105:7, 105:9, 106:2, 106:5, 106:8, 115:1. 116:10. 118:13. 119:6. 123:13. 124:15. 129:9, 130:8, 130:9, 131:14, 139:21, 141:16, 142:15, 150:1, 150:15, 151:3, 154:10, 156:9, 156:15, 157:7, 160:8, 162:25, 163:16, 163:20, 165:12, 172:22, 174:24, 176:3, 178:8, 178:9, 182:8, 182:9, 182:19, 183:18, 185:1, 186:9, 187:20, 188:5 reported [38] - 9:1, 9:2, 9:4, 9:20, 10:18, 11:4, 11:5, 11:11, 11:18, 13:15, 13:22, 17:13, 17:23, 18:10, 18:19, 59:21, 71:12, 90:24, 165:21, 169:11, 170:20, 171:3, 173:4, 173:8, 173:15, 177:22, 178:5, 178:9, 178:16, 179:16, 179:17, 180:22, 183:19, 185:6, 185:22, 186:6, 186:18 Reported[2] -179:23, 183:8 **Reporter**[1] - 194:10 reporting [1] -181:10

Reporting[1] -194:11 reports [7] - 61:22, 65:3, 138:10, 139:8, 187:6, 189:5, 191:9 represent [1] -105:23 representation [2] -15:3, 132:18 request [6] - 7:13, 67:7, 72:4, 72:19, 98:16, 142:6 requested [2] -71:24, 98:8 Requested[1] -142:18 requests [1] - 104:12 require [1] - 1:10 required [2] - 27:15, 42:1 resided [1] - 185:16 residence [4] -142:9, 142:11, 142:12, 162:17 residential [2] -167:8, 171:19 resources [12] -46:19, 65:6, 67:7, 69:7, 69:8, 71:5, 71:15, 71:20, 72:19, 72:21, 73:1, 75:19 respect [24] - 2:20. 3:20, 4:25, 17:3, 22:4, 22:7, 22:9, 44:22, 56:16, 59:18, 61:12, 68:6, 86:18, 101:3, 101:4, 115:14, 117:8, 119:24, 150:5, 161:1, 163:25, 166:12, 180:12, 185:1 respecting [2] - 88:2, 100:18 respective [2] -140:8 respond [2] - 107:16, 138:17 responded [2] -53:21, 109:9 response [2] - 97:24, 153:24 responsibility [5] -46:13, 47:10, 63:12, 84:5, 182:5 responsible [7] -51:12, 52:8, 61:21, 65:22, 80:5, 83:15, 158:10 restate [2] - 121:12, 167:18 rested [1] - 81:23

∎xix ∎

restricting [1] -110:25 result [1] - 59:5 resulted [3] - 59:13, 154:13, 162:5 resumed [4] - 1:4, 50:2, 129:20, 168:13 **Resumed**[3] - 50:1, 129:19, 168:12 resuming [1] - 80:10 retained [1] - 120:2 retention [4] - 120:5, 153:8, 153:10, 153:15 retrieval [1] - 26:14 retrieve [1] - 27:7 return [1] - 19:24 returned [1] - 18:5 returning [1] -149:25 reveal [1] - 185:9 revealed [8] - 43:22, 43:24, 44:7, 44:9, 70:15, 127:10, 142:11, 154:10 revealing [2] - 23:18, 43:19 review [51] - 8:16, 15:17, 15:18, 16:1, 16:9, 32:14, 34:17, 38:2, 38:5, 39:1, 39:16, 40:4, 40:20, 41:4, 41:10, 44:15, 46:22, 47:14, 47:19, 50:10, 50:12, 51:22, 52:11, 55:25, 56:9, 56:20, 57:13, 57:16, 57:23, 58:13, 73:4, 73:16, 102:19, 109:14, 117:20, 119:8, 122:8, 122:13, 125:3, 134:10, 139:8, 141:21, 157:19, 158:23, 161:3, 165:3, 165:6, 165:11, 176:7, 185:9 Review[2] - 29:16, 172:17 reviewed [14] -34:10, 59:3, 67:24, 89:15, 99:1, 119:11, 119:13, 141:1, 141:21, 144:12, 169:10, 171:10, 176:16, 189:18 reviewer [1] - 164:25 reviewing [19] - 26:8, 31:12, 34:15, 40:18, 52:4, 52:5, 56:21, 58:24, 73:7, 107:9, 111:13, 122:6,

135:10, 138:7, 145:8, 145:25, 174:17, 179:5, 187:4 reviews [2] - 39:14, 40:22 reward [4] - 65:20, 65:23, 65:24, 66:10 Ridge[1] - 140:11 Ridiculous[1] -118:17 ridiculous [1] - 119:2 rise [2] - 22:23, 44:16 risen [1] - 47:3 Road[2] - 140:19, 140:20 roads [1] - 54:22 Robert[21] - 22:5, 74:21, 82:13, 83:23, 96:15, 98:22, 100:21, 117:17, 141:2, 144:5, 144:13, 144:20, 148:14, 151:22, 154:11, 155:1, 159:1, 160:19, 175:14, 175:20, 176:11 Rock[2] - 181:12 Rocks [2] - 181:25, 182:24 **role** [4] - 34:19, 95:18, 101:7, 101:8 roles [1] - 101:8 rolled [1] - 55:3 Ron[1] - 176:14 room [5] - 54:13, 145:8, 148:16, 169:24, 185:17 roosters [1] - 161:6 rose [2] - 46:23, 104:4 Ross[1] - 176:13 Rossmo[2] - 131:23 rows [1] - 169:23 rule [1] - 158:25 ruled [1] - 18:9 run [1] - 132:25 running [1] - 57:2 S

safely [1] - 18:6 safer [2] - 85:22, 158:13 safest [1] - 55:16 sample [1] - 5:11 samples [2] - 43:6, 43:15 Sandy [4] - 17:19, 180:20, 190:10, 191:10

Sarah [3] - 107:17,	29:14, 39:1, 60:3,	189:3	37:19, 37:22, 43:9,	Shenher's [2] - 9:16,
107:22, 123:23	85:9, 91:25, 144:23,	seem [5] - 6:17,	43:10, 43:17, 43:20,	21:14
sat [2] - 92:25, 148:7	192:20	137:10, 137:24,	43:24, 47:21, 49:7,	Shenhers [1] -
Satellite [2] - 33:7,	secondly [1] - 36:15	138:1, 193:13	59:10, 76:20, 77:23,	116:16
33:11	secret [1] - 46:1	seized [4] - 145:20,	78:8, 78:16, 79:7,	shoes [4] - 20:6,
satisfy [1] - 162:20	section [2] - 167:24,	146:1, 147:19, 148:4	79:8, 80:3, 80:4,	20:10, 20:12, 146:12
saved [7] - 81:17,	175:2	selected [2] - 165:5,	115:18, 121:1	shortages [1] - 21:5
81:18, 81:22, 82:7,	<b>see</b> [106] - 5:21, 5:23,	165:6	series [1] - 87:18	shorten [1] - 183:10
82:8, 82:17, 82:18	6:11, 9:23, 10:5, 11:6,	selecting [1] - 47:16	Serious [2] - 2:9,	shortly [1] - 7:19
saw [31] - 2:16, 9:6,	12:6, 12:13, 12:18,	self [1] - 99:21	124:21	show [6] - 87:11,
16:3, 24:2, 24:3,	12:22, 16:2, 21:16,	self-evident [1] -	serious [6] - 28:19,	91:6, 94:18, 127:25,
27:25, 29:3, 32:18,	23:10, 24:1, 25:16,	99:21	84:14, 85:11, 97:2,	188:17, 188:22
41:1, 47:14, 48:6,	27:18, 30:18, 30:20,	send [1] - 113:20	97:11, 97:12	showed [4] - 3:1,
58:16, 65:25, 108:5,	31:8, 33:7, 33:9,	sending [1] - 30:3	serves [1] - 174:16	22:24, 24:3, 122:17
109:25, 112:21,	37:18, 38:6, 38:23,	Senior[1] - 81:3	Service [3] - 173:16,	showing [3] - 140:5,
112:23, 116:14,	39:1, 39:4, 41:19,	senior [24] - 61:20,	173:25, 194:11	140:13, 140:15
128:23, 129:1,	42:20, 45:20, 45:21,	67:4, 69:6, 81:19,	session [9] - 127:5,	shown [1] - 123:12
129:11, 143:11,	46:3, 56:6, 56:9, 63:4,	82:4, 82:15, 83:3,	128:13, 128:20,	<b>sic</b> [4] - 31:10,
146:17, 161:11,	64:13, 64:23, 65:19,	86:9, 86:19, 88:19,	130:1, 131:5, 131:8,	152:10, 188:2, 188:3
163:14, 174:8,	65:21, 66:11, 67:1,	88:25, 89:11, 89:17,	132:20, 133:17, 134:3	side [2] - 110:16,
174:16, 187:21,	68:2, 68:7, 68:14,	90:14, 92:14, 92:15,	set [8] - 4:23, 44:21,	110:20
189:10, 190:21,	69:13, 72:24, 80:22,	116:17, 123:2, 124:1,	50:7, 108:1, 110:21,	sides [1] - 110:10
191:23	81:6, 87:23, 88:7,	124:13, 125:11,	111:20, 113:18	sightings [2] -
scene [6] - 38:2,	88:12, 89:3, 89:4,	125:18	sets [4] - 13:9, 17:20,	184:12, 184:15
41:20, 43:6, 59:5,	89:20, 90:5, 90:12,	sense [6] - 54:5,	58:24, 146:3	significance [3] -
155:19	91:5, 91:14, 91:18,	54:6, 78:20, 78:23,	seven [1] - 175:9	9:11, 134:18, 163:17
scenery [1] - 193:15	91:21, 92:5, 94:10,	120:22, 178:3	several [9] - 11:3,	Significant [1] -
scenes [2] - 35:22,	94:21, 98:15, 98:18,	sensitive [1] - 27:16	37:16, 37:18, 124:23,	19:22
43:16	106:10, 106:11,	sent [8] - 32:1,	131:13, 152:3,	significant [7] - 9:20,
schedule [1] - 169:1	111:2, 114:13,	37:12, 38:18, 42:6,	153:19, 184:12,	19:17, 20:21, 21:2,
schedules [2] -	116:16, 122:4,	62:1, 136:14, 138:8,	184:15	33:18, 122:22, 179:8
153:8, 153:10	122:10, 124:15,	139:5	severe [1] - 21:6	signposts [1] - 189:1
Schouten [1] - 71:1	125:1, 128:18, 130:9,	sentence [6] - 10:6,	severity [1] - 81:1	Similar [1] - 162:10
scientist [1] - 55:8	130:14, 132:22,	12:7, 14:17, 29:14,	Sex [2] - 48:21, 48:24	similar [5] - 10:17,
<b>scope</b> [5] - 58:1,	133:7, 133:10,	94:9, 157:3	<b>sex</b> [14] - 12:25,	144:2, 153:13, 180:2,
58:5, 58:7, 102:13,	133:11, 136:23,	sentiment [1] - 79:15	21:7, 22:2, 46:12,	183:23
135:4	137:14, 139:1,	September [5] - 41:2,	48:19, 52:5, 59:9,	similarities [1] -
Sean [1] - 91:17	140:12, 140:22,	41:5, 154:18, 179:23,	69:11, 69:17, 70:7,	18:17
search [21] - 50:13,	142:1, 146:15, 165:22, 166:8, 167:2	179:24	70:11, 83:12, 110:7,	similarly [1] - 68:19
51:23, 59:20, 69:15,	165:22, 166:8, 167:3,	Sereena [5] - 164:20,	158:11	Similarly [3] - 81:19,
69:23, 70:9, 70:14,	167:25, 169:25,	165:20, 166:17,	sexual [6] - 48:19,	109:3, 159:23
108:21, 144:14,	171:4, 173:6, 175:2, 175:17, 176:6, 176:9,	168:1, 184:17	141:13, 142:17,	simply [10] - 10:6,
150:23, 151:15,	177:4, 181:3, 181:7,	Sergeant [32] - 3:11,	144:9, 147:5, 154:12	10:8, 22:1, 26:13,
151:17, 152:12,	185:11, 189:13,	4:8, 5:7, 5:21, 9:7,	sexually [2] - 46:12,	51:11, 77:11, 119:17,
152:13, 154:5,	189:23, 191:22	11:15, 12:21, 12:22,	52:6	163:12, 179:19
155:25, 156:6, 162:4,	See[1] - 45:24	13:4, 15:13, 17:19,	shed [1] - 97:14	simultaneously [3] -
167:2, 170:22, 180:13	seeing [23] - 10:21,	21:9, 28:10, 29:13,	Shenher [33] - 9:8,	39:13, 40:20, 40:24
searched [5] -	14:23, 18:1, 18:20,	29:25, 30:2, 30:6,	19:4, 21:9, 22:16,	single [1] - 119:13
147:15, 153:19, 154:21, 170:7, 181:14	18:22, 18:25, 19:1,	31:19, 37:12, 42:6,	24:5, 24:11, 31:11,	sister [4] - 123:23,
154:21, 170:7, 181:14	19:13, 19:15, 36:21,	42:15, 65:17, 66:13,	61:2, 124:19, 130:18,	124:5, 171:25, 186:4
searches [5] - 150:5,	45:16, 61:23, 91:3,	69:5, 74:7, 74:9, 76:9, 121:17, 122:20	132:3, 132:21, 135:2,	sister's [1] - 123:25
150:12, 152:4, 152:5, 170:15	128:3, 133:24,	131:17, 132:20, 134:11, 136:15,	136:3, 136:4, 136:21, 138:8, 138:21,	<b>sit</b> [1] - 119:5
	138:20, 138:24,	153:22		<b>sites</b> [2] - 54:19,
Sebastian [4] -	162:24, 174:20,		138:25, 143:13, 151:8, 151:19	54:20
64:21, 177:20, 178:4, 179:12	191:5, 191:14, 192:3	sergeant [2] - 104:4, 132:15	151:8, 151:19, 152:14, 155:23,	sitting [1] - 105:12
<b>Second</b> [2] - 145:2,	seek [2] - 187:14,	Serial [1] - 25:4	155:24, 156:5,	situation [3] - 65:20,
167:1	188:8	serial [29] - 3:23, 7:6,	156:18, 156:25,	70:21, 120:9
second [11] - 3:19,	Seeking [1] - 104:25	8:19, 25:6, 26:1, 35:7,	157:21, 160:13,	<b>Siuss</b> <sup>[8]</sup> - 22:21,
12:6, 12:7, 23:9,	seeking [2] - 114:25,	36:23, 37:5, 37:17,	174:13, 190:18, 191:8	23:1, 23:13, 23:17,
,,,		,, <b>-</b> , <b>-</b> ,	, , .	27:21, 28:13, 28:25,

X

64:18 **six** [5] - 56:10, 71:21, 71:24, 72:25, 181:1 size [1] - 135:4 skill [1] - 194:7 skipping [2] -171:14, 173:7 Skipping [1] - 82:9 slightly [2] - 113:1, 140:18 **Slow**[1] - 180:25 slow [1] - 187:18 small [1] - 1:5 Smith[1] - 194:9 smoothly [1] - 27:16 snapshot [1] -151:13 snuffed [1] - 83:25 solid [3] - 21:11, 161:13, 161:16 solve [5] - 20:8, 75:17, 84:16, 85:12, 89:12 solved [2] - 59:15, 79:19 **solving** [5] - 37:6, 59:13, 84:10, 134:20 someone [9] - 19:6, 19:12, 47:9, 97:16, 125:19, 128:25, 147:15, 149:10, 179:5 sometimes [1] - 85:4 Sometimes [1] -189:15 somewhat [2] -75:18, 140:23 somewhere [4] -33:22.116:11. 168:22. 175:1 sorry [27] - 4:13, 9:14, 10:14, 16:14, 23:4, 43:22, 45:3, 46:8, 54:11, 55:2, 60:3, 63:1, 66:15, 72:6, 73:14, 77:8, 81:12, 87:16, 91:9, 98:19, 106:8, 106:23, 127:11, 136:19, 167:18, 186:15, 192:15 **Sorry**[39] - 5:14, 5:19, 9:15, 21:19, 23:6, 24:25, 25:19, 30:17, 43:14, 43:24, 46:5, 53:24, 53:25, 62:17, 63:1, 65:8, 68:8, 68:10, 76:6, 78:5, 84:23, 87:17, 87:20, 106:5, 111:7, 111:8, 111:9, 117:11,

117:12, 117:13, 121:9, 127:21, 161:19, 168:16, 177:24, 177:25, 178:15, 186:10 sort [5] - 57:13. 58:25, 93:2, 138:19. 161:23 sorts [2] - 19:10, 52:5 sought [1] - 95:14 sound [1] - 181:15 source [6] - 103:17, 113:16, 133:23, 145:22, 145:24, 155:11 sourced [1] - 133:7 sources [2] - 133:9, 158:9 **South** [1] - 39:2 **Spanish**[1] - 168:2 speaking [7] - 29:12, 45:15, 90:17, 104:9, 110:1, 113:14, 164:2 special [2] - 15:22, 156:3 specific [5] - 46:9, 74:16, 93:19, 115:10, 165:15 Specific [1] - 80:19 specifically [2] -60:14.101:25 specifics [1] - 36:5 speculation [1] -10:22 speed [2] - 27:10, 36:2 speedy [1] - 27:8 **Spencer**[2] - 28:10, 136:15 spend [1] - 88:5 spent [2] - 56:21, 144:18 split [1] - 40:8 spring [1] - 39:11 Squad [3] - 48:22, 48:24, 68:16 stabbing [1] - 157:1 Stackhouse [1] -124:23 staff [3] - 71:25, 103:13, 185:15 Staff [3] - 3:10, 4:8, 29:13 staffed [1] - 60:2 stage [7] - 1:11, 18:14, 23:23, 29:21, 83:5, 98:20, 182:6 staged [1] - 161:7 stages [1] - 187:1

stand [2] - 55:25, 119:19 stands [1] - 102:1 Star[1] - 118:11 star [1] - 3:23 start [12] - 3:24, 24:20, 47:23, 54:11, 67:17, 81:12, 118:6, 140:1, 150:25, 168:14, 168:17, 180:25 started [11] - 15:19, 16:18, 44:25, 47:17, 47:22, 72:14, 72:15, 80:11, 103:2, 103:4, 136:9 Starting[1] - 150:17 starting [7] - 16:2, 33:18, 93:7, 120:24, 145:21, 153:7, 163:22 starts [2] - 53:22, 163:21 state [2] - 73:14, 73:15 statement [7] -74:13, 79:25, 90:6, 92:13, 121:23, 122:2, 143:23 statements [2] -155:12, 157:10 states [1] - 80:25 States [1] - 25:6 statistics [1] - 79:21 stats [1] - 17:21 status [5] - 66:16, 67:24, 137:22, 138:10, 139:8 stay [5] - 98:21, 100:20, 101:5, 101:22, 102:7 staying [1] - 162:16 step [5] - 151:20, 167:6, 184:25, 187:8, 189:25 Stephanie [1] - 186:8 Stephen [1] - 106:20 steps [9] - 34:4, 42:4, 158:24, 159:2, 166:5, 166:7, 180:2, 189:12 stepsister [1] -182:24 Steven [2] - 24:21, 124:23 **Stevie**[1] - 108:12 stick [1] - 1:25 sticky [1] - 115:11 Still [1] - 64:16 still [17] - 30:4, 31:14, 33:20, 41:4, xxi

47:21, 48:16, 49:12, 55:25, 74:23, 78:4, 85:24, 103:3, 111:6, 112:7, 113:10, 135:10 stood [2] - 21:25, 22:1 stop [3] - 129:15, 146:7, 146:14 **Stop**[1] - 146:22 stopped [10] - 8:25, 9:1, 9:20, 10:23, 11:4, 11:11, 12:9, 13:5, 14:6, 17:7 Stopped [1] - 9:2 stopping [1] - 179:4 stops [1] - 89:12 stories [1] - 88:9 story [4] - 110:11, 110:16, 110:20, 110:21 straight [1] - 176:9 strategies [2] -159:2, 163:9 strategy [6] - 20:2, 160:5, 160:6, 161:20, 161:23, 182:2 street [3] - 12:9, 14:6, 140:18 Street [2] - 127:12, 178:13 streets [2] - 107:18, 122:21 stressed [1] - 27:17 stressed-filled [1] -27:17 strike [1] - 149:10 strong [2] - 3:7, 119:15 stronger [2] - 48:12, 126:23 struggled [1] - 28:1 struggling [2] - 30:5, 79:24 student [1] - 26:8 studies [2] - 163:23, 164:7 subheading [1] -80:20 subject [6] - 88:7, 93:6, 122:20, 135:10, 154:12, 160:9 submission [3] -101:12, 143:5, 143:8 submissions [2] -137:1, 138:8 submitted [1] - 129:9 submitting [1] -143:5 subsequent [2] -12:24, 162:6

subsequently [1] -158:1 succeeded [1] -43:19 success [1] - 178:9 successful [2] -137:8, 165:13 successfully [1] -55:6 sufficiency [1] -122:15 sufficient [3] - 71:15, 135:5, 161:12 suggest [60] - 4:2. 8:22, 16:10, 16:24, 20:1, 20:3, 20:13, 20:15, 23:16, 23:22, 28:25, 29:19, 31:13, 32:24, 33:13, 34:6, 35:2, 39:5, 44:19, 47:8, 47:11, 48:6, 48:8. 50:16. 51:17. 55:19, 57:15, 58:3, 59:25, 61:19, 76:2, 76:23, 78:1, 79:10, 82:15, 83:21, 84:12, 84:18, 86:7, 86:22, 89:21, 93:15, 117:16, 119:6, 125:22, 134:5, 134:11, 135:13, 147:7, 152:20, 158:20, 159:18, 161:3, 163:8, 166:11, 167:5, 176:16, 179:9, 189:7, 193:5 suggested [4] -21:21, 42:21, 168:4, 191:24 suggesting [15] -6:21, 6:22, 10:25, 32:6, 34:18, 52:17, 52:21, 70:1, 78:11, 79:6, 90:13, 158:10, 161:2, 161:22, 175:20 suggestion [5] -47:25, 65:3, 74:19, 74:25, 162:23 suggestions [2] -105:15, 132:24 suggests [3] - 69:7, 92:14, 184:19 summaries [1] -190:19 summarize [3] -58:23, 130:3, 154:9 summarizing [2] -136:5, 168:2 Summary [1] -166:15 summary [9] - 33:25,

50:23, 50:24, 55:23, Sylvia [1] - 12:23 testified [2] - 172:9, 174:18, 174:20, 57:10, 58:13, 139:17, synonymous [1] -182:25 174:22, 175:2, 141:4, 166:14 testify [1] - 149:6 175:12, 175:18, 84:4 summer [4] - 39:9, 176:17, 177:4 the...missing [1] system [3] - 27:23, 39:12, 40:19, 47:5 28:25, 29:8 69.17 Tip..confidential [1] Sun [1] - 88:1 systems [1] - 26:22 theme [1] - 73:17 - 175:5 Superintendent [5] themselves [3] tips [9] - 20:24, 152:1, 167:22, 4:9, 4:15, 7:1, 7:10, 89:23, 147:25, 191:22 Т 167:24, 167:25, 124:20 theory [11] - 3:2, 3:7, 168:1, 168:4, 185:19, support [2] - 71:25, tab [30] - 5:18, 5:19, 12:11, 14:8, 53:15, 188:8 74:5 53:17, 76:20, 78:16, 10:2, 10:3, 11:22, tips...only [1] - 23:13 suppose [1] - 48:7 79:8, 79:9, 80:3 11:23, 11:24, 23:3, they've [3] - 41:21, tirelessly [3] supposed [1] -23:5, 23:6, 24:24, 107:15, 107:24 108:10 85:25, 188:20 25:3, 30:14, 30:16, title [1] - 25:16 surfaced [2] - 70:6, 30:17, 37:10, 37:11, thick [1] - 157:9 thinking [4] - 54:4, Tobias[5] - 21:23, 162:10 58:18, 64:6, 67:17, 24:2, 71:1, 97:24, surprise [1] - 163:5 99:22, 174:20, 191:4 77:9, 87:20, 91:10, 98:14 surprised [3] thinks [1] - 121:16 91:12, 127:15, 115:5, 126:1, 190:10 today [9] - 1:16, 2:1, 128:10, 135:17, third [8] - 64:8, 16:7, 49:9, 119:5, surreptitiously [1] -135:20, 135:23, 65:12, 74:6, 96:5, 152:9, 187:22, 188:2 160:4 96:8, 138:23, 145:21, 135:24 together [2] - 30:8, Surrey [8] - 16:13, Tab[2] - 67:19, 157:3 124:13 135:22 33:6, 33:11, 142:7, thorough [2] - 57:16, tomorrow [2] -142:17, 142:21, talks [2] - 25:24, 119:8 168:22, 193:21 143:19, 144:8 72:25 thousands [2] -Tony[1] - 1:15 surveillance [5] tampons [1] - 147:2 119:11, 119:12 42:2, 110:3, 159:10, thread [3] - 81:9, took [17] - 16:9, Tanya[1] - 180:9 159:19, 159:20 tap [2] - 42:2, 159:24 187:12, 187:18 16:10, 23:23, 29:16, Surveillance [1] target [3] - 36:17, three [21] - 7:6, 29:21, 31:17, 31:22, 32:3, 32:6, 32:10, 159:16 39:25.44:22 10:19, 21:25, 24:6, 32:19, 54:18, 71:1, survived [1] - 110:7 24:7, 24:16, 33:4, targeted [2] - 42:25, 101:14, 111:13, suspect [35] - 7:8. 50:14, 52:2, 56:3, 46:9 117:14, 170:18 35:14. 36:11. 38:19. 64:19.106:15. targeting [1] - 47:17 38:24, 39:3, 39:25, 106:17, 121:2, 133:8, tooth [1] - 146:20 Task[1] - 172:17 top [12] - 5:20, 22:23, 40:18, 42:25, 45:14, 133:23, 140:16, task [12] - 3:22, 7:14, 46:23, 47:3, 47:6, 154:14, 158:1, 24:6, 24:7, 29:11, 7:21, 32:3, 55:14, 164:11, 169:23 33:6, 33:8, 46:23, 47:9, 47:10, 48:1, 95:14, 95:18, 102:19, 47:3, 48:3, 91:14, 48:5, 48:9, 48:10, Throughout[1] -103:10, 111:11, 91:20 49:2, 49:3, 57:1, 57:5, 73:16 113:2, 165:13 57:9, 130:23, 134:25, total [1] - 165:10 throughout [10] tasks [1] - 34:14 139:22, 141:6, totality [1] - 81:1 8:21, 38:12, 39:9, team [4] - 27:5, 141:12, 142:19, totally [1] - 58:8 39:11, 40:19, 76:25, 34:12, 34:13, 35:7 150:1, 158:17, 159:1, 83:17, 88:9, 93:11, touch [2] - 70:25, Techniques[1] -159:9, 161:20 188:21 113:13 159:3 suspects [31] - 6:10, time-consuming [1] touched [2] - 46:10, telephone [1] - 189:1 6:19, 20:25, 21:12, - 19:11 74:8 Telephoned[3] -22:8, 22:10, 22:17, timeline [17] - 5:3, towards [1] - 136:11 64:9, 65:9, 65:14 23:20, 24:16, 28:12, 5:5, 6:16, 12:5, 12:16, track [1] - 97:23 television [1] - 88:15 28:15, 36:16, 39:22, 12:22, 28:24, 30:1, trade [16] - 12:9, ten [1] - 10:17 39:23, 40:7, 40:11, 70:15, 123:9, 128:24, 12:25, 14:6, 21:7, term [5] - 71:18, 40:14, 40:24, 41:9, 129:3, 133:7, 133:24, 22:2. 46:12. 48:19. 100:4, 100:8, 101:21, 41:10, 41:19, 42:19, 138:12, 138:14, 52:5, 59:10, 69:11, 102:1 44:21, 45:1, 45:21, 138:15 69:17, 70:7, 70:12, terms [14] - 22:23, 46:3, 46:9, 47:17, timelines [1] -83:12, 110:7, 158:11 25:12, 25:22, 81:13, 47:24, 55:21, 62:22 162:13 tragedy [4] - 81:2, 96:25, 99:22, 100:11, suspicious [1] timely [1] - 27:9 82:19, 82:20, 83:21 101:14, 101:18, 182:15 timing [1] - 162:21 trailer [4] - 174:25, 101:21. 102:12. Swann[1] - 2:10 tip [13] - 107:12, 175:7, 176:18, 177:2 138:6, 165:24, 187:12 sweater [1] - 169:24 157:20, 167:24, trails...but [1] - 54:24 test [1] - 143:1 sworn [1] - 137:2 174:13, 174:16, trained [1] - 116:23 tested [1] - 148:2

∎xxii

training [2] - 29:4, 132:12 transcribed [1] -194:6 transcript [6] - 24:9, 53:11, 53:23, 78:13, 78:14, 194:5 transfer [1] - 32:12 transferred [2] -27:23, 29:23 transient [1] - 90:1 travelling [1] - 39:19 trial [1] - 117:17 tried [5] - 108:1, 114:19, 125:22, 152:2, 178:8 trip [1] - 148:12 trips [1] - 110:8 trophies [1] - 147:8 troubling [1] - 55:10 true [6] - 26:18, 92:11, 92:12, 92:13, 92:18, 194:5 truly [1] - 97:16 trust [2] - 80:15, 104:14 truth [1] - 149:4 try [10] - 19:6, 36:2, 75:3, 89:12, 107:15, 123:16, 160:4, 160:14, 160:23, 175:17 Try[1] - 66:17 trying [29] - 11:1, 15:12, 15:14, 20:9, 29:4, 32:23, 36:7, 40:7, 45:3, 51:2, 52:7, 52:12, 56:2, 61:3, 68:9, 70:20, 72:8, 78:5, 98:13, 107:14, 113:10, 123:7, 142:24, 143:1, 159:5, 177:24, 181:16, 191:6 Tuesday[1] - 97:22 tunnel [7] - 34:24, 35:5, 35:10, 35:15, 35:18, 35:20, 47:15 turn [23] - 8:17, 10:5, 24:18, 29:10, 37:10, 44:18, 45:17, 59:8, 61:5, 64:24, 67:16, 68:2, 69:2, 69:9, 77:9, 80:17, 89:4, 93:6, 105:25, 115:13, 123:15, 130:19, 177:20 Turn[1] - 45:11 turned [1] - 83:12 turning [2] - 169:14, 180:24

two [38] - 1:23, 2:10, 2:15, 33:5, 36:10, 83:10 43:6, 43:16, 43:25, 49:18.60:4.63:24. 71:25, 72:3, 84:18, 88:1, 88:9, 94:14, 94:18, 94:25, 96:24, 102:10, 102:11, 106:13, 107:1, 109:2, 110:10, 114:18, 128:15, 130:23, 132:11, 135:6, 141:22, 148:16, 154:13, 167:15, 92:18 168:2, 169:16 two-and-a-half-year [1] - 88:9 two-way [1] - 63:24 type [1] - 115:7 typed [1] - 133:11 types [2] - 18:18, 191:3 typewritten [2] -30:25, 130:4 typically [1] - 84:8 typo [1] - 100:15 U **Ubc** [1] - 89:7 **Uco**[1] - 160:3 Ujjal [1] - 124:22 26:3 **Uk**[1] - 128:17 81:3 ultimately [4] -35:14, 38:4, 102:19, 176:6 123:23 unable [7] - 6:3, 123:5, 126:1, 128:24, 133:9, 154:20, 165:12 unbelievable [1] -125:24 **Under** [2] - 80:19, 94:2 59:9 under [9] - 3:2, 8:18, 110:3, 136:25, 157:2, 166:8, 167:24, 175:2, 190:8 undercover [3] -42:2, 160:2, 160:14 understood [9] -43:11, 76:16, 77:24, 84:4, 86:16, 121:24, 122:2, 160:17, 161:25 undertaken [5] -19:18, 69:16, 69:24, 69:25.70:10 undertook [1] - 20:5 underway [1] - 166:3 underwear [3] -146:21, 146:22, 147:1

undoubtedly [1] unduly [1] - 152:19 unfair [6] - 34:6, 76:9, 101:23, 120:10, 121:19, 121:21 unfortunately [1] -101:14 Unfortunately [1] -165:12 Unger [8] - 90:25, 92:2, 92:5, 92:6, 92:12, 92:13, 92:15, uniform [3] - 138:25, 189:19, 191:1 unique [2] - 19:23, 153:10 **Unit** [11] - 6:3, 60:2, 60:18, 62:10, 65:1, 74:10, 75:9, 75:13, 143:9, 185:13, 191:2 unit [2] - 63:10, 71:4 United [2] - 25:5, 194:11 units [2] - 132:19, 133:14 unknown [1] - 41:20 Unless [1] - 60:12 unless [1] - 120:7 unmanageable [1] unrecognized [1] unredacted [1] unrelated [1] -161:21 unreliable [1] -111:18 unsolved [5] - 38:10, 50:14, 52:2, 56:3, **Unsolved** [3] - 74:10, 75:9.75:12 untested [1] - 148:7 unusual [3] - 174:19, 190:13, 193:9 unwilling [1] - 65:5 **up** [57] - 6:18, 9:9, 14:2, 14:10, 14:19, 16:20, 22:24, 23:14, 23:17, 23:19, 33:18, 36:2, 36:8, 36:25, 38:24, 41:19, 42:15, 48:14, 49:3, 54:16, 54:18, 54:20, 54:22, 57:14, 58:11, 61:12, 64:8, 64:16, 65:13, 68:5, 68:17, 89:4,

90:20, 92:21, 94:16, 108:1, 111:21, 116:21, 133:11, 138:22, 139:1, 140:15, 144:4, 147:2, 149:14, 153:8, 167:22, 167:25, 168:5. 172:19. 174:19, 184:13, 184:22, 185:19, 186:22, 192:9, 193:13 update [1] - 32:17 updated [3] - 142:13, 142:16, 168:20 updates [2] - 137:4, 138:19 ups [4] - 173:10, 173:16, 174:1, 174:9 urgent [1] - 6:2 useful [1] - 152:13 V Valley [9] - 2:21, 3:25, 4:4, 4:16, 5:10, 6:19, 6:24, 7:3, 44:23 value [2] - 13:16, 18:10 Vancouver [52] - 1:1, 4:11, 7:8, 8:9, 13:10, 18:3, 44:1, 48:20, 48:21, 48:24, 81:24, 82:1. 82:4. 88:1. 88:8. 88:19, 88:23, 89:1, 89:10, 89:17, 90:21, 103:9, 104:3, 104:12, 104:23, 105:23, 107:16, 110:7, 122:21, 123:2, 127:13, 128:15, 132:11, 134:17, 149:14, 149:18, 149:20, 153:14, 158:12, 173:19, 173:21, 177:18, 178:10, 178:14, 178:15, 187:6, 187:23, 188:14, 188:19, 189:8, 190:1, 193:16 vanished [1] - 171:2 Vanoverbeek[1] -181:25 various [6] - 39:15, 132:19, 133:14, 136:21, 155:12, 166:5 vast [1] - 17:12 Vehicle [1] - 142:19 vehicle [2] - 142:10, 142:19

xxiii •

verbally [1] - 111:3 versus [2] - 76:18, 77:25 Vertlieb [10] - 1:5, 99:13, 101:1, 101:13, 101:20, 120:18, 120:19, 121:6, 121:10, 193:8 vetting [4] - 175:15, 175:17, 175:23, 177:1 via [2] - 113:25, 137:21 Viclas [2] - 143:5, 143:8 Victim[2] - 155:3, 155:8 victim [1] - 155:20 victims [4] - 10:12, 15:4, 28:12, 146:2 Victoria[1] - 179:15 video [1] - 159:19 videotape [1] -155:19 view [18] - 21:10, 75:22, 75:25, 78:12, 79:4, 79:7, 89:6, 96:25, 101:13, 101:14, 131:10, 141:2, 157:23, 173:24, 187:15, 192:9 View [2] - 192:25, 2:14 violence [3] - 21:6, 21:7, 22:2 violent [2] - 48:19, 82:22 virtue [1] - 88:17 vis [2] - 97:5 vis-a-vis [1] - 97:5 vision [7] - 34:24, 35:5, 35:10, 35:15, 35:19, 35:20, 47:15 visit [1] - 138:23 volume [1] - 77:8 **Volume**[4] - 127:14, 128:10, 135:17, 135:19 voluminous [1] -155:9 volunteered [3] -160:7, 160:12, 160:13 **Vpd**[79] - 2:18, 3:2, 7:13, 8:18, 13:20, 16:9, 16:24, 17:6, 17:21, 17:23, 18:19, 19:1, 20:20, 27:21, 28:9, 29:17, 29:23, 30:10, 31:14, 39:2, 42:11, 42:13, 45:14, 46:19, 59:19, 60:1,

60:18, 60:23, 61:11, 61:20, 61:21, 62:6, 62:10, 62:22, 63:10, 63:16, 64:15, 65:1, 65:4, 66:16, 67:4, 68:15, 69:6, 71:4, 73:20, 74:4, 74:11, 76:24, 77:6, 78:3. 78:9, 81:4, 81:19, 86:18, 90:14, 90:24, 92:15, 108:2, 108:10, 116:8, 126:5, 127:3, 130:1, 130:19, 134:8, 136:4, 136:25, 137:1, 138:10, 153:22, 155:24, 167:1, 173:9, 174:4, 174:6, 177:10, 182:8, 185:13, 191:2 **Vpd's** [2] - 104:20, 181:10 Vpd...have [1] -64:19 Vries [2] - 107:17, 107:22 Vries's [1] - 123:23

# W

Ward[52] - 80:9, 80:13, 87:10, 87:16, 87:21, 91:8, 91:10, 91:12, 94:25, 95:12, 99:14, 100:3, 100:10, 101:3, 101:13, 102:3, 102:10, 102:16, 120:21, 121:16, 121:21, 127:22, 127:24, 128:2, 128:4, 128:5, 128:7, 128:9, 129:16, 129:21, 129:22, 135:23, 164:10, 164:14, 168:9, 168:14, 168:17, 168:25, 169:4, 169:20, 170:4, 182:23, 183:2, 183:5, 192:7, 192:12, 192:14, 192:19, 193:2, 193:5, 1:5 Ward's [1] - 100:9 Wardrop[1] - 153:22 warrant [3] - 162:4, 162:21, 170:22 **Wayne**<sup>[4]</sup> - 107:11, 124:5, 151:10, 157:20 ways [2] - 101:9, 184:20 weapon [1] - 100:22 website [5] - 107:13, 108:1, 188:4, 188:23,

190:7	10:17, 11:11, 11:17,	70:12, 83:12, 110:7,
weekend [1] - 161:7	13:14, 13:22, 13:24,	158:11
weeks [4] - 10:19,	15:9, 16:12, 16:17,	works [2] - 29:8,
	16:20, 17:3, 17:9,	
175:7, 181:1, 187:23		157:21
weight [1] - 126:23	17:23, 18:17, 18:18,	world [1] - 25:10
Welfare[1] - 19:10	19:1, 20:20, 21:13,	worry [1] - 161:17
welfare [3] - 184:23,	31:24, 32:16, 32:25,	worst [2] - 115:18,
185:3, 185:7	38:8, 43:25, 46:14,	121:1
Wendy[1] - 14:14	46:24, 47:11, 48:12,	wrap [2] - 9:9, 58:11
West[1] - 39:2	48:13, 51:6, 51:13,	wrap-up [1] - 9:9
Westminster [6] -	52:1, 52:23, 52:24,	writing [4] - 114:1,
106:15, 173:15,	53:7, 53:16, 55:7,	118:7, 123:25, 145:1
173:18, 173:25,	56:6, 59:20, 60:9,	
	60:24, 61:3, 61:7,	written [16] - 9:7,
174:9, 177:18	61:13, 61:17, 61:22,	12:13, 14:2, 14:17,
whereabouts [1] -	62:13, 62:21, 63:14,	17:8, 25:3, 25:6,
166:24		25:21, 67:21, 94:2,
whereas [1] - 4:13	64:2, 65:2, 66:4,	118:15, 119:5, 125:7,
whichled [1] -	66:19, 67:6, 67:9,	136:25, 142:5, 174:5
12:10	70:4, 70:16, 71:12,	wrote [4] - 9:8, 25:8,
whole [5] - 42:3,	72:9, 72:11, 73:6,	117:9, 123:24
79:24, 123:24, 147:2,	78:21, 80:6, 80:10,	
154:25	81:25, 82:5, 82:21,	v
wide [1] - 52:12	82:22, 83:22, 86:10,	Y
William [20] - 82:13,	88:2, 88:7, 88:22,	year [12] - 5:13, 18:5,
	89:7, 89:8, 89:19,	• • • • • •
83:23, 96:9, 98:22,	89:25, 90:14, 107:14,	82:9, 88:9, 103:6,
100:21, 117:17,	108:4, 108:9, 115:16,	104:2, 104:17, 118:3,
141:2, 144:5, 144:13,	116:9, 119:9, 122:21,	141:22, 157:15,
144:20, 148:14,	123:21, 128:21,	158:14, 172:2
151:23, 154:11,	130:24, 131:2, 134:6,	<b>years</b> [17] - 55:7,
155:2, 159:1, 160:19,	134:13, 135:6, 135:8,	96:7, 104:3, 104:7,
175:14, 175:20,		111:14, 111:22,
176:11, 183:15	139:2, 145:12,	126:19, 147:11,
Williams[1] - 157:7	163:18, 163:22,	147:14, 147:16,
Willie[1] - 163:10	164:4, 165:4, 167:17,	148:8, 165:20, 169:9,
willing [1] - 55:15	184:21, 185:2,	173:3, 177:21, 178:8,
Wilson[1] - 142:12	187:20, 189:6, 189:20	185:24
	Women [7] - 29:16,	Yelds[1] - 160:14
window [2] - 25:9,	81:2, 94:6, 95:8,	
105:10	95:11, 2:7, 2:10	<b>yesterday</b> [14] - 1:24,
wire [2] - 42:2,	Women's [2] -	2:17, 21:4, 22:12,
159:24	146:22, 185:13	24:3, 34:24, 43:2,
wire-tap [2] - 42:2,	women's [12] - 8:3,	46:10, 51:1, 63:19,
159:24	81:16, 81:21, 82:6,	71:1, 97:22, 97:23,
<b>wish</b> [3] - 17:16,	82:17, 136:7, 146:5,	123:7
164:8, 168:6		Yesterday[2] - 2:6,
Witness[1] - 117:11	146:8, 146:12, 147:1,	49:18
witness [3] - 121:20,	182:9, 189:6	yielded [1] - 152:12
127:25, 193:8	wonder [1] - 176:23	young [3] - 82:22,
witnesses [3] -	woodwork [1] -	83:11, 188:16
101:8, 155:12, 159:6	48:11	yourself [3] - 20:6,
<b>Wolfe</b> [6] - 13:9,	word [11] - 12:3,	20:12, 26:17
	40:8, 41:1, 41:6,	20.12,20.17
14:13, 64:17, 68:6,	56:12, 82:19, 94:12,	_
68:19, 183:6	95:22, 95:24, 96:22,	Z
woman [9] - 18:10,	119:1	_
18:14, 19:18, 19:19,	worker [2] - 46:13,	Zaporozan [3] -
110:4, 168:6, 170:24,	48:20	129:6, 129:7, 130:7
179:21, 181:13	workers [14] - 12:9,	<b>zero</b> [2] - 95:22,
women [119] - 3:4,	12:25, 14:6, 21:7,	184:19
3:13, 4:12, 5:1, 6:23,	22:2, 52:6, 59:10,	
7:7, 7:18, 8:5, 8:10,	69:12, 69:18, 70:7,	
9:4, 9:13, 9:19, 9:22,	30.12, 00.10, 70.7,	
		xxiv